Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Junjie Li, Kyushu University, Japan

REVIEWED BY Panyue Wen, University of Science and Technology of China, China Jianxun Ding, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), China Yao Chenzhi, Anhui Normal University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Qianshi Zhang, I zhangqianshi1987@qq.com Shuangyi Ren, I renshuangyidl@163.com Liqun Yang, I vanglg@lnszik.com.cn

¹These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 16 September 2023 ACCEPTED 16 October 2023 PUBLISHED 01 November 2023

CITATION

Wang Z, Xiao M, Guo F, Yan Y, Tian H, Zhang Q, Ren S and Yang L (2023), Biodegradable polyester-based nano drug delivery system in cancer chemotherapy: a review of recent progress (2021–2023). *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 11:1295323. doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2023.1295323

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Wang, Xiao, Guo, Yan, Tian, Zhang, Ren and Yang. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Biodegradable polyester-based nano drug delivery system in cancer chemotherapy: a review of recent progress (2021–2023)

Zongheng Wang^{1,2†}, Miaomiao Xiao^{2,3†}, Fangliang Guo^{1†}, Yue Yan⁴, Hong Tian⁵, Qianshi Zhang¹*, Shuangyi Ren¹* and Liqun Yang^{6,2}*

¹Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Dalian Medical University, Dalian, China, ²Liaoning Research Institute of Family Planning (The Reproductive Hospital of China Medical University), Shenyang, China, ³College of Kinesiology, Shenyang Sport University, Shenyang, China, ⁴Department of Emergency, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Dalian Medical University, Dalian, China, ⁵Department of Oncology, The 4th People's Hospital of Shenyang, China Medical University, Shenyang, China, ⁶Research Center for Biomedical Materials, Shengjing Hospital of China Medical University, Shenyang, China

Cancer presents a formidable threat to human health, with the majority of cases currently lacking a complete cure. Frequently, chemotherapy drugs are required to impede its progression. However, these drugs frequently suffer from drawbacks such as poor selectivity, limited water solubility, low bioavailability, and a propensity for causing organ toxicity. Consequently, a concerted effort has been made to seek improved drug delivery systems. Nano-drug delivery systems based on biodegradable polyesters have emerged as a subject of widespread interest in this pursuit. Extensive research has demonstrated their potential for offering high bioavailability, effective encapsulation, controlled release, and minimal toxicity. Notably, poly (*ɛ*-caprolactone) (PCL), poly (lacticco-glycolic acid) (PLGA), and polylactic acid (PLA) have gained prominence as the most widely utilized options as carriers of the nano drug delivery system. This paper comprehensively reviews recent research on these materials as nanocarriers for delivering chemotherapeutic drugs, summarizing their latest advancements, acknowledging their limitations, and forecasting future research directions.

KEYWORDS

biodegradable polyester, drug delivery system, nanoparticles, cancer, chemotherapy

1 Introduction

Cancer is the second most prominent contributor to global mortality, trailing only behind cardiovascular diseases (Mattiuzzi and Lippi, 2019). Figure 1 illustrates data from the World Health Organization, revealing that breast cancer claimed the top spot for new cancer cases in 2020, closely followed by lung cancer (W.H. O, 2023). Based on statistics provided by the American Cancer Society, it was projected that the United States would witness 1,958,310 new cancer diagnoses and 609,820 cancer-related fatalities in 2023 (Siegel et al., 2023). Undoubtedly, cancer exerts a substantial financial burden on healthcare systems worldwide, posing significant challenges to their fiscal resources and long-term viability (Qiu et al., 2021).



Current treatment modalities primarily encompass surgical procedures, chemotherapy, and immunotherapy. However, certain advanced-stage patients may not qualify for surgical interventions, and even post-surgery, some may encounter relapses (Almeida et al., 2019). Immunotherapy, while available, remains accessible to only a limited fraction of patients and carries severe side effects, including autoimmune reactions and non-specific inflammation (Riley et al., 2019). Chemotherapy, administered before and after tumor removal, serves the dual purpose of facilitating surgical procedures and preventing the resurgence of residual cancer cells. It enjoys widespread utilization and is indispensable in cancer treatment (Hellmann et al., 2016). Prominent chemotherapy agents such as 5fluorouracil (5-FU), paclitaxel (PTX), doxorubicin (DOX), and cisdiamminedichloro-platinum (CDDP) find extensive clinical application and yield favorable treatment outcomes (Roth and Ajani, 2003). Nevertheless, these chemotherapy drugs have limitations, including



restricted bioavailability, suboptimal tissue penetration, the absence of specific targeting ligands, and the necessity for frequent administration (Kozovska et al., 2014; Chou et al., 2020). Furthermore, although some patients exhibit an initial positive response to treatment, they may subsequently develop resistance to chemotherapy, culminating in tumor recurrence (Biller and Schrag, 2021; Xiao et al., 2021; Yoon et al., 2021).

Hence, the quest for an improved drug delivery system has piqued the interest of scholars, with nanoparticles based on biodegradable polyesters emerging as a focal point of attention (Cheng and Pun, 2015). Biodegradable polyesters are polymeric materials that boast environmentally friendly attributes (Gross and Kalra, 2002). Additionally, they exhibit commendable biocompatibility and can decompose into small molecule byproducts within the human physiological milieu. Several have secured approval from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration for diverse clinical applications in drug delivery systems, including PCL (Malikmammadov et al., 2018), PLA (Williams, 2007; Pandey et al., 2015) and PLGA (Sonam Dongsar et al., 2023), among others. Their structures are outlined in Table 1 (Washington et al., 2017).

On the one hand, these nanoparticles can traverse the endothelial barriers of the spleen and liver; on the other hand, they leverage the enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect to passively accumulate at tumor sites (Zhang et al., 2014; Asadi et al., 2017). They possess robust drug-loading capabilities, facilitate optimal intracellular uptake, and harness the enhanced permeability and retention phenomena (Bae et al., 2011; Yao et al., 2020). In principle, nanomaterials offer a hydrophobic core for encapsulating drugs, enhancing their stability in the bloodstream (Gupta et al., 2021). Moreover, they can be customized by incorporating various functional groups to modulate their functions within the body (Esfandyari-Manesh et al., 2016).

To enhance the active targeting of the delivery system, researchers usually employed cancer cell-specific ligands as targets. These ligands enable easy entry into cancer cells through

TABLE 1 The structures of the polymers.

| Polymer | Structure | T _g (°C) | T _m (°C) |
|---------|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| PCL | HO HO MARK | -60 | 60 |
| PLGA | | 35-60 | 120-200 |
| PLA | HO (, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | 60-65 | 150-160 |

receptor-mediated transcytosis, circumventing sole reliance on the EPR effect. Such ligands encompass peptides, polysaccharides, antibodies, and more (Sun et al., 2018).

This review presents an overview of the researches conducted over the past 3 years concerning biodegradable polyesters for the delivery of cancer chemotherapeutic drugs. Our focus centers on the extensively studied PCL, PLGA, and PLA, summarizing the latest advancements, recognizing their limitations, and shedding light on future research trends.

2 Biodegradable polyester-based drug delivery systems

2.1 Poly (ϵ -caprolactone) (PCL)

PCL is a biodegradable and biocompatible semi-crystalline linear aliphatic polyester (Khan et al., 2017). It is non-toxic, biodegradable, and biocompatible attributes, which is used in a wide range of bio-applications (Bhadran et al., 2023; Murab et al., 2023). The details about the applications of PCL in cancer chemotherapy are shown in Table 2.

To harness the advantages of PCL in addressing the short halflife and limited bioavailability associated with intravenous drug administration, Jan et al. (2021) employed a nanoprecipitation to create PCL nanoparticles method loaded with arabinosylcytosine. They investigated the in vitro anti-cancer effects of these nanoparticles on KG-1 leukemia cells. The in vitro release experiments revealed an initial burst release followed by sustained release over 48 h. Additionally, cytotoxicity experiments demonstrated that the IC50 value of PCL nanoparticles was nearly two orders of magnitude lower than that of the pure drug injection. This underscored the effectiveness of arabinosylcytosineloaded PCL nanoparticles as a drug carrier, effectively mitigating dose-related toxicity while offering a controlled release mechanism.

In contrast to free drugs, liposomes exhibit improved pharmacokinetics and enhanced biocompatibility. They can accommodate hydrophilic and lipophilic drugs and can be customized as needed. However, liposomes face limitations such as low drug loading, rapid release, leakage, and instability during storage. To address these issues, Khan et al. (2021) employed a nanoprecipitation technique to create 5-fluorouracil-loaded lipidpolymer hybrid nanoparticles (LPHNPs). The IC50 values of free 5-FU and 5-FU LPHNPs were $60.78 \ \mu g/mL$ and $47.34 \ \mu g/mL$ for HeLa cells and $58.35 \ \mu g/mL$ and $43.33 \ \mu g/mL$ for MCF-7 cells, respectively. The reduced IC50 values of LPHNPs suggest they are more effective at killing cancer cells than free drugs. *In vitro* release studies showed an initial burst release of 40% in the first 9 h, followed by continuous release over 72 h.

Furthermore, Kimiya Hasanbegloo and colleagues conducted similar research. They loaded paclitaxel into liposomes and embedded them within PCL/chitosan nanofibers to enhance sustained paclitaxel delivery. Drug release experiments indicated a sustained release period of up to 30 days, demonstrating the improved delivery capabilities of the nanofibers (Hasanbegloo et al., 2023).

However, nanoparticles composed solely of single-component polymers have limitations, such as poor water solubility and susceptibility to clearance by the reticuloendothelial system. Amphiphilic block copolymers have garnered significant attention to enhance nanoparticle properties and achieve longterm therapeutic effects (Zhan et al., 2022). PEG as a hydrophilic moiety has been widely adopted, and nano-carriers based on the PEG-PCL architecture have become an essential strategy for increasing drug accumulation at specific target sites while minimizing non-specific drug uptake (Grossen et al., 2017). In line with this concept, Hongdan She and colleagues utilized ringopening polymerization to synthesize methoxy polyethylene glycolblock-poly(ε-caprolactone) (mPEG-b-PCL) copolymers. Subsequently, they derived the polymer mPEG-b-PCL-DOX through orchestrated esterification and amidation reactions. In vitro experiments demonstrated that the IC50 of free DOX and mPEG-b-PCL-DOX NPs on HCT116 cells were 3.85 \pm 0.16 µg/mL and 2.65 \pm 0.29 µg/mL, respectively, highlighting the superior antitumor activity of the nanoparticles (Shen et al., 2021).

In contrast to monotherapy, combination therapy harnesses the synergistic effects of multiple drugs to achieve superior anti-tumor effects. Akanksha Behl and colleagues developed a multifunctional nano-carrier delivery system, PEG-PCL, capable of simultaneously delivering the chemotherapeutic drug Gemcitabine (GEM) and a MUC1 inhibitor. MUC1 is a transmembrane MUC found in human breast tumors due to its high overexpression. The MUC1 inhibitor disrupts the nucleus of human breast cancer cells, disrupts redox balance, and triggers DNA damage response. In vivo experiments, the average tumor volumes for Gem NPs, MUC1 inhibitor NPs, Gem-MUC1 inhibitor NPs, blank NPs, 5-FU, and sterile saline were approximately 828.75, 747.07, 473.75, 1055.14, 373.92, and 1119 mm³, respectively. Additionally, the NPs demonstrated strong tumor-targeting capabilities in the acidic tumor microenvironment, enhancing the efficacy of anti-cancer drugs both in vitro and in vivo (Behl et al., 2022). Furthermore, Jin et al. (2023) synthesized mPEG-b-PC, simultaneously loaded with PTX and sorafenib, achieving significant results with a tumor growth inhibition rate of up to 90.44%.

