#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Amira Leila Dib, Université Frères Mentouri Constantine 1, Algeria

REVIEWED BY Prashant Kaushik, Yokohama Ueki, Japan Sayed Haidar Abbas Raza, Northwest A&F University, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Jianyong Liu liujy70@126.com

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Product Quality, a section of the journal Frontiers in Animal Science

RECEIVED 19 May 2022 ACCEPTED 18 July 2022 PUBLISHED 04 August 2022

#### CITATION

Wang L and Liu J (2022) Analysis of hybrid combining ability for growth and multiple stress tolerance traits in the Pacific white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei. Front. Anim. Sci.* 3:948251. doi: 10.3389/fanim.2022.948251

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Wang and Liu. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Analysis of hybrid combining ability for growth and multiple stress tolerance traits in the Pacific white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*

## Lun Wang<sup>1,2</sup> and Jianyong Liu<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Fisheries, Guangdong Ocean University, Zhanjiang, China, <sup>2</sup>Guangdong Provincial Shrimp Breeding and Culture Laboratory, Guangdong Ocean University, Zhanjiang, China

To identify optimal mating combinations for Litopenaeus vannamei, a linear mixed model was used to estimate the general combining ability (GCA) and specific combining ability (SCA) for growth and multiple stress tolerance [high salt (35‰), low pH (6  $\pm$  0.1), and high ammonia nitrogen (70 mg/L) co-stress] traits in 47 combinations of L. vannamei. The results showed that the SCA in the parents played a dominant role in the offspring traits. The highest GCAs were observed for females of strain O and males of strain B (0.602 and 8.889, respectively), indicating that the dams of strain O and sires of strain B could be used as maternal and paternal lines to increase multiple stress resistance in the next generation. The growth traits of the hybrid combination strain  $G_{\mathcal{S}} \times strain$ HQ exhibited the highest degree of heterosis (9.838%-46.518%) and a generally high SCA (0.643-8.596) among all mating combinations. The SCA was the highest for the strain N $\sigma$  × strain O $\varphi$  multiple stress tolerance (30.131), while the heterosis for that combination strain was the third-highest. The combinations of strain  $G_{\sigma} \times strain H_{\varphi}$  and strain  $N_{\sigma} \times strain O_{\varphi}$  can be used as candidate combinations for rapid growth and multiple stress tolerance, respectively.

#### KEYWORDS

Litopenaeus vannamei, growth traits, multiple stress-tolerance, combining ability, heterosis

## Introduction

The Pacific white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*, is the most commonly farmed shrimp worldwide (Huang et al., 2022). This species was introduced to China in 1988. In 2020, the seawater production of *L. vannamei* in China reached  $119.774 \times 10^4$  t, constituting more than 80.50% of the total national production of marine shrimp

aquaculture. *L. vannamei* has thus become the marine shrimp species with the highest level of production in China (Bureau of Fisheries, 2021). Because *L. vannamei* is not a species native to China, most farmed populations are produced using parents imported from abroad or cultured over multiple generations (Lu et al., 2016). The small effective population sizes after multiple generations of culture may pose a risk of decline in important economic traits because of inbreeding (De Donato et al., 2005; Lu et al., 2017a).

Hybridization is one of the effective methods to solve the above problems (Lu et al., 2016), and it is an important way to create variation. Crossbreeding can produce super-parent vigor (obtain heterosis) that the parents never showed (Maluwa and Gjerde, 2006), thereby significantly improving the viability of the progeny. At present, there are many reports of genetic improvement using selective breeding programs in shrimp (Lu et al., 2016; Sui et al., 2016; Lu et al., 2017b; Zhang et al., 2017b; Yuan et al., 2018). This approach has yielded considerable increases in worldwide shrimp production, from 13% in 1993 to 45% in 2008 (Gjedrem, 2012). After only one generation of selection, the selected strain showed 12% greater growth, compared with the control strain (Argue et al., 2002). Nine improved strains of L. vannamei have been bred in China, including Kehai No. 1, Zhongxing No. 1, and Xinghai No. 1 (Zhang et al., 2017b; Kong et al., 2020). However, the research and application of cross-breeding in prawns are less (Lu et al., 2016; Kong et al., 2020), and there are more reports on crossbreeding of other aquatic animals. Examples: in abalone (Deng et al., 2008; Deng et al., 2010), clam (Dai et al., 2014; Huo et al., 2015), and oyster (Yao et al., 2015; Yan et al., 2017). Thus, heterosis has not been extensively explored in shrimp, resulting in insufficient utilization of heterosis. Combining ability analysis is important in studies of aquatic animal hybrid breeding, which is an important genetic improvement approach; combining ability analysis is also a prerequisite for the utilization of heterosis (Hedgecock and Davis, 2007). There have been many reports regarding combining abilities in aquatic animals (Deng et al., 2010; Bosworth and Waldbieser, 2014; Costa et al., 2019; Chaivichoo et al., 2020). Chaivichoo et al. (2020) reported that the total combining ability was higher in male catfish than in female catfish 0.25 to 362.64 vs. 0.23 to 190.32, suggesting that the growth performance of a hybrid is largely dependent on additive genetic variation from its male parent, followed by variation from its female parent.

