Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Ashley A. Huggins, Duke University, United States

REVIEWED BY Michael Todd Allen, University of Northern Colorado, United States Kelly Bosse, Wayne State University, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Cecilia A. Hinojosa ⊠ cecilia.a.hinojosa@emory.edu

RECEIVED 31 March 2023 ACCEPTED 13 June 2023 PUBLISHED 10 July 2023

CITATION

Hinojosa CA, VanElzakker MB, Kaur N, Felicione JM, Charney ME, Bui E, Marques L, Summergrad P, Rauch SL, Simon NM and Shin LM (2023) Pre-treatment amygdala activation and habituation predict symptom change in post-traumatic stress disorder. *Front. Behav. Neurosci.* 17:1198244. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2023.1198244

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Hinojosa, VanElzakker, Kaur, Felicione, Charney, Bui, Marques, Summergrad, Rauch, Simon and Shin. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Pre-treatment amygdala activation and habituation predict symptom change in post-traumatic stress disorder

Cecilia A. Hinojosa^{1*}, Michael B. VanElzakker², Navneet Kaur³, Julia M. Felicione^{2,4}, Meredith E. Charney², Eric Bui^{5,6}, Luana Marques⁷, Paul Summergrad⁸, Scott L. Rauch^{2,9,10}, Naomi M. Simon¹¹ and Lisa M. Shin^{2,4}

¹Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, United States, ²Department of Psychiatry, Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, United States, ³Department of Cancer Biology, Wake Forest School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC, United States, ⁴Department of Psychology, Tufts University, Medford, MA, United States, ⁵Normandie Univ, University of Caen Normandy (UNICAEN), L'Institut national de la santé et de la recherche médicale (INSERM), U1237, PhIND "Physiopathology and Imaging of Neurological Disorders", NEUROPRESAGE Team, (Institut Blood and Brain @ Caen-Normandie), GIP Cyceron, Caen, France, ⁶Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Caen Normandie, Caen, France, ⁷Department of Psychiatry, Massachusetts General Hospital, Center for Anxiety and Traumatic Stress Disorders, Boston, MA, United States, ⁸Department of Psychiatry, Tufts University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, United States, ⁹Institute for Technology in Psychiatry, McLean Hospital, Belmont, MA, United States, ¹⁰Department of Psychiatry, McLean Hospital, Belmont, MA, United States, ¹¹Department of Psychiatry, New York University (NYU) Grossman School of Medicine, New York, NY, United States

Trauma-focused psychotherapy approaches are the first-line treatment option for post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD); however, up to a third of patients remain symptomatic even after completion of the treatment. Predicting which patients will respond to a given treatment option would support personalized treatments and improve the efficiency of healthcare systems. Although previous neuroimaging studies have examined possible pre-treatment predictors of response to treatment, the findings have been somewhat inconsistent, and no other study has examined habituation to stimuli as a predictor. In this study, 16 treatment-seeking adults ($M_{Aae} = 43.63$, n = 10 women) with a primary diagnosis of PTSD passively viewed pictures of emotional facial expressions during functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI). After scanning, participants rated facial expressions on both valence and arousal. Participants then completed eight weekly sessions of prolonged exposure (PE) therapy. PTSD symptom severity was measured before and after treatment. Overall, participants showed symptomatic improvement with PE. Consistent with hypotheses, lesser activation in the amygdala and greater activation in the ventromedial prefrontal cortex during the presentation of fearful vs. happy facial expressions, as well as a greater decline in amygdala activation across blocks of fearful facial expressions at baseline, were associated with greater reduction of PTSD symptoms. Given that the repeated presentation of emotional material underlies PE, changes in brain responses with repeated stimulus presentations warrant further studies as potential predictors of response to exposure therapies.

KEYWORDS

post-traumatic stress disorder, prolonged exposure therapy, fMRI, biomarkers, symptom change

1. Introduction

Trauma-focused psychotherapy is the leading treatment option for individuals with post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) (Watkins et al., 2018); however, up to a third of patients who complete such treatment remain symptomatic (Bradley et al., 2005). Previous studies have examined whether pre-treatment demographic, clinical, or cognitive variables in those with PTSD can predict treatment response. For example, individuals who are female, experience lesser pre-treatment numbing symptoms, and have higher scores on verbal memory tests exhibit better responses to trauma-focused psychotherapy (Tarrier et al., 2000; Karatzias et al., 2007; Nijdam et al., 2015). Ideally, this type of information could be used by clinicians to select the most optimal treatment for individual clients, thereby expediting the improvement in symptoms. More recently, biological measures have been found to be more accurate than demographic and clinical variables in predicting treatment response in anxiety disorders (Ball et al., 2014).

Neuroimaging studies have shown that patients with PTSD who exhibited greater pre-treatment activation in the amygdala (Bryant et al., 2008, 2021; van Rooij et al., 2016; Fonzo et al., 2017) and insula (van Rooij et al., 2016) during the presentation of emotionally negative stimuli had a poorer response to treatment. In contrast, participants with greater activation in the ventrolateral prefrontal cortex, dorsal striatum, medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) (Falconer et al., 2013; Duval et al., 2020), inferior parietal lobe (van Rooij et al., 2015), and greater functional connectivity within the ventral attention network (e.g., insula, dorsal anterior cingulate, anterior middle frontal gyrus, and supramarginal gyrus) (Etkin et al., 2019) had a better response to treatment. The role of the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) in predicting treatment response remains unclear, given that the findings in this region have been inconsistent across studies. For example, greater pre-treatment activation in the rostral ACC (rACC) has been shown to predict both better (Felmingham et al., 2007) and poorer responses to treatment (Bryant et al., 2008). Likewise, greater pre-treatment activation in the dorsal ACC (dACC) has been shown to predict both better (Aupperle et al., 2013; Fonzo et al., 2017) and poorer (Aupperle et al., 2013; van Rooij et al., 2016; Kennis et al., 2017) responses to treatment.