In addition to using PEG, Jiajia Xiang, and colleagues discovered a versatile poly(tertiary amine oxide) (PTAO) as a superior alternative to PEG. PTAO-PCL/DOX exhibited enhanced tumor enrichment compared to PEG-PCL/DOX. Specifically, OPDMA-PCL/DOX and OPDEA-PCL/DOX micelles displayed DOX accumulation in tumors 2.7 and 2.3 times higher than PEG-PCL/ TABLE 2 PCL-based nano drug delivery systems investigated for treating cancers.

| Author | Year | Nanoparticles system | Size (nm) | Encapsulation efficiency (%) | Drug loading (%) | IC50 | The blood circulation time | Type of tumor | Chemotherapy drug | Reference |
|--------------------------------|------|--|--|---------------------------------|------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|
| Nasrullah Jan et al | 2021 | Cytarabine-PCL | $\begin{array}{c} 120.5 \pm \\ 1.18 341.5 \pm 3.02 \end{array}$ | 41.31 ± 0.49-62.28 ± 0.39% | 6–20 | KG-1 cells, 48 h, 8.80 ± 0.48 μg/mL | - | Leukaemia and breast cancer | Cytarabine | Jan et al. (2021) |
| Safiullah Khan et al | 2021 | 5-FU LPHNPs | $174 \pm 4-267 \pm 2.65$ | 92.87 ± 0.59-94.13 ± 0.77 | 6.25-12.5 | HeLa cells, 47.34 µg/mL | _ | Breast cancer | 5-FU | Khan et al. (2021) |
| Hongdan Shen et al | 2021 | mPEG-b-PCL-DOX | 300 | _ | 4 | HCT116 cells, 2.65 ± 0.29 μg/mL | - | Colorectal cancer | DOX | Shen et al. (2021) |
| Akanksha Behl et al | 2022 | PEG-PCL | 128.66 ± 23 | 85.4 | _ | MCF-7 cells, 22 nM | _ | Breast cancer | Gemcitabine | Behl et al. (2022) |
| Wufa Fan et al | 2022 | OPDEA-PCL | 45.4 | _ | 7.3 | NCI-H520 cells, 48 h, 2.52/0.62 µg/mL (CDDP/PTX) | >24 h | Liver and lung cancer | CDDP/PTX | Fan et al. (2022) |
| De-Chao Yang et al | 2022 | mPEG-b-PCL | _ | _ | 4 | HepG2 cells, 1.89 nM | _ | Liver and lung cancer | Camptothecin | Yang et al. (2022) |
| Jiajia Xiang et al | 2022 | OPDMA-PCL/ OPDEA-PCL | 29/25 | 82.3/80.8 | 15.7/14.9 | HeLa cells, 1.15/ 1.38 μg/mL | >8 h | Breast cancer | DOX | Xiang et al. (2022) |
| Ziting Zhang et al | 2022 | RSV-NPs@RBCm | 160.91 ± 0.63 | 45.25 | 7.54 | HCT116 cells 23.65 ± 3.21 μg/mL | >48 h | Colorectal cancer | Resveratrol | Zhang et al. (2022a) |
| Qianqian Zhang et al | 2022 | Spm-PEG-PCL-DOX | 69.3 ± 3.4 | 110.91 ± 9.68 | 13.9 ± 0.6 | HCT116 cells 35.42 ± 1.16 μg/mL | _ | Lung cancer | DOX | Zhang et al. (2022b) |
| Kimiya Hasanbegloo et al | 2023 | chitosan (core)/PCL- chitosan (shell) | 135 ± 45 | 72.1 ± 2.8 | _ | _ | - | Breast cancer | PTX | Hasanbegloo et al. (2023) |
| Chae Eun Jin et al | 2023 | mPEG-b-PCL | 33.1 ± 2.15 | 94.4 ± 4.14 | 2.61 ± 0.26 | HeyA8 cells 75.8 nM | 4 h | Ovarian cancer | PTX | Jin et al. (2023) |
| Yihong He et al | 2023 | ARV-DOX/cRGD- PEG-PCL | 59.31 | 94 | 2.5 | _ | _ | Colorectal cancer | DOX | He et al. (2023) |



FIGURE 2

(A) Schematic illustration of PTAO-PCL micelles for cancer drug delivery. (a) The encapsulation of DOX into PTAO micelles self-assembled from PTAO-PCL block copolymers. (b) After intravenous injection, PTAO micelles i) circulate long in blood via red blood cell (RBC) hitchhiking and ii) attach on cell membranes to trigger transcytosis-mediated extravasation and iii) subsequent active tumor penetration. Inside tumor cells, a portion of PTAO micelles iv) target mitochondria and induce cell death. (B) The drug release profiles of the DOX-loaded micelles at pH 7.4. (C) The drug release profiles of the DOX-loaded micelles at pH 5.0. (D) The *in vitro* cytotoxicity of DOX-loaded micelles against adherent BxPC-3 and MCF-7/ADR cells determined by the MTT assay (48 h treatment). (E) *In vivo* real-time imaging of tumor-bearing mice after a single intravenous injection of PEG-^{CyS}PCL, OPDMA-^{CyS}PCL, or OPDEA-^{CyS}PCL (Cy5-eq. dose of 0.5 mg kg⁻¹). The tumor regions were circled in white. (F) Antitumor activities of DOX-loaded PTAO-PCL micelles against orthotopic MCF-7/ADR tumors. Tumor growth curves of the mice. (G) Photographs of the tumors and hearts. The 10-µm-thick tissue sections were stained with hematoxylin-eosin and observed using light microscopy. Scale bar: 50 µm. Reproduced with permission from ref Xiang et al. (2022). CC BY 4.0. Copyright 2022 The Authors.

DOX. This phenomenon could be attributed to PTAO-PCL micelles inducing extracellular transport, allowing PTAO-PCL/DOX to bypass the enhanced permeability and retention effect. Regarding tumor penetration, it was over 27 times more potent than PEG-PCL micelles. Furthermore, DOX-loaded PTAO micelles could target mitochondria, leading to mitochondrial dysfunction (Xiang et al., 2022) (See Figure 2 for further details).

Mitochondria produced ATP for ATP-binding cassette transporters like P-glycoprotein. They may possess mutations in mitochondrial DNA that contribute to multidrug resistance (MDR). So disrupting ATP synthesis and causing DNA damage within mitochondria could be an approach to surmount the multidrug resistance in tumor cells (Choi and Yu, 2014).

Furthermore, Zhang et al. (2022a) ingeniously enveloped PCL-PEG nanoparticles carrying respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) within red blood cell membranes to evade potential interactions with the immune system. This inventive fusion gave rise to a biomimetic nano-carrier named RSV-NPs@RBCm. Notably, this design exhibited remarkable potential for evading macrophage phagocytosis and demonstrated an extended circulation effect.

Most cancer cells highly express polyamine transport systems, considered promising tumor targeting sites. These sites can significantly enhance cellular uptake efficiency and boost cytotoxicity against cancer cells. Therefore, Zhang et al. (2022b) employed spermine (Spm) to modify PEG-PCL micelles, imparting them with strong targeting properties for carrying DOX. *In vivo*, experimental results demonstrated that micelles attached to the surface of PLGA microspheres greatly improved drug accumulation in the lungs and tumors. The combination of passive and active targeting mechanisms significantly enhanced the efficiency of DOX targeting.

Previous studies have revealed significant expression of $\alpha\nu\beta3$ integrins in tumor tissues, and cyclo (Arg-Gly-Asp-D-Phe-Lys) (cRGD) has been shown to specifically bind to its receptors (Chou, 2010; Fang et al., 2017). Leveraging this knowledge, Yihong He and colleagues developed cRGD-PEG-PCL nanoparticles loaded with the chemotherapy drug DOX and the bromodomain-



FIGURE 3

(A) Schematic illustration of the co-encapsulation of DOX and ARV-825 by cRGD-decorated nanoparticles, and the processes of drug delivery in colorectal tumor-bearing mice. Upon entering cells, ARV-825 binds to highly expressed BRD4, causing BRD4 degradation and promoting apoptosis together with DOX. (B) Cellular uptake comparison between ARV-DOX/M and ARV-DOX/cRGD-P nanoparticles. The green area indicated the ARV-DOX/CRGD-P group. (C) Percentages of apoptosis cells. (n = 3. * represents p < 0.05, ** represents p < 0.01, **** represents p < 0.001. (D) CT26 cells were treated with ARV/cRGD-P (1.25 µg/mL), DOX/cRGD-P (0.625 µg/mL) or ARV-DOX/cRGD-P (equal amount) for 48 h, and apoptosis-related proteins were measured by Western blot. (E) ARV-DOX/cRGD-P complexes improved anti-tumor activity in a subcutaneous xenograft model. The subcutaneous CT26 tumor-bearing mice were intravenously administered with different formulations (GS, Vehicle, ARV/M, DOX/M, ARV-DOX/CRGD-P). Tumor images after treatments. (F) ARV-DOX/cRGD-P complexes attenuated abdominal dissemination in colorectal cancer. Mice were intraperitoneally inoculated with CT26 cells and treated with GS, Vehicle, ARV/M, DOX/M, ARV-DOX/M, or ARV-DOX/M, or ARV-DOX/CRGD-P. Images of tumor nodules. Reproduced with permission from ref He et al. (2023). CC BY 4.0. Copyright 2023 The Authors.

containing protein 4 (BRD4) degrader ARV-825. As depicted in Figure 3, cell uptake studies revealed that the red fluorescence intensity of the ARV-DOX/cRGD-P group was significantly higher than that of the ARV-DOX/M group, indicating superior targeting capabilities of the cRGD-P vector. Cell apoptosis experiments demonstrated that ARV-DOX/cRGD-P promoted cell apoptosis by activating the caspase signaling pathway and the BCL-11/BAX pathway in colorectal cancer cells, with a much more pronounced effect than other treatment groups. In subcutaneous tumor and peritoneal dissemination models, cRGD-PEG-PCL exhibited the most potent therapeutic effect. This underlined the excellent targeting and anti-cancer efficacy of this nanoparticle from various angles (He et al., 2023) (Refer to Figure 3 for further details).

