Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Carla M. R. Varanda, Research Centre for Natural Resources, Environment and Society (CERNAS), Portugal

REVIEWED BY Guoliang Yuan, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (DOE), United States Sergey Shmakov National Library of Medicine (NIH), United States *CORRESPONDENCE

Mireia Uranga, mireia.uranga@craggenomica.es Fabio Pasin, f.pasin@csic.es

RECEIVED 20 August 2024 ACCEPTED 01 October 2024 PUBLISHED 16 October 2024

CITATION

Uranga M, Martín-Hernández AM, De Storme N and Pasin F (2024) CRISPR–Cas systems and applications for crop bioengineering. Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. 12:1483857. doi: [10.3389/fbioe.2024.1483857](https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2024.1483857)

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Uranga, Martín-Hernández, De Storme and Pasin. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\).](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

CRISPR–[Cas systems and](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fbioe.2024.1483857/full) [applications for crop](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fbioe.2024.1483857/full) [bioengineering](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fbioe.2024.1483857/full)

Mireia Uranga ^{1.2.3*}, Ana Montserrat Martín-Hernández ^{13,4}, Nico De Storme ^{1.2} and Fabio Pasin ^{15,6*}

¹Laboratory for Plant Genetics and Crop Improvement, Division of Crop Biotechnics, Department of Biosystems, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium, ²KU Leuven Plant Institute (LPI), KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium, ³Centre for Research in Agricultural Genomics (CRAG), Barcelona, Spain, ⁴Institut de Recerca i Tecnologia Agroalimentàries (IRTA), Barcelona, Spain, ^sInstituto de Biología Molecular y Celular de Plantas (IBMCP), Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas-Universitat Politècnica de València (CSIC-UPV), Valencia, Spain, ⁶Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas Margarita Salas (CIB), Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Madrid, Spain

CRISPR–Cas technologies contribute to enhancing our understanding of plant gene functions, and to the precise breeding of crop traits. Here, we review the latest progress in plant genome editing, focusing on emerging CRISPR–Cas systems, DNA-free delivery methods, and advanced editing approaches. By illustrating CRISPR–Cas applications for improving crop performance and food quality, we highlight the potential of genome-edited crops to contribute to sustainable agriculture and food security.

KEYWORDS

transgene-free genome editing, genome engineering, crop trait improvement, precise breeding, CRISPR (clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeat), Cas9 (CRISPR associated protein 9)-mediated genome editing

Highlights

- CRISPR–Cas technology facilitates plant functional genomics and crop breeding
- Emerging CRISPR–Cas systems provide opportunities for advanced genomic manipulations
- DNA-free editing approaches enable the obtention of transgene-free crops
- Edited crops with improved performance and product quality are available in the market
- Genome-edited crops provide solutions for sustainable agriculture and food security

1 Introduction

Centuries of directed evolution have fixed large parts of crop genomes, which constrains the breeding of crops with enhanced performance to secure global food supply and address ever-changing consumer needs. CRISPR–Cas technology offers a means to introduce new genetic variations into elite cultivars and create desirable traits absent in wild relatives ([Li](#page-9-0) [et al., 2024a](#page-9-0)). Precise and efficient gene editing is obtained using a customizable guide RNA (gRNA) that directs Cas nucleases or their engineered derivatives to specific genomic regions. Notably, the Cas9 system from Streptococcus pyogenes is widely used, but newer systems like Cas12 and OMEGA offer promising versatility. Advanced CRISPR–Cas tools and new methods for their component delivery now allow to obtain transgene-free crops with improved traits. Proof-of-concept studies have demonstrated enhancements in water and nutrient efficiency, stress tolerance, yield, and nutritional profiles in various crops. CRISPR–Cas technology also facilitates the domestication of orphan crops, which are crucial for local food security. By thoroughly discussing recent CRISPR–Cas technology advances for crop bioengineering, this review provides a roadmap for achieving more sustainable agriculture and tailor-made foods.

2 CRISPR–cas systems for genome editing

2.1 Cas9 systems

The CRISPR–Cas system of S. pyogenes, which includes the Cas9 effector (SpCas9), is the best known among systems that have been repurposed for genome editing (Type II, [Figure 1A](#page-2-0)). This system uses an engineered gRNA where the 20-nucleotide variable region at its 5′ end can be customized to guide the recognition of target DNA sequences. The Cas9 protein binds to a conserved region within the gRNA, and target DNA specificity is ensured by a nearby protospacer adjacent motif (PAM), specifically 5′-NGG-3′ for SpCas9. Upon binding, Cas9 induces a blunt-end double-strand break (DSB) that triggers cellular DNA repair mechanisms ([Que](#page-10-0) [et al., 2019\)](#page-10-0). In plants, non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) is the primary repair pathway, often causing small insertions or deletions (indels) that can efficiently disrupt genes for knock-out purposes. Alternatively, homology-directed repair (HDR) allows the introduction of specific sequence changes using a homologous DNA template but is less efficient and more challenging in plants.

The SpCas9 effectiveness is limited by the need for a suitable G-rich PAM in the target gene, the potential for unintended changes in the genome (i.e., off-targets), and the large size of the nuclease (≈4.2 kb), which may constrain efficient delivery into plant cells. The development of Cas9 variants with diverse PAM requirements and reduced off-target effects has largely addressed these issues [\(Kulcsár](#page-9-1) [et al., 2017](#page-9-1)). Additionally, Cas9 orthologs from bacteria and archaea have been identified by exploring the natural diversity of CRISPR–Cas systems [\(Koonin et al., 2023](#page-9-2)), though their complex PAM requirements often limit their use in plants.

2.2 Cas12 systems

The first significant alternative to Cas9 was Cas12a (formerly Cpf1) (Type V, [Figure 1A](#page-2-0)). Cas12a recognizes a T-rich PAM (5′- TTTV-3′) that uses short gRNAs for sequence targeting, largely simplifying gRNA design and delivery. Moreover, its capacity to autonomously process gRNA precursors enables efficient multiplex editing. Unlike Cas9, Cas12a produces staggered DNA cuts that may enhance HDR-mediated knock-in. Several orthologs from the bacteria Acidaminococcus sp. BV3L6 (AsCas12a), Francisella novicida (FnCas12a) and Lachnospiraceae bacterium (LbCas12a) have been proven effective in plants, being LbCas12a particularly noted for its high editing activity [\(Malzahn et al., 2019](#page-9-3)).

The Cas12 family includes a variety of effectors with unique forms and functionalities ([Figure 1A](#page-2-0)). Cas12b (formerly C2c1) is a variant that is smaller than Cas12a and produces long staggered cuts, thus showing promise in plant editing [\(Ming et al., 2020\)](#page-9-4). Hypercompact CRISPR–Cas systems like Cas12j (CasΦ) and Cas12f are also being explored to overcome cargo constraints in expression vectors and facilitate delivery into plant cells ([Li et al.,](#page-9-5) [2023;](#page-9-5) [Sukegawa et al., 2023\)](#page-10-1).

2.3 Cas fusions: Base, prime, and exonuclease-assisted editors

HDR-mediated editing via conventional CRISPR–Cas systems is challenging due to difficulties for the in vivo delivery of exogenous DNA templates and the low rates of HDR in plant cells. As an alternative, base editing (BE) employs DNA deaminases fused to a Cas nickase (nCas) to induce specific base changes without producing DSBs [\(Li et al., 2024a\)](#page-9-0). BE technology includes cytosine base editors (CBEs) that convert cytosine into uracil (resulting in C-to-T substitutions) and adenine base editors (ABEs) that transform adenine into inosine (resulting in A-to-G conversions) [\(Figure 1B](#page-2-0)). CBEs and ABEs can be combined in a dual system producing all four base transitions (C to T, A to G, T to C, and G to A) with high precision; additionally, use of optimized base editors resulted in efficient C-to-G and A-to-C editing in tomato and rice ([Tian et al., 2022](#page-10-2); [Li et al., 2024c\)](#page-9-6). Base editing has proven effective in plants, and its use with various Cas effectors has expanded the range of PAMs for gene targeting [\(Li et al., 2024a](#page-9-0)).

