Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Rong-Rong He, Jinan University, China

#### REVIEWED BY Shichao Lv, First Teaching Hospital of Tianjin University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, China Shaonan Liu, Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, China Long Ge, Lanzhou University, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Yanwei Xing

🖂 xingyanwei12345@163.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 19 January 2023 ACCEPTED 07 July 2023 PUBLISHED 26 July 2023

#### CITATION

Sun J, Fan J, Yang F, Su X, Li X, Tian L, Liu C and Xing Y (2023) Effect and possible mechanisms of saponins in Chinese herbal medicine exerts for the treatment of myocardial ischemiareperfusion injury in experimental animal: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 10:1147740. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2023.1147740

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Sun, Fan, Yang, Su, Li, Tian, Liu and Xing. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. Effect and possible mechanisms of saponins in Chinese herbal medicine exerts for the treatment of myocardial ischemiareperfusion injury in experimental animal: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Jiahao Sun<sup>1†</sup>, Jiarong Fan<sup>2†</sup>, Fan Yang<sup>3†</sup>, Xin Su<sup>4†</sup>, Xinye Li<sup>2</sup>, Li Tian<sup>2</sup>, Can Liu<sup>3</sup> and Yanwei Xing<sup>3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Yanqing Hospital of Beijing Chinese Medicine Hospital, Beijing, China, <sup>2</sup>Clinical Department of Integrative Traditional Chinese and Western Medicine, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing, China, <sup>3</sup>Guang'anmen Hospital, China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, Beijing, China, <sup>4</sup>Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou, China

**Introduction:** Preventing ischemia-reperfusion injury is the main direction of myocardial infarction treatment in the convalescent stage. Some studies have suggested that saponins in Traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) preparations can protect the myocardium by various mechanisms. Our meta-analysis aims to evaluate the efficacy of TCM saponins in treating myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury (MIRI) and to summarize the potential molecular mechanisms further.

**Methods:** We conducted a literature search in six electronic databases [Web of Science, PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, Sinomed, China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI)] until October 2022.

**Results:** Seventeen eligible studies included 386 animals (254 received saponins and 132 received vehicles). The random effect model is used to calculate the combined effect. The effect size is expressed as the weighted average difference (WMD) and 95% confidence interval (CI). Compared with placebo, saponins preconditioning reduced infarct size after MIRI significantly (WMD: -3.60,95% CI: -4.45 to -2.74, P < 0.01,  $I^2$ : 84.7%, P < 0.001), and significantly increased EF (WMD: 3.119, 95% CI: 2.165 to 4.082, P < 0.01,  $I^2$ : 82.9%, P < 0.01) and FS (WMD: 3.157, 95% CI: 2.218 to 4.097, P < 0.001,  $I^2$ : 81.3%, P < 0.001).

**Discussion:** The results show that the pre-administration of saponins from TCM has a significant protective effect on MIRI in preclinical studies, which provides an application prospect for developing anti-MIRI drugs with high efficiency and low toxicity.

#### KEYWORDS

meta-analysis, saponins, traditional Chinese medicine, myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury, experimental animal model, infarct size, cardiac function

# 1. Introduction

Timely percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) remains by far the most effective treatment for the salvage of acute myocardial infarction (1). However, subsequent ischemia/reperfusion (I/R) injury can still lead to massive loss of cardiomyocytes, thereby exacerbating cardiac dysfunction (2). Now, MIRI has become a vital issue in clinical

practice, attracting extensive attention from researchers. MIRI involves multiple mechanisms, such as inflammation, oxidative stress, mitochondrial damage, and calcium overload (3). Recently, researchers have begun to focus on ferroptosis, apoptosis, and autophagy during MIRI. Several drug and non-drug therapies have emerged to treat I/R injury (4). However, given that treatments targeting I/R injury would be used only once in most patients, their development, compared to drugs that require longer-term use, is at an obvious disadvantage.

TCM emphasizes the holistic concept. The herbal prescriptions are usually complex, targeting multiple pathways (5, 6). In TCM, the causes of MIRI include Qi deficiency, blood stasis, and phlegm resistance (7-9). According to TCM theories, doctors mainly use herbs that nourish qi, promote blood circulation, remove blood stasis, and expel phlegm. Recently, multiple studies have found that saponins from traditional Chinese herbs have sound anti-MIRI effects (10-12). The mechanisms include regulating calcium homeostasis and energy metabolism and inhibiting inflammation and oxidative stress (13-16). Saponins mainly contain steroid saponins and triterpenoid saponins. Due to their structural specificity, saponins have different MIRI protection mechanisms (17). Therefore, we conducted a comprehensive and systematic meta-analysis of all relevant articles to evaluate the effectiveness and summarize the possible means of TCM saponin extract intervention in alleviating I/R injury.

## 2. Methods

#### 2.1. Data sources and search strategies

We searched Web of Science, PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, Sinomed, and China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) for articles on the cardioprotective effects of saponins in myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury. The search terms were "myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury," "myocardial I/R injury," "myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury," "myocardial "saponins," ischemia-reperfusion injury," "ginsenosides," "Holothurin," and "Quillaja Saponins,". The publication time was limited to before October 2022. Two reviewers (Fan Yang and Xin Su) independently assessed articles for eligibility, and disagreements were resolved by discussion with the corresponding author.

#### 2.2. Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria: (a) Type of study: In vivo animal studies. (b) Interventions and controls: Saponin extracts derived from traditional Chinese herbs compared with placebo treatment. (c) Outcomes: At least one of the following outcome measures should be reported: Infarct size [infarct size expressed as a percentage of infarct area over the area at risk (AAR)], left ventricle ejection fraction percentage (LVEF), left ventricle fractional shortening percentage (LVFS). Exclusion criteria: (a) Duplicate reports. (b) Studies with incomplete data and unclear outcome indicators. (c) Studies where the outcome indicators could not be transformed into mergeable data. (d) Studies using incorrect statistical methods that could not be corrected.

#### 2.3. Data extraction

Two researchers (Jiahao Sun and Jiarong Fan) independently extracted baseline information (i.e., sample size, country, author, and year), animal characteristics (e.g., age, weight, strain, or species), detailed treatments of included studies Strategy (such as the type of saponin, source plant, dose, method and time of administration) and methods for measuring myocardial infarction size after myocardial I/R injury.

#### 2.4. Quality assessment

The quality of included studies was assessed and scored by two researchers (Yang Fan and Su Xin) according to the CAMARADES (Collaborative Approach to Meta Analysis and Review of Animal Data from Experimental Stroke) list (18). For each article, we scored 1 point for each of the following terms: statement of a potential conflict of interest, sample size calculation, random allocation to groups, a peer-reviewed publication, compliance with animal welfare regulations, and blinded outcomes assessment. We define 1–2 points as high risk, 3–4 points as medium risk, and 5–6 points as low risk. Disagreements were resolved through discussions with the corresponding author.

#### 2.5. Data statistical and analysis

This meta-analysis used continuous variables as mean and standard deviation. We calculated pooled effect sizes using random effects models. Effect sizes were expressed as weighted mean differences (WMD) with 95% confidence intervals (CI). To assess heterogeneity, we used the Q statistic and the  $I^2$  statistic for quantifying. Publication bias was evaluated initially by funnel plots and further detected by Egger's test. If there were significant heterogeneity among studies (p < 0.10), the analysis would be performed by deleting each study, post hoc subgroup analysis (i.e., duration of reperfusion, study type, species, and schedule of preconditioning), and trim-and-fill method to achieve sensitivity analysis. Univariate regression (i.e., study type, species, states, sample size, route of administration and duration of reperfusion, and temporal regimen of preconditioning) was proposed to explore potential heterogeneity sources. For all results except heterogeneity, P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. We used STATA version 15.1 (STATA Corporation, CollegeStation, TX, USA) for statistical analyses and graphs.

# 3. Results

## 3.1. Characteristics of included studies

We obtained 300 related articles (PubMed: 64, EMBASE: 47, Web of Science: 55, Cochrane Library: 1, CNKI: 91, Sinomed: 42) by searching in databases, and 182 articles were retained after removing duplicate articles, among which 154 were excluded after the title and abstract screening, and 28 were eligible for full-text review. Five articles did not report infarct size as mentioned in the inclusion criteria, three lacked a placebo group, and three used saponin conversion products as an intervention. Finally, 17 studies of 386 animals (254 in the saponin treatment group and 132 in the control group) met the predetermined inclusion criteria and were eventually included in our meta-analysis (Figure 1) (4, 5, 10, 13, 17, 19-30). Table 1 shows comprehensive information for each study. All studies used mice (C57BL/6) and rats (Sprague-Dawley or Wistar) to investigate the cardioprotective effects of saponins. All studies measured infarct size by Evans blue/TTC double staining. Saponins were administered orally or intravenously, or intraperitoneally. In most studies, the administration began a few days before myocardial ischemia, and some studies were administered within half an hour before ischemia or during reperfusion. All 17 studies were conducted in China and were published between 2013 and 2022.

## 3.2. Quality assessment of studies

The quality of the included studies was evaluated in Table 2. Most studies (82.4%) were scored 3 to 4, indicating that the data were generally reliable and the risk of bias was acceptable. Three studies were judged as having a high risk of bias.

