Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Matteo Cameli, University of Siena, Italy

REVIEWED BY Julie KK Vishram-Nielsen, University of Copenhagen, Denmark Akito Nakagawa, Nakagawa Clinic, Japan

*CORRESPONDENCE Siyu Duan 2820672378@qq.com Ping Yang yangping1022@foxmail.com

RECEIVED 20 March 2023 ACCEPTED 18 July 2023 PUBLISHED 31 July 2023

CITATION

Duan S, Li Y and Yang P (2023) Predictive value of blood urea nitrogen in heart failure: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 10:1189884. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2023.1189884

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Duan, Li and Yang. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Predictive value of blood urea nitrogen in heart failure: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Siyu Duan^{1*}, Yuqi Li¹ and Ping Yang^{2*}

¹Second Clinical Medical School, Medical University of Kunming, Kunming, China, ²School of Basic Medicine, Medical University of Kunming, Kunming, China

Background: The mortality rate of patients with heart failure (HF) remains high, and when heart failure occurs, blood urea nitrogen (BUN) is involved in the perfusion of renal blood flow. Some studies have shown an association between heart failure prognosis and blood urea nitrogen, but the results of some other studies were inconsistent. Therefore, we conducted a comprehensive meta-analysis to investigate the value of BUN on the prognosis of patients with heart failure.

Methods: A computerized systematic search of all English literature was performed in four databases, PubMed, Cochrane, Embase and Web of Science, from their inception to May 2022. The data of BUN were classified into continuous and categorical variables after passing the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The BUN data of both types were extracted separately into stata15.0 for statistical analysis.

Results: A total of 19 cohort studies involving 56,003 patients were included. When BUN was used as a categorical variable, the risk of death in heart failure was 2.29 times higher for high levels of BUN than for low levels of BUN (RR = 2.29, 95% CI:1.42–3.70, P < 0.001). The results showed statistical significance in multifactorial and univariate groups, the prospective cohort, and European and Asian groups. When BUN was used as a continuous variable, the risk of death in heart failure was 1.02 times higher for each unit increase in BUN (RR = 1.02, 95% CI:1.01–1.03, p < 0.001). Subgroup analysis showed statistical significance in retrospective cohort, American and Asian.

Conclusion: High BUN is an independent predictor of all-cause mortality in heart failure. Lower BUN was associated with better prognosis in patients with heart failure.

KEYWORDS

blood urea nitrogen, heart failure, all-cause mortality, prognosis, independent predictor

1. Introduction

Heart failure is a critical condition of the cardiovascular system, and in the United States, heart failure is one of the leading risk factors for hospitalization in the elderly, with 1 million hospitalized patients with heart failure according to the statistics of the sample of U.S. inpatients in 2014 (1). Despite increasingly sophisticated treatments for heart failure, nearly half of the patients die within five years after discharge from the hospital (2). Therefore, indicators that monitor the risk of death in heart failure patients since admission are important in determining the prognosis of heart failure. Studies have shown that heart failure is often combined with reduced renal function, and that indicators of renal function can independently predict the risk of death from heart failure (3).

At present, a variety of renal function markers associated with heart failure have been found. Among them, serum creatinine is the most commonly used indicator, which can

be filtered through the glomerulus. When creatinine concentration is elevated, renal function deteriorates with an decreased glomerular filtration rate. However, the increase in serum creatinine may also be caused by hypermyolysis. Therefore, serum creatinine cannot fully reflect heart failure (4). Cystatin C is a small protein synthesized by the body that freely filters through the glomeruli to form urinary cystatin C. Urocystatin C is considered to be a sensitive marker of kidney injury in patients with chronic heart failure. However, some studies have shown that elevated cystatin C is not associated with the prognosis of heart failure, which is quite controversial (5).

Blood urea nitrogen is involved in changes in renal perfusion and better reflects the progression of heart failure than traditional indicators such as blood creatinine (6). For every 10 mg/dl increase in blood urea nitrogen, the mortality rate of heart failure patients increases by 21% (7). In a study of patients hospitalized with acute decompensated heart failure (PROTECT), blood urea nitrogen was the strongest predictor of 180-day mortality in patients with heart failure. In the results of the prospective trial of intravenous milrinone for the treatment of chronic heart failure exacerbations (OPTIME-CHF), blood urea nitrogen also predicted the risk of death in patients with heart failure (8, 9). Thus, blood urea nitrogen may be an important independent predictor of short and long-term mortality in patients with heart failure. However, there were some studies with inconsistent results. In the study of Sachdeva et al. (10), BUN had no predictive value for the prognosis of heart failure. In the study of Takaya et al. (11), BUN showed predictive value only for the prognostic of heart failure combined with renal failure. (The predictive value of BUN for heart failure in these studies remained controversial.) Therefore, we found it important to conduct a thorough and detailed meta-analysis to assess the value and role of blood urea nitrogen in predicting the prognostic risk of death in patients with heart failure.

2. Methods

2.1. Search strategy

We systematically searched all the results from the databases of Embase, PubMed, Cochrane, and Web of Science since their inception until May 2022 by combining subject terms with free words. The search terms were "heart failure" or "heart decompensation" or "cardiac failure" or "myocardial failure" combined with "BUN" or "blood urea nitrogen" or "serum urea nitrogen" (See the appendix for the search strategy).

2.2. Inclusion and exclusion criteria

For this study, our inclusion criteria were (1) study type: cohort study; (2) subjects: all patients ≥ 18 years old and diagnosed with heart failure according to clinical criteria; (3) exposure: blood urea nitrogen levels provided in admitted heart failure patients; (4) outcome measure: all-cause mortality.

