Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Guicai Li, Nantong University, China

REVIEWED BY Fena Wu.

Xuzhou University of Technology, China Dan Zou, Southwest Jiaotong University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Zhigao Xu, 18636281196@126.com Guojiang Zhang,

1196057881@qq.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Biomaterials, a section of the journal Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology

RECEIVED 28 October 2022 ACCEPTED 10 November 2022 PUBLISHED 22 November 2022

CITATION

Xu Z, Zhao L, Yin L, Liu Y, Ren Y, Yang G, Wu J, Gu F, Sun X, Yang H, Peng T, Hu J, Wang X, Pang M, Dai Q and Zhang G (2022), MRI-based machine learning model: A potential modality for predicting cognitive dysfunction in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 10:1082794. doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2022.1082794

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Xu, Zhao, Yin, Liu, Ren, Yang, Wu, Gu, Sun, Yang, Peng, Hu, Wang, Pang, Dai and Zhang. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

MRI-based machine learning model: A potential modality for predicting cognitive dysfunction in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus

Zhigao Xu^{1*†}, Lili Zhao^{1†}, Lei Yin², Yan Liu³, Ying Ren⁴, Guoqiang Yang^{5,6}, Jinlong Wu¹, Feng Gu¹, Xuesong Sun⁷, Hui Yang¹, Taisong Peng⁸, Jinfeng Hu⁸, Xiaogeng Wang⁹, Minghao Pang¹⁰, Qiong Dai¹¹ and Guojiang Zhang¹²*

¹Department of Radiology, Radiology-Based AI Innovation Workroom, The Third People's Hospital of Datong, Datong, China, ²Graduate School, Changzhi Medical College, Changzhi, China, ³Department of Endocrinology, The Third People's Hospital of Datong, Datong, China, ⁴Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Henan University of Technology, Zhengzhou, China, ⁵College of Medical Imaging, Shanxi Medical University, Taiyuan, China, ⁶Department of Radiology, First Hospital of Shanxi Medical University, Taiyuan, China, ⁶Department of Radiology, First Hospital of Shanxi Medical University, Taiyuan, China, ⁶Department, The Third People's Hospital of Datong, Datong, China, ⁸Department of Radiology, The Second People's Hospital of Datong, Datong, China, ⁹Department of Radiology, Affiliated Hospital of Datong University, Datong, China, ¹⁰Department of Radiology, The People's Hospital of Yunzhou District, Datong, China, ¹¹Huiying Medical Technology (Beijjing), Co. Ltd, Beijing, China, ¹²Department of Cardiovasology, Department of Science and Education, The Third People's Hospital of Datong, Datong, China, ¹⁴Department of Science and Education, The Third People's Hospital of Datong, Datong, China, ¹⁴Huiying Medical Technology (Beijing), Co. Ltd, Beijing, China, ¹²Department of Cardiovasology, Department of Science and Education, The Third People's Hospital of Datong, Datong, China

Background: Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is a crucial risk factor for cognitive impairment. Accurate assessment of patients' cognitive function and early intervention is helpful to improve patient's quality of life. At present, neuropsychiatric screening tests is often used to perform this task in clinical practice. However, it may have poor repeatability. Moreover, several studies revealed that machine learning (ML) models can effectively assess cognitive impairment in Alzheimer's disease (AD) patients. We investigated whether we could develop an MRI-based ML model to evaluate the cognitive state of patients with T2DM.

Objective: To propose MRI-based ML models and assess their performance to predict cognitive dysfunction in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM).

Methods: Fluid Attenuated Inversion Recovery (FLAIR) of magnetic resonance images (MRI) were derived from 122 patients with T2DM. Cognitive function was assessed using the Chinese version of the Montréal Cognitive Assessment Scale-B (MoCA-B). Patients with T2DM were separated into the Dementia (DM) group (n = 40), MCI group (n = 52), and normal cognitive state (N) group (n = 30), according to the MoCA scores. Radiomics features were extracted from MR images with the Radcloud platform. The variance threshold, SelectKBest, and least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) were used for the feature selection. Based on the selected features, the ML models were constructed with three classifiers, k-NearestNeighbor (KNN), Support Vector Machine (SVM), and Logistic Regression (LR), and the validation

method was used to improve the effectiveness of the model. The area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) determined the appearance of the classification. The optimal classifier was determined by the principle of maximizing the Youden index.

Results: 1,409 features were extracted and reduced to 13 features as the optimal discriminators to build the radiomics model. In the validation set, ROC curves revealed that the LR classifier had the best predictive performance, with an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.831 in DM, 0.883 in MIC, and 0.904 in the N group, compared with the SVM and KNN classifiers.

Conclusion: MRI-based ML models have the potential to predict cognitive dysfunction in patients with T2DM. Compared with the SVM and KNN, the LR algorithm showed the best performance.

KEYWORDS

MRI, machine learning model, mild cognitive impairment, dementia, type 2 diabetes mellitus

1 Introduction

Diabetes is a group of metabolic diseases characterized by hyperglycemia resulting from defects in insulin secretion, insulin action, or both. Chronic hyperglycemia of diabetes is associated with long-term damage, dysfunction, and failure of different organs, especially the eyes, kidneys, nerves, heart, and blood vessels (Roden, 2016). With the aging of the population and the change in people's living habits, diabetes mellitus has gradually become a critical health issue worldwide owing to its high morbidity, disability, and mortality (IDF Diabetes Atlas Group, 2015; Li et al., 2020). There are two types of diabetes mellitus, type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM), type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), gestational diabetes mellitus, and other special types of diabetes. They are distinguished based on etiology and clinical manifestation. T2DM, characterized by insulin resistance (IR) and relative insulin deficiency, is the most frequent type of diabetes mellitus, accounting for no less than 90% of all types.

The association between T2DM and cognitive impairment has been established. Numerous studies have demonstrated that T2DM can increase the risk of cognitive impairment and may even progress to dementia, such as vascular dementia and Alzheimer's disease (AD) (Stewart and Liolitsa, 1999; Strachan et al., 2011; Moran et al., 2019; Alkethiri et al., 2021).

T2DM is associated with brain abnormalities on MRI scans, containing brain structural and functional abnormalities. Some MRI markers of cerebral small vessel disease, especially lacunar infarcts, are more common in patients with T2DM (Geijselaers et al., 2015; Lawson et al., 2020). Some previous studies have focused on the brain functional changes of T2DM patients using resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging and perfusion-weighted imaging (Chen et al., 2021; Xia et al., 2022). Furthermore, artificial intelligence (AI) combined with

conventional medical imaging may be useful for detecting cognitive dysfunction in patients with T2DM.

Radiomics is an emerging field that involves the process of extracting a large number of high-dimensional mineable features from medical images and subsequently analyzed using AI methods. Radiomics workflow involves image acquisition, region of interest (ROI) segmentation, features extraction, and statistical analysis, then a statistical model is constructed based on ML or deep learning algorithms with the selected features. According to the clinical or biological question and a piece of available prior knowledge, the model is tuned. In the field of radiology, ML and deep learning algorithms were widely used (Currie et al., 2019). Specific serviceability of ML in medical imaging includes, not only extraction of radiomics features, automated image segmentation, detection and classification of lesions, and data analysis, but also providing rapid and accurate noninvasive biomarkers for some disorder risk prediction, diagnostics, prognosis, treatment response monitoring (Libbrecht and Noble, 2015; Bi et al., 2019; Choi et al., 2020; Greener et al., 2022).

However, there is a lack of a reliable predictive model based on the ML method for the detection of cognitive dysfunction in patients with T2DM. So far it is unclear whether cognitive abnormity in patients with T2DM is related to brain texture. Therefore, we consider the correlation analysis between brain texture and cognitive impairment in people with T2DM essential.

Based on previous studies, we aimed to investigate cerebral radiomics features based on MRI and construct three machinelearning models to evaluate the cognitive state in patients with T2DM. To evaluate the usefulness of the prediction model using a ML algorithm, we constructed and compared them, and subsequently, we screened out the optimal model. The model could be useful to explain and should have a potential predictive ability for cognitive impairment in patients with T2DM.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study population

This study was approved by The Research Ethics Committee of The Third People's Hospital of Datong, and all the patients included in the study were provided written informed consent for the acquisition, analysis, and publishing of the anonymized data collected. Also, the study was conducted according to the declaration of Helsinki.