## 3.3. Infarct size

A summary analysis of 24 arms with 290 animals (intervention group = 192, control group = 98) showed the consistent effect of saponins on myocardial infarction size (Figure 2). Random effect model analysis showed that compared with placebo treatment, saponins significantly reduced infarct size (WMD: -3.60, 95% CI: -4.45 to -2.74, P < 0.01). There is a large amount of heterogeneity between studies ( $I^2$ : 84.7%, P < 0.001). The funnel plot and Egger test (P < 0.001) showed the existence of publication bias (Figure 3). After introducing possibly unpublished studies through the trim and fill method, the results were steady (WMD: -2.81, 95% Cl: -3.69 to-1.93, P < 0.001), and the systematic deletion of each study did not affect the summary WMD and corresponding P values (Figure 4), which means that the beneficial effect of saponins in myocardial protection is stable. Subgroup analysis to explore the sources of heterogeneity between studies showed that sample size was a



I/R Duration		30 min/2 h	30 min/4 h	30 min/48 h	30 min/48 h	30 min/2 h	30 min/2 h	30 min/24 h	30 min/3 h	30 min/4 h	30 min/24 h	30 min/48 h	120 min/ unknown	30 min/24 h	120 min/2 h	30 min/3 h	30 min/3 h	45 min/24 h	45 min/3 h	
le Size	Saponin	8	6	ß	6	10	11	5	8	8	6	9	10	6	6	10	æ	3	20	
Sampl	Control	ø	9	ŝ	6	11	11	ß	×	8	6	6	10	6	6	10	×	6	20	
Weight		200 g± 20 g	20–25 g	270–280 g	260–300 g	220–240 g	220–240 g	250 ± 10 g	250 ± 30 g	280–320 g	20–22 g	230–250 g	20–22 g	Unknown	210–230 g	$250 \pm 30$	$200 \pm 20$	$150 \pm 20$	3 months	
Species		Rats, Wistar	Mice, C57BL/6	Rats, SD	Rats, SD	Rats, SD	Rats, SD	Rats, SD	Rats, SD	Rats, SD	Mice, C57BL/6	Rats, SD	Mice, C57BL/ 6J	Mice, C57BL/6	Rats, Wistar	Rats, SD	Rats, SD	Rats, SD	Mice, C57BL/6	
Sex		Male	Male	Male	Male	Male	Male	Male	Male	Male	Male	Male	Male	Male	Male/ Female	Male	Male/ Female	Male/ Female	Male anvl tetrazoli	
Treatment		200 mg/kg, p.o., 14 days/30 min before I/R	20 mg/kg; i.p., during reperfusion	7.5/15/30 mg/kg.p.o., 3 days before I/R	25/50/100 mg/kg, p.o., 5 days before I/R	2.5/5 mg/kg, p.o., 7 days before I/R	10 mg/kg, p.o., 7 days before I/R	30 mg/kg, i.p., 15 min before reperfusion	60/120/240 mg/kg.p.o., 7 days before I/R	10/40 mg/kg, i.p.,10 min before I/R	1/5/10 mg/kg. i.p., 3 days before I/R	15 mg/kg.p.o., 3 days before I/R	30/60 mg/kg.p.o., 14 days before I/R	4 mg/kg, ip.,15 min after 1/R	70/100 mg/kg. p.o., 7 days before I/R	50/100/200 mg/kg,i.p., 7 days before I/R	100/300 mg/kg,i.g., 15 days before I/R	270 mg/kg,i.g.,42 days before I/R	30 mg/kg.p.o., 10 min before I/R schemia/reperfusion injury: TTC triph	
Major plant source		Rhizoma Panacis Majoris	Astragalus membranaceus	Aralia elata (Miq.)	Aralia elata (Miq.)	Astragalus membranaceus		llex pubescens Hook	Aralia taibaiensis	Ilex pubescens Hook. et Arn.	Clematis tangutica	Aralia elata (Miq.)	Gynostemma pentaphyllum	Bulbus Bolbostemmae Paniculati	American Panax ginseng	Clematis tangutica	Panax quiquefolium L.	Panax quiquefolium L.	Polygala ction: n.o. orally treated: I/R_i	cuoli, p.o., olany neared, I/N, I
Compound		Saponins from Rhizoma Panacis Majoris	Saponin astragaloside IV	Calenduloside E	Total Saponins of Aralia Elata (Miq) Seem	Astragaloside IV		Ilexsaponin I	Total saponins extracted from Aralia taibaiensis	Ilexsaponin A	Apigenin-7-O- $\beta$ -D-(-6" -p-coumaroyl)- glucopyranoside	Calenduloside E	Gypenoside XVII	Tubeimoside I	Panax quinquefolius L. saponins	Total saponins from Clematis tangutica	Panax quinquefolius saponins	Panax quinquefolium saponins	Senegenin in intranentioneal injection: iv intravenous injec	
State		China	China	China	China	China		China	China	China	China	China	China	China	China	China	China	China	China M male	
Year		2018	2021	2021	2018	2019		2022	2015	2017	2017	2020	2022	2021	2021	2019	2014	2013	2014 Dawlev	Lawiey,
Author		He et al.	Huang et al.	Wang et al.	Wang et al.	Wei et al.		Wu et al.	Yan et al.	Zhang et al.	Zhu et al.	Wang et al.	Su et al.	Lv et al.	Yu et al.	Yao et al.	Sun et al.	Wang et al.	Li et al. SD Sprague-I	JU, Julague

TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics of studies.

TABLE 2 The quality of included studies.

Studies	Year	A	В	С	D	Е	F	Score
Zhu et al.	2017	Y	N	Ν	N	Y	Y	3
Huang et al.	2021	Y	N	Ν	N	Y	Y	3
Wei et al.	2019	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	3
Wang et al.	2020	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	4
Wang et al.	2021	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	4
Su et al.	2022	Y	N	Ν	N	Y	Y	3
Wu et al.	2022	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	4
Zhang et al.	2017	Y	Y	Ν	N	Y	N	3
Yu et al.	2020	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	4
He et al.	2018	Y	Y	Ν	N	Y	Y	4
Yan et al.	2015	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	3
Wang et al.	2018	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Y	Y	3
Lv et al.	2021	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	4
Li et al.	2014	Y	Y	Ν	N	Ν	N	2
Wang et al.	2013	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	3
Sun et al.	2014	Y	Y	N	N	Ν	N	2
Yao et al.	2019	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	2

A, peer-reviewed publication; B, random allocation to groups; C, blinded outcomes assessment; D, sample size calculation; E, compliance with animal welfare regulations; F, a statement of a potential conflict of interest; Y, yes; N, no.

possible source of heterogeneity (Figure 5). Univariate regression failed to reveal any significant correlation between research covariables and effect sizes (Table 3).

## 3.4. Cardiac function

#### 3.4.1. Left ventricular ejection fraction percentage

Pooled data from 16 arms involving 168 animals showed that saponin treatment significantly increased LVEF after myocardial I/R injury compared with placebo treatment (WMD: 3.119, 95% CI: 2.165 to 4.082, P < 0.01) (Figure 6). Heterogeneity was high  $(I^2 = 82.9\%, P < 0.01)$ . The funnel plot and Egger (P < 0.001) test showed publication bias (Figure 7). Sensitivity analysis by trim and fill method showed that WMD: 1.548, 95% CI: 0.529 to 2.568, P = 0.003. The difference was statistically significant. Systematically deleting each study did not significantly affect WMD and P values, indicating that the beneficial effect of saponin treatment on LVEF is stable (Figure 8). Univariate regression showed that the effect size was significantly correlated with reperfusion time (Coefficient: 1.074572, 95% CI: 1.014757 to 1.137913, P = 0.017) (Figure 9), suggesting that the inter-study heterogeneity may be mainly due to the differences in the reperfusion time (Table 4).

#### 3.4.2. Left ventricle fractional shortening percentage

A meta-analysis of 16 arms involving 168 experimental animals showed that saponin administration significantly increased LVFS after myocardial I/R injury compared with placebo treatment (WMD: 3.157, 95% CI: 2.218 to 4.097, P < 0.001) (Figure 6) with high heterogeneity ( $I^2 = 81.3\%$ , P < 0.001). The funnel plot and Egger test (P < 0.001) showed publication bias (Figure 7). Sensitivity analysis by trim and fill method obtained no

10.3389/fcvm.2023.1147740

significant influence of publication bias on pooled effect size (WMD: 1.697, 95% CI: 0.698 to 2.695, P = 0.01). Systematically deleting the WMD and corresponding P values did not significantly affect the summary of each study. The results showed that the beneficial effect of saponin administration on FS was stable (**Figure 8**). Univariate regression showed that the effect size was significantly correlated with the reperfusion time (Coefficient: 1.069146, 95% CI: 1.002232 to 1.140527, P = 0.044) (**Figure 10**), suggesting differences in the reperfusion time may be the primary source of heterogeneity.

#### 3.5. Myocardial enzyme

#### 3.5.1. LDH (lactate dehydrogenase)

Pooled data from 20 arms involving 204 animals showed that saponin treatment significantly decreased LDH after myocardial I/R injury compared with placebo treatment (WMD: -4.035, 95% CI: -4.943 to -3.127, P < 0.01). Heterogeneity was high ( $I^2 = 78.5\%$ , P < 0.01). The funnel plot and Egger test (P < 0.001) showed publication bias. Sensitivity analysis by trim and fill method showed that WMD: -3.110, 95% CI: -4.029 to -2.190, P < 0.001. The result was steady. Systematically deleting each study did not significantly affect WMD and P values, indicating that the beneficial effect of saponin treatment on LDH is stable.

#### 3.5.2. CK-MB (creatine kinase MB isoenzyme)

A meta-analysis of 13 arms involving 126 experimental animals showed that saponin administration significantly decreased CK-MB after myocardial I/R injury compared with placebo treatment (WMD: -4.261, 95% CI: -5.520 to -3.002, P < 0.001) with high heterogeneity ( $I^2 = 79.5\%$ , P < 0.001). The funnel plot and Egger test (P < 0.001) showed publication bias. Sensitivity analysis by trim and fill method obtained no significant influence of publication bias on pooled effect size (WMD: -3.495, 95% CI: -4.825 to -2.165, P < 0.001). Systematically deleting the WMD and corresponding P values did not significantly affect the summary of each study. The results showed that the beneficial effect of saponin administration on CK-MB was stable.

## 4. Discussion

So far, this is the first study to systematically collect and quantitatively analyze the protective effects of saponins extract from TCM on myocardial I/R injury. In this study, we systematically reviewed 17 articles involving 26 arms. The results showed that saponins significantly decreased the myocardial infarction size and increased the LVEF and LVFS after myocardial infarction compared with the control group, which proves the positive role of saponins in alleviating MIRI, protecting the myocardium, and promoting the recovery of cardiac function.

