Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Wansong Chen, Central South University, China

REVIEWED BY Xianglong Hu, University of Science and Technology of China, China Wei Chen, Harvard Medical School, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Tianfeng Chen, tchentf@jnu.edu.cn Jianfu Zhao, zhaojianfu@jnu.edu.cn

† These authors have contributed equally to this work

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Nanobiotechnology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology

RECEIVED 18 February 2023 ACCEPTED 13 March 2023 PUBLISHED 22 March 2023

CITATION

Nie S, He X, Sun Z, Zhang Y, Liu T, Chen T and Zhao J (2023), Selenium speciationdependent cancer radiosensitization by induction of G2/M cell cycle arrest and apoptosis. Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. 11:1168827. doi: [10.3389/fbioe.2023.1168827](https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2023.1168827)

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Nie, He, Sun, Zhang, Liu, Chen and Zhao. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [\(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

[Selenium speciation-dependent](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fbioe.2023.1168827/full) [cancer radiosensitization by](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fbioe.2023.1168827/full) [induction of G2/M cell cycle arrest](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fbioe.2023.1168827/full) [and apoptosis](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fbioe.2023.1168827/full)

Shiqing Nie^t, Xin He^t, Zhiting Sun, Yan Zhang, Ting Liu, Tianfeng Chen* and Jianfu Zhao*

Department of Oncology of the First Affiliated Hospital, Jinan University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

Introduction: Radiation therapy has Q6long been a routine and effective treatment for non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), but the radioresistance and side effects have limited its application. In recent years, the superiority showed by trace element selenium in tumor radiotherapy sensitization has received wide attention. However, different forms of selenium compounds exhibit different chemical properties and their mechanisms of action on tumors may be different.

Methods: Human non-small cell lung cancer SPC-A1 cells were studied. Drug toxicity was detected by MTT assay. The selenium content absorbed in vitro at different time points was detected by ICP-MS. Colony formation were conducted to observe the radiosensitization effect of different selenium compounds on SPC-A1 cells, and to compare the proliferation ability of SPC-A1 cells treated by radiation alone and radiation combined with different selenium compounds. Cell migration was detected by cell scratch assay. The changes of cell cycle and apoptosis were detected by flow cytometry. DCFH-DA fluorescent probe was used to detect the effects of different selenium compounds combined with X-ray on ROS production.

Results: In this study, these four representative selenium compounds all have a certain ability to enhance the ability of radiotherapy to inhibit tumor cell proliferation and migration, and the mechanism may be related to blocking cell cycle in G2/M phase, activating the caspase cascade and reducing intracellular ROS levels to induce tumor cell apoptosis. Among them, -2-valent organic selenium has the most obvious effect, mainly inhibits cell migration, and induces early apoptosis by activating a large number of caspase-3, and arrest the cell cycle in S phase and G2/M phase. 0-valent selenium nanoparticles mainly arrest the cell cycle in G2/M phase. +4-valent inorganic selenium exerts its antitumor effects primarily by inhibiting tumor cell migration and inducing early apoptosis of tumor cells.

Discussion: In this paper, the antitumor effects of four different forms of selenium compounds combined with X-rays on SPC-A1 cells were investigated, and their inhibitory effects on the proliferation and migration of cancer cells and their mechanisms were examined. We found that the radiosensitizing effect of selenium on NSCLC was closely related to its selenium form through the study of the sensitizing effect of different kinds of selenium compounds on radiotherapy.

KEYWORDS

selenium, non-small cell lung cancer, radiosensitivity, cell cycle, apoptosis, ROS

1 Introduction

Lung cancer is one of the cancers with a high mortality rate worldwide, with a 5-year survival rate of less than 20% ([Wu et al.,](#page-12-0) [2019;](#page-12-0) [Bade & Dela Cruz, 2020](#page-11-0); [Sung et al., 2021](#page-12-1)). Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) accounts for about 80%–85% of all lung cancers, but its low early diagnosis rate makes it easy for patients to miss the best surgical treatment time [\(Duma et al., 2019](#page-11-1); [Goebel et al., 2019\)](#page-12-2), so radiotherapy and chemotherapy have become the main treatment for advanced NSCLC. Radiation therapy (RT), as a routine treatment and an important adjunct to lung cancer, can effectively kill most of the cells in the tumor and inhibit the growth of the tumor by directly or indirectly damaging the DNA double-strand [\(De Ruysscher et al.,](#page-11-2) [2019;](#page-11-2) [Bade & Dela Cruz, 2020\)](#page-11-0). However, this treatment has some limitations because the anatomical division between normal and malignant tumor tissues is not clear, and when using X-rays to damage cancer cells, damage to normal cells is inevitable [\(Durante](#page-11-3) [et al., 2017](#page-11-3); [Zhong et al., 2021](#page-12-3)), cause radioactive inflammation. Therefore, in recent years, A class of drugs that enhance the efficacy of radiation therapy to tumors has been developed, called radiosensitizers. Previous report demonstrated that the combination of paclitaxel and radiotherapy in the treatment of NSCLC significantly increased the radiotherapy sensitivity of NSCLC [\(Dukaew et al., 2020\)](#page-11-4). Celecoxib and afatinib work together to enhance the radiosensitivity of A549 cells by modulating the cell cycle ([Zhang et al., 2021\)](#page-12-4). Osimertinib sensitizes radiotherapy by arresting the cell cycle in a concentrationand time-dependent manner ([Wang et al., 2019\)](#page-12-5). But the main disadvantage of these radiosensitizers is their inherent cytotoxicity and side effects. In order to solve the thorny problems of dose toxicity of radiotherapy and systemic side effects of chemotherapy, it is necessary to find highly efficient and low-toxic radiotherapy sensitizers.

