Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Xiangbin Pan, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, China

#### REVIEWED BY

Peng Hu, First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University, China Kai-Sheng Hsieh, China Medical University, Taiwan Avinash Sharma, Rajendra Prasad Government Medical College, India

\*CORRESPONDENCE Ling-Sai Chang Image: joycejohnsyoko@gmail.com

RECEIVED 13 February 2023 ACCEPTED 25 May 2023 PUBLISHED 12 June 2023

#### CITATION

Chiang W-N, Huang P-Y, Kuo H-C, Huang Y-H and Chang L-S (2023) Evaluation of Formosa score and diagnostic sensitivity and specificity of four Asian risk scores for predicting intravenous immunoglobulin resistance in Kawasaki disease: a bivariate meta-analysis. Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 10:1164530. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2023.1164530

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Chiang, Huang, Kuo, Huang and Chang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. Evaluation of Formosa score and diagnostic sensitivity and specificity of four Asian risk scores for predicting intravenous immunoglobulin resistance in Kawasaki disease: a bivariate meta-analysis

Wan-Ni Chiang<sup>1</sup>, Po-Yu Huang<sup>2</sup>, Ho-Chang Kuo<sup>3,4</sup>, Ying-Hsien Huang<sup>3,4</sup> and Ling-Sai Chang<sup>3,4</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Division of Chinese Internal Medicine, Center for Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Taoyuan, Taiwan, <sup>2</sup>Department of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Kaohsiung Chang Gung Memorial Hospital and Chang Gung University College of Medicine, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, <sup>3</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Kaohsiung Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, <sup>4</sup>College of Medicine, Chang Gung University, Taoyuan, Taiwan

**Background:** In 2016, Lin et al. developed a prediction score of nonresponsiveness to intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) in patients with Kawasaki disease (KD) (Lin et al., 2016). Various studies have attempted to validate the Formosa score, but inconsistent results have given us new opportunities and challenges. The aim of this meta-analysis is to explore the role of the Formosa score as a risk score in detecting IVIG-resistant KD patients and then compare the pooled sensitivity and specificity of four Asian risk scores, Egami, Formosa, Kobayashi, and Sano risk scores.

Methods: A comprehensive search of Cochrane, Embase, and PubMed was conducted through 20 December 2021, using key terms relevant to the research question "What are the sensitivities and specificities of the four Asian predicting scores, Egami, Formosa, Kobayashi, and Sano, in Kawasaki disease patients with IVIG resistance?" The reference lists of the included studies were manually reviewed to identify pertinent references. A random-effects bivariate model was used to estimate the summary of sensitivity and specificity of the tools. Results: We found 41 relevant studies of the four Asian risk scores that were eligible to analyze for pooled accuracy. Eleven studies involving 5,169 KD patients reported the diagnostic performance of the Formosa score for the risk of IVIG resistance. The overall performance of the Formosa score was as follows: pooled sensitivity, 0.60 [95% confidence interval (CI), 0.48-0.70]; pooled specificity, 0.59 (95% CI, 0.50-0.68); and area under the hierarchical summary receiver operating characteristic curve, 0.62. The Formosa score exhibited the highest sensitivity 0.76 (95% CI, 0.70-0.82) for detecting IVIG-resistant KD patients among the 21,389 children included in the 41 studies. In terms of specificity estimates, Formosa had the lowest specificity of 0.46 (95% Cl, 0.41-0.51).

**Conclusion:** Patients at high risk for IVIG resistance may receive adjunctive treatment to reduce coronary lesions and thus also cardiovascular morbidity. Among all of the included studies, we found Formosa score to have the best sensitivity (0.76) but unsatisfactory specificity (0.46) for predicting IVIG resistance in Kawasaki disease. In the future, network meta-analysis should also incorporate the accuracy of the new scores after they have undergone a certain degree of validation around the world.

Systematic Review Registration: https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/, PROSPERO CRD42022341410.

KEYWORDS

diagnosis, Egami score, Formosa score, Kawasaki disease, Kobayashi score, meta-analysis, Sano score

# 1. Introduction

The prevalence of Kawasaki disease (KD) is highest in Asia (1). National surveys in Japan, South Korea, and Taiwan have all confirmed this finding. KD is not just acute vascular inflammation, as long-term follow-up has found that it affects immunity and the development of allergic diseases (2-5). Intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) resistance is a term that was developed after the invention of IVIG treatment for KD (6). Approximately 10%-20% of patients still experience persistent or recurrent fever after completing the initial IVIG administration and are thus classified as unresponsive to IVIG treatment. This group of patients is at an increased risk of developing coronary artery lesions (CAL) (7, 8). Many scoring systems have been used to predict the risk of IVIG resistance (9). In particular, the scoring systems in Asia have been repeatedly verified for a long time in the hopes of providing initial treatment guidelines for high-risk patients in Asia (10, 11).

Many scoring systems have been developed to predict IVIG resistance in KD, such as Egami, Formosa, Kobayashi, and Sano scores (12). However, their efficacy needs to be validated given the regional and racial population (12). Fabi et al. enrolled both Caucasian and Asian children and determined that Formosa score had the highest predictive efficacy for CAL risk (13). The Kobayashi score had a sensitivity of 64.0% and specificity of 62.5% in a total of 257 patients. However, when applied to seven Asian patients, the Kobayashi score had a sensitivity of 100% and a specificity of 75% (13).

IVIG resistance scoring systems can help clinicians identify high-risk KD patients who may benefit from so-called "rescue therapies," such as IVIG plus prednisolone or IVIG plus cyclosporine (10, 11). Adopting additional treatment before the initial use of IVIG could potentially reduce the incidence of CAL in IVIG-resistant KD patients (14).

According to the Kobayashi score, cutoff points and score points for each variable are as follows: sodium  $\leq 133 \text{ mmol/L}$ , 2 points; days of illness at initial treatment  $\leq 4$ , 2 points; aspartate aminotransferase (AST)  $\geq 100 \text{ IU/L}$ , 2 points; % neutrophils  $\geq 80\%$ , 2 points; C-reactive protein (CRP)  $\geq 10 \text{ mg/dL}$ , 1 point; age  $\leq 12 \text{ months}$ , 1 point; and platelet count  $\leq 30.0 \times 10^4 \text{/mm}^3$ , 1 point. Patients with a total of 4 or more points are identified as being at high risk for IVIG resistance. This score has a sensitivity of 86% and specificity of 68% in predicting IVIG resistance (7). According to the Egami score, based on the odds ratios of

significant predictors, 1 point is assigned for infants younger than 6 months, before 4 days of illness, platelet count of  $\leq 30 \times$  $10^{10}$ /L, and CRP of  $\geq 8$  mg/dl, respectively. Two points are assigned for alanine transaminase (ALT) 80 IU/L. Using a cutoff point of 3 or more points with this prediction score, it could identify the IVIG-resistant group with a sensitivity of 78% and specificity of 76% (15). According to the Sano score, the criteria for at least two of the three predictors (CRP  $\geq$ 7 mg/dl, total bilirubin ≥0.9 mg/dl, or AST ≥200 IU/L) are considered to be clinically useful for detecting non-responsiveness to IVIG in patients with acute KD before treatment, with a sensitivity of 77% and specificity of 86% (16). According to the Formosa score, cutoff points and score points for each variable are as follows: albumin  $\langle 3.5 \text{ g/dl}, 1 \text{ point};$  neutrophil percentage  $\geq 60\%$ , 2 points; and positive lymphadenopathy, 1 point. Patients with scores of  $\geq 3$  points are identified as being at high risk for IVIG resistance. Their sensitivity and specificity have been shown to be 90.9% and 81.3%, respectively (17).

