Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Guido Iaccarino, University of Naples Federico II, Italy

REVIEWED BY Maria Amicone, Federico II University Hospital, Italy Pasquale Mone, Local Health Authority Avellino, Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Kai-Hang Yiu khkyiu@hku.hk Cong Chen chenc6@hku-szh.org

RECEIVED 26 February 2023 ACCEPTED 09 May 2023 PUBLISHED 12 June 2023

CITATION

Cai S, Huang F, Wang R, Wu M, Liu M, Peng Y, Cao G, Li Y, Liu S, Lu J, Su M, Wei Y, Yiu K-H and Chen C (2023) Habitual physical activity improves outcomes among patients with myocardial infarction. Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 10:1174466. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2023.1174466

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Cai, Huang, Wang, Wu, Liu, Peng, Cao, Li, Liu, Lu, Su, Wei, Yiu and Chen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Habitual physical activity improves outcomes among patients with myocardial infarction

Sidong Cai¹, Fangmei Huang¹, Run Wang¹, Min Wu¹, Mingya Liu¹, Yufen Peng¹, Gaozhen Cao¹, Yapin Li¹, Shuhong Liu¹, Jiena Lu¹, Mengqi Su¹, Yinxia Wei¹, Kai-Hang Yiu^{1,2*} and Cong Chen^{1*}

¹Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong-Shenzhen Hospital, Shenzhen, China, ²Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Queen Mary Hospital, Hong Kong, China

Purpose: This study evaluates the association between habitual physical activity (HPA) and the outcomes of patients with myocardial infarction (MI).

Methods: Patients newly diagnosed with MI were divided into two groups based on whether they engaged in HPA, defined as an aerobic activity with a duration of no less than 150 min/week, before the index admission. The primary outcomes included major adverse cardiovascular events (MACEs), cardiovascular (CV) mortality, and cardiac readmission rate 1 year following the index date of admission. A binary logistic regression model was applied to analyze whether HPA was independently associated with 1-year MACEs, 1-year CV mortality, and 1-year cardiac readmission rate.

Results: Among the 1,266 patients (mean age 63.4 years, 72% male), 571 (45%) engaged in HPA, and 695 (55%) did not engage in HPA before MI. Patients who participated in HPA were independently associated with a lower Killip class upon admission (OR = 0.48: 95% CI, 0.32–0.71, p < 0.001) and a lower prevalence of 1-year MACEs (OR = 0.74: 95% CI, 0.56–0.98, p = 0.038) and 1-year CV mortality (OR = 0.50: 95% CI, 0.28–0.88, p = 0.017) than those who did not participate in HPA. HPA was not associated with cardiac-related readmission (OR = 0.87: 95% CI, 0.64–1.17, p = 0.35).

Conclusions: HPA before MI was independently associated with a lower Killip class upon admission, 1-year MACEs, and 1-year CV mortality rate.

KEYWORDS

habitual physical activity, myocardial infarction, major adverse cardiovascular events (MACEs), cardiovascular mortality, cardiac readmission

Introduction

Despite the advancement and innovation of pharmacological and interventional therapies, the socioeconomic burden (morbidity, mortality, financial cost) of myocardial infarction (MI) remains high worldwide (1, 2). The situation is of no exception in China, where the number of ischemic heart disease events substantially increased from 0.75 million in 1990 to 1.4 million in 2013 (3). In 2010, approximately one million deaths were caused by MI (4). In addition, the annual growth rate of the total hospitalization cost of MI has been 26% since 2004 and reached 177 billion CNY alone for the entire hospitalization cost of cardiovascular (CV) diseases (5, 6). A method that is preferably inexpensive, easily accessible, and does not require medical supervision may reduce the severity and improve the survival of MI patients and is thus clinically relevant.

The World Health Organization published an updated guideline on habitual physical activity (HPA), which recommends that all adults perform at least 75–150 min of vigorous-intensity aerobic physical activity per week (7). Evidence has shown that HPA can improve the prognosis of end-stage renal disease, asthma, and heart valve disease (8–10) as well as reduce dementia (11). Despite some studies that highlighted the benefits of HPA after MI (7), the effect of HPA before the onset of MI is unclear.

The purpose of our study was first to evaluate whether HPA was associated with the severity of MI on admission and further sought to determine whether HPA could reduce major adverse cardiovascular events (MACEs), CV mortality, and cardiac-related readmission following MI.

Methods

Enrolment and allocation of patients

This retrospective study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. The study was approved by the ethics committee of the hospital of which all patients provided written informed consent [code number: Ethic(2022) 246]. The inclusion criteria were patients aged > 18 years admitted to the department of cardiology at the hospital between January 2018 and December 2020 with a diagnosis of ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) or non–ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI) defined according to the latest guidelines (12). The exclusion criteria included those with any comorbid condition that limited HPA (e.g., leg amputation, advanced cancer, disabling stroke, Parkinson's disease) or severe mental illness that would interfere with the ability to engage in HPA.

Patients were allocated to the HPA or non-HPA group based on whether they engaged in HPA before the index admission. HPA is defined as moderate to vigorous activity, including walking, swimming, using a treadmill, cycling, and using an ergometer, tennis, and other kinds of physical activity, with a duration of no less than 150 min/weak (13).