For more complex genome modifications, prime editing enables all 12 types of single-base changes (including four transitions and eight transversions), and small insertions or deletions ([Vats et al.,](#page-10-3) [2024\)](#page-10-3). This approach involves an engineered nCas9-reverse transcriptase (RT) effector that is targeted to specific genomic loci by gRNA whose sequence is extended to include a primerbinding site (PBS) and an RT template with the desired edits ([Figure 1C\)](#page-2-0). Upon nicking the target site, single-stranded DNA hybridizes with the PBS and acts as a primer for the RT, which then transfers the desired edits from the gRNA to the non-target strand. Finally, the transcribed edits are integrated into the genome by cell DNA repair mechanisms and replication. Optimized prime editor and gRNA architectures have enabled the practical applications in plants, albeit limited to the introduction of short sequences (50- 100 bases). Combined use of prime editors and serine integrases enables larger gene insertions up to 40 kilobases ([Anzalone et al.,](#page-8-0) [2022\)](#page-8-0), though further work is needed for plant applications.

Another approach to producing large deletions involves fusing Cas effectors with exonucleases that generate long overhangs at the DSB to enhance HDR. Fusion of 5′-to-3′ exonucleases to Cas9 and Cas12a enables precise, scar-free insertion of several kilobases in plants, thus leading to stable and heritable gene knock-ins [\(Schreiber](#page-10-4) [et al., 2024](#page-10-4)). This exonuclease-assisted editor system seems a promising tool for gene targeting purposes.

2.4 RNA-guided nucleases and recombinases from transposable elements

The Obligate Mobility Element-Guided Activity (OMEGA) system comprises a family of small, transposon-encoded RNA-

FIGURE 1

CRISPR–Cas systems for the precise engineering of plant genomes. (A) Gene editing using CRISPR–Cas systems. Upon guide RNA (gRNA) binding and recognition of a target site nearby a protospacer adjacent motif (PAM), Cas9 (left) or Cas12 (right) nucleases catalyze blunt or staggered DNA cuts, respectively, that lead to random indel production (top). The diversity of Cas9 and Cas12 families (bottom); nucleases are represented approximately to scale, including domain architecture and protein size (aa, amino acids); RuvC-like and HNH nuclease domains are shown. OMEGA family nucleases are highlighted in red background; Fz1, Fanzor 1; IscB, Insertion sequences Cas9-like B; TnpB, Transposon-associated protein B. (B) Base editing. A Cas9 nickase (nCas9) is fused to a cytidine (left) or adenosine (right) deaminase to obtain a cytosine base editor (CBE) or adenosine base editor (ABE), respectively; UGI, uracil DNA glycosylase inhibitor. (C) Prime editing. A prime editing complex consists of nCas9 fused to a reverse transcriptase (RT) and an extended gRNA (pegRNA) that includes a 5' protospacer, an RT template and a 3' primer-binding site (PBS). nCas9 generates a nick in the non-target strand, and the PBS functions as an RT template. Uncoupling of the prime editor leaves a 3' flap (edited PAM strand) and a 5' flap (original strand), and DNA repair mechanisms incorporate the desired edits into the genome.

guided nucleases considered the evolutionary ancestors of Cas9 and Cas12 effectors ([Altae-Tran et al., 2021\)](#page-8-1) [\(Figure 1A\)](#page-2-0). The effector proteins are exceptionally diverse and exceptionally hypercompact compared to canonical CRISPR effectors. Insertion sequences Cas9 like B (IscB) is a tiny nuclease resembling Cas9 in structure and function that has been engineered for precise genome editing ([Han](#page-9-7) [et al., 2023\)](#page-9-7). Transposon-associated protein B (TnpB) proteins are regarded as the precursors of Cas12 and has recently been adapted for effective editing of plant genomes [\(Li et al., 2024b\)](#page-9-8). The newly discovered Fanzor (Fz) proteins, commonly found in eukaryotes and with remote homology to TnpB and Cas12, have also been adapted for genome editing [\(Saito et al., 2023](#page-10-5)), highlighting the potential of these novel systems for future applications.

Additionally, recent work shows the utility of RNA-guided recombinases from transposable elements for producing largescale, programmable genomic rearrangements ([Durrant et al.,](#page-8-2) [2024](#page-8-2); [Hiraizumi et al., 2024](#page-9-9)). IS110 are a family of minimal transposable elements encoding a recombinase and a noncoding bridge RNA that contains two internal loops for target and donor DNA binding. These loops can be independently reprogrammed to trigger sequence-specific DNA insertions, deletions or inversions via genetic recombination; by facilitating large-scale genomic rearrangements, the IS110 bridge RNA recombination is a promising tool to upgrade the field of genome design.

3 CRISPR–Cas delivery into plant cells

3.1 Transgenic approaches

Targeted genome editing requires delivering Cas proteins and gRNA into plant cells. Genetic transformation methods based on Agrobacterium spp. are routinely used for the stable integration of cassettes for CRISPR–Cas component expression in plant cells, which efficiently induce targeted genomic modifications ([Liu et al.,](#page-9-10) [2019](#page-9-10)) ([Figure 2A,](#page-4-0) top panel). This procedure has been successfully applied in diverse plant species, although regenerating transformed cells into whole plants can sometimes be challenging and raises the risks for unwanted genomic changes like somaclonal variations. Alternatively, plants are transformed using biolistics by shooting particles coated with DNA plasmids for CRISPR–Cas expression into cells [\(Ozyigit and Yucebilgili](#page-9-11) [Kurtoglu, 2020](#page-9-11)) ([Figure 2A](#page-4-0), top panel). This procedure is mainly applied in monocots, but the editing efficiency varies significantly between bombardments owing to inconsistent cargo loading.

Agrobacterium-mediated stable transformation approaches are exceptionally efficient and allow for the simultaneous modification of multiple genes. However, continuous expression of the editing components potentiates off-target events [\(Sturme et al., 2022](#page-10-6)), and the presence of an integrated CRISPR–Cas transgene may constrain the market release of edited crops. Integrated transgenes can be segregated out through selfing or crossing followed by identification of transgene-free offspring through PCR-mediated genotyping or visual selection using fluorescent markers or pigment reporters ([Liu](#page-9-10) [et al., 2019\)](#page-9-10). Alternatively, the transgene killer CRISPR (TKC) system includes conditionally lethal genes in the T-DNA expression cassette to prevent the propagation of transgenic offspring, ensuring all surviving plants are transgene-free by the T_1 generation ([He et al., 2018\)](#page-9-12) [\(Figure 2A](#page-4-0), bottom panel). Haploid induction has also been applied to prevent the integration of editing components in the final product, with promising results in cereal crops. In the haploid-induced editing (HI-Edit) strategy, haploidinducer pollen containing CRISPR–Cas components is crossed with a non-transgenic elite crop variety to yield transgene-free and edited maternal haploid offspring. The progeny is later subjected to chromosome doubling to obtain homozygous, doubled haploid plants with fixed genome traits [\(Kelliher et al., 2019](#page-9-13)) [\(Figure 2B](#page-4-0)).

3.2 Protoplast transfection

Genome integration of CRISPR–Cas components can be prevented by transient delivery into protoplasts, which are plant cells devoid of cell wall [\(Figure 2C\)](#page-4-0). Besides DNA plasmids encoding CRISPR–Cas expression cassettes, it is possible to deliver preassembled Cas9-gRNA ribonucleoproteins that are immediately functional and get rapidly degraded, thus minimizing off-target effects [\(Zhang et al., 2021](#page-10-7); [Lin et al., 2022\)](#page-9-14). While protoplast transfection is valuable for testing CRISPR set-ups and creating bi-allelic mutants from individual editing events, regenerating whole plants from protoplasts requires the development of species-specific protocols and is still a major bottleneck for its application in crop improvement.