PCI and thrombolysis are still the most effective methods for treating ischemia in patients with acute myocardial infarction (31). However, evidence shows that I/R injury after blood flow

author (year)	Effect (95% CI)	% Weight
He et al. (2018)	-2.81 (-4.24, -1.38)	4.97
Huang et al. (2021)	-4.17 (-6.32, -2.02)	4.23
Wang et al(7.5mg/kg). (2021)	-6.96 (-10.58, -3.33)	2.84
Wang et al(15mg/kg). (2021)	-14.39 (-21.55, -7.23)	1.15
Wang et al(30mg/kg). (2021)	-11.41 (-17.14, -5.69)	1.61
Wang et al.(25mg/kg) (2018)	-0.46 (-1.61, 0.69)	5.23
Wang et al.(50mg/kg) (2018)	0.67 (-0.50, 1.84)	5.21
Wang et al.(100mg/kg) (2018)	-3.98 (-6.06, -1.90)	4.31
Wei et al.(2.5mg/kg) (2019)	-0.80 (-1.69, 0.09)	5.43
Wei et al.(5mg/kg) (2019)	-4.07 (-5.62, -2.52)	4.85
Wei et al.(10mg/kg) (2019)	-5.82 (-7.81, -3.83)	4.40
Wu et al. (2022)	-6.50 (-9.91, -3.08)	3.01
Yan et al.(60mg/kg) (2015)	-4.67 (-6.65, -2.68)	4.40
Yan et al.(120mg/kg) (2015)	-4.37 (-6.26, -2.48)	4.50
Yan et al.(240mg/kg) (2015)	-12.14 (-16.75, -7.54)	2.16
Zhang et al.(10mg/kg) (2017)	-1.71 (-2.88, -0.54)	5.21
Zhang et al.(40mg/kg) (2017)	-2.68 (-4.07, -1.28)	5.01
Yao et al.(50mg/kg) (2019)	-1.43 (-2.43, -0.44)	5.36
Yao et al.(100mg/kg) (2019)	-2.82 (-4.09, -1.55)	5.12
Yao et al.(200mg/kg) (2019)	-4.57 (-6.30, -2.84)	4.67
Sun et al.(300mg/kg) (2014)	-1.95 (-3.16, -0.73)	5.17
Sun et al.(101mg/kg) (2014)	-4.73 (-6.74, -2.72)	4.38
Wang et al (2013)	-9.30 (-15.93, -2.66)	1.29
Li et al. (2014)	-2.35 (-3.16, -1.53)	5.49
Overall, DL (l <sup>2</sup> = 84.7%, p = 0.000)	-3.60 (-4.45, -2.74)	100.00
l interv	vention control 20	

recovery accounts for nearly half of the infarct area, ultimately aggravating ventricular dysfunction after myocardial infarction (19). Therefore, MIRI is an urgent clinical concern. In recent years, some treatments for myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury have been partially proven effective, but these methods often have drawbacks that cannot be ignored. For example, one way to reduce reperfusion injury is to inflate/deflate the angioplasty balloon within 1 min after reperfusion. Small-scale trials have shown that infarct size is reduced in patients who receive this treatment. However, large-sample randomized clinical trials for STEMI (ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction) post-processing showed neutral results. And repeatedly inflating the balloon at the lesion site may lead to excessive production of thromboembolism. In recent years, there are also some adjuvant drug interventions for myocardial ischemia-reperfusion, most of which have been proved to be ineffective, but some drugs have shown certain efficacy. For example, cyclosporine-a, metoprolol, glucose modulators, and abciximab, among which cyclosporine and abciximab have well-known side effects, glucose modulators lack prospective clinical trial evidence, and  $\beta$ -blockers are also questionable in terms of safety in patients with low systolic blood pressure (<120 mm Hg) and Killip class III patients, limiting their application scenarios. In contrast, as an extract of traditional Chinese medicine, saponins have been widely used by clinicians in China since ancient times. So far, there are no obvious safety problems. This study also confirmed the effectiveness of saponins in reducing ischemia-reperfusion injury in animals. MIRI involves plenty of mechanisms (32). TCM has been used in China for thousands of years. Many of these drugs and therapies have been tested today and have proven superior





efficacy (33). Recently, some studies found that saponins from TCM can protect against myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury through various mechanisms (4, 34–36).

## 4.1. Necrosis

Necrosis is thought to be caused by chemical or physical damage. A decrease in ATP production can cause intracellular calcium overload during myocardial ischemia. During reperfusion, the restoration of energy supply under the premise of calcium overload-induced the oscillatory release and uptake of calcium by the sarcoplasmic reticulum, resulting in uncontrolled excessive muscle fiber contraction and damage to cellular structures. The study of Wang et al. showed that Calenduloside E(CE) could repress L-type calcium current induced by L-type calcium channels(LTCC) agonist and regulate calcium homeostasis (37).

#### 4.2. Oxidative stress

After reperfusion, excessive reactive oxygen species (ROS) are produced, which may lead to the oxidation of nucleic acids, lipids, and proteins, further leading to changes in membrane damage, protein function, metabolic disorders, gene mutation, and resulting in oxidative stress (38–41). Studies have shown that Sirt1, Sirt3, and Nrf2 have the function of regulating oxidative stress. He et al. found that Saponins from *Rhizoma Panacis Majoris* (SPRM) protect against MIRI by activating Sirt1 and Nrf2-related signaling pathways and attenuating oxidative stress (10). Tubeimoside I (TBM) reduces the production of ROS by inhibiting NOX2. On the other hand, TBM increased antioxidant factors such as Nrf2 and NQO1 (26). Zhu et al. found that a new flavonoid glycoside (APG) increased SOD activity, decreased H2O2 and MDA, inhibited mitochondrial oxidative stress, and finally attenuated MIRI via activating PKC $\epsilon$  signaling (19).