Data collection, follow-up assessments, and outcomes

Participants were asked to complete a questionnaire at baseline during their index admission. Clinical characteristics were extracted from medical charts, including demographic characteristics [age, sex, body mass index (BMI)], cardiovascular risk factors (smoking, alcohol consumption, hypertension, diabetes, family history of MI and interventional therapy), and blood biochemical index [cardiac troponin T (cTnT), low-density lipoprotein (LDL) and high-density lipoprotein (HDL)]. The Killip class during the index admission was ascertained by the cardiologist in charge according to the guideline as follows (14):

- Class I: No signs of heart failure, but PCWP (pulmonary capillary wedge pressure) may be elevated, with a fatality rate of 0%-5%
- Class II: mild to moderate heart failure, lung rates are less than 50% of the two lung fields, third heart sound, persistent sinus tachycardia or other arrhythmias, elevated venous pressure, x-ray manifestations of pulmonary congestion, with a mortality rate of 10%–20%
- Class III: severe heart failure with acute pulmonary edema, rates in more than 50% of both lungs, and a fatality rate of 35%-40%
- Class IV: cardiogenic shock, systolic blood pressure less than 90 mmHg, urine output less than 20 ml/h, cold and clammy skin, cyanosis, accelerated breathing, pulse rate more than 100 beats/min, and a case fatality rate of 85%–95%

All patients were followed up by phone and/or clinical visits to collect clinical outcome information, including 1-year MACEs (defined as death from any CV diseases, cardiac readmission for nonfatal MI, or nonfatal heart failure or arrhythmia within 1 year following the index date of admission), 1-year CV mortality (defined as death from any CV diseases within 1 year following the index date of admission), and 1-year cardiac-related readmission rate (defined as hospital admissions for nonfatal cardiac causes within 1 year following the index date of admission, heart failure, and arrhythmia).

Statistical analysis

All analytical tasks were completed by using SPSS v24.0 (IBM Corp.). For follow-up assessments, the baseline was defined as the date the patient was first admitted to the hospital. To compare the differences in baseline demographics between those with and without HPA, continuous variables and categorical variables of the two groups were analyzed by Student's *t*-test and the chi-square (χ^2) test, respectively. The age, BMI, LDL, and HDL results are described as the means and standard deviation (means ± SD), and the cTnT results are presented as the medians and interquartile ranges [medians (IQRs)], whereas the cardiovascular risk factors like smoking, alcohol consumption, hypertension, diabetes, family history of MI, and interventional therapy are presented as number and percentage. $p \le 0.05$ denoted significant differences between the two groups.

For analysis of the influence of HPA on the Killip class, we performed univariate and multivariate binary logistic regression analyses. The quantitative variables (age, BMI, and cTnT) and dichotomous variables (HPA, sex, smoking, alcohol consumption, hypertension, diabetes, family history of MI, and interventional therapy) identified in the univariate analysis as being significantly associated with a lower Killip class ($p \le 0.05$) were further analyzed with a multivariate binary logistic regression model. For analysis of the influence of HPA on 1-year MACEs, 1-year CV mortality, and 1-year cardiac readmission rate, we performed univariate and multivariate binary logistic regression analyses. The quantitative variables (age and BMI) and dichotomous

variables (HPA, sex, smoking, alcohol consumption, hypertension, diabetes, family history of MI, interventional therapy, and higher Killip class) identified in the univariate analysis as being significantly associated with 1-year MACEs, 1-year CV mortality, and 1-year cardiac readmission rate ($p \le 0.05$) were further analyzed with a multivariate binary logistic regression model. Effect sizes are given as odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (95% CI). Interaction analysis was used to investigate the comprehensive effects of HPA and subgroup factors on the probability of adverse outcomes. Effect sizes are demonstrated as OR and 95% CI. Student's *t*-test was used for the comparison between continuous variables, and the χ^2 test was used for the comparison between categorical variables. $p \le 0.05$ denoted significant differences between the two groups.

Results

Participants

The study flow diagram is shown in **Figure 1**. Between January 2018 and December 2020, 1,575 patients were admitted for MI, and all gave written consent. Based on the information in the questionnaire and the definition of HPA mentioned above, 723 participants were considered to engage in HPA, while the rest of the 852 participants were allocated to the non-HPA group. Information about key outcomes, including 1-year MACEs, 1-year CV mortality, and 1-year cardiac-related readmission rate, was collected for all except 309 patients (152 patients with HPA and

157 patients without HPA) who were lost to follow-up during the 1-year follow-up period. Finally, 571 patients with HPA and 695 patients without HPA completed this 1-year follow-up study.

Baseline demographic in the HPA and non-HPA groups

Baseline characteristics are shown in **Table 1**. We recruited an MI population of elderly, predominantly male patients (age, 63.4 ± 12.6 years; sex, 72% are male). Among the population, participants who engaged in HPA were younger (61.4 ± 11.5 years vs. 64.9 ± 13.3 years, p = 0.001), more likely to be male (77% vs. 68%, p = 0.001), consume alcohol (30% vs. 20%, p < 0.001), have a family history of MI (43% vs. 32%, p < 0.001), and receive interventional therapy (77% vs. 51%, p < 0.001) than those who did not engage in HPA. For the prevalence of smoking, hypertension, and diabetes, as well as BMI, cTnT, LDL, and HDL values, there were no differences between the HPA and non-HPA groups at baseline.