2.2 Poly (lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA)

PLGA stands out as one of the most successful advancements in drug delivery systems, which is known for its biocompatibility and flexibility to control particle polymer systems by changing chemical structure and molecular weight (Molavi et al., 2020). Its capacity to undergo hydrolysis within the body, ultimately breaking down into biodegradable monomers such as lactic acid and glycolic acid. This property not only ensures exceptional biocompatibility but also minimizes systemic toxicity. Consequently, PLGA is highly suitable for use as a carrier in drug delivery and as a crucial material in various biomedical applications (Kumari et al., 2010; Zhu et al., 2018). The details about the applications of PLGA in cancer chemotherapy are shown in Table 3. Due to its excellent biocompatibility, many researchers have considered utilizing PLGA as a viable option for drug delivery (Shive et al., 1997). Reem M. Gahtani et al. prepared PLGA nanoparticles loaded with 5-FU. *In vitro* experiments revealed a biphasic release pattern of 5-FU from these nanoparticles, with an initial rapid release followed by a slow and steady release. When cells were treated with a 5-FU solution, cell viability decreased by 70%, whereas 5-FU-PLGA-NPs containing the same drug dose induced nearly 100% cell toxicity. This suggested that the delivery system may significantly enhance intracellular drug accumulation and improve therapeutic efficacy (Gahtani et al., 2023).

Nanoparticles possess a drawback in that the reticuloendothelial system recognizes them as foreign particles and are consequently partially cleared by immune cells. However, this limitation can be effectively addressed by employing biomimetic nanoparticles cloaked with natural cell membranes, which remarkably enhance the targeted delivery of drugs to specific cells (Li R. et al., 2019). In light of this, Hongqiao Cai et al. devised an innovative strategy involving macrophage membrane-coated nanoparticles (MPGNPs) that were loaded with gemcitabine and encapsulated within PLGA nanoparticles. This approach aimed to mitigate drug toxicity while simultaneously enhancing drug accumulation within tumors (Cai et al., 2021). Furthermore, building upon the concept of cell membrane coating, Yue Li et al. introduced the incorporation of tumor cell membrane (CCM) onto PLGA nanoparticles to achieve immune evasion. Comparative investigations between PLGANPs and PTX injection disclosed that CCMNPs showcased 1.3- and 2.0fold tumor suppression rates in xenograft nude mice models, respectively (Li et al., 2023) (Refer to Figure 4 for further details).

| Author | Year | Nanoparticles system | Encapsulation efficiency (%) | Size (nm) | Drug loading (%) | IC50 | Type of tumor | Chemotherapy drug | Reference |
|-------------------------------|------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Hongqiao Cai et al | 2021 | MPGNPs | 74.1 | 192 | 20 | PANC-1 cells, 16.1 nM | Pancreatic cancer | Gemcitabine | Cai et al. (2021) |
| Xiaozheng Zhao et al | 2021 | NS-TAX@ Lipo-VAC | _ | 200 | 5 | _ | Pancreatic cancer | TAX | Zhao et al. (2021) |
| Ru Zhang et al | 2022 | DOX/FA-HASS- Plga | 83.25 ± 0.45 | 307.47 ± 1.50 | 21.1 ± 0.1 | _ | Breast cancer | DOX | Zhang et al. (2022c) |
| Meng Wang et al | 2022 | PG@KMCM | _ | 117.8 ± 54.5 | 82.8 | _ | Pancreatic cancer | Gemcitabine | Wang et al. (2022) |
| Razan B. Al- Humaidi et al | 2022 | paclitaxel- PLGA-NPs | 59 | 85.54 ± 0.6427 | 41.41 | MCF-7 cells, 39.41 ± 1.33 nM | Breast cancer | PTX | Al-Humaidi et al. (2022) |
| Reem M. Gahtani et al | 2023 | 5-FU-PLGA | ≥90 | 200 | 1 | _ | Lung cancer | 5-FU | Gahtani et al. (2023) |
| Fakhrossadat Emami et al | 2023 | DRT-DTX-PLGA | 71.9 ± 1.2 | 124.2 ± 1.1 | 2.5 ± 0.8 | _ | Glioblastoma and lung cancer | DTX | Emami et al. (2023) |
| Yue Li et al | 2023 | CCMNPs | 74.42 | 94.13 | 4.16 | NCI-H460 cells, 3.02 µg/ mL | Lung cancer | PTX | Li et al. (2023) |
| Huai-An Chen et al | 2023 | HA/PMNPc | _ | 300 | 18 | U87 cells, 0.297 μg/ mL | Glioblastoma | CDDP | Chen et al. (2023) |
| Dasharath Chaudhari1 et al | 2023 | PTX- ADN-PEG- PLGA | 79.26 ± 2.52 | 135 ± 12 | 7.5 | 4T1 cells, 3.16 μg/ mL | Breast cancer | РТХ | Chaudhari et al. (2023) |

TABLE 3 PLGA-based nanoparticle delivery systems investigated for treating cancers.

Sometimes, the EPR effect is highly variable, and the frequent occurrence of low EPR, especially in clinical tumors, compromises the delivery of EPR-dependent nanoparticles (Tietjen and Saltzman, 2015). The researchers used tumor cell-specific ligands as targets to confer active targeting to the delivery system, aiming to improve the limitations of the EPR effect. In recent years, adenosine (ADN) receptors have emerged as pivotal mediators in tumor growth and progression. Studies conducted by Swami et al. (2015) have revealed that ADN could effectively function as a targeting ligand, directing delivery systems toward specific cancer cells. Chaudhari et al. (2023) harnessed ADN as a targeting ligand while utilizing PEG as a linker augment hydrophilicity. They made PLGA-PEG-ADN to nanoparticles loaded with paclitaxel for anti-cancer therapy. The results indicated that The ADN modification over PLGA NPs rendered higher particle internalization, resulting in a 3.5-fold reduction in IC50 values in TNBC cells. Further, the ADN modification allowed particles to exhibit a higher apoptosis index in TNBC cells when compared to non-modified PLGA NPs and the free PTX group. This demonstrated the superior anti-cancer performance of the nanoparticles containing targeting ligands.

In addition to targeting ligands, it is possible to achieve chemophotothermal combined therapy for cancer by co-loading chemotherapy drugs and photothermal agents. Chen et al. (2018) prepared hyaluronic acid (HA)-modified PLGA nanoparticles, where HA exhibited targeting by binding to CD44 receptors on the surface of tumor cells. Alongside encapsulating the chemotherapy drug CDDP, they simultaneously loaded oleic acid-coated iron oxide magnetic nanoparticles (IOMNP) with photothermal properties, resulting in HA/PMNPc nanoparticles. The IOMNP served as a photothermal agent for photothermal cancer therapy when exposed to near-infrared light. On the one hand, targeted drug delivery increased the drug's therapeutic effect On the other hand, the nanoparticles exhibited a hyperthermic effect upon short-term near-infrared light irradiation, further enhancing cell apoptosis through photothermal effects. Results demonstrated that HA/PMNPc nanoparticles increased intracellular uptake through active targeting and improved drug release rates in the acidic intracellular environment. Additionally, their cytotoxicity was enhanced, with an IC50 value only at 46% of the free drugs. In in vivo experiments, mice injected with HA/PMNPc nanoparticles exhibited the slowest tumor growth rate and longest survival time. In conclusion, the dual-targeting ability and chemophotothermal treatment capabilities provided by HA/ PMNPc hold significant potential for cancer therapy (Chen et al., 2023).

Dual-receptor targeting nanoparticles containing two different targeting agents have garnered widespread attention due to their potential for higher cellular selectivity, cellular uptake, and



microscopic images of NCI-H460 human lung cancer cells and mononuclear macrophages of RAW264.7 mice. (E) *In vivo* distribution over time after tail vein injection of PLGANPs and CCMNPs in BALB/C nude mice. (F) Broken line diagram of weight change in nude mice bearing tumor. (G) Broken line diagram of tumor volume changes in nude mice bearing tumor. (H) Experimental results of tumor growth inhibition after administration, n = 5. Reproduced with permission from ref Li et al. (2023). CC BY 4.0. Copyright 2023 The Authors.

cytotoxicity against cancer cells. Fakhrossadat Emami and colleagues functionalized PLGA nanoparticles with anti-EGFR antibodies and anti-PDL1 antibodies, encapsulating DTX to create DRT-DTX-PLGA nanoparticles. The results showed that compared to other formulations, treatment with DRT-DTX-PLGA significantly reduced the cell viability of human glioblastoma cells U87-MG and human non-small cell lung cancer cells A549, with survival rates of 25.1% \pm 5.3% and 20.6% \pm 7.8%, respectively. In both cell lines, the cytotoxic effects of DRT-DTX-PLGA were significantly higher than those of NT-DTX-PLGA and free DTX, indicating a substantial synergistic enhancement of intracellular uptake by the dual ligand nanoparticle system (Emami et al., 2023).

Wang et al. (2022) had also developed dual-targeting nanoparticles known as PG@KMCM. The results showed that these nanoparticles could effectively reprogram the tumor microenvironment, killing pancreatic cancer cells and enhancing the overall therapeutic potential.

However, there was a problem in previous studies: the drug encapsulated in the carrier cannot be released after tumor cells ingest this dual-target drug delivery system. Therefore, Zhang et al. (2022c) designed dual-targeting nanoparticles, DOX/FA-HASS-PLGA, where folic acid (FA) can bind to overexpressed folate receptors on cancer cell surfaces, and hyaluronic acid (HA) can bind to overexpressed CD44 receptors on cancer cell surfaces. Moreover, they used disulfide bonds to connect the HA hydrophilic shell to the PLGA hydrophobic core. Because glutathione (GSH) levels in tumor cells were 7-10 times higher than in normal tissues, this highly reducing environment led to rapid breakage of micelles that reached the tumor site via thiol-disulfide bond exchange, which allowed for rapid drug release. In experiments with tumor-bearing mice, the DOX/FA-HA-SS-PLGA group exhibited the highest survival rate, smallest tumor volume, and significantly extended average survival time compared to other control groups. These results further illustrated that DOX/FA-HA-SS-PLGA possessed the

most effective anti-cancer properties, and these dual-targeting reducible drug-loaded micelles had a promising therapeutic effect on tumors (Refer to Figure 5 for further details).

2.3 Polylactic acid (PLA)

PLA is a lactic acid derivative derived from renewable sources such as wheat, straw, corn, and sorghum (Rydz et al., 2014). Recent research has shown that it can also be extracted from agricultural waste materials like sugarcane bagasse and olive pits (Cox et al., 2023; Haokok et al., 2023). Its remarkable biodegradability distinguishes PLA, as it undergoes degradation within the body into lactic acid monomers that participate in the human tricarboxylic acid cycle, ultimately breaking down into CO_2 and water (Kumari et al., 2010). It has been widely used in drug delivery due to its biodegradability and tunable mechanical properties (Lee et al., 2016). The details about the applications of PLA in cancer chemotherapy are shown in Table 4.

Qin et al. (2019) once employed ultrasound emulsification to merge PLA, with chitosan, resulting in nanoparticles loaded with 5fluorouracil and irinotecan. *In vivo* experiments also unveiled its capacity to suppress the growth of cancers, outperforming intravenous injection.

However, nanoparticles can result in challenges such as poor solubility. To address issues related to dissolution and stability of nanoparticles, researchers have implemented strategies involving the chemical crosslinking of hydrophilic polyethylene glycol (Im et al., 2021).