We enrolled 122 patients (47 men and 75 women; mean age, 63 years \pm 7.07; range, 51–86 years) diagnosed with T2DM from February 2020 to July 2021 in the Center for Endocrine and Metabolic Diseases of The Third People's Hospital of Datong, Shanxi province, China. The diagnostic criterion of T2DM patients was either fasting plasma glucose (FPG) level ≥7.0 mmol/L or 2-h oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) glucose level ≥11.1 mmol/L (Roden, 2016). The inclusion criteria also included: 1) Age >50 years old to minimize the adverse effects of aging on cognitive function since numerous previous studies have reported that aging is a risk factor for dementia (Finkenzeller et al., 2019; Lee et al., 2020; Kaneko et al., 2021); 2) no less than 6 years of education to ensure the literacy of all subjects. 3) no history of central nervous system dysfunction or medical diseases that considerably affect neurological function, or severe heart, kidney, or liver diseases; 4)taking drugs within 3 months, such as psychoactive and steroid drugs; alcohol or drug addiction; 5) within 3 months, no taking cognition-related drugs, such as psychoactive and steroid drugs; alcohol or drug addiction; 6) ability to perform the imaging procedure following the instruction of the doctors; and 7) Right-handedness, walking independently. The exclusion criteria included: 1) type 1 or other type diabetes; 2) contraindications for MRI examination; 3) body mass index (BMI) > 35 kg/m^2 , because obesity impairs cognition (Dye et al., 2017; Ganguli et al., 2020); and 4) The acquired images could not meet the analysis requirements.

2.2 Clinical, anthropometric, and laboratory data

Clinical data related to diabetes were collected from the patients' medical records. The weight status was assessed by measuring the body mass index (BMI). The blood pressure, weight, and height of each participant were measured by the standard survey method. Standard laboratory testings were carried out to measure fasting plasma glucose (FPG), glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c), alanine transaminase (ALT), aspartate transaminase (AST), gammaglutamyltransferase (GGT), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), serum creatinine (SCr), total cholesterol (TC), triglyceride (TG), highdensity lipoprotein (HDL), low-density lipoprotein (LDL), urine creatinine (UCr). All enrolled individuals were required to receive the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA), Rey Auditory Verbal Learning test (RAVLT), Activities of Daily Living (ADL), and Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) scale test independently. Furthermore, duration of diabetes, history of smoking, whether or not complicated with coronary heart disease, retinopathy, or intermittent claudication were also recorded.

2.3 Cognitive impairment assessment

The Montréal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) is one of the most widely used screening tests for cognitive impairment around the world (Nasreddine et al., 2005; Zhai et al., 2016; Kopecek et al., 2017; Pinto et al., 2019). The Chinese version of the Montréal Cognitive Assessment Scale-B (MoCA-B) is a reliable cognitive screening test across all education levels in Chinese adults, with high acceptance and good reliability (Yu et al., 2014; Zhou et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2016; Hong et al., 2022). It was administered as neuropsychological screening tests and criteria for grouping in this study. All participants performed a fully standardized cognitive assessment that covered various cognitive domains. General cognitive function was assessed by the Chinese version of the MoCA-B. The total scores range from 0 to 30 points, where higher scores indicate better cognitive function. Participants were grouped into the Dementia (DM), mild cognitive impairment (MCI) group, and normal cognitive state(N) group, with corresponding MoCA scores of $\leq 18, 19-25$, and \geq 26, respectively, according to the MoCA scores (Yu et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2016).

The Rey Auditory Verbal Learning test (RAVLT) and clinical dementia rating (CDR) scale were also administered as neuropsychological screening tests in this study (Molloy et al., 1991; Rai, 1993; Li et al., 2016; Arevalo-Rodriguez et al., 2021). Verbal memory was evaluated with the RAVLT, including the total score and the short delay recall, Wired quiz -A and B, digit span task, clock draw test, Long-delayed recall and cue recall (after an interval of 20 min).

The CDR scale is widely used in clinical trials for staging the severity of AD and other dementias (Lanctôt et al., 2009; Wessels et al., 2018). It is comprised of six cognitive domains, including memory, orientation, ability to judge and solve problems, community affairs, housework and hobbies, and personal care ability. After interviewing both participants and their informants, trained physicians scored their points. Each domain is rated on a 5-point scale independently from each others, except the personal care domain which is a 4-point scale without the 0.5 rating scale. Point 0 represents no impairment, 0.5 represents questionable/very mild impairment, 1 represents mild impairment, 2 represents moderate impairment, and 3 represents severe impairment. The diagnosis of MCI or dementia can be confirmed after the assessment (Albert et al., 2011; McKhann et al., 2011).



2.4 Image acquisition

A 3T scanner (Philips Achieva 3.0T, Philips Medical Systems, Best, Netherlands) with an 8-channel head coil was employed to acquire each participant's whole brain MRI data. Axial Fluid Attenuated Inversion Recovery (FLAIR) was used as an MRI study sequence. FLAIR images were acquired using FLAIR_LongTR sequences (TR = 9000 ms, TE = 120 ms, flip angle = 90°, Prep Time = 450 ms, slice thickness = 2mm, slice gap = 0 mm, number of excitations (NEX) = 1, field of view (FOV) = 256 mm × 256 mm, matrix size = 256 × 238, axial slices = 100). To suppress head motion artifacts, foam pads were used to fix the head during scanning. The participants lie in a supine position, keep their eyes closed and awake, and try to avoid ideological activities following the operator's instructions. In the whole process of scanning, the participants and their images quality were monitored by two experienced radiologists.

If the images were abnormal or the participants were uncomfortable, the acquisition would be terminated immediately. For each participant, we kept the MRI scan on the same day with neuropsychological tests, and within 1 week after the medical history interview, neurological examination, and laboratory examinations.

2.5 Image processing

Figure 1 presents the radiomics workflow, which involves: Imaging acquisition, ROI segmentation, feature extraction and analysis, then developing a statistical model based on ML algorithms (Yamamoto and Hasegawa, 2017; Cheng and Hua, 2020; Mayerhoefer et al., 2020). Radcloud radiomics platform (Huiying Medical Technology, Beijing, China) was employed to analyze the MRI and clinical data, and subsequently to perform radiomics statistics analysis. The original images of the participants, exported from MRI scanners in DICOM format, were uploaded to the Radcloud platform for the next step of the analysis.

2.5.1 Image segmentation

We used all MRI-FLAIR sequences in the brain of the subjects as ROIs, and all these images were reviewed by two senior radiologists with 12 (reader 1) and 9 years (reader 2) experience in radiology. The cerebrum in the FLAIR sequences of the participants was delineated manually and layer-by-layer by the two radiologists who were blinded to their clinical information of them, then all contours were reviewed by a third senior radiologist with 17 years of experience in this field. Thus, the ROIs contained all the components of the cerebrum, without the Cerebellum, brainstem, ventricles, and other unrelated structures. Agreement of the VOIs between the two radiologists who delineated manually the ROIs was evaluated by intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC), ICC >0. 80 indicates

good consistency. Eventually, 122 VOIs were segmented from 122 patients' MRI scans which were used for subject analysis.

2.5.2 Feature extraction

In total, 1,409 radiomics features were extracted from the MRI with the Radcloud platform (https://mics.huiyihuiying.com/). These features were comprised of three groups. Group 1 (first-order statistics) contained 126 descriptors that quantitatively delineate the distribution of voxel intensities within the MR image through commonly used and basic metrics. Group 2 (shape- and size-based features) consisted of 14 three-dimensional features that reflect the shape and size of the region. From grey-level run-length and grey-level co-occurrence texture matrices, 525 textural features that can quantify region heterogeneity differences were classified into group 3 (texture features).

2.5.3 Feature selection

As described above, large amounts of radiomics features were extracted from the MR images of participants. However, not all these features were useful for the construction of the ML model. Therefore, for the best performance of the model, dimensionality reduction for the selection of task-specific features is an essential procedure. The feature selection methods for reducing the redundant features included the variance threshold (variance threshold = 0.8), SelectKBest, and the least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) algorithm. For the variance threshold method, the threshold is 0.8, so the features of the variance bigger than 0.2 were used. The SelectKBest method, which belongs to a single variable feature selection method, employs a *p*-value to analyze the relationship between the features and the results of classification, all the eigenvalues with a p-value smaller than 0.05 will be retained. For the LASSO model, the L1 regularizer was used as the cost function, the error value of cross-validation is 5, and the maximum number of iterations is 1,000. After the three-step dimensionality reduction, the remaining features with the greatest correlation were used to construct a radiomics model employing a ML algorithm.

2.5.4 Development of MRI-based ML model

In this study, The validation dataset and training dataset were divided by random method with a ratio of 2:8, and the random seeds are 500. Based on the selected optimal features, we used 3 ML classifiers available for classification analysis, which creates radiomics models that attempt to separate the data concerning cognitive function in patients with T2DM. The three classifiers included k-NearestNeighbor (KNN), Support Vector Machine (SVM), and Logistic Regression (LR). The validation method was used to improve the efficiency of these models.

Both The KNN and SVM are a type of supervised learning method. The KNN attempts to predict the correct class for the validation set by calculating the distance between the Validation set and the training set. For KNN, the parameters KNN parameters: n_neighbors (5), weights (uniform) in this study. The SVM tries to search for an optimal separating hyperplane between classes, which maximizes the margin. For SVM, the parameters SVM parameters: kernel (rbf), C (1), gamma (auto), class_weight (balanced), decision_function_shape (ovr) in this study. The LR is a statistical method used to evaluate the correlation between the dependent and independent variables. For LR, the parameters LR parameters: penalty (L2), C (1), solver (liblinear), class_weight (None), multi_class (ovr) in this study.