Selenium (Se), as a trace element necessary to maintain normal life activities of human body, has important physiological functions and a wide range of pharmacological effects ([Buntzel et al., 2010;](#page-11-5) [Handa et al., 2020](#page-12-6); [Hariharan & Dharmaraj, 2020;](#page-12-7) [Razaghi et al.,](#page-12-8) [2021\)](#page-12-8). In recent years, a large number of studies have reported its role in tumor diagnosis and treatment, including various forms of selenium compounds such as inorganic selenium [\(Ganash, 2021\)](#page-11-6), organic selenium [\(Gandin et al., 2018](#page-11-7); [Chuai et al., 2021\)](#page-11-8), selenium metal complexes ([Lai et al., 2019\)](#page-12-9), and nano-selenium ([Yu et al.,](#page-12-10) [2015;](#page-12-10) [Liu et al., 2021;](#page-12-11) [Jiao et al., 2022\)](#page-12-12). For example, selenium nanoparticles modified by lentinan (LET-SeNPs) can enhance the anti-prostate cancer cell activity of zoledronic acid (ZOL) ([An &](#page-11-9) [Zhao, 2021\)](#page-11-9). [Zhang et al. \(2018\)](#page-12-13) found that combined treatment of polyethylene glycol nano-selenium (PEG-SeNPs) and X-rays can increase tumor cell apoptosis by activating effector caspase-3 and its downstream targets in a concentration-dependent manner. 5 nitrobenzo [c][1,2,5]selenadiazole (SeD) can significantly enhance the sensitivity of human cervical cancer cells to X-rays and cause G2/ M cycle arrest of tumor cells [\(He et al., 2015\)](#page-12-14). In the study of selenium ruthenium complex, it was found that the introduction of Se can greatly improve the antitumor and antiangiogenic effects of the complex, and can enhance the sensitivity of tumor cells to radiotherapy, and significantly reduce the toxicity of the complex to normal cells ([Zhao et al., 2018\)](#page-12-15). [Wu et al. \(2013\)](#page-12-16) found that nano selenium modified with PRW (Polyporus rhinocerus water-soluble polysaccharide–protein complexes) has an antitumor effect against human lung adenocarcinoma cell A549 cells by inducing apoptosis and G2/M phase arrest. L-Se-methylselenocysteine (L-SeMC/SeMC) can synergize with chemotherapy drugs to increase ROS content for apoptosis in lung cancer cells [\(Ma et al., 2021](#page-12-17)). SeNPs@LET with the enhancing immune function improve the anti-tumor ability of lung cancer patients by activating immune cells [\(Song et al., 2021\)](#page-12-18). Therefore, we can say that Se plays an important role in chemoprophylaxis, treatment, radiotherapy sensitization and other aspects of tumor, and is one of the most potential new high-efficiency and low-toxicity radiotherapy sensitizer materials. So far, there have been few reports of selenium compounds acting on lung cancer, and has no compared the antitumor activity of different valence selenium compounds.

Therefore, we can make wild guesses, selenium compounds with different valence states can kill tumor cells through different ways to enhance the radiotherapy sensitivity of tumor cells. In this study, the radiosensitizing effects of different selenium compounds on NSCLC were compared, and the selenium compounds most suitable for lung cancer radiosensitizing agent were screened out. This study provides a scientific theoretical basis for the further application of selenium compounds into the clinical radiotherapy of NSCLC.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Cell culture and cell survival test

SPC-A1 lung cancer cells were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and cultured in a cell incubator with 37° C, 95% relative humidity and 5% $CO₂$ concentration. Nutrition came from DMEM medium containing fetal bovine serum (10%), penicillin (100 units/mL) and streptomycin (50 units/mL). Cells in the logarithmic growth phase were used in each cell experiment. Firstly, SPC-A1 cells were seeded in 96-well plates and incubated for 24 h, and different concentrations of different selenium compounds were added respectively for 4 h. Then, cell culture plates were irradiated with 4 Gy and 8 Gy X-rays, respectively, and incubated for 72 h. Finally, cell viability was determined by MTT assay and the half inhibitory concentration (IC50) of the drug on cells was calculated.

2.2 Colony formation

After SPC-A1 cells were seeded in 6-well plates for 72 h, they were pretreated with different selenium compounds at different concentrations for 4 h, followed by X-irradiation at 2 or 4 Gy. And cultured at 37°C for 10 days to observe the formation of clones by naked eye. After washing three times with cold PBS, they were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 1 h at room temperature, and then stained with 0.25% methyl violet for 20–30 min. Finally, wash three times with PBS, and take pictures after natural air-drying. Assess the survival fraction of clones.