Most previous studies using pre-treatment neuroimaging to predict treatment response in PTSD have used brain activation measures as the predictors of outcome. However, other measures may be useful, such as the change in activation or fMRI blood oxygenation level-dependent (BOLD) signal over repeated stimulus presentations (e.g., Wright et al., 2001; Fischer et al., 2003; Protopopescu et al., 2005). Indeed, such changes in amygdala and ACC function may reflect a capacity for the extinction of conditioned fear (Myers and Davis, 2007), a process that is akin to that of exposure therapy. Given that the amygdala is involved in the acquisition and expression of conditioned fear and the rACC and surrounding ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC) are involved in retaining memories of extinction (reviewed in VanElzakker et al., 2014), one might hypothesize that a greater pre-treatment decline in BOLD signal in the amygdala and a lesser decline (or an increase) in BOLD signal in the rACC/vmPFC over repeated stimulus

presentations ought to predict greater subsequent improvement with exposure therapy.

Although the main goal of this study was to uncover potential biomarkers associated with symptom reduction, in *post hoc* exploratory analyses, we also wanted to determine whether less costly and less burdensome behavioral measures (i.e., subjective ratings of the facial expression stimuli) are associated with symptom reduction. Additionally, given the relatively high dropout rates associated with PE, exploratory analyses also assessed whether pre-treatment brain activation was associated with participants' dropout status.

In this study, we assessed (1) the activation in the amygdala and rACC/vmPFC in response to fearful vs. happy facial expressions and (2) the changes in the BOLD signal over repeated presentations of fearful facial expressions to determine whether these measures predict symptomatic improvement after prolonged exposure therapy (PE), a first-line evidence-based treatment that lacks extensive research on biological measures of response (though also see Helpman et al., 2016; Duval et al., 2020; Sheynin et al., 2020). We predicted that (1) lesser activation in the amygdala and greater activation in the rACC/vmPFC in response to fearful vs. happy facial expressions would be associated with greater symptomatic improvement with PE and (2) a greater decline in the BOLD signal in response to repeated presentations of fearful faces in the amygdala and a lesser decline (or an increase) in the rACC/vmPFC would be associated with greater improvement.

Exploratory analyses were conducted to determine whether (1) participants' arousal and valence ratings of fearful and happy facial expressions were related to symptomatic improvement and (2) pre-treatment brain activation differed between participants who prematurely dropped out of the study compared to those who completed the study.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Participants

In total, 24 treatment-seeking participants with PTSD (n = 14 women) without a history of head injury, neurological disorders, or other major medical conditions were enrolled in the study. Eight participants dropped out before the endpoint and thus had no post-treatment evaluation. Reasons for dropping out included a busy schedule (n = 2), no longer wanting to receive PE (n = 5), and military deployment (n = 1). For exploratory analyses that compared completers vs. dropouts, we chose to exclude the participant who dropped out of treatment due to an unforeseen military deployment. Those who completed treatment reported a greater number of years of education than those who dropped out, t(21) = 2.30, p = 0.03; no other significant differences in demographic and clinical characteristics were found (ps > 0.40; see Supplementary Table 1).

The final sample consisted of 16 participants ($M_{Age} = 43.63$, $SD_{Age} = 12.57$, n = 10 women) with PTSD. The inclusion criteria consisted of being an outpatient, at least 18 years of age, with a primary psychiatric diagnosis of PTSD, as defined by the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV) criteria, and

a willingness to receive PE as part of this protocol at the Center for Anxiety and Traumatic Stress Disorders. The exclusion criteria included pregnancy as confirmed by an early detection urine pregnancy test taken before fMRI scanning procedures, having a serious medical illness or instability for which hospitalization was likely during the study, having a diagnosis of psychosis, undergoing current compensation or legal action related to the effects of the trauma, having an ongoing relationship with their assailant, contradictions to MRI, and prior intolerance or failure of response to PE. Psychiatric medication was permitted as long as the dose remained constant throughout the study. A total of 7 out of the 16 participants took a stable dose of psychiatric medication, including antidepressants (n = 1), benzodiazepines (n = 1), anticonvulsants (n = 1), or a combination (n = 4) (see Table 1 for demographic and clinical characteristics). The Partners Healthcare System (Boston, MA) Institutional Review Board approved this study. Written informed consent was obtained prior to participation.

2.2. Clinical assessments

Participants were screened and offered study inclusion if all entry criteria were met. Before beginning imaging procedures, participants completed a clinical evaluation, which included: (1) Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI) (Sheehan et al., 1998) to diagnose DSM-IV psychiatric disorders; (DSM-IV) psychiatric disorders; (2) the short PTSD rating interview (SPRINT) (Connor and Davidson, 2001), an eight-item clinicianadministered scale, that measures the severity of the core symptoms of PTSD (DSM-IV). To create a SPRINT change score, posttreatment SPRINT scores were subtracted from participants' pre-treatment SPRINT scores. Additionally, this difference was divided by pre-treatment SPRINT scores and multiplied by 100 to yield a SPRINT percent improvement score; (3) the Clinical Global Impressions Severity Scale was used (CGI-S) (Guy, 2000), which is a well-validated single-item clinician-rated scale that measures overall illness severity. To determine symptomatic improvement, post-treatment CGI-S scores were subtracted from participants' pre-treatment CGI-S scores to yield a CGI-S change score. Additionally, this difference was divided by pre-treatment CGI-S scores and multiplied by 100 to vield a CGI-S percent improvement score (which considers baseline CGI-S scores). Furthermore, during the last treatment session, the Clinical Global Impression Improvement Scale (CGI-I) (Guy, 2000), a one-item clinician-administered seven-point scale, that measures the overall improvement of symptoms from the baseline treatment visit to the last treatment visit was used. Specifically, the one item states that "Compared to the patient's admission to the project, this patient's condition is 1 = very much improved since the initiation of treatment; 2 = much improved; 3 = minimally improved; 4 = no change from the baseline; 5 = minimally worse; 6 = much worse; 7 = very much worse since the initiation of treatment." The results reported herein will focus on SPRINT percent improvement scores as our main a priori symptom-related outcome measure, given that it controls pre-treatment SPRINT scores, and there is more variability in the scores compared to the CGI measures. However, if another symptom-related outcome

measure was significantly associated with brain activation, the finding was reported for completeness.