2.6 Statistical analysis

All the clinical data analyses were performed by IBM SPSS Statistic version 22.0 (SPSS Inc.). Comparisons of clinical and demographic features of patients among the three groups were conducted by Chi-squared test, and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by LSD test or Tamhane's T2 test, A significant statistical difference was presented as p < 0.05. Partitions of χ^2 method calibration and inspection level $\alpha' = 0.017$. Statistical analyses were performed for both the training and validation sets. Dice's coefficients were used to evaluate the intra- and inter-observer consistency for the ROI segmentation and radiomics feature extraction with 30 randomly selected samples. We interpreted a coefficient of 0.81–1.00 was interpreted as perfect agreement.

The statistical analysis of ML models was performed in the Radcloud platform. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve which presented the area under the curve (AUC), was used both in the training and validation set respectively to evaluate the predictive performance. To evaluate the performance of classifier, four indicators including P (precision = true positives/(true positives + false positives)), R (recall = true positives/(true positives + false negatives)), f1-score (f1-score = $P^*R^*2/(P+R)$), support (total number in test set) were used in this study. The precision is the overall evaluation of the classifier and represents the proportion of correctly divided samples to the determined divided samples. The f1-score is used to evaluate the classification efficiency of the classifier. The higher the F1 value, the better the classification effect. The AUC evaluates the classifier's performance. The optimal classifier was determined by the principle of maximizing the Youden index (ie, sensitivity + specificity-1).

3 Results

3.1 Characteristics of the study population

In this study, A total of 122 participants were analyzed: 40 participants in the DM group, 52 in the MCI group, and 30 in

the N group. The mean age of the subjects was 63 (7.07) years, 47 men and 75 women.

Table 1 summarizes the clinical and demographic characteristics of the MCI, DM, and N groups. No significant differences were found in BMI, systolic blood pressure, FBG, ALT, AST, GGT, BUN, BUA, SCr, TG, TC, LDL, HDL, UCr, Coronary heart disease, history of smoking, retinopathy, Intermittent claudication and duration of diabetes (p > 0.05). Compared with the N group, the DM group had a higher level of age (p = 0.013), Diastolic blood pressure (p = 0.019), HbA1c (p =0.001), and lower level of gender (male) (p = 0.003). Compared with the N group, both the DM and MCI group had lower levels of MoCA, RAVLT (*p* < 0.001, *p* < 0.001 and *p* < 0.001, *p* < 0.001, respectively). Compared with the MCI group, the DM group also had lower levels of MoCA (p < 0.001) and RAVLT (p = 0.003). Compared with the DM group, both the MCI and N groups had lower levels of CDR (p = 0.002, p = 0.005, respectively). Furthermore, compared with the N group, the MCI group had a higher level of HbA1c (p = 0.020).

3.2 MRI-based radiomics features

The inter-observer and intra-observer agreement was perfect for the segmentation of the VOIs for the MRI-FLAIR images. The VOIs had a better correlation between the two radiologists (ICC: 0.897; 95% CI: 0.829–0.932).

We firstly selected 344 features from 1,409 features using the variance threshold method (Figure 2), then with the Select-K best methods, we selected 192 features (Figure 3), and finally, 13 most relevant features were selected with the LASSO algorithm (Figure 4). Details of the selected 18 features were shown in Table 2.

3.3 MRI-based ML model evaluation and comparison

All the participants were divided into training (n = 98) and validation (n = 24) sets. Three ML algorithms, including the KNN, SVM, and LR, were applied for the construction of the prediction models in the training set. To evaluate the prediction model in test data, the ROC curve, sensitivity, specificity, Precision, F1-score, and Support were used. The analysis results of the ROC curve were displayed in Table 3 for the training set and Table 4 for the validation set. Before the assessment, the Youden index by the ROC curve in the validation set was employed to determine the optimal algorithm. The KNN model was better than those of other classifiers, with Youden index of 0.59 in DM, 0.57 in MCI, and 0.62 in N respectively.

In the validation set, the ROC curves revealed that the LR algorithm had the best predictive performances, with an area

Characteristics	DM $(n = 40)$	MCI $(n = 52)$	N $(n = 30)$	F/χ^2	<i>p</i> -value
Age (years) ^d	65.08 ± 7.38^{a}	62.67 ± 6.90	60.77 ± 6.83	3.30	0.04
Gender (male) ^e	8 (11.1%) ^a	16 (18.2%)	15 (33.3%)	8.95	0.01
MoCA (scores) ^d	14.83 ± 2.90^{ab}	$22.06 \pm 2.25^{\circ}$	26.73 ± 0.98	276.37	0.00
RAVLT (scores) ^d	10.03 ± 3.839^{ab}	$13.12 \pm 5.29^{\circ}$	18.20 ± 4.92	25.31	0.00
ADLs (scores) ^d	21.92 ± 6.310	20.09 ± 0.570	20.03 ± 0.183	2.21	0.14
CDR (scores) ^d	0.31 ± 0.74^{ab}	0.03 ± 0.12	0.02 ± 0.091	5.85	0.01
BMI (kg/m2) ^d	27.38 ± 3.36	26.89 ± 3.08	27.03 ± 2.37	0.30	0.74
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) ^d	121.23 ± 16.19	124.94 ± 13.54	126.87 ± 13.10	1.45	0.24
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) ^d	65.75 ± 13.26^{a}	70.35 ± 9.16	72.1 ± 10.85	3.25	0.04
FBG (mmol/L) ^d	9.29 ± 3.08	8.95 ± 2.90	8.26 ± 2.31	1.16	0.32
HbA1c (mmol/mol)% ^d	8.088 ± 1.69^{a}	7.71 ± 1.33 ^c	6.94 ± 1.21	5.65	0.01
ALT (U/L) ^d	31.83 ± 21.13	30.04 ± 17.61	27.11 ± 12.80	0.60	0.55
AST (U/L) ^d	24.68 ± 11.21	23.64 ± 11.62	21.45 ± 6.58	0.83	0.44
GGT (U/L) ^d	44.25 ± 47.76	48.04 ± 81.28	37.87 ± 37.20	0.25	0.78
BUN (mmol/L) ^d	6.32 ± 6.81	5.18 ± 1.44	4.74 ± 1.12	1.46	0.24
SCr (µmol/L) ^d	62.90 ± 13.61	67.65 ± 16.10	66.10 ± 12.85	1.15	0.32
TG (mmol/L) ^d	2.26 ± 1.74	2.03 ± 1.23	2.77 ± 2.33	1.50	0.23
TC (mmol/L) ^d	4.34 ± 1.22	4.13 ± 0.99	4.59 ± 1.30	1.51	0.23
LDL (mmol/L) ^d	2.27 ± 0.98	2.30 ± 0.82	2.59 ± 0.96	1.23	0.30
HDL (mmol/L) ^d	1.14 ± 0.29	1.06 ± 0.28	1.08 ± 0.22	1.01	0.37
UCr (mmol/L) ^d	5.79 ± 3.50	7.89 ± 7.07	6.66 ± 9.80	3.01	0.14
duration of diabetes (years) ^d	15.86 ± 9.59	21.61 ± 19.37	18.23 ± 2.69	0.298	0.74
Coronary heart disease ^e	14 (19.4%)	22 (25.0%)	12 (26.7%)	1.02	0.60
Smoker,now ^e	5 (6.9%)	14 (15.9%)	6 (13.3%)	3.04	0.22
Smoker, past ^e	8 (11.1%)	20 (22.7%)	12 (26.7%)	5.28	0.07
Retinopathy ^e	2 (2.8%)	1 (1.1%)	3 (6.7%)	3.21	0.20
Intermittent claudication ^e	5 (6.9%)	4 (4.5%)	0 (0%)	3.19	0.20

TABLE 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of the MCI, DM, and N groups.

^dData are presented as mean \pm SD.

^eData are presented as cases (percentage%).

a, b, and c represents a statistically significant difference between the DM and N group, the DM group and MIC, as well as the MIC and N group, respectively. The test level of gender was a, < 0.017. *p* < 0.05 was considered significant. DM, dementia; MIC, mild cognitive dysfunction; N, normal cognitive state; MoCA, montreal cognitive assessment scale, RAVLT, rey auditory verbal learning test; ADLs, Activities of Daily Living; CDR, clinical dementia rating scale; FBG, fasting blood-glucose; HBALC, glycated hemoglobin; GGT, gamma-glutamyltransferase; AST, glutamic oxalacetic transaminase; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; BUA, blood uric acid; TC, total cholesterol; SCr, serum creatinine.

under the curve (AUC) of 0.831 in DM, 0.883 in MCI, and 0.904 in the normal cognitive group(N). Relatively, the SVM and KNN algorithms had the second and third predictive performances respectively. In the SVM model, the AUCs of the test set were 0.846 in DM, 0.857 in MCI, 0.825 in N respectively, and in the KNN model, they were 0.875 in DM, 0.828 in MCI, 0.803 in N respectively (Figures 5–7).