8       -2.81 (-4.24, -1.         Yan et al. (200mg/kg) (2015)       -4.67 (-6.65, -2.         Yan et al. (240mg/kg) (2015)       -4.37 (-6.26, -2.         Yan et al. (240mg/kg) (2017)       -1.71 (-2.88, -0.         Zhang et al. (10mg/kg) (2017)       -2.68 (-4.07, -1.         Sun et al. (300mg/kg) (2014)       -2.68 (-4.07, -1.         Sun et al. (300mg/kg) (2014)       -2.68 (-4.07, -1.         Sun et al. (2021)       -2.68 (-4.07, -1.         Wang et al. (250mg/kg) (2018)       -0.46 (-1.61, 0.6         Wang et al. (250mg/kg) (2018)       -0.46 (-1.61, 0.6         Wang et al. (250mg/kg) (2018)       -0.46 (-1.61, 0.6         Wang et al. (200mg/kg) (2019)       -0.46 (-1.61, 0.6         Vei et al. (250mg/kg) (2021)       -0.46 (-1.61, 0.6         Vei at al. (2000mg/kg) (2019)       -0.46 (-1.61, 0.6         Wei et al. (2000mg/kg) (2019)       -0.46 (-1.61, 0.6         Vei et al. (2000mg/kg) (2019)       -0.46 (-1.61, 0.6         Vei et al. (2000mg/kg) (2019)       -0.46 (-1.61, 0.6         Vei et al. (2000mg/kg) (2019)       -0.80 (-1.69, 0.0         Vei et al. (2000mg/kg)	38) 4.97 38) 4.40
He et al. (2018) Yan et al. (60mg/kg) (2015) Yan et al. (240mg/kg) (2015) Yan et al. (240mg/kg) (2015) Yan et al. (240mg/kg) (2017) Zhang et al. (140mg/kg) (2017) Zhang et al. (400mg/kg) (2017) Sun et al. (300mg/kg) (2014) Sun et al. (300mg/kg) (2014) Sun et al. (101mg/kg) (2014) Wang et al. (25mg/kg) (2018) Wang et al. (25mg/kg) (2018) Wang et al. (25mg/kg) (2018) Wang et al. (100mg/kg) (2018) Wang et al. (100mg/kg) (2021) < 6 Wang et al. (2022) Wang et al. (2022) Wang et al. (2022) Wang et al. (2023) Wang et al. (2021) < 6 Wang et al. (2021) < 6 < 6 < 16 < 16	38) 4.97 38) 4.40
Yan et al. $(60mg/kg)$ (2015) Yan et al. $(120mg/kg)$ (2015) Yan et al. $(120mg/kg)$ (2017) Zhang et al. $(140mg/kg)$ (2017) Zhang et al. $(140mg/kg)$ (2017) Sun et al. $(300mg/kg)$ (2014) Sun et al. $(300mg/kg)$ (2014) Sun et al. $(300mg/kg)$ (2014) Sun et al. $(101mg/kg)$ (2014) Huang et al. $(2021)$ Wang et al. $(2021)$ Wang et al. $(2021)$ Wang et al. $(2021)$ Wang et al. $(100mg/kg)$ (2018) Subgroup, DL ( $I^2$ = 87.9%, p = 0.000) <6 Wang et al. $(2021)$ Wang et al. $(2021)$ Wang et al. $(2022)$ Wang et al. $(2022)$ Wang et al. $(2022)$ Wang et al. $(2022)$ Wang et al. $(2022)$ Wei et al. $(2023)$ Subgroup, DL ( $I^2$ = 85.9%, p = 0.232) Z10 Wei et al. $(200mg/kg)$ (2019) Yao et al. $(100mg/kg)$ (2019) Yao et al. $(200mg/kg)$	\$8) 4.40
Yan et al. $(120 mg/kg)$ (2015) Yan et al. $(240 mg/kg)$ (2015) Thang et al. $(140 mg/kg)$ (2017) Zhang et al. $(140 mg/kg)$ (2017) Zhang et al. $(400 mg/kg)$ (2014) Sun et al. $(300 mg/kg)$ (2014) Sun et al. $(300 mg/kg)$ (2014) Subgroup, DL ( $1^2 = 77.1\%$ , p = 0.000) 6 Huang et al. $(2021)$ Wang et al. $(25 mg/kg)$ (2018) Wang et al. $(25 mg/kg)$ (2018) Subgroup, DL ( $1^2 = 87.9\%$ , p = 0.000)     Kuang et al. $(30 mg/kg)$ . (2021) Wang et al. $(30 mg/kg)$ . (2021) Wang et al. $(30 mg/kg)$ . (2021) Wang et al. $(25 mg/kg)$ . (2021) Wang et al. $(30 mg/kg)$ . (2021) Wang et al. $(30 mg/kg)$ . (2021) Wang et al. $(25 mg/kg)$ . (2021) Wang et al. $(30 mg/kg)$ . (2021) Wang et al. $(30 mg/kg)$ . (2021) 	/
Yan et al. $(240mg/kg)(2015)$ Zhang et al. $(10mg/kg)(2017)$ Zhang et al. $(40mg/kg)(2017)$ Sun et al. $(10mg/kg)(2017)$ Sun et al. $(10mg/kg)(2014)$ Sun et al. $(10mg/kg)(2014)$ Sun et al. $(10mg/kg)(2014)$ Subgroup, DL ( $I^2 = 77.1\%$ , p = 0.000) 6 Huang et al. $(2021)$ Wang et al. $(2021)$ Wang et al. $(20mg/kg)(2018)$ Wang et al. $(50mg/kg)(2018)$ Subgroup, DL ( $I^2 = 87.9\%$ , p = 0.000) <6 Wang et al. $(15mg/kg).(2021)$ Wang et al. $(2022)$ Wang et al. $(2022)$ Wang et al. $(2023)$ Subgroup, DL ( $I^2 = 28.5\%$ , p = 0.232) $\neq$ $\geq 10$ Wei et al. $(25mg/kg)(2019)$ Wei et al. $(50mg/kg)(2019)$ Wei et al. $(50mg/kg)(2019)$ $\Rightarrow$ $\geq 10$ Wei et al. $(50mg/kg)(2019)$ $\Rightarrow$ $\Rightarrow$ $\geq 10$ Wei et al. $(50mg/kg)(2019)$ $\Rightarrow$ $\Rightarrow$ $\geq 10$ Wei et al. $(50mg/kg)(2019)$ $\Rightarrow$ $\Rightarrow$ $\Rightarrow$ $\geq 10$ Wei et al. $(50mg/kg)(2019)$ $\Rightarrow$ $\Rightarrow$ $\Rightarrow$ $\Rightarrow$ $\Rightarrow$ $\Rightarrow$ $\Rightarrow$ $\Rightarrow$	48) 4.50
Zhang et al. (10mg/kg) (2017) Zhang et al. (40mg/kg) (2017) Sun et al. (300mg/kg) (2014) Sun et al. (101mg/kg) (2014) Subgroup, DL ( $l^2 = 77.1\%$ , p = 0.000) 6 Huang et al. (2021) Wang et al. (2021) Wang et al. (200mg/kg) (2018) Wang et al. (50mg/kg) (2018) Wang et al. (100mg/kg) (2018) Subgroup, DL ( $l^2 = 87.9\%$ , p = 0.000) <6 Wang et al. (2021) Wang et al. (2021) Wang et al. (2021) Wang et al. (2021) Wang et al. (2013) Subgroup, DL ( $l^2 = 87.9\%$ , p = 0.232) <76 Wang et al. (2013) Subgroup, DL ( $l^2 = 28.5\%$ , p = 0.232) ×10 Wei et al. (2019) Wei et al. (50mg/kg) (2019) Wei et al. (50mg/kg) (2019) Yao et al. (50mg/kg) (2019) Yao et al. (50mg/kg) (2019) Yao et al. (50mg/kg) (2019) Yao et al. (200mg/kg) (2019) Yao	7.54) 2.16
Zhang et al. (40mg/kg) (2017)       -2.68 (-4.07, -1.         Sun et al. (300mg/kg) (2014)       -1.95 (-3.16, -0.         Sun et al. (101mg/kg) (2014)       -4.73 (-6.74, -2.         Subgroup, DL (I <sup>2</sup> = 77.1%, p = 0.000)       -3.62 (-4.85, -2.         6       -4.17 (-6.32, -2.         Wang et al. (2021)       -4.17 (-6.32, -2.         Wang et al. (25mg/kg) (2018)       -0.46 (-1.61, 0.6.         Wang et al. (100mg/kg) (2018)       -0.46 (-1.61, 0.6.         Subgroup, DL (I <sup>2</sup> = 87.9%, p = 0.000)       -1.82 (-4.02, 0.3.         <6	54) 5.21
Sun et al. (300mg/kg) (2014) Sun et al. (101mg/kg) (2014) Subgroup, DL ( $1^2 = 77.1\%$ , p = 0.000) 6 Huang et al. (2021) Wang et al. (2021) Wang et al. (2014) Subgroup, DL ( $1^2 = 77.1\%$ , p = 0.000) 6 Huang et al. (2021) Wang et al. (200mg/kg) (2018) Subgroup, DL ( $1^2 = 87.9\%$ , p = 0.000) <6 Wang et al (7.5mg/kg). (2021) Wang et al. (2022) Wang et al. (2022) Wang et al. (2022) Wang et al. (2023) Subgroup, DL ( $1^2 = 28.5\%$ , p = 0.232) <10 Wei et al. (25mg/kg) (2019) Wei et al. (55mg/kg) (2019) <1.43 (2.43, 0. <1.43 (2.43, 0. <1.43 (2.43, 0. <1.43 (2.43, 0. <1.43 (2.43, 0. <1.43 (2.43, 0. <1.43 (2.43, 0. <2.82 (4.09, -1. <1.43 (2.23, 0. <1.43 (2.243, 0. <2.82 (4.09, -1. <2.82	28) 5.01
Sun et al. (101mg/kg) (2014) Subgroup, DL ( $1^2 = 77.1\%$ , p = 0.000) 6 Huang et al. (2021) Wang et al. (2021) Wang et al. (200mg/kg) (2018) Wang et al. (100mg/kg) (2018) Subgroup, DL ( $1^2 = 87.9\%$ , p = 0.000) <6 Wang et al (7.5mg/kg). (2021) Wang et al. (2022) Wang et al. (2013) Subgroup, DL ( $1^2 = 28.5\%$ , p = 0.232) <6 Wei et al. (25mg/kg) (2019) Wei et al. (25mg/kg) (2019) Wei et al. (25mg/kg) (2019) <1.43 (22.43, -0.232) <6 <0.80 (-1.69, 0.023) <0.80 (-1.69, 0.023)	73) 5.17
Subgroup, DL ( $1^2$ = 77.1%, p = 0.000) 6 Huang et al. (2021) Wang et al. (2021) Wang et al. (25mg/kg) (2018) Wang et al. (100mg/kg). (2021) <6 Wang et al (7.5mg/kg). (2021) <6 Wang et al (7.5mg/kg). (2021) <6 Wang et al (2022) <6 Wang et al (2013) Subgroup, DL ( $1^2$ = 28.5%, p = 0.232) <76 Wei et al. (2022) <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <77 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <77 <76 <78 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <77 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 $<76<76<76<76<76<76<76<76<76<76<76<76<76<76<76<76<76<76<76<76<76<76<76<76$	(2) 4.38
$\begin{array}{c} 6\\ \\ Huang et al. (2021) \\ Wang et al. (25mg/kg) (2018) \\ Wang et al. (50mg/kg) (2018) \\ Wang et al. (100mg/kg) (2018) \\ Subgroup, DL (I2 = 87.9%, p = 0.000) \\ < 6\\ \\ Wang et al (15mg/kg). (2021) \\ & -1.82 (-4.02, 0.3) \\ < 6\\ \\ Wang et al (15mg/kg). (2021) \\ & -1.82 (-4.02, 0.3) \\ < 6\\ \\ Wang et al (2013) \\ Subgroup, DL (I2 = 28.5\%, p = 0.232) \\ \\ \geq 10\\ \\ Wei et al. (25mg/kg) (2019) \\ Wei et al. (25mg/kg) (2019) \\ Wei et al. (25mg/kg) (2019) \\ Wei et al. (10mg/kg) (2019) \\ \\ \times 10\\ Yao et al. (200mg/kg) (2019) \\ \\ Yao et al. (200mg/kg) (2019) \\ \\ Yao et al. (200mg/kg) (2019) \\ \\ Yao et al. (2014) \\ \\ Subgroup, DL (I2 = 28.42\%, p = 0.000) \\ \end{array}$	38) 35.81
Huang et al. (2021) Wang et al. (25mg/kg) (2018) Wang et al. (50mg/kg) (2018) Wang et al. (100mg/kg) (2018) Subgroup, DL ( $l^2 = 87.9\%$ , p = 0.000) <6 Wang et al(7.5mg/kg). (2021) Wang et al(7.5mg/kg). (2021) Wang et al (2013) Subgroup, DL ( $l^2 = 28.5\%$ , p = 0.232) 210 Wei et al. (2.5mg/kg) (2019) Wei et al. (25mg/kg) (2019) Wei et al. (5mg/kg) (2019) Wei et al. (5mg/kg) (2019) Yao et al. (100mg/kg) (2019) Yao et al. (200mg/kg) (2019	
Wang et al. $(25mg/kg)$ (2018) Wang et al. $(50mg/kg)$ (2018) Wang et al. $(100mg/kg)$ (2018) Wang et al. $(100mg/kg)$ (2018) <6 Wang et al $(7.5mg/kg)$ . (2021) <6 Wang et al $(200mg/kg)$ . (2021) <76 Wang et al $(2013)$ Subgroup, DL ( $1^2$ = 28.5%, p = 0.232) <76 Wei et al. $(2022)$ <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <76 <77 <776 <776 <776 <776 <776 <776 <776 <776 <776 <776 <776 <776 <776 <776 <776 <776 <776 <776 <776 <776 <776 <776 <776 <776 <776 <776 <776 $<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776<776$	)2) 4.23
Wang et al. $(50mg/kg)$ (2018) Wang et al. $(100mg/kg)$ (2018) Subgroup, DL ( $1^2 = 87.9\%$ , p = 0.000) <6 Wang et al (7.5mg/kg). (2021) Wang et al (20mg/kg). (2021) Wang et al (2013) Subgroup, DL ( $1^2 = 28.5\%$ , p = 0.232) $\ge 10$ Wei et al. (2.5mg/kg) (2019) Wei et al. (20mg/kg) (2019) Yao et al. (200mg/kg) (2019) Yao et	9) 5.23
Wang et al. (100mg/kg) (2018)       -3.98 (-6.06, -1.         Subgroup, DL ( $1^2 = 87.9\%$ , p = 0.000)       -1.82 (-4.02, 0.3)         <6	) 5.21
Subgroup, DL ( $I^2 = 87.9\%$ , p = 0.000) <6 Wang et al(7.5mg/kg). (2021) Wang et al(15mg/kg). (2021) Wang et al(30mg/kg). (2021) Wang et al (2022) Wang et al (2013) Subgroup, DL ( $I^2 = 28.5\%$ , p = 0.232) $\geq 10$ Wei et al.(2.5mg/kg) (2019) Wei et al.(50mg/kg) (2019) Yao et al.(100mg/kg) (2019) Yao et al.(100mg/kg) (2019) Yao et al.(200mg/kg) (2019)	90) 4.31
Key and the set of the set o	8) 18.98
Wang et al(7.5mg/kg). (2021)       -6.96 (-10.58, -4.14.39 (-21.55, -4.14.39 (-21.55, -4.14.39 (-21.55, -4.14.39 (-21.55, -4.14.39 (-21.55, -4.14.39 (-21.55, -4.14.39 (-21.55, -4.14.39 (-21.55, -4.14.39 (-21.55, -4.14.39 (-21.55, -4.14.39 (-21.55, -4.14.39 (-21.55, -4.14.39 (-21.55, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14, -4.14,	
Wang et al (15mg/kg). (2021)       -14.39 (-21.55,         Wang et al (30mg/kg). (2021)       -11.41 (-17.14,         Wu et al. (2022)       -6.50 (-9.91, -3.         Wang et al (2013)       -9.30 (-15.93, -2.         Subgroup, DL ( $1^2 = 28.5\%$ , p = 0.232)       -8.61 (-11.19, -6.50)         ≥10       -0.80 (-1.69, 0.0.         Wei et al.(2.5mg/kg) (2019)       -0.80 (-1.69, 0.0.         Wei et al.(10mg/kg) (2019)       -5.82 (-7.81, -3.         Yao et al.(50mg/kg) (2019)       -1.43 (-2.43, -0.         Yao et al.(200mg/kg) (2019)       -2.82 (-4.09, -1.         Yao et al.(200mg/kg) (2019)       -2.35 (-3.16, -1.         Yao et al.(2014)       -2.35 (-3.16, -1.         Subgroup, DL ( $1^2 = 84.2\%$ , p = 0.000)       -2.35 (-3.16, -1.	.33) 2.84
Wang et al(30mg/kg). (2021)       -11.41 (-17.14,         Wu et al. (2022)       -6.50 (-9.91, -3.         Wang et al (2013)       -9.30 (-15.93, -2.         Subgroup, DL ( $I^2 = 28.5\%$ , p = 0.232)       -8.61 (-11.19, -6.50 (-9.91, -3.))         ≥10       -0.80 (-1.69, 0.0.)         Wei et al.(2.5mg/kg) (2019)       -0.80 (-1.69, 0.0.)         Wei et al.(10mg/kg) (2019)       -5.82 (-7.81, -3.)         Yao et al.(50mg/kg) (2019)       -5.82 (-7.81, -3.)         Yao et al.(100mg/kg) (2019)       -2.82 (-4.09, -1.)         Yao et al.(200mg/kg) (2019)       -2.35 (-3.16, -1.)         Li et al. (2014)       -2.35 (-3.16, -1.)         Subgroup, DL ( $I^2 = 84.2\%$ , p = 0.000)       -2.35 (-3.16, -1.)	7.23) 1.15
Wu et al. (2022)       -6.50 (-9.91, -3.         Wang et al (2013)       -9.30 (-15.93, -2.         Subgroup, DL ( $I^2 = 28.5\%$ , p = 0.232)       -8.61 (-11.19, -6.50)         ≥10       -0.80 (-1.69, 0.0.50)         Wei et al. (2.5mg/kg) (2019)       -0.80 (-1.69, 0.0.50)         Wei et al. (2.5mg/kg) (2019)       -5.82 (-7.81, -3.50)         Yao et al. (50mg/kg) (2019)       -5.82 (-7.81, -3.50)         Yao et al. (200mg/kg) (2019)       -2.82 (-4.09, -1.50)         Yao et al. (200mg/kg) (2019)       -4.57 (-6.30, -2.50)         Li et al. (2014)       -2.35 (-3.16, -1.50)         Subgroup, DL ( $I^2 = 84.2\%$ , p = 0.000)       -2.35 (-3.16, -1.50)	5.69) 1.61
Wang et al (2013)       -9.30 (-15.93, -2)         Subgroup, DL ( $l^2$ = 28.5%, p = 0.232)       -8.61 (-11.19, -6)         ≥10       -0.80 (-1.69, 0.0)         Wei et al.(2.5mg/kg) (2019)       -0.80 (-1.69, 0.0)         Wei et al.(2.5mg/kg) (2019)       -4.07 (-5.62, -2.         Wei et al.(10mg/kg) (2019)       -5.82 (-7.81, -3.         Yao et al.(50mg/kg) (2019)       -1.43 (-2.43, -0.         Yao et al.(200mg/kg) (2019)       -2.82 (-4.09, -1.         Yao et al.(200mg/kg) (2019)       -4.57 (-6.30, -2.         Li et al. (2014)       -2.35 (-3.16, -1.         Subgroup, DL ( $l^2$ = 84.2%, p = 0.000)       -2.94 (-4.08, -1.	08) 3.01
Subgroup, DL ( $l^{*} = 28.5\%$ , p = 0.232) $\geq 10$ Wei et al.(2.5mg/kg) (2019) Wei et al.(5mg/kg) (2019) Wei et al.(10mg/kg) (2019) Yao et al.(50mg/kg) (2019) Yao et al.(100mg/kg) (2019) Yao et al.(200mg/kg) (2019) Li et al. (2014) Subgroup, DL ( $l^{2} = 84.2\%$ , p = 0.000) = 0.80 (-1.69, 0.0) = -0.80 (-1.69 (-1.69, 0.0) = -0	.66) 1.29
≥10 Wei et al.(2.5mg/kg) (2019) Wei et al.(5mg/kg) (2019) Wei et al.(10mg/kg) (2019) Yao et al.(50mg/kg) (2019) Yao et al.(100mg/kg) (2019) Yao et al.(200mg/kg) (2019) Li et al. (2014) Subgroup, DI ( $l^2 = 84.2\%$ , p = 0.000)	.04) 9.90
Wei et al. (2.5mg/kg) (2019)       -0.80 (-1.69, 0.0         Wei et al. (5mg/kg) (2019)       -4.07 (-5.62, -2.         Wei et al. (10mg/kg) (2019)       -5.82 (-7.81, -3.         Yao et al. (50mg/kg) (2019)       -1.43 (-2.43, -0.         Yao et al. (100mg/kg) (2019)       -2.82 (-4.09, -1.         Yao et al. (200mg/kg) (2019)       -4.57 (-6.30, -2.         Li et al. (2014)       -2.35 (-3.16, -1.         Subgroup, DL ( $l^2 = 84.2\%$ , p = 0.000)       2.94 (-4.08, -1.	
Wei et al.(5mg/kg) (2019)       -4.07 (-5.62, -2.         Wei et al.(10mg/kg) (2019)       -5.82 (-7.81, -3.         Yao et al.(50mg/kg) (2019)       -1.43 (-2.43, -0.         Yao et al.(100mg/kg) (2019)       -2.82 (-4.09, -1.         Yao et al.(200mg/kg) (2019)       -4.57 (-6.30, -2.         Li et al. (2014)       -2.35 (-3.16, -1.         Subgroup. Dl. ( $l^2 = 84.2\%$ p = 0.000)       294 (-4.08, -1.	9) 5.43
Wei et al. (10mg/kg) (2019)       -5.82 (-7.81, -3.         Yao et al. (50mg/kg) (2019)       -1.43 (-2.43, -0.         Yao et al. (100mg/kg) (2019)       -2.82 (-4.09, -1.         Yao et al. (200mg/kg) (2019)       -4.57 (-6.30, -2.         Li et al. (2014)       -2.35 (-3.16, -1.         Subgroup, DL (J <sup>2</sup> = 84.2%, p = 0.000)       -2.40000	52) 4.85
Yao et al.(50mg/kg) (2019)       -1.43 (-2.43, -0.         Yao et al.(100mg/kg) (2019)       -2.82 (-4.09, -1.         Yao et al.(200mg/kg) (2019)       -4.57 (-6.30, -2.         Li et al. (2014)       -2.35 (-3.16, -1.         Subgroup, DL (J <sup>2</sup> = 84.2%, p = 0.000)       2.94 (-4.08, -1.)	33) 4.40
Yao et al.(100mg/kg) (2019)       -2.82 (-4.09, -1.         Yao et al.(200mg/kg) (2019)       -4.57 (-6.30, -2.         Li et al. (2014)       -2.35 (-3.16, -1.         Subgroup, DI. (J <sup>2</sup> = 84.2%, p = 0.000)       2.94 (-4.08, -1.)	4) 5.36
Yao et al. (200mg/kg) (2019) Li et al. (2014) Subgroup DL (1 <sup>2</sup> = 84.2% p = 0.000) 2.94 (4.08, 1	55) 5.12
Li et al. (2014) $-2.35 (-3.16, -1.$	34) 4.67
Subgroup DI $(l^2 - 84.2\% \text{ p} - 0.000)$	53) 5.49
Subgroup, DE (1 = 04.2 %, $p = 0.000$ )	(9) 35.32
Heterogeneity between groups: p = 0.000	
Overall, DL (l <sup>2</sup> = 84.7%, p = 0.000) -3.60 (-4.45, -2.	/4) 100.00
$\frac{1}{-20}$ intervention $\frac{1}{0}$ control $\frac{1}{20}$	

