



Commentary: Retrieval practice protects memory against acute stress

Oliver T. Wolf^{1*} and Annette Kluge²

¹ Department of Cognitive Psychology, Faculty of Psychology, Ruhr University Bochum, Bochum, Germany, ² Department of Work and Organizational Psychology, Faculty of Psychology, Ruhr University Bochum, Bochum, Germany

Keywords: stress, psychological, stress, physiological, memory retrieval, anxiety disorders, work related stress

A commentary on

Retrieval practice protects memory against acute stress

by Smith, A. M., Floerke, V. A., and Thomas, A. K. (2016). *Science* 354, 1046–1048. doi: 10.1126/science.aah5067

Nineteen years ago the impairing impact of stress on memory retrieval was observed in rats (de Quervain et al., 1998). Years later this effect was demonstrated in human participants (Kuhlmann et al., 2005). This phenomenon has been replicated repeatedly (e.g., Buchanan et al., 2006; Smeets, 2011) even though, of course, non-significant effects and even the reversed pattern were reported (e.g., Wolf et al., 2002; Hupbach and Fieman, 2012). These non-replications may reflect a more moderate stress response and/or particularities of the learning material used in the studies (for reviews see Cadle and Zoladz, 2015; Gagnon and Wagner, 2016; Wolf, 2017). Studies in rodents revealed that this effect is caused by an interaction of a noradrenergic signal induced by the first response wave (the sympathetic nervous system) and a glucocorticoid signal induced by the second response wave (the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis) (Roozendaal et al., 2006). The effect occurs once cortisol concentrations are elevated approx. 20–30 min after stress onset. The impairment lasts 2–3 h, which is longer than the typical stress induced cortisol elevations most likely reflecting non-genomic and genomic effects (Gagnon and Wagner, 2016; Wolf, 2017). Pharmacological studies revealed that blocking glucocorticoid secretion or blocking noradrenergic arousal with a beta blocker prevents the stress effects (de Quervain et al., 1998, 2007).

In a recent paper published in *Science*, Smith, Floerke and Thomas tested the impact of different learning strategies on this effect (Smith et al., 2016). They used the retrieval practice strategy where participants try to retrieve the recently seen items without feedback. This strategy leads to superior memories in contrast to typical study practice (passive re-reading) approaches (Karpicke and Roediger, 2008; Roediger and Butler, 2011). On a side note it should be mentioned that studies using complex and dynamic learning material for controlling complex technical systems could, however, not observe the superiority of the testing- effect compared to additional practice (Kluge and Frank, 2014). Smith and colleagues reported that retrieval practice lead to superior memory performance. More importantly retrieval practice prevented the impairing effects of stress on memory retrieval observed in the group of participants which learned the items using the classical study practice approach. The study is thus the first to demonstrate that a rehearsal strategy might be able to create memory traces which are less sensitive to stress. Since the retrieval practice group shows an overall better memory performance it remains open whether the missing stress effects reflects better learning in the sense of “stronger memory traces in general are less susceptible to stress” or whether the findings might be related to the specifics of this strategy. Another experimental group using the study practice approach but with more

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Bruno Poucet,
Centre National de la Recherche
Scientifique, France

Reviewed by:

Pascale Gisquet-Verrier,
Institut des Neurosciences
Paris-Saclay, France

*Correspondence:

Oliver T. Wolf
oliver.t.wolf@rub.de

Received: 17 January 2017

Accepted: 01 March 2017

Published: 14 March 2017

Citation:

Wolf OT and Kluge A (2017)
Commentary: Retrieval practice
protects memory against acute stress.
Front. Behav. Neurosci. 11:48.
doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2017.00048

repetitions would have been an option in order to create a group with similar performance to the retrieval practice group resulting from a less optimal passive encoding strategy. Additional methodological concerns come from the missing cortisol assessment, not allowing the demonstration of a successful stress induced HPA activation which is comparable between the two different groups. Future studies are needed to understand the specificity of the observed effects for the retrieval practice strategy and to characterize the underlying endocrine and neural mechanisms.