2.3 Cellular uptake

SPC-A1 cells in logarithmic growth phase were seeded in 10 cm cell culture dishes (cell density: 6×10^5 cells/mL, 10 mL/dish). After cell adherence for 24 h, the cells were incubated with $Na₂SeO₃$ (final concentration 8 μM), SeD (final concentration 8 μM), SeC (final concentration 80 μM) and LET-SeNPs (final concentration 80 μ M) for 0, 1, 2, 4 and 8 h, respectively. Then, the cells were washed with PBS and collected, the supernatant was discarded, and cell precipitation was nitrified. Finally, we measured the selenium content in the solution by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS), and analyzed the uptake of various selenium compounds by SPC-A1 cells at different time points.

2.4 Wound healing experiment

SPC-A1 cells (density: 2.5×10^5 cells/mL, 2 mL) were inoculated into 6-well plates. After the cells have adhered, use a pipette tip to gently draw two lines at the bottom of the confluent well, and wash off the fallen cells with PBS. Take pictures. Then, serum-free medium mixed with drugs was added, and the 6-well plate was placed in an incubator for 24 h. Take pictures again. Calculate cell mobility based on the movement of cells in the photo.

2.5 Cell cycle

The cycle distribution of cells can be detected by flow cytometry. [\(An & Zhao, 2021\)](#page-11-9). SPC-A1 cells were pretreated with different selenium compounds for 4 h, followed by irradiating with 8 Gy. After 72 h, the culture medium in the cell culture dish was collected, the cells were washed with PBS, and then treated with 0.25% trypsin. The supernatant and cell suspension were collected in a 15 mL centrifuge tube, centrifuged (1,500 rpm, 5 min) to collect the pellet. It was then fixed with pre-chilled 75% ethanol overnight at −20° C. The next day, taken it out and centrifuged (1,500 rpm, 5 min), washed with PBS once to remove alcohol, then centrifuged, and stained with 500 μL PI staining solution for 30 min in the dark. Finally, samples were analyzed with a Beckman Coulter flow cytometer, and the collected cell cycle results were analyzed with CytExpert software.

2.6 Cell apoptosis

Annexin Ⅴ/PI apoptosis detection kit was used to detect the apoptosis level of cells [\(Zhao et al., 2018\)](#page-12-15). After staining, the apoptosis level of cells was detected by flow cytometry. By analyzing the proportion of early apoptosis and late apoptosis in each group, the difference of apoptosis induced by different selenium compounds combined with radiotherapy was compared.

2.7 Determination of intracellular ROS

DCFH-DA fluorescent probe was used to detect the effects of different selenium compounds combined with X-rays on ROS

production (Kim & Xue, 2020). SPC-A1 cells (density: 2.5×10^5 cell/mL, 100 µL) were inoculated in a 96-well plate, incubated in an incubator for 24 h, and different selenium compounds with different concentrations (100 µL) were added and incubated for 4 h, and then the cells was irradiated with a dose of 8 Gy. Aspirated the medium in each well and added DCFH-DA 10 µM (100 µL) for 30 min in the dark for staining. The excitation wavelength was set to 488 nm and the emission wavelength was set to 525 nm, and the fluorescence intensity of each well was detected by a fluorescence microplate reader for 2 h. Simultaneously monitored the DCF fluorescence intensity in the cells in real time with a fluorescence microscope.

2.8 Caspase-3 activity assay

The fluorescence intensity of caspase-3 substrate can be used to detect the activation of intracellular caspases. All dishes were incubated in an incubator for 24 h. Different concentrations of Na2SeO3, SeD, SeC, and lentinan nano-selenium (LET-SeNPs) were added for pretreatment for 4 h, irradiated with 8 Gy X-rays and continued to be incubated for 72 h. The cellular proteins in the above dishes were collected with BCA kit. Mixed an equal volume of protein sample with $2 \times$ caspase substrate assay solution and incubated at room temperature for 2 h in the dark. Set the excitation wavelength at 365 nm and the emission wavelength at 450 nm, read the fluorescence value of each well solution with a fluorescence microplate reader, and calculated the relative activity of caspase.

2.9 Statistical analysis

All experiments in this subject were repeated at least three times, and the results were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (mean \pm SD), and statistical analysis was performed using the statistical software SPSS 13.0. Two-tailed t-tests were utilized between two groups, and multiple comparisons were utilized between multiple groups, with $p < 0.05$ considered significant and $* p < 0.01$ considered highly significant.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 In vitro radiosensitization activity of different selenium compounds

In order to study the effect of different valence of selenium compounds on tumor radiotherapy sensitization. We used Na2SeO4, Na2SeO3, LET-SeNPs, chitosan nano-selenium (CS-SeNPs), PEG-SeNPs, selenomethionine (SeM), SeC, SeD and Ebselen to evaluate their antitumor activities in vitro. First, we detected the effect of different doses of X-rays on the survival rate of SPC-A1 cells. The results showed that the proliferation of SPC-A1 cells was inhibited to different degrees under different doses of X-rays irradiation. Even under the highest dose of 8 Gy, the survival rate of SPC-A1 cells was still higher than 70%. These results indicated that SPC-A1 cells were tolerant to radiotherapy