#### TABLE 3 Meta-regression of pooled estimates of infarct size.

Covariates	exp(b)	95% CI	P value
Year	0.8567716	0.4870931 to 1.507017	0.576
Sample size	1.277729	0.8545751 to 1.910412	0.220
Species	0.5089549	0.033855 to 7.651319	0.610
Way of administration	2.802122	0.2908092 to 27.00014	0.356
Dosage	0.9908395	0.9756434 to 1.006272	0.230
Time of administration	0.9701195	0.7321618 to 1.285415	0.825
Time of reperfusion	0.9799072	0.9108849 to 1.05416	0.570

## 4.3. Inflammatory response

After MIRI, neutrophil adhesion and infiltration occur in the coronary artery (5, 42–44). The expression of adhesion molecules increases on the surface of microvascular endothelial cells and leukocytes (9, 45, 46), which promotes adhesion, aggregation, and chemotaxis of neutrophils and resists microcirculation, accelerating the process of MIRI (47). In recent years, the role of NLRP3 inflammatory agents in MIRI has become a research



#### FIGURE 6

Forest plot of studies investigating the effects of saponins treatment on cardiac function vs. vehicle in myocardial I/R injury. (A) Summary WMD of LVEF. (B) Summary WMD of LVFS.



hotspot. NLRP3 can combine with Caspase-1 and Asc to form NLRP3 inflammasome, which requires the activation of NF- $\kappa$ B. Yu et al. found that the pretreatment of *Panax notoginseng* saponins (PQS) significantly down-regulated the expression of NLRP3, ASC, and caspase-1. This effect may be achieved by inhibiting the TLR4/MyD88/NF- $\kappa$  B signal pathway (24).