In this study, we compared the predictive efficacy of the Egami, Formosa, Kobayashi, and Sano scoring systems using a bivariate meta-analysis.

# 2. Methods

We conducted this study in accordance with the guidelines of the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and metaanalysis of diagnostic test accuracy studies (PRISMA-DTA) (18). We formulated the following patient, index test, comparison, outcome (PICO) question: "What are the sensitivities and specificities of four Asian predicting scores, Egami, Formosa, Kobayashi, and Sano, in Kawasaki disease patients with intravenous immunoglobulin resistance?" The definition of IVIG resistance varied according to different studies (**Table 1**) (19). We registered the study protocol at the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO CRD42022341410).

We first performed a systematic literature search in all fields in international electronic databases, including Cochrane, Embase, and PubMed (20). We applied the combinations of keywords used, respectively, with the "Kobayashi score," "Egami score," "Sano score," "Formosa score," "sensitivity," and "specificity" to identify relevant articles (21). Our search only included papers

	Exclusion	10 IVIG-responsive KD patients did not receive a test for albumin levels	(1) Recurrent cases, (2) receipt of initial treatment before hospitalization, (3) presence of other vascular inflammatory diseases, and (4) incomplete clinical data	No IVIG		Initial IVIG treatment at other medical facilities or did not receive IVIG treatment between 4 and 10 days from fever onset; IVIG treatment had been initiated before blood sampling; incomplete laboratory data or lack of follow-up results	Incomplete data, late treatment, and not treated	A severe lack of laboratory results, and patients were never treated with IVIG during their hospitalization. Disagreement with the AHA guidelines	Missing file data or with a diagnosis other than KD			(1) Incomplete KD and other confounding diseases, such as toddler's idiopathic arthritis, (2) rehospitalized due to recurrence of KD, (3) diagnosed with KD outside the hospital and receiving IVIG treatment, and (4) incomplete clinical data
	ÐINI	2 g/kg for 1 day or 1 g/kg for 2 days	2 g/kg/day	2 g/kg/day	2 g/kg, infusion in 12 h	2 g/kg of IVIG for 24 h	2 g/kg in a single infusion	2 g/kg in 1 day or 1 g/kg separated between 2 days	2 g/kg as a single infusion	2 g/kg for 1 day or 1 g/kg for 2 days		2 g/kg
	Diagnostic criteria of KD	Japanese	Japanese	АНА	АНА	AHA	АНА	АНА	АНА	АНА	АНА	Japanese
osa score.	Criteria of IVIG resistance	Persistent fever beyond 24 h after IVIG or recrudescent fever	<ol> <li>Fever persisting for 48 h after IVIG (temperature &gt;38°C) and (2) recrudescent fever within 7 days of IVIG</li> </ol>	Fever at >36 h after completion of the initial IVIG	Persistent or recrudescent fever for at least 36 h after completion of the first IVIG	Fever over 36 h after the end of the IVIG infusion or recurrent fever	Persistent/recrudescent fever for at least 36 h but for no longer than 7 days after the completion of the first IVIG	Recrudescent or persistent fever for ≧36 h after the end of the IVIG	Recurrent or persistent fever for at least 36 h after the end of IVIG	After completion of the first course of IVIG, patients had persistent fever for >24 h or developed recrudescent fever within 7 days	Persistent or recurring fever for at least 36 h after the end of the IVIG infusion	A persistent or recurrence of fever of ≥38°C at any time from 36 h to 2 weeks after initial IVIG
s with the Form	IVIG- resistant rate (%)	12.2	6	Ŋ	15	13.7	16.7	19.3	12.4	11.0	12.4	11.3
uded studie	KD patients	238	1,163	504	100	393	257	644	259	84	129	1,398
studies: incl	Scores	Formosa	Egami Formosa Kobayashi	Egami Formosa Kobayashi Sano	Egami Formosa Kobayashi	Egami Formosa Kobayashi Sano	Egami Formosa Kobayashi	Egami Formosa Kobayashi	Egami Formosa Kobayashi Sano	Egami Formosa Kobayashi	Egami Formosa Kobayashi Sano	Egami Formosa Kobayashi Sano
e included s	Country	Taiwan	China	China	Turkey	China	Italy	China	Turkey	Taiwan	Turkey	China
of the	Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2019	2019	2020	2020	2021	2021	2021
haracteristics	Study author	Lin	Song	Qian	Arslanoglu	Shao	Fabi	Wang	Oztarhan	Huang	Ummusen	Liu
ABLE 1A Clinical c	Design	l Retrospective	2 Retrospective	3 Prospective	4 Retrospective Prospective	5 Prospective	5 Multicenter retrospective	7 Retrospective	3 Retrospective	) Retrospective	10 Retrospective	11 Retrospective

AHA, American Heart Association; h, hours; CRP, C-reactive protein; IVIG, intravenous immunoglobulin; KD, Kawasaki disease.

published in the English language. The reference lists of the included studies were manually reviewed to identify cited articles of these four Asian scores (7, 15-17). Original articles would be included in this meta-analysis if they met the following criteria: (1) examination of patients with KD; (2) assessment of the sensitivity and specificity of the Egami, Formosa, Kobayashi, or Sano scores; and (3) received treatment with a total IVIG of 2 g/ kg including one single dose or 1 g/kg per day for 2 consecutive days. When the study reported Kobayashi score with a cutoff value of  $\geq 4$  and  $\geq 5$ , we recorded the value with the cutoff of  $\geq 4$ for analysis. We ruled out case reports and studies that predicted IVIG resistance with a predictive score after diagnosing KD and then prescribing different treatments. Studies that did not report sensitivity or specificity values and sample sizes were excluded. Two investigators (Wan-Ni Chiang and Dr. Ling-Sai Chang) independently extracted data from each included study by using a predesigned data extraction form, including the authors, publication year, the country where the study was conducted, study design, age, percentage of male participants, number of participants, and cutoff value for the analysis of sensitivity and specificity. The same two investigators (Wan-Ni Chiang and Dr. Ling-Sai Chang) independently performed a systematic literature search and evaluated all relevant studies for eligibility criteria. Any disagreement was resolved through discussion.

After the full systematic literature search was performed, we used bivariate statistical analysis to obtain the logit-transformed sensitivity and specificity of the Formosa score. To estimate the summary of sensitivity and specificity, we adopted a random-effects bivariate model. All analyses were performed using Stata version 17.0 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, United States)

with meqrlogit for network calculation based on the ANOVA model proposed by Nyaga et al.; metandi for making the graph of the hierarchical summary receiver operating characteristic curve (HSROC); midas for calculating sensitivity and specificity of the Formosa score, heterogeneity measures,  $I^2$  estimation, the area under the curve (AUC), and subgroup calculation; and melogit for comparing the Formosa score and the other three Asian scores' user-written commands (20, 22). Furthermore, we accessed the publication bias for evaluating the accuracy of the Formosa score using Deeks' funnel plot asymmetry test (23).