Therefore, Chen et al. (2021a) employed the emulsion solvent diffusion method to synthesize DTX-mPEG-PLA nanoparticles designed for sarcoma treatment. Incorporating a PEG shell could enable prolonged circulation and facilitate tumor targeting through the EPR effect. The study results showed that due to the nanoparticles' good pharmacokinetic properties, DTX NPS showed a tumor inhibition rate of 94.66% in a hormonal mouse



FIGURE 5

(A) Illustration of self-assembly, tumor aggregation, and intracellular release of doxorubicin hydrochloride (DOX)/FA-HA-SS-PLGA micelles. (B) Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) micrographs of FA-HA-SS-PLGA and DOX/FA-HA-SS-PLGA. (C) The *in vitro* release curve of DOX in PBS buffer at pH 7.4. (D) The *in vitro* release curve of DOX in PBS buffer at pH 5.8. (E) The tumor growth volume after treatment with saline, DOX, DOX-PLGA, DOX/HA-SS-PLGA, DOX/FA-SS-PLGA, and DOX/FA-HA-SS-PLGA. (F) The tumor morphology after treatment with saline, DOX, DOX-PLGA, DOX/HA-SS-PLGA, DOX/FA-SS-PLGA, and DOX/FA-HA-SS-PLGA. (F) The tumor morphology after treatment with saline, DOX, DOX-PLGA, DOX/HA-SS-PLGA, DOX/FA-SS-PLGA, and DOX/FA-HA-SS-PLGA. Reproduced with permission from ref Zhang et al. (2022c). CC BY 4.0. Copyright 2022 The Authors.

| TABLE 4 PLA-based nan | oparticle delivery | systems in | vestigated for | r treating cancers. |
|-----------------------|--------------------|------------|----------------|---------------------|
|-----------------------|--------------------|------------|----------------|---------------------|

| Author | Year | Nanoparticles system | Encapsulation efficiency (%) | Size (nm) | Drug loading (%) | IC50 | Type of tumor | Chemotherapy drug | Reference |
|---------------------------|------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|---|--|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Jianhua Chen et al | 2021 | DTX-mPEG-PLA | _ | 100 | — | — | Sarcoma | DTX | Chen et al. (2021a) |
| Sungho Lee et al | 2021 | PTXx@Hap | _ | 80 | — | — | Breast cancer | РТХ | Lee et al. (2021) |
| Jamie K. Hu et al | 2021 | PLA-HPG | _ | 200-300 | — | — | Skin cancer | Camptothecin | Hu et al. (2021) |
| Mohd Anees et al | 2022 | mPEG-PLA and LA- pluronic L-61-PLA | 83.3 ± 4.6/94.7 ± 2.2 DOX/PIRA | 130.5 ± 2.4 | 3.19 ± 0.13/ 3.61 ± 0.06 DOX/ PIRA | MDA-MB 231 cells 1.378 ± 0.336/ 0.293 ± 0.075 nM DOX/PIRA | Breast cancer | DOX/PIRA | Anees et al. (2022) |
| Neha Mehrotra et al | 2023 | NAV/DCB NPs | 40-70 | 90-145 | — | — | Breast cancer | Decitabine | Mehrotra et al. (2023) |

model, which was 1.24 times higher than that of DTX injection. These findings emphasize the promise of mPEG-PLA nanoparticles in advancing drug delivery.

To enhance the hydrophobicity of the diblock copolymer core and make the nanoparticles denser, Mohd Anees et al. blended a pentablock copolymer PLA-pluronic L-61-PLA with mPEG-PLA as a hybrid system to prepare PIRA encapsulated NPs. *In vivo* experiments, the tumor regression rates for free DOX and free PIRA treatment in mice were 74.74% \pm 4.5% and 85.07% \pm 1.6%, respectively. However, the tumor regression rates increased to 86.65% \pm 2.6% and 94.36% \pm 2.3% when using DOX NPs and PIRA NPs, respectively. Significantly, the use of polymers did not induce unnecessary non-targeted cardiac toxicity or myocardial atrophy (Anees et al., 2022) (Refer to Figure 6 for further details).

Mehrotra et al. (2023) also employed a hybrid-block copolymer PLA-mPEG/PLA-L61-PLA NPs, nanoparticle system, to simultaneously deliver the chemotherapy drug navitoclax and decitabine (DCB) for combined cancer therapy. Decitabine is a chemotherapy drug, while navitoclax is one of the first-generation pan-Bcl-2 inhibitors that have demonstrated potent activity in clinical trials against certain solid tumors. In cell experiments, the NAV/DCB dual-drug-loaded NPs significantly reduced the IC50 values compared to NAV/DCB dual-drug-loaded NPs alone, indicating a synergistic mechanism of action. In animal experiments, the NAV/DCB dual-drug-loaded NPs exhibited a significant tumor growth inhibition effect in a xenograft tumor model. Compared to the control group, the treatment group saw a 43.6% reduction in tumor size, once again demonstrating the



synergistic effect of dual-drug loading (Refer to Figure 7 for further details).

In addition to using PEG to form hydrophilic shells, research has reported using other polymer molecules as hydrophilic shells. Hu et al. (2021) developed a bioadhesive nanoparticle (BNP) drug delivery system composed of biodegradable polymer, poly(lactic acid)-hyperbranched polyglycerol (PLA-HPG), encapsulating camptothecin (CPT). The surface chemistry of HPG molecules was altered by treatment with sodium periodate, converting adjacent diols into aldehydes. Aldehydes can form strong covalent bonds with amines on the surface of tumor cells and extracellular matrix proteins. In in vivo experiments, the results showed that after 10 days of injection of BNP-CPT, approximately 50% of CPT was still retained in the tumor, whereas CPT was undetectable in tumors injected with free CPT. BNP-CPT also significantly reduced tumor burden, with some established tumors (about 20%) showing histological cure following BNPCPT treatment.

Apart from targeting specific receptors, researchers have also capitalized on the distinct pH levels surrounding tumors to enhance drug delivery outcomes. Lee et al. (2021) adopted an approach to fabricate poly lactic acid/hydroxyapatite (PLA/HAp) core-shell nanoparticles loaded with PTX. Hydroxyapatite maintains stability under neutral pH conditions yet dissolves within acidic environments. This pH responsiveness enabled its dissolution in the acidic milieu characteristic of cancer cells, thus facilitating drug release. The outcomes revealed persistent cytotoxic effects on 4T1 cells for a duration of up to 48 h, signifying its potential as a drug carrier for tumor inhibition.

2.4 Other polyester

The design of thermoplastic polyesters (e.g., PLA) often requires the use of toxic initiators, catalysts, or solvents. So, catalyst-free thermal polyesterification has recently emerged as a potential strategy (Tham et al., 2016). Among them, Poly(Glycerol Sebacate) (PGS) can be formed by a polycondensation reaction of two monomers, glycerol, and sebacic acid, both of which are biocompatible and have been approved by the FDA.

In 2022, Massironi et al. (2022) prepared curcumin-loaded PGS-NPs by nanoprecipitation. The results showed that the PGS-NPs had good biostability over 14 days. The IC 50 value of curcumin-loaded PGS-NPs at 72 h (15.95 μ M) was significantly lower than that of free curcumin (21.27 μ M) suggesting a higher cytotoxic effect of curcumin-loaded PGS-NPs may represent a possible adjuvant therapy for treating cancer cells.

3 Discussion

3.1 The mechanisms of nanoparticles in cancer treatment

It was first thought that nanoparticles could passively extravasate into solid tumors through the porous vascular system and reside within the tumor to achieve accumulation, a phenomenon known as the enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect, a consensus that persisted for many years (Maeda, 2012).

But in recent years different discoveries have been made, Liu et al. (2019) found that nanoparticles could also enter tumors through an active transcellular transport process, and that transcytosis may be an important mechanism for cancer nanodrugs. It included receptor-mediated transcytosis (RMT), absorptive-mediated transcytosis (AMT), and bulk-phase or fluid-phase transcytosis (FPT) (Li and Kataoka, 2021).

Al-Humaidi et al. (2022) produced paclitaxel-PLGA-NPs with a particle size of 85.5 nm using the modified nanoprecipitation



acid hybrid block copolymeric nanoparticles. Upon entry of nanoparticles into cells, navitocla inhibits BCL expression and decitabine causes DNA damage, which together lead to apoptosis. The results showed potent synergistic cytotoxicity against both acute myeloid leukemia and breast cancer cell lines *in vitro*. (B) FE-SEM images of NAV/DCB single and dual NPs. (C) HR-TEM images of NAV/DCB single and dual NPs. (D) IC50 values for NAV/DCB NPs on various AML and breast cancer cell lines. (E) Confocal microscopy in EAC cells post 48-h exposure to 100 nM NAV/DCB single and dual NPs. (F) *In vivo* dose tolerability study for NAV/DCB dual NPs in healthy BABL/c for three dosing schedules. (G) Tumor inhibition study using NAV/DCB single and dual NPs for syngeneic breast cancer model. Reproduced with permission from ref Mehrotra et al. (2023). CC BY 4.0. Copyright 2023 The Authors.

method. Through the use of different endocytosis inhibitors, they demonstrated that macropinocytosis was the primary endocytosis pathway for the paclitaxel-PLGA-NPs.

Sindhwani et al. (2020) also showed that nanoparticle entry into tumors is an active process rather than passive transport. These findings provide important fundamental theories and research directions for further advancement of nanoparticle-based drug delivery systems.

3.2 Effect of nanoparticle shape on properties

The shapes of nanoparticles can be broadly categorized as spherical and non-spherical, such as filamentous, discoidal, hemispherical, and worm-like, among others. It has been recognized as a key factor influencing cellular uptake, circulation time, biodistribution, and cancer drug delivery (Truong et al., 2015).

Compared to spherical nanoparticles, non-spherical shape hinders the uptake of microparticles by macrophages, with a negative correlation between uptake rate and aspect ratio, which prolongs the residence time of the nanoparticles in the bloodstream and increases their chances of reaching the target site (Florez et al., 2012; Mathaes et al., 2014). Non-spherical nanoparticles are also better than spherical nanoparticles in terms of tumor extravasation, on the one hand, it has a long circulation time in the blood, and on the other hand, it has a higher surface adhesion interaction area than spherical particles (Cooley et al., 2018).

Although non-spherical particles can improve cytotoxicity, alter biodistribution, and improve *in vivo* anti-tumor efficacy. However, the design of non-spherical particles for degradable polymers still faces many difficulties (Jindal, 2017). In addition to nanoparticles of specific sizes and shapes, sizeand shape-transformable nanoparticles have emerged as a promising strategy for tumor theranostics. But it also means their designs are more complex (Chen et al., 2021b).

3.3 The shell materials

As nanoparticles circulate within the body, they encounter challenges in immune system clearance mechanisms (Fu et al., 2021). In order to minimize RES clearance and prolong blood circulation time, various shell materials have been used to shield their surfaces and achieve a stealth effect, which prevents nonspecific protein adsorption and subsequent phagocytosis. Wen et al. (2023) provided a detailed review of invisible nanocarriers and proposed the concept of "pseudo-stealth effect."

Among the various stealth shell materials, the most frequently employed is PEG (Cho et al., 2016; Liang et al., 2017; Menconi et al., 2021). Research on polyethylene glycol to extend the circulation time of liposomes in the bloodstream dates back to the 1990s (Klibanov et al., 1990). However, polyethylene glycolization prevents interaction with diseased cells, a problem known as the "PEG dilemma" (Hatakeyama et al., 2011). In addition to PEG, researchers have also identified other phospholipid-binding zwitterion that can enhance tumor permeability and prolong blood circulation, such as poly(2-(N-oxide-N, N-diethylamino) ethyl methacrylate) (OPDEA) (Chen S. et al., 2021).