3.3 Virus-induced genome editing (VIGE)

Plant viruses engineered for the transient delivery of CRISPR–Cas components into plant cells offer a flexible and cost-effective method for gene editing ([Pasin et al., 2024\)](#page-9-15). Viral vectors are locally introduced into plants and the systemic spread of the infection leads to highly efficient (\geq 70%) and rapid (3-7 days) gene editing [\(Uranga and Daròs, 2023](#page-10-8)) [\(Figure 2D\)](#page-4-0). Because RNA viruses lack DNA replication intermediates, they are particularly suitable for generating edited plants without integration of viral genetic material into the plant genome. The current VIGE toolbox includes a variety of RNA viruses that have been successfully applied to express gRNAs for targeted editing in crops, though they commonly rely on transgenic plants that constitutively express the Cas9 nuclease ([Uranga, 2024\)](#page-10-9). This limitation can be addressed by negative-stranded RNA viruses that have large cargo capacities, which enables the simultaneous delivery of gRNAs and Cas nucleases into plant cells [\(Gao et al., 2019](#page-9-16); [Ma](#page-9-17) [et al., 2020](#page-9-17); [Liu et al., 2023\)](#page-9-18) ([Figure 2D](#page-4-0), top panel). Because most RNA viruses cannot access germline cells, strategies based on endogenous mobile RNAs are now being used to enhance heritable genome editing [\(Ellison et al., 2020](#page-8-3)) [\(Figure 2D,](#page-4-0) bottom panel).

3.4 Nanobiotechnology

Progress in nanobiotechnology has led to the development of diverse nanomaterials with low cell toxicity that can deliver

FIGURE 2

CRISPR–Cas delivery to plant cells and approaches to recover transgene-free edited plants. (A) Stable genetic transformation relies on tissue culture and marker-assisted selection to obtain genome-edited plants containing randomly integrated CRISPR–Cas transgene, which is then segregated by selfing or crossing. Alternatively, the transgene killer CRISPR (TKC) system triggers suicide gene expression (i.e., BARNASE and CMS2) during the reproductive stages, thus facilitating selection of transgene-free progeny. Edited plants or plant parts are highlighted in yellow. (B) Haploid-induced editing (HI-Edit) involves crossing haploid-inducer pollen containing CRISPR–Cas components with an untransformed elite plant, generating maternally edited, transgene-free haploid plants whose ploidy is restored by chemical treatment. (C) In protoplast transfection, CRISPR–Cas components (DNA plasmids or preassembled ribonucleoproteins) are transiently delivered into plant cells, which can be regenerated into edited plants. (D) In VIGE, viral vectors carrying either gRNAs and Cas nucleases, or mobile gRNAs are inoculated in wild-type (WT) or Cas9-expressing plants, respectively. Systemic spread of the viral infection generates somatic mutations; mobile gRNAs also induce heritable germline modifications bypassing tissue culture. The Cas9 transgene in the edited progeny is segregated out by crossing with WT plants. (E) Magnetic nanoparticles can deliver DNA constructs for CRISPR–Cas expression into pollen grains, which are used to pollinate WT plants and thus generate inheritable modifications.

TABLE 1 Relevant applications of CRISPR–Cas editing in crop improvement.

a 7-DR, 7-dehydrocholesterol reductase; A06. GTR2, Glucosinolate transporter A06. GTR2; ARF12, Auxin responsive factor 12; Blc, Beta-lycopene cyclase; CLE7, Clavata 3/Esr-related 7; CLV3, Clavata 3; EDR1, Enhanced disease resistance 1; eIF4E, Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E; ER, Erecta; F3H, Flavanone 3-hydroxylase; FAD2, Fatty acid desaturase 2; FCP1, Fucoxanthinchlorophyll binding protein 1; FNSII, Flavone synthase II; GA20ox3, Gibberellin 20-oxidase 3; GBSS1, Granule-bound starch synthase 1; Hd1, Heading date 1; LCY-B, Lycopene beta cyclase; LCY-E, Lycopene epsilon cyclase; LOB1, Lateral organ boundaries 1; MLO, Mildew resistance locus O; MYB12, R2R3-MYB, Transcription factor 12; PSY1, Phytoene synthase 1; S, Compound inflorescence; SGR1, Staygreen 1; SP, Self-pruning; SP5G, Self-pruning 5G; TFIIA, Transcription initiation factor IIA; TFL1, Terminal flower 1; TOM1, Tobamovirus multiplication 1; ZIPs1.1, Zinc transporter protein s1.1.

biomolecules into plant cells for several purposes, including genetic engineering [\(Sanzari et al., 2019;](#page-10-10) [Squire et al., 2023](#page-10-11)). Nanoparticlemediated delivery of CRISPR–Cas components holds the promise to address current limitations of genome editing in plants by inducing targeted modifications in a species-independent manner [\(Demirer](#page-8-4) [et al., 2021\)](#page-8-4) ([Figure 2E\)](#page-4-0). However, further developments are needed to enhance loading of large CRISPR–Cas components into nanocarriers and their efficient delivery into plant cells by overcoming physical barriers, such as cell wall and membrane, or their internalization to the unwanted cell compartment, i.e., vacuolar sequestration.

4 Advancing gene editing approaches for crops

4.1 Multiplexing and high-throughput CRISPR–Cas editing

Multiplex genome editing allows multiple trait engineering by expression of Cas nucleases or their versions optimized for prime or base editing in combination with several gRNAs that target different genes [\(Cheng et al., 2023;](#page-8-5) [Gupta et al., 2024\)](#page-9-19) ([Table 1\)](#page-5-0). Targeting multiple genes in the same metabolic pathway has yielded significant agronomic improvements, such as isoflavoneenrichment in soybean ([Zhang et al., 2020b\)](#page-10-14), and increased lycopene content in tomato ([Li et al., 2018b\)](#page-9-20). Multiplex genome editing is also useful in improving agronomic traits under multigenic control. For instance, in tomato, simultaneous editing of PHYTOENE SYNTHASE 1 (PSY1), the R2R3-MYB transcription factor MYB12, and STAYGREEN 1 (SGR1) produced diverse fruit colors [\(Yang et al., 2022\)](#page-10-15).

Multiplex genome editing is particularly useful for targeting homologous genes in polyploid species [\(Table 1](#page-5-0)). In the tetraploid potato, targeting GRANULE-BOUND STARCH SYNTHASE 1 (GBSS1) in protoplasts followed by regeneration led to new lines with altered starch content ([Andersson et al., 2017](#page-8-7)). In the hexaploid wheat, Cas9-mediated loss-of-function mutations of MILDEW RESISTANCE LOCUS O (MLO) homeologues resulted in elite wheat varieties with powdery mildew resistance and no growth penalties [\(Li et al., 2022b](#page-9-28)).

Another application of multiplex genome editing is the development of genome-wide mutant libraries. In tomato, gRNA libraries targeting 72 genes enabled the identification of 30 edited genes in two mutant populations ([Jacobs et al., 2017](#page-9-32)), and a library targeting 990 transcription factors was used to develop 487 mutant lines [\(Bi et al., 2023\)](#page-8-10). In maize, targeting 743 genes associated with agronomic and nutritional traits facilitated their functional annotation [\(Liu et al., 2020a](#page-9-33)). In rice, a genome-wide library of 25,604 gRNAs allowed to target 12,802 genes, resulting in a collection of more than 14,000 mutant lines, with 33% homozygous and 10% biallelic edits ([Meng et al.,](#page-9-34) [2017](#page-9-34)). Additionally, in canola, a gRNA library targeting 10,480 genes generated more than 1,100 edited plants that all together harbored modifications in 52.2% of the targets ([He](#page-9-35) [et al., 2023](#page-9-35)).

4.2 Directed evolution

Directed evolution relies on de novo generation of novel variants of a gene-of-interest using methods including CRISPR–Cas-based editing, to rapidly obtain improved agricultural traits under conditions of selective pressure. In rice, selected gRNAs have been employed to induce mutations in spliceosome-related proteins and generate plants with impaired splicing showing altered salt stress responses ([Butt et al., 2021](#page-8-11)). Base editing systems have also been successfully applied in rice for directed evolution purposes. For instance, targeting ACETYL COA CARBOXILASE (OsACCase) using base editors with libraries of 63 or 141 gRNAs generated herbicide-resistant rice plants ([Kuang](#page-9-36) [et al., 2020;](#page-9-36) [Liu et al., 2020b\)](#page-9-37). Using an equivalent approach, saturation mutagenesis of ACETOLACTATE SYNTHASE 1 (OsALS1) yielded mutants with varying levels of herbicide tolerance, which was eventually introduced into a commercial rice cultivar ([Li et al., 2020](#page-9-38)). Furthermore, newly developed approaches using orthogonal base editors and multiple gRNAs enabled high OsACCase diversification and identification of evolved alleles conferring strong herbicide resistance [\(Zhang](#page-10-24) [et al., 2023a](#page-10-24)).