HPA was associated with a lower Killip class

According to the Killip classification, 732 (58%) patients were in class I, 362 (29%) were in class II, 129 (10%) were in class III, and 43 (3%) were in class IV upon admission. The distribution of participants in different Killip classes among patients who engaged in HPA and those who did not are depicted in Figure 2. Patients who engaged in HPA were less likely to be in



Killip class III (5% vs. 15%) and Killip class IV (2% vs. 5%) upon admission to MI than those who did not engage in HPA.

The relationship between a high Killip class (Killip classes III and IV) and HPA is shown in **Table 2**. Univariate analysis demonstrated that a higher Killip class was negatively associated with HPA (OR = 0.35: 95% CI, 0.24–0.50; p < 0.001), which remained significant following multivariate adjustment for sociodemographic factors (age, sex, smoking, and alcohol consumption) and clinical demographic factors (hypertension, diabetes, family history of MI, interventional therapy, BMI, and cTnT) (adjusted OR = 0.48: 95% CI, 0.32–0.71; p < 0.001).

TABLE 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics at baseline.

^a Characteristics	Overall (n = 1,266)	HPA group (n = 571)	Non-HPA group (<i>n</i> = 695)	<i>p</i> Value						
Demographic characteristics										
Age (year)	63.4 ± 12.6	61.4 ± 11.5	64.9 ± 13.3	0.001						
Male, n (%)	908 (72%)	435 (77%)	473 (68%)	0.001						
BMI (kg/m ²)	24.3 ± 3.6	24.5 ± 3.2	24.1 ± 3.8	0.380						
Cardiovascular risk factors										
Smoking, n (%)	481 (38%)	217 (38%)	264 (38%)	0.995						
Alcohol consumption, n (%)	308 (24%)	171 (30%)	137 (20%)	< 0.001						
Hypertension, n (%)	691 (55%)	309 (54%)	382 (55%)	0.763						
Diabetes, n (%)	388 (31%)	160 (28%)	228 (33%)	0.066						
^b Family history of MI, <i>n</i> (%)	470 (32%)	246 (43%)	224 (32%)	< 0.001						
Interventional therapy, n (%)	890 (70%)	438 (77%)	452 (51%)	< 0.001						
Blood biochemical index										
cTnT (ug/L)	1.9 (0.3, 5.9)	1.8 (0.2, 5.7)	2.1 (0.4, 6.0)	0.355						
LDL (mmol/L)	2.7 ± 1.2	2.7 ± 1.1	2.7 ± 1.2	0.816						
HDL (mmol/L)	1.1 ± 0.3	1.1 ± 0.3	1.1 ± 0.3	0.091						

BMI, body mass index; cTnT, cardiac troponin T; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein.

^aAge, BMI, LDL, and HDL are presented as mean and standard deviation (mean \pm SD); cTnT is presented as median and interquartile range, and the rest of the data are presented as number and percentage (*n*, %).

^bFamily history of MI is defined as hospitalization due to myocardial infarction, angina with coronary revascularization, or cardiovascular death in \geq 1 parent or full sibling, with early onset defined as disease onset before 55 years for men and 65 years for women.

HPA independently associated with 1-year MACEs

Variables related to 1-year MACEs, including HPA, age, sex, smoking, alcohol consumption, hypertension, diabetes, family history of MI, interventional therapy, BMI, and higher Killip class, are described in **Table 3**. Univariate analysis demonstrated that patients who had HPA had significantly fewer 1-year MACEs than those who were inactive (OR = 0.57: 95% CI, 0.44–0.74; p < 0.001), which remained significant following multivariable adjustment (adjusted OR = 0.74: 95% CI, 0.56–0.98; p = 0.038).

To investigate the influence of HPA on 1-year MACEs in different subgroups, patients were stratified according to age (<60 or \geq 60 years), sex (male or female), history of smoking,

TABLE 2 Effect of HPA on higher Killip class.

	Higher Killip class (Killip classes III and IV)							
		Univariat	e		Multivariate ^a			
	OR	95% CI	<i>p</i> Value	OR	95% CI	p Value		
HPA	0.35	0.24-0.50	< 0.001	0.48	0.32-0.71	< 0.001		
Age	1.06	1.05-1.08	< 0.001	1.04	1.02-1.06	< 0.001		
Male	0.52	0.37-0.73	< 0.001	0.91	0.61-1.37	0.642		
Smoking	0.47	0.32-0.67	< 0.001	0.77	0.49-1.21	0.293		
Alcohol consumption	0.32	0.20-0.54	< 0.001	0.63	0.35-1.11	0.101		
Hypertension	1.36	0.98-1.89	0.068	_b	-	-		
Diabetes	2.22	1.60-3.08	< 0.001	2.16	1.52-3.07	< 0.001		
Family history of MI	0.99	0.77-1.28	0.947	-	-	-		
Interventional therapy	0.45	0.32-0.61	< 0.001	0.59	0.41-0.84	0.003		
BMI	0.88	0.84-0.93	< 0.001	0.93	0.88-0.98	0.005		
cTnT	1.09 0.77–1.55 0.616 – –							