2.3. Task procedures

In the scanner, each participant viewed grayscale images of six fearful (F), six happy (H), and six neutral (N) facial expressions from a well-validated set (Ekman and Friesen, 1976) displayed in a block design using MacStim 3.0 software. Each type of facial expression was posed by three men and three women. Each facial expression was presented for 200 ms, with a 300-ms interstimulus interval. Within blocks, 56 presentations of facial expressions were presented in a pseudorandom order such that expressions of a single individual were never presented twice in a row. Facial expressions were presented in separate alternating blocks per functional run (e.g., +N F H F H F H N+), with each block lasting for 28 s, and participants were scanned during three functional runs. Previous studies have shown amygdala habituation across runs (Breiter et al., 1996; Whalen et al., 1998; Wright et al., 2001; Fischer et al., 2003); therefore, we decided a priori to test our hypotheses in the first run only. The order of emotional expression blocks was counterbalanced across participants.

After exiting the scanner, participants rated the facial expressions on 7-point valence and arousal scales. Specifically, valence was measured on a scale from -3 to 3, with -3 representing the most negative and 3 representing the most positive valence. Arousal was measured on a scale from 0 to 6, with 0 representing the lowest and 6 representing the highest. We assessed correlations between participants' average stimulus ratings and SPRINT percent improvement scores.

2.4. fMRI procedures

Functional MRI data were collected using a Symphony/Sonata 1.5-Tesla whole-body high-speed imaging device equipped for echo planar imaging (Siemens Medical Systems, Iselin, NJ) with a 12-axis gradient head coil. We restricted head movement by using expandable foam cushions. After acquiring an automated scout image, field homogeneity was optimized by conducting shimming procedures. A high-resolution 3D magnetization-prepared rapid acquisition gradient echo (MPRAGE) sequence was gathered in a sagittal plane, with scan parameters as follows: repetition time/echo time/flip angle as $2.73 \text{ s/}3.39 \text{ ms/}7^\circ$, respectively. Gradient echo functional images were gathered in 24 coronal slices angled perpendicular to the anterior commissure-posterior commissure line with slice thickness at 7 mm, skip 1 mm; voxel size, $3.1 \times 3.1 \times 7 \text{ mm}$, and with the following parameters: repetition time/echo time/flip angle as $2.8 \text{ s/}40 \text{ ms/}90^\circ$, respectively.

2.5. Treatment procedures

After scanning was complete, participants underwent eight weekly 90-min treatment sessions of PE with a doctoral-level clinician. Eight PE sessions have been shown to be an adequate

	Pre-treatment	Post-treatment	Change score	Percent improvement score
Measure	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)
Age	43.63 (12.57)			
Education (years)	16.25 (2.59)			
Time since trauma (years) ^a	9.12 (11.20)			
SPRINT score	21.38 (5.76)	11.69 (10.16)	9.69 (7.94)	49.23% (37.62%)
CGI-S score	4.75 (0.77)	3.19 (1.33)	1.56 (1.09)	33.54% (20.73%)
CGI-I score		2.44 (1.15)		

TABLE 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of 16 adults with PTSD receiving eight sessions of prolonged exposure.

Data given as mean (standard deviation). PTSD, post-traumatic stress disorder; SPRINT, Short Post-traumatic Stress Disorder Rating Interview; CGI-S, Clinical Global Impressions Severity Scale; CGI-I, Clinical Global Impressions Improvement Scale. *n* = 16 unless specified elsewhere. ^a*n* = 14.

dose in both clinical (US Department of Veterans Affairs., 2012; Karlin and Cross, 2014) and research settings (Maguen et al., 2019; Valenstein-Mah et al., 2019; Shiner et al., 2020; Sripada et al., 2020). Treatment sessions consisted of three components: (1) educating the patient on how to process their emotional memory, (2) effectively exposing the patient to reminders of their trauma *via* imaginal exposure during treatment sessions, and *in vivo* exposure as homework long enough to allow any negative emotional reaction to decline, helping the patient to restructure their disordered thinking of the traumatic event, and (3) reviewing what was learned during exposure sessions (Foa et al., 2007).

2.6. Data analysis

2.6.1. Functional MRI

Image preprocessing and statistical analyses were performed using SPM8, a statistical parametric mapping software package to perform the functional region of interest (ROI) based analyses (http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/; Wellcome Department of Imaging Neuroscience, London, UK). Functional images of each participant were co-registered to their high-resolution structural MRI image, smoothed (4 mm), and spatially normalized with standard stereotactic space (Montreal Neurological Institute, MNI). Hypotheses were tested as contrasts in which linear compounds of the model parameters were evaluated using the t-statistics, which were then transformed into z-scores. We computed contrasts in each participant (the first level) and then used those contrasts in group analyses (the second level). The first-level contrasts computed in each participant were: fearful vs. happy (all 3 blocks of fearful versus all 3 blocks of happy), and, to examine the change in activation across fearful face blocks, fearful block 3 vs. fearful block 1. We added translation and rotation motion regressors to the first-level statistical models to control for movement.

