



# Editorial: Coupling Processes in Terrestrial and Planetary Atmospheres

Erdal Yiğit<sup>1\*</sup>, Hermann Lühr<sup>2\*</sup>, Alexander S. Medvedev<sup>3</sup>, William Ward<sup>4</sup>, Ana G. Elias<sup>5</sup>, Jorge Luis Chau<sup>6</sup>, Yoshizumi Miyoshi<sup>7</sup>, Sonal Jain<sup>8</sup> and Libo Liu<sup>9</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Space Weather Lab, Department of Physics and Astronomy, George Mason University, Fairfax, VA, United States, <sup>2</sup>GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Potsdam, Germany, <sup>3</sup>Max Planck Institute for Solar System Research, Göttingen, Germany, <sup>4</sup>Department of Physics, University of New Brunswick Fredericton, Fredericton, NB, Canada, <sup>5</sup>Universidad Nacional de Tucuman, FACET, Tucuman, Argentina, <sup>6</sup>Leibniz Institute of Atmospheric Physics at the University of Rostock, Kühlungsborn, Germany, <sup>7</sup>Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan, <sup>8</sup>University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO, United States, <sup>9</sup>Key Laboratory of Earth and Planetary Physics, Institute of Geology and Geophysics, Beijing, China

**Keywords:** vertical coupling, gravity (buoyancy) waves, general circulation model (GCM), ionosphere–atmosphere interactions, thermosphere, ionosphere, total electron content

## Editorial on the Research Topic

### Coupling Processes in Terrestrial and Planetary Atmospheres

## OPEN ACCESS

### Edited and reviewed by:

Luca Sorriso-Valvo,  
Institute for Space Physics, Sweden

### \*Correspondence:

Erdal Yiğit  
eyigit@gmu.edu  
Hermann Lühr  
hluehr@gfz-potsdam.de

### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to  
Space Physics,  
a section of the journal  
Frontiers in Astronomy and Space  
Sciences

**Received:** 19 January 2022

**Accepted:** 28 January 2022

**Published:** 23 February 2022

### Citation:

Yiğit E, Lühr H, Medvedev AS, Ward W, Elias AG, Chau JL, Miyoshi Y, Jain S and Liu L (2022) Editorial: Coupling Processes in Terrestrial and Planetary Atmospheres. *Front. Astron. Space Sci.* 9:857766. doi: 10.3389/fspas.2022.857766

Welcome to the Research Topic “Coupling Processes in Terrestrial and Planetary Atmospheres” in Frontiers in Astronomy and Space Sciences. This research topic has been motivated by the recent developments in modeling and observation of interaction processes in the atmospheres of Earth and other Solar System planets. The atmosphere-ionosphere system on Earth and also on other studied planets is controlled by lower atmospheric effects, e.g., by upward propagating waves of various spatiotemporal scales from below (e.g., Forbes et al., 2006; Chau et al., 2012; Yiğit and Medvedev, 2015; Vadas and Becker, 2018), and by space weather effects from above (e.g., Yiğit et al., 2016). The nature of these effects is highly variable, which makes studying vertical coupling in the atmosphere-ionosphere a challenging endeavor. An increasing number of numerical studies demonstrate a significant amount of thermospheric and ionospheric effects of small-scale waves of the lower atmospheric origin (e.g., Heale et al., 2014; Miyoshi et al., 2014; Hickey et al., 2015; Yiğit and Medvedev, 2017; Yu et al., 2017; Gavrilov et al., 2020). Waves are routinely observed in the terrestrial atmosphere, for example, by ground-based lidars (e.g., Yang et al., 2008; Baumgarten et al., 2015), radars (e.g., Pramitha et al., 2019), airglow imagers (e.g., Pautet et al., 2019; Vargas et al., 2021), space-borne instruments (Park et al., 2014), and balloon flights (Hertzog et al., 2008). Satellite observations on Mars demonstrate that the Martian thermosphere is continuously populated by waves of the lower atmospheric origin (e.g., Jesch et al., 2019; Siddle et al., 2019; Yiğit et al., 2021b). The influence of these waves is increasingly appreciated in planetary atmospheres as well (e.g., Medvedev and Yiğit, 2019, and the references therein).