OR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; HPA, habitual physical activity; MI, myocardial infarction; BMI, body mass index; cTnT, cardiac troponin T. ^aAdjusted for the factors whose p value is less than 0.05 in univariate regression

analysis. $^{\rm b}{\rm The}$ dash "-" indicates the factors did not involve in the multivariate regression



analysis

FIGURE 2

The pie chart demonstrates the distribution of patients with different Killip classes in the two groups on admission. (A) The number and the ratio of patients with different Killip classes in the HPA group (n = 571). (B) The number and the ratio of patients with different Killip classes in the non-HPA group (n = 695).

alcohol consumption, hypertension and diabetes (presence or absence), family history of MI (presence or absence), interventional therapy (presence or absence), obesity (BMI < 28 or \geq 28 kg/m²), and Killip class (higher or lower) (Figure 3). The association of HPA and a lower risk of 1-year MACEs following MI was consistent for both sexes, irrespective

TABLE 3 Effect of HPA on 1-year MACEs.

	1-year MACEs							
	Univariate				Multivariate ^a			
	OR	95% CI	p Value	OR	95% CI	p Value		
HPA	0.57	0.44-0.74	< 0.001	0.74	0.56-0.98	0.038		
Age	1.06	1.05-1.07	< 0.001	1.04	1.03-1.05	< 0.001		
Male	0.51	0.39-0.67	< 0.001	0.98	0.71-1.36	0.910		
Smoking	0.47	0.36-0.63	< 0.001	0.70	0.50-0.99	0.041		
Alcohol consumption	0.50	0.36-0.69	< 0.001	0.95	0.65-1.40	0.800		
Hypertension	1.12	0.87-1.44	0.376	_ ^b	-	-		
Diabetes	1.40	1.07-1.82	0.013	1.28	0.96-1.71	0.087		
Family history of MI	1.04	0.85-1.27	0.717	-	-	-		
Interventional therapy	0.44	0.34-0.57	< 0.001	0.56	0.42-0.74	< 0.001		
BMI	0.90	0.87-0.94	< 0.001	0.95	0.91-0.99	0.010		
^c Higher Killip class	5.07	3.62-7.09	< 0.001	3.34	2.33-4.80	< 0.001		

OR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; HPA, habitual physical activity; MI, myocardial infarction; BMI, body mass index.

^aAdjusted for the factors whose p value is less than 0.05 in univariate regression analysis.

^bThe dash "--" indicates that the factors did not involve in the multivariate regression analysis.

^cHigher Killip class indicates the participants who are in Killip class III or IV.

of history of hypertension, diabetes, or interventional therapy (p for interaction >0.05). The effect of HPA was more pronounced among those who did not smoke or drink, were not obese, and had a higher Killip class (p for interaction <0.05).

HPA independently associated with 1-year CV mortality

We further investigated the effect of HPA on 1-year CV mortality and 1-year cardiac readmission rate. As shown in **Table 4**, participants with HPA had a lower risk of 1-year CV mortality after multivariate adjustment (OR = 0.50: 95% CI, 0.28–0.88; p = 0.017). Nonetheless, there was no significant association between HPA and the 1-year cardiac readmission rate (OR = 0.87: 95% CI, 0.64–1.17; p = 0.346) (Supplementary Table S1).

To investigate the influence of HPA on 1-year CV mortality among patients in different subgroups, participants were stratified according to age (<60 or \geq 60 years), sex (male or female), history of smoking, alcohol consumption, hypertension and diabetes (presence or absence), family history of MI (presence or absence), interventional therapy (presence or absence), obesity (BMI < 28 or \geq 28 kg/m²), and Killip class (higher or lower) (**Figure 4**). Patients who engaged in HPA had a lower rate of 1-year CV mortality irrespective of age, sex, history of smoking, hypertension and diabetes, family history of MI, interventional therapy, and Killip class (*p* for interaction >0.05). The effect of HPA on lower 1-year CV mortality was more apparent among

	HPA group (1-vear MACEs/All)	non-HPA group (1-vear MACEs/A)	0)	OR (95%CI) [*]	P value ^{**}	P for interaction***
Age <60 ≥60	86/341 30/230	173/470 41/225		0.579 [0.426, 0.788] 0.673 [0.404, 1.123]	0.001 0.130	0.621
Sex Male Female	77/435 39/136	125/473 89/222		0.599 [0.435, 0.825] 0.601 [0.380, 0.950]	0.002 0.029	0.990
Smoking Yes No	36/217 80/354	49/264 165/431		0.873 [0.544, 1.401] 0.471 [0.343, 0.646]	0.573 <0.001	0.034
Alcoholic Yes No	30/171 86/400	22/137 192/558		1.112 [0.609, 2.032] 0.522 [0.388, 0.702]	0.730 <0.001	0.027
Hypertension Yes No	65/309 51/262	122/382 92/313		0.568 [0.401, 0.804] 0.581 [0.393, 0.858]	0.001 0.006	0.933
Diabetes Yes	34/160	85/228		0.454 [0.285, 0.722] 0.651 [0.475, 0.893]	0.001	0.204
Family history of MI Yes No	49/246 67/225	57/224		0.729 [0.472, 1.125] 1 053 [0 445 2 490]	0.153	0.223
Interventional therapy Yes	80/438 20(422	108/452		0.712 [0.514, 0.985] 0.480 [0.303, 0.759]	0.040	0.169
Obesity Yes No	15/67	11/97		2.255 [0.963, 5.281] 0.491 [0.373, 0.648]	0.061 <0.001	0.001
Killip class	101/529 15/42	130/565 84/130		0.790 [0.590, 1.058] 0.304 [0.147, 0.629]	0.113	0.017
111 & IV		<i></i> /100	v v v v v v v v v v v v v v		0.001	