We adopted the revised Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies to evaluate the methodological quality of selected studies according to four domains comprising 14 items rated as "yes," "no," or "unclear" (24).

# 3. Results

## 3.1. Study selection

We identified a total of 345 articles through database searching (PubMed = 150, Embase = 82, Cochrane Library = 113) and 12 additional records through manual retrieval of articles, citing the original articles that invented the four scores (8, 16, 25–34). Of the 177 records initially identified through title and abstract screening after removing duplicates, 131 were removed for failing to fulfill the inclusion criteria (Figure 1). Further full-text assessment of the potential 46 articles led to the exclusion of five studies, which were excluded for the following reasons: two not in English, one using risk scoring systems in patients



unresponsive to the second IVIG, one without case number, and one design with different treatments for low- and high-risk patients (35–39). Ultimately, a total of 41 studies were included in the network meta-analysis.

# 3.2. Study characteristics

A total of 41 articles met the inclusion criteria in Table 1, which provides broad details of the studies. Eleven studies were included in both the bivariate meta-analysis for the Formosa score (Table 1A) and network meta-analysis for the four Asian scores. All included studies were written in English. The median number of patients was 305 (interquartile range, IQR: 125.5–580.5), while the median prevalence of IVIG resistance was 15.7% (IQR: 12.1%–21.2%). Thirty-four studies with 18,170 KD patients evaluated the Egami score; 11 studies with 5,169 KD patients evaluated the Kobayashi score; and 25 studies with 12,970 patients evaluated the Sano score.

Of the 41 studies, three conducted prospective studies, two conducted retrospective or prospective studies, and the remaining 36 were retrospective studies. All studies provided detailed information on the reference standard for diagnosing IVIG resistance. The definition of reference was persistent or recrudescent fever at least 24, 36, or 48 h after completion of the first IVIG or the necessity for additional IVIG or immunosuppressive medications. These 41 studies were conducted between 2006 and 2021. Four of the studies excluded incomplete KD patients (7, 31, 40, 41). Furthermore, four studies excluded cardiovascular complications before or at initial treatment (7, 15, 41, 42). While three studies adopted thresholds of  $\geq$ 5, other studies evaluating Kobayashi score used Kobayashi-specified thresholds ( $\geq$ 4) to classify the results (28, 29, 42). This study consists of four different Asian scores, namely, 3 studied Egami score, 1Formosa, 4 Kobayashi, 1 Sano, 3 Egami + Kobayashi, 1 Kobayashi + Sano, 5 Egami + Formosa + Kobayashi, 18 Egami + Obayashii + Sano, and 5 Egami + Formosa + Kobayashi + Sano scores.

# 3.3. Results of meta-analysis for the sensitivity and specificity of the Formosa score

In the analysis, we identified 11 studies involving 5,169 KD patients that reported the diagnostic performance of the Formosa score for IVIG-resistant risk (12, 13, 17, 30, 34, 40, 43–47). Figure 2 shows the overall performance of Formosa score: pooled sensitivity, 0.60 [95% confidence interval (CI), 0.48–0.70]; pooled specificity, 0.59 (95% CI, 0.50–0.68); and area under the summary receiver operating characteristic curve (SROC), 0.62, as illustrated in Figure 3.



05



Hierarchical summary receiver operating curve (HSROC) of the sensitivity vs. the specificity of the performance of the Formosa score for predicting intravenous immunoglobulin resistance in Kawasaki disease patients. Each included study is represented by a circle; squares represent the summary test accuracy.

The potential sources of significantly statistical heterogeneity were IVIG-resistant rate, the definition of IVIG resistance, and the diagnostic criteria of KD. The factors that may explain the heterogeneity must be further evaluated by subgroup analysis (**Table 2**). The meta-regression suggested that the sensitivity and specificity of Asian studies (n = 7) were not significantly greater than that of the non-Asian studies (n = 4) (sensitivity in Asian,

0.65, with 95% CI, 0.53–0.78, and sensitivity in non-Asian, 0.48, with 95% CI, 0.30–0.67, p = 0.16; specificity in Asian, 0.61, with 95% CI, 0.50–0.72, and specificity in non-Asian, 0.55, with 95% CI, 0.40–0.71, p = 0.35). A trend of lower sensitivity in Turkey was also found (sensitivity in Turkey, 0.39, with 95% CI, 0.53–0.78, and sensitivity in non-Turkey, 0.66, with 95% CI, 0.30–0.67, p = 0.11). No significant difference was observed between

	Car	aty]
ମ ମ	Single 2 g/kg/dose	1 g/kg per day over 12 h for 2
Diagnostic criteria of KD	Japanese	Japanese
Criteria of IVIG resistance	A responder had resolution of fever (<37.5°C) and a fall in CRP by more than 50% within 48 h after initial IVIG	Fever persisting beyond 24 h or recrudescent fever after an afebrile period
IVIG- resistant rate (%)	13	22.0
KD patients	320	676
Scores	Egami	Kobayashi
Country	Japan	Japan
Year	2006	2006
Study author	Egami	Kobayashi
Design	Retrospective	Retrospective

Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine

TABLE 1B Clinical characteristics of the included studies: included studies without the Formosa score.