In order to better understand the structure and evolution of the middle and upper atmospheres, coupling processes both from below and above should be taken into account (Ward et al., 2021). Our Research Topic includes contributions from topics on various processes spanning a wide altitude range from the lower atmosphere to the thermosphere-ionosphere, especially regarding multi-scale wave coupling phenomena. Utilized methods of analysis include three-dimensional general circulation models (GCMs), TEC data from GNSS receivers, magnetometers, Doppler sounding systems, satellites, and ionosondes.

After an extensive review process, six papers contributed in total by 30 authors from six different countries have been accepted to this Research Topic. Lilienthal et al. present simulations of the middle atmosphere dynamics using the MUAM GCM, in which they implement for the first time the whole atmosphere gravity wave parameterization (Yiğit et al., 2008). They confirm that small-scale gravity waves (GWs) can penetrate into the thermosphere, as has been shown by a number of previous GCM simulations. Additionally, they study the effects of GWs on the terdiurnal tide. They find that, compared to the Lindzen-type GW scheme used in the earlier version of the GCM, the nonlinear wave-wave interactions in the whole atmosphere scheme lead to breaking levels lower in the atmosphere with smaller zonal GW drag, which is a more realistic feature of the mesosphere and the lower thermosphere (MLT). Their simulations show that the MLT wind reversals are sensitive to the initial GW momentum flux assumed in the lower atmosphere. Overall it is concluded that subgrid-scale GWs play a crucial role for circulation patterns and temperature distribution as well as for the terdiurnal tidal amplitude and phases.

The influence on the upper atmosphere of the variability of GW sources is poorly explored. Yiğit et al. present simulations of subgrid-scale GWs with the Coupled Middle Atmosphere Thermosphere-2 (CMAT2) GCM, incorporating the whole atmosphere subgrid-scale GW parameterization. They use this modeling framework to study how variations of GW source activity influence the middle and upper atmosphere winds and temperature. For this, they incorporate a latitude-dependent GW source activity that resembles the one observed by TIMED/SABER observations in the lower atmosphere and explore the upper atmospheric effects of upward propagating GWs. Their study suggests that GW activity and associated dynamical and thermal effects strongly depend on the vertical structure of the horizontal momentum flux. While the GW parameterization specifies the GW activity in terms of vector fluxes and phase speeds, SABER observations provide GW activity in terms of absolute momentum fluxes, which do not include directional information. Additionally, it is noted that the various formulations of GW activity, such as temperature fluctuations, or (zonal) drag, characterize different aspects of the wave field. While the wave activity is a measure of the magnitude of harmonics in a given point, GW drag is related to their dissipation and vertical decay. Overall, they conclude the latitudinal variations of the GW source spectrum produce second-order effects in the upper thermosphere. However, the middle atmosphere is more sensitive to GW variability.

Via coupling to the ions, variations in the neutral atmosphere can influence the ionosphere (e.g., Koucká Knížová et al., 2020). Koucká Knížová et al. start their paper with a concise review of vertical coupling between the atmosphere and ionosphere, discussing the influence of the lower atmosphere on the ionosphere. Later, they provide observational evidence of how atmosphere-ionosphere coupling takes place. Their ground-based ionosonde measurements demonstrate ionospheric variability associated with meteorological processes. With the multi-point continuous Doppler Sounding analysis they detect

clear GW propagation in the upper atmosphere and retrieve propagation characteristics, which suggest that the wave energy sources lie below the observational altitudes.