FIGURE 3

Forest plot demonstrates the effect of HPA on 1-year MACEs in different subgroups. *Data are presented as OR (95% CI) to interpret the effect sizes of HPA on 1-year MACEs in subgroups. ***P* values are reported for the difference of effect sizes on 1-year MACEs between HPA and non-HPA group. ****P* for interaction are reported for interaction effect of HPA and subgroup factors.

TABLE 4 Effect of HPA on 1-year CV mortality.

	1-year CV mortality							
	Univariate				Multivariate ^a			
	OR 95% CI p Value				95% CI	p Value		
HPA	0.23	0.14-0.37	< 0.001	0.50	0.28-0.88	0.017		
Age	1.12	1.10-1.15	< 0.001	1.08	1.05-1.11	<.001		
Male	0.58	0.39-0.86	0.007	0.54	0.31-0.95	0.033		
Smoking	0.33	0.20-0.52	< 0.001	0.57	0.29-1.10	0.091		
Alcohol consumption	0.14	0.06-0.33	< 0.001	0.35	0.13-0.93	0.036		
Hypertension	1.07	0.73-1.07	0.739	_ ^b	-	-		
Diabetes	1.26	0.84-1.88	0.272	-	-	-		
Family history of MI	1.25	0.91-1.72	0.167	-	-	-		
Interventional therapy	0.14	0.09-0.21	< 0.001	0.22	0.13-0.37	< 0.001		
BMI	0.82	0.77-0.87	< 0.001	0.93	0.87-1.00	0.049		
Higher Killip class	13.76 8.93-21.20 <0.001 8.48 5.03-14.30							

OR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; HPA, habitual physical activity; MI, myocardial infarction; BMI, body mass index.

^aAdjusted for the factors whose p value is less than 0.05 in univariate regression analysis.

^bThe dash "--" indicates that the factors did not involve in the multivariate regression analysis.

^cHigher Killip class indicates the participants who are in Killip class III or IV.

non-obese patients than among those who were obese (p for interaction <0.01).

Discussion

The present study demonstrated that patients with MI who engaged in HPA were more likely to have a lower Killip class upon admission, 1-year MACEs, and 1-year CV mortality than those who were physically inactive. The benefit of HPA for 1-year MACEs and 1-year CV mortality following MI was seen across various subgroups.

According to the 2008 Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee (15) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention guideline (16), 150 min/week of moderate-intensity physical activity should be recommended to all because such physical activity provides substantial health improvements, including lower mortality and morbidity (17). In a systematic review and meta-analysis of 33 cohort studies that included 883 372 participants, HPA was associated with a 35% risk reduction for CV mortality and a 33% risk reduction for all-cause mortality (18). While studies have shown that exercise may reduce the incidence and mortality of CV diseases, no study has addressed the benefit of HPA (including CV disease severity upon subsequent cardiac-related readmission, admission. and mortality) for individuals who have MI. In this study, we reported that HPA evidently reduced the Killip class upon admission and the incidence of 1-year MACEs following MI. We further extended the benefit of HPA following MI by showing a reduction in 1-year CV mortality after multivariable adjustment.

Due to complex mechanisms and individual demographic differences, the clinical management of MI patients is clinically challenging. Of note, patient risk stratification is applied to establish therapeutic priorities for MI patients (19). Among the risk stratification models, the Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) risk model and the Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events (GRACE) risk score are the most commonly

	HPA group (1-year CV mortality/All)	non-HPA ((1-year CV mo	group rtality/All)			OR (95%CI) [*]	P value**	P for interaction***
Age <60 ≥60	21/341 0/230	88/470 10/225	• <u> </u>			0.081 [0.010, 0.632] 0.278 [0.166, 0.466]	0.017 <0.001	0.995
Sex								0.895
Male Female	15/435 6/136	61/473 37/222				0.239 [0.133, 0.429] 0.222 [0.090, 0.548]	<0.001 0.001	
Smoking								0.369
Yes No	5/217 16/354	18/264 80/431				0.330 [0.120, 0.906] 0.194 [0.111, 0.341]	0.031 <0.001	
Alcoholic								0.548
Yes No	2/1/1 19/400	4/137 94/558	H			0.408 [0.073, 2.266] 0.236 [0.141, 0.395]	0.305 <0.001	
Hypertension	0/200	50/000				0 4 47 50 000 0 04 41	10.001	0.353
No	13/262	58/382 40/313				0.340 [0.177, 0.654]	0.001	
Diabetes								0.874
Yes	7/160	33/228	H=			0.241 [0.103, 0.563]	0.001	
No	14/411	65/467				0.221 [0.122, 0.402]	<0.001	
Family history of Mi	5/246	28/224				0 151 [0 057 0 401]	<0.001	0.290
No	16/325	70/471	· • • • •			0.278 [0.158, 0.491]	<0.001	
Interventional therapy								0.506
Yes	9/438	26/452				0.333 [0.154, 0.720]	0.005	
No	12/133	72/243				0.235 [0.121, 0.457]	<0.001	
Obesity	3/67	2/97				2 481 [0 401 15 342]	0 328	0.007
No	18/502	95/596	H			0.186 [0.110, 0.314]	<0.001	
Killip class								0.185
1&11	15/529	40/565	H=			0.381 [0.207, 0.700]	0.002	
III & IV	6/42	58/130	H			0.176 [0.067, 0.463]	<0.001	
			0 1	2	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$			
			A montality	promote CV mortality				
		۲ ۲		p				