4.3 CRISPR–Cas editing of noncoding regions

Editing of coding sequences may result in null alleles that compromise plant development or viability. Conversely, modifying cis-regulatory regions of specific genes can lead to alleles with varying expression levels and dosage-dependent phenotypes ([Table 1](#page-5-0)). This approach was first demonstrated by targeting gene promoters of tomato, which led to novel mutant lines showing a continuum range of fruit size, inflorescence branching, and plant architecture phenotypes ([Rodríguez-Leal et al., 2017](#page-10-20)). In maize, editing the promoter region of CLAVATA 3/ESR-RELATED 7 (CLE7), which controls kernel number, resulted in a range of different ear morphologies ([Liu et al., 2021](#page-9-25)). In rice, multiplex editing of flowering gene promoters achieved up to 88% mutation efficiency, providing new phenotypic variation in the timing of flowering induction [\(Zhou et al., 2024](#page-10-18)). Similar strategies have been employed to modify traits like amylose content in rice, fruit size in tomato, and sweetness in strawberry ([Xing et al., 2020](#page-10-17); [Zeng et al., 2020](#page-10-12); [Wang et al., 2021](#page-10-25)). All these promoter-editing strategies rely on Cas9, which creates small indels that may be insufficient to alter the functionality of cis-regulatory regions. Alternatively, Cas12a and exonuclease-assisted editors may be more suitable for editing promoters and other non-coding sequences, given their ability to produce large deletions, as recently proven by applications to modify grain starch content in rice ([Zhou et al., 2023\)](#page-10-26), or the functional inactivation of a microRNA gene in tomato ([Liu et al., 2024](#page-9-39)).

4.4 De novo domestication

Wild and underutilized relatives of crops can be highly adapted to harsh or changing environments. However, breeding efforts are required to integrate them into current food production systems by improving agronomic traits already selected in mainstream crops. Many domestication traits are monogenic and well-characterized ([Zhang et al., 2023b\)](#page-10-27), making them ideal candidates for CRISPR–Cas-based editing to rapidly improve wild relatives or underutilized crops by de novo domestication strategies. Pioneering studies in Solanum pimpinellifolium reported that the inactivation of genes associated with tomato domestication generated lines with increased fruit number and size, high vitamin C and lycopene levels, while maintaining disease resistance and salt tolerance ([Li et al., 2018a](#page-9-40); [Zsögön et al.,](#page-10-28) [2018\)](#page-10-28). Similarly, groundcherry (Physalis pruinosa) lines with improved fruit size and number, and architecture were obtained by editing of SELF-PRUNING (SP), SELF-PRUNING 5G (SP5G), CLAVATA 1 (CLV1), and ERECTA (ER) ([Lemmon et al., 2018;](#page-9-41) [Kwon et al., 2020\)](#page-9-26). By leveraging knowledge of domestication genetics of cultivate rice varieties, targeted editing of key homologues of the wild relative Oryza alta resulted in lines with enhanced agronomic traits ([Yu et al., 2021](#page-10-29)). Notably, a point mutation in the IDEAL PLANT ARCHITECTURE 1 (IPA1) gene resulted in plants with improved yield traits. Additionally, a multiplex editing approach to target the four homeologs of

TABLE 2 CRISPR-edited crops available in the market.

a FAE1, Fatty acid elongation 1; GAD3, Glutamate decarboxylase 3; GBSS1, Granule-bound starch synthase 1; PPO, Polyphenol oxidase; TT8, Transparent testa 8.

bGABA, y-aminobutyric acid.

c FDA, U.S., food and drug administration.

GRAIN NUMBER, PLANT HEIGHT AND HEADING DATE 7 (GHD7) resulted in varieties with enhanced flowering habits.

5 Applications for crop trait breeding

5.1 Improving plant architecture and yield

Targeting genes related to plant architecture and crop yield can transform the productivity and resource management of future agricultural practices [\(Table 1](#page-5-0)). In tomato, CRISPR–Cas applications have generated new phenotypes beneficial for cultivation and harvesting. For instance, stacking SP, SP5G and ER mutant alleles resulted in rapid-flowering plants with highly compact architecture suited for urban farming ([Kwon et al., 2020\)](#page-9-26). Editing of TERMINAL FLOWER 1 (TFL1) produced a variety of architectures in cotton ([Wang et al., 2024\)](#page-10-21), and led to early flowering in apple and pear ([Charrier et al., 2019](#page-8-8)), a strategy that might be useful to reduce juvenility periods in fruit trees. In maize, loss-offunction alleles of GIBBERELLIN 20-OXIDASE 3 (GA20ox3) resulted in semi-dwarf plants with high grain yield and improved drought tolerance [\(Zhang et al., 2020a](#page-10-19)). In wheat, targeting genes related to grain size, weight, and spike architecture have increased biomass production by up to 11.1% [\(Kong et al., 2023](#page-9-24)).

5.2 Improving product quality

Enhancing product quality to meet consumer demands involves eliminating allergens or toxic compounds, as well as enriching the nutritional profile for improved food functionality [\(Tuncel et al.,](#page-10-30) [2023\)](#page-10-30) ([Table 1](#page-5-0)). Wheat gluten may trigger immunogenic disorders in humans; wheat lines with edited α -, ω- and γ-gliadin genes showed a 97.7% reduction in gluten content, which could be used in gluten-free diets [\(Sánchez-León et al., 2024](#page-10-13)). In canola, new A06. GTR2 alleles decreased seed content of glucosinolate while maintaining its beneficial role in plant defense [\(He et al., 2022\)](#page-9-22). Editing of FATTY ACID DESATURASE 2 (FAD2) in Camelina sativa, soybean, and canola increased oleic acid content and reduced polyunsaturated fatty acids in seeds [\(Jiang et al., 2017](#page-9-42); [Do et al.,](#page-8-6) [2019;](#page-8-6) [Huang et al., 2020\)](#page-9-23). In melon, editing the NAC transcription

factor non-ripening (NAC-NOR) delayed ripening and extended postharvest life without affecting nutritional quality [\(Liu et al.,](#page-9-43) [2022\)](#page-9-43). Fruit color is an important qualitative trait for fresh market tomatoes; recolored yellow, pink, and brown tomatoes were generated by inactivating genes involved in pigment accumulation in fruits ([Yang et al., 2022;](#page-10-15) [Uranga et al., 2024\)](#page-10-16). Additionally, knocking out a tomato specific isoform of 7- DEHYDROCHOLESTEROL REDUCTASE 2 (7-DR2) increased provitamin D3, providing biofortified tomatoes as a way to tackle vitamin D deficiency ([Li et al., 2022a](#page-9-21)).

After commercial release in 2019 of edited soybean (Calyno™, Calyxt Inc) with high oleic oil obtained by the transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALENs) technology, a number of edited crops whose product quality was enhanced by CRISPR–Cas approaches are being sold on the market too ([Table 2](#page-7-0)). Edited tomatoes with high amounts of γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA), a health-promoting compound, reached the Japanese market in 2021 as Sicilian Rouge® ([Waltz, 2022\)](#page-10-31). Among others, in lettuce, editing of polyphenol oxidase (PPO) genes to prevent enzymatic discoloration resulted in the release of the commercial variety GVR-108XL with extended shelf life ([GreenVenus](#page-9-44) [LLC, 2022](#page-9-44)).