Combining ability analysis is one of the important tasks in the research of aquatic animal hybrid breeding, an important means of genetic improvement, and a prerequisite for the utilization of heterosis (Hedgecock and Davis, 2007). However, there have been few reports regarding the combining ability of *L. vannamei*; published reports have mainly focused on growth and resistance to a single environmental stress factor (Wang et al., 2013; Hu et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2022), Wang et al. (2022) found  $P_{\vec{O}} \times XHQ$  combination had obvious heterosis in growth and high salt

tolerance traits. Therefore, the application of the combination in breeding and production shall be promoted in the future. However, in real-world aquaculture production, shrimp usually experience stress from multiple environmental factors. For example, intensive high-density shrimp farming has become increasingly common; however, this approach increases ammonia nitrogen content and reduces pH in the aquaculture water environment (Zhou et al., 2009; Yuan et al., 2018). Ammonia nitrogen concentrations as high as 46.11 mg/L have been reported in the late stage of high-density shrimp farming (Chen et al., 1988). In addition, high temperatures in summer lead to increased salinity in aquaculture water (Colombani et al., 2017). The above changes in water quality indicators are likely to simultaneously expose shrimp to multiple stresses of high ammonia nitrogen, low pH, and high salt concentration. In water with a high ammonia nitrogen content, low pH, or high salinity, shrimp survival should be could lead to reduced because of slow growth, decreased immunity, and increased pathogen susceptibility (Pillai and Diwan, 2002; Ye et al., 2009; Cui et al., 2017; Joseph and Philip, 2020; Yu et al., 2020). Compared with a single stressor, exposure to multiple stresses may be more harmful to shrimp. The genetic parameters of shrimp resistance to multiple stresses have not yet been identified. Thus, there is a need to investigate the combining ability for multiple stress tolerance in L. vannamei.

This study was performed to analyze the combining ability and heterosis of growth and multiple stress tolerance traits in *L. vannamei*. The findings will provide insights regarding crossbreeding and stress resistance breeding of *L. vannamei*; they will also help to improve growth and stress resistance traits in this species.

#### Materials and methods

All shrimps used in this study were from the experimental base of the Zhanjiang Guoxing Aquatic Technology Co., Ltd. (Zhanjiang City, Guangdong Province, China). All animal experimental procedures for the current study were approved by Animal Ethical and Welfare Committee of Guangdong Ocean University, China.

#### Origin of the base population and rearing

In 2019, five populations (four imported and one cultured) were collected and shipped to Zhanjiang Guoxing Aquatic Technology Co., Ltd. The four imported populations were from Thailand (strains W and L) and the USA (strains K and M); the farmed population was *L. vannamei* Xinghai No. 1, cultivated in our laboratory for six consecutive generations. The core group of new varieties (GX: including six different strains) reached 10 generations by 2021; the above 10 strains had 24 parents, which were used to construct 47 combinations (Table 1).

TABLE 1 Incomplete diallel crosses of 24 parents of L. vannamei.