Exclusion criteria included (1) animal studies and *in vitro* studies; (2) systematic reviews, conference abstracts, letters, and case reports; (3) non-English literature; and (4) duplicate studies (articles with multiple occurrences or identical data).

The retrieved studies were imported into EndNote for literature screening. After removing duplicate studies, all literature was screened based on title, abstract, and data type. The literature was screened separately by two researchers and categorized as eligible, uncertain, or ineligible based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The full texts of articles that were jointly classified as "eligible" by both researchers was summarized for our review and assessment. Therefore, a third researcher was not required to verify the inconsistency of the literature.

2.3. Data extraction and literature quality evaluation

After the search was completed, we used an Excel spreadsheet to summarize the basic information in the 19 articles and extracted the first author, year of publication, study design, study type, study location, follow-up time, all-cause mortality, and univariate and multifactorial analyses of blood urea nitrogen levels. In terms of literature quality assessment, we fully considered the impact of the following six aspects: (1) study participants, (2) loss to follow-up, (3) measurement of risk factors, (4) measurement and control of confounding variables, (5) outcomes measurement, and (6) analyses and reports. Each aspect was classified to a high, moderate, or low risk of bias. In this paper, a study was considered to be of high quality if four or above aspects including the loss to follow-up and the confounders were evaluated with low risk of bias (14).

2.4. Statistical analysis and graphing

RR(relative risk) and 95% CI(credibility interval)were used to evaluate the effect of blood urea nitrogen on the prognosis of heart failure based on the data with key characteristics from the data table, and the evaluation index was the RR values pooled across studies. The heterogeneity of included studies was investigated by the Cochran Q-test. Fixed-effects and randomeffects models were selected by *p*-value and I^2 value in the forest plot. If $I^2 > 50\%$ and p < 0.1, the random-effects model was adopted; if $I^2 < 50\%$ and p > 0.1, the fixed-effects model was employed (15). When heterogeneity was large, subgroup analysis was conducted by study type, single and multiple factors, and different continents to explore the sources of heterogeneity. We performed a sensitivity analysis to determine whether the exclusion of any of the articles would affect the stability of the results. The publication bias of the literature was analyzed by generating Begg's and Egger's tests. The Begg's test is based on the rank sum test, and the Egger's test is based on the regression test. Usually, the p-value can be used to determine whether there is publication bias. If the P > 0.05, there is no publication bias. If p < 0.05, the trim-and-fill method was required to correct

publication bias. The trim-and-fill method was used to trim the asymmetric part of the funnel plot and fill in the trimmed part along the center of the funnel plot. Finally, the new effect size was pooled to check whether it affected the result robustness. All statistical analyses were completed using Stata 15.0 software with a test level of $\alpha = 0.05$.

3. Results

3.1. Literature search results

The initial search yielded 6,945 papers, and 1,966 duplicates were excluded through the initial screening process in Figure 1.

Among the remaining 4,979 papers, a series of publications unrelated to heart failure research, such as conference studies and case reports, were excluded, and 131 articles were obtained. A re-screening of data types and outcome indicators of blood urine nitrogen was performed on the 131 articles, and 19 (16–33) of them were finally included (Figure 1).

The basic information and literature quality evaluation of the included studies is shown in **Table 1**. The 19 papers published from 2004 to 2022 included patients with heart failure from different countries, most of whom were male and ranged in age from 55 to 78 years. We divided the blood urea nitrogen data into two groups, 10 for continuous variables and 10 for categorical variables. Of these, two papers included data from two cohort studies and one article contained both continuous



QUIPS	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	High	Moderate	Moderate	High	I					High					High		Moderate	High	High			Moderate				Continued)
Outcome	All-cause mortality	All-cause mortality	All-cause mortality	All-cause mortality	All-cause mortality	All-cause mortality	All-cause mortality	All-cause mortality			All-cause mortality			All-cause mortality		All-cause mortality		All-cause mortality			All-cause mortality				3					
LVEF (%) (Mean ± SD)/median (IQR)	~	38 ± 10	23 ± 7	1	45.2 ± 16.5	50.8 ± 12.8	1	27.69			25.32			43.5 ± 15.9		46.3 ± 16.0		/	1	/	42 ± 16	/	1			60 (54–65)				
Age (Years) (Mean ± SD)/median (IQR)	63 ± 14	59 ± 11	53 ± 13	64 ± 12	75.99 ± 11.93	73.9 ± 7.8	74. ± 12.7	76.91 ± 11.42			78.98 ± 9.97			74.6 ± 13.1		77.5 ± 12.4		Training /	Internal /	External /	67 ± 14	68 ± 11	70.2 ± 11.6			80 (73-84)				
NYHA (n/mean (SD)	I or II 24 III 170 IV 111	-	III 552 IV 388	2.5 (0.6)	III and IV325	III 141 IV 277	-	I 133	I I 262 III 248 IV 155	001 41	I 126	II 195	III 237 IV 141	 II 774 III 1 644	IV 1,914	II 617	III 1,631 IV 2,101	1	-	/	3.5 (0.6)	/	IorII 344	III 982	IV 599	I 4	II 110	III 212	IV187	
NT-proBNP (mean(SD)/ mean(IQR)	7	/	575 (190–1,300)	3,978 (5,395)	/	752 (291, 1,576)	-	3.37 (1.75-6.03)			3.57 (1.98–7.25)			3,867 (1,917–8,741		6,820 (2,908-13,840)		/	1	/	/	1,267 (773–1,475)	3,000 (3,000–3,799)			414 (225–681)				
Cohort Characteristics	ADHF	AHF	AHF	AHF	AHF	ADHF	ADHF	HFpEF			HFrEF			AHF		AHF		AHF	AHF	AHF	AHF	AHF	AHF			HFpEF				
Female (%)	30	70	75	21	48.7	59.6	46.2	49.8			52.2			40.1		44.6		43.3	42.2	45.1	50	66	67.1			50				
Sample Size	541	444	1,215	802	337	652	4,768	762			916			4,351		1,682		1,371	685	124	977	358	2,033			535				
Follow- Up (Years)/ (median or mean)	0.95	1.21	2.00	3.47	5.00	2.60	3.00	0.10						_				0.07			/	10.00	0.49			2.00				
Recruitment Time	6 months	1996.5-2005.12	1999–2009	2001.1-2008.4	2009.11-2011.2	2012.1–2016.1	2018.11-2018.1	2013.4-2014.12						2013-2015				2019-2021			2000.8-2004.1	2003-2013	2010-2014			2012.11-2015.3				
Country	USA	USA	USA	Italy	Japan	China	Israel	Spanish						Japan				China			Brazil	USA	USA			Japan				
Study Type	bc	rc	pc	pc	rc	rc	rc	pc						pc				rc			pc	rc	rc			pc				
Author	Aronson et al. (16)	Cauthen et al. (17)	Sachdeva et al. (10)	Scrutinio et al. (18)	Miura et al. (19)	Ren et al. (12)	Khoury et al. (21)	García-Gutiérrez et al. (22)						Shiraishi (23)				Wei et al. (24)			Rohde et al. (25)	Ather et al. (26)	Demissei et al. (27)			Nagai et al. (28)				