FIGURE 4

Forest plot demonstrates the effect of HPA on 1-year CV mortality in different subgroups. *Data are presented as OR (95% CI) to interpret the effect sizes of HPA on 1-year CV mortality in subgroups. **P values are reported for the difference of effect sizes on 1-year CV mortality between HPA and non-HPA group. ***P for interaction are reported for interaction effect of HPA and subgroup factors.

used models in clinical risk stratification and have excellent performance in diagnosis (20–22). However, current risk scores have not considered HPA as a potential risk factor (23, 24), despite it being a key prognosticator among MI patients. Our study not only verified that HPA is an independent factor associated with a less critical presentation (a lower Killip class) but also provided evidence that HPA predicts adverse clinical outcomes following MI. Whether the incorporation of HPA can improve risk stratification among patients with MI merits further evaluation.

There are multiple mechanisms by which HPA can improve outcomes in patients with MI. According to preliminary studies, MI patients benefited from HPA mainly by improving risk profiles, such as lowering triglyceride and increasing high-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels (25), lowering blood pressure (26), improving glucose metabolism and insulin sensitivity (27), and reducing BMI and inflammatory cytokines (28). The mechanisms mentioned above account for 59% of the reduction in the development of CV events (29). Patients can benefit further from HPA by other mechanisms, such as improved endothelial function (30), lowering sympathetic activity, and increased nitric oxide bioavailability (31), which contribute to the remaining 41% of the reduction in the development of CV events. Ischemic preconditioning via exercise may provide antioxidant fortification, prevent calcium dysregulation and improve bioenergetic reserve (32, 33). This evidence collectively supports that HPA provides CV protection in MI via multiple potential mechanisms.

In the current guidelines, HPA is recommended as the primary prevention measure against CV diseases (34, 35). In addition, HPA not only reduces overall mortality but also improves outcomes once MI/adverse events occur. It has been reported that long-term HPA can stop the progression and promote the regression of coronary atherosclerotic lesions (36). HPA may reduce the length of hospital stay for patients with ischemic heart diseases (37) and may lower the risk of vigorous activity-induced MI (38). Despite these advantages, only 50% of Americans follow these guidelines (13), and the average total HPA of Chinese adults showed a downward trend from 1991 to 2009. The average static behavior time of Chinese adults increased from 15.1 h/week in 1991 to 20.0 h/week in 2009 (39). Appropriate education for the general public heightens awareness of the potential benefit of HPA and encourages, in particular, those who are at risk of or with established ischemic heart diseases to implement habitual exercise, which is crucial to reduce the substantial health care burden due to MI

The observational study was not possible to ascertain or adjust for all factors that may influence the effect of HPA on the outcomes of MI patients. We nonetheless accounted for a broad range of baseline comorbidities and clinical correlates with potential prognostic implications on MI in our analysis, which minimized the risk of residual confounding. To examine the benefit of HPA for MI patients, participants were asked to provide information about whether they engaged in HPA before they participated in this study. Due to substantial physical limitations following MI, we were not able to systematically collect information about HPA during follow-up for all patients.

Conclusions

We demonstrated that patients with MI who engaged in HPA were associated with a lower Killip class upon admission, fewer 1-year MACEs, and a lower 1-year CV mortality rate than those who were physically inactive. Our findings emphasized that HPA may reduce adverse outcomes among patients who develop MI.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

This study involving human participants was reviewed and approved by the ethics committee of the University of Hong Kong-Shenzhen Hospital. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

All authors critically reviewed and contributed to the intellectual content of the manuscript. K-HY, CC, and SC were involved in the conception of the study. Initial data preparation was done by FH, YP, YL, SL, and JL. Statistical analyses were undertaken by SC, supported by K-HY and CC, with inputs from MS and YW. SC drafted several versions of the manuscript. RW, MW, ML, GC, K-HY, and CC provided clinical expertise. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This study was supported by the Sanming Project of Medicine in Shenzhen, China (No. SZSM201911020), and supported by HKU-SZH Fund for Shenzhen Key Medical Discipline (No. SZXK2020081) Science, Technology and Innovation Commission of Shenzhen Municipality (No. JCYJ20210324114808024). GuangDong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (No.2022A1515111093).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their

affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

 Jurgens CY, Lee CS, Aycock DM, Masterson Creber R, Denfeld QE, DeVon HA, et al. State of the science: the relevance of symptoms in cardiovascular disease and research: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. (2022) 146(12):e173–84. doi: 10.1161/CIR.000000000001089

2. Henry TD, Tomey MI, Tamis-Holland JE, Thiele H, Rao SV, Menon V, et al. Invasive management of acute myocardial infarction complicated by cardiogenic shock: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. (2021) 143(15):e815–29. doi: 10.1161/CIR.000000000000959