In the second-level group analyses, we ran voxelwise one-group t-tests using the fearful vs. happy contrast, and the fearful block 3 vs. fearful block 1 contrast. We chose to use hypothesis-driven functional regions of interest (ROIs) following earlier studies with this same task (Shin et al., 2005), which examined the brain activation in an independent sample of PTSD participants in response to fearful vs. happy facial expressions. Specifically, we extracted data from the following three functional ROIs in the amygdala (a sphere with a 4 mm radius centered on the following coordinates): x = -20, y = -8, z = -18; x = 22, y = 4, z = -14; x = 18, y = -6, z = -20. We also extracted data from the following four functional ROIs in the rACC/vmPFC (a sphere with a 4 mm radius centered on the following coordinates): x = 14, y = 48, z = 8; x = 0, y = 46, z = -10; x = 16, y = 38, z = 22; x = -12, y = 52, z = -10. For each functional ROI, we extracted BOLD beta values per condition per subject from each region and used this information in correlational analyses to address our *a priori* predictions. *Post hoc* power analyses suggest good power (>0.80) to detect an effect size of 0.61. Based on *z*-scores, none of our variables of interest contained an extreme outlier (>3.29).

In exploratory analyses, we used separate independent-sample *t*-tests to determine whether the extracted ROI data differed between participants who completed vs. dropped out of treatment.

3. Results

3.1. Clinical change

Participants' demographic and clinical characteristics are presented in Table 1. According to the MINI, our participant sample met criteria for a combination of the following co-occurring diagnoses, major depressive disorder (n = 8), major depressive episodes (n = 1), panic disorder (PD; n = 2), PD with agoraphobia (n = 2), PD without agoraphobia (n = 2), agoraphobia (n = 1), social anxiety disorder (n = 1), generalized anxiety disorder (n =4), dysthymia (n = 1), and specific phobia (n = 2). Paired-sample *t*tests comparing pre-treatment vs. post-treatment symptom severity scores showed a statistically significant improvement in symptom severity, as measured by both the SPRINT t(15) = 4.88, p < 0.001and CGI-S t(15) = 5.72, p < 0.001. Of the 16 participants who completed treatment, nine participants were considered responders (defined as exhibiting \geq 50% improvement on the SPRINT) and seven were considered non-responders (as they experienced <50% improvement on the SPRINT). Supplementary Table 2 provides the demographic and clinical characteristics of these two groups.

3.2. fMRI data

3.2.1. Fearful vs. happy contrast

The BOLD signal change in two of the three amygdala ROIs and one of the four rACC/vmPFC ROIs significantly correlated with

symptom-related outcome measures. Specifically, BOLD signal changes in the left (MNI; x = -20, y = -8, z = -18) and right (MNI; x = 18, y = -6, z = -20) amygdala negatively correlated with SPRINT percent improvement scores r(14) =-0.59, p = 0.02 (Figure 1A), r(14) = -0.66, p = 0.01 (Figure 1B), respectively. These extracted values also negatively correlated with SPRINT change scores when controlling for SPRINT pretreatment scores, r(13) = -0.53, p = 0.04, r(13) = -0.61, p= 0.02, respectively. Furthermore, BOLD signal change in the ventral medial frontal gyrus (MNI; x = -12, y = 52, z =-10) positively correlated with SPRINT percent improvement r(14) = 0.55, p = 0.03 (Figure 1C) and positively correlated with SPRINT change scores when controlling for SPRINT pretreatment scores r(13) = 0.63, p = 0.01. Finally, an extracted BOLD signal change in the right amygdala (MNI; x = 18 y= -6 z = -20) was negatively correlated with CGI-S percent improvement scores r(14) = -0.62, p = 0.01 and CGI-S change scores when controlling for pre-treatment CGI-S scores r(13) = -0.65, p = 0.01. Thus, lesser amygdala activation and greater vmPFC activation in response to fearful vs. happy facial expressions were associated with greater improvement following PE. No significant associations were found between pre-treatment symptom severity (SPRINT, CGI-S scores) and our ROI data (ps > 0.11).

3.2.2. Fearful block 3 vs. fearful block 1 contrast

BOLD signal change in one of the three amygdala ROIs and none of the four rACC/vmPFC ROIs was significantly correlated with symptom-related outcome measures. Specifically, BOLD signal change in the left amygdala (MNI; x = -20, y = -8, z = -18) across blocks of fearful facial expressions was negatively correlated with SPRINT percent improvement scores r(14) = -0.62, p = 0.01 (Figure 2). These extracted values were also negatively correlated with SPRINT change scores when controlling for SPRINT pre-treatment scores r(13)= -0.55, p = 0.03. Thus, a greater decline in amygdala responses from the first to the last fearful facial expression block was associated with greater symptomatic improvement. No significant associations were found between pre-treatment symptom severity (SPRINT, CGI-S scores) and our ROI data (ps > 0.14).

3.3. Exploratory analyses

3.3.1. Valence and arousal ratings

Bivariate Pearson correlations between average participant ratings of the facial expressions and SPRINT percent improvement were not significant (all ps > 0.31) (see Table 2 for mean and standard deviation of ratings). Valence ratings of happy faces were significantly positively correlated with CGI-S change scores r(14) = 0.53, p = 0.03. This correlation remained significant after controlling for CGI-S pre-treatment scores r(13) = 0.58, p = 0.02. Thus, individuals who rated happy facial expressions as more positive before treatment had better symptom reduction.

3.3.2. Completers vs. dropouts

These two groups did not differ with respect to BOLD signal change in the fearful vs. happy contrast in any of the amygdala ROIs. However, those who completed the study had a greater BOLD signal change in two of the four rACC/vmPFC ROIs, relative to those who dropped out: rACC (MNI; x = 14, y = 48, z = 8 and MNI; x = 0, y = 46, z = -10), t(21) = 2.89, p = 0.01, t(17.28) = 2.14, p = 0.047 (see Supplementary Figures 1, 2). Even when controlling for education (which significantly differed between these two groups), participants who completed the study still exhibited significantly greater brain activation in the two rACC/vmPFC ROIs (MNI; x = 14, y = 48, z = 8), F(1,20) = 4.58, p = 0.045, (MNI; x = 0, y = 46, z = -10), F(1,20) = 4.60, p = 0.045. Completed and dropout groups did not show any difference in any other brain response variables (ps > 0.10).