Table 5 summarized these four indicators (precision, recall, f1-score, support) for the three classifiers. When training with LR classifier, the precision, recall, f1-score and support of training set were 0.93, 0.84, 0.89 and 32 in DM, 0.78, 0.93, 0.84 and 41 in MIC, 0.95, 0.75, 0.84 and 24 in N, the precision, recall, f1-score and support of validation set were 0.58, 0.88, 0.70 and 8 in DM and 0.88, 0.64, 0.74 and 11 in MIC and 0.80, 0.67, 0.73 and 6 in N. The results unveiled that the models could differentiate cognitive

dysfunction from normal cognitive state and roughly assess its severity in patients with T2DM, and the LR model outperformed other models.

4 Discussion

In this study, MRI-based ML models were constructed to screen out MCI and dementia in patients with T2DM, which was always performed by neuropsychological screening tests in clinical practice. Our research results demonstrated that ML models, which can extract high dimensional radiomics features from conventional FLAIR sequences of MRI, were able to distinguish dementia and MCI from the normal cognitive state in patients with T2DM. LR outperformed other



FIGURE 2

Variance threshold on feature selection. We used variance threshold methods to select radiomics features (variance threshold = 0.8), and we selected 344 features from 1,409 features.



classifiers with the highest predictive performances, and its AUCs were 0.881 for MCI, 0.883 for dementia, and 0.904 for the normal cognitive state, respectively.

This study shows that several clinical characteristics may be risk factors for cognitive dysfunction in patients with T2DM. Cognitive impairment in patients with T2DM is increasingly



recognized and taken seriously. Moreover, with aging, the incidences of both T2DM and dementia increase, which contributes to the prevalence of the comorbidity of these pathologies. A meta-analysis confirmed that the incidence of MCI in patients with T2DM was approximately 45.0% (95% CI = 36.0, 54.0) (You et al., 2021). Multiple pieces of evidence have indicated that T2DM is related to vascular dementia (VD) and Alzheimer's disease (AD) (Chau et al., 2020; Lyu et al., 2020;

Ortiz et al., 2022). Recent studies have revealed that older patients with T2DM have a higher risk of MCI or dementia, compared to young patients with a similar condition (Sanke et al., 2014; Gao et al., 2016; Machii et al., 2020; Suain Bon et al., 2021). The result was consistent with our study which demonstrated that there is a significant difference between the N and DM groups. In other words, aging may be a risk factor for cognitive dysfunction in patients with T2DM. In addition, the duration of diabetes may be

TABLE 2 D	escription	of the	selected	radiomics	features	with	their
associated	feature gr	oup a	nd filter.				

Radiomic feature	Radiomic class	Filter
LargeAreaHighGrayLevelEmphasis	glszm	wavelet-HLH
SizeZoneNonUniformity	glszm	wavelet-HHL
LargeAreaEmphasis	glszm	wavelet-LHL
TotalEnergy	firstorder	lbp-2D
SizeZoneNonUniformity	glszm	wavelet-HLH
LeastAxisLength	shape	original
Skewness	firstorder	wavelet-LLL
GrayLevelNonUniformity	glszm	wavelet-LLL
Kurtosis	firstorder	wavelet-LLH
LargeDependenceLowGrayLevelEmphasis	gldm	wavelet-LLH
Maximum	firstorder	wavelet-LLH
Range	firstorder	wavelet-LLH
Energy	firstorder	wavelet-HLL

related to cognitive dysfunction. Previous studies have shown that there is a linear association between the duration of T2DM and the decline in cognition (Tuligenga et al., 2014; Wood et al., 2016). However, our study did not demonstrate significant differences among the MCI, dementia, and normal cognitive

TABLE 3 ROC results with KNN, SVM, and LR classifiers of the training set.

state in patients with T2DM (p < 0.05), which may owe to the small sample size and selection bias and needs to be further confirmed by some more advanced methods. Moreover, this study demonstrated that HbA1c was associated with an increased risk of MCI and dementia. Yaffe K et al. studied 1983 postmenopausal women with osteoporosis who had HbA1C levels measured at baseline. Development of mild cognitive impairment (MCI) or dementia over 4 years was determined as part of a dementia ancillary study. They found an association between HbA1C level and the risk of developing MCI or dementia in postmenopausal osteoporotic women primarily without diabetes (Yaffe et al., 2006).

In clinical practice, various strategies such as neuropsychological screening tests, medical history, and brain imaging findings, have been proposed to identify cognitive impairment in patients. Recently, some studies suggested that both Amyloid- β and tau has helped assess cognitive dysfunction, but the data for such biomarkers are not easy to be acquired clinically (Groot et al., 2021). Neuropsychological screening tests are easily performed in most clinics or hospitals, but the fact that the neuropsychological data were difficult to be interpreted has increased the necessity of medical images, artificial intelligence, and even a combination of them. In the field of medical image, several Cognitive dysfunction biomarkers have been studied including the brain metabolic change derived from

Classifiers	Category	AUC	95% CI	Sensitivity	Specificity	Youden index
KNN	DM	0.928	0.830-0.932	0.780	0.830	0.61
	MCI	0.837	0.745-0.929	0.710	0.750	0.46
	Ν	0.924	0.815-0.941	0.670	0.970	0.64
SVM	DM	0.974	0.888-0.982	0.840	0.920	0.76
	MCI	0.939	0.868-0.984	0.850	0.820	0.67
	Ν	0.983	0.882-0.993	0.750	0.970	0.72
LR	DM	0.979	0.893-0.995	0.840	0.970	0.81
	MCI	0.920	0.856-0.984	0.930	0.800	0.73
	Ν	0.926	0.820-0.978	0.750	0.990	0.74

TABLE 4 The results of AUC, 95% CI, Sensitivity, and Specificity in the validation cohort.

Classifiers	Category	AUC	95% CI	Sensitivity	Specificity	Youden index
KNN	DM	0.875	0.678-0.948	0.750	0.880	0.63
	MCI	0.828	0.655-0.962	0.820	0.710	0.53
	Ν	0.803	0.569-0.921	0.500	0.950	0.45
SVM	DM	0.846	0.674-0.984	0.880	0.760	0.64
	MCI	0.857	0.687-0.947	0.730	0.860	0.59
	Ν	0.825	0.625-0.938	0.500	0.950	0.45
LR	DM	0.831	0.662-0.974	0.880	0.710	0.59
	MCI	0.883	0.719-0.948	0.640	0.930	0.57
	Ν	0.904	0.791-0.991	0.670	0.950	0.62



FIGURE 5

ROC curves of LR methods to classification. (A) ROC curve of training set, the AUC were 0.979 in DM (sensitivity and specificity were 0.84 and ("MCI": 0.8, "DM": 0.97, "N": 0.99}), 0.92 in MCI (sensitivity and specificity were 0.93 and ("MCI": 0.8, "DM": 0.97, "N": 0.99}), 0.92 in NCI (sensitivity and specificity were 0.75 and {"MCI": 0.8, "DM": 0.97, "N": 0.99}) respectively; (B) ROC curve of validation set, the AUC were 0.831 in DM (sensitivity and specificity were 0.88 and ("MCI": 0.93, "DM": 0.71, "N": 0.95)), 0.883 in MCI (sensitivity and specificity were 0.64 and ("MCI": 0.93, "DM": 0.71, "N": 0.95)), 0.883 in MCI (sensitivity and specificity were 0.64 and ("MCI": 0.93, "DM": 0.71, "N": 0.95)), 0.883 in MCI (sensitivity and specificity were 0.64 and ("MCI": 0.93, "DM": 0.71, "N": 0.95)), 0.883 in MCI (sensitivity and specificity were 0.64 and ("MCI": 0.93, "DM": 0.71, "N": 0.95)), 0.883 in MCI (sensitivity and specificity were 0.64 and ("MCI": 0.93, "DM": 0.71, "N": 0.95)), 0.883 in MCI (sensitivity and specificity were 0.64 and ("MCI": 0.93, "DM": 0.71, "N": 0.95)), 0.883 in MCI (sensitivity and specificity were 0.64 and ("MCI": 0.93, "DM": 0.71, "N": 0.95)), 0.883 in MCI (sensitivity and specificity were 0.64 and ("MCI": 0.93, "DM": 0.71, "N": 0.95)), 0.883 in MCI (sensitivity and specificity were 0.64 and ("MCI": 0.93, "DM": 0.71, "N": 0.95)), 0.883 in MCI (sensitivity and specificity were 0.64 and ("MCI": 0.93, "DM": 0.71, "N": 0.95)), 0.883 in MCI (sensitivity and specificity were 0.64 and ("MCI": 0.93, "DM": 0.71, "N": 0.95)), 0.883 in MCI (sensitivity and specificity were 0.64 and ("MCI": 0.93, "DM": 0.71, "N": 0.95)), 0.883 in MCI (sensitivity and specificity were 0.64 and ("MCI": 0.93, "DM": 0.71, "N": 0.95)), 0.883 in MCI (sensitivity and specificity were 0.64 and ("MCI": 0.93, "DM": 0.71, "N": 0.95)), 0.883 in MCI (sensitivity and specificity were 0.64 and ("MCI": 0.93, "DM": 0.71, "N": 0.95)), 0.883 in MCI (sensitivity and specificity were 0.64 and ("MCI": 0.94, "DM"), 0.883 in MCI (sensitivity and specificity were 0.64 and ("MCI": 0.94, "DM"), 0.883 in MCI (sensitivity and specificity were 0.64 and ("MCI": 0.94, "DM"), 0.883 in MCI (sensitivity and specificity were 0.64 and ("MCI": 0.94, "DM"), 0.883 in MCI (sensitivity and specificity were 0.94 and "MCI"), 0.883 in MCI (sensitivity and specificity were 0.94 and "MCI"), 0.883 in MCI (sensitivity and specificity were 0.94 and "MCI"), 0.884 and "MCI"), 0.884 and "MCI"), 0.884 and "MCI"), 0. 0.95}), 0.904 in N (sensitivity and specificity were 0.67 and {"MCI": 0.93, "DM": 0.71, "N": 0.95}) respectively.