						-	-	-	-	, -		-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AQ	1	1																						
ВQ		1		1											1									
CQ			1																					
DQ		2		1	1		2							1										
ЕQ	1				1						1												1	
FQ						1																		
GQ							2																	
HQ							1	1																
ΙQ			1						1															
JQ										2														
KQ					3						1					1		1						
LQ												3												
MQ	1												2											
NQ			1	1										1										
ОŶ		1												1	1									
ΡQ							1									1		1						
QQ					1												2							
RQ																1		2						
SQ																								
Т♀																				1				
UQ																					2			
VQ																						1		
WQ																							1	
XQ																								1
Total	3	5	3	3	6	1	6	1	1	2	2	3	2	3	2	3	2	5		1	2	1	2	1

Parents A & B & C & D & E & F & G & H & I & J & K & L & M & N & O & P & Q & R & S & T & U & V & W & X &

All populations were kept separately at a stocking density of eight individuals per square meter in a 25-m<sup>2</sup> concrete pond. Two months before the spawning season, using body weight as the criterion, the top 30% of the females (100 individuals) and top 15% of the males (50 individuals) were selected as broodstock from each population. The selected shrimp were individually tagged by numbered rings placed on one ocular peduncle and were raised in concrete tanks, with males and females placed in separate ponds for condition. During the acclimation period, male and female broodstock were fed a condition diet of frozen squid, oysters, artemia, and bloodworms to accelerate the gonadal maturation process (Zhang et al., 2017a). Families were produced by an incomplete diallel cross, mature females of each population were selected and transferred into the pond where male broodstock of a single population was placed for mating, a total of 60 families (47 combinations) were obtained. Mated females were place in individual 500-L tanks for spawning. After hatched, approximately 3500 were randomly selected and cultivated in nursery buckets to postlarval stage 15 (PL15); they were then moved to an independent cement pond for culture and were fed a mixed diet of Chaetoceros and Aremia.

When the shrimp reached 3cm length, in total, 383 shrimp were randomly selected from each combination for fluorescent labeling (two visible implant elastomer VIE tags were employed either on the left or right-hand side of the first and sixth segments); all labeled shrimp were placed in a pond measuring 13 m  $\times$  15 m  $\times$  1 m in length, width, and height, respectively, in the common environment for 60 days, all individuals in the pond were reared under standard commercial conditions during the growth-out phase and were feed with commercial prawn pellet containing 40% crude protein. The pond had a water exchange rate of 15-30% of the total water volume per day (Zhang et al., 2017a; Zhang et al., 2017b; Yuan et al., 2018).

#### Multiple-stress experiment

In 2019, Zhanjiang Guoxing Aquatic Products Technology Co., Ltd. monitored the bottom water quality indicators of the pond used for L. vannamei aquaculture.

The upper limit of salinity was 35‰, and the nadir pH was 6. In a previous study (Yuan et al., 2018), we determined that the

median lethal concentration (LC<sub>50</sub>) of ammonia nitrogen for L. vannamei (at PL15) was 120 mg/L for 48 h. We set the high salinity and low pH conditions to 35‰ and pH 6  $\pm$  0.1, respectively. Reduce the LC50 (120 mg/L) for 48 h under high ammonia nitrogen stress by half (60 mg/L), and then decrease in the direction of 1 mg/L or increase in the direction of 120 mg/L at intervals of 1 mg/L, and can be divided into 120 gradients. A group of experiments is difficult to complete, divided into 40 gradients as a group (total, 3 groups). Group 1: salinity and pH constant at 35‰ and  $6 \pm 0.1$ , ammonia nitrogen concentration decreased from 60mg/L by 20 (60, 59, 58, 57, 56, 55, 54, 53, 52, 51, 50, 49, 48, 47, 46, 45, 44, 43, 42, 41mg/L); ammonia nitrogen concentration increased by 20 from 60mg/L (61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80 mg/L). Groups 2 and 3 pre-experiments will continue to be added or subtracted in the future.

The materials used for high salt, low pH, and high ammonia nitrogen measurements were coarse salt (Salinity Meter Refractometer, HT211ATC), 1 mg/L HCl, 1 mg/L NaOH (Duan et al., 2019) (High-Precision pH Test Pen, ATC), and NH4Cl analytical pure crystals (water quality analyzer). In a preliminary experiment, three replicates (30 shrimp per replicate) per gradient were placed in the adjusted experimental seawater. Because low pH in a water column slowly returns to normal, the experimental seawater pH was adjusted at 2-h intervals and deaths were counted. The dissolved oxygen content was maintained at > 6.0 mg/L. At a salinity of 35‰, pH of 6  $\pm$  0.1, and ammonia nitrogen level of 70 mg/L (because the concentration of multiple-stress was found in group 1 of pre-experiments, we didn't need to proceed to group 2 and group 3 of pre-experiments), the median lethal time (LT50) was 47.31 h (close to 48 h). Therefore, we used a salt concentration of 35‰, pH of 6  $\pm$  0.1, and ammonia nitrogen concentration of 70 mg/L in the multiple stress experiment.