4. Discussion

In line with our hypotheses, we found that lesser pre-treatment activation in the amygdala and greater pre-treatment activation in the rACC/vmPFC in response to fearful vs. happy facial expressions was each related to greater symptomatic improvement with PE. In addition, we found that a greater decline in amygdala responses from the first to the last fearful facial expression block was also associated with greater improvement.

Our finding of a negative correlation between pre-treatment amygdala activation and symptomatic improvement is consistent with previous findings (van Rooij et al., 2021). Human and animal studies have highlighted the active role the amygdala plays in fear conditioning and extinction learning (Davis, 1992; LeDoux, 1993; Phelps et al., 2004). Overall, studies have found the amygdala to be hyperresponsive in PTSD (reviewed in Kredlow et al., 2022), and this hyperresponsivity likely not only contributes to the development and maintenance of the symptoms of the disorder but also may affect symptom reduction. For PE to be successful, a patient needs to activate their traumatic memory and reappraise that they are now in a safe context, allowing the patient to learn that some associations that were created during the time of the trauma are now erroneous and not generalizable to their current situation (Foa and Meadows, 1997). Our results suggest that excessive pretreatment amygdala activation could contribute to greater difficulty for some participants to reprocess relevant information and create safety associations during PE therapy. In other words, there may be an upper limit of amygdala activation beyond which PE treatment gains are smaller.

Consistent with prediction, we also found that a decreasing BOLD signal in the amygdala across fearful facial expression blocks was associated with greater improvement. Indeed, amygdala responses typically decline over repeated presentations of emotional facial expression stimuli in healthy participants (Wright et al., 2001; Fischer et al., 2003). We speculate that patients with a greater propensity for amygdala habituation with repeated presentations of fearful face stimuli at baseline may have been better able to experience a reduction in distress during their repeated exposures to PE, hence resulting in their overall greater reduction of symptoms. In other words, neural reactivity to repeated fearful face stimuli showed promise as an individual predictor of responses



(A) Negative correlation between pre-treatment activation (fearful vs. happy) in the left amygdala (MNI coordinates: x = -20, y = -8, z = -18) and SPRINT percent improvement scores. (B) Negative correlation between pre-treatment activation (fearful vs. happy) in the right amygdala (x = 18, y = -6, z = -20) and SPRINT percent improvement scores. (C) Positive correlation between pre-treatment activation (fearful vs. happy) in ventral medial frontal gyrus (x = -12, y = 52, z = -10) and SPRINT percent improvement scores.



TABLE 2 Mean and standard deviations of valence and arousal ratings for each face type of facial expression (fearful, happy, and neutral).

Face type	Valence Mean (SD)	Arousal Mean (SD)
Fearful	-2.11 (0.63)	3.91 (1.45)
Нарру	2.23 (0.54)	3.57 (1.29)
Neutral	-0.53 (0.77)	1.93 (1.29)

SD, standard deviation. n = 16.

to extinction-based psychotherapy for PTSD, consistent with the idea that the development of a personalized medicine approach in psychiatry might improve outcomes once refined and adapted in ways it could be feasibly applied in the clinic. Further investigation of amygdala habituation as a potential predictor of responses to treatment seems warranted.

In line with our hypotheses, greater pre-treatment rACC/vmPFC activation was associated with a greater response to PE. The rACC/vmPFC plays a crucial role in the acquisition and retention of extinction, the likely mechanisms underlying PE. We speculate that individuals who have a greater activation in the rACC/vmPFC may have a greater capacity to learn and remember that cues used to associate with threat no longer do. Indeed, previous studies have found similar results in the rACC/vmPFC (Felmingham et al., 2007; Helpman et al., 2016; Zhu et al., 2018; Duval et al., 2020). However, we did not find significant associations between the BOLD signal change in rACC/vmPFC over repeated presentations of fearful faces and symptomatic improvement. Although the reasons for this are unclear, perhaps the functional ROIs in the medial prefrontal cortex that we used,

which were taken from a fearful vs. happy contrast in a previous independent cross-sectional study of PTSD, did not sufficiently encompass the brain regions that are associated with symptom reduction. It is also possible that the relationship between a signal change in the rACC/vmPFC over repeated presentations and symptomatic improvement is not linear.

Exploratory analyses revealed two main findings. First, we found that more positive valence ratings of happy facial expressions were associated with greater symptom reduction, although this relationship was significant with only one measure of symptomatic change. Nevertheless, this may be an important finding to replicate in the future as behavioral measures are more cost-efficient and potentially less burdensome on the patient. Second, we discovered differences in pre-treatment brain activation between those who completed PE treatment vs. those who did not. Specifically, those who completed treatment had a greater pre-treatment rACC activation during the fearful vs. happy contrast compared to those who prematurely dropped out of treatment. Given the important role of the rACC/vmPFC in downregulating fear responses in the presence of safety cues, it could be that individuals with greater activation were better able to successfully create safety associations early on in treatment, thereby decreasing distress and increasing the likelihood of completing treatment. However, it is interesting to note that pre-treatment rACC/vmPFC activation was associated with treatment response in only one of the four rACC/vmPFC ROIs across the entire sample. Pre-treatment rACC/vmPFC activation may better predict improvement in specific subtypes of PTSD symptoms, such as avoidance symptoms, rather than total PTSD symptom severity. Indeed, one group found that rACC/vmPFC activation during script-driven imagery (MNI, x = -8, y = 42, z =-4) was negatively associated with avoidance symptoms (Hopper et al., 2007). Although we were unable to break down PTSD symptom total scores into subscores due to missing data, it remains possible that pre-treatment rACC/vmPFC activation may predict improvement in avoidance symptoms per se.