10.3389/fcvm.2023.1189884

TABLE 1 Basic information of included literature.

04

Duan	et	al

QUIPS	Moderate				High		Moderate	High	Moderate	Moderate	OHF, acute
Outcome	All-cause mortality		All-cause mortality		All-cause mortality		All-cause mortality	All-cause mortality	All-cause mortality	All-cause mortality	d ejection fraction; AL
LVEF (%) (Mean ± SD)/median (IQR)	32 + 8.6		58.7 ± 6.7		34 ± 8.2		44.0 (31.0-58.0)	62.5 (44)	1	/	ure with preserved
Age (Years) (Mean ± SD)/median (IQR)	55.4 + 12.2		76 ± 8.4		73 ± 11		76.0 (65.0-83.0)	71 (58–79)	74 (64-83)		ı; HFpEF, heart fail
NYHA (n/mean (SD)	I 130 II 433	III 127 IV 5	III 21	IV 52	III 28	IV 34	-	-	-	/	ejection fraction
NT-proBNP (mean(SD)/ mean(IQR)	149 (54–355)		1		1		1	1	1	1	t failure with reduced
Cohort Characteristics	CHF		HFpEF		HFrEF		ADHF	AHF	CHF	CHF	t failure; HFrEF, hear
Female (%)	67.6		66		43.7		61.9	46.8	45.4	47.6	ıre; HF, heaı
Sample Size	695		73		62		2,090	3,335	15,983	14,428	nic heart failu
Follow- Up (Years)/ (median or mean)	1.00		3.00				2.11	5.00	1		Ire; CHF, chro
Recruitment Time	2012-2019		2015.1-2015.11				2006.4-2016.6	2011.12012.9	2014-2015		HF, acute heart failu
Country	Brazil		Italy				Japan	China	USA		/e cohort; Ah
Study Type	bc		pc				pc	pc	rc		prospectiv ^{3.} me of AHF
Author	Gioli-Pereira et al. (29)		Parrinello et al. (30)				Sujino et al. (31)	Li et al. (32)	Han et al. (33)		rc, retrospective cohort; pc, decompensated heart failur ADHF is a worsening syndro

and categorical variables. The QUIPS (Quality In Prognosis Studies) evaluation results are shown in **Table 2**. Through quality risk evaluation using the QUIPS tool, nine articles were rated as low risk in terms of the confounders and the loss to follow-up, and as medium risk in terms of one or two of the remaining four aspects. These nine articles were considered to be of high quality. The remaining 11 articles had a moderate risk in terms of the loss to follow-up or the confounders and in terms of at least three of the remaining four aspects. These 11 articles were considered to be of medium quality.

3.2. Effect of blood urea nitrogen as a categorical variable on the prognosis of patients with heart failure

With 10 articles on the categorical variable, the pooled results revealed that the risk of death from heart failure was 2.29 times higher in the high-level group than in the low-level group for blood urea nitrogen (RR = 2.29, 95% CI: 1.42–3.70, P < 0.001), and the difference was statistically significant. Since $I^2 = 96.4\%$, a random-effects model was chosen for analysis (**Figure 2**).

3.2.1. Subgroup analysis

To explore the sources of heterogeneity in outcomes, we conducted subgroup analyses on 10 studies by three subgroups including single and multiple factors, different cohort study types, and continents.

The forest plots ultimately showed statistically significant results when stratified analyses were performed for single and multiple factors. The risk of death from heart failure with high levels of blood urea nitrogen was 2.07 times higher in the single-factor group than in the low-level group (RR = 2.07, 95% CI:1.44–2.96, p < 0.001). The risk of developing heart failure with high levels of blood urea nitrogen was 4.98 times higher in the multiivariate group (RR = 4.98, 95% CI:3.99–6.21, p < 0.001 (Figure 3).

The results were statistically significant in prospective cohort studies when subgroups were defined by study type. The risk of death from heart failure was 2.61 times higher in the group with high levels of blood urea nitrogen than in the group with low levels (RR = 2.61, 95% CI:2.11–3.24, p < 0.001). In contrast, in retrospective cohort studies, high and low levels of blood urea nitrogen had no statistical significance in predicting death from heart failure (RR = 2.03 95% CI:0.97–4.25, p = 0.06) (Figure 4).