FIGURE 6

ROC curves of SVM methods to classification. (A) ROC curve of training set, the AUC were 0.974 in DM (sensitivity and specificity were 0.84 and ("MCI": 0.82, "DM": 0.92, "N": 0.97}), 0.939 in MCI (sensitivity and specificity were 0.85 and {"MCI": 0.82, "DM": 0.92, "N": 0.97}), 0.983 in N (sensitivity and specificity were 0.75 and ("MCI": 0.82, "DM": 0.92, "N": 0.97)) respectively; (B) ROC curve of validation set, the AUC were 0.846 in DM (sensitivity and specificity were 0.88 and ("MCI": 0.86, "DM": 0.76, "N": 0.95)), 0.857 in MCI (sensitivity and specificity were 0.73 and ("MCI": 0.86, "DM": 0.76, "N": 0.95}), 0.825 in N (sensitivity and specificity were 0.50 and {"MCI": 0.86, "DM": 0.76, "N": 0.95}) respectively.

fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (FDG-PET) (Yuan et al., 2009; Pardo et al., 2010; Newberg et al., 2022), and the structural or functional change in the brain measured by MRI (van Harten et al., 2007; Belfort-Deaguiar et al., 2014; Lei et al., 2021). In recent years, with the development of MRI scanner and its increasingly enhanced data processing function, the level of analysis has been moving from assessment of brain structure and morphology such as volume and general atrophy to more



FIGURE 7

ROC curves of KNN methods to classification. (A) ROC curve of training set, the AUC were 0.928 in DM (sensitivity and specificity were 0.78 and {"MCI": 0.75, "DM": 0.83, "N": 0.97}), 0.837 in MCI (sensitivity and specificity were 0.71 and {"MCI": 0.75, "DM": 0.83, "N": 0.97}), 0.924 in N (sensitivity and specificity were 0.67 and {"MCI": 0.75, "DM": 0.83, "N": 0.97}) respectively; (B) ROC curve of validation set, the AUC were 0.875 in DM (sensitivity and specificity were 0.75 and {"MCI": 0.71, "DM": 0.88, "N": 0.95}), 0.828 in MCI (sensitivity and specificity were 0.82 and {"MCI": 0.71, "DM": 0.88, "N": 0.95}), 0.803 in N (sensitivity and specificity were 0.50 and {"MCI": 0.71, "DM": 0.88, "N": 0.95}) respectively.

TABLE 5 The results of four indicators -Precision, Sensitivity, F1-score, Support in training, and validation set.

	Indicators	Training set			Validation set		
		KNN	SVM	LR	KNN	SVM	LR
DM	Precision	0.690	0.840	0.930	0.750	0.640	0.580
	Sensitivity	0.780	0.840	0.840	0.750	0.880	0.880
	F1-score	0.740	0.840	0.890	0.750	0.740	0.700
	Support	32	32	32	8	8	8
MCI	Precision	0.670	0.780	0.780	0.690	0.800	0.880
	Sensitivity	0.710	0.850	0.930	0.820	0.730	0.640
	F1-score	0.690	0.810	0.840	0.750	0.760	0.740
	Support	41	41	41	11	11	11
Ν	Precision	0.890	0.900	0.950	0.750	0.750	0.800
	Sensitivity	0.670	0.750	0.750	0.500	0.500	0.670
	F1-score	0.760	0.820	0.840	0.600	0.600	0.730
	Support	24	24	24	24	24	24

detailed and in-depth analysis of white matter tracts, using diffusion tensor imaging (DTI), a newer method. These neuroimaging studies had the potential to identify both functional and structural brain abnormalities that may serve as early biomarkers for cognitive dysfunction.

The mechanisms of cognitive impairment in diabetic patients need to be further studied since it is still unclear so far, and radiomics combined with ML may be useful. For cognition in patients with T2DM, previous research based on DTI revealed

that abnormalities in brain structural and functional connectivity are related to widely cognitive impairments (McCrimmon et al., 2012; Biessels, 2013; Biessels and Reijmer, 2014; Yang et al., 2020). Diabetes may be a risk factor for white matter (WM) disease (Ogama et al., 2018; Werhane et al., 2021). Multiple neuroimaging studies have revealed that abnormalities in the WM tract are related to the dysfunction of glucose metabolism and cognition. (van Bussel et al., 2016). A series of disorders with cognitive impairment, including T2DM, has previously been observed to be associated with impaired connectivity of the default mode network (DMN), (Chen et al., 2019; Zarifkar et al., 2021; Magalhães et al., 2022). The structural and DMN connectivity abnormalities observed in DM(Yin et al., 2016; Crockett et al., 2017; McKinnon et al., 2017), but further investigation of the mechanisms of DMN impairment is needed. Resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging (rs-fMRI) technique can be used to explore the topological properties of functional whole-brain networks. Qin et al. (2019) revealed the abnormalities of the topological properties of whole-brain networks in T2DM patients with theoretical graph analysis using an rs-fMRI technique. At the macroscopic level, the brain can be viewed as a network composed of anatomically separated brain regions, between which the information is transmitted based on the white matter.

The hippocampus has been confirmed long ago as one of the most important brain regions associated with cognition. In patients with T2DM, it was also related to cognitive impairment, and the disruptions of structural and functional connectivity are identified in it (Wang et al., 2014; van Bussel et al., 2016). Sun et al. revealed that the reduced functional

10.3389/fbioe.2022.1082794

connectivity of the hippocampus may be closely related to the disruption of white matter integrity (Sun et al., 2018). Wang et al. combined the textural features and structural images in the hippocampus to investigate their diagnostic performance for AD and MCI using multimodal radiomics technique, and found that the textural features reflecting local functional activity could improve the diagnostic performance of traditional structural models for both AD and MCI (Wang et al., 2022). This study may lay the groundwork for future research on the brain structural and functional connectivity by radiomics methods since the abnormalities in the brain of patients with T2DM were identified by both the DTI and ML model for the whole cerebrum.

Compared to the MRI-based investigations above on the evaluation of cognitive performance in patients with T2DM, our study had an improvement. We utilized the MRI-based ML model as a direct predictor of cognitive performance in patients with T2DM. In the process of research, the selection of features or variables is of great importance in the construction of a prediction model, which can successfully interpret data, with improved classification performance (Saeys et al., 2007; Handelman et al., 2018; Rajkomar et al., 2019). The data employed in our study were acquired from MRI-FLAIR sequence using radiomics features-extracted software, the Radcloud. In the process of feature extraction, a total of 1,409 features were extracted from the original images in DICOM format. In the step of selecting radiomics features, to reduce redundant features for avoiding the curse of dimensionality, we successively used three feature dimension reduction methods, including the variance threshold (variance threshold = 0.8), SelectKBest, and LASSO. Eventually, 13 optimal descriptive radiomics features were enrolled in the model that showed promising predictive performance for the assessment of cognitive function in patients with T2DM, as mentioned above. These radiomics features reflected intrinsic information as textural features that cannot otherwise be detected by radiologists (Loizou et al., 2020; Ta et al., 2020). The firstorder statistical features reflect the internal voxel intensity of he lesions, and the texture features reflect the gray distribution characteristics in dimensional space, suggesting the heterogeneity of the lesions. Among the 13 features, 6 first-order features, 6 texture features, and 1 shape features comprised the optimal feature set, indicating different feature dimensions to be considered among the DM, MCI, and normal cognitive state in patients with T2DM.