The possibility to create, at the time of encoding, memory traces which are less susceptible to the impairing effects of stress on memory retrieval can pave the way for new research with substantial promises for the applied areas of psychology. The relevance for the educational setting (schools and universities) are directly obvious. In addition clinical applications are conceivable. Stress has been associated with a return of fear after extinction training in rodent studies (Stockhorst and Antov, 2015; Maren and Holmes, 2016). In patients fear often comes back after successful extinction based therapies when the patients are stressed (Jacobs and Nadel, 1985). Similarly in laboratory based analog studies using conditioning paradigms acute stress lead to a return of the original acquired response (Hamacher-Dang et al., 2013; Raio et al., 2014; Kinner et al., 2016). The current study could stimulate researchers to develop and test psychological methods aimed at creating extinction memory traces which are resistant to stress. Among potential candidates deepened extinction, generalized extinction, gradual extinction (e.g., Shiban et al., 2015), the use of multiple contexts (e.g., Dunsmoor et al., 2014) could be promising candidates (see for review Pittig et al., 2016).

Last but not least the findings are also of relevance to organizational psychology and human factor research. Due to the emerging digitalization of business processes and automation in production, many skills acquired during vocational training face the challenge of long periods of non-use. These infrequently

executed skills than need to be recalled and applied in so called non-routine situations which lead to higher levels of stress, due to perceived time and production pressure, alarm flooding and other environmental stressors alike (Kluge et al., 2014). In that respect the findings by Smith et al. are also of relevance for Human Factors applications but need to be validated for more complex learning material. Retrieval practice implemented by means of imaginary practice or symbolic rehearsal could be considered, as in symbolic rehearsal, a person visualizes how to perform a task without actually performing the task (Annett, 1979; Driskell et al., 1994). Results of a meta-analysis by Driskell et al. (1994) showed that the more mental operations the task requires, the more effective imaginary practice is, especially for novice learners.

Taken together the study by Smith and colleagues opens up a new research venue for psychological research aiming at preventing the stress induced retrieval impairment repeatedly observed in the laboratory by modifying the initial acquisition of the memory trace of interest. This line of research would complement the pharmacological approaches successfully tested in the past (de Quervain et al., 1998, 2007). The most effective learning strategy may differ according to the memory domain of interest. This line of research has the potential to help preventing stress induced relapses as well as stress induced errors in the working-environment.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

OW and AK discussed jointly the potential and the limitations of the original manuscript and drafted and wrote the commentary.

FUNDING

The research from OW and AK is funded by the German Research Foundation (DFG). KL2207/3-1, KL2207/3-3, WO 733/13-2, and the collaborative research center (SFB) 874.

REFERENCES

- Annett, J. (1979). "Memory for skill," in *Applied Problems in Memory*, eds M. M. Gruneberg and P. E. Morris (London: Academic Press), 213–247.
- Buchanan, T. W., Tranel, D., and Adolphs, R. (2006). Impaired memory retrieval correlates with individual differences in cortisol response but not autonomic response. *Learn. Mem.* 13, 382–387. doi: 10.1101/lm.206306
- Cadle, C. E., and Zoladz, P. R. (2015). Stress time-dependently influences the acquisition and retrieval of unrelated information by producing a memory of its own. *Front. Psychol.* 6:910. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00910
- de Quervain, D. J., Aerni, A., and Roozendaal, B. (2007). Preventive effect of {beta}-adrenoceptor blockade on glucocorticoid-induced memory retrieval deficits. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 164, 967–969. doi: 10.1176/ajp.2007.164.6.967
- de Quervain, D. J., Roozendaal, B., and McGaugh, J. L. (1998). Stress and glucocorticoids impair retrieval of long-term spatial memory. *Nature* 394, 787–790. doi: 10.1038/29542
- Driskell, J. E., Copper, C., and Moran, A. (1994). Does mental practice enhance performance? *J. Appl. Psychol.* 79, 481–492. doi: 10.1037/0021-9010.79.4.481
- Dunsmoor, J. E., Ahs, F., Zielinski, D. J., and LaBar, K. S. (2014). Extinction in multiple virtual reality contexts diminishes fear reinstatement in humans. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* 113, 157–164. doi: 10.1016/j.nlm.2014.02.010
- Gagnon, S. A., and Wagner, A. D. (2016). Acute stress and episodic memory retrieval: neurobiological mechanisms and behavioral consequences. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 1369, 55–75. doi: 10.1111/nyas.12996
- Hamacher-Dang, T. C., Uengoer, M., and Wolf, O. T. (2013). Stress impairs retrieval of extinguished and unextinguished associations in a predictive learning task. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* 104, 1–8. doi: 10.1016/j.nlm.2013.04.007
- Hupbach, A., and Fieman, R. (2012). Moderate stress enhances immediate and delayed retrieval of educationally relevant material in healthy young men. *Behav. Neurosci.* 126, 819–825. doi: 10.1037/a0030489
- Jacobs, W. J., and Nadel, L. (1985). Stress-induced recovery of fears and phobias. *Psychol. Rev.* 92, 512–531. doi: 10.1037/0033-295X.92.4.512
- Karpicke, J. D., and Roediger, H. L. III. (2008). The critical importance of retrieval for learning. *Science* 319, 966–968. doi: 10.1126/science.1152408
- Kinner, V. L., Merz, C. J., Lissek, S., and Wolf, O. T. (2016). Cortisol disrupts the neural correlates of extinction recall. *Neuroimage* 133, 233–243. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.03.005
- Kluge, A., and Frank, B. (2014). Counteracting skill decay: four refresher interventions and their effect on skill retention in a simulated process control task. *Ergonomics* 57, 175–190. doi: 10.1080/00140139.2013.869357
- Kluge, A., Nazir, S., and Manca, D. (2014). Advanced applications in process control and training needs of field and control room operators. *IIE Trans. Occupat. Ergonom. Hum. Factors* 2, 121–136. doi: 10.1080/21577323.2014.920437