When subgroup analysis was performed for each continent, the results revealed no statistical significance in the American group (RR = 1.48,95% CI:0.78–2.81, p = 0.23). The results were statistically significant in the European group, where the risk of death from heart failure was 2.96 times higher in the high blood urea nitrogen group than in the low group (RR = 2.96, 95% CI:2.14–4.08, p < 0.001). The risk of death from blood urea nitrogen in the Asian high-level group was 2.43 times higher than that in the low-level group (RR = 2.43, 95% CI:1.36–4.34, p = 0.003), and the results were statistically significant (**Figure 5**).

TABLE 1 Continued

Author	Study participation	Study attrition	Risk factor measurement	Outcome measurement	Studying cofounding	Statistical analysis and reporting	Final quality rating
Aronson et al. (16)	Low	Moderate	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
Cauthen et al. (17)	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Low	Moderate	Moderate
Sachdeva et al. (10)	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
Scrutinio et al. (18)	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Low	Moderate	Moderate
Miura et al. (19)	Moderate	Low	Low	Low	Low	Moderate	High
Ren et al. (12)	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	Low	Moderate	High
Khoury et al. (21)	Moderate	Low	Moderate	Low	Moderate	Low	Moderate
García-Gutiérrez et al. (22)	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	Low	Moderate	High
Shiraishi (23)	Low	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	Low	High
Wei et al. (24)	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	Low	Moderate	High
Rohde et al. (25)	Low	Moderate	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
Ather et al. (26)	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Moderate	High
Demissei et al. (27)	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	Low	Low	High
Nagai et al. (28)	Low	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
Gioli-Pereira et al. (29)	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Moderate	Low	Low	Moderate
Parrinello et al. (30)	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	Low	Moderate	High
Sujino et al. (31)	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Low	Low	Moderate	Moderate
Li et al. (32)	Low	Low	Low	Low	Moderate	Moderate	High
Han et al. (33)	Moderate	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Moderate

TABLE 2 Risk of quality scores.

High quality: risk of bias was rated low on at least four of the six domains and was rated low for both study attrition and study confounding.

Moderate quality: risk of bias was rated low or moderate on at least four of the six domains and was rated moderate for both study attrition or study confounding.



confidence interval: RR, relative risk

3.3. Effect of the blood urea nitrogen as a continuous variable on the prognosis of patients with heart failure

There were 10 articles using blood urea nitrogen as a continuous variable. The combined RR value was 1.02,

which statistically significant (RR = 1.02,95% was CI:1.01–1.03, p < 0.001). This indicated that the risk of heart failure increased by 1.02 times for death from each increase in blood urea nitrogen $I^2 =$ unit 93.7%. The random-effects model was selected for analysis (Figure 6).

Study		%
D	RR (95% CI)	Weight
single factor		
Aronson (2004)	2.30 (1.30, 4.10)	9.05
Cauthen(2008)	1.04 (1.02, 1.06)	10.40
Sachdeva(2010)	1.70 (0.40, 7.20)	5.34
Scrutinio (2012) -	2.62 (1.60, 4.14)	9.44
Miura (2013)	2.94 (1.51, 5.73)	8.66
Ren (2018)	1.36 (1.02, 1.79)	10.04
García(2019)	2.74 (1.33, 5.65)	8.41
García(2020)	3.64 (2.10, 6.30)	9.15
Shiraishi(2020) -	2.43 (1.73, 3.43)	9.88
Wei(2021)	1.75 (1.09, 2.80)	9.45
Subtotal (I-squared = 89.9%, p = 0.000)	2.07 (1.44, 2.96)	89.82
multi-factor		
Johad(2019)	4.98 (3.99, 6.21)	10.18
Subtotal (I-squared = .%, p = .)	4.98 (3.99, 6.21)	10.18
Overall (I-squared = 96.4%, p = 0.000)	2.29 (1.42, 3.70)	100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis		
.139 1	7.2	

FIGURE 3

Forest plot of the comparative analysis of blood urea nitrogen levels on the prognosis of patients with heart failure using the random-effects model (single factor vs. multiple factor); heterogeneity test using Q-test and I^2 statistic. CI, confidence interval; RR, relative risk.

Study		%
ID	RR (95% CI)	Weight
prospective cohort		
Aronson(2004)	2.30 (1.30, 4.10)	9.05
Sachdeva(2010)	1.70 (0.40, 7.20)	5.34
Scrutinio(2011)	• <u>2.62 (1.60, 4.14)</u>	9.44
García(2020)	• 2.74 (1.33, 5.65)	8.40
García(2020)	3.64 (2.10, 6.30)	9.15
Shiraishi(2020) —	2.43 (1.73, 3.43)	9.88
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.833)	> 2.61 (2.11, 3.24)	51.27
retrospective cohort		
Cauthen(2008)	1.04 (1.02, 1.06)	10.40
Miura(2013)	• 2.94 (1.51, 5.73)	8.66
Ren(2018)	1.36 (1.02, 1.79)	10.04
Johad(2019)	4.98 (3.99, 6.21)	10.18
Wei(2021)	1.75 (1.09, 2.80)	9.45
Subtotal (I-squared = 98.1%, p = 0.000)	2.03 (0.97, 4.25)	48.73
Overall (I-squared = 96.4%, p = 0.000)	2.29 (1.42, 3.70)	100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis		
130 1	7.2	

FIGURE 4

Forest plot of the comparative analysis of blood urea nitrogen levels on the prognosis of patients with heart failure using the random-effects model (prospective vs. retrospective); heterogeneity test using Q-test and l^2 statistic. CI, confidence interval; RR, relative risk.