5.3 Enhancing disease resistance

Gene editing offers a rapid and promising solution for enhancing disease resistance in crops [\(Table 1](#page-5-0)). In wheat, resistance to powdery mildew was achieved by generating knockout alleles of ENHANCED DISEASE RESISTANCE 1 (EDR1) genes ([Zhang et al., 2017\)](#page-10-22) and by creating novel MLO alleles [\(Li et al.,](#page-9-28) [2022b](#page-9-28)). In rice, prime editing of the TRANSCRIPTION INITIATION FACTOR IIA (TFIIA) transcription factor provided broad-spectrum resistance against bacterial blight ([Gupta et al., 2023\)](#page-9-27). Similarly, broad-spectrum resistance to viruses was achieved in cucumber, melon, and wheat by editing the key susceptibility gene EUKARYOTIC TRANSLATION INITIATION FACTOR 4E (eIF4E) ([Chandrasekaran et al., 2016](#page-8-9); [Pechar et al., 2022](#page-9-30); [Kan](#page-9-29) [et al., 2023](#page-9-29)). Additionally, quadruple knock-out of TOBAMOVIRUS MULTIPLICATION 1 (TOM1) homologues in tomato provided broad resistance to tobamoviruses, including the emerging pathogen tomato brown rugose fruit virus (ToBRFV) ([Ishikawa et al., 2022](#page-9-31)). In sweet orange, editing of the LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARIES 1 (LOB1) gene generated resistance to citrus canker ([Su et al., 2023](#page-10-23)). These advancements in crop protection are crucial for ensuring stable yields and securing food availability.

6 Outlooks

Collectively classified as New Genomic Techniques (NGTs), CRISPR–Cas-based editing approaches have already driven the development and market release of genome-edited foods ([Table 2](#page-7-0)). Nonetheless, regulatory policies vary globally ([Tuncel](#page-10-30) [et al., 2023;](#page-10-30) [Regnault-Roger, 2024](#page-10-33)). For instance, edited varieties with small genomic changes and no insertion of foreign DNA are regarded as conventionally bred plants in the USA, the UK, Japan and Argentina. Conversely, in Europe, NGT-derived plants are currently classified as genetically-modified organisms under the Directive 2001/18/EC of the European Parliament, however a revision of this strict regulatory framework is ongoing.

The continuous advancements in the CRISPR toolbox offer unique opportunities for genetic crop improvement. Beyond gene knock-out and small sequence editing, modification of non-coding elements as well as epigenome-mediated gene regulation are now possible. However, continued research is necessary to enable transient reagent delivery and avoid issues related to stable transformation or tissue culture. Additionally, making DNA-free genome editing more efficient and widely applicable in precision breeding could speed up the generation of transgene-free crops with improved performance and more sustainable production.

Author contributions

MU: Visualization, Writing–review and editing, Writing–original draft, Conceptualization. AM-H: Writing–review

References

Altae-Tran, H., Kannan, S., Demircioglu, F. E., Oshiro, R., Nety, S. P., McKay, L. J., et al. (2021). The widespread IS200/IS605 transposon family encodes diverse
programmable RNA-guided endonucleases. S*cience* 374, 57–65. doi[:10.1126/science.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abj6856)
[abj6856](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abj6856)

Andersson, M., Turesson, H., Nicolia, A., Fält, A.-S., Samuelsson, M., and Hofvander, P. (2017). Efficient targeted multiallelic mutagenesis in tetraploid potato (Solanum tuberosum) by transient CRISPR-Cas9 expression in protoplasts. Plant Cell Rep. 36, 117–128. doi:[10.1007/s00299-016-2062-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-016-2062-3)

Anzalone, A. V., Gao, X. D., Podracky, C. J., Nelson, A. T., Koblan, L. W., Raguram, A., et al. (2022). Programmable deletion, replacement, integration and inversion of large DNA sequences with twin prime editing. Nat. Biotechnol. 40, 731–740. doi:[10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-021-01133-w) [s41587-021-01133-w](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-021-01133-w)

Bi, M., Wang, Z., Cheng, K., Cui, Y., He, Y., Ma, J., et al. (2023). Construction of transcription factor mutagenesis population in tomato using a pooled CRISPR/ Cas9 plasmid library. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 205, 108094. doi:[10.1016/j.plaphy.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2023.108094) [2023.108094](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2023.108094)

Butt, H., Bazin, J., Alshareef, S., Eid, A., Benhamed, M., Reddy, A. S. N., et al. (2021). Overlapping roles of spliceosomal components SF3B1 and PHF5A in rice splicing regulation. Commun. Biol. 4, 529. doi:[10.1038/s42003-021-02051-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-021-02051-y)

Chandrasekaran, J., Brumin, M., Wolf, D., Leibman, D., Klap, C., Pearlsman, M., et al. (2016). Development of broad virus resistance in non-transgenic cucumber using CRISPR/Cas9 technology. Mol. Plant Pathol. 17, 1140–1153. doi[:10.1111/mpp.](https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.12375) [12375](https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.12375)

and editing. ND: Writing–review and editing. FP: Writing–review and editing, Writing–original draft, Conceptualization.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. MU was supported by the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions (HORIZON-MSCA2022-PF-01-101110621) from the European Commision; FP was supported by a "Juan de la Cierva Incorporación" contract (IJC 2019-039970-I) from Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (Spain), and is financed by the "Ramón y Cajal" program (RYC 2023- 045411-I) of Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades (Spain). AMH research is supported from 2021-SGR-00875, CEX2019-000902-S funded by MICIU/AEI/10.13039/ 501100011033 through the "Severo Ochoa Program for Centres of Excellence in R&D" and the CERCA Program/"Generalitat de Catalunya.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Charrier, A., Vergne, E., Dousset, N., Richer, A., Petiteau, A., and Chevreau, E. (2019). Efficient targeted mutagenesis in apple and first time edition of pear using the CRISPR-Cas9 system. Front. Plant Sci. 10, 40. doi:[10.3389/fpls.2019.00040](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00040)

Cheng, Y., Zhang, Y., Li, G., Fang, H., Sretenovic, S., Fan, A., et al. (2023). CRISPR-Cas12a base editors confer efficient multiplexed genome editing in rice. Plant Commun. 4, 100601. doi[:10.1016/j.xplc.2023.100601](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xplc.2023.100601)

Demirer, G. S., Silva, T. N., Jackson, C. T., Thomas, J. B., W Ehrhardt, D., Rhee, S. Y., et al. (2021). Nanotechnology to advance CRISPR-Cas genetic engineering of plants. Nat. Nanotechnol. 16, 243–250. doi[:10.1038/s41565-021-00854-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41565-021-00854-y)

Do, P. T., Nguyen, C. X., Bui, H. T., Tran, L. T. N., Stacey, G., Gillman, J. D., et al. (2019). Demonstration of highly efficient dual gRNA CRISPR/Cas9 editing of the homeologous GmFAD2-1A and GmFAD2-1B genes to yield a high oleic, low linoleic and α-linolenic acid phenotype in soybean. BMC Plant Biol. 19, 311. doi:[10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-019-1906-8) [s12870-019-1906-8](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-019-1906-8)

Durrant, M. G., Perry, N. T., Pai, J. J., Jangid, A. R., Athukoralage, J. S., Hiraizumi, M., et al. (2024). Bridge RNAs direct programmable recombination of target and donor DNA. Nature 630, 984–993. doi[:10.1038/s41586-024-07552-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07552-4)

Ellison, E. E., Nagalakshmi, U., Gamo, M. E., Huang, P.-J., Dinesh-Kumar, S., and Voytas, D. F. (2020). Multiplexed heritable gene editing using RNA viruses and mobile single guide RNAs. Nat. Plants 6, 620–624. doi[:10.1038/s41477-020-0670-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-020-0670-y)

Gao, H., Gadlage, M. J., Lafitte, H. R., Lenderts, B., Yang, M., Schroder, M., et al. (2020). Superior field performance of waxy corn engineered using CRISPR-Cas9. Nat. Biotechnol. 38, 579–581. doi[:10.1038/s41587-020-0444-0](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-020-0444-0)

Gao, Q., Xu, W.-Y., Yan, T., Fang, X.-D., Cao, Q., Zhang, Z.-J., et al. (2019). Rescue of a plant cytorhabdovirus as versatile expression platforms for planthopper and cereal genomic studies. New Phytol. 223, 2120–2133. doi[:10.1111/nph.15889](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15889)

GreenVenus LLC (2022). Lettuce GVR-108XL. United States: U.S. Plant Variety Protection Office. Available at: [http://apps.ams.usda.gov/CMS//AdobeImages/](http://apps.ams.usda.gov/CMS//AdobeImages/202000361.pdf) [202000361.pdf.](http://apps.ams.usda.gov/CMS//AdobeImages/202000361.pdf)