The global navigation satellite system (GNSS) is a constellation of satellites that have a wide range of applications: mapping, navigation, military, and atmospheric and ionospheric research. Azeem uses total electron content (TEC) data from GNSS to study traveling ionospheric disturbances (TIDs), which are ionospheric signatures of propagating atmospheric gravity waves. This study specifically focuses on GWs generated by a convective thunderstorm that took place on 28 April 2014 over North America. By analyzing the TID and the background winds simultaneously Azeem is able to characterize the behavior of the wave intrinsic frequency. In agreement with previous modeling and theoretical studies, this observational study shows that the intrinsic wave frequency increases if the wave front and the wind are in opposite directions and it decreases if they are in the same direction.

Total solar eclipses produce direct effects on the ionosphere for a short amount of time by reducing the photoionization flux due to the local shadow of the moon over the atmosphere. Meza et al. study the response of the ionosphere and the geomagnetic field to the 2020 Solar Eclipse in the South American sector. They use magnetometers and GNSS receivers to probe the atmosphere-ionosphere. They find that the Solar Eclipse produce a variation in the maximum effective vertical total electron content (VTEC) depletion up to 30%.

Sudden stratospheric warmings (SSWs) are known to influence the upper atmosphere in a variety of ways (Pancheva and Mukhtarov, 2011; Nayak and Yiğit, 2019; Goncharenko et al., 2021b; Mošna et al., 2021), producing long-range vertical coupling between the lower and upper atmosphere. Goncharenko et al. investigate the effects of the 2013 Arctic SSW on the high-latitude Southern Hemisphere, using a combination of ground-based and satellite data. Overall, they provide observational evidence that mesospheric and ionospheric anomalies observed above Antarctica can be associated with the SSW. This is indicative of the global nature of SSW effects.

These papers contribute to the ongoing efforts to characterize the upward wave fluxes, the consequences of their dissipation and the external drivers of the state of the ionosphere, and the knowledge necessary to properly understand planetary atmospheres/ionospheres. Our lack of knowledge regarding these processes is now recognized as one of the primary uncertainties impeding the development of whole atmosphere models. These papers highlight the multi-dimensionality of this effort, both in terms of the number of different phenomena involved and the range of observational techniques necessary to this investigation.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

EY wrote the first draft of the Editorial. All authors contributed to manuscript revision, read, and approved the submitted version.