Study		%
D	RR (95% CI)	Weight
America	1	
Aronson(2004)	2.30 (1.30, 4.10)	9.05
Cauthen(2008)	1.04 (1.02, 1.06)	10.40
Sachdeva(2010)	1.70 (0.40, 7.20)	5.34
Subtotal (I-squared = 74.2%, p = 0.021)	1.48 (0.78, 2.81)	24.80
Europe		
Scrutinio(2011)	2.62 (1.60, 4.14)	9.44
García(2020)	2.74 (1.33, 5.65)	8.40
García(2020)	3.64 (2.10, 6.30)	9.15
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.657)	2.96 (2.14, 4.08)	26.99
Asia		
Miura(2013) —	2.94 (1.51, 5.73)	8.66
Ren(2018)	1.36 (1.02, 1.79)	10.04
Johad(2019)	4.98 (3.99, 6.21)	10.18
Shiraishi(2020) —	• 2.43 (1.73, 3.43)	9.88
Nei(2021)	1.75 (1.09, 2.80)	9.45
Subtotal (I-squared = 92.9%, p = 0.000)	2.43 (1.36, 4.34)	48.21
	1	
Overall (I-squared = 96.4%, p = 0.000)	2.29 (1.42, 3.70)	100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis		
	·	

FIGURE 5

Forest plot of the comparative analysis of blood urea nitrogen levels on the prognosis of patients with heart failure using the random-effects model (among continents); heterogeneity test using Q-test and l^2 statistic. CI, confidence interval; RR, relative risk.



FIGURE 6

Forest plot of the analysis of blood urea nitrogen levels on the prognosis of patients with heart failure using the random-effects model; heterogeneity test using Q-test and I^2 statistic. CI, confidence interval; RR, relative risk.



Forest plot of the comparative analysis of blood urea nitrogen levels on the prognosis of patients with heart failure using the random-effects model (single factor vs. multiple factor); heterogeneity test using Q-test and l^2 statistic. CI, confidence interval; RR, relative risk.

3.3.1. Subgroup analysis

As for the continuous variables, we also explored the sources of heterogeneity by subgroup analysis based on single and multiple factors, different cohort study types, and continents.

When univariate and multivariate groups were subdivided, the forest plot results showed no statistical significance in the multivariate group (RR = 1.02, 95% CI:1.00–1.04, p = 0.017) as well as in the univariate group (RR = 1.02, 95% CI:1.00–1.03, p = 0.014) (Figure 7).

Grouping by study type showed no statistical significance in the prospective cohort studies, (RR = 1.01,95% CI:1.00–1.02, p = 0.03). In the retrospective cohort studies (RR = 1.03,95% CI:1.01–1.06, p = 0.009), it was illustrated that a one-unit increase in blood urea nitrogen was associated with a 1.03 fold increase in the risk of death from heart failure (**Figure 8**).

When subgroups were divided among continents, the South African group (RR = 1.35, 95% CI:0.67–2.73, p = 0.402) showed no statistical significance. In the European group (RR = 1, 95% CI:0.98–1.03, p = 0.779), blood urea nitrogen levels had no statistical significance in predicting death from heart failure. While the results of the American group were statistically

significant, as the risk of death from heart failure increased by 1.05 times for each unit increase in blood urea nitrogen (RR = 1.05, 95% CI:1.01–1.09, p = 0.007). One unit increase in blood urea nitrogen in the Asian group was associated with 1.02 times the risk of death from heart failure. (RR = 1.02, 95% CI:1.01–1.03, p = 0.003) (Figure 9).

3.4. Sensitivity analysis and publication bias

When blood urea nitrogen was analyzed as a categorical and continuous variable, respectively, sensitivity analysis showed that the values of the studies combining the remaining outcomes after removing each group separately remained within the intervals of (95% CI:1.42–3.70) and (95% CI:1.01–1.03), indicating that the stability of blood urea nitrogen levels on all-cause mortality in heart failure patients was not affected by any of the studies (**Figures 10, 11**).

When blood urea nitrogen was used as a categorical variable, the funnel plot was asymmetrical, indicating a slight publication bias. Begger's (p = 0.64) and Egger's test (p = 0.009) suggested a publication bias in Egger's test. To correct for publication bias,



Forest plot of the comparative analysis of blood urea nitrogen levels on the prognosis of patients with heart failure using the random-effects model (prospective vs. retrospective); heterogeneity test using Q-test and l^2 statistic. CI, confidence interval; RR, relative risk.

we applied the trim and fill method in random effects, and the correction showed a good symmetry of the funnel plot with an *RR* value of 1.057 (95% CI:1.04–1.073). The corrected funnel plot was not significantly different from the initial model, and publication bias did not affect the results (**Figures 12, 13**). When blood urea nitrogen was used as a continuous variable, Begger's (p = 0.35) and Egger's test indicated (p = 0.211) that there was no publication bias (**Figure 14**).

4. Discussion

This is the first meta-analysis of the effects of blood urea nitrogen on prognosis of heart failure based on previous research. The pooled results showed that the risk of death from blood urea nitrogen was 2.29 times higher in the high-level group than in the low-level group. And the risk of death from heart failure increased by 1.02 times for every 1 mg/dl increase in blood urea nitrogen. These results indicate a linear relationship between blood urea nitrogen level and death risk of heart failure.

Our findings are consistent with the conclusions of articles by Fonarow et al. (34) and Lombard et al. (35), both suggesting that

blood urea nitrogen level is an independent predictor of mortality in patients with heart failure. Additionally, a review conducted by Ouwerkerk et al. has reported that the strongest predictors for mortality in hospitalized patients with heart failure are blood urea nitrogen and sodium (11).