People have also utilized biomimetic methods, such as using cell membrane coatings, which can make nanoparticles look more like their own cells, thus evading removal by the immune system (Chen S. et al., 2021; Guo et al., 2022). Brenner et al. (2018) have also utilized red blood cell (RBC)--hitchhiking (RH) to increase uptake of nanoparticles in organs. Zhang et al. (2020) also produced

albumin-based nanoparticles to increase circulation time and reduce the toxic side effects of free drugs.

3.4 Stimuli-responsive polyester

Nanodrugs are usually released prematurely before the nanocarriers reach the target lesions. Therefore, the application of stimuli-responsive nanomaterials for drug delivery has received increasing attention. Stimuli-responsive nanomaterials can be categorized into three classes, endogenous stimuli-responsive materials, and multi-stimuli-responsive materials (Li L. et al., 2019).

Endogenous stimuli-responsive materials mainly include pH, enzyme, and redox-responsive materials. Tumor tissues exhibit a slightly acidic extracellular pH of around 6.5, while normal tissues typically range from pH 7.2 to 7.4 (Singhvi et al., 2019). Consequently, researchers have harnessed these environmental variations to develop pH-sensitive drug delivery systems (Zheng et al., 2020; Qian et al., 2021). Nanocarriers can also utilize the different pH gradients within cellular components to achieve precise drug release (Zhang et al., 2014).

Enzyme-responsive delivery systems have also received increasing attention. Li et al. (2021) exploited the MMP overactivation in tumor-associated tissues to design enzymatically transformable polymersomes-based nanotherapeutics to guide the co-delivery of colchicine and marimastat. It not only exposes the guanidine moiety for improving tissue/cell targeting to enhance bioavailability but also to differentially release drugs.

Glutathione levels are usually elevated in tumor cells, which leads to a higher reducing environment. Therefore, nanoparticles based on redox-responsive drugs targeting cancer cells have also been extensively studied (Park et al., 2015).

Exogenous stimulus-responsive materials such as light (Chen Y. et al., 2021), ultrasound (Papa et al., 2017; Huang et al., 2023), and magnetic field (Garcia-Garcia et al., 2020) responsive materials can also be applied to achieve accurate drug release at the tumor site. The combined application of multiple stimulus-responsive materials has also received attention. In conclusion, the stimuli-crosslinking strategy shows promising potential for cancer treatments (Xue et al., 2022).

4 Current limitations

Ideally, nano drug delivery systems should be low or even nontoxic, have good drug encapsulation efficiency, be capable of controlled release, and continuous delivery, and be easy to perform clinically. Unfortunately, current technologies cannot simultaneously fulfill all of these requirements (Liu et al., 2023).

Despite significant advances in biodegradable polyesters, many shortcomings remain. PLA suffers from shortcomings (low-ductility and toughness, glass transition and heat distortion temperature, rate of crystallization; high sensitivity to moisture and fast degradation by hydrolysis, etc.) (Murariu and Dubois, 2016). PCL has low mechanical strength, an insufficient number of cellular recognition sites, poor bioactivity, and hydrophobicity (Homaeigohar and Boccaccini, 2022). PLGA also faces drawbacks such as low drug loading, high production cost, and difficulty in large-scale production (Lu et al., 2023). Furthermore, most nanoparticles were still in the cellular and animal experimental stages. Some have entered clinical trials, but the results were often unsatisfactory. People realized that the EPR affected works in rodents, but its role in humans needed to be further verified (Nichols and Bae, 2014; Danhier, 2016). They still have a long way to go before they can be used in clinical applications (Wilhelm et al., 2016; Ouyang et al., 2020).

First, the safety issue is of utmost concern. The entry of nanoparticles into the blood circulation may cause adverse effects, such as inflammation, cell cycle alteration, oxidative stress, DNA damage, etc. (Ciappellano et al., 2016). Whether adequate clearance through the glomerular filtration membrane is possible deserves further investigation. In addition, non-specific accumulation in normal tissues may also occur, resulting in further adverse effects (Rehman et al., 2022).

In addition, acidic products were observed during the degradation of polyester, which can lead to an inflammatory response. Polycarbonate is superior to polyester in this respect, as it does not produce acidic products during degradation (Yu et al., 2021).

Nanoparticles also face low drug loading rates, with most of the nanoparticles currently approved by the FDA having no more than a 20% drug loading rate. This means that excessive carrier material may be required to achieve a therapeutic effect, which needs to be further verified to see if this will further exacerbate its potential toxicity. In addition, to increase stability, coatings such as PEG are added to prevent premature uptake by macrophages, but this can also lead to a decrease in encapsulation rate when new materials are added. Consequently, this leads to difficulties in applying it to clinical (Liu et al., 2020; Et et al., 2021).

In addition, biodegradable polyester nanoparticles face problems such as complicated fabrication and high cost (James et al., 2016). These hinder its mass production and become a hindrance to clinical applications.

5 Conclusion and outlook

As drug delivery carriers, biodegradable polyesters have many good properties, such as increasing the solubility of hydrophobic drugs, improving drug efficacy, prolonging drug action time, and improving drug bioavailability (Janrao et al., 2023). This review summarizes the recent applications of biodegradable polyester-based nano-drug delivery systems over the past 3 years.

In recent years, polyester-based delivery systems have been increasingly studied, ranging from individual nanoparticles to amphiphilic block copolymers. Subsequently, their surfaces are modified to avoid phagocytosis by the immune system. In addition, the drugs carried have evolved from single chemotherapeutic agents to combinations of multiple drugs. Some researchers have also carried nucleic acids (Zhao et al., 2018; Zhao et al., 2023), SiRNA (Xu et al., 2018), and immunomodulatory agents (Narmani et al., 2023), yielding desirable results.

A polyester-based polymer micelle known as Genexol-PM has received marketing approval in South Korea. A Phase III clinical trial has revealed that it exhibited non-inferior and even superior clinical efficacy when compared to standard paclitaxel in patients with a manageable safety profile in patients with metastatic breast cancer. This represented a milestone for polymeric nanomedicines toward clinical translation (Kim et al., 2001; Park et al., 2017; Yi et al., 2018).

However, for the other nanoparticles, there is still a need for further safety and toxicology testing of nanoparticles to ensure their benefits outweigh their harm to the human body. It is also essential to find ways to improve its drug loading rate for more efficient treatment, which will also reduce the impact of nanoparticles themselves on the human body.

The process of making nanoparticles also needs to be further simplified to ensure its robustness. This will further increase the possibility of it being promoted in the clinic treatments.

Author contributions

ZW: Writing-original draft. MX: Writing-original draft. FG: Writing-original draft. YY: Writing-original draft. HT: Writing-review and editing. QZ: Writing-review and editing. SR: Writing-review and editing. LY: Writing-review and editing.

Funding

The authors declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported

References

Al-Humaidi, R. B., Fayed, B., Shakartalla, S. B., Jagal, J., Jayakumar, M. N., Al Shareef, Z. M., et al. (2022). Optimum inhibition of MCF-7 breast cancer cells by efficient targeting of the macropinocytosis using optimized paclitaxel-loaded nanoparticles. *Life Sci.* 305, 120778. doi:10.1016/j.lfs.2022.120778

Almeida, S. N., Elliott, R., Silva, E. R., and Sales, C. M. D. (2019). Fear of cancer recurrence: a qualitative systematic review and meta-synthesis of patients' experiences. *Clin. Psychol. Rev.* 68, 13–24. doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2018.12.001

Anees, M., Tiwari, S., Mehrotra, N., Kharbanda, S., and Singh, H. (2022). Development and evaluation of PLA based hybrid block copolymeric nanoparticles for systemic delivery of pirarubicin as an anti-cancer agent. *Int. J. Pharm.* 620, 121761. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2022.121761

Asadi, N., Davaran, S., Panahi, Y., Hasanzadeh, A., Malakootikhah, J., Fallah Moafi, H., et al. (2017). Application of nanostructured drug delivery systems in immunotherapy of cancer: a review. *Artif. Cells Nanomed Biotechnol.* 45 (1), 18–23. doi:10.1080/21691401.2016.1178136

Bae, K. H., Chung, H. J., and Park, T. G. (2011). Nanomaterials for cancer therapy and imaging. *Mol. Cells* 31 (4), 295–302. doi:10.1007/s10059-011-0051-5

Behl, A., Sarwalia, P., Kumar, S., Behera, C., Mintoo, M. J., Datta, T. K., et al. (2022). Codelivery of gemcitabine and MUC1 inhibitor using PEG-PCL nanoparticles for breast cancer therapy. *Mol. Pharm.* 19 (7), 2429–2440. doi:10.1021/acs.molpharmaceut. 2c00175

Bhadran, A., Shah, T., Babanyinah, G. K., Polara, H., Taslimy, S., Biewer, M. C., et al. (2023). Recent advances in polycaprolactones for anticancer drug delivery. *Pharmaceutics* 15 (7), 1977. doi:10.3390/pharmaceutics15071977

Biller, L. H., and Schrag, D. (2021). Diagnosis and treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer: a review. JAMA 325 (7), 669-685. doi:10.1001/jama.2021.0106

Brenner, J. S., Pan, D. C., Myerson, J. W., Marcos-Contreras, O. A., Villa, C. H., Patel, P., et al. (2018). Red blood cell-hitchhiking boosts delivery of nanocarriers to chosen organs by orders of magnitude. *Nat. Commun.* 9 (1), 2684. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-05079-7

Cai, H., Wang, R., Guo, X., Song, M., Yan, F., Ji, B., et al. (2021). Combining gemcitabine-loaded macrophage-like nanoparticles and erlotinib for pancreatic cancer therapy. *Mol. Pharm.* 18 (7), 2495–2506. doi:10.1021/acs.molpharmaceut.0c01225

Chaudhari, D., Kuche, K., Yadav, V., Ghadi, R., Date, T., Bhargavi, N., et al. (2023). Exploring paclitaxel-loaded adenosine-conjugated PEGylated PLGA nanoparticles for targeting triple-negative breast cancer. *Drug Deliv. Transl. Res.* 13 (4), 1074–1087. doi:10.1007/s13346-022-01273-9 by the Natural Science Foundation of Liaoning Province (2022-YGJC-69 and 2020-MS-327), the support program for excellent young scholars of China Medical University and the Health Commission of Shenyang (2021-wjkt-009).