		nitial ment			nitial			ete, s, (3) nrtery other			f	e		
Exclusion	Cardiovascular complications at initial treatment	Other infectious disease known to mimic KD or atypical KD. Cardiovascular complications before i treatment; 2 patients did not complete IVIG treat because of hypotension			Cardiovascular complications before the initial treatment or who received steroids as part of the i therapy	Withdrawal		<ol> <li>Clinical or laboratory evidence was not compl (2) children were diagnosed after the first 10 days chronic KD and visited our hospital for coronary a lesion, or (4) children were diagnosed with KD in hospitals and had been treated with IVIG</li> </ol>	Clinical or laboratory evidence was not complete	The data were insufficient, or had no fever, and therefore, had not received IVIG treatment	IVIG after the tenth day of illness and presence o another disease known to mimic KD	Missing data, those whose fever duration data we missing, those who, were admitted for a second opinion, and those whose informed consent was missing or incomplete.	Missing laboratory data	Younger than 2 years old
BIA	Single 2 g/kg/dose	1 g/kg per day over 12 h for 2 consecutive days	1 g/kg/day of IVIG was administered for 2 days	2 g/kg	1 g/kg/day for 2 days or 2 g/kg/day for 1 day	2 g/kg	2 g/kg	1 g/kg, twice, 2 g/kg, once, 400–500 mg/ kg, 3–5 days		A single dose 2 g/kg	2 g/kg		A single dose 2 g/kg	2 g/kg
Diagnostic criteria of KD	Japanese	Japanese	Japanese	АНА	Japanese	АНА	АНА	Japanese			AHA	АНА	Japanese	
Criteria of IVIG resistance	A responder had resolution of fever (<37.5°C) and a fall in CRP by more than 50% within 48 h after initial IVIG	Fever persisting beyond 24 h or recrudescent fever after an afebrile period	Persistent fever (≥37.5°C over 24 h) after finishing IVIG	Persistent or recondescent fever ( $T \ge 100.4^{\circ}$ F rectally or orally) for at least 48 h but not longer than 7 days after completion of the first IVIG	Given additional rescue therapy because of persistent fever lasting for more than 24 h after the end of the IVIG infusion, or recrudescent fever despite an afebrile period after treatment	Fever of at least 38.3°C without another likely source at >36 h after completion of the initial IVIG	Fever continued for over 36 h or who had recrudescent fever (temperature ≥38.0°C axillary or rectally)	Persistent or recurrent fever at any time from 48 h to 2 weeks after initial IVIG treatment and with at least one of the standard diagnostic criteria	Match the definition of Kobayashi	Received more than one dose of IVIG due to persistent or recrudescent fever	Persistent or recrudescent fever for $\geq$ 36 h after the initial IVIG	Required a second dose of IVIG	The necessity for additional IVIG or immunosuppressive medications	Required a second dosage of IVIG or steroid therapy because of a persistent or reappearance of fever within 36 h after the initial IVIG treatment
IVIG- resistant rate (%)	13	22.0	20	9.8-20	22.8	14	9.7	17.9	32.2	16.8	ъ.	16.4	20.8	50
KD patients	320	676	112	362	1,626	78 62 56	309	1,177	59	703	910	305	183 183 163	204
Scores	Egami	Kobayashi	Sano	Egami	Kobayashi (cutoff of ≧5 points)	Egami Kobayashi Sano	Egami Kobayashi Sano	Egami Kobayashi	Kobayashi	Egami Kobayashi Sano	Egami Kobayashi	Egami	Egami Kobayashi Sano	Egami Kobayashi
Country	Japan	Japan	Japan	America	Japan	North America	Korea	China	United Kingdom	Korea	China	Spain	Japan	Korea
Year	2006	2006	2007	2008	2011	2011	2013	2013	2015	2016	2016	2016	2016	2017
Study author	Egami	Kobayashi	Sano	Tremoulet	Seki	Sleeper	Park	Fu	Davies	Kim	Tang	Sanchez	Kanamitsu	Shin
Design	Retrospective	Retrospective	Retrospective	Retrospective	Retrospective	Retrospective	Retrospective	Retrospective	Retrospective	Retrospective	Retrospective	Retrospective	Retrospective	Retrospective

(continued)

Frontiers in	Cardiovascula	ar Medicine
--------------	---------------	-------------

Exclusion	omplete KD or any other disease or with CAL before treatment		iagnosis other than KD was established during w up	nitted during the sub-acute phase		ure to comply with the clinical case definition; IVIG reated patients: steroid administration concurrent in the first IVIG therapy; and where neither "yes" nor " was ticked on the questionnaire, uncertain steroid osure.	er than 16 years at the time of diagnosis, those ents found to be duplicated in the database and ents with a final alternative diagnosis no IVIG	omplete data	mplete KD and other diseases had been given IVIG tment in other medical institutions before hission and who didn't receive IVIG treatment		nitted during the sub-acute phase	lassified and doubtful KD adult patients	not have a thorough follow-up
5 N	2 g/kg/day Inc	Cumulative dose of 2 g/kg	2 g/kg A G	2 g/kg Adi	2 g/kg for 24 h	Fail unt with no *no *no	Old pat	2 g/kg over 1 day Inc	Inc. tree adn	A single 2 g/kg dose	2 g/kg Adi	Ğ	2 g/kg Did
Diagnostic criteria of KD	Japanese	АНА	АНА	АНА	Japanese	АНА	АНА	АНА	Japanese	АНА	АНА	АНА	АНА
Criteria of IVIG resistance	Persistent fever lasting for >24 h after the completion of IVIG or recrudescent fever	The persistence or recurrence of fever for >36 h after IVIG administration	The persistence of fever (temperature >38.0°C) for 48 h after the IVIG	A persistent fever after the first dose of IVIG, which was defined as having fever after 24–72 h	Fever was not resolved (defined as having an axillary temperature above 37.5°C) within 48 h of initial IVIG therapy start	Fever persisting for longer than 36 h and therefore given a second dose of IVIG	Persistence of fever for 36 h after the end of IVIG infusion	Recrudescent or persistent fever of more than 38° C more than 48 h after completion of IVIG	A persistence or recurrence of fever of $>37.3^{\circ}$ C at any time during 48 h to 2 weeks after initial IVIG	Febrile for >48 h after receiving IVIG	A persistent fever after the first IVIG, which was defined as having a fever after 24–72 h	The need for a second course of IVIG or second- line treatment (after the first IVIG infusion) with corticosteroids or anti-tumor necrosis factor agent	Needed more than 2 g/kg IVIG to stop fever, recurrent fever, and any patient who needed steroid or infliximab
IVIG- resistant rate (%)	21.3	11	28.7	18.4	24.1	15.6	15.7	12	6.6	34.8	24	11.9 14.7 2.8	13.2
KD patients	437	73	149 152 123	236 219 223	419	301	606	122	5,277	555	363	320 334 211	121 113 121
Scores	Egami Kobayashi Sano	Kobayashi	Egami Kobayashi (cutoff of <u>≥</u> 5 points) Sano	Egami Kobayashi Sano	Kobayashi Sano	Egami Kobayashi Sano	Egami Kobayashi (cutoff of <u>≥</u> 5 points) Sano	Egami Kobayashi Sano	Egami Kobayashi Sano	Egami Kobayashi Sano	Egami Kobayashi Sano	Egami Kobayashi Sano	Egami Kobayashi Sano
Country	Japan	Poland	France	Israel	Japan	Germany	Spain	Singapore	China	Korea	Iran	France	Iran
Year	2017	2017	2018	2018	2018	2018	2019	2019	2019	2020	2020	2020	2020
Study author	Takeshita	Berdej- Szczot	Chbeir	Arane	Gám <i>e</i> z- González	Jakob	Fernandez- Cooke	Grignani	Tan	На	Shashaani	Piram	Edraki
Design	Retrospective	Retrospective	Retrospective	Retrospective	Retrospective	Retrospective	Retrospective	Retrospective	Retrospective	Retrospective	Retrospective	Retrospective or prospective	Cross-sectional retrospective

(continued)

subgroups according to ethics, diagnostic criteria, total scores, or IVIG-resistant rate, as shown in Table 2 (p > 0.05).

# 3.4. Results of network meta-analysis of the four Asian scores

The network graph of the relationship between the four Asian scores and reference standard is shown in **Figure 4**. Of the children included in the network meta-analysis, 21,389 with a confirmed diagnosis of KD by the American Heart Association (AHA) or Japanese criteria were included in the comparison of sensitivity and specificity among the four Asian scores (15, 19). The current study enrolled 41 studies, and the results of the four scoring systems in predicting IVIG resistance are shown in **Table 3**. Based on the 41 studies, we suggest that the Formosa score has the highest sensitivity in predicting IVIG resistance among the four scores. The Formosa score has the lowest specificity. In contrast, Egami and Kobayashi score had high specificities.