BNP (Brain Natriuretic Peptide) is known to be secreted from ventricular cardiomyocytes. When heart failure occurs, the increased secretion of BNP can inhibit the activation of RASS system, thereby increasing glomerular filtration rate and decreasing the preload of patients with heart failure. A large number of studies have shown that the increase of BNP is associated with the poor prognosis of patients with heart failure, and BNP is the gold standard for the prognosis of early heart failure. In order to explore more biomarkers for heart failure with similar mechanism of action as BNP for clinical use, BUN may be an important indicator for the prognosis of heart failure in the future since the mechanisms of action of BUN and BNP are similar and they had good specificity (36).

Studies have shown that changes in blood urea nitrogen are closely related to the deterioration of renal function in heart failure patients. The prognosis of heart failure can be reflected by monitoring blood urea nitrogen in response to renal perfusion (37). Blood urea nitrogen is a protein metabolite synthesized by

Study		%
	RR (95% CI)	weight
South Africa		
Rohde(2006)	◆ 2.10 (1.10, 4.00)	0.02
Gioli-Pereira (2019)	1.01 (1.00, 1.01)	11.71
Subtotal (I-squared = 79.9%, p = 0.026)	1.35 (0.67, 2.73)	11.73
America		
Ather(2013) •	1.03 (1.02, 1.04)	11.14
Demissei (2016)	✤ 1.66 (1.45, 1.89)	0.47
Han (2022)	1.01 (1.01, 1.01)	12.42
Subtotal (I-squared = 97.0%, p = 0.000)	1.05 (1.01, 1.09)	24.03
Asia		
Nagai(2018)	1.01 (1.00, 1.02)	11.71
Ren(2018)	1.02 (1.01, 1.03)	10.98
Sujino (2019)	1.03 (1.03, 1.04)	12.19
Li (2021)	1.01 (1.01, 1.02)	11.92
Subtotal (I-squared = 92.6%, p = 0.000)	1.02 (1.01, 1.03)	46.80
Europe		
Parrinello (2019)	1.02 (1.00, 1.04)	8.03
Parrinello (2019)	0.99 (0.98, 1.01)	9.42
Subtotal (I-squared = 82.1%, p = 0.018)	1.00 (0.98, 1.03)	17.45
Overall (I-squared = 93.7%, p = 0.000)	1.02 (1.01, 1.03)	100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis		

FIGURE 9

Forest plot of the comparative analysis of blood urea nitrogen levels on the prognosis of patients with heart failure using the random-effects model (among continents); heterogeneity test using Q-test and l^2 statistic. CI, confidence interval; RR, relative risk.











the liver and excreted by the kidneys. Hillege et al. suggest that elevated blood urea nitrogen activates the sympathetic nerve and RASS system regulatory mechanism, and participates in the perfusion of renal blood flow. When heart failure occurs, activation of the RASS system promotes water and salt retention, causing proximal tubular reabsorption of urea nitrogen, while sympathetic activation drives distal tubular reabsorption of urea nitrogen, both regulatory mechanisms leading to elevated blood urea nitrogen. Moreover, blood urea nitrogen is also involved in the regulation of antidiuretic hormone. Heart failure stimulates the elevation of antidiuretic hormone, which leads to the concentration of urea nitrogen by the medullary fraction of the kidney, resulting in elevated blood urea nitrogen (38). Therefore, high levels of blood urea nitrogen in hospitalized patients with heart failure have a poor prognosis and require early monitoring and treatment.

In this meta-analysis, due to the large heterogeneity in the forest plot, we conducted analyses exploring the sources of heterogeneity in three subgroups: univariate and multivariate groups, prospective and retrospective cohorts, and different continents. The results showed that the heterogeneity was 89.9%



for univariate analysis when blood urea nitrogen was used as a categorical variable, while the size of heterogeneity could not be counted in only one literature for multifactorial analysis. The high heterogeneity in univariate analysis may be due to the fact that indicators such as patient's diet, weight and age influence the prognosis of heart failure patients, resulting in high heterogeneity. Heterogeneity was 98.1% in the retrospective cohort study and 0 in the prospective cohort, suggesting that study type was the source of heterogeneity, which may be owing to the presence of missing patient data and incomplete records in retrospective cohort studies.

Finally, the heterogeneity among continents is 92.9% in Asia, 0 in Europe and 74.2% in America, which may be due to the ethnic variability among individuals, the high level of development in Europe, the inclusion of the same type of heart failure patients, and the low number of deaths from heart failure. In contrast, more patients with heart failure were included in Asia and America with more types of heart failure, leading to high heterogeneity. Heterogeneity in multifactorial analysis was great when blood urea nitrogen was used as a continuous-type variable, which may be related to the different indicators included in each article during the multifactorial analysis. Heterogeneity remained higher in retrospective cohort studies than in prospective cohort studies, with the least heterogeneity in South America when analyzed between continents, which may be a result of South America being in an underdeveloped country with less research on heart failure.

Our study also had limitations. First, the type of heart failure varied among the independent studies included but mainly was acute heart failure, which is a source of clinical variation. Second, multifactorial analysis was not performed in some studies, so whether blood urea nitrogen is an independent predictor of prognostic risk in heart failure remains to be discussed. Finally, patients in these studies were followed up at different time points, which is also a source of variability.

In summary, blood urea nitrogen level is a strong predictor of prognosis in hospitalized patients with heart failure. More research is needed to explore whether lowering blood urea nitrogen could be a therapeutic target for patients with heart failure.

Author contributions

All authors contributed to the study conception and design. SD: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Writing— Original draft, Data curation, Visualization were performed; YL: Investigation, Writing—Original Draft, Writing—Reviewing and Editing were performed; PY: Conceptualization, Supervision, Project administration were performed. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the researchers and study participants for their contributions.