([Supplementary Figure S1](#page-11-10)). Then, MTT assay was used to detect the anti-tumor activity of different selenium compounds combined with X-rays in vitro, and the IC50 of different selenium compounds on SPC-A1 cells was studied. As shown in [Figure 1](#page-3-0) and [Supplementary Figures S2, S3,](#page-11-10) among the 9 selenium compounds, except $Na₂SeO₄$ and SeM, the other seven showed different antitumor activities in general, and the cytotoxicity increased with the increase of selenium content. However, it is interesting that the various drugs show the effect of promoting cell proliferation when the selenium concentration is less than 4 μM, while the cytotoxicity is only shown when the selenium concentration is higher than 4 μM. Through the analysis of IC50 of SPC-A1 cells treated with different selenium compounds alone and SPC-A1 cells treated with X-rays combined ([Supplementary Table S1](#page-11-10)), it was proved that selenium compounds did enhance the killing effect of X-rays on tumor cells. Besides, the anti-tumor activities of other selenium compounds except $Na₂SeO₄$ and SeM were improved under the action of X-rays. To sum up, the histogram of the survival rate of SPC-A1 cells after four kinds of selenium compounds, LET-SeNPs, Na₂SeO₃, SeC and SeD combined with radiotherapy, we selected these 4 selenium compounds to further explore their ways of enhancing the effect of radiotherapy.

3.2 Cellular uptake of different selenium compounds

It is well known that whether a drug can be absorbed and its halflife in the body are important indicators affecting the efficacy of a drug (Smith et al., 2018). Therefore, we quantified the selective uptake levels of LET-SeNPs, Na₂SeO₃, SeC and SeD in SPC-A1 cells by using ICP-MS to detect the intracellular selenium content into SPC-A1 cells. From [Figure 2](#page-4-0), we can clearly see that the accumulation of these four drugs in SPC-A1 cells showed a timedependent, among them, the intracellular selenium content of SeD increased rapidly within 2 h, from the initial 0.65 ng/ 10^6 cells to 80.25 ng/10⁶ cells. However, although the uptake of LET-SeNPs in SPC-A1 cells also increased with time, the uptake was very low, and the intracellular selenium content was only 1.67 ng/ 10^6 cells after 8 h of exposure. Looking at the other two drugs, the absorption amount and absorption speed of SeC and $Na₂SeO₃$ were similar, and there was no statistically significant difference. From the results, we can see that SeD with the most significant anti-tumor effect is absorbed by SPC-A1 cells at the fastest speed and the largest amount during the same action time, and has played a greater toxic effect. In conclusion, the toxic effects of selenium-containing compounds on SPC-A1 cells are proportional to their uptake by cells.

3.3 Different selenium compounds combinated with X-rays to inhibit the proliferation of SPC-A1 cells

Colony formation can detect the clonogenesis ability of adherent cells and reflect their colony dependence and proliferation ability. As shown in [Figure 3](#page-5-0), under the irradiation of X-rays alone, the clone formation rate gradually decreased with increasing radiotherapy dose, where the clone formation rate in the control group decreased to 39.4% under the effect of 4 Gy. In the drug-acting group, the most pronounced decline was SeD, where the clonal formation rate in the SeD group alone had dropped to 66.69% without X-rays irradiation. When irradiated with 4 Gy, the clonal formation rate was directly as low as 12.08%. Under the action of low-dose selenium compounds, compared with the control group, the other three drugs except SeD did not significantly inhibit cell clonal formation with or without X-rays irradiation. Overall, SeD has the most obvious radiosensitization effect among the four selenium compounds, and its concentration of action is lower than that of other drugs, while playing a significant role in inhibiting tumor cell adherent and proliferation.

3.4 Different selenium compounds inhibited the migration of SPC-A1 cells

In addition, malignant tumor metastasis is the main reason for the failure of advanced tumor treatment. Tumor cells have low homogeneous adhesion and strong motility, and can transfer to other parts of the body along with blood vessels or lymphatic vessels, resulting in tumor metastasis. In order to understand the migration ability of SPC-A1 cells under the action of drugs, we studied and compared the migration rates of SPC-A1 lung cancer cells under the action of different drugs through wound healing experiments. As shown in [Figure 4A,](#page-6-0) the migration ability of SPC-A1 cells decreased to varying degrees after different concentrations of different drugs acted for 24 h, which were significantly lower than those of the control group. As can be seen from [Figures 4B](#page-6-0)–[E](#page-6-0), different kinds of selenium compounds inhibited the migration ability of SPC-A1 cells in a dose-dependent manner. When the four drugs were compared with each other at low concentrations, the migration ability of cells was the strongest after $Na₂SeO₃$ treatment for 24 h, and there was no statistical significance in the degree of decrease in cell migration ability after the other three drugs were used ($p > 0.01$). With the increase of drug concentration, it can be seen that the migration ability of SPC-A1 cells was significantly reduced after the action of Na_2SeO_3 (4 μ M) and SeD $(4 \mu M)$ for 24 h, and it could even be said that the migration ability was completely lost. And SeC (32 μM) inhibited cell migration more than LET-SeNPs (32 μM). Comprehensive comparison, SeD inhibited the migration ability of SPC-A1 cells stronger, and the required drug concentration was lower, which was obviously better than the other three selenium compounds.

