



## OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY  
Axel Hutt,  
Inria Nancy - Grand-Est Research  
Centre, France

\*CORRESPONDENCE  
Mark Olenik  
✉ m.olenik@bristol.ac.uk

RECEIVED 27 July 2024  
ACCEPTED 07 October 2024  
PUBLISHED 06 November 2024

CITATION  
Olenik M and Houghton C (2024)  
Corrigendum: A scalar Poincaré map for  
anti-phase bursting in coupled inhibitory  
neurons with synaptic depression.  
*Front. Appl. Math. Stat.* 10:1471447.  
doi: 10.3389/fams.2024.1471447

COPYRIGHT  
© 2024 Olenik and Houghton. This is an  
open-access article distributed under the  
terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution  
License \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or  
reproduction in other forums is permitted,  
provided the original author(s) and the  
copyright owner(s) are credited and that the  
original publication in this journal is cited, in  
accordance with accepted academic practice.  
No use, distribution or reproduction is  
permitted which does not comply with these  
terms.

# Corrigendum: A scalar Poincaré map for anti-phase bursting in coupled inhibitory neurons with synaptic depression

Mark Olenik<sup>1\*</sup> and Conor Houghton<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom,  
<sup>2</sup>School of Computer Science, Electrical and Electronic Engineering, and Engineering Mathematics,  
Faculty of Engineering, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

## KEYWORDS

Poincaré map, neuronal bursting, dynamical system (DS), synaptic depression, central pattern generator

## A Corrigendum on A scalar Poincaré map for anti-phase bursting in coupled inhibitory neurons with synaptic depression

by Olenik, M., and Houghton, C. (2022). *Front. Appl. Math. Stat.* 8:822782.  
doi: 10.3389/fams.2022.822782

In the published article, there were errors in [Equations 51–54](#). The correct [Equations 51, 52](#) are:

$$\bar{g}_L(n+1) < \bar{g}_R(n) \quad (51)$$

$$\bar{g}_R(n+1) - \bar{g}_L(n+1) < \bar{g}_R(n) - \bar{g}_L(n) \quad (52)$$

The correct [Equation 53](#) is

$$F_n(\phi_n(\bar{g}), \bar{g}) = T_s. \quad (53)$$

The correct [Equation 54](#) is

$$R_n(\bar{g}) := F_n(\phi_n(\bar{g}), \bar{g}) - T_s. \quad (54)$$

A correction has been made to **Results, Section 3.6**.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.