References

1. Jackson SL, Tong X, King RJ, Loustalot F, Hong Y, Ritchey MD. National burden of heart failure events in the United States, 2006 to 2014. *Circ Heart Fail.* (2018) 11 (12):e004873. doi: 10.1161/circheartfailure.117.004873

2. Nazzari H, Hawkins NM, Ezekowitz J, Lauck S, Ding L, Polderman J, et al. The relationship between heart-failure hospitalization and mortality in patients receiving transcatheter aortic valve replacement. *Can J Cardiol.* (2019) 35(4):413–21. doi: 10. 1016/j.cjca.2018.11.016

3. Schefold JC, Filippatos G, Hasenfuss G, Anker SD, von Haehling S. Heart failure and kidney dysfunction: epidemiology, mechanisms and management. *Nat Rev Nephrol.* (2016) 12(10):610–23. doi: 10.1038/nrneph.2016.113

4. van Veldhuisen DJ, Ruilope LM, Maisel AS, Damman K. Biomarkers of renal injury and function: diagnostic, prognostic and therapeutic implications in heart failure. *Eur Heart J.* (2016) 37(33):2577–85. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehv588

5. Coll E, Botey A, Alvarez L, Poch E, Quintó L, Saurina A, et al. Serum cystatin C as a new marker for noninvasive estimation of glomerular filtration rate and as a marker for early renal impairment. *Am J Kidney Dis.* (2000) 36(1):29–34. doi: 10.1053/ajkd. 2000.8237

6. Shenkman HJ, Zareba W, Bisognano JD. Comparison of prognostic significance of amino-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide versus blood urea nitrogen for predicting events in patients hospitalized for heart failure. *Am J Cardiol.* (2007) 99 (8):1143–5. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2006.11.050

7. Kazory A. Emergence of blood urea nitrogen as a biomarker of neurohormonal activation in heart failure. *Am J Cardiol.* (2010) 106(5):694–700. doi: 10.1016/j. amjcard.2010.04.024

8. Cleland JG, Chiswell K, Teerlink JR, Stevens S, Fiuzat M, Givertz MM, et al. Predictors of postdischarge outcomes from information acquired shortly after admission for acute heart failure: a report from the placebo-controlled randomized study of the selective A1 adenosine receptor antagonist rolofylline for patients hospitalized with acute decompensated heart failure and volume overload to assess treatment effect on congestion and renal function (protect) study. *Circ Heart Fail.* (2014) 7(1):76–87. doi: 10.1161/circheartfailure.113.000284

9. Klein L, Massie BM, Leimberger JD, O'Connor CM, Piña IL, Adams KF Jr, et al. Admission or changes in renal function during hospitalization for worsening heart failure predict postdischarge survival: results from the outcomes of a prospective trial of intravenous milrinone for exacerbations of chronic heart failure (optime-chf). *Circ Heart Fail.* (2008) 1(1):25–33. doi: 10.1161/circheartfailure.107.746933

10. Sachdeva A, Horwich TB, Fonarow GC. Comparison of usefulness of each of five predictors of mortality and urgent transplantation in patients with advanced heart failure. *Am J Cardiol.* (2010) 106(6):830–5. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2010.04.045

11. Takaya Y, Yoshihara F, Yokoyama H, Kanzaki H, Kitakaze M, Goto Y, et al. Risk stratification of acute kidney injury using the blood urea nitrogen/creatinine ratio in patients with acute decompensated heart failure. *Circ J.* (2015) 79(7):1520–5. doi: 10.1253/circj.CJ-14-1360

12. Ren X, Qu W, Zhang L, Liu M, Gao X, Gao Y, et al. Role of blood urea nitrogen in predicting the post-discharge prognosis in elderly patients with acute decompensated heart failure. *Sci Rep.* (2018) 8(1):13507. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-31059-4

13. Ouwerkerk W, Voors AA, Zwinderman AH. Factors influencing the predictive power of models for predicting mortality and/or heart failure hospitalization in patients with heart failure. *JACC Heart Fail.* (2014) 2(5):429–36. doi: 10.1016/j.jchf. 2014.04.006

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

14. Rosen R, Vandenplas Y, Singendonk M. Pediatric gastroesophageal reflux clinical practice guidelines: joint recommendations of the north American society for pediatric gastroenterology, hepatology, and nutrition and the European society for pediatric gastroenterology, hepatology, and nutrition. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. (2018) 66(3):516–54. doi: 10.1097/MPG.000000000001889

15. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Kunz R, Brozek J, Alonso-Coello P, Rind D, et al. Grade guidelines 6. Rating the quality of evidence-imprecision. *J Clin Epidemiol.* (2011) 64 (12):1283–93. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2011.01.012

16. Aronson D, Mittleman MA, Burger AJ. Elevated blood urea nitrogen level as a predictor of mortality in patients admitted for decompensated heart failure. *Am J Med.* (2004) 116(7):466–73. doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed.2003.11.014

17. Cauthen CA, Lipinski MJ, Abbate A, Appleton D, Nusca A, Varma A, et al. Relation of blood urea nitrogen to long-term mortality in patients with heart failure. *Am J Cardiol.* (2008) 101(11):1643-7. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2008.01.047

18. Scrutinio D, Passantino A, Catanzaro R, Guida P. Clinical utility of different estimates of renal function for predicting mortality in chronic heart failure. *Int J Cardiol.* (2012) 157(1):24–30. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2010.10.131

19. Miura M, Sakata Y, Nochioka K, Takahashi J, Takada T, Miyata S, et al. Prognostic impact of blood urea nitrogen changes during hospitalization in patients with acute heart failure syndrome. *Circ J.* (2013) 77(5):1221–8. doi: 10.1253/circj.cj-12-1390