- Kuhlmann, S., Piel, M., and Wolf, O. T. (2005). Impaired memory retrieval after psychosocial stress in healthy young men. *J. Neurosci.* 25, 2977–2982. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5139-04.2005
- Maren, S., and Holmes, A. (2016). Stress and fear extinction. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 41, 58–79. doi: 10.1038/npp.2015.180
- Pittig, A., van den, B. L., and Vervliet, B. (2016). The key role of extinction learning in anxiety disorders: behavioral strategies to enhance exposure-based treatments. *Curr. Opin. Psychiatry* 29, 39–47. doi: 10.1097/YCO.0000000000000220
- Raio, C. M., Brignoni-Perez, E., Goldman, R., and Phelps, E. A. (2014). Acute stress impairs the retrieval of extinction memory in humans. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* 112, 212–221. doi: 10.1016/j.nlm.2014.01.015
- Roediger, H. L. III., and Butler, A. C. (2011). The critical role of retrieval practice in long-term retention. *Trends Cogn Sci.* 15, 20–27. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2010.09.003
- Roozendaal, B., Okuda, S., de Quervain, D. J., and McGaugh, J. L. (2006). Glucocorticoids interact with emotion-induced noradrenergic activation in influencing different memory functions. *Neuroscience* 138, 901–910. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2005.07.049
- Shiban, Y., Wittmann, J., Weissinger, M., and Muhlberger, A. (2015). Gradual extinction reduces reinstatement. *Front. Behav. Neurosci.* 9:254. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2015.00254
- Smeets, T. (2011). Acute stress impairs memory retrieval independent of time of day. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 36, 495–501. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2010.08.001
- Smith, A. M., Floerke, V. A., and Thomas, A. K. (2016). Retrieval practice protects memory against acute stress. *Science* 354, 1046–1048. doi: 10.1126/science.aah5067
- Stockhorst, U., and Antov, M. I. (2015). Modulation of fear extinction by stress, stress hormones and estradiol: a review. *Front. Behav. Neurosci.* 9:359. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2015.00359
- Wolf, O. T. (2017). Stress and memory retrieval: mechanisms and consequences. *Curr. Opinion Behav. Sci.* 4, 40–46. doi: 10.1016/j.cobeha.2016.12.001
- Wolf, O. T., Schommer, N. C., Hellhammer, D. H., Reischies, F. M., and Kirschbaum, C. (2002). Moderate psychosocial stress appears not to impair recall of words learned four weeks prior to stress exposure. *Stress* 5, 59–64. doi: 10.1080/102538902900012332

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2017 Wolf and Kluge. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.