Gupta, A., Liu, B., Chen, Q.-J., and Yang, B. (2023). High-efficiency prime editing enables new strategies for broad-spectrum resistance to bacterial blight of rice. Plant Biotechnol. J. 21, 1454–1464. doi:[10.1111/pbi.14049](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.14049)

Gupta, A., Liu, B., Raza, S., Chen, Q.-J., and Yang, B. (2024). Modularly assembled multiplex prime editors for simultaneous editing of agronomically important genes in rice. Plant Commun. 5, 100741. doi[:10.1016/j.xplc.2023.100741](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xplc.2023.100741)

Han, D., Xiao, Q., Wang, Y., Zhang, H., Dong, X., Li, G., et al. (2023). Development of miniature base editors using engineered IscB nickase. Nat. Methods 20, 1029–1036. doi[:10.1038/s41592-023-01898-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-023-01898-9)

Hartnell, G., Lemke, S., and Aulbach, C. (2022). Composition of a low erucic acid, low fiber field pennycress (*Thlaspi arvense L*) grain referred to as CoverCressTM
developed through breeding and gene editing. *bioRxiv*. doi:[10.1101/2022.06.03.](https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.03.494728) [494728](https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.03.494728)

He, J., Zhang, K., Yan, S., Tang, M., Zhou, W., Yin, Y., et al. (2023). Genome-scale targeted mutagenesis in Brassica napus using a pooled CRISPR library. Genome Res. 33, 798–809. doi:[10.1101/gr.277650.123](https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.277650.123)

He, Y., Yang, Z., Tang, M., Yang, Q.-Y., Zhang, Y., and Liu, S. (2022). Enhancing canola breeding by editing a glucosinolate transporter gene lacking natural variation. Plant Physiol. 188, 1848–1851. doi:[10.1093/plphys/kiac021](https://doi.org/10.1093/plphys/kiac021)

He, Y., Zhu, M., Wang, L., Wu, J., Wang, Q., Wang, R., et al. (2018). Programmed selfelimination of the CRISPR/Cas9 construct greatly accelerates the isolation of edited and transgene-free rice plants. Mol. Plant 11, 1210–1213. doi[:10.1016/j.molp.2018.05.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2018.05.005)

Hiraizumi, M., Perry, N. T., Durrant, M. G., Soma, T., Nagahata, N., Okazaki, S., et al.
(2024). Structural mechanism of bridge RNA-guided recombination. Nature 630, 994–1002. doi:[10.1038/s41586-024-07570-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07570-2)

Huang, H., Cui, T., Zhang, L., Yang, Q., Yang, Y., Xie, K., et al. (2020). Modifications of fatty acid profile through targeted mutation at *BnaFAD2* gene with CRISPR/Cas9mediated gene editing in Brassica napus. Theor. Appl. Genet. 133, 2401–2411. doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-020-03607-y) [1007/s00122-020-03607-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-020-03607-y)

Ishikawa, M., Yoshida, T., Matsuyama, M., Kouzai, Y., Kano, A., and Ishibashi, K. (2022). Tomato brown rugose fruit virus resistance generated by quadruple knockout of homologs of TOBAMOVIRUS MULTIPLICATION1 in tomato. Plant Physiol. 189, 679–686. doi:[10.1093/plphys/kiac103](https://doi.org/10.1093/plphys/kiac103)

Jacobs, T. B., Zhang, N., Patel, D., and Martin, G. B. (2017). Generation of a collection of mutant tomato lines using pooled CRISPR libraries. Plant Physiol. 174, 2023–2037. doi[:10.1104/pp.17.00489](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.17.00489)

Jiang, W. Z., Henry, I. M., Lynagh, P. G., Comai, L., Cahoon, E. B., and Weeks, D. P. (2017). Significant enhancement of fatty acid composition in seeds of the allohexaploid, Camelina sativa, using CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing. Plant Biotechnol. J. 15, 648–657. doi[:10.1111/pbi.12663](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12663)

Kan, J., Cai, Y., Cheng, C., Chen, S., Jiang, C., He, Z., et al. (2023). CRISPR/Cas9-
guided knockout of eIF4E improves Wheat yellow mosaic virus resistance without yield penalty. Plant Biotechnol. J. 21, 893–895. doi[:10.1111/pbi.14002](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.14002)

Karlson, D., Mojica, J. P., Poorten, T. J., Lawit, S. J., Jali, S., Chauhan, R. D., et al. (2022). Targeted mutagenesis of the multicopy *Myrosinase* gene family in allotetraploid Brassica juncea reduces pungency in fresh leaves across environments. Plants (Basel) 11, 2494. doi[:10.3390/plants11192494](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11192494)

Kelliher, T., Starr, D., Su, X., Tang, G., Chen, Z., Carter, J., et al. (2019). One-step genome editing of elite crop germplasm during haploid induction. Nat. Biotechnol. 37, 287–292. doi:[10.1038/s41587-019-0038-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0038-x)

Kong, X., Wang, F., Wang, Z., Gao, X., Geng, S., Deng, Z., et al. (2023). Grain yield
improvement by genome editing of TaARF12 that decoupled peduncle and rachis development trajectories via differential regulation of gibberellin signalling in wheat. Plant Biotechnol. J. 21, 1990–2001. doi:[10.1111/pbi.14107](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.14107)

Koonin, E. V., Gootenberg, J. S., and Abudayyeh, O. O. (2023). Discovery of diverse CRISPR-Cas systems and expansion of the genome engineering toolbox. Biochemistry 62, 3465–3487. doi:[10.1021/acs.biochem.3c00159](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biochem.3c00159)

Kuang, Y., Li, S., Ren, B., Yan, F., Spetz, C., Li, X., et al. (2020). Base-editing-mediated artificial evolution of OsALS1 in planta to develop novel herbicide-tolerant rice
germplasms. Mol. Plant 13, 565–572. doi:[10.1016/j.molp.2020.01.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2020.01.010)

Kulcsár, P. I., Tálas, A., Huszár, K., Ligeti, Z., Tóth, E., Weinhardt, N., et al. (2017). Crossing enhanced and high fidelity SpCas9 nucleases to optimize specificity and
cleavage. Genome Biol. 18, 190. doi[:10.1186/s13059-017-1318-8](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-017-1318-8)

Kwon, C.-T., Heo, J., Lemmon, Z. H., Capua, Y., Hutton, S. F., Van Eck, J., et al. (2020). Rapid customization of Solanaceae fruit crops for urban agriculture. Nat. Biotechnol. 38, 182–188. doi:[10.1038/s41587-019-0361-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0361-2)

Lemmon, Z. H., Reem, N. T., Dalrymple, J., Soyk, S., Swartwood, K. E., Rodriguez-Leal, D., et al. (2018). Rapid improvement of domestication traits in an orphan crop by genome editing. Nat. Plants 4, 766–770. doi:[10.1038/s41477-018-0259-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-018-0259-x)

Li, B., Sun, C., Li, J., and Gao, C. (2024a). Targeted genome-modification tools and their advanced applications in crop breeding. Nat. Rev. Genet. 25, 603–622. doi:[10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41576-024-00720-2) $s41576 - 024 - 00720 - 2$

Li, C., Zhang, R., Meng, X., Chen, S., Zong, Y., Lu, C., et al. (2020). Targeted, random mutagenesis of plant genes with dual cytosine and adenine base editors. Nat. Biotechnol. 38, 875–882. doi[:10.1038/s41587-019-0393-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0393-7)

Li, J., Scarano, A., Gonzalez, N. M., D'Orso, F., Yue, Y., Nemeth, K., et al. (2022a). Biofortified tomatoes provide a new route to vitamin D sufficiency. Nat. Plants 8, 611–616. doi:[10.1038/s41477-022-01154-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-022-01154-6)

Li, Q., Wang, Y., Hou, Z., Zong, H., Wang, X., Zhang, Y. E., et al. (2024b). Genome editing in plants using the TnpB transposase system. aBIOTECH 5, 225–230. doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s42994-024-00172-6) [1007/s42994-024-00172-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s42994-024-00172-6)

Li, S., Lin, D., Zhang, Y., Deng, M., Chen, Y., Lv, B., et al. (2022b). Genome-edited powdery mildew resistance in wheat without growth penalties. Nature 602, 455–460. doi[:10.1038/s41586-022-04395-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04395-9)