Acknowledgments

Some of the graphics in the graphical abstract are derived from https://www.BioRender.com, for which we are deeply grateful.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Chen, C., Zhao, S., Karnad, A., and Freeman, J. W. (2018). The biology and role of CD44 in cancer progression: therapeutic implications. *J. Hematol. Oncol.* 11 (1), 64. doi:10.1186/s13045-018-0605-5

Chen, H. A., Lu, Y. J., Dash, B. S., Chao, Y. K., and Chen, J. P. (2023). Hyaluronic acidmodified cisplatin-encapsulated poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) magnetic nanoparticles for dual-targeted NIR-responsive chemo-photothermal combination cancer therapy. *Pharmaceutics* 15 (1), 290. doi:10.3390/pharmaceutics15010290

Chen, J., Jiang, Z., Zhang, Y. S., Ding, J., and Chen, X. (2021b). Smart transformable nanoparticles for enhanced tumor theranostics. *Appl. Phys. Rev.* 8 (4). doi:10.1063/5. 0061530

Chen, J., Ning, E., Wang, Z., Jing, Z., Wei, G., Wang, X., et al. (2021a). Docetaxel loaded mPEG-PLA nanoparticles for sarcoma therapy: preparation, characterization, pharmacokinetics, and anti-tumor efficacy. *Drug Deliv.* 28 (1), 1389–1396. doi:10.1080/10717544.2021.1945167

Chen, S., Zhong, Y., Fan, W., Xiang, J., Wang, G., Zhou, Q., et al. (2021c). Enhanced tumour penetration and prolonged circulation in blood of polyzwitterion-drug conjugates with cell-membrane affinity. *Nat. Biomed. Eng.* 5 (9), 1019–1037. doi:10. 1038/s41551-021-00701-4

Chen, Y., Zhang, L., Li, F., Sheng, J., Xu, C., Li, D., et al. (2021d). Combination of chemotherapy and photodynamic therapy with oxygen self-supply in the form of mutual assistance for cancer therapy. *Int. J. Nanomedicine* 16, 3679–3694. doi:10.2147/ ijn.s298146

Cheng, J., and Pun, S. H. (2015). Polymeric biomaterials for cancer nanotechnology. *Biomater. Sci.* 3 (7), 891–893. doi:10.1039/c5bm90025e

Cho, H., Gao, J., and Kwon, G. S. (2016). PEG-b-PLA micelles and PLGA-b-PEG-b-PLGA sol-gels for drug delivery. *J. Control Release* 240, 191–201. doi:10.1016/j.jconrel. 2015.12.015

Choi, Y. H., and Yu, A.-M. (2014). ABC transporters in multidrug resistance and pharmacokinetics, and strategies for drug development. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* 20 (5), 793–807. doi:10.2174/138161282005140214165212

Chou, P. L., Huang, Y. P., Cheng, M. H., Rau, K. M., and Fang, Y. P. (2020). Improvement of paclitaxel-associated adverse reactions (ADRs) via the use of nanobased drug delivery systems: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Int. J. Nanomedicine* 15, 1731–1743. doi:10.2147/ijn.s231407

Chou, T.-C. J. C. r. (2010). Drug combination studies and their synergy quantification using the chou-talalay method. *Chou-Talalay method* 70 (2), 440–446. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.can-09-1947

Ciappellano, S. G., Tedesco, E., Venturini, M., and Benetti, F. (2016). *In vitro* toxicity assessment of oral nanocarriers. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 106, 381–401. doi:10.1016/j.addr. 2016.08.007

Cooley, M., Sarode, A., Hoore, M., Fedosov, D. A., Mitragotri, S., and Sen Gupta, A. (2018). Influence of particle size and shape on their margination and wall-adhesion: implications in drug delivery vehicle design across nano-to-micro scale. *Nanoscale* 10 (32), 15350–15364. doi:10.1039/c8nr04042g

Cox, R., Narisetty, V., Castro, E., Agrawal, D., Jacob, S., Kumar, G., et al. (2023). Fermentative valorisation of xylose-rich hemicellulosic hydrolysates from agricultural waste residues for lactic acid production under non-sterile conditions. *Waste Manag.* 166, 336–345. doi:10.1016/j.wasman.2023.05.015

Danhier, F. (2016). To exploit the tumor microenvironment: since the EPR effect fails in the clinic, what is the future of nanomedicine? *J. Control Release* 244, 108–121. doi:10. 1016/j.jconrel.2016.11.015

Emami, F., Duwa, R., Banstola, A., Woo, S. M., Kwon, T. K., and Yook, S. (2023). Dual receptor specific nanoparticles targeting EGFR and PD-L1 for enhanced delivery of docetaxel in cancer therapy. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 165, 115023. doi:10.1016/j.biopha. 2023.115023

Esfandyari-Manesh, M., Darvishi, B., Ishkuh, F. A., Shahmoradi, E., Mohammadi, A., Javanbakht, M., et al. (2016). Paclitaxel molecularly imprinted polymer-PEG-folate nanoparticles for targeting anticancer delivery: characterization and cellular cytotoxicity. *Mater Sci. Eng. C Mater Biol. Appl.* 62, 626–633. doi:10.1016/j.mscc. 2016.01.059

Etter, E. L., Mei, K.-C., and Nguyen, J. (2021). Delivering more for less: nanosized, minimal-carrier and pharmacoactive drug delivery systems. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 179, 113994. doi:10.1016/j.addr.2021.113994

Fan, W., Wei, Q., Xiang, J., Tang, Y., Zhou, Q., Geng, Y., et al. (2022). Mucus penetrating and cell-binding polyzwitterionic micelles as potent oral nanomedicine for cancer drug delivery. *Adv. Mater* 34 (16), e2109189. doi:10.1002/adma.202109189

Fang, Y., Jiang, Y., Zou, Y., Meng, F., Zhang, J., Deng, C., et al. (2017). Targeted glioma chemotherapy by cyclic RGD peptide-functionalized reversibly core-crosslinked multifunctional poly (ethylene glycol)-b-poly (ε-caprolactone) micelles. *Acta Biomater.* 50, 396–406. doi:10.1016/j.actbio.2017.01.007

Florez, L., Herrmann, C., Cramer, J. M., Hauser, C. P., Koynov, K., Landfester, K., et al. (2012). How shape influences uptake: interactions of anisotropic polymer nanoparticles and human mesenchymal stem cells. *Small* 8 (14), 2222–2230. doi:10.1002/smll. 201102002

Fu, D., Wang, Z., Tu, Y., and Peng, F. (2021). Interactions between biomedical micro-/ nano-motors and the immune molecules, immune cells, and the immune system: challenges and opportunities. *Adv. Healthc. Mater* 10 (7), e2001788. doi:10.1002/adhm. 202001788

Gahtani, R. M., Alqahtani, A., Alqahtani, T., Asiri, S. A., Mohamed, J. M. M., Venkatesa Prabhu, S., et al. (2023). 5-Fluorouracil-Loaded PLGA nanoparticles: formulation, physicochemical characterisation, and in VitroAnti-cancer activity. *Bioinorg. Chem. Appl.* 2023, 1–11. doi:10.1155/2023/2334675

Garcia-Garcia, G., Fernandez-Alvarez, F., Cabeza, L., Delgado, A. V., Melguizo, C., Prados, J. C., et al. (2020). Gemcitabine-loaded magnetically responsive poly(e-caprolactone) nanoparticles against breast cancer. *Polym. (Basel)* 12 (12), 2790. doi:10.3390/polym12122790

Gross, R. A., and Kalra, B. (2002). Biodegradable polymers for the environment. Science 297 (5582), 803-807. doi:10.1126/science.297.5582.803

Grossen, P., Witzigmann, D., Sieber, S., and Huwyler, J. (2017). PEG-PCL-based nanomedicines: a biodegradable drug delivery system and its application. J. Control Release 260, 46–60. doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2017.05.028

Guo, Y., Wang, Z., Shi, X., and Shen, M. (2022). Engineered cancer cell membranes: an emerging agent for efficient cancer theranostics. *Exploration* 2 (1), 20210171. doi:10. 1002/exp.20210171

Gupta, P. K., Gahtori, R., Govarthanan, K., Sharma, V., Pappuru, S., Pandit, S., et al. (2021). Recent trends in biodegradable polyester nanomaterials for cancer therapy. *Mater Sci. Eng. C Mater Biol. Appl.* 127, 112198. doi:10.1016/j.msec.2021.112198

Haokok, C., Lunprom, S., Reungsang, A., and Salakkam, A. (2023). Efficient production of lactic acid from cellulose and xylan in sugarcane bagasse by newly isolated Lactiplantibacillus plantarum and Levilactobacillus brevis through simultaneous saccharification and co-fermentation process. *Heliyon* 9 (7), e17935. doi:10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e17935

Hasanbegloo, K., Banihashem, S., Faraji Dizaji, B., Bybordi, S., Farrokh-Eslamlou, N., Abadi, P. G., et al. (2023). Paclitaxel-loaded liposome-incorporated chitosan (core)/ poly(ε-caprolactone)/chitosan (shell) nanofibers for the treatment of breast cancer. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 230, 123380. doi:10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2023.123380

Hatakeyama, H., Akita, H., and Harashima, H. (2011). A multifunctional envelope type nano device (MEND) for gene delivery to tumours based on the EPR effect: a strategy for overcoming the PEG dilemma. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 63 (3), 152–160. doi:10.1016/j.addr.2010.09.001

He, Y., Ju, Y., Hu, Y., Wang, B., Che, S., Jian, Y., et al. (2023). Brd4 proteolysistargeting chimera nanoparticles sensitized colorectal cancer chemotherapy. *J. Control Release* 354, 155–166. doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2022.12.035 Hellmann, M. D., Li, B. T., Chaft, J. E., and Kris, M. G. (2016). Chemotherapy remains an essential element of personalized care for persons with lung cancers. *Ann. Oncol.* 27 (10), 1829–1835. doi:10.1093/annonc/mdw271

Homaeigohar, S., and Boccaccini, A. R. (2022). Nature-derived and synthetic additives to poly(e-Caprolactone) nanofibrous systems for biomedicine; an updated overview. *Front. Chem.* 9, 809676. doi:10.3389/fchem.2021.809676

Hu, J. K., Suh, H. W., Qureshi, M., Lewis, J. M., Yaqoob, S., Moscato, Z. M., et al. (2021). Nonsurgical treatment of skin cancer with local delivery of bioadhesive nanoparticles. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 118 (7), e2020575118. doi:10.1073/ pnas.2020575118

Huang, D., Wang, J., Song, C., and Zhao, Y. (2023). Ultrasound-responsive matters for biomedical applications. *Innovation* 4 (3), 100421. doi:10.1016/j.xinn.2023.100421

Im, S. H., Im, D. H., Park, S. J., Chung, J. J., Jung, Y., and Kim, S. H. (2021). Stereocomplex polylactide for drug delivery and biomedical applications: a review. *Molecules* 26 (10), 2846. doi:10.3390/molecules26102846

James, R., Manoukian, O. S., and Kumbar, S. G. (2016). Poly(lactic acid) for delivery of bioactive macromolecules. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 107, 277–288. doi:10.1016/j.addr.2016. 06.009

Jan, N., Madni, A., Rahim, M. A., Khan, N. U., Jamshaid, T., Khan, A., et al. (2021). *In vitro* anti-leukemic assessment and sustained release behaviour of cytarabine loaded biodegradable polymer based nanoparticles. *Life Sci.* 267, 118971. doi:10.1016/j.lfs.2020. 118971

Janrao, C., Khopade, S., Bavaskar, A., Gomte, S. S., Agnihotri, T. G., and Jain, A. (2023). Recent advances of polymer based nanosystems in cancer management. *J. Biomater. Sci. Polym. Ed.* 34 (9), 1274–1335. doi:10.1080/09205063.2022.2161780