Different selenium compounds inhibited the clonogenesis of SPC-A1 cells. (A) Clonogenic assay treated by different selenium compounds with or without X-rays for 14 d in SPC-A1 cells. (B-F) Clonogenic formation a bar chart of SPC-A1 cells under the co-treatment of different selenium compounds and X-rays (0–4 Gy). Values expressed were means + SD of triplicates. *p < 0.05 considered significant and **p < 0.01 considered highly significant.

3.5 Effects of different selenium compounds combinated with X-rays on SPC-A1 cell cycle

Studies have shown that cell cycle arrest affects the occurrence and development of tumors (Hung et al., 2021). In order to further study the effects of different selenium compounds on the intrinsic mechanism of SPC-A1 cells, we used flow cytometry to determine whether different selenium compounds could inhibit tumor cell proliferation by affecting the SPC-A1 cell cycle. As can be seen from [Figure 5](#page-7-0), SPC-A1 cells were treated with various selenium compounds at their respective effective selenium concentrations for 72 h, and the cell cycle changed in both the radiotherapy group and the nonradiotherapy group. In the control group, the G2/M phase of

cells increased from 12.6% without radiotherapy to 19.5% after radiotherapy, indicating that radiation mainly blocked the cell cycle in G2/M phase. In the drug group, $Na₂SeO₃$ alone increased the G2/M phase of cells from 12.6% to 19.5%, and G2/M phase increased from 19.5% to 27.3% when combined with X-rays. The G2/M phase increased to 38.8% when treated with SeD alone and 46.1% after treated with radiotherapy. These results indicated that these two drugs mainly acted on G2/M phase of cell cycle arrest. Meanwhile, LET-SeNPs and SeC inhibited SPC-A1 cell cycle not only in G2/M phase, but also in S phase. The changes of SPC-A1 cells in S phase were more obvious than those in G2/M phase with LET-SeNPs, regardless of the effect of X-rays. In general, the effects of different selenium compounds on the cell cycle of SPC-A1 showed a dose effect, and radiotherapy mainly blocked the cell cycle in the G2/M phase. $Na₂SeO₃$, SeD, LET-

SeNPs and SeC enhanced the effect of radiotherapy on G2/M phase arrest to varying degrees, and SeC was the best, followed by SeD.

3.6 Different selenium compounds combinated with X-rays to induced SPC-A1 cells apoptosis

The inhibitory effect of drugs on tumor cells can exert its antitumor effect not only by affecting the tumor cell cycle, but also by causing tumor cell apoptosis. Different selenium compounds can induce apoptosis of SPC-A1 lung cancer cells. Apoptosis was increased in a dose-dependent manner when treated with different concentrations of $Na₂SeO₃$, SeD, LET-SeNPs and SeC in combination with X-rays ([Figure 6\)](#page-8-0). Among them, $Na₂SeO₃$ and SeD caused a small amount of apoptosis at low doses, but a large amount of apoptosis at a concentration of 8 μM. Cell apoptosis increased from 2.39% to 88.22% when SeD drugs acted alone. However, the apoptosis rate after radiotherapy is not much different from that of single drug. It may be because the drug concentration is too high to make the drug effect dominate, and the effect of radiotherapy is not obvious. The apoptosis rate of SPC-A1 cells treated with SeC alone or combined with X-rays

different selenium compounds (with or without X-rays) for 72 h (B-E) Are histogram of cell cycle distribution after treatment with Na₂SeO₃, SeD, LET-SeNPs and SeC, respectively.

for 72 h was dose-dependent, and early apoptosis and late apoptosis occurred at the same time. Compared with other drugs, the late apoptosis accounted for a large proportion. However, the apoptosis rate of LET-SeNPs (64 μM) was not

different from that of the control group. In conclusion, Most of the cell apoptosis induced by the combined action of different selenium compounds and X-rays was higher than that caused by drug alone. Among them, LET-SeNPs induced the least

apoptosis, and the combined action of SeD, SeC and $Na₂SeO₃$ combined with X-rays could effectively increase cell apoptosis, and the effect strength was $SeD > Na₂SeO₃>SeC$.