In model establishment, we trained three classifiers, including the KNN, LR, and SVM. LR had the best prediction performance among the three classifiers. Because radiomics contain multiple high-dimensional data, proper strategies for feature selection and model classifiers are required, and machine learning algorithms can be effective for these purposes. LR is a traditional statistical method by obtaining interpretable estimates of the nature and statistical significance of associations between predictors and the outcome. LR is an excellent machine learning algorithm because it is a statistical method used to evaluate the correlation between the dependent and independent variables. Both LR and SVM are linear classification algorithms if the kernel function is not considered. However, SVM only considers the points near the local boundary line, while LR considers all. Nevertheless, the difference in performance across algorithms was sometimes small, including when compared to logistic regression. It is not possible to provide precise rules about sample size requirements for supervised learning. In general, prediction performance improves as sample size increases (Shatte et al., 2019; Jiang et al., 2020). In this study, the performance of the MRI-based ML prediction model for cognitive dysfunction such as MCI and dementia in patients with T2DM was explicitly evaluated. As a type of MRI biomarker, the MRI-based ML prediction model is arguably easier to obtain and implement, less expensive, and explains a significant proportion of variation in cognitive performance, compared to the demographic and genetic risk factor data (Ford et al., 2019; Hall et al., 2019).

We acknowledge that there are several limitations to this study. First of all, because it is a retrospective study, the reproducibility and comparability of the results may exist on account of potential selection bias. Thus, further studies may be needed to improve the clinical usefulness of this machinelearning model. Secondly, multicenter studies with a larger sample for further validation of its reproducibility are required in that this study was a single-center experience limited to our institute. Thirdly, manual ROI segmentation is complicated and time-consuming, especially for the connection of the cerebrum and cerebellum without a well-defined boundary, the automatic segmentation technique with satisfactory reliability and reproducibility is needed. In addition, we only used FLAIR sequence, and other sequences such as T1 and T2 weighted images may also contain useful information. In further research, we will explore the useful information of these sequences.

5 Conclusion

In conclusion, by MRI-based radiomics features, we constructed a radiomics model to predict cognitive dysfunction in patients with T2DM, and it was shown to have a good performance and may serve as a potential tool to guide personalized treatment. Compared with the SVM and KNN, the LR algorithm for the construction of the model showed better performance. However, more studies with independent replication datasets are needed to confirm our findings, so that the hypothetical prediction model can be used as a clinical tool to screen for cognitive function. It is believed that as an important part of precision medicine, radiomics will be widely used in the diagnosis and evaluation of cognitive states in patients with T2DM in the future.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusion of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by The Research Ethics Committee of The Third People's Hospital of Datong. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

All authors contributed to the study's conception and design. ZX, LZ, LY, JW, FG, and GZ executed the experiments. YL, XS, HY, TP, JH, XW, MP, and QD performed the material preparation and data analysis. ZX and LZ wrote the manuscript, and YR and GY contributed to the revision of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

References

Albert, M. S., DeKosky, S. T., Dickson, D., Dubois, B., Feldman, H. H., Fox, N. C., et al. (2011). The diagnosis of mild cognitive impairment due to Alzheimer's disease: recommendations from the national institute on aging-alzheimer's association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's. Dement.* 7, 270–279. doi:10.1016/j.jalz.2011.03.008

Alkethiri, K., Almtroudi, T., Jurays, A. B., Abanumay, F., Aldammas, M., AlKhodheer, M., et al. (2021). The relationship between type 2 diabetes mellitus with cognitive functions. *Heliyon* 7, e06358. doi:10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e06358

Arevalo-Rodriguez, I., Smailagic, N., Roqué-Figuls, M., Ciapponi, A., Sanchez-Perez, E., Giannakou, A., et al. (2021). Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) for the early detection of dementia in people with mild cognitive impairment (MCI). *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 7, CD010783. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD010783.pub3

Belfort-Deaguiar, R., Constable, R. T., and Sherwin, R. S. (2014). Functional MRI signal fluctuations: a preclinical biomarker for cognitive impairment in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes* 63, 396–398. doi:10.2337/db13-1685

Bi, Q., Goodman, K. E., Kaminsky, J., and Lessler, J. (2019). What is machine learning? A primer for the epidemiologist. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 188, 2222–2239. doi:10. 1093/aje/kwz189

Biessels, G. J., and Reijmer, Y. D. (2014). Brain changes underlying cognitive dysfunction in diabetes: what can we learn from MRI. *Diabetes* 63, 2244–2252. doi:10.2337/db14-0348

Biessels, G. J. (2013). Brain MRI correlates of cognitive dysfunction in type 2 diabetes: the needle recovered from the haystack. *Diabetes Care* 36, 3855–3856. doi:10.2337/dc13-1501

Chau, A., Cheung, E., Chan, K. H., Chow, W. S., Shea, Y. F., Chiu, P., et al. (2020). Impaired cerebral blood flow in type 2 diabetes mellitus - a comparative study with subjective cognitive decline, vascular dementia and Alzheimer's disease subjects. *NeuroImage Clin.* 27, 102302. doi:10.1016/j.nicl.2020.102302

Chen, K. L., Xu, Y., Chu, A. Q., Ding, D., Liang, X. N., Nasreddine, Z. S., et al. (2016). Validation of the Chinese version of montreal cognitive assessment basic for screening mild cognitive impairment. J. Am. Geriatr. Soc. 64, e285–e290. doi:10.1111/jgs.14530

Chen, X., Huang, L., Ye, Q., Yang, D., Qin, R., Luo, C., et al. (2019). Disrupted functional and structural connectivity within default mode network contribute to

Funding

This work was financially supported by the Scientific Research Project of the Health Commission of Shanxi Province (No. 2022118) and the Key Research and Development Project of Datong City (No. 2020090).

Conflict of interest

Author QD was employed by Huiying Medical Technology (Beijing) Co., Ltd., Beijing, China.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

WMH-related cognitive impairment. NeuroImage Clin. 24, 102088. doi:10.1016/j. nicl.2019.102088

Chen, Y., Zhou, Z., Liang, Y., Tan, X., Li, Y., Qin, C., et al. (2021). Classification of type 2 diabetes mellitus with or without cognitive impairment from healthy controls using high-order functional connectivity. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 42, 4671–4684. doi:10.1002/hbm.25575

Cheng, C., and Hua, Z. C. (2020). Lasso peptides: Heterologous production and potential medical application. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 8, 571165. doi:10.3389/fbioe.2020.571165

Choi, R. Y., Coyner, A. S., Kalpathy-Cramer, J., Chiang, M. F., and Campbell, J. P. (2020). Introduction to machine learning. neural networks, and deep learning. *Transl. Vis. Sci. Technol.* 9, 14. doi:10.1167/tvst.9.2.14

Crockett, R. A., Hsu, C. L., Best, J. R., and Liu-Ambrose, T. (2017). Resting state default mode network connectivity, dual task performance, gait speed, and postural sway in older adults with mild cognitive impairment. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 9, 423. doi:10.3389/fnagi.2017.00423

Currie, G., Hawk, K. E., Rohren, E., Vial, A., and Klein, R. (2019). Machine learning and deep learning in medical imaging: Intelligent imaging. *J. Med. Imaging Radiat. Sci.* 50, 477–487. doi:10.1016/j.jmir.2019.09.005

Dye, L., Boyle, N. B., Champ, C., and Lawton, C. (2017). The relationship between obesity and cognitive health and decline. *Proc. Nutr. Soc.* 76, 443–454. doi:10.1017/S0029665117002014

Finkenzeller, T., Würth, S., Müller, E., and Amesberger, G. (2019). Aging-related changes of cognitive performance and stress regulation in high functioning elderly individuals. *Scand. J. Med. Sci. Sports* 29, 17–25. doi:10.1111/sms.13374

Ford, E., Rooney, P., Oliver, S., Hoile, R., Hurley, P., Banerjee, S., et al. (2019). Identifying undetected dementia in UK primary care patients: a retrospective case-control study comparing machine-learning and standard epidemiological approaches. *BMC Med. Inf. Decis. Mak.* 19, 248. doi:10.1186/ s12911-019-0991-9

Ganguli, M., Beer, J. C., Zmuda, J. M., Ryan, C. M., Sullivan, K. J., Chang, C. H., et al. (2020). Aging, diabetes, obesity, and cognitive decline: A population-based study. J. Am. Geriatr. Soc. 68, 991–998. doi:10.1111/jgs.16321 Gao, Y., Xiao, Y., Miao, R., Zhao, J., Cui, M., Huang, G., et al. (2016). The prevalence of mild cognitive impairment with type 2 diabetes mellitus among elderly people in China: A cross-sectional study. *Arch. Gerontol. Geriatr.* 62, 138–142. doi:10.1016/j.archger.2015.09.003

Geijselaers, S. L. C., Sep, S. J. S., Stehouwer, C. D. A., and Biessels, G. J. (2015). Glucose regulation, cognition, and brain MRI in type 2 diabetes: a systematic review. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 3, 75–89. doi:10.1016/S2213-8587(14)70148-2