5. Limitations and future directions

Our study has several limitations, including a relatively small sample size and a focus on only one type of treatment (PE). Our findings need replication in a larger sample and extension to include other treatments, such as cognitive processing therapy (CPT) or pharmacological interventions, to determine whether amygdala and rACC/vmPFC function predict response to those treatments as well. To date, several studies have found that greater amygdala activation significantly predicts response to treatments including, trauma-focused cognitive behavioral therapy (Cisler et al., 2015; van Rooij et al., 2016; Bryant et al., 2020), eyemovement desensitization and reprocessing therapy (van Rooij et al., 2016), and pharmacological interventions (Sheynin et al., 2020). We suspect that our findings will generalize to other treatment modalities; nevertheless, additional research is needed. In addition, we did not include a waitlist comparison group to control for the potential influence of the passage of time on symptoms. Finally, given the different variables that have been found to predict symptom reduction in PTSD, future larger studies should include machine learning algorithms that identify optimal combinations of clinical, demographic, and neuroimaging data that most accurately predict responses to trauma-focused psychotherapy.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this article are not readily available because the IRB approval for this research did not explicitly allow sharing of the data, which include brain images and clinical treatment outcomes. Requests to access the datasets should be directed to Lisa.Shin@tufts.edu.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Partners Healthcare System Institutional Review Board. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

SR and LS contributed to the conception and design of the study. LS led data collection, supervised data analysis, and contributed to the writing of the manuscript. CH, MV, and NK contributed to data collection. MC, EB, LM, PS, SR, and NS contributed to participant recruitment and PE. CH performed the statistical analysis with a contribution from JF. CH wrote all drafts of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the manuscript revision and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This research was supported by the Brain and Behavior Research Foundation (formally NARSAD), an award from *Tufts Collaborates!*, and a Faculty Research Award Committee Grant from Tufts University. The Massachusetts General Hospital Athinoula A. Martinos Imaging Center was supported by the Center for Functional Neuroimaging Technologies Grant P41RR14075. MV was supported by a National Defense Science and Engineering Graduate fellowship from the US Department of Defense. CH was supported by the Ford Foundation fellowship from the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine.

Acknowledgments

We wish to thank the participants' twins for taking part in the study and their enthusiasm for this project and Mary Foley O'Hara and Lawrence White for technical assistance.

Conflict of interest

LS receives author royalties from Pearson (unrelated to this study). EB reports grants for the Normandy Region, the Patient-Centered Outcome Research Institute, licenses or royalties from Springer and Wolters Kluwyer, and consulting fees from Cerevel Therapeutics. In the past 3 years, NS reports receiving grants from the National Institutes of Health (NIH), US Department of Defense, American Foundation for Suicide Prevention, Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute, and support from Cohen Veterans Network; receiving personal fees from Vanda Pharmaceuticals, Praxis Therapeutics, Genomind, Bionomics Limited, BehavR LLC, Cerevel, and Engrail Therapeutics Inc.; receiving fees or royalties from Wiley (Deputy Editor Depression and Anxiety), Wolters Kluwyer (UpToDate) and APA Publishing (Textbook of Anxiety, Trauma and OCD Related Disorders 2020); and having a spousal stock from G1 Therapeutics and Zentalis outside the submitted work. PS receives royalties from the following entities: American Psychiatric Press, Springer and Harvard University Press, Wolters Kluwer, Oakstone Publishers, and is a consultant to Mental Health Data Services, Inc. Compass Pathways Ltd., Pear Therapeutics, Inc. and holds Stock or Stock Options: Mental Health Data Services, Inc., Quartet Health, Inc., Karuna Therapeutics, Inc., Pear Therapeutics, Inc., Sensorium Therapeutics Health and Productivity Sciences Inc., and Eli Lilly, Inc.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of

their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnbeh.2023. 1198244/full#supplementary-material

References

Aupperle, R. L., Allard, C. B., Simmons, A. N., Flagan, T., Thorp, S. R., Norman, S. B., et al. (2013). Neural responses during emotional processing before and after cognitive trauma therapy for battered women. *Psychiatry Res.* 214, 48–55. doi: 10.1016/j.pscychresns.2013.05.001

Ball, T. M., Stein, M. B., Ramsawh, H. J., Campbell-Sills, L., and Paulus, M. P. (2014). Single-subject anxiety treatment outcome prediction using functional neuroimaging. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 39, 1254–1261. doi: 10.1038/npp.2013.328

Bradley, R., Greene, J., Russ, E., Dutra, L., and Westen, D. (2005). A multidimensional meta-analysis of psychotherapy for PTSD. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 162, 214–227. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.162.2.214

Breiter, H. C., Etcoff, N. L., Whalen, P. J., Kennedy, W. A., Rauch, S. L., Buckner, R. L., et al. (1996). Response and habituation of the human amygdala during visual processing of facial expression. *Neuron.* 17, 875–887. doi: 10.1016/S0896-6273(00)80219-6

Bryant, R. A., Erlinger, M., Felmingham, K., Klimova, A., Williams, L. M., Malhi, G., et al. (2021). Reappraisal-related neural predictors of treatment response to cognitive behavior therapy for post-traumatic stress disorder. *Psychol. Med.* 51, 2454–2464. doi: 10.1017/S0033291720001129

Bryant, R. A., Erlinger, M., Felmingham, K., Malhi, G. S., O'Donnell, M. L., Williams, L. M., et al. (2020). Differential neural predictors of treatment response for fear and dysphoric features of posttraumatic stress disorder. *Depress. Anxiety* 37, 1026–1036. doi: 10.1002/da.23061