Jin, C. E., Yoon, M. S., Jo, M. J., Kim, S. Y., Lee, J. M., Kang, S. J., et al. (2023). Synergistic encapsulation of paclitaxel and sorafenib by methoxy poly(ethylene glycol)b-poly(caprolactone) polymeric micelles for ovarian cancer therapy. *Pharmaceutics* 15 (4), 1206. doi:10.3390/pharmaceutics15041206

Jindal, A. B. (2017). The effect of particle shape on cellular interaction and drug delivery applications of micro- and nanoparticles. *Int. J. Pharm.* 532 (1), 450–465. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2017.09.028

Khan, I., Ray Dutta, J., and Ganesan, R. (2017). Lactobacillus sps. lipase mediated poly (epsilon-caprolactone) degradation. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 95, 126–131. doi:10.1016/j. ijbiomac.2016.11.040

Khan, S., Aamir, M. N., Madni, A., Jan, N., Khan, A., Jabar, A., et al. (2021). Lipid poly (ε-caprolactone) hybrid nanoparticles of 5-fluorouracil for sustained release and enhanced anticancer efficacy. *Life Sci.* 284, 119909. doi:10.1016/j.lfs.2021.119909

Kim, S. C., Kim, D. W., Shim, Y. H., Bang, J. S., Oh, H. S., Wan Kim, S., et al. (2001). *In vivo* evaluation of polymeric micellar paclitaxel formulation: toxicity and efficacy. *J. Control Release* 72 (1-3), 191–202. doi:10.1016/s0168-3659(01)00275-9

Klibanov, A. L., Maruyama, K., Torchilin, V. P., and Huang, L. (1990). Amphipathic polyethyleneglycols effectively prolong the circulation time of liposomes. *FEBS Lett.* 268 (1), 235–237. doi:10.1016/0014-5793(90)81016-h

Kozovska, Z., Gabrisova, V., and Kucerova, L. (2014). Colon cancer: cancer stem cells markers, drug resistance and treatment. *Biomed. Pharmacother*. 68 (8), 911–916. doi:10. 1016/j.biopha.2014.10.019

Kumari, A., Yadav, S. K., and Yadav, S. C. (2010). Biodegradable polymeric nanoparticles based drug delivery systems. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces* 75 (1), 1–18. doi:10.1016/j.colsurfb.2009.09.001

Lee, B. K., Yun, Y., and Park, K. (2016). PLA micro- and nano-particles. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 107, 176–191. doi:10.1016/j.addr.2016.05.020

Lee, S., Miyajima, T., Sugawara-Narutaki, A., Kato, K., and Nagata, F. (2021). Development of paclitaxel-loaded poly(lactic acid)/hydroxyapatite core-shell nanoparticles as a stimuli-responsive drug delivery system. *R. Soc. Open Sci.* 8 (3), 202030. doi:10.1098/rsos.202030

Li, J., Ge, Z., Toh, K., Liu, X., Dirisala, A., Ke, W., et al. (2021). Enzymatically transformable polymersome-based nanotherapeutics to eliminate minimal relapsable cancer. *Adv. Mater* 33 (49), e2105254. doi:10.1002/adma.202105254

Li, J., and Kataoka, K. (2021). Chemo-physical strategies to advance the *in vivo* functionality of targeted nanomedicine: the next generation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 143 (2), 538–559. doi:10.1021/jacs.0c09029

Li, L., Yang, W. W., and Xu, D. G. (2019b). Stimuli-responsive nanoscale drug delivery systems for cancer therapy. *J. Drug Target* 27 (4), 423–433. doi:10.1080/1061186x.2018.1519029

Li, R., He, Y., Zhu, Y., Jiang, L., Zhang, S., Qin, J., et al. (2019a). Route to rheumatoid arthritis by macrophage-derived microvesicle-coated nanoparticles. *Nano Lett.* 19 (1), 124–134. doi:10.1021/acs.nanolett.8b03439

Li, Y., Ke, J., Jia, H., Ren, J., Wang, L., Zhang, Z., et al. (2023). Cancer cell membrane coated PLGA nanoparticles as biomimetic drug delivery system for improved cancer therapy. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces* 222, 113131. doi:10.1016/j.colsurfb.2023.113131

Liang, H., Friedman, J. M., and Nacharaju, P. (2017). Fabrication of biodegradable PEG-PLA nanospheres for solubility, stabilization, and delivery of curcumin. *Artif. Cells Nanomed Biotechnol.* 45 (2), 297–304. doi:10.3109/21691401.2016.1146736 Liu, W., Ma, Z., Wang, Y., and Yang, J. (2023). Multiple nano-drug delivery systems for intervertebral disc degeneration: current status and future perspectives. *Bioact. Mater.* 23, 274–299. doi:10.1016/j.bioactmat.2022.11.006

Liu, Y., Huo, Y., Yao, L., Xu, Y., Meng, F., Li, H., et al. (2019). Transcytosis of nanomedicine for tumor penetration. *Nano Lett.* 19 (11), 8010–8020. doi:10.1021/acs. nanolett.9b03211

Liu, Y., Yang, G., Jin, S., Xu, L., and Zhao, C. X. (2020). Development of high-drugloading nanoparticles. *Chempluschem* 85 (9), 2143–2157. doi:10.1002/cplu.202000496

Lu, Y., Cheng, D., Niu, B., Wang, X., Wu, X., and Wang, A. (2023). Properties of poly (Lactic-co-Glycolic acid) and progress of poly (Lactic-co-Glycolic acid)-based biodegradable materials in biomedical research. *Pharmaceuticals* 16 (3), 454. doi:10. 3390/ph16030454

Maeda, H. (2012). Macromolecular therapeutics in cancer treatment: the EPR effect and beyond. J. Control Release 164 (2), 138-144. doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2012.04.038

Malikmammadov, E., Tanir, T. E., Kiziltay, A., Hasirci, V., and Hasirci, N. (2018). PCL and PCL-based materials in biomedical applications. *J. Biomater. Sci. Polym. Ed.* 29 (7-9), 863–893. doi:10.1080/09205063.2017.1394711

Massironi, A., Marzorati, S., Marinelli, A., Toccaceli, M., Gazzotti, S., Ortenzi, M. A., et al. (2022). Synthesis and characterization of curcumin-loaded nanoparticles of poly(glycerol sebacate): a novel highly stable anticancer system. *Molecules* 27 (20), 6997. doi:10.3390/molecules27206997

Mathaes, R., Winter, G., Besheer, A., and Engert, J. (2014). Influence of particle geometry and PEGylation on phagocytosis of particulate carriers. *Int. J. Pharm.* 465 (1-2), 159–164. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2014.02.037

Mattiuzzi, C., and Lippi, G. (2019). Current cancer epidemiology. J. Epidemiol. Glob. Health 9 (4), 217–222. doi:10.2991/jegh.k.191008.001

Mehrotra, N., Anees, M., Tiwari, S., Kharbanda, S., and Singh, H. (2023). Polylactic acid based polymeric nanoparticle mediated co-delivery of navitoclax and decitabine for cancer therapy. *Nanomedicine* 47, 102627. doi:10.1016/j.nano.2022.102627

Menconi, A., Marzo, T., Massai, L., Pratesi, A., Severi, M., Petroni, G., et al. (2021). Anticancer effects against colorectal cancer models of chloro(triethylphosphine)gold(I) encapsulated in PLGA-PEG nanoparticles. *Biometals* 34 (4), 867–879. doi:10.1007/ s10534-021-00313-0

Molavi, F., Barzegar-Jalali, M., and Hamishehkar, H. (2020). Polyester based polymeric nano and microparticles for pharmaceutical purposes: a review on formulation approaches. *J. Control Release* 320, 265–282. doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2020. 01.028

Murab, S., Herold, S., Hawk, T., Snyder, A., Espinal, E., and Whitlock, P. (2023). Advances in additive manufacturing of polycaprolactone based scaffolds for bone regeneration. *J. Mater Chem. B* 11 (31), 7250–7279. doi:10.1039/d2tb02052a

Murariu, M., and Dubois, P. (2016). PLA composites: from production to properties. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 107, 17-46. doi:10.1016/j.addr.2016.04.003

Narmani, A., Ganji, S., Amirishoar, M., Jahedi, R., Kharazmi, M. S., and Jafari, S. M. (2023). Smart chitosan-PLGA nanocarriers functionalized with surface folic acid ligands against lung cancer cells. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 245, 125554. doi:10.1016/j. ijbiomac.2023.125554

Nichols, J. W., and Bae, Y. H. (2014). EPR: evidence and fallacy. J. Control. Release Official J. Control. Release Soc. 190, 451-464. doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2014.03.057

Ouyang, B., Poon, W., Zhang, Y. N., Lin, Z. P., Kingston, B. R., Tavares, A. J., et al. (2020). The dose threshold for nanoparticle tumour delivery. *Nat. Mater* 19 (12), 1362–1371. doi:10.1038/s41563-020-0755-z

Pandey, S. K., Ghosh, S., Maiti, P., and Haldar, C. (2015). Therapeutic efficacy and toxicity of tamoxifen loaded PLA nanoparticles for breast cancer. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 72, 309–319. doi:10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2014.08.012

Papa, A. L., Korin, N., Kanapathipillai, M., Mammoto, A., Mammoto, T., Jiang, A., et al. (2017). Ultrasound-sensitive nanoparticle aggregates for targeted drug delivery. *Biomaterials* 139, 187–194. doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials.2017.06.003

Park, H. K., Lee, S. J., Oh, J. S., Lee, S. G., Jeong, Y. I., and Lee, H. C. (2015). Smart nanoparticles based on hyaluronic acid for redox-responsive and CD44 receptormediated targeting of tumor. *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* 10 (1), 288. doi:10.1186/s11671-015-0981-5

Park, I. H., Sohn, J. H., Kim, S. B., Lee, K. S., Chung, J. S., Lee, S. H., et al. (2017). An open-label, randomized, parallel, phase III trial evaluating the efficacy and safety of polymeric micelle-formulated paclitaxel compared to conventional cremophor EL-based paclitaxel for recurrent or metastatic HER2-negative breast cancer. *Cancer Res. Treat.* 49 (3), 569–577. doi:10.4143/crt.2016.289

Qian, Y., Zhang, J., Xu, R., Li, Q., Shen, Q., and Zhu, G. (2021). Nanoparticles based on polymers modified with pH-sensitive molecular switch and low molecular weight heparin carrying Celastrol and ferrocene for breast cancer treatment. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 183, 2215–2226. doi:10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2021.05.204

Qin, C., Shen, Y., Wang, B., Zhao, X., Liu, Y., Yang, S., et al. (2019). An acellular tissue matrix-based drug carriers with dual chemo-agents for colon cancer growth suppression. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 117, 109048. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2019.109048

Qiu, H., Cao, S., and Xu, R. (2021). Cancer incidence, mortality, and burden in China: a time-trend analysis and comparison with the United States and United Kingdom

based on the global epidemiological data released in 2020. *Cancer Commun. (Lond)* 41 (10), 1037–1048. doi:10.1002/cac2.12197