# 3.5. Publication bias

Deeks' tests revealed no significant publication bias among the included evaluation pooled results of the overall performance of Formosa score (p = 0.73), as shown in Figure 5.  $I^2$  results revealed significant between-study heterogeneity in the pooled sensitivities ( $I^2 = 85.04\%$ ) and specificities of the Formosa score ( $I^2 = 97.65\%$ ).

## 3.6. Quality of included studies

Nine studies (22.0%) had different numbers for each score, or the number of patients enrolled was not the same as the number of patients used to calculate the scores, so they introduced bias and resulted in an unclear flow and timing (Table 1 and Figure 6) (7, 17, 28, 48-53). The included studies listed the reference standard, and the KD patients received the same reference standard. No high concerns regarding applicability of index tests, reference standard, or patient selection were observed. Only a few studies adopted prospective designs (30, 33, 44). Therefore, enrolling a consecutive or random sample of patients was hard for retrospective studies. One study used a case-control design and produced an abnormally high IVIG-resistant rate (54). Regarding the Formosa score, researchers identified IVIG-resistant patients in the clinical data including physical examination (lymphadenopathy) and laboratory, while other scores did not adopt the use of the physical examination, which may influence the diagnostic accuracy of the index test (17).

# 4. Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first diagnostic meta-analysis to focus on comparing different scores for

		ц еј		
Exclusion		Transferred from outlying centers with a diagnosis of KD and managed at these institutions did not have th necessary data	IVIG at doses of less than $2 \text{ g/kg}$	
DIVI	2 g/kg		2 g/kg of IVIG infusion over 10– 12 h	
Diagnostic criteria of KD	АНА	АНА	АНА	
Criteria of IVIG resistance	Persistent or recrudes cent fever of ${\geq}38.0^\circ C$ for at least 36 h after the end of IVIG	Fever persisted for 36 h after IVIG administration	A recrudescent or persistent fever for at least 36 h after the end of the first IVIG	
IVIG- resistant rate (%)	32.3	21	13	
KD patients	31	39 34 25	130	
Scores	Egami Kobayashi Sano	Egami Kobayashi Sano	Egami Kobayashi Sano	
Country	Japan	Portugal	Thailand	
Year	2021	2021	2021	
Study author	Ishikawa	Faim	Jarutach	
Design	Prospective observational study	Retrospective	Retrospective	

**FABLE 1B** Continued



predicting IVIG resistance in KD patients. The identification of patients at high risk for IVIG resistance at the time of presentation is of significant benefit and may allow clinicians to identify those who may benefit from more intensive monitoring of their condition and who may require treatment modulation during the acute phase, with the potential addition of other anti-inflammatory agents to the conventional IVIG treatment to protect them from ongoing CAL. Many scoring systems have been used to predict the risk of IVIG resistance. However, the prediction efficacies of these scoring systems vary considerably. The results of five head-to-head studies suggested significant variations without consistent conclusion (30, 34, 40, 44, 46). Creating new scores for IVIG-resistant prediction is becoming an increasingly popular field of study. Since obtaining head-tohead evidence is difficult, diagnostic network meta-analyses are useful for incorporating direct and indirect comparisons with these scores (20).

In this diagnostic meta-analysis study, we evaluated the prediction efficacies of IVIG resistance through four existing scores, such as Egami, Formosa, Kobayashi, and Sano, based on their reported sensitivity and specificity in relation to clinical parameters of the risk of IVIG-resistant KD. This meta-analysis of 41 articles including 21,389 patients with KD showed that the Formosa score demonstrated the highest sensitivity in predicting IVIG resistance. The pooled sensitivity and specificity for the most commonly reported predicting tools (Egami, Formosa, Kawasaki, and Sano scores) ranged from 0.36 to 0.76 and from 0.46 to 0.83, respectively.

This bivariate network analysis faced some limitations. The meta-analysis estimated high heterogeneity for the Formosa score. The results of the Formosa score vary widely among different studies, with particularly high sensitivity in a Taiwanese study (AUC 0.84) and very low sensitivity in a Turkish study (Tables 1, 2) (12, 17, 34, 45, 46). Such a discrepancy implies that each region needs its own score, especially where the prevalence is high. The Formosa score has the potential to modify clinical practice and improve health outcomes if identifying a specific population improves the AUC. As the research on the Formosa score was conducted in China and Turkey, we could not apply our findings to other regions. The false-positive rate of the Formosa score was relatively high, so unnecessary medical intervention due to low specificity might occur. The Formosa score helped reduce unnecessary medications for patients responsive to IVIG when the score was negative.

Patients at high risk of IVIG resistance may receive adjunctive treatment to reduce coronary lesions and thus also cardiovascular morbidity. In the process of developing drugs, a good prediction score is necessary, and the Formosa score provides a good option of sensitivity to enroll more participants in trials since only 10%–