3.7 Different selenium compounds combinated with X-rays to reduced ROS production in SPC-A1 cells

ROS has long been associated with cancer, with most tumor cells produce higher levels of ROS than normal cells. The increase may be due to the reduction of free radical scavenging enzymes (Cui et al., 2018), increased glucose metabolism (Warburg effect) (DeBerardinis & Chandel, 2020), increased fatty acid oxidation (Sun & Denko, 2014) and so on. In most studies, elevated ROS levels are considered carcinogenic, and high levels can damage DNA double strands, proteins, and lipids, increasing genetic mutations and promoting tumor formation (Nakamura & Takada, 2021; Renaudin, 2021; Shah & Rogoff, 2021). But there are also studies showing toxic levels of ROS produced in cancer have antitumor effects, leading to increased oxidative stress and inducing tumor cell death (Nogueira et al., 2008). In order to further study the radiotherapy sensitization mechanism of different selenium compounds, we used DCF-DA probe to detect ROS produced by Na₂SeO₃, SeD, LET-SeNPs and SeC combined with X-rays or not. The results are shown in [Figure 7A](#page-9-0) and [Supplementary Figure S4A,](#page-11-10) the effect of the drug alone downregulated the level of intracellular ROS, and the intracellular ROS decreased to the lowest level within 30 min of drug action, and then gradually increased. The ROS levels in cells treated with SeD and SeC were basically the same, and there was little difference in ROS levels after treated with $Na₂SeO₃$ and LET-SeNPs. The most significant decrease was in SPC-A1 cells treated with SeC alone, where the ROS level in the first 10 min decreased to the lowest 47.4%. As shown in [Figure 7B](#page-9-0) and

FIGURE 7

Different selenium compounds combination with X-rays changes intracellular ROS levels and quantitative analysis of caspase activation triggered by selenide and X-rays. (A) ROS changes after interaction of different selenium compounds. (B) ROS changes after interaction of different selenium compounds and X-rays. "(+)" means to receive X-rays irradiation. (C) Fluorescence imaging of ROS generation in SPC-A1 cells after the incubation of different selenium compounds for indicated times using a DCF probe. Original magnification: ×10. (D) Fluorescence imaging of ROS generation in SPC-A1 cells after the incubation of different selenium compounds and X-rays (8 Gy) for indicated times using a DCF probe. Original magnification: ×10. (E) Values expressed were means \pm SD of triplicates. *p < 0.05 considered significant and **p < 0.01 considered highly significant.

[Supplementary Figure S4B](#page-11-10), X-rays alone can cause ROS levels to rise, inducing ROS production within 30 min and reaching a peak of 132.13%. In contrast, individual drug effects significantly downregulated ROS levels. ROS levels were slightly higher when Na₂SeO₃, SeD, LET-SeNPs and SeC combined with X-rays compared with drugs alone. Combined with the real-time dynamic fluorescence images captured under the fluorescence microscope [\(Figures 7C, D](#page-9-0)), the generation of fluorescence amount is consistent with the ROS change curves of [Figures 7A,](#page-9-0) [B,](#page-9-0) and the ROS generated in cells after X-rays irradiation is significantly more than that generated in cells after drug alone. Based on the above experimental results, we speculate that $Na₂SeO₃$, SeD, LET-SeNPs, and SeC sensitize X-rays to induce apoptosis by scavenging oxidative free radicals in cells and then causing redox imbalance.

3.8 Changes intracellular caspase-3 activity induced by different selenium compounds

Caspase is a cysteine protease family with 14 members that play a key role in programmed cell death and inflammation (Van Opdenbosch & Lamkanfi, 2019). Among them, caspase-3 is a typical executor of apoptosis. After being activated by caspase-8 or caspase-9, it cleaves many other functional key proteins in the cell, resulting in apoptosis. Its activation heralds the start of the executive phase of apoptosis. In this experiment, we used fluorochrome substrate colorimetry to measure the changes of caspase-3 activity in SPC-A1 cells treated with different selenium compounds alone or with different selenium compounds combined with X-rays treatment. As shown in [Figure 7E,](#page-9-0) it is obvious that the content of caspase-3 in SPC-A1 cells under the

action of X-rays alone is 1.8 times that of the control group. The increase in caspase-3 when SeD is combined with X-rays is significantly higher than when the drug alone reacts, which was 3.6 times that of the control group. In conclusion, different selenium compounds can play a role in promoting tumor cell apoptosis by activating the intracellular apoptosis executive molecule caspase-3, and the activation ability $SeD > SeC$ Na₂SeO₃>LET-SeNPs.

4 Conclusion

In conclusion, we compared the mechanism of action of selenium in different valences (inorganic selenium, organic selenium, selenium-containing amino acids and nanoselenium) on NSCLC SPC-A1 cells by X-rays alone or in combination [Scheme 1.](#page-10-0) The possible ways of sensitizing radiotherapy with different selenium compounds were preliminarily explored. The results showed that selenium compounds mainly inhibited the growth and reproduction of tumor cells by blocking SPC-A1 cell cycle in G2/M phase, inducing apoptosis, causing REDOX imbalance, inhibiting injury and repair of tumor cells. Among them, -2-valent SeD showed good antitumor activity in vitro cell experiments. It mainly inhibited tumor cell proliferation and migration by enhancing X-rays at low doses, and induced SPC-A1 cell apoptosis through ROS pathway and caspase cascade reaction. Sodium selenate with +6 valence has essentially no cytotoxic effect. Therefore, this study found that the radiosensitization effect of selenium on NSCLC was closely related to the morphology of selenium. It provides a new choice for radiosensitizers for clinical NSCLC, and provides a scientific theoretical basis for further application of selenium compounds in the clinical treatment of NSCLC.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article[/Supplementary Material](#page-11-10), further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

