



## OPEN ACCESS

APPROVED BY  
Frontiers Editorial Office,  
Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

\*CORRESPONDENCE  
Catherine Hall  
✉ cathhall@uef.fi

RECEIVED 13 November 2023  
ACCEPTED 13 November 2023  
PUBLISHED 08 December 2023

## CITATION

Hall C (2023) Corrigendum: Diffusing the legal conceptions of the global south and decolonizing international law: crystallizing animal rights through inter-judicial dialogue.  
*Front. Anim. Sci.* 4:1337811.  
doi: 10.3389/fanim.2023.1337811

## COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Hall. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Corrigendum: Diffusing the legal conceptions of the global south and decolonizing international law: crystallizing animal rights through inter-judicial dialogue

Catherine Hall\*

University of Eastern Finland, Center for Climate Change, Energy and Environmental Law (CCEEL), Joensuu, Finland

## KEYWORDS

animal rights, inter-judicial dialogue, decolonizing law, rights of nature, anthropocentrism

## A Corrigendum on

[Diffusing the legal conceptions of the global south and decolonizing international law: crystallizing animal rights through inter-judicial dialogue](#)

by Hall C (2023) *Front. Anim. Sci.* 4:1241318. doi: 10.3389/fanim.2023.1241318

In the original article there was an error in the **Acknowledgments**. The contributions of Dr. Antonio Cardesa-Salzmann and Iona McEntee were not included. The corrected statement appears below.

“The contribution of Dr Antonio Cardesa-Salzmann to the conceptualization and original ideas of the research underlying this article, as well as the contribution by Iona McEntee to the conceptualization of the methodology based on ‘inter-judicial dialogues’ is duly acknowledged”.

The author apologizes for this error and states that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

## Publisher’s note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.