Li, T., Yang, X., Yu, Y., Si, X., Zhai, X., Zhang, H., et al. (2018a). Domestication of wild tomato is accelerated by genome editing. Nat. Biotechnol. 36, 1160–1163. doi:[10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.4273) [nbt.4273](https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.4273)

Li, X., Wang, Y., Chen, S., Tian, H., Fu, D., Zhu, B., et al. (2018b). Lycopene is enriched in tomato fruit by CRISPR/Cas9-mediated multiplex genome editing. Front. Plant Sci. 9, 559. doi[:10.3389/fpls.2018.00559](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.00559)

Li, X., Xie, J., Dong, C., Zheng, Z., Shen, R., Cao, X., et al. (2024c). Efficient and heritable A-to-K base editing in rice and tomato. Hortic. Res. 11, uhad250. doi:[10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/hr/uhad250) [hr/uhad250](https://doi.org/10.1093/hr/uhad250)

Li, Z., Zhong, Z., Wu, Z., Pausch, P., Al-Shayeb, B., Amerasekera, J., et al. (2023).
Genome editing in plants using the compact editor CasΦ. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 120, e2216822120. doi[:10.1073/pnas.2216822120](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2216822120)

Lin, C.-S., Hsu, C.-T., Yuan, Y.-H., Zheng, P.-X., Wu, F.-H., Cheng, Q.-W., et al. (2022). DNA-free CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing of wild tetraploid tomato Solanum peruvianum using protoplast regeneration. Plant Physiol. 188, 1917–1930. doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.1093/plphys/kiac022) [1093/plphys/kiac022](https://doi.org/10.1093/plphys/kiac022)

Liu, B., Santo Domingo, M., Mayobre, C., Martín-Hernández, A. M., Pujol, M., and Garcia-Mas, J. (2022). Knock-out of CmNAC-NOR affects melon climacteric fruit ripening. Front. Plant Sci. 13, 878037. doi:[10.3389/fpls.2022.878037](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.878037)

Liu, D., Myers, E. A., Xuan, S., Prichard, L. E., Donahue, L. I., Ellison, E. E., et al. (2024). Heritable, multinucleotide deletions in plants using viral delivery of a repair exonuclease and guide RNAs. Plant Physiol. 194, 2229–2239. doi[:10.1093/plphys/](https://doi.org/10.1093/plphys/kiae015) [kiae015](https://doi.org/10.1093/plphys/kiae015)

Liu, H.-J., Jian, L., Xu, J., Zhang, Q., Zhang, M., Jin, M., et al. (2020a). Highthroughput CRISPR/Cas9 mutagenesis streamlines trait gene identification in maize. Plant Cell 32, 1397–1413. doi:[10.1105/tpc.19.00934](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.19.00934)

Liu, L., Gallagher, J., Arevalo, E. D., Chen, R., Skopelitis, T., Wu, Q., et al. (2021). Enhancing grain-yield-related traits by CRISPR-Cas9 promoter editing of maize CLE genes. Nat. Plants 7, 287–294. doi:[10.1038/s41477-021-00858-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-021-00858-5)

Liu, Q., Zhao, C., Sun, K., Deng, Y., and Li, Z. (2023). Engineered biocontainable RNA virus vectors for non-transgenic genome editing across crop species and genotypes. Mol. Plant 16, 616–631. doi[:10.1016/j.molp.2023.02.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2023.02.003)

Liu, X., Qin, R., Li, J., Liao, S., Shan, T., Xu, R., et al. (2020b). A CRISPR-Cas9 mediated domain-specific base-editing screen enables functional assessment of ACCase variants in rice. Plant Biotechnol. J. 18, 1845–1847. doi[:10.1111/pbi.13348](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13348)

Liu, Y., Zeng, J., Yuan, C., Guo, Y., Yu, H., Li, Y., et al. (2019). Cas9-PF, an early flowering and visual selection marker system, enhances the frequency of editing event occurrence and expedites the isolation of genome-edited and transgene-free plants. Plant Biotechnol. J. 17, 1191–1193. doi:[10.1111/pbi.13118](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13118)

Ma, X., Zhang, X., Liu, H., and Li, Z. (2020). Highly efficient DNA-free plant genome editing using virally delivered CRISPR–Cas9. Nat. Plants 6, 773–779. doi:[10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-020-0704-5) [s41477-020-0704-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-020-0704-5)

Malzahn, A. A., Tang, X., Lee, K., Ren, Q., Sretenovic, S., Zhang, Y., et al. (2019). Application of CRISPR-Cas12a temperature sensitivity for improved genome editing in rice, maize, and Arabidopsis. BMC Biol. 17, 9. doi:[10.1186/s12915-019-0629-5](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-019-0629-5)

Meng, X., Yu, H., Zhang, Y., Zhuang, F., Song, X., Gao, S., et al. (2017). Construction of a genome-wide mutant library in rice using CRISPR/Cas9. Mol. Plant 10, 1238–1241. doi[:10.1016/j.molp.2017.06.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2017.06.006)

Ming, M., Ren, Q., Pan, C., He, Y., Zhang, Y., Liu, S., et al. (2020). CRISPR-Cas12b enables efficient plant genome engineering. Nat. Plants 6, 202–208. doi[:10.1038/s41477-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-020-0614-6) [020-0614-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-020-0614-6)

Ozyigit, I. I., and Yucebilgili Kurtoglu, K. (2020). Particle bombardment technology and its applications in plants. Mol. Biol. Rep. 47, 9831–9847. doi:[10.1007/s11033-020-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-020-06001-5) [06001-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-020-06001-5)

Pasin, F., Uranga, M., Charudattan, R., and Kwon, C.-T. (2024). Engineering good viruses to improve crop performance. Nat. Rev. Bioeng. 2, 532–534. doi[:10.1038/s44222-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s44222-024-00197-y) [024-00197-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s44222-024-00197-y)

Pechar, G. S., Donaire, L., Gosalvez, B., García-Almodovar, C., Sánchez-Pina, M. A., Truniger, V., et al. (2022). Editing melon eIF4E associates with virus resistance and male sterility. Plant Biotechnol. J. 20, 2006–2022. doi:[10.1111/pbi.13885](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13885)

Que, Q., Chen, Z., Kelliher, T., Skibbe, D., Dong, S., and Chilton, M.-D. (2019). Plant DNA repair pathways and their applications in genome engineering. Methods Mol. Biol. 1917, 3–24. doi:[10.1007/978-1-4939-8991-1_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-8991-1_1)

Regnault-Roger, C. (2024). "What are regulations on NGTs elsewhere in the world?," in Biotech challenges (Cham: Springer Nature), 65–70. doi:[10.1007/978-3-031-38237-](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-38237-6_9) [6_9](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-38237-6_9)

Rodríguez-Leal, D., Lemmon, Z. H., Man, J., Bartlett, M. E., and Lippman, Z. B. (2017). Engineering quantitative trait variation for crop improvement by genome editing. Cell 171, 470–480.e8. doi[:10.1016/j.cell.2017.08.030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.08.030)

Saito, M., Xu, P., Faure, G., Maguire, S., Kannan, S., Altae-Tran, H., et al. (2023). Fanzor is a eukaryotic programmable RNA-guided endonuclease. Nature 620, 660–668. doi[:10.1038/s41586-023-06356-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06356-2)

Sánchez-León, S., Marín-Sanz, M., Guzmán-López, M. H., Gavilán-Camacho, M., Simón, E., and Barro, F. (2024). CRISPR/Cas9-mediated multiplex gene editing of gamma and omega gliadins, paving the way for gliadin-free wheat. J. Exp. Bot., erae376. doi[:10.1093/jxb/erae376](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erae376)

Sanzari, I., Leone, A., and Ambrosone, A. (2019). Nanotechnology in plant science: to make a long story short. Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. 7, 120. doi:[10.3389/fbioe.2019.00120](https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2019.00120)

Schreiber, T., Prange, A., Schäfer, P., Iwen, T., Grützner, R., Marillonnet, S., et al. (2024). Efficient scar-free knock-ins of several kilobases in plants by engineered CRISPR/Cas endonucleases. Mol. Plant S1674-2052 (24), 824–837. doi[:10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2024.03.013) [molp.2024.03.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2024.03.013)