Rehman, M. U., Khan, A., Imtiyaz, Z., Ali, S., Makeen, H. A., Rashid, S., et al. (2022). Current nano-therapeutic approaches ameliorating inflammation in cancer progression. *Semin. Cancer Biol.* 86 (2), 886–908. doi:10.1016/j.semcancer.2022.02.006

Riley, R. S., June, C. H., Langer, R., and Mitchell, M. J. (2019). Delivery technologies for cancer immunotherapy. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 18 (3), 175–196. doi:10.1038/s41573-018-0006-z

Roth, A. D., and Ajani, J. (2003). Docetaxel-based chemotherapy in the treatment of gastric cancer. *Ann. Oncol.* 14 (2), ii41–4. doi:10.1093/annonc/mdg728

Rydz, J., Sikorska, W., Kyulavska, M., and Christova, D. (2014). Polyester-based (bio) degradable polymers as environmentally friendly materials for sustainable development. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 16 (1), 564–596. doi:10.3390/ijms16010564

Shen, H., Liu, Q., Liu, D., Yu, S., Wang, X., and Yang, M. (2021). Fabrication of doxorubicin conjugated methoxy poly(ethylene glycol)-block-poly(e-caprolactone) nanoparticles and study on their *in vitro* antitumor activities. *J. Biomater. Sci. Polym. Ed.* 32 (13), 1703–1717. doi:10.1080/09205063.2021.1937462

Shive, M. S., and Anderson, J. M. (1997). Biodegradation and biocompatibility of PLA and PLGA microspheres. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 28 (1), 5–24. doi:10.1016/s0169-409x(97)00048-3

Siegel, R. L., Miller, K. D., Wagle, N. S., and Jemal, A. (2023). Cancer statistics, 2023. *CA Cancer J. Clin.* 73 (1), 17–48. doi:10.3322/caac.21763

Sindhwani, S., Syed, A. M., Ngai, J., Kingston, B. R., Maiorino, L., Rothschild, J., et al. (2020). The entry of nanoparticles into solid tumours. *Nat. Mater* 19 (5), 566–575. doi:10.1038/s41563-019-0566-2

Singhvi, M. S., Zinjarde, S. S., and Gokhale, D. V. (2019). Polylactic acid: synthesis and biomedical applications. J. Appl. Microbiol. 127 (6), 1612–1626. doi:10.1111/jam.14290

Sonam Dongsar, T., Tsering Dongsar, T., Molugulu, N., Annadurai, S., Wahab, S., Gupta, N., et al. (2023). Targeted therapy of breast tumor by PLGA-based nanostructures: the versatile function in doxorubicin delivery. *Environ. Res.* 233, 116455. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2023.116455

Sun, W., Fan, J., Wang, S., Kang, Y., Du, J., and Peng, X. (2018). Biodegradable drugloaded hydroxyapatite nanotherapeutic agent for targeted drug release in tumors. *ACS Appl. Mater Interfaces* 10 (9), 7832–7840. doi:10.1021/acsami.7b19281

Swami, R., Singh, I., Jeengar, M. K., Naidu, V. G., Khan, W., and Sistla, R. (2015). Adenosine conjugated lipidic nanoparticles for enhanced tumor targeting. *Int. J. Pharm.* 486 (1-2), 287–296. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2015.03.065

Tham, W. H., Wahit, M. U., Abdul Kadir, M. R., Wong, T. W., and Hassan, O. (2016). Polyol-based biodegradable polyesters: a short review. *Rev. Chem. Eng.* 32 (2). doi:10. 1515/revce-2015-0035

Tietjen, G. T., and Saltzman, W. M. (2015). Nanomedicine gets personal. Nanomedicine gets Personal. Sci. Transl. Med. 7 (314), 314fs47. doi:10.1126/ scitranslmed.aad6645

Truong, N. P., Whittaker, M. R., Mak, C. W., and Davis, T. P. (2015). The importance of nanoparticle shape in cancer drug delivery. *Expert Opin. Drug Deliv.* 12 (1), 129–142. doi:10.1517/17425247.2014.950564

Wang, M., Hu, Q., Huang, J., Zhao, X., Shao, S., Zhang, F., et al. (2022). Engineered a dual-targeting biomimetic nanomedicine for pancreatic cancer chemoimmunotherapy. *J. Nanobiotechnology* 20 (1), 85. doi:10.1186/s12951-022-01282-3

Washington, K. E., Kularatne, R. N., Karmegam, V., Biewer, M. C., and Stefan, M. C. (2017). Recent advances in aliphatic polyesters for drug delivery applications. *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Nanomed Nanobiotechnol* 9 (4). doi:10.1002/wnan.1446

Wen, P., Ke, W., Dirisala, A., Toh, K., Tanaka, M., and Li, J. (2023). Stealth and pseudostealth nanocarriers. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 198, 114895. doi:10.1016/j.addr.2023.114895

W.H. O (2023). Data visualization tools for exploring the global cancer burden in 2020. Available at: https://gco.iarc.fr/today/home (Accessed July 8, 2023).

Wilhelm, S., Tavares, A. J., Dai, Q., Ohta, S., Audet, J., Dvorak, H. F., et al. (2016). Analysis nanoparticle Deliv. tumours 1 (5), 1-12. doi:10.1038/natrevmats.2016.14

Williams, C. K. (2007). Synthesis of functionalized biodegradable polyesters. Chem. Soc. Rev. 36 (10), 1573–1580. doi:10.1039/b614342n

Xiang, J., Shen, Y., Zhang, Y., Liu, X., Zhou, Q., Zhou, Z., et al. (2022). Multipotent poly(tertiary amine-oxide) micelles for efficient cancer drug delivery. *Adv. Sci. (Weinh)* 9 (12), e2200173. doi:10.1002/advs.202200173

Xiao, H., Zheng, Y., Ma, L., Tian, L., and Sun, Q. (2021). Clinically-relevant ABC transporter for anti-cancer drug resistance. *Front. Pharmacol.* 12, 648407. doi:10.3389/fphar.2021.648407

Xu, Z., Wang, D., Cheng, Y., Yang, M., and Wu, L. P. (2018). Polyester based nanovehicles for siRNA delivery. *Mater Sci. Eng. C Mater Biol. Appl.* 92, 1006–1015. doi:10.1016/j.msec.2018.05.031

Xue, X., Qu, H., and Li, Y. (2022). Stimuli-responsive crosslinked nanomedicine for cancer treatment. *Exploration* 2 (6), 20210134. doi:10.1002/exp.20210134

Yang, D. C., Yang, X. Z., Luo, C. M., Wen, L. F., Liu, J. Y., and Lin, Z. (2022). A promising strategy for synergistic cancer therapy by integrating a photosensitizer into a

hypoxia-activated prodrug. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 243, 114749. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2022. 114749

Yao, Y., Zhou, Y., Liu, L., Xu, Y., Chen, Q., Wang, Y., et al. (2020). Nanoparticle-based drug delivery in cancer therapy and its role in overcoming drug resistance. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* 7, 193. doi:10.3389/fmolb.2020.00193

Yi, Y., Lin, G., Chen, S., Liu, J., Zhang, H., and Mi, P. (2018). Polyester micelles for drug delivery and cancer theranostics: current achievements, progresses and future perspectives. *Mater Sci. Eng. C Mater Biol. Appl.* 83, 218–232. doi:10.1016/j.msec.2017.10.004

Yoon, M. J., Cha, H., Ahn, J., Lee, D., Jeong, H. S., Koo, H. S., et al. (2021). Dysfunctional activity of classical DNA end-joining renders acquired resistance to carboplatin in human ovarian cancer cells. *Cancer Lett.* 520, 267–280. doi:10.1016/j. canlet.2021.08.003

Yu, W., Maynard, E., Chiaradia, V., Arno, M. C., and Dove, A. P. (2021). Aliphatic polycarbonates from cyclic carbonate monomers and their application as biomaterials. *Chem. Rev.* 121 (18), 10865–10907. doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.0c00883

Zhang, B., Wan, S., Peng, X., Zhao, M., Li, S., Pu, Y., et al. (2020). Human serum albumin-based doxorubicin prodrug nanoparticles with tumor pH-responsive aggregation-enhanced retention and reduced cardiotoxicity. *J. Mater Chem. B* 8 (17), 3939–3948. doi:10.1039/d0tb00327a

Zhang, D., Liu, L., Wang, J., Zhang, H., Zhang, Z., Xing, G., et al. (2022). Drug-loaded PEG-PLGA nanoparticles for cancer treatment. *Front. Pharmacol.* 13, 990505. doi:10. 3389/fphar.2022.990505

Zhang, L., Li, Y., and Yu, J. C. (2014). Chemical modification of inorganic nanostructures for targeted and controlled drug delivery in cancer treatment. J. Mater Chem. B 2 (5), 452–470. doi:10.1039/c3tb21196g Zhang, Q., Bao, J., Duan, T., Hu, M., He, Y., Wang, J., et al. (2022b). Nanomicellemicrosphere composite as a drug carrier to improve lung-targeting specificity for lung cancer. *Pharmaceutics* 14 (3), 510. doi:10.3390/pharmaceutics14030510

Zhang, R., Jiang, Y., Hao, L., Yang, Y., Gao, Y., Zhang, N., et al. (2022c). CD44/Folate dual targeting receptor reductive response PLGA-based micelles for cancer therapy. *Front. Pharmacol.* 13, 829590. doi:10.3389/fphar.2022.829590

Zhang, Z., Ji, Y., Hu, N., Yu, Q., Zhang, X., Li, J., et al. (2022a). Ferroptosisinduced anticancer effect of resveratrol with a biomimetic nano-delivery system in colorectal cancer treatment. *Asian J. Pharm. Sci.* 17 (5), 751–766. doi:10.1016/j. ajps.2022.07.006

Zhao, J., Weng, G., Li, J., Zhu, J., and Zhao, J. (2018). Polyester-based nanoparticles for nucleic acid delivery. *Mater Sci. Eng. C Mater Biol. Appl.* 92, 983–994. doi:10.1016/j. msec.2018.07.027

Zhao, M., Wang, R., Yang, K., Jiang, Y., Peng, Y., Li, Y., et al. (2023). Nucleic acid nanoassembly-enhanced RNA therapeutics and diagnosis. *Acta Pharm. Sin. B* 13 (3), 916–941. doi:10.1016/j.apsb.2022.10.019

Zhao, X., Yang, X., Wang, X., Zhao, X., Zhang, Y., Liu, S., et al. (2021). Penetration cascade of size switchable nanosystem in desmoplastic stroma for improved pancreatic cancer therapy. *ACS Nano* 15 (9), 14149–14161. doi:10.1021/acsnano.0c08860

Zheng, P., Liu, Y., Chen, J., Xu, W., Li, G., and Ding, J. (2020). Targeted pH-responsive polyion complex micelle for controlled intracellular drug delivery. *Chin. Chem. Lett.* 31 (5), 1178–1182. doi:10.1016/j.cclet.2019.12.001

Zhu, S., Xing, H., Gordiichuk, P., Park, J., and Mirkin, C. A. (2018). PLGA spherical nucleic acids. *Adv. Mater* 30 (22), e1707113. doi:10.1002/adma.201707113