## 4.4. Intracellular calcium overload

 $Na^+-K^+-ATPase$  is an essential system of cellular energy metabolism.  $Na^+-K^+-ATPase$  activity decreased significantly after MIRI (48). This situation increases intracellular  $Na^+$ , and more  $Ca^{2+}$  enters the cell through  $Na^+-Ca^{2+}$  exchange, resulting in calcium overload (49–51). Total saponins of *A. Elata* (aralosides, AS) can significantly increase the activity of  $Na^+-K^+-ATPase$  and  $Ca^{2+}-Mg^{2+}-ATPase$  (17). The study of Wang et al. showed that Calenduloside E(CE) could promote the interaction between LTCC and bcl2-related athanogene 3 (BAG3), reduce MIR-induced calcium overload and play a protective role in the heart (22).

#### 4.5. Autophagy

Autophagy is a phenomenon in which cells use lysosomes to digest their organelles or some proteins, and it is the key to maintaining normal metabolism and renewal of some organelles. During myocardial ischemia, autophagy can provide critical nutrients for cell survival and inhibit cell apoptosis and necrosis by digesting non-functional proteins.  $O^{2^{\bullet-}}$  is a potential mechanism of autophagy. Huang et al. found that Saponin astragaloside IV(ASIV) could increase SOD2 levels and reduce the accumulation of  $O^{2^{\bullet-}}$  and autophagosome, alleviating I/R-induced cell death and protecting cardiomyocytes (13).



#### FIGURE 8

Sensitivity analysis of summary WMD of EF&FS for saponins treatment vs. vehicle in myocardial I/R injury. (A) Filled funnel plot with pseudo 95% confidence limits of FS. (C) Pooled effect sizes and 95% confidence limits of studies reporting EF after each study were omitted. (D) Pooled effect sizes and 95% confidence limits of studies reporting FS after each study were omitted.



TABLE 4 The cellular mechanisms of the cardioprotection effect of saponins.

Author	Year	Proposed mechanisms
He et al.	2018	Activating Sirt1 and Nrf2-related signaling pathways and attenuating oxidative stress.
Huang et al.	2021	Attenuating superoxide anion-mediated accumulation of autophagosomes.
Wang et al.	2021	Promoting the interaction between LTCC and BAG3, stabilizing calcium cycling in myocardial cells.
Wang et al.	2018	Alleviating oxidative damage and elevating ATPase activity.
Wei et al.	2019	Increasing phosphorylation of PI3K/AKT and GSK-3β protein and activating PI3K/AKT/GSK-3β signaling pathway.
Wu et al.	2022	Suppressing CTSB/NLRP3- dependent pyroptosis.
Yan et al.	2015	Against apoptosis by activating the AMPK pathway.
Zhang et al.	2017	Inhibit hypoxia/reoxygenation-induced cardiomyocyte apoptosis via Akt activation.
Zhu et al.	2017	Inhibition of mitochondrial oxidative stress and the activation of Nrf2/HO-1mediated anti-apoptosis signaling.
Wang et al.	2020	Alleviated MI/R-induced mitochondrial dysfunction and mitochondrial dynamic imbalance by enhancing OPA1- related mitochondrial fusion via activating AMPK signaling pathways.
Su et al.	2022	Inhibit ERS-induced cell apoptosis, autophagy, oxidative stress, and mitochondrial division.
Lv et al.	2021	Protecting MIRI through SIRT3-dependent regulation of oxidative stress and apoptosis.
Yu et al.	2021	Inhibiting the activation of NLRP3 inflamma some via TLR4/ MyD88/NF- $\kappa$ B signaling pathway.
Li et al.	2014	Ameliorating ers-mediated myocardial apoptosis in mice
Wang et al.	2013	Inhibiting excessive ERS-mediated apoptosis
Sun et al.	2014	Anti-oxidation and anti-inflammatory activities.
Yao et al.	2019	Inhibiting the release of inflammatory cytokines and regulating oxidative stress.

## 4.6. Programmed cell death

#### 4.6.1. Apoptosis

Apoptosis is a form of cell death regulated by genes. Once apoptosis is initiated, the volume of apoptotic cells will shrink, the cytoplasm will condense, and finally, apoptotic bodies will be formed. Activation of caspases plays a crucial role in apoptosis. Total saponins extracted from Aralia taibaiensis(sAT) pretreatment significantly inhibited the release of Cyto-c from mitochondria in I/R injured cardiomyocytes, thereby inhibiting the activity and expression of caspase-3 (25). *Apigenin-7-O-β-D-*(-6"-p-coumaroyl)-glucopyranoside (APG) is a new flavonoid glycoside isolated from Clematis tangutica. Zhu et al. found that APG preconditioning activated Nrf2/HO-1 signaling, downregulated Bax and cleaved caspase 3, upregulated Bcl2, and reduced the apoptosis rate of IR-damaged cardiomyocytes (19).

#### 4.6.2. Pyroptosis

Pyroptosis is a new form of programmed cell death with an inflammatory response characterized by forming inflammatory bodies and activating caspase and gasdermin (52). Pyrocytosis mainly depends on NLRP3 or caspase-1 (53). Ilexsaponin I (ISI) is a triterpenoid saponin obtained from *Ilex pubescens Hook. et Arn.* Study by Wu et al. has shown that ISI can promote the formation of the CTSB/HSP70 complex to disturb CTSB/NLRP3 complex, thus inhibiting NLRP3-mediated cell pyrogenesis (23).



# 4.7. Saponins on multiple signalling pathways

In short, the pathogenesis of MIRI begins with calcium overload and oxidative stress caused by sudden changes in the environment (54). Calcium overload and oxidative stress interact with each other (55, 56), resulting in the destruction of cell structure and function (57, 58), leading to necrosis or apoptosis (1). The necrotic cells cause a different inflammatory response, stimulating other cells to start pyroptosis. Saponins can regulate calcium balance by improving membrane ion channel activity, reducing calcium overload, and preventing calcium overloadinduced cell death and oxidative stress. At the same time, saponins reduce the production of reactive oxygen species, promote the removal of reactive oxygen species, reduce autophagy (59-61), apoptosis and inflammatory responses caused by oxidative stress, and reduce pyroptosis caused by inflammatory responses (6, 62-64). Therefore, traditional Chinese medicine saponins can act on multiple targets in the process of MIRI and protect MIRI through the interaction of many pathways.

# 5. Limitation

There are some limitations in our meta-analysis. First, the studies we included have differences in selecting experimental materials (65-68). The species, strain, age, body weight, and other characteristics of experimental animals may result in heterogeneity in absorption rate. Second, there is no standard scheme for the duration of ischemia/reperfusion in animal models and the administration regimen of saponins (68-72). Significant differences exist in the dosage and treatment scheme of saponins among the studies, which may result in a high heterogeneity and further affect the interpretation of the results. Through subgroup analysis, we found that sample size may be sources of heterogeneity among studies reporting infarct size. It is worth noting that among the study reporting LVEF and LVFS, we found a linear correlation between reperfusion time and the effect of meta-regression. That is, longer reperfusion time is more beneficial to the recovery of cardiac function after myocardial infarction, and that reperfusion time was the primary source of heterogeneity among studies reporting LVEF and LVFS. Funnel plots and Egger tests showed publication bias in this study. However, after adding potential unpublished studies through the trim and fill method, the results were still stable, indicating that publication bias does not affect the conclusions of this study. The sensitivity analysis data also confirmed the effectiveness and reliability of saponins in improving infarct size after reperfusion injury. The included studies reported the immediate efficacy of saponins in improving infarct size and inhibiting secondary cardiac dysfunction. However, one of the disadvantages of traditional Chinese medicine and its extracts is that their components are complex, and their metabolic kinetics in various species is not fully understood. Whether saponins extracted from TCM can maintain their cardioprotective effect for longer is unclear and needs further exploration. Finally, more extensive animal studies have not confirmed the beneficial effects of saponins extracted from TCM. Therefore, there is an urgent need for further research on large animals before human clinical trials.

# 6. Conclusion and perspectives

This systematic review demonstrated that saponins extracted from TCM protect MIRI by significantly reducing infarct size and improving cardiac function. It provides a theoretical basis for the clinical application of saponins extracted from TCM combined with immediate coronary artery revascularization in treating acute myocardial infarction. The study of saponins against MIRI is still in the initial stage. A systematic summary of the anti-MIRI mechanism of saponins could lay the foundation for studying anti-MIRI effects and structure-activity relationships of saponins, thus contributing to developing anti-MIRI drugs with new mechanisms or new targets. Therefore, studying saponins will play an essential role in developing anti-MIRI drugs. Further research on the intervention of traditional Chinese medicine saponins should consider the use of large animals and more standardized and strict experimental procedures to draw more reliable conclusions to promote its application.

## Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

## Author contributions

JS, FY, and XS designed the manuscript. JS wrote the manuscript. JS, JF, FY, and XS searched databases and performed the selection of studies. XL and LT revised the manuscript. XL, LT, and CL critically evaluated and commented on the review. FY and XS contributed to the revised version. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 81725024 and 81430098), the CACMS Innovation Fund (Grant No. CI2021A00919), and the National Key R&D Program of China (Grant Nos. 2018YFC1704901 and 2018YFC1704900).