## REFERENCES

- Baumgarten, G., Fiedler, J., Hildebrand, J., and Lübken, F.-J. (2015). Inertia Gravity Wave in the Stratosphere and Mesosphere Observed by Doppler Wind and Temperature Lidar. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 42, 929–1010. doi:10.1002/2015GL066991
- Chau, J. L., Goncharenko, L. P., Fejer, B. G., and Liu, H.-L. (2012). Equatorial and Low Latitude Ionospheric Effects during Sudden Stratospheric Warming Events. *Space Sci. Rev.* 168, 385–417. doi:10.1007/s11214-011-9797-5
- Forbes, J. M., Russell, J., Miyahara, S., Zhang, X., Palo, S., Mlynčzak, M., et al. (2006). Troposphere-thermosphere Tidal Coupling as Measured by the SABER Instrument on TIMED during July–September 2002. *J. Geophys. Res.* 111. doi:10.1029/2005JA011492
- Gavrilov, N. M., Kshevetskii, S. P., and Koval, A. V. (2020). Thermal Effects of Nonlinear Acoustic-Gravity Waves Propagating at Thermospheric Temperatures Matching High and Low Solar Activity. *J. Atmos. Solar-Terrestrial Phys.* 208, 105381. doi:10.1016/j.jastp.2020.105381
- Goncharenko, L. P., Harvey, V. L., Liu, H., and Pedatella, N. M. (2021b). Sudden Stratospheric Warming Impacts on the Ionosphere-Thermosphere System. *Ionosphere Dyn. Appl.*, 369–400. doi:10.1002/9781119815617.ch16
- Heale, C. J., Snively, J. B., Hickey, M. P., and Ali, C. J. (2014). Thermospheric Dissipation of Upward Propagating Gravity Wave Packets. *J. Geophys. Res. Space Phys.* 119, 3857–3872. doi:10.1002/2013JA019387
- Hertzog, A., Boccara, G., Vincent, R. A., Vial, F., and Cocquerez, P. (2008). Estimation of Gravity Wave Momentum Flux and Phase Speeds from Quasi-Lagrangian Stratospheric Balloon Flights. Part II: Results from the Vorcore Campaign in antarctica. *J. Atmos. Sci.* 65, 3056–3070. doi:10.1175/2008jas2710.1
- Hickey, M. P., Walterscheid, R. L., and Schubert, G. (2015). A Full-Wave Model for a Binary Gas Thermosphere: Effects of thermal Conductivity and Viscosity. *J. Geophys. Res. Space Phys.* 120, 3074–3083. doi:10.1002/2014JA020583
- Jesch, D., Medvedev, A. S., Castellini, F., Yiğit, E., and Hartogh, P. (2019). Density Fluctuations in the Lower Thermosphere of Mars Retrieved from the ExoMars Trace Gas Orbiter (TGO) Aerobraking. *Atmosphere* 10, 620. doi:10.3390/atmos10100620
- Koucká Knížová, P., Podolská, K., Potužníková, K., Kouba, D., Mošna, Z., Boška, J., et al. (2020). Evidence of Vertical Coupling: Meteorological Storm Fabienne on 23 September 2018 and its Related Effects Observed up to the Ionosphere. *Ann. Geophys.* 38, 73–93. doi:10.5194/angeo-38-73-2020
- Medvedev, A. S., and Yiğit, E. (2019). Gravity Waves in Planetary Atmospheres: Their Effects and Parameterization in Global Circulation Models. *Atmosphere* 10, 531. doi:10.3390/atmos10090531
- Miyoshi, Y., Fujiwara, H., Jin, H., and Shinagawa, H. (2014). A Global View of Gravity Waves in the Thermosphere Simulated by a General Circulation Model. *J. Geophys. Res. Space Phys.* 119, 5807–5820. doi:10.1002/2014JA019848
- Mošna, Z., Edemskiy, I., Laštovička, J., Kozubek, M., Koucká Knížová, P., Kouba, D., et al. (2021). Observation of the Ionosphere in Middle Latitudes during 2009, 2018 and 2018/2019 Sudden Stratospheric Warming Events. *Atmosphere* 12, 602. doi:10.3390/atmos12050602
- Nayak, C., and Yiğit, E. (2019). Variation of Small-Scale Gravity Wave Activity in the Ionosphere during the Major Sudden Stratospheric Warming Event of 2009. *J. Geophys. Res. Space Phys.* 124, 470–488. doi:10.1029/2018JA026048
- Pancheva, D., and Mukhtarov, P. (2011). Stratospheric Warmings: The Atmosphere-Ionosphere Coupling Paradigm. *J. Atmos. Solar-Terrestrial Phys.* 73, 1697–1702. doi:10.1016/j.jastp.2011.03.006