Greener, J. G., Kandathil, S. M., Moffat, L., and Jones, D. T. (2022). A guide to machine learning for biologists. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 23, 40–55. doi:10.1038/ s41580-021-00407-0

Groot, C., Doré, V., Robertson, J., Burnham, S. C., Savage, G., Ossenkoppele, R., et al. (2021). Mesial temporal tau is related to worse cognitive performance and greater neocortical tau load in amyloid- β -negative cognitively normal individuals. *Neurobiol. Aging* 97, 41–48. doi:10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2020.09.017

Hall, A., Pekkala, T., Polvikoski, T., van Gils, M., Kivipelto, M., Lötjönen, J., et al. (2019). Prediction models for dementia and neuropathology in the oldest old: the vantaa 85+ cohort study. *Alz. Res. Ther.* 11, 11. doi:10.1186/s13195-018-0450-3

Handelman, G. S., Kok, H. K., Chandra, R. V., Razavi, A. H., Lee, M. J., and Asadi, H. (2018). eDoctor: machine learning and the future of medicine. *J. Intern. Med.* 284, 603–619. doi:10.1111/joim.12822

Hong, Y., Zeng, X., Zhu, C. W., Neugroschl, J., Aloysi, A., Sano, M., et al. (2022). Evaluating the beijing version of montreal cognitive assessment for identification of cognitive impairment in monolingual Chinese American older adults. *J. Geriatr. Psychiatry Neurol.* 35, 586–593. doi:10.1177/08919887211036182

IDF Diabetes Atlas Group (2015). Update of mortality attributable to diabetes for the IDF Diabetes Atlas: Estimates for the year 2013. *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* 109, 461–465. doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2015.05.037

Jiang, T., Gradus, J. L., and Rosellini, A. J. (2020). Supervised machine learning: A brief primer. *Behav. Ther.* 51, 675–687. doi:10.1016/j.beth.2020.05.002

Kaneko, Y., Suzuki, M., Nagai, K., and Uchiyama, M. (2021). Differential effects of aging and cognitive decline on visual exploration behavior in the elderly. *Neurosci. Res.* 171, 62–66. doi:10.1016/j.neures.2021.03.007

Kopecek, M., Bezdicek, O., Sulc, Z., Lukavsky, J., and Stepankova, H. (2017). Montreal Cognitive Assessment and Mini-Mental State Examination reliable change indices in healthy older adults. *Int. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry* 32, 868–875. doi:10.1002/gps.4539

Lanctôt, K. L., Hsiung, G. Y., Feldman, H. H., Masoud, S. T., Sham, L., and Herrmann, N. (2009). Assessing the validity of deriving clinical dementia rating (CDR) global scores from independently-obtained functional rating scale (FRS) scores in vascular dementia with and without Alzheimer's disease. *Int. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry* 24, 1174–1176. doi:10.1002/gps.2273

Lawson, C. M., Rentrup, K., Cai, X., Kulkarni, P. P., and Ferris, C. F. (2020). Using multimodal MRI to investigate alterations in brain structure and function in the BBZDR/Wor rat model of type 2 diabetes. *Anim. Model. Exp. Med.* 3, 285–294. doi:10.1002/ame2.12140

Lee, H. J., Jang, J., Choi, D. W., Chae, W., Park, E. C., and Jang, S. I. (2020). Association between change in lifestyle and cognitive functions among elderly Koreans: findings from the Korean longitudinal study of aging (2006-2016). *BMC Geriatr.* 20, 317. doi:10.1186/s12877-020-01693-7

Lei, H., Hu, R., Luo, G., Yang, T., Shen, H., Deng, H., et al. (2021). Altered structural and functional MRI connectivity in type 2 diabetes mellitus related cognitive impairment: A review. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 15, 755017. doi:10.3389/fnhum.2021.755017

Li, H., Jia, J., and Yang, Z. (2016). Mini-Mental state examination in elderly Chinese: A population-based normative study. *J. Alzheimers Dis.* 53, 487-496. doi:10.3233/JAD-160119

Li, Y., Teng, D., Shi, X., Qin, G., Qin, Y., Quan, H., et al. (2020). Prevalence of diabetes recorded in mainland China using 2018 diagnostic criteria from the American diabetes association: national cross sectional study. *BMJ Clin. Res. ed.* 369, m997. doi:10.1136/bmj.m997

Libbrecht, M. W., and Noble, W. S. (2015). Machine learning applications in genetics and genomics. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 16, 321-332. doi:10.1038/nrg3920

Loizou, C. P., Pantzaris, M., and Pattichis, C. S. (2020). Normal appearing brain white matter changes in relapsing multiple sclerosis: Texture image and classification analysis in serial MRI scans. *Magn. Reson. Imaging* 73, 192–202. doi:10.1016/j.mri.2020.08.022

Lyu, F., Wu, D., Wei, C., and Wu, A. (2020). Vascular cognitive impairment and dementia in type 2 diabetes mellitus: An overview. *LIFE Sci.* 254, 117771. doi:10. 1016/j.lfs.2020.117771

Machii, N., Kudo, A., Saito, H., Tanabe, H., Iwasaki, M., Hirai, H., et al. (2020). Walking speed is the sole determinant criterion of sarcopenia of mild cognitive impairment in Japanese elderly patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *J. Clin. Med.* 9, 2133. doi:10.3390/jcm9072133 Magalhães, T., Gerbelli, C., Pimentel-Silva, L. R., de Campos, B. M., de Rezende, T., Rizzi, L., et al. (2022). Differences in structural and functional default mode network connectivity in amyloid positive mild cognitive impairment: a longitudinal study. *Neuroradiology* 64, 141–150. doi:10.1007/s00234-021-02760-5

Mayerhoefer, M. E., Materka, A., Langs, G., Häggström, I., Szczypiński, P., Gibbs, P., et al. (2020). Introduction to radiomics. *J. Nucl. Med.* 61, 488–495. doi:10.2967/jnumed.118.222893

McCrimmon, R. J., Ryan, C. M., and Frier, B. M. (2012). Diabetes and cognitive dysfunction. *Lancet* 379, 2291–2299. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60360-2

McKhann, G. M., Knopman, D. S., Chertkow, H., Hyman, B. T., Jack, C. R., Jr, Kawas, C. H., et al. (2011). The diagnosis of dementia due to Alzheimer's disease: recommendations from the national institute on aging-alzheimer's association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's Dement.* 7, 263–269. doi:10.1016/j.jalz.2011.03.005

McKinnon, A. C., Duffy, S. L., Cross, N. E., Terpening, Z., Grunstein, R. R., Lagopoulos, J., et al. (2017). Functional connectivity in the default mode network is reduced in association with nocturnal awakening in mild cognitive impairment. *J. Alzheimers Dis.* 56, 1373–1384. doi:10.3233/JAD-160922

Molloy, D. W., Alemayehu, E., and Roberts, R. (1991). Reliability of a standardized mini-mental state examination compared with the traditional mini-mental state examination. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 148, 102–105. doi:10.1176/ajp. 148.1.102

Moran, C., Beare, R., Wang, W., Callisaya, M., and Srikanth, V. (2019). Type 2 diabetes mellitus, brain atrophy, and cognitive decline. *Neurology* 92, e823–e830. doi:10.1212/WNL.00000000006955

Nasreddine, Z. S., Phillips, N. A., Bédirian, V., Charbonneau, S., Whitehead, V., Collin, I., et al. (2005). The montreal cognitive assessment, MoCA: a brief screening tool for mild cognitive impairment. *J. Am. Geriatr. Soc.* 53, 695–699. doi:10.1111/j. 1532-5415.2005.53221.x

Newberg, A. B., Coble, R., Khosravi, M., and Alavi, A. (2022). Positron emission tomography-based assessment of cognitive impairment and dementias, critical role of fluorodeoxyglucose in such settings. *Pet. Clin.* 17, 479–494. doi:10.1016/j.cpet.2022.03.009

Ogama, N., Sakurai, T., Kawashima, S., Tanikawa, T., Tokuda, H., Satake, S., et al. (2018). Postprandial hyperglycemia is associated with white matter hyperintensity and brain atrophy in older patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 10, 273. doi:10.3389/fnagi.2018.00273

Ortiz, G. G., Huerta, M., González-Usigli, H. A., Torres-Sánchez, E. D., Delgado-Lara, D. L., Pacheco-Moisés, F. P., et al. (2022). Cognitive disorder and dementia in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *World J. Diabetes* 13, 319–337. doi:10.4239/wjd.v13.i4.319

Pardo, J. V., Lee, J. T., Kuskowski, M. A., Munch, K. R., Carlis, J. V., Sheikh, S. A., et al. (2010). Fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography of mild cognitive impairment with clinical follow-up at 3 years. *Alzheimer's Dement.* 6, 326–333. doi:10.1016/j.jalz.2009.09.005