3. Zhou M, Wang H, Zhu J, Chen W, Wang L, Liu S, et al. Cause-specific mortality for 240 causes in China during 1990–2013: a systematic subnational analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *Lancet.* (2016) 387(10015):251–72. doi: 10. 1016/S0140-6736(15)00551-6

4. Yang G, Wang Y, Zeng Y, Gao GF, Liang X, Zhou M, et al. Rapid health transition in China, 1990–2010: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet*. (2013) 381(9882):1987–2015. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(13)61097-1

5. Li J, Dharmarajan K, Bai X, Masoudi FA, Spertus JA, Li X, et al. Thirty-day hospital readmission after acute myocardial infarction in China. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes.* (2019) 12(5):e005628. doi: 10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.119.005628

6. Chen P, Zhang M, Zhang Y, Su X, Chen J, Xu B, et al. Economic burden of myocardial infarction combined with dyslipidemia. *Front Public Health.* (2021) 9:648172. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2021.648172

7. Bull FC, Al-Ansari SS, Biddle S, Borodulin K, Buman MP, Cardon G, et al. World Health Organization 2020 guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour. *Br J Sports Med.* (2020) 54(24):1451–62. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2020-102955

8. Tsai MK, Gao W, Chien KL, Hsu CC, Wen CP. Role of physical activity in lowering risk of end-stage renal disease. *Mayo Clin Proc.* (2022) 97(5):881–93. doi: 10.1016/j.mayocp.2021.10.027

9. Kahwash BM, Gregory KL, Sharp LK, Nyenhuis SM. Results from a national survey of asthma provider beliefs and practices regarding exercise and asthma: a work group report of the AAAAI Committee on Sports, Exercise, and Fitness. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. (2022) 10(7):1778–83. doi: 10.1016/j.jaip.2022.04.028

10. Chatrath N, Papadakis M. Physical activity and exercise recommendations for patients with valvular heart disease. *Heart.* (2022) 108(24):1938-44. doi: 10.1136/heartjnl-2021-319824

11. Floud S, Simpson RF, Balkwill A, Brown A, Goodill A, Gallacher J, et al. Body mass index, diet, physical inactivity, and the incidence of dementia in 1 million UK women. *Neurology*. (2020) 94(2):e123–32. doi: 10.1212/WNL.000000000008779

12. Corbett SJ, Ftouh S, Lewis S, Lovibond K, Guideline Committee. Acute coronary syndromes: summary of updated NICE guidance. *Br Med J*. (2021) 372:m4760. doi: 10. 1136/bmj.m4760

13. Eijsvogels TM, Molossi S, Lee DC, Emery MS, Thompson PD. Exercise at the extremes: the amount of exercise to reduce cardiovascular events. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2016) 67(3):316–29. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2015.11.034

14. Lee KL, Woodlief LH, Topol EJ, Weaver WD, Betriu A, Col J, et al. Predictors of 30-day mortality in the era of reperfusion for acute myocardial infarction. Results from an international trial of 41,021 patients. GUSTO-I investigators. *Circulation*. (1995) 91(6):1659–68. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.91.6.1659

15. Piercy KL, Troiano RP, Ballard RM, Carlson SA, Fulton JE, Galuska DA, et al. The physical activity guidelines for Americans. *JAMA*. (2018) 320(19):2020–8. doi: 10. 1001/jama.2018.14854

16. Hsu S, Ton VK, Dominique Ashen M, Martin SS, Gluckman TJ, Kohli P, et al. A clinician's guide to the ABCs of cardiovascular disease prevention: the Johns Hopkins Ciccarone Center for the prevention of heart disease and American College of Cardiology cardiosource approach to the million hearts initiative. *Clin Cardiol.* (2013) 36(7):383–93. doi: 10.1002/clc.22137

17. Samitz G, Egger M, Zwahlen M. Domains of physical activity and all-cause mortality: systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2011) 40(5):1382–400. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyr112

18. Nocon M, Hiemann T, Müller-Riemenschneider F, Thalau F, Roll S, Willich SN. Association of physical activity with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil.* (2008) 15 (3):239–46. doi: 10.1097/HJR.0b013e3282f55e09

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcvm.2023. 1174466/full#supplementary-material.

19. Colivicchi F, Di Fusco SA, Gulizia MM, De Luca L, Geraci G, Nardi F, et al. Risk stratification and secondary prevention post-myocardial infarction: insights from the EYESHOT post-MI study. *J Cardiovasc Med (Hagerstown)*. (2021) 22(6):478–85. doi: 10.2459/JCM.00000000001132

20. Eagle KA, Lim MJ, Dabbous OH, Pieper KS, Goldberg RJ, Van de Werf F, et al. A validated prediction model for all forms of acute coronary syndrome: estimating the risk of 6-month postdischarge death in an international registry. *JAMA*. (2004) 291 (22):2727–33. doi: 10.1001/jama.291.22.2727

21. Morrow DA, Antman EM, Snapinn SM, McCabe CH, Theroux P, Braunwald E. An integrated clinical approach to predicting the benefit of tirofiban in non-ST elevation acute coronary syndromes. Application of the TIMI risk score for UA/ NSTEMI in PRISM-PLUS. *Eur Heart J.* (2002) 23(3):223–9. doi: 10.1053/euhj.2001. 2738