# Acknowledgments

We express our appreciation to all participants of this study.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

## References

1. Wang R, Wang M, Zhou J, Wu D, Ye J, Sun G, et al. Saponins in Chinese herbal medicine exerts protection in myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury: possible mechanism and target analysis. *Front Pharmacol.* (2020) 11:570867. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2020.570867

2. Jiang Y, Yu Q, Sui D, Yu X, Xu H, Li M. 20(S)-Protopanaxadiol Alleviates myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury in rats through suppression of oxidative stress and apoptosis. *Nat Prod Commun.* (2021) 16:6. doi: 10.1177/1934578X211029528

3. Li D, Liu M, Tao T-Q, Song D-D, Liu X-H, Shi D-Z. Panax quinquefolium saponin attenuates cardiomyocyte apoptosis and opening of the mitochondrial permeability transition pore in a rat model of ischemia/reperfusion. *Cell Physiol Biochem.* (2014) 34:1413–26. doi: 10.1159/000366347

4. Su S, Wang J, Wang J, Yu R, Sun L, Zhang Y, et al. Cardioprotective effects of gypenoside XVII against ischemia/reperfusion injury: role of endoplasmic reticulum stress, autophagy, and mitochondrial fusion fission balance. *Phytother Res.* (2022) 36:2982–98. doi: 10.1002/ptr.7493

5. Zhang S, Liu Y, Wang F, Qiang J, Liu P, Zhang J, et al. Ilexsaponin A attenuates ischemia-reperfusion-induced myocardial injury through anti-apoptotic pathway. *Plos One.* (2017) 12(2):e0170984. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0170984

6. Zhan Y, Xu XH, Jiang YP. Protective effects of ginsenoside on myocardiac ischemic and reperfusion injuries. *Zhonghua Yi Xue Za Zhi*. (1994) 74:626-8. 648.

7. Ning K, Jiang L, Hu T, Wang X, Liu A, Bao Y. ATP-Sensitive Potassium channels mediate the cardioprotective effect of Panax notoginseng saponins against myocardial ischaemia-reperfusion injury and inflammatory reaction. *Biomed Res Int.* (2020) 2020:3039184. doi: 10.1155/2020/3039184

8. Li M, Li X, Zhou L, Jin Y. Effects of total saponins from panacis majoris rhizoma and its degradation products on myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury in rats. *Biomed Pharmacother*. (2020) 130:110538. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2020.110538

9. Wang L, Chen X, Wang Y, Zhao L, Zhao X, Wang Y. MiR-30c-5p mediates the effects of panax notoginseng saponins in myocardial ischemia reperfusion injury by inhibiting oxidative stress-induced cell damage. *Biomed Pharmacother*. (2020) 125:109963. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2020.109963

10. He H, Li X, Li D, Ding Y, Zhang Y, Xu H, et al. Saponins from rhizoma panacis majoris attenuate myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury via the activation of the Sirt1/FoxO1/Pgc-1 alpha and Nrf2/antioxidant defense pathways in rats. *Pharmacogn Mag.* (2018) 14:297–307. doi: 10.4103/pm.pm\_467\_17

11. Kim T, Lee S. The effects of ginseng total saponin, panaxadiol and panaxatriol on ischemia/reperfusion injury in isolated rat heart. *Food Chem Toxicol.* (2010) 48:1516–20. doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2010.03.018

12. Peng Y, Li S, Pei X, Hao K. The multivariate regression statistics strategy to investigate content-effect correlation of multiple components in traditional Chinese medicine based on a partial least squares method. *Molecules.* (2018) 23(3):545. doi: 10.3390/molecules23030545

13. Huang K, Yu Y, Liu S, Zhou Y, Wang J, Peng Y, et al. A single, acute astragaloside IV therapy protects cardiomyocyte through attenuating superoxide anion-mediated accumulation of autophagosomes in myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury. *Front Pharmacol.* (2021) 12. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2021.642925

 Yin B, Hou X, Lu M. Astragaloside IV attenuates myocardial ischemia/ reperfusion injury in rats via inhibition of calcium-sensing receptor-mediated apoptotic signaling pathways. *Acta Pharmacol Sin*. (2019) 40:599–607. doi: 10.1038/ s41401-018-0082-y

15. Korshunova AY, Blagonravov ML, Neborak EV, Syatkin SP, Sklifasovskaya AP, Semyatov SM, et al. BCL2-regulated Apoptotic process in myocardial ischemiareperfusion injury (review). *Int J Mol Med.* (2021) 47. doi: 10.3892/ijmm.2020.4781 organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcvm.2023. 1147740/full#supplementary-material

16. Li J, Bu Y, Li B, Zhang H, Guo J, Hu J, et al. Calenduloside E alleviates cerebral ischemia/reperfusion injury by preserving mitochondrial function. *J Mol Histol.* (2022) 53:713–27. doi: 10.1007/s10735-022-10087-5

17. Wang R, Yang M, Wang M, Liu X, Xu H, Xu X, et al. Total saponins of aralia elata (miq) seem alleviate calcium homeostasis imbalance and endoplasmic reticulum stress-related apoptosis induced by myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury. *Cell Physiol Biochem.* (2018) 50:28–40. doi: 10.1159/000493954

18. Zeng X, Zhang Y, Kwong JSW, Zhang C, Li S, Sun F, et al. The methodological quality assessment tools for preclinical and clinical studies, systematic review and meta-analysis, and clinical practice guideline: a systematic review. *J Evid Based Med.* (2015) 8:2–10. doi: 10.1111/jebm.12141

19. Zhu Y, Di S, Hu W, Feng Y, Zhou Q, Gong B, et al. A new flavonoid glycoside (APG) isolated from Clematis tangutica attenuates myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury via activating PKCE signaling. *Biochim Biophys Acta-Mol Basis Dis.* (2017) 1863:701–11. doi: 10.1016/j.bbadis.2016.12.013

20. Wei D, Xu H, Gai X, Jiang Y. Astragaloside IV alleviates myocardial ischemiareperfusion injury in rats through regulating PI3K/AKT/GSK-3 $\beta$  signaling pathways. *Acta Cir Bras.* (2019) 34:e201900708. doi: 10.1590/s0102-86502019007000008

21. Wang M, Wang R, Zhou J, Xie X, Sun G, Sun X. Calenduloside E ameliorates myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury through regulation of AMPK and mitochondrial OPA1. *Oxid Med Cell Longevity.* (2020) 2020. doi: 10.1155/2020/2415269

22. Wang R, Wang M, Zhou J, Dai Z, Sun G, Sun X. Calenduloside E suppresses calcium overload by promoting the interaction between L-type calcium channels and Bcl2-associated athanogene 3 to alleviate myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury. J Adv Res. (2021) 34:173–86. doi: 10.1016/j.jare.2020.10.005

23. Wu J, Chen S, Wu P, Wang Y, Qi X, Zhang R, et al. Cathepsin B/HSP70 complex induced by ilexsaponin I suppresses NLRP3 inflammasome activation in myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury. *Phytomedicine*. (2022) 105. doi: 10.1016/j.phymed.2022. 154358

24. Yu P, Li Y, Fu W, Li X, Liu Y, Wang Y, et al. Saponins protect myocardial ischemia reperfusion No-reflow through inhibiting the activation of NLRP3 inflammasome via TLR4/MyD88/NF-κB signaling pathway. *Front Pharmacol.* (2020) 11. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2020.607813

25. Yan J, Duan J, Wu X, Guo C, Yin Y, Zhu Y, et al. Total saponins from aralia taibaiensis protect against myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury through AMPK pathway. *Int J Mol Med.* (2015) 36:1538–46. doi: 10.3892/ijmm.2015.2391

26. Lv D, Luo M, Cheng Z, Wang R, Yang X, Guo Y, et al. Tubeimoside I ameliorates myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury through SIRT3-dependent regulation of oxidative stress and apoptosis. *Oxid Med Cell Longevity.* (2021) 2021. doi: 10.1155/2021/5577019

27. Yao M, Mou F, Zhang W, Li W, Yin Y, Yang Z, et al. Protective effects of the total saponins extracted from Clematis Tangutica on myocardial ischemia/ reperfusion injury. *China Pharmacist.* (2019) 22:2173-6.

28. Sun L, Xun P. Protective effects and mechanism of Panax quinquefolius saponins on myocardial ischemia of rats. *Chin J Exp Tradit Med Formulae*. (2014) 20:176–9. doi: 10.13422/j.cnki.syfjx.2014240176

29. Wang C, Liu M, Sun S, Song D, Liu X, Shi D. Panax quinquefolium saponins protect rat myocardium from ischemia/reperfusion injury through attenuating excessive endoplasmic reticulum stress. *Chin J Pathophysiol.* (2013) 29:20–7.

30. Li Z, Chen J, Lv J. Senegenin protects against ischemia-reperfusion injury by ameliorating endoplasmic reticulum stress in mice. *Acta Med Univ Sci Technol Huazhong.* (2014) 43:409–12.

31. Fu W, Xu H, Yu X, Lyu C, Tian Y, Guo M, et al. 20(S)-Ginsenoside Rg2 attenuates myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury by reducing oxidative stress and inflammation: role of SIRT1. *RSC Adv.* (2018) 8:23947–62. doi: 10.1039/c8ra02316f

32. Xu X, Chen X, Ji H, Li P, Bian Y, Yang D, et al. Astragaloside IV improved intracellular calcium handling in hypoxia-reoxygenated cardiomyocytes via the sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca2+-ATPase. *Pharmacology.* (2008) 81:325–32. doi: 10. 1159/000121335

33. Wang R, Wang M, Liu B, Xu H, Ye J, Sun X, et al. Calenduloside E protects against myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury induced calcium overload by enhancing autophagy and inhibiting L-type Ca2 + channels through BAG3. *Biomed Pharmacother*. (2022) 145:112432. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2021.112432

34. Ge Z, Xu M, Huang Y, Zhang C, Lin J, Ruan C. Cardioprotective effect of notoginsenoside R1 in a rabbit lung remote ischemic postconditioning model via activation of the TGF-beta 1/TAK1 signaling pathway. *Exp Ther Med.* (2016) 11:2341–8. doi: 10.3892/etm.2016.3222

35. Cao Y, Li Q, Yang Y, Ke Z, Chen S, Li M, et al. Cardioprotective effect of stemleaf saponins from panax notoginseng on mice with sleep derivation by inhibiting abnormal autophagy through PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathway. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2021) 8:694219. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2021.694219

36. Yu Y, Sun G, Luo Y, Wang M, Chen R, Zhang J, et al. Cardioprotective effects of notoginsenoside R1 against ischemia/reperfusion injuries by regulating oxidative stress- and endoplasmic reticulum stress- related signaling pathways. *Sci Rep.* (2016) 6:21730. doi: 10.1038/srep21730

37. Yang Y, Yao Z, Wang G, Hou J, Liu X. Panax notoginseng saponins protects H9c2 cardiomyocytes against hypoxia/reoxygenation injury via activating the JAK2/ STAT3 pathway. *Int J Clin Exp Med.* (2019) 12:8461–71.