20. Khoury J, Bahouth F, Stabholz Y, Elias A, Mashiach T, Aronson D, et al. Blood urea nitrogen variation upon admission and at discharge in patients with heart failure. *ESC Heart Fail.* (2019) 6(4):809–16. doi: 10.1002/ehf2.12471

21. Khoury J, Bahouth F, Stabholz Y, Elias A, Mashiach T, Aronson D, et al. Blood urea nitrogen variation upon admission and at discharge in patients with heart failure. *ESC Heart Fail*. (2019) 6(4):809–16. doi: 10.1002/ehf2.12471

22. García-Gutiérrez S, Antón-Ladislao A, Quiros R, Lara A, Rilo I, Morillas M, et al. Short-term mortality risk score for de novo acute heart failure (essic-fehf). *Eur J Intern Med.* (2020) 77:52–8. doi: 10.1016/j.ejim.2020.02.012

23. Shiraishi Y, Kohsaka S, Abe T, Nagai T, Goda A, Nishihata Y, et al. Derivation and validation of clinical prediction models for rapid risk stratification for time-sensitive management for acute heart failure. *J Clin Med.* (2020) 9(11):3394. doi: 10. 3390/jcm9113394

24. Wei X, Min Y, Yu J, Wang Q, Wang H, Li S, et al. The value of admission serological indicators for predicting 28-day mortality in intensive care patients with acute heart failure: construction and validation of a nomogram. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2021) 8:741351. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2021.741351

25. Rohde LE, Goldraich L, Polanczyk CA, Borges AP, Biolo A, Rabelo E, et al. A simple clinically based predictive rule for heart failure in-hospital mortality. *J Card Fail.* (2006) 12(8):587–93. doi: 10.1016/j.cardfail.2006.06.475

26. Ather S, Bavishi C, McCauley MD, Dhaliwal A, Deswal A, Johnson S, et al. Worsening renal function is not associated with response to treatment in acute heart failure. *Int J Cardiol.* (2013) 167(5):1912–7. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2012.05.004

27. Demissei BG, Valente MA, Cleland JG, O'Connor CM, Metra M, Ponikowski P, et al. Optimizing clinical use of biomarkers in high-risk acute heart failure patients. *Eur J Heart Fail.* (2016) 18(3):269–80. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.443

28. Nagai T, Yoshikawa T, Saito Y, Takeishi Y, Yamamoto K, Ogawa H, et al. Clinical characteristics, management, and outcomes of Japanese patients hospitalized for heart failure with preserved ejection fraction- a report from the

Japanese heart failure syndrome with preserved ejection fraction (jasper) registry. *Circ J.* (2018) 82(6):1534–45. doi: 10.1253/circj.CJ-18-0073

29. Gioli-Pereira L, Marcondes-Braga FG, Bernardez-Pereira S, Bacal F, Fernandes F, Mansur AJ, et al. Predictors of one-year outcomes in chronic heart failure: the portrait of a middle income country. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord*. (2019) 19(1):251. doi: 10.1186/ s12872-019-1226-9

30. Parrinello G, Torres D, Buscemi S, Di Chiara T, Cuttitta F, Cardillo M, et al. Right ventricular diameter predicts all-cause mortality in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *Intern Emerg Med.* (2019) 14(7):1091–100. doi: 10.1007/s11739-019-02071-x

31. Sujino Y, Nakano S, Tanno J, Shiraishi Y, Goda A, Mizuno A, et al. Clinical implications of the blood urea nitrogen/creatinine ratio in heart failure and their association with haemoconcentration. *ESC Heart Fail.* (2019) 6(6):1274–82. doi: 10. 1002/ehf2.12531

32. Li Y, Sun XL, Qiu H, Qin J, Li CS, Yu XZ, et al. Long-term outcomes and independent predictors of mortality in patients presenting to emergency departments with acute heart failure in Beijing: a multicenter cohort study with a 5-year follow-up. *Chin Med J.* (2021) 134(15):1803–11. doi: 10.1097/cm9.000000000001617

33. Han D, Xu F, Zhang L, Yang R, Zheng S, Huang T, et al. Early prediction of inhospital mortality in patients with congestive heart failure in intensive care unit: a retrospective observational cohort study. BMJ. (2022) 12(7):e059761. doi: 10.1136/ bmjopen-2021-059761

34. Fonarow GC, Adams KF Jr, Abraham WT, Yancy CW, Boscardin WJ. Risk stratification for in-hospital mortality in acutely decompensated heart failure: classification and regression tree analysis. *JAMA*. (2005) 293:572–80. doi: 10.1001/jama.293.5.572

35. Lombardi C, Carubelli V, Rovetta R, Castrini AI, Vizzardi E, Bondei I, et al. Prognostic value of serial measurements of blood urea nitrogen in ambulatory patients with chronic heart failure. *Panminerva Med.* (2016) 58(1):8–15.

36. Castiglione V, Aimo A, Vergaro G, Saccaro L, Passino C, Emdin M. Biomarkers for the diagnosis and management of heart failure. *Heart Fail Rev.* (2022) 27 (2):625–43. doi: 10.1007/s10741-021-10105-w

37. Ruocco G, Pellegrini M, De Gori C, Franci B, Nuti R, Palazzuoli A. The prognostic combined role of B-type natriuretic peptide, blood urea nitrogen and congestion signs persistence in patients with acute heart failure. *J Cardiovasc Med.* (2016) 17(11):818–27. doi: 10.2459/jcm.00000000000350

38. Hillege HL, Girbes AR, de Kam PJ, Boomsma F, de Zeeuw D, Charlesworth A, et al. Renal function, neurohormonal activation, and survival in patients with chronic heart failure. *Circulation*. (2000) 102(2):203–10. doi: 10.1161/01.cir. 102.2.203