	Non-Asian	95% Cl	Asian	95% CI	<i>p</i> -value
Number of studies	4		7		
Sensitivity	0.48	0.30-0.67	0.65	0.53-0.78	0.16
Specificity	0.55	0.40-0.71	0.61	0.50-0.72	0.35
	Non-Turkey	95% CI	Turkey	95% CI	<i>p</i> -value
Number of studies	8		3		
Sensitivity	0.66	0.55-0.76	0.39	0.20-0.58	0.11
Specificity	0.59	0.49-0.70	0.59	0.41-0.76	0.65
	Non-China Han	95% CI	China Han	95% CI	<i>p</i> -value
Number of studies	4		7		
Sensitivity	0.48	0.30-0.67	0.65	0.53-0.78	0.44
Specificity	0.55	0.40-0.71	0.61	0.50-0.72	0.93
	N/IC registrant rate < modium 12.4	050/ 01	N/IC reacistant rate a mandium 12.4	050/ 01	a sur har
	MG-resistant rate ≥medium 12.4	95% CI	IVIG-resistant rate >medium 12.4	95% CI	<i>p</i> -value
Number of studies		95% CI	4	95% CI	<i>p</i> -value
Number of studies Sensitivity	7 0.64	0.51-0.77	4 0.53	0.35-0.71	0.75
Number of studies Sensitivity Specificity	Tric-resistant fate ≤medium 12.4           7           0.64           0.60	0.51-0.77 0.48-0.71	4 0.53 0.58	0.35-0.71 0.43-0.73	0.75 0.67
Number of studies Sensitivity Specificity	7       0.64       0.60       Studies involving four scores	0.51-0.77 0.48-0.71 95% Cl	4 0.53 0.58 Studies not involving four scores	0.35-0.71 0.43-0.73 95% Cl	<i>p</i> -value 0.75 0.67 <i>p</i> -value
Number of studies Sensitivity Specificity Number of studies	7       0.64       0.60       Studies involving four scores       5	0.51-0.77 0.48-0.71 95% Cl	4 0.53 0.58 Studies not involving four scores 6	95% Cl 0.35-0.71 0.43-0.73 95% Cl	<i>p</i> -value 0.75 0.67 <i>p</i> -value
Number of studies Sensitivity Specificity Number of studies Sensitivity	Tric-resistant rate         Studies involving four scores           5         0.60	0.51-0.77 0.48-0.71 95% Cl	4 0.53 0.58 Studies not involving four scores 6 0.60	95% Cl 0.35-0.71 0.43-0.73 95% Cl	<i>p</i> -value 0.75 0.67 <i>p</i> -value 0.66
Number of studies Sensitivity Specificity Number of studies Sensitivity Specificity	Trice         Studies           7         0.64           0.60         0.60           Studies involving four scores         5           0.60         0.53	0.51-0.77 0.48-0.71 95% Cl 0.44-0.76 0.40-0.66	4           0.53           0.58           Studies not involving four scores           6           0.60           0.64	95% Cl 0.35-0.71 0.43-0.73 95% Cl 0.44-0.75 0.53-0.75	<i>p</i> -value 0.75 0.67 <i>p</i> -value 0.66 0.80
Number of studies Sensitivity Specificity Number of studies Sensitivity Specificity	7       0.64       0.60       Studies involving four scores       5       0.60       5       0.60       KD diagnosis by Japanese criteria	95% Cl 0.51-0.77 0.48-0.71 95% Cl 0.44-0.76 0.40-0.66 95% Cl	4 0.53 0.58 Studies not involving four scores 6 0.60 0.64 KD diagnosis by AHA	95% Cl 0.35-0.71 0.43-0.73 95% Cl 0.44-0.75 0.53-0.75 95% Cl	<i>p</i> -value 0.75 0.67 <i>p</i> -value 0.66 0.80 <i>p</i> -value
Number of studies Sensitivity Specificity Number of studies Sensitivity Specificity Number of studies	1VIG-resistant rate ≤medium 12.4         7         0.64         0.60         Studies involving four scores         5         0.60         0.53         KD diagnosis by Japanese criteria         3	95% Cl 0.51-0.77 0.48-0.71 95% Cl 0.44-0.76 0.40-0.66 95% Cl	WIG-resistant rate > medium 12.4         4         0.53         0.58         Studies not involving four scores         6         0.60         0.64         KD diagnosis by AHA         8	95% Cl 0.35-0.71 0.43-0.73 95% Cl 0.44-0.75 0.53-0.75 95% Cl	<i>p</i> -value 0.75 0.67 <i>p</i> -value 0.66 0.80 <i>p</i> -value
Number of studies Sensitivity Specificity Number of studies Sensitivity Specificity Number of studies Sensitivity	Trice         Studies           7         0.64           0.60         0.60           Studies involving four scores         5           0.60         0.53           KD diagnosis by Japanese criteria         3           0.70         0.70	95% Cl 0.51-0.77 0.48-0.71 95% Cl 0.44-0.76 0.40-0.66 95% Cl	4           0.53           0.58           Studies not involving four scores           6           0.60           0.64           KD diagnosis by AHA           8           0.55	95% Cl 0.35-0.71 0.43-0.73 95% Cl 0.44-0.75 0.53-0.75 95% Cl	p-value           0.75           0.67           p-value           0.66           0.80           p-value           0.69

TABLE 2 Meta-regression results for the diagnostic performance of the Formosa score for predicting IVIG resistance.

AHA, American Heart Association; CI, confidence interval.





#### TABLE 3 Results of network meta-analysis of four Asian scores.

By me command	eqrlogit	Four Asian scores		95% confidence interval		<i>p</i> -value compared to Formosa score by melogit command
Sensitivity		Egami	0.39	0.32	0.46	<0.01*
		Formosa	0.76	0.70	0.82	
		Kobayashi	0.46	0.39	0.53	<0.01*
		Sano	0.36	0.30	0.43	<0.01*
Specificity		Egami	0.83	0.80	0.86	<0.01*
		Formosa	0.46	0.41	0.51	
		Kobayashi	0.81	0.78	0.84	<0.01*
		Sano	0.71	0.67	0.75	<0.01*

\*p < 0.05.

20% of KD patients have IVIG resistance. More research is needed to analyze which group has a higher sensitivity and specificity of the Formosa score. Since the verification of many ethnic groups found that the Asian scoring systems were not applicable, many more accurate scoring systems have been developed (31). However, these prediction models showed unsatisfactory results when applied to Chinese, French, Iranian, Portuguese, Thai, and other populations (49, 51, 53, 55). Future network meta-analyses must also incorporate the accuracy of the new scores after new scores have undergone a certain degree of validation around the world.

# 5. Conclusion

Patients at high risk of IVIG resistance may receive adjunctive treatment to reduce coronary lesions and thus also cardiovascular morbidity. Among all of the included studies, we found that the Formosa score had the best sensitivity (0.76) but unsatisfactory specificity (0.46) for predicting IVIG resistance in Kawasaki disease.

# Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article, and further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

# Author contributions

W-NC and L-SC independently extracted data from each included study. W-NC and L-SC independently performed a systematic literature search. P-YH and L-SC adopted the revised Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies to evaluate the methodological quality. H-CK and Y-HH supervised the study. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# Funding

This study was supported by Chang Gung Memorial Hospital (CMRPG8M1451) and the Ministry of Science and Technology, Taiwan (MOST 110–2635–B–182A–004). However, these institutions had no role in the study design, data collection analysis, publication decision, or manuscript preparation.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

# References

 Lin MT, Wu MH. The global epidemiology of Kawasaki disease: review and future perspectives. *Glob Cardiol Sci Pract.* (2017) 2017(3):e201720. doi: 10.21542/gcsp.2017.

2. Lei WT, Hsu CW, Chen PC, Tseng PT, Kuo HC, Guo MM, et al. Increased risk of asthma and allergic rhinitis in patients with a past history of Kawasaki disease: a systematic review and meta-analyses. *Front Pediatr.* (2021) 9:746856. doi: 10.3389/fped.2021.746856

3. Huang PY, Huang YH, Guo MM, Chang LS, Kuo HC. Kawasaki disease and allergic diseases. *Front Pediatr.* (2020) 8:614386. doi: 10.3389/fped.2020.614386

4. Chang LS, Guo MM, Yan JH, Huang YH, Lo MH, Kuo HC. Low FCMR mRNA expression in leukocytes of patients with Kawasaki disease six months after disease onset. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol.* (2020) 31(5):554–9. doi: 10.1111/pai.13235

5. Chang LS, Chen YJ, Huang PY, Chen KD, Lo MH, Huang YH, et al. Significantly lower immunoglobulin M levels 6 months after disease onset in patients with Kawasaki disease with coronary artery lesions. J Am Heart Assoc. (2021) 10(12): e020505. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.120.020505

6. Newburger JW, Takahashi M, Burns JC, Beiser AS, Chung KJ, Duffy CE, et al. The treatment of Kawasaki syndrome with intravenous gamma globulin. *N Engl J Med.* (1986) 315(6):341–7. doi: 10.1056/NEJM198608073150601

7. Kobayashi T, Inoue Y, Takeuchi K, Okada Y, Tamura K, Tomomasa T, et al. Prediction of intravenous immunoglobulin unresponsiveness in patients with Kawasaki disease. *Circulation.* (2006) 113(22):2606–12. doi: 10.1161/ CIRCULATIONAHA.105.592865

8. Tremoulet AH, Best BM, Song S, Wang S, Corinaldesi E, Eichenfield JR, et al. Resistance to intravenous immunoglobulin in children with Kawasaki disease. J Pediatr. (2008) 153(1):117–21. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2007.12.021

