



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Marina Cerrone,
Grossman School of Medicine, New
York University, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE
Federico Migliore
federico.migliore@unipd.it

[†]These authors share senior authorship

SPECIALTY SECTION
This article was submitted to
Cardiac Rhythmology,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine

RECEIVED 21 October 2022
ACCEPTED 01 November 2022
PUBLISHED 15 November 2022

CITATION
Migliore F, Martini N, Calo' L, Martino A,
Winnicki G, Vio R, Condello C, Rizzo A,
Zorzi A, Pannone L, Miraglia V, Sieira J,
Chierchia G-B, Curcio A, Allocca G,
Mantovan R, Salghetti F, Curnis A,
Bertaglia E, De Lazzari M, de
Asmundis C and Corrado D (2022)
Corrigendum: Predictors of late
arrhythmic events after generator
replacement in Brugada syndrome
treated with prophylactic ICD.
Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 9:1076294.
doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2022.1076294

COPYRIGHT
© 2022 Migliore, Martini, Calo',
Martino, Winnicki, Vio, Condello,
Rizzo, Zorzi, Pannone, Miraglia, Sieira,
Chierchia, Curcio, Allocca, Mantovan,
Salghetti, Curnis, Bertaglia, De Lazzari,
de Asmundis and Corrado. This is an
open-access article distributed under
the terms of the [Creative Commons
Attribution License \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use,
distribution or reproduction in other
forums is permitted, provided the
original author(s) and the copyright
owner(s) are credited and that the
original publication in this journal is
cited, in accordance with accepted
academic practice. No use, distribution
or reproduction is permitted which
does not comply with these terms.

Corrigendum: Predictors of late arrhythmic events after generator replacement in Brugada syndrome treated with prophylactic ICD

Federico Migliore^{1*}, Nicolò Martini¹, Leonardo Calo'²,
Annamaria Martino², Giulia Winnicki¹, Riccardo Vio¹,
Chiara Condello¹, Alessandro Rizzo¹, Alessandro Zorzi¹,
Luigi Pannone³, Vincenzo Miraglia³, Juan Sieira³,
Gian-Battista Chierchia³, Antonio Curcio⁴, Giuseppe Allocca⁵,
Roberto Mantovan⁵, Francesca Salghetti⁶, Antonio Curnis⁶,
Emanuele Bertaglia¹, Manuel De Lazzari¹, Carlo de Asmundis^{3†}
and Domenico Corrado^{1†}

¹Department of Cardiac, Thoracic, Vascular Sciences and Public Health, University of Padova, Padova, Italy, ²Department of Cardiology, Policlinico Casilino, Rome, Italy, ³Heart Rhythm Management Centre, Postgraduate Program in Cardiac Electrophysiology and Pacing, Universitair Ziekenhuis Brussel-Vrije Universiteit Brussel, European Reference Networks Guard-Heart, Brussels, Belgium, ⁴Division of Cardiology, Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, Magna Graecia University, Catanzaro, Italy, ⁵Department of Cardiology, S.Maria dei Battuti Hospital, Conegliano, Italy, ⁶Spedali Civili Hospital, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy

KEYWORDS

Brugada syndrome, implantable cardioverter-defibrillator, risk stratification, sudden cardiac death, complications

A corrigendum on Predictors of late arrhythmic events after generator replacement in Brugada syndrome treated with prophylactic ICD

by Migliore, F., Martini, N., Calo', L., Martino, A., Winnicki, G., Vio, R., Condello, C., Rizzo, A., Zorzi, A., Pannone, L., Miraglia, V., Sieira, J., Chierchia, G.-B., Curcio, A., Allocca, G., Mantovan, R., Salghetti, F., Curnis, A., Bertaglia, E., De Lazzari, M., de Asmundis, C., and Corrado, D. (2022). *Front. Cardiovasc. Med.* 9:964694. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2022.964694

In the published article, there was an error in **Table 3** (Univariate and multivariate cox regression analysis for predictors of late appropriate ICD intervention). The values “9.17 (1.15–73.07) 0.03” of the multivariate analysis were incorrectly reported in the line of the variable “First-degree AV block.” The correct alignment is at the level of the variable “S-wave in lead I.” The corrected **Table 3** and its caption appear below.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

TABLE 3 Univariate and multivariate cox regression analysis for predictors of late appropriate ICD intervention.

Variable	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis	
	HR (95% CI)	P-value	HR (95% CI)	P-value
Age <50 years-old at GR	1.21 (0.32–4.51)	0.78		
Male sex	1.38 (0.28–6.68)	0.69		
Family history of BrS	0.93 (0.23–3.75)	0.93		
Family history of SD	1.16 (0.34–4.02)	0.81		
Syncope	0.52 (0.15–1.86)	0.32		
History of AF	4.11 (1.15–14.78)	0.03	3.68 (0.98–13.63)	0.06
Positive EPS	1.12 (0.31–4.20)	0.86		
Spontaneous Brugada type 1	0.93 (0.27–3.24)	0.92		
QTc prolongation	1.15 (0.11–12.34)	0.91		
Early repolarization	3.54 (0.43–28.82)	0.24		
Conduction disturbances*				
First-degree AV block	3.33 (0.89–12.45)	0.07		
QRS fragmented or prolonged	6.54 (0.79–53.93)	0.08		
S-wave in lead I	10.12 (1.28–79.97)	0.02	9.17 (1.15–73.07)	0.03

AF, atrial fibrillation; AV, atrioventricular; BrS, Brugada syndrome; EPS, electrophysiological study; GR, generator replacement; SCD, sudden cardiac death. * Cox regression could not be performed because no primary endpoint events occurred in patients without conduction disturbances.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the

reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.