Bryant, R. A., Felmingham, K., Kemp, A., Das, P., Hughes, G., Peduto, A., et al. (2008). Amygdala and ventral anterior cingulate activation predicts treatment response to cognitive behaviour therapy for post-traumatic stress disorder. *Psychol. Med.* 38, 555–561. doi: 10.1017/S0033291707002231

Cisler, J. M., Sigel, B. A., Kramer, T. L., Smitherman, S., Vanderzee, K., Pemberton, J., et al. (2015). Amygdala response predicts trajectory of symptom reduction during Trauma-Focused Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy among adolescent girls with PTSD. J. Psychiatr. Res., 71, 33–40. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2015.09.011

Connor, K. M., and Davidson, J. R. (2001). SPRINT: a brief global assessment of post-traumatic stress disorder. *International Clinical Psychopharmacology* 16, 279–284. doi: 10.1097/00004850-200109000-00005

Davis, M. (1992). The role of the amygdala in fear and anxiety. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 15, 353–375. doi: 10.1146/annurev.ne.15.030192.002033

Duval, E. R., Sheynin, J., King, A. P., Phan, K. L., Simon, N. M., Martis, B., et al. (2020). Neural function during emotion processing and modulation associated with treatment response in a randomized clinical trial for posttraumatic stress disorder. *Depress. Anxiety* 37, 670–681. doi: 10.1002/da.23022

Ekman, P., and Friesen, W. V. (1976). *Pictures of Facial Affect*. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press.

Etkin, A., Maron-Katz, A., Wu, W., Fonzo, G. A., Huemer, J., Vértes, P. E., et al. (2019). Using fMRI connectivity to define a treatment-resistant form of post-traumatic stress disorder. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 11, eaal3236. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aal3236

Falconer, E., Allen, A., Felmingham, K. L., Williams, L. M., and Bryant, R. A. (2013). Inhibitory neural activity predicts response to cognitive-behavioral therapy for posttraumatic stress disorder. *J. Clin. Psychiatry* 74, 895–901. doi: 10.4088/JCP.12m08020

Felmingham, K., Kemp, A., Williams, L., Das, P., Hughes, G., Peduto, A., et al. (2007). Changes in anterior cingulate and amygdala after cognitive behavior therapy of posttraumatic stress disorder. *Psychol. Sci.* 18, 127–129. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9280.2007.01860.x

Fischer, H., Wright, C. I., Whalen, P. J., McInerney, S. C., Shin, L. M., and Rauch, S. L. (2003). Brain habituation during repeated exposure to fearful and neutral faces: a functional MRI study. *Brain Res. Bull.* 59, 387–392. doi: 10.1016/S0361-9230(02)00940-1

Foa, E. B., Hembree, E. A., and Rothbaum, B. O. (2007). Prolonged Exposure Therapy for PTSD: Emotional Processing of Traumatic Experiences, Therapist Guide (Treatments That Work). Oxford: Oxford University Press. Foa, E. B., and Meadows, E. A. (1997). Psychosocial treatments for posttraumatic stress disorder: a critical review. *Annual Rev. Psychol.* 48, 449–480. doi: 10.1146/annurev.psych.48.1.449

Fonzo, G. A., Goodkind, M. S., Oathes, D. J., Zaiko, Y. V., Harvey, M., Peng, K. K., et al. (2017). PTSD psychotherapy outcome predicted by brain activation during emotional reactivity and regulation. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 174, 1163–1174. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2017.16091072

Guy, W. (2000). Clinical Global Impressions Scale (CGI). Virginia: American Psychiatric Association.

Helpman, L., Marin, M. F., Papini, S., Zhu, X., Sullivan, G. M., Schneier, F., et al. (2016). Neural changes in extinction recall following prolonged exposure treatment for PTSD: A longitudinal fMRI study. *Neuroimage Clin.* 12, 715–723. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2016.10.007

Hopper, J. W., Frewen, P. A., van der Kolk, B. A., and Lanius, R. A. (2007). Neural correlates of reexperiencing, avoidance, and dissociation in PTSD: symptom dimensions and emotion dysregulation in responses to script-driven trauma imagery. *J. Trauma. Stress* 20, 713–725. doi: 10.1002/jts.20284

Karatzias, A., Power, K., McGoldrick, T., Brown, K., Buchanan, R., Sharp, D., et al. (2007). Predicting treatment outcome on three measures for post-traumatic stress disorder. *Eur. Arch. Psychiatry Clin. Neurosci.* 257, 40–46. doi:10.1007/s00406-006-0682-2

Karlin, B. E., and Cross, G. (2014). From the laboratory to the therapy room: National dissemination and implementation of evidence-based psychotherapies in the US Department of Veterans Affairs Health Care System. *Am. Psychol.* 69, 19. doi: 10.1037/a0033888

Kennis, D. M., van Rooij, S. J. H., Reijnen, A., and Geuze, D. E. (2017). The predictive value of dorsal cingulate activity and fractional anisotropy on long-term PTSD symptom severity. *Depress. Anxiety* 34, 410–418. doi: 10.1002/da.22605

Kredlow, M. A., Fenster, R. J., Laurent, E. S., Ressler, K. J., and Phelps, E. A. (2022). Prefrontal cortex, amygdala, and threat processing: implications for PTSD. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 47, 247–259. doi: 10.1038/s41386-021-01155-7

LeDoux, J. E. (1993). Emotional memory: in search of systems and synapses. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 702, 149–157. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.1993.tb17246.x

Maguen, S., Li, Y., Madden, E., Seal, K. H., Neylan, T. C., Patterson, O. V., et al. (2019). Factors associated with completing evidence-based psychotherapy for PTSD among veterans in a national healthcare system. *Psychiatry Res.* 274, 112–128. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2019.02.027

Myers, K. M., and Davis, M. (2007). Mechanisms of fear extinction. *Mol. Psychiatry* 12, 120–150. doi: 10.1038/sj.mp.4001939