References

An, Y., and Zhao, J. (2021). Functionalized selenium nanotherapeutics synergizes with zoledronic acid to suppress prostate cancer cell growth through induction of mitochondria-mediated apoptosis and cell cycle S phase arrest. Front. Oncol. 11, 685784. doi:[10.3389/fonc.2021.685784](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2021.685784)

Bade, B. C., and Dela Cruz, C. S. (2020). Lung cancer 2020: Epidemiology, etiology, and prevention. Clin. Chest Med. 41 (1), 1–24. doi:[10.1016/j.ccm.2019.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccm.2019.10.001) [10.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccm.2019.10.001)

Buntzel, J., Micke, O., Kisters, K., Bruns, F., Glatzel, M., Schonekaes, K., et al. (2010). Selenium substitution during radiotherapy of solid tumours - laboratory data from two
observation studies in gynaecological and head and neck cancer patients. *Anticancer* Res. 30 (5), 1783–1786.

Chuai, H., Zhang, S. Q., Bai, H., Li, J., Wang, Y., Sun, J., et al. (2021). Small molecule selenium-containing compounds: Recent development and therapeutic applications. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 223, 113621. doi[:10.1016/j.ejmech.2021.113621](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2021.113621)

De Ruysscher, D., Niedermann, G., Burnet, N. G., Siva, S., Lee, A. W. M., and Hegi-Johnson, F. (2019). Radiotherapy toxicity. Nat. Rev. Dis. Prim. 5 (1), 13. doi:[10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41572-019-0064-5) [s41572-019-0064-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41572-019-0064-5)

Author contributions

All authors have made substantial contributions to the manuscript. SN and XH were responsible for the conception and design of the study. SN and ZS was responsible for data acquisition and data processing. SN, YZ, and TL were responsible for data analysis and interpretation, and drafting the article. TC, JZ, and TL revised the manuscript critically. All authors read, provided feedback, and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work was supported by Science and Technology Projects in Guangzhou (No. 202102010083), Secondary development of authentic medicinal materials and characteristic agricultural products based on microbial fermentation technology (No. 32421046).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: [https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fbioe.2023.1168827/](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fbioe.2023.1168827/full#supplementary-material) [full#supplementary-material](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fbioe.2023.1168827/full#supplementary-material)

Dukaew, N., Konishi, T., Chairatvit, K., Autsavapromporn, Soonthornchareonnon, N., and Wongnoppavich, A. (2020). Enhancement of radiosensitivity by eurycomalactone in human NSCLC cells through G(2)/M cell cycle arrest and delayed DNA double-strand break repair. Oncol. Res. 28 (2), 161–175. doi:[10.3727/096504019x15736439848765](https://doi.org/10.3727/096504019x15736439848765)

Duma, N., Santana-Davila, R., and Molina, J. R. (2019). Non-small cell lung cancer: Epidemiology, screening, diagnosis, and treatment. Mayo Clin. Proc. 94 (8), 1623–1640. doi[:10.1016/j.mayocp.2019.01.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2019.01.013)

Durante, M., Orecchia, R., and Loeffler, J. S. (2017). Charged-particle therapy in cancer: Clinical uses and future perspectives. Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol. 14 (8), 483–495. doi[:10.1038/nrclinonc.2017.30](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrclinonc.2017.30)

Ganash, M. A. (2021). Anticancer potential of ascorbic acid and inorganic selenium on human breast cancer cell line MCF-7 and colon carcinoma HCT-116. J. Cancer Res. Ther. 17 (1), 122–129. doi:[10.4103/jcrt.jcrt_989_17](https://doi.org/10.4103/jcrt.jcrt_989_17)

Gandin, V., Khalkar, P., Braude, J., and Fernandes, A. P. (2018). Organic selenium compounds as potential chemotherapeutic agents for improved cancer treatment. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 127, 80–97. doi[:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2018.05.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2018.05.001)

Goebel, C., Louden, C. L., McKenna, R., Jr., Onugha, O., Wachtel, A., and Long, T. (2019). Diagnosis of non-small cell lung cancer for early stage asymptomatic patients. Cancer Genomics Proteomics 16 (4), 229–244. doi:[10.21873/cgp.20128](https://doi.org/10.21873/cgp.20128)

Handa, E., Puspitasari, I. M., Abdulah, R., Yamazaki, C., Kameo, S., Nakano, T., et al. (2020). Recent advances in clinical studies of selenium supplementation in radiotherapy. J. Trace Elem. Med. Biol. 62, 126653. doi:[10.1016/j.jtemb.2020.126653](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtemb.2020.126653)

Hariharan, S., and Dharmaraj, S. (2020). Selenium and selenoproteins: it's role in regulation of inflammation. Inflammopharmacology 28 (3), 667–695. doi:[10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10787-020-00690-x) [s10787-020-00690-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10787-020-00690-x)

He, L., Ji, S., Lai, H., and Chen, T. (2015). Selenadiazole derivatives as theranostic agents for simultaneous cancer chemo-/radiotherapy by targeting thioredoxin reductase. J. Mater Chem. B 3 (42), 8383–8393. doi[:10.1039/c5tb01501d](https://doi.org/10.1039/c5tb01501d)