Pinto, T., Machado, L., Bulgacov, T. M., Rodrigues-Júnior, A. L., Costa, M., Ximenes, R., et al. (2019). Is the montreal cognitive assessment (MoCA) screening superior to the mini-mental state examination (MMSE) in the detection of mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and Alzheimer's disease (AD) in the elderly. *Int. Psychogeriatr.* 31, 491–504. doi:10.1017/S1041610218001370

Qin, C., Liang, Y., Tan, X., Leng, X., Lin, H., Zeng, H., et al. (2019). Altered wholebrain functional topological organization and cognitive function in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients. *Front. Neurol.* 10, 599. doi:10.3389/fneur.2019.00599

Rai, G. S. (1993). The mini-mental state examination. J. Am. Geriatr. Soc. 41, 346. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.1993.tb06721.x

Rajkomar, A., Dean, J., and Kohane, I. (2019). Machine learning in medicine. N. Engl. J. Med. 380, 1347–1358. doi:10.1056/NEJMra1814259

Roden, M. (2016). Diabetes mellitus: definition, classification and diagnosis. Wien. Klin. Wochenschr. 128, S37–S40. doi:10.1007/s00508-015-0931-3

Saeys, Y., Inza, I., and Larrañaga, P. (2007). A review of feature selection techniques in bioinformatics. *Bioinformatics* 23, 2507–2517. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btm344

Sanke, H., Mita, T., Yoshii, H., Yokota, A., Yamashiro, K., Ingaki, N., et al. (2014). Relationship between olfactory dysfunction and cognitive impairment in elderly patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* 106, 465–473. doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2014.09.039

Shatte, A., Hutchinson, D. M., and Teague, S. J. (2019). Machine learning in mental health: a scoping review of methods and applications. *Psychol. Med.* 49, 1426–1448. doi:10.1017/S0033291719000151

Stewart, R., and Liolitsa, D. (1999). Type 2 diabetes mellitus, cognitive impairment and dementia. *Diabet. Med.* 16, 93–112. doi:10.1046/j.1464-5491.1999.00027.x

Strachan, M. W., Reynolds, R. M., Marioni, R. E., and Price, J. F. (2011). Cognitive function, dementia and type 2 diabetes mellitus in the elderly. *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.* 7, 108–114. doi:10.1038/nrendo.2010.228

Suain Bon, R., Ariaratnam, S., Mat Saher, Z., Mohamad, M., and Lee, F. S. (2021). Cognitive impairment and its associated risk factors in the elderly with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Front. Psychiatry* 12, 669725. doi:10.3389/fpsyt.2021.669725

Sun, Q., Chen, G. Q., Wang, X. B., Yu, Y., Hu, Y. C., Yan, L. F., et al. (2018). Alterations of white matter integrity and hippocampal functional connectivity in type 2 diabetes without mild cognitive impairment. *Front. Neuroanat.* 12, 21. doi:10. 3389/fnana.2018.00021

Ta, D., Khan, M., Ishaque, A., Seres, P., Eurich, D., Yang, Y. H., et al. (2020). Reliability of 3D texture analysis: A multicenter MRI study of the brain. *J. Magn. Reson. Imaging* 51, 1200–1209. doi:10.1002/jmri.26904

Tuligenga, R. H., Dugravot, A., Tabák, A. G., Elbaz, A., Brunner, E. J., Kivimäki, M., et al. (2014). Midlife type 2 diabetes and poor glycaemic control as risk factors for cognitive decline in early old age: a post-hoc analysis of the whitehall II cohort study. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2, 228–235. doi:10.1016/S2213-8587(13) 70192-X

van Bussel, F. C., Backes, W. H., Hofman, P. A., van Boxtel, M. P., Schram, M. T., Stehouwer, C. D., et al. (2016). Altered hippocampal white matter connectivity in type 2 diabetes mellitus and memory decrements. *J. Neuroendocrinol.* 28, 12366. doi:10.1111/jne.12366

van Harten, B., Oosterman, J., Muslimovic, D., van Loon, B. J., Scheltens, P., and Weinstein, H. C. (2007). Cognitive impairment and MRI correlates in the elderly patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Age Ageing* 36, 164–170. doi:10.1093/ageing/ afl180

Wang, C. X., Fu, K. L., Liu, H. J., Xing, F., and Zhang, S. Y. (2014). Spontaneous brain activity in type 2 diabetics revealed by amplitude of low-frequency fluctuations and its association with diabetic vascular disease: a resting-state FMRI study. *PLoS ONE* 9, e108883. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108883

Wang, L., Feng, Q., Ge, X., Chen, F., Yu, B., Chen, B., et al. (2022). Textural features reflecting local activity of the hippocampus improve the diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease and amnestic mild cognitive impairment: A radiomics study based on functional magnetic resonance imaging. *Front. Neurosci.* 16, 970245. doi:10.3389/fnins.2022.970245

Werhane, M. L., Thomas, K. R., Bangen, K. J., Weigand, A. J., Edmonds, E. C., Nation, D. A., et al. (2021). Arterial stiffening moderates the relationship between type-2 diabetes mellitus and white matter hyperintensity burden in older adults with mild cognitive impairment. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 13, 716638. doi:10.3389/ fnagi.2021.716638

Wessels, A. M., Dowsett, S. A., and Sims, J. R. (2018). Detecting treatment group differences in Alzheimer's disease clinical trials: A comparison of Alzheimer's disease assessment scale - cognitive subscale (ADAS-Cog) and the clinical dementia rating - sum of boxes (CDR-SB). *J. Prev. Alzheimers Dis.* 5, 15–20. doi:10.14283/jpad.2018.2

Wood, A. G., Chen, J., Moran, C., Phan, T., Beare, R., Cooper, K., et al. (2016). Brain activation during memory encoding in type 2 diabetes mellitus: A discordant twin pair study. J. Diabetes Res. 2016, 1–10. doi:10.1155/2016/3978428

Xia, S., Zhang, Y., Peng, B., Hu, X., Zhou, L., Chen, C., et al. (2022). Detection of mild cognitive impairment in type 2 diabetes mellitus based on machine learning using privileged information. *Neurosci. Lett.* 791, 136908. doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2022.136908

Yaffe, K., Blackwell, T., Whitmer, R. A., Krueger, K., and Barrett Connor, E. (2006). Glycosylated hemoglobin level and development of mild cognitive impairment or dementia in older women. *J. Nutr. Health Aging* 10, 293–295.

Yamamoto, T., and Hasegawa, E. (2017). Response threshold variance as a basis of collective rationality. *R. Soc. open Sci.* 4, 170097. doi:10.1098/rsos.170097

Yang, X., Chen, Y., Zhang, W., Zhang, Z., Yang, X., Wang, P., et al. (2020). Association between inflammatory biomarkers and cognitive dysfunction analyzed by MRI in diabetes patients. *Diabetes Metab. Syndr. Obes.* 13, 4059–4065. doi:10. 2147/DMSO.S271160

Yin, Y., He, X., Xu, M., Hou, Z., Song, X., Sui, Y., et al. (2016). Structural and functional connectivity of default mode network underlying the cognitive impairment in late-onset depression. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 37617. doi:10.1038/srep37617

You, Y., Liu, Z., Chen, Y., Xu, Y., Qin, J., Guo, S., et al. (2021). The prevalence of mild cognitive impairment in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acta Diabetol.* 58, 671–685. doi:10.1007/s00592-020-01648-9

Yu, K., Zhang, S., Wang, Q., Wang, X., Qin, Y., Wang, J., et al. (2014). Development of a computerized tool for the Chinese version of the montreal cognitive assessment for screening mild cognitive impairment. *Int. Psychogeriatr.* 27, 213–219. doi:10.1017/S1041610214002269

Yuan, Y., Gu, Z. X., and Wei, W. S. (2009). Fluorodeoxyglucose-positronemission tomography, single-photon emission tomography, and structural MR imaging for prediction of rapid conversion to alzheimer disease in patients with mild cognitive impairment: a meta-analysis. *AJNR. Am. J. Neuroradiol.* 30, 404–410. doi:10.3174/ajnr.A1357

Zarifkar, P., Kim, J., La, C., Zhang, K., YorkWilliams, S., Levine, T. F., et al. (2021). Cognitive impairment in Parkinson's disease is associated with Default Mode Network subsystem connectivity and cerebrospinal fluid Aβ. *Park. Relat. Disord.* 83, 71–78. doi:10.1016/j.parkreldis.2021.01.002

Zhai, Y., Chao, Q., Li, H., Wang, B., Xu, R., Wang, N., et al. (2016). Application and revision of montreal cognitive assessment in China's military retirees with mild cognitive impairment. *PLoS ONE* 11, e0145547. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0145547

Zhou, S., Zhu, J., Zhang, N., Wang, B., Li, T., Lv, X., et al. (2014). The influence of education on Chinese version of Montreal cognitive assessment in detecting amnesic mild cognitive impairment among older people in a Beijing rural community. *Sci. World J.* 2014, 1–7. doi:10.1155/2014/689456