38. Veselova O, Studneva I, Dobrokhotov I, Pal'keeva M, Molokoedov A, Sidorova M, et al. Exogenous galanin reduces hyperglycemia and myocardial metabolic disorders induced by streptozotocin in rats. *Int J Pept Res Ther.* (2022) 28:103. doi: 10.1007/s10989-022-10412-2

39. Aravinthan A, Kim J, Antonisamy P, Kang C, Choi J, Kim N, et al. Ginseng total saponin attenuates myocardial injury via anti-oxidative and anti-inflammatory properties. J Ginseng Res. (2015) 39:206–12. doi: 10.1016/j.jgr.2014.12.001

40. Liu X, Jiang Y, Yu X, Fu W, Zhang H, Sui D. Ginsenoside-Rb3 protects the myocardium from ischemia-reperfusion injury via the inhibition of apoptosis in rats. *Exp Ther Med.* (2014) 8:1751–6. doi: 10.3892/etm.2014.2007

41. Si J, Wang N, Wang H, Xie J, Yang J, Yi H, et al. HIF-1 $\alpha$  signaling activation by post-ischemia treatment with astragaloside IV attenuates myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury. *PLoS One.* (2014) 9:e107832. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0107832

42. He K, Yan L, Lin S-Q, Liu Y-Y, Hu B-H, Chang X, et al. Implication of IGF1R signaling in the protective effect of astragaloside IV on ischemia and reperfusion-induced cardiac microvascular endothelial hyperpermeability. *Phytomedicine*. (2022) 100:154045. doi: 10.1016/j.phymed.2022.154045

43. Wu Y, Cui H, Zhang Y, Yu P, Li Y, Wu D, et al. Inonotus obliquus extract alleviates myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury by suppressing endoplasmic reticulum stress. *Mol Med Rep.* (2020) 23. doi: 10.3892/mmr.2020.11716

44. Yuan S, Jing H. Insights into the monomers and single drugs of Chinese herbal medicine on myocardial preservation. *Afr J Tradit Complement Altern Med.* (2011) 8:104–27. doi: 10.4314/ajtcam.v8i2.63195

45. Thu VT, Kim HK. Majonoside-R2 postconditioning protects cardiomyocytes against hypoxia/reoxygenation injury by attenuating the expression of HIF1 $\alpha$  and activating RISK pathway. *J Med Food.* (2021) 24(11):1222–9. doi: 10.1089/jmf.2021. K.0083

46. Badalzadeh R, Yavari R, Chalabiani D. Mitochondrial ATP-sensitive K+ channels mediate the antioxidative influence of diosgenin on myocardial reperfusion injury in rat hearts. *Gen Physiol Biophys.* (2015) 34:323–9. doi: 10.4149/gpb\_2015009

47. Nielsen J, Johnsen J, Pryds K, Ørtenblad N, Bøtker HE. Myocardial subcellular glycogen distribution and sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca2 + handling: effects of ischaemia, reperfusion and ischaemic preconditioning. *J Muscle Res Cell Motil.* (2021) 42:17–31. doi: 10.1007/s10974-019-09557-3

48. Chang X, Zhang T, Zhang W, Zhao Z, Sun J. Natural drugs as a treatment strategy for cardiovascular disease through the regulation of oxidative stress. *Oxidative Med Cell Longevity*. (2020) 2020:5430407. doi: 10.1155/2020/5430407

49. Huang X, Wang Y, Wang Y, Yang L, Wang J, Gao Y. Ophiopogonin D reduces myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury via upregulating CYP2J3/EETs in rats. *Cell Physiol Biochem.* (2018) 49:1646–58. doi: 10.1159/000493500

50. Liu X, Lu M, Zhong H, Wang L, Fu Y. Panax Notoginseng saponins attenuate myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury through the HIF-1 alpha/BNIP3 pathway of autophagy. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol. (2019) 73:92–9. doi: 10.1097/FJC.000000000000640

51. Liu X, Lu M, Zhong H, Liu J, Fu Y. Panax Notoginseng saponins protect H9c2 cells from hypoxia-reoxygenation injury through the forkhead box O3a hypoxia-inducible factor-1 alpha cell signaling pathway. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol.* (2021) 78: e681–9. doi: 10.1097/FJC.00000000001120

52. Zhaolin Z, Guohua L, Shiyuan W, Zuo W. Role of pyroptosis in cardiovascular disease. *Cell Prolif.* (2019) 52:e12563. doi: 10.1111/cpr.12563

53. Jia C, Chen H, Zhang J, Zhou K, Zhuge Y, Niu C, et al. Role of pyroptosis in cardiovascular diseases. *Int Immunopharmacol.* (2019) 67:311–8. doi: 10.1016/j. intimp.2018.12.028

54. Ning N, Dang X, Bai C, Zhang C, Wang K. Panax notoginsenoside produces neuroprotective effects in rat model of acute spinal cord ischemia-reperfusion injury. *J Ethnopharmacol.* (2012) 139:504–12. doi: 10.1016/j.jep.2011.11.040

55. Yu Y-H, Zhang P, Wang C-L, Liu J-G, Li P, Zhang D-W. Panax quinquefolium saponin optimizes energy homeostasis by modulating AMPK-activated metabolic pathways in hypoxia-reperfusion induced cardiomyocytes. *Chin J Integr Med.* (2021) 27:613–20. doi: 10.1007/s11655-020-3194-4

56. Guo M, Liu J, Guo F, Shi J, Wang C, Bible P, et al. Panax quinquefolium saponins attenuate myocardial dysfunction induced by chronic ischemia. *Cell Physiol Biochem.* (2018) 49:1277–88. doi: 10.1159/000493407

57. Wang J, Zeng L, Zhang Y, Qi W, Wang Z, Tian L, et al. Pharmacological properties, molecular mechanisms and therapeutic potential of ginsenoside Rg3 as an antioxidant and anti-inflammatory agent. *Front Pharmacol.* (2022) 13:975784. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2022.975784

58. Wang Y, Che J, Zhao H, Tang J, Shi G. Platycodin D inhibits oxidative stress and apoptosis in H9c2 cardiomyocytes following hypoxia/reoxygenation injury. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* (2018) 503:3219–24. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2018.08.129

59. Yao H, Xie Q, He Q, Zeng L, Long J, Gong Y, et al. Pretreatment with panaxatriol saponin attenuates mitochondrial apoptosis and oxidative stress to facilitate treatment of myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury via the regulation of Keap1/Nrf2 activity. *Oxid Med Cell Longev.* (2022) 2022:9626703. doi: 10.1155/2022/9626703

60. Sui DY, Qu SC, Yu XF, Chen YP, Ma XY. Protective effect of ASS on myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury in rats. *Zhongguo Zhong Yao Za Zhi.* (2004) 29:71-4.

61. Zhang S, Li H, Liang L, Wei Z-R, Yang S-J. Protective effect of GSTT preconditioning on myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury in isolated rat heart. J Jilin Univ Med Ed. (2010) 36:229–32. doi: 10.13481/j.1671-587x.2010.02.012

62. Zhang Y-G, Song H-Y, Li Y, Jiao Y, Zhang S, Yang S-J. Protective effect of GSTT preconditioning on myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury in rats. *Chin Pharmacol Bull.* (2010) 26:714–8.

63. Xia K-P, Cao H-M, Shao C-Z. Protective effect of notoginsenoside R1 in a rat model of myocardial ischemia reperfusion injury by regulation of vitamin D3 upregulated protein  $1/NF-\kappa B$  pathway. *Pharmazie*. (2015) 70:740-4. doi: 10.1691/ph.2015.5694

64. Wang M, Tian Y, Du Y-Y, Sun G-B, Xu X-D, Jiang H, et al. Protective effects of araloside C against myocardial ischaemia/reperfusion injury: potential involvement of heat shock protein 90. *J Cell Mol Med.* (2017) 21:1870–80. doi: 10.1111/jcmm.13107

65. Li H, Feng C-X, Guan F-Y, Yang S-J. Protective effects of gross saponins Tribulus Terrestris on myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury in rats. J Jilin Univ Med Ed. (2009) 35:794–7. doi: 10.13481/j.1671-587x.2009.05.017

66. Lu H, Wang L-Y, Wang Q-J. Protective effects of panaxtriol saponin on myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury in rats. *Chin Trad Herbal Drugs.* (2016) 47:275–80. doi: 10.7501/j.issn.0253-2670.2016.02.016

67. Wang S, Ye L, Wang L. Protective mechanism of shenmai on myocardial ischemia-reperfusion through the energy metabolism pathway. *Am J Transl Res.* (2019) 11:4046–62.

68. Zhao X, Zhang F, Wang Y. Proteomic analysis reveals Xuesaitong injection attenuates myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury by elevating pyruvate dehydrogenase-mediated aerobic metabolism. *Mol Biosyst.* (2017) 13:1504–11. doi: 10.1039/c7mb00140a

69. Xu H, Wang D, Peng C, Huang X, Ou M, Wang N, et al. Rabbit Sera containing compound danshen dripping pill attenuate leukocytes adhesion to TNF-alpha-activated human umbilical vein endothelial cells by suppressing endothelial ICAM-1 and VCAM-1 expression through NF-KappaB signaling pathway. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol.* (2014) 63:323–32. doi: 10.1097/FJC.0000000000046

70. Prem PN, Sivakumar B, Boovarahan SR, Kurian GA. Recent advances in potential of fisetin in the management of myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury-A systematic review. *Phytomedicine*. (2022) 101:154123. doi: 10.1016/j.phymed.2022. 154123

71. Chang X, Zhang W, Zhao Z, Ma C, Zhang T, Meng Q, et al. Regulation of mitochondrial quality control by natural drugs in the treatment of cardiovascular diseases: potential and advantages. *Front Cell Dev Biol.* (2020) 8. doi: 10.3389/fcell. 2020.616139

72. Cao D, Liu S, Yang M, Xie K, Zheng Z, Wen H, et al. Remifentanil preconditioning alleviates myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury in rats via activating Jagged-1/Notch signaling pathway. *Biosci Rep.* (2021) 10:BSR20210534. doi: 10.1042/BSR20210534