The multiple stress [simultaneous high salt (35‰), low pH  $(6 \pm 0.1)$ , and high ammonia nitrogen (70 mg/L) stress] experiment was designed based on findings in the preliminary experiment. The seawater concentration was adjusted to the multiple stress concentration. Sixty fishing nets were placed in cement ponds (width, length, and height of 5 m  $\times$  8 m  $\times$  1 m, respectively); 30 experimental shrimp were randomly selected from each family (Zhang et al., 2017a; Dong, 2018; Liu et al., 2019; Li et al., 2021) and placed into fishing nets (pool water level, 30 cm). The experimental seawater pH was adjusted at 2-h intervals. The number of deaths was counted, the survival time (ST) was recorded, and growth-related traits were measured. An analytical balance (0.01-g accuracy) connected to a computer was used to automatically determine body weight (BW). Shrimp were photographed using a digital camera; total length (TL), body length (BL), carapace length (CL), carapace width (CW), and abdomen length (AL) measurements were performed using ImageJ (NIH). The experiment ended when all shrimp had died.

#### Statistical analyses

We used the following analytical model for combining growth ability and integrated stress tolerance traits (Bosworth and Waldbieser, 2014; Tran et al., 2021):

$$y_{ijk} = \mu + g_i + g_j + s_{ij} + Age_k + Sex_k + e_{ijk}$$
(1)

Where  $y_{ijk}$  is the trait value of the *k*-th hybrid offspring,  $\mu$  is the population mean,  $g_i$  is the general combining ability (GCA) of the *i*-th parent,  $g_j$  is the GCA of the *j*-th maternal line,  $s_{ij}$  is the specific combining ability (SCA) of the *i*-th sire crossed with the *j*-th dam,  $Age_k$  is the age covariate,  $Sex_k$  is the sex fixed effect, and  $e_{ijk}$  is the random error effect. Variance component division and combining ability estimation were performed using ASReml4 software (Butler et al., 2017).

We used the following heterosis formula for growth and combined stress tolerance traits (Lu et al., 2016):

$$H(\%) = \frac{F_{1-1}/2(P_1 + P_2)}{1/2(P_1 + P_2)}$$
(2)

Where  $F_1$ ,  $P_1$ , and  $P_2$  represent the first generation of reciprocal offspring of parent 1 and parent 2, the mean value of inbred offspring of parent 1, and the mean value of the representative type of inbred offspring of parent 2, respectively; H (%) is the reciprocal offspring heterosis rate.

In this study, it was difficult to distinguish between males and females at the time of the experiment. Furthermore, the model did not converge after the addition of the fixed effect of sex. Therefore, we removed the sex-fixed effect from the model in our analysis.

#### Results

#### GCA of L. vannamei parents

The GCAs for each trait of *L. vannamei* are shown in Table 2. The GCAs for six growth traits (BW, TL, BL, CL, CW, and AL) and multiple stress tolerance traits in female parents ranged from –2.088 × 10<sup>-6</sup> to 3.210 × 10<sup>-6</sup> and from –0.531 to 0.602, respectively; those values in male parents ranged from –9.061 × 10<sup>-6</sup> to 1.298 × 10<sup>-5</sup> and from –8.755 to 8.889, respectively. Thus, the GCAs for the six growth traits in male and female parents were close to 0. The highest GCAs were observed for females of strain O and males of strain B (0.602 and 8.889, respectively), indicating that they were resistant to multiple stressors.

# SCAs of the mating combinations of *L. vannamei*

The SCAs for growth and integrated stress tolerance traits in the offspring of 47 combinations of *L. vannamei* are shown in

TABLE 2 General combining ability for seven traits in *L. vannamei* at 15 week old.