Nijdam, M. J., de Vries, G.-J., Gersons, B. P. R., and Olff, M. (2015). Response to psychotherapy for posttraumatic stress disorder: The role of pretreatment verbal memory performance. J. Clin. Psychiatry 76, e1023–e1028. doi: 10.4088/JCP.14m09438

Phelps, E. A., Delgado, M. R., Nearing, K. I., and LeDoux, J. E. (2004). Extinction learning in humans: role of the amygdala and vmPFC. *Neuron* 43, 897–905. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2004.08.042

Protopopescu, X., Pan, H., Tuescher, O., Cloitre, M., Goldstein, M., Engelien, W., et al. (2005). Differential time courses and specificity of amygdala activity in posttraumatic stress disorder subjects and normal control subjects. *Biol. Psychiatry* 57, 464–473. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2004.12.026

Sheehan, D. V., Lecrubier, Y., Sheehan, K. H., Amorim, P., Janavs, J., Weiller, E., et al. (1998). The Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (M.I.N.I.): the development and validation of a structured diagnostic psychiatric interview for DSM-IV and ICD-10. *J. Clin. Psychiatry.* 59 (Suppl. 20), 22–33; quiz 34–57.

Sheynin, J., Duval, E. R., King, A. P., Angstadt, M., Phan, K. L., Simon, N. M., et al. (2020). Associations between resting-state functional connectivity and treatment response in a randomized clinical trial for posttraumatic stress disorder. *Depress. Anxiety* 37, 1037–1046. doi: 10.1002/da.23075

Shin, W., Cannistraro, W., McMullin, M., Macklin, L., Cavanagh, K., Orr, P., et al. (2005). A functional magnetic resonance imaging study of amygdala and medial

prefrontal cortex responses to overtly presented fearful faces in posttraumatic stress disorder. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry 62, 273–281. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.62.3.273

Shiner, B., Westgate, C. L., Gui, J., Cornelius, S., Maguen, S. E., Watts, B. V., et al. (2020). Measurement strategies for evidence-based psychotherapy for posttraumatic stress disorder delivery: Trends and associations with patient-reported outcomes. *Adm. Pol. Ment. Health* 47, 451–467. doi: 10.1007/s10488-019-01004-2

Sripada, R. K., Ready, D. J., Ganoczy, D., Astin, M. C., and Rauch, S. A. (2020). When to change the treatment plan: an analysis of diminishing returns in VA patients undergoing prolonged exposure and cognitive processing therapy. *Behav. Ther.* 51, 85–98. doi: 10.1016/j.beth.2019.05.003

Tarrier, N., Sommerfield, C., Pilgrim, H., and Faragher, B. (2000). Factors associated with outcome of cognitive-behavioural treatment of chronic post-traumatic stress disorder. *Behav. Res. Therapy* 38, 191–202. doi: 10.1016/S0005-7967(99)00030-3

US Department of Veterans Affairs. (2012). VHA Handbook 1160.05: Local Implementation of Evidence-Based Psychotherapies for Mental and Behavioral Health Conditions. Washington, DC: US Department of Veterans Affairs.

Valenstein-Mah, H., Kehle-Forbes, S., Nelson, D., Danan, E. R., Vogt, D., and Spoont, M. (2019). Gender differences in rates and predictors of individual psychotherapy initiation and completion among Veterans Health Administration users recently diagnosed with PTSD. *Psychol. Trauma Theory Res. Pract. Pol.* 11, 811. doi: 10.1037/tra0000428

van Rooij, S. J. H., Geuze, E., Kennis, M., Rademaker, A. R., and Vink, M. (2015). Neural correlates of inhibition and contextual cue processing related to treatment response in PTSD. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 40, 667–675. doi: 10.1038/npp.2014.220 van Rooij, S. J. H., Kennis, M., Vink, M., and Geuze, E. (2016). Predicting treatment outcome in PTSD: a longitudinal functional mri study on trauma-unrelated emotional processing. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 41, 1156–1165. doi: 10.1038/npp.2015.257

van Rooij, S. J. H., Sippel, L. M., McDonald, W. M., and Holtzheimer, P. (2021). Defining focal brain stimulation targets for PTSD using neuroimaging. *Dep. Anx.* 38, 768–785. doi: 10.1002/da.23159

VanElzakker, M. B., Dahlgren, M. K., Davis, F. C., Dubois, S., and Shin, L. M. (2014). From Pavlov to PTSD: the extinction of conditioned fear in rodents, humans, and anxiety disorders. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* 113, 3–18. doi: 10.1016/j.nlm.2013.11.014

Watkins, L. E., Sprang, K. R., and Rothbaum, B. O. (2018). Treating PTSD: a review of evidence-based psychotherapy interventions. *Front. Behav. Neurosci.* 12, 258. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2018.00258

Whalen, P. J., Bush, G., McNally, R. J., Wilhelm, S., McInerney, S. C., Jenike, M. A., et al. (1998). The emotional counting Stroop paradigm: a functional magnetic resonance imaging probe of the anterior cingulate affective division. *Biol. Psychiatry* 44, 1219–1228. doi: 10.1016/S0006-3223(98)00251-0

Wright, C. I., Fischer, H., Whalen, P. J., McInerney, S. C., Shin, L. M., and Rauch, S. L. (2001). Differential prefrontal cortex and amygdala habituation to repeatedly presented emotional stimuli. *Neuroreport* 12, 379–383. doi: 10.1097/00001756-200102120-00039

Zhu, X., Suarez-Jimenez, B., Lazarov, A., Helpman, L., Papini, S., Lowell, A., et al. (2018). Exposure-based therapy changes amygdala and hippocampus resting-state functional connectivity in patients with posttraumatic stress disorder. *Depress. Anxiety* 35, 974–984. doi: 10.1002/da.22816