- Park, J., Lühr, H., Lee, C., Kim, Y. H., Jee, G., and Kim, J.-H. (2014). A Climatology of Medium-Scale Gravity Wave Activity in the Midlatitude/Low-Latitude Daytime Upper Thermosphere as Observed by CHAMP. *J. Geophys. Res. Space Phys.* 119, 2187–2196. doi:10.1002/2013JA019705
- Pautet, P. D., Taylor, M. J., Eckermann, S. D., and Criddle, N. (2019). Regional Distribution of Mesospheric Small-Scale Gravity Waves during DEEPWAVE. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* 124, 7069–7081. doi:10.1029/2019JD030271
- Pramitha, M., Kishore Kumar, K., Venkat Ratnam, M., Rao, S. V. B., and Ramkumar, G. (2019). Meteor Radar Estimations of Gravity Wave Momentum Fluxes: Evaluation Using Simulations and Observations over Three Tropical Locations. *J. Geophys. Res. Space Phys.* 124, 7184–7201. doi:10.1029/2019JA026510
- Siddle, A. G., Mueller-Wodarg, I. C. F., Stone, S. W., and Yelle, R. V. (2019). Global Characteristics of Gravity Waves in the Upper Atmosphere of Mars as Measured by MAVEN/NGIMS. *Icarus* 333, 12–21. doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2019.05.021
- Vadas, S. L., and Becker, E. (2018). Numerical Modeling of the Excitation, Propagation, and Dissipation of Primary and Secondary Gravity Waves during Wintertime at McMurdo Station in the Antarctic. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* 123, 9326–9369. doi:10.1029/2017JD027974
- Vargas, F., Chau, J. L., Charuvil Asokan, H., and Gerding, M. (2021). Mesospheric Gravity Wave Activity Estimated via Airglow Imagery, Multistatic Meteor Radar, and SABER Data Taken during the SIMONe-2018 Campaign. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 21, 13631–13654. doi:10.5194/acp-21-13631-2021
- Ward, W., Seppälä, A., Yiğit, E., Nakamura, T., Stolle, C., Laštovička, J., et al. (2021). Role of the Sun and the Middle Atmosphere/thermosphere/ionosphere in Climate (ROSMIC): a Retrospective and Prospective View. *Prog. Earth Planet. Sci.* 8, 47. doi:10.1186/s40645-021-00433-8
- Yang, G., Clemesha, B., Batista, P., and Simonich, D. (2008). Lidar Study of the Characteristics of Gravity Waves in the Mesopause Region at a Southern Low-Latitude Location. *J. Atmos. Solar-Terrestrial Phys.* 70, 991–1011. doi:10.1016/j.jastp.2008.01.013
- Yiğit, E., Aylward, A. D., and Medvedev, A. S. (2008). Parameterization of the Effects of Vertically Propagating Gravity Waves for Thermosphere General Circulation Models: Sensitivity Study. *J. Geophys. Res.* 113, D19106. doi:10.1029/2008JD010135
- Yiğit, E., Koucká Knížová, P., Georgieva, K., and Ward, W. (2016). A Review of Vertical Coupling in the Atmosphere-Ionosphere System: Effects of Waves, Sudden Stratospheric Warmings, Space Weather, and of Solar Activity. *J. Atmos. Solar-Terrestrial Phys.* 141, 1–12. doi:10.1016/j.jastp.2016.02.011
- Yiğit, E., Medvedev, A. S., Benna, M., and Jakosky, B. M. (2021b). Dust Storm-Enhanced Gravity Wave Activity in the Martian Thermosphere Observed by MAVEN and Implication for Atmospheric Escape. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 48, e2020GL092095. doi:10.1029/2020GL092095
- Yiğit, E., and Medvedev, A. S. (2017). Influence of Parameterized Small-scale Gravity Waves on the Migrating Diurnal Tide in Earth's Thermosphere. *J. Geophys. Res. Space Phys.* 122, 4846–4864. doi:10.1002/2017JA024089
- Yiğit, E., and Medvedev, A. S. (2015). Internal Wave Coupling Processes in Earth's Atmosphere. *Adv. Space Res.* 55, 983–1003. doi:10.1016/j.asr.2014.11.020
- Yu, Y., Wang, W., and Hickey, M. P. (2017). Ionospheric Signatures of Gravity Waves Produced by the 2004 Sumatra and 2011 Tohoku Tsunamis: A Modeling Study. *J. Geophys. Res. Space Phys.* 122, 1146–1162. doi:10.1002/2016JA023116

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Yiğit, Lühr, Medvedev, Ward, Elias, Chau, Miyoshi, Jain and Liu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.