22. Soiza RL, Leslie SJ, Williamson P, Wai S, Harrild K, Peden NR, et al. Risk stratification in acute coronary syndromes – does the TIMI risk score work in unselected cases? *QJM*. (2006) 99(2):81–7. doi: 10.1093/qjmed/hcl001

23. Morrow DA, Antman EM, Parsons L, de Lemos JA, Cannon CP, Giugliano RP, et al. Application of the TIMI risk score for ST-elevation MI in the national registry of myocardial infarction 3. *JAMA*. (2001) 286(11):1356–9. doi: 10.1001/jama.286.11.1356

24. Granger CB, Goldberg RJ, Dabbous O, Pieper KS, Eagle KA, Cannon CP, et al. Predictors of hospital mortality in the global registry of acute coronary events. *Arch Intern Med.* (2003) 163(19):2345–53. doi: 10.1001/archinte.163.19.2345

25. Mann S, Beedie C, Jimenez A. Differential effects of aerobic exercise, resistance training and combined exercise modalities on cholesterol and the lipid profile: review, synthesis and recommendations. *Sports Med.* (2014) 44(2):211–21. doi: 10.1007/ s40279-013-0110-5

26. Whelton SP, Chin A, Xin X, He J. Effect of aerobic exercise on blood pressure: a meta-analysis of randomized, controlled trials. *Ann Intern Med.* (2002) 136 (7):493–503. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-136-7-200204020-00006

27. Myers J, Kokkinos P, Nyelin E. Physical activity, cardiorespiratory fitness, and the metabolic syndrome. *Nutrients.* (2019) 11(7):1652. doi: 10.3390/nu11071652

28. Szostak J, Laurant P. The forgotten face of regular physical exercise: a "natural" anti-atherogenic activity. *Clin Sci (Lond)*. (2011) 121(3):91–106. doi: 10.1042/CS20100520

29. Tian D, Meng J. Exercise for prevention and relief of cardiovascular disease: prognoses, mechanisms, and approaches. *Oxid Med Cell Longev.* (2019) 2019:3756750. doi: 10.1155/2019/3756750

30. Joyner MJ, Green DJ. Exercise protects the cardiovascular system: effects beyond traditional risk factors. *J Physiol*. (2009) 587(Pt 23):5551–8. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2009. 179432

31. Franklin BA, Thompson PD, Al-Zaiti SS, Albert CM, Hivert MF, Levine BD, et al. Exercise-related acute cardiovascular events and potential deleterious adaptations following long-term exercise training: placing the risks into perspective – an update: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation.* (2020) 141(13):e705–36. doi: 10.1161/CIR.000000000000749

32. Bolli R, Becker L, Gross G, Mentzer R Jr, Balshaw D, Lathrop DA. Myocardial protection at a crossroads: the need for translation into clinical therapy. *Circ Res.* (2004) 95(2):125–34. doi: 10.1161/01.RES.0000137171.97172.d7

33. Powers SK, Quindry JC, Kavazis AN. Exercise-induced cardioprotection against myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury. *Free Radic Biol Med.* (2008) 44(2):193–201. doi: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2007.02.006

34. Perk J, De Backer G, Gohlke H, Graham I, Reiner Z, Verschuren M, et al. European guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice (version 2012). The Fifth Joint Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and Other Societies on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Clinical Practice (constituted by representatives of nine societies and by invited experts). *Eur Heart J*, (2012) 33(13):1635–701. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehs092

35. Redberg RF, Benjamin EJ, Bittner V, Braun LT, Goff DC Jr, Havas S, et al. ACCF/AHA 2009 performance measures for primary prevention of cardiovascular disease in adults: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/ American Heart Association task force on performance measures (writing committee to develop performance measures for primary prevention of cardiovascular disease) developed in collaboration with the American Academy of Family Physicians; American Association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Rehabilitation; and Preventive Cardiovascular Nurses Association: endorsed by the American College of Preventive Medicine, American College of Sports Medicine, and Society for Women's Health Research. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2009) 54 (14):1364–405. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2009.08.005

36. Dibben G, Faulkner J, Oldridge N, Rees K, Thompson DR, Zwisler AD, et al. Exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation for coronary heart disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2021) 11(11):CD001800. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD001800.pub4

37. Waite I, Deshpande R, Baghai M, Massey T, Wendler O, Greenwood S. Home-based preoperative rehabilitation (prehab) to improve physical

function and reduce hospital length of stay for frail patients undergoing coronary artery bypass graft and valve surgery. J Cardiothorac Surg. (2017) 12(1):91. doi: 10.1186/s13019-017-0655-8

38. Mittleman MA, Maclure M, Tofler GH, Sherwood JB, Goldberg RJ, Muller JE. Triggering of acute myocardial infarction by heavy physical exertion. Protection against triggering by regular exertion. Determinants of myocardial infarction onset study investigators. *N Engl J Med.* (1993) 329(23):1677–83. doi: 10.1056/NEJMI99312023292301

39. Ng SW, Popkin BM. Time use and physical activity: a shift away from movement across the globe. *Obes Rev.* (2012) 13(8):659–80. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-789X.2011.00982.x