Squire, H. J., Tomatz, S., Voke, E., González-Grandío, E., and Landry, M. (2023). The emerging role of nanotechnology in plant genetic engineering. Nat. Rev. Bioeng. 1, 314–328. doi:[10.1038/s44222-023-00037-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s44222-023-00037-5)

Sturme, M. H. J., van der Berg, J. P., Bouwman, L. M. S., De Schrijver, A., de Maagd, R. A., Kleter, G. A., et al. (2022). Occurrence and nature of off-target modifications by CRISPR-Cas genome editing in plants. ACS Agric. Sci. Technol. 2, 192–201. doi:[10.1021/](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsagscitech.1c00270) [acsagscitech.1c00270](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsagscitech.1c00270)

Su, H., Wang, Y., Xu, J., Omar, A. A., Grosser, J. W., Calovic, M., et al. (2023). Generation of the transgene-free canker-resistant Citrus sinensis using Cas12a/crRNA ribonucleoprotein in the T0 generation. Nat. Commun. 14, 3957. doi:[10.1038/s41467-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-39714-9) [023-39714-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-39714-9)

Sukegawa, S., Nureki, O., Toki, S., and Saika, H. (2023). Genome editing in rice mediated by miniature size Cas nuclease SpCas12f. Front 5, 1138843. doi[:10.3389/fgeed.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fgeed.2023.1138843) [2023.1138843](https://doi.org/10.3389/fgeed.2023.1138843)

Tian, Y., Shen, R., Li, Z., Yao, Q., Zhang, X., Zhong, D., et al. (2022). Efficient C-to-G editing in rice using an optimized base editor. Plant Biotechnol. J. 20, 1238–1240. doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13841) [1111/pbi.13841](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13841)

Tripathi, L., Ntui, V. O., and Tripathi, J. N. (2024). Application of CRISPR/Cas-based gene-editing for developing better banana. Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. 12, 1395772. doi[:10.3389/fbioe.2024.1395772](https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2024.1395772)

Tuncel, A., Pan, C., Sprink, T., Wilhelm, R., Barrangou, R., Li, L., et al. (2023). Genome-edited foods. Nat. Rev. Bioeng. 1, 799–816. doi:[10.1038/s44222-023-00115-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s44222-023-00115-8)

Uranga, M. (2024). "Virus-induced genome editing," in CRISPR and plant functional genomics Boca Raton: CRC Press, 81–106. doi[:10.1201/9781003387060-5](https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003387060-5)

Uranga, M., Aragonés, V., García, A., Mirabel, S., Gianoglio, S., Presa, S., et al. (2024). RNA virus-mediated gene editing for tomato trait breeding. Hortic. Res. 11, uhad279. doi[:10.1093/hr/uhad279](https://doi.org/10.1093/hr/uhad279)

Uranga, M., and Daròs, J.-A. (2023). Tools and targets: the dual role of plant viruses in CRISPR-Cas genome editing. Plant Genome 16, e20220. doi[:10.1002/tpg2.20220](https://doi.org/10.1002/tpg2.20220)

Vats, S., Kumar, J., Sonah, H., Zhang, F., and Deshmukh, R. (2024). Prime editing in plants: prospects and challenges. J. Exp. Bot. 75, 5344–5356. doi:[10.1093/jxb/](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erae053) era erae 053

Waltz, E. (2022). GABA-enriched tomato is first CRISPR-edited food to enter market. Nat. Biotechnol. 40, 9–11. doi[:10.1038/d41587-021-00026-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/d41587-021-00026-2)

Wang, G., Wang, F., Xu, Z., Wang, Y., Zhang, C., Zhou, Y., et al. (2024). Precise fineturning of GhTFL1 by base editing tools defines ideal cotton plant architecture. Genome Biol. 25, 59. doi[:10.1186/s13059-024-03189-8](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-024-03189-8)

Wang, X., Aguirre, L., Rodríguez-Leal, D., Hendelman, A., Benoit, M., and Lippman, Z. B. (2021). Dissecting cis-regulatory control of quantitative trait variation in a plant stem cell circuit. Nat. Plants 7, 419–427. doi[:10.1038/s41477-021-00898-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-021-00898-x)

Xing, S., Chen, K., Zhu, H., Zhang, R., Zhang, H., Li, B., et al. (2020). Fine-tuning sugar content in strawberry. Genome Biol. 21, 230. doi:[10.1186/s13059-020-02146-5](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-020-02146-5)

Yang, T., Ali, M., Lin, L., Li, P., He, H., Zhu, Q., et al. (2022). Recoloring tomato fruit by CRISPR/Cas9-mediated multiplex gene editing. Hortic. Res. 10, uhac214. doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.1093/hr/uhac214) [1093/hr/uhac214](https://doi.org/10.1093/hr/uhac214)

Yu, H., Lin, T., Meng, X., Du, H., Zhang, J., Liu, G., et al. (2021). A route to de novo domestication of wild allotetraploid rice. Cell 184, 1156–1170.e14. doi:[10.1016/j.cell.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2021.01.013) [2021.01.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2021.01.013)

Zeng, D., Liu, T., Ma, X., Wang, B., Zheng, Z., Zhang, Y., et al. (2020). Quantitative regulation of Waxy expression by CRISPR/Cas9-based promoter and 5'UTR-intron editing improves grain quality in rice. Plant Biotechnol. J. 18, 2385–2387. doi:[10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13427) [pbi.13427](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13427)

Zhang, A., Shan, T., Sun, Y., Chen, Z., Hu, J., Hu, Z., et al. (2023a). Directed evolution rice genes with randomly multiplexed sgRNAs assembly of base editors. Plant Biotechnol. J. 21, 2597–2610. doi:[10.1111/pbi.14156](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.14156)

Zhang, J., Yu, H., and Li, J. (2023b). De novo domestication: retrace the history of agriculture to design future crops. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 81, 102946. doi:[10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2023.102946) [copbio.2023.102946](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2023.102946)

Zhang, J., Zhang, X., Chen, R., Yang, L., Fan, K., Liu, Y., et al. (2020a). Generation of transgene-free semidwarf maize plants by gene editing of Gibberellin-Oxidase20-3 using CRISPR/Cas9. Front. Plant Sci. 11, 1048. doi[:10.3389/fpls.2020.01048](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.01048)

Zhang, P., Du, H., Wang, J., Pu, Y., Yang, C., Yan, R., et al. (2020b). Multiplex CRISPR/Cas9-mediated metabolic engineering increases soya bean isoflavone content and resistance to soya bean mosaic virus. Plant Biotechnol. J. 18, 1384–1395. doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13302) [1111/pbi.13302](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13302)

Zhang, Y., Bai, Y., Wu, G., Zou, S., Chen, Y., Gao, C., et al. (2017). Simultaneous modification of three homoeologs of TaEDR1 by genome editing enhances powdery mildew resistance in wheat. Plant J. 91, 714–724. doi[:10.1111/tpj.13599](https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.13599)

Zhang, Y., Iaffaldano, B., and Qi, Y. (2021). CRISPR ribonucleoprotein-mediated genetic engineering in plants. Plant Commun. 2, 100168. doi:[10.1016/j.xplc.2021.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xplc.2021.100168) [100168](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xplc.2021.100168)

Zhou, J., Liu, G., Zhao, Y., Zhang, R., Tang, X., Li, L., et al. (2023). An efficient CRISPR-Cas12a promoter editing system for crop improvement. Nat. Plants 9, 588–604. doi:[10.1038/s41477-023-01384-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-023-01384-2)

Zhou, S., Cai, L., Wu, H., Wang, B., Gu, B., Cui, S., et al. (2024). Fine-tuning rice heading date through multiplex editing of the regulatory regions of key genes by CRISPR-Cas9. Plant Biotechnol. J. 22, 751–758. doi:[10.1111/pbi.14221](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.14221)

Zsögön, A., Čermák, T., Naves, E. R., Notini, M. M., Edel, K. H., Weinl, S., et al. (2018). De novo domestication of wild tomato using genome editing. Nat. Biotechnol. 36, 1211–1216. doi:[10.1038/nbt.4272](https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.4272)