9. Rigante D, Andreozzi L, Fastiggi M, Bracci B, Natale MF, Esposito S. Critical overview of the risk scoring systems to predict non-responsiveness to intravenous immunoglobulin in Kawasaki syndrome. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2016) 17(3):278. doi: 10. 3390/ijms17030278

10. Lei WT, Chang LS, Zeng BY, Tu YK, Uehara R, Matsuoka YJ, et al. Pharmacologic interventions for Kawasaki disease in children: a network metaanalysis of 56 randomized controlled trials. *EBioMedicine*. (2022) 78:103946. doi: 10.1016/j.ebiom.2022.103946

11. Chang LS, Kuo HC. The role of corticosteroids in the treatment of Kawasaki disease. *Expert Rev Anti-Infect Ther.* (2020) 18(2):155–64. doi: 10.1080/14787210. 2020.1713752

12. Huang CN, Wu FF, Chang YM, Huang HC, Lin MT, Wang JK, et al. Comparison of risk scores for predicting intravenous immunoglobulin resistance in Taiwanese patients with Kawasaki disease. *J Formos Med Assoc.* (2021) 120 (10):1884–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jfma.2020.12.010

13. Fabi M, Andreozzi L, Corinaldesi E, Bodnar T, Lami F, Cicero C, et al. Inability of Asian risk scoring systems to predict intravenous immunoglobulin resistance and coronary lesions in Kawasaki disease in an Italian cohort. *Eur J Pediatr.* (2019) 178 (3):315–22. doi: 10.1007/s00431-018-3297-5

14. Chang LS, Lin YJ, Yan JH, Guo MM, Lo MH, Kuo HC. Neutrophil-tolymphocyte ratio and scoring system for predicting coronary artery lesions of Kawasaki disease. *BMC Pediatr.* (2020) 20(1):398. doi: 10.1186/s12887-020-02285-5

15. Egami K, Muta H, Ishii M, Suda K, Sugahara Y, Iemura M, et al. Prediction of resistance to intravenous immunoglobulin treatment in patients with Kawasaki disease. *J Pediatr.* (2006) 149(2):237–40. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2006.03.050

16. Sano T, Kurotobi S, Matsuzaki K, Yamamoto T, Maki I, Miki K, et al. Prediction of non-responsiveness to standard high-dose gamma-globulin therapy in patients with acute Kawasaki disease before starting initial treatment. *Eur J Pediatr.* (2007) 166 (2):131–7. doi: 10.1007/s00431-006-0223-z

17. Lin MT, Chang CH, Sun LC, Liu HM, Chang HW, Chen CA, et al. Risk factors and derived formosa score for intravenous immunoglobulin unresponsiveness in Taiwanese children with Kawasaki disease. *J Formos Med Assoc.* (2016) 115 (5):350–5. doi: 10.1016/j.jfma.2015.03.012

18. Salameh JP, Bossuyt PM, McGrath TA, Thombs BD, Hyde CJ, Macaskill P, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis of diagnostic test accuracy studies (PRISMA-DTA): explanation, elaboration, and checklist. *BMJ*. (2020) 370:m2632. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m2632

19. McCrindle BW, Rowley AH, Newburger JW, Burns JC, Bolger AF, Gewitz M, et al. Diagnosis, treatment, and long-term management of Kawasaki disease: a

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

scientific statement for health professionals from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. (2017) 135(17):e927–99. doi: 10.1161/CIR.00000000000484

20. Chang LS, Huang PY, Kuo HC, Tu YK, Tseng PT, Liang CS, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of the American College of Rheumatology-1997, the systemic lupus international collaborating clinics-2012, and the European league against rheumatism-2019 criteria for juvenile systemic lupus erythematosus: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Autoimmun Rev.* (2022):103144. doi: 10.1016/j. autrev.2022.103144

21. Chan H, Chi H, You H, Wang M, Zhang G, Yang H, et al. Indirect-comparison meta-analysis of treatment options for patients with refractory Kawasaki disease. *BMC Pediatr.* (2019) 19(1):158. doi: 10.1186/s12887-019-1504-9

22. Nyaga VN, Aerts M, Arbyn M. ANOVA model for network meta-analysis of diagnostic test accuracy data. *Stat Methods Med Res.* (2018) 27(6):1766–84. doi: 10. 1177/0962280216669182

23. Deeks JJ, Macaskill P, Irwig L. The performance of tests of publication bias and other sample size effects in systematic reviews of diagnostic test accuracy was assessed. *J Clin Epidemiol.* (2005) 58(9):882–93. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2005.01.016

24. Whiting PF, Rutjes AW, Westwood ME, Mallett S, Deeks JJ, Reitsma JB, et al. QUADAS-2: a revised tool for the quality assessment of diagnostic accuracy studies. *Ann Intern Med.* (2011) 155(8):529–36. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-155-8-201110180-00009

25. Park HM, Lee DW, Hyun MC, Lee SB. Predictors of nonresponse to intravenous immunoglobulin therapy in Kawasaki disease. *Korean J Pediatr.* (2013) 56(2):75–9. doi: 10.3345/kjp.2013.56.2.75

26. Kim BY, Kim D, Kim YH, Ryoo E, Sun YH, Jeon IS, et al. Non-responders to intravenous immunoglobulin and coronary artery dilatation in Kawasaki disease: predictive parameters in Korean children. *Korean Circ J.* (2016) 46(4):542–9. doi: 10.4070/kcj.2016.46.4.542

27. Berdej-Szczot E, Małecka-Tendera E, Gawlik T, Firek-Pędras M, Szydłowski L, Gawlik A. Risk factors of immunoglobulin resistance and coronary complications in children with Kawasaki disease. *Kardiol Pol.* (2017) 75(3):261–6. doi: 10.5603/KP. a2016.0179

28. Chbeir D, Gaschignard J, Bonnefoy R, Beyler C, Melki I, Faye A, et al. Kawasaki disease: abnormal initial echocardiogram is associated with resistance to IV Ig and development of coronary artery lesions. *Pediatr Rheumatol Online J.* (2018) 16 (1):48. doi: 10.1186/s12969-018-0264-7

29. Fernandez-Cooke E, Barrios Tascón A, Sánchez-Manubens J, Antón J, Grasa Lozano CD, Aracil Santos J, et al. Epidemiological and clinical features of Kawasaki disease in Spain over 5 years and risk factors for aneurysm development. (2011–2016): KAWA-RACE study group. *PloS One.* (2019) 14(5):e0215665. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0215665

30. Shao S, Luo C, Zhou K, Hua Y, Wu M, Liu L, et al. The role of age-specific N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide cutoff values in predicting intravenous immunoglobulin resistance in Kawasaki disease: a prospective cohort study. *Pediatr Rheumatol Online J.* (2019) 17(1):65. doi: 10.1186/s12969-019-0368-8

31. Tan XH, Zhang XW, Wang XY, He XQ, Fan C, Lyu TW, et al. A new model for predicting intravenous immunoglobin-resistant Kawasaki disease in Chongqing: a retrospective study on 5277 patients. *Sci Rep.* (2019) 9(1):1722. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-39330-v