Jiao, J., Yu, J., Ji, H., and Liu, A. (2022). Synthesis of macromolecular Astragalus polysaccharide-nano selenium complex and the inhibitory effects on HepG2 cells. Int. polysaccharide-nano selenium complex and the inhibitory effects on
J. Biol. Macromol. 211, 481–489. doi:[10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2022.05.095](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2022.05.095)

Lai, H., Zeng, D., Liu, C., Zhang, Q., Wang, X., and Chen, T. (2019). Seleniumcontaining ruthenium complex synergizes with natural killer cells to enhance immunotherapy against prostate cancer via activating TRAIL/FasL signaling. Biomaterials 219, 119377. doi:[10.1016/j.biomaterials.2019.119377](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2019.119377)

Liu, W., Su, J., Shi, Q., Wang, J., Chen, X., Zhang, S., et al. (2021). RGD peptideconjugated selenium nanocomposite inhibits human glioma growth by triggering mitochondrial dysfunction and ROS-dependent MAPKs activation. Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. 9, 781608. doi:[10.3389/fbioe.2021.781608](https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2021.781608)

Ma, J., Huang, J., Sun, J., Zhou, Y., Ji, X., Guo, D., et al. (2021). L-Semethylselenocysteine sensitizes lung carcinoma to chemotherapy. Cell Prolif. 54 (5), e13038. doi:[10.1111/cpr.13038](https://doi.org/10.1111/cpr.13038)

Razaghi, A., Poorebrahim, M., Sarhan, D., and Bjornstedt, M. (2021). Selenium stimulates the antitumour immunity: Insights to future research. Eur. J. Cancer 155, 256–267. doi:[10.1016/j.ejca.2021.07.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2021.07.013)

Song, Z., Luo, W., Zheng, H., Zeng, Y., Wang, J., and Chen, T. (2021). Translational nanotherapeutics reprograms immune microenvironment in malignant pleural effusion of lung adenocarcinoma. Adv. Healthc. Mater 10 (12), e2100149. doi[:10.1002/adhm.](https://doi.org/10.1002/adhm.202100149) [202100149](https://doi.org/10.1002/adhm.202100149)

Sung, H., Ferlay, J., Siegel, R. L., Laversanne, M., Soerjomataram, I., Jemal, A., et al. (2021). Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J. Clin. 71 (3), 209–249. doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21660) [3322/caac.21660](https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21660)

Wang, N., Wang, L., Meng, X., Wang, J., Zhu, L., Liu, C., et al. (2019). Osimertinib (AZD9291) increases radiosensitivity in EGFR T790M nonsmall cell lung cancer. Oncol. Rep. 41 (1), 77–86. doi[:10.3892/OR.2018.6803](https://doi.org/10.3892/OR.2018.6803)

Wu, C., Li, M., Meng, H., Liu, Y., Niu, W., Zhou, Y., et al. (2019). Analysis of status and countermeasures of cancer incidence and mortality in China. Sci. China Life Sci. 62 (5), 640–647. doi:[10.1007/s11427-018-9461-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11427-018-9461-5)

Wu, H., Zhu, H., Li, X., Liu, Z., Zheng, W., Chen, T., et al. (2013). Induction of apoptosis and cell cycle arrest in A549 human lung adenocarcinoma cells by surfacecapping selenium nanoparticles: An effect enhanced by polysaccharide-protein complexes from polyporus rhinocerus. J. Agric. Food Chem. 61 (41), 9859-9866. doi[:10.1021/jf403564s](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf403564s)

Yu, B., Li, H., Zhang, J., Zheng, W., and Chen, T. (2015). Rational design and fabrication of a cancer-targeted chitosan nanocarrier to enhance selective cellular uptake and anticancer efficacy of selenocystine. J. Mater Chem. B 3 (12), 2497–2504. doi[:10.1039/c4tb02146k](https://doi.org/10.1039/c4tb02146k)

Zhang, H., Sun, Q., Tong, L., Hao, Y., and Yu, T. (2018). Synergistic combination of PEGylated selenium nanoparticles and X-ray-induced radiotherapy for enhanced anticancer effect in human lung carcinoma. Biomed. Pharmacother. 107, 1135–1141. doi[:10.1016/j.biopha.2018.08.074](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2018.08.074)

Zhang, P., Song, E., Jiang, M., and Song, Y. (2021). Celecoxib and Afatinib synergistic enhance radiotherapy sensitivity on human non-small cell lung cancer A549 cells. Int. J. Radiat. Biol. 97 (2), 170–178. doi:[10.1080/09553002.2021.1846817](https://doi.org/10.1080/09553002.2021.1846817)

Zhao, Z., Gao, P., You, Y., and Chen, T. (2018). Cancer-Targeting functionalization of selenium-containing ruthenium conjugate with tumor microenvironment-responsive property to enhance theranostic effects. Chemistry 24 (13), 3289–3298. doi:[10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201705561) [chem.201705561](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201705561)

Zhong, D., Zhang, D., Chen, W., He, J., Ren, C., Zhang, X., et al. (2021). Orally deliverable strategy based on microalgal biomass for intestinal disease treatment. Sci. Adv. 7 (48), eabi9265. doi:[10.1126/sciadv.abi9265](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abi9265)