

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Ben Belton, Michigan State University, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE
Bonnie Brown
Sonnie.brown@unh.edu

RECEIVED 14 January 2025 ACCEPTED 21 January 2025 PUBLISHED 30 January 2025

CITATION

Meyer-Rust K, Williams L, Ward K and Brown B (2025) Corrigendum: Oyster grower perspectives on green crab (*Carcinus maenas*) interactions: using applied social science to inform research and engagement. *Front. Aquac.* 4:1560505. doi: 10.3389/faquc.2025.1560505

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Meyer-Rust, Williams, Ward and Brown. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Corrigendum: Oyster grower perspectives on green crab (*Carcinus maenas*) interactions: using applied social science to inform research and engagement

Kelsey Meyer-Rust¹, Lindsey Williams², Krystin Ward¹ and Bonnie Brown^{1*}

¹Department of Biological Sciences, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH, United States, ²New Hampshire Sea Grant, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH, United States

KEYWORDS

oyster growers, green crabs, social science, surveys, aquaculture

A Corrigendum on

Oyster grower perspectives on green crab (*Carcinus maenas*) interactions: using applied social science to inform research and engagement

By Meyer-Rust K, Williams L, Ward K and Brown B (2024) *Front. Aquac.* 3:1436340. doi: 10.3389/faquc.2024.1436340

In the published article, there was an error. A correction has been made to **3 Results**, Paragraph 7. This sentence previously stated:

"When asked if it would be beneficial if green crabs consumed tunicates, 87.5% said it would be somewhat beneficial and 12.5% said it would be a "huge benefit," and no participants thought it would be of no benefit."

The corrected sentence appears below:

"When asked if it would be beneficial if green crabs consumed tunicates, 77.78% said it would be somewhat beneficial, 11.11% said it would be a "huge benefit," and only 11.11% thought it would be of no benefit."

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.