32. Ha KS, Lee J, Lee KC. Prediction of intravenous immunoglobulin resistance in patients with Kawasaki disease according to the duration of illness prior to treatment. *Eur J Pediatr.* (2020) 179(2):257-64. doi: 10.1007/s00431-019-03474-w

33. Ishikawa T, Wada Y, Namba H, Kawai T. Hepcidin in Kawasaki disease: upregulation by acute inflammation in patients having resistance to intravenous immunoglobulin therapy. *Clin Rheumatol.* (2021) 40(12):5019–24. doi: 10.1007/s10067-021-05822-4

34. Kaya Akca U, Arslanoglu Aydin E, Aykan HH, Serin O, Sag E, Demir S, et al. Comparison of IVIG resistance predictive models in Kawasaki disease. *Pediatr Res.* (2022) 91(3):621-6. doi: 10.1038/s41390-021-01459-w

35. Amano Y, Akazawa Y, Yasuda J, Yoshino K, Kojima K, Kobayashi N, et al. A low-frequency IL4R locus variant in Japanese patients with intravenous immunoglobulin therapy-unresponsive Kawasaki disease. *Pediatr Rheumatol Online J.* (2019) 17(1):34. doi: 10.1186/s12969-019-0337-2

36. Tajima M, Shiozawa Y, Kagawa J. Early appearance of principal symptoms of Kawasaki disease is a risk factor for intravenous immunoglobulin resistance. *Pediatr Cardiol.* (2015) 36(6):1159–65. doi: 10.1007/s00246-015-1136-2

37. Yoshikane Y, Okuma Y, Miyamoto T, Hashimoto J, Fukazawa R, Kato T, et al. Serum tenascin-C predicts resistance to steroid combination therapy in high-risk Kawasaki disease: a multicenter prospective cohort study. *Pediatr Rheumatol Online J.* (2021) 19(1):82. doi: 10.1186/s12969-021-00562-w

38. Kobayashi T, Inoue Y, Morikawa A. Risk stratification and prediction of resistance to intravenous immunoglobulin in Kawasaki disease. *Nihon Rinsho.* (2008) 66(2):332-7.

39. Vágó I, Guóth G, Simon G, Szabó H. The predictive value of the Kobayashi and Kawanet score systems regarding immunoglobulin resistance and cardiac complications in patients with Kawasaki disease: a pilot study]. *Orv Hetil.* (2021) 162(47):1885–90. doi: 10.1556/650.2021.32270

40. Liu HH, Chen WX, Niu MM, Jiang Q, Qiu Z, Fan GZ, et al. A new scoring system for coronary artery abnormalities in Kawasaki disease. *Pediatr Res.* (2021):1–9. doi: 10.1038/s41390-021-01752-8

41. Takeshita S, Kanai T, Kawamura Y, Yoshida Y, Nonoyama S. A comparison of the predictive validity of the combination of the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and plateletto-lymphocyte ratio and other risk scoring systems for intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG)-resistance in Kawasaki disease. *PLoS One.* (2017) 12(5):e0176957. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0176957

42. Seki M, Kobayashi T, Kobayashi T, Morikawa A, Otani T, Takeuchi K, et al. External validation of a risk score to predict intravenous immunoglobulin resistance in patients with Kawasaki disease. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* (2011) 30(2):145–7. doi: 10. 1097/INF.0b013e3181f386db

43. Song R, Yao W, Li X. Efficacy of four scoring systems in predicting intravenous immunoglobulin resistance in children with Kawasaki disease in a children's hospital in Beijing, north China. *J Pediatr.* (2017) 184:120-4. doi: 10. 1016/j.jpeds.2016.12.018

44. Qian W, Tang Y, Yan W, Sun L, Lv H. A comparison of efficacy of six prediction models for intravenous immunoglobulin resistance in Kawasaki disease. *Ital J Pediatr.* (2018) 44(1):33. doi: 10.1186/s13052-018-0475-z

45. Arslanoglu Aydin E, Ertugrul I, Bilginer Y, Batu ED, Sonmez HE, Demir S, et al. The factors affecting the disease course in Kawasaki disease. *Rheumatol Int.* (2019) 39 (8):1343–9. doi: 10.1007/s00296-019-04336-2

46. Öztarhan K, Varlı YZ, Aktay Ayaz N. Usefulness of Kawasaki disease risk scoring systems to the Turkish population. *Anatol J Cardiol.* (2020) 24(2):97–106. doi: 10.14744/AnatolJCardiol.2020.37560

47. Wang T, Liu G, Lin H. A machine learning approach to predict intravenous immunoglobulin resistance in Kawasaki disease patients: a study based on a southeast China population. *PLoS One*. (2020) 15(8):e0237321. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0237321

48. Arane K, Mendelsohn K, Mimouni M, Mimouni F, Koren Y, Brik Simon D, et al. Japanese Scoring systems to predict resistance to intravenous immunoglobulin in Kawasaki disease were unreliable for Caucasian Israeli children. *Acta Paediatrica*. (2018) 107(12):2179–84. doi: 10.1111/apa.14418

49. Edraki MR, Mohammadi H, Mehdizadegan N, Ghorashi M, Amoozgar H, Borzouee M, et al. Japanese Kawasaki disease scoring systems: are they applicable to the Iranian population? *Arch Iran Med.* (2020) 23(1):31–6.

50. Kanamitsu K, Kakimoto H, Shimada A, Nakata Y, Ochi H, Watanabe H, et al. Verification of risk scores to predict i.v. Immunoglobulin resistance in incomplete Kawasaki disease. *Pediatrics international: official journal of the Japan Pediatric Society.* (2016) 58(2):146–51. doi: 10.1111/ped.12755

51. Piram M, Darce Bello M, Tellier S, Di Filippo S, Boralevi F, Madhi F, et al. Defining the risk of first intravenous immunoglobulin unresponsiveness in non-Asian patients with Kawasaki disease. *Sci Rep.* (2020) 10(1):3125. doi: 10.1038/ s41598-020-59972-7

52. Sleeper LA, Minich LL, McCrindle BM, Li JS, Mason W, Colan SD, et al. Evaluation of Kawasaki disease risk-scoring systems for intravenous immunoglobulin resistance. *J Pediatr.* (2011) 158(5):831–5.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds. 2010.10.031

53. Faim D, Henriques C, Brett A, Francisco A, Rodrigues F, Pires A. Kawasaki disease: predictors of resistance to intravenous immunoglobulin and cardiac complications. *Arq Bras Cardiol.* (2021) 116(3):485–91. doi: 10.36660/abc.20190758

54. Shin J, Lee H, Eun L. Verification of current risk scores for Kawasaki disease in Korean children. *J Korean Med Sci.* (2017) 32(12):1991–6. doi: 10.3346/jkms.2017.32. 12.1991

55. Jarutach J, Roymanee S, Wongwaitaweewong K. Verification of "Japanese scoring systems" to predict IVIG resistance and identification of predictors for IVIG resistance in Thai children with Kawasaki disease. *Pediatr Cardiol.* (2021) 42 (8):1799-804. doi: 10.1007/s00246-021-02668-0