Parents		General combining ability										
		BW(g)	TL (mm)	BL (mm)	CL (mm)	CW (mm)	AL (mm)	ST (h				
Dam	А	9.655×10 <sup>-8</sup>	9.055×10 <sup>-8</sup>	8.452×10 <sup>-8</sup>	2.517×10 <sup>-7</sup>	2.095×10 <sup>-6</sup>	7.554×10 <sup>-8</sup>	0.127				
	В	-1.266×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-2.938×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-2.683×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-1.369×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-9.583×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-2.296×10 <sup>-7</sup>	0.322				
	С	1.149×10 <sup>-7</sup>	3.337×10 <sup>-7</sup>	3.000×10 <sup>-7</sup>	$1.040 \times 10^{-7}$	7.251×10 <sup>-7</sup>	2.552×10 <sup>-7</sup>	0.277				
	D	-1.975×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-2.885×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-2.594×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-1.378×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-1.278×10 <sup>-6</sup>	-2.305×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-0.050				
	Е	4.871×10 <sup>-8</sup>	1.263×10 <sup>-7</sup>	1.186×10 <sup>-7</sup>	8.016×10 <sup>-9</sup>	-9.326×10 <sup>-8</sup>	9.616×10 <sup>-8</sup>	0.178				
	F	1.456×10-7	3.723×10 <sup>-7</sup>	3.350×10-7	3.963×10 <sup>-7</sup>	2.921×10 <sup>-6</sup>	2.906×10 <sup>-7</sup>	0.139				
	G	-1.721×10 <sup>-8</sup>	4.183×10 <sup>-8</sup>	3.278×10 <sup>-8</sup>	5.282×10 <sup>-9</sup>	-3.530×10 <sup>-8</sup>	2.489×10 <sup>-8</sup>	0.069				
	Н	2.525×10-7	6.125×10 <sup>-7</sup>	5.531×10 <sup>-7</sup>	1.874×10 <sup>-7</sup>	1.361×10 <sup>-6</sup>	4.762×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-0.076				
	Ι	-1.540×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-3.651×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-3.270×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-1.349×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-1.098×10 <sup>-6</sup>	-2.856×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-0.134				
	J	-2.011×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-6.503×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-5.885×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-2.421×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-1.551×10 <sup>-6</sup>	-4.987×10 <sup>-7</sup>	0.164				
	K	-2.270×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-5.779×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-5.267×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-2.464×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-1.776×10 <sup>-6</sup>	-4.484×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-0.531				
	L	-1.082×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-2.066×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-1.845×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-7.262×10 <sup>-8</sup>	-6.469×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-1.627×10 <sup>-7</sup>	0.047				
	M	-1.119×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-2.908×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-2.525×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-1.261×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-8.713×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-2.115×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-0.140				
	N	8.354×10 <sup>-8</sup>	3.004×10 <sup>-7</sup>	2.746×10 <sup>-7</sup>	6.906×10 <sup>-8</sup>	3.470×10 <sup>-7</sup>	2.335×10 <sup>-7</sup>	0.362				
	0	-3.005×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-7.429×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-6.609×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-2.703×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-2.088×10 <sup>-6</sup>	-5.720×10 <sup>-7</sup>	0.602				
	P	7.300×10 <sup>-7</sup>	1.388×10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.256×10 <sup>-6</sup>	3.793×10 <sup>-7</sup>	3.210×10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.105×10 <sup>-6</sup>	-0.109				
	r Q	1.171×10 <sup>-7</sup>	3.848×10 <sup>-7</sup>	3.393×10 <sup>-7</sup>	$1.059 \times 10^{-7}$	7.025×10 <sup>-7</sup>	2.882×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-0.109				
	R	$2.097 \times 10^{-7}$	$5.848 \times 10^{-7}$	5.715×10 <sup>-7</sup>	$1.059 \times 10^{-7}$	$1.319 \times 10^{-6}$	$4.912 \times 10^{-7}$	-0.413				
		$2.097 \times 10^{-8}$	8.933×10 <sup>-8</sup>	5.715×10 7.028×10 <sup>-8</sup>	-3.582×10 <sup>-9</sup>	-1.361×10 <sup>-8</sup>	4.912×10 6.913×10 <sup>-8</sup>					
	Т		-6.518×10 <sup>-7</sup>		$-3.582 \times 10^{-7}$			-0.077				
	U	-2.365×10 <sup>-7</sup>		-5.824×10 <sup>-7</sup>		-1.198×10 <sup>-6</sup>	-5.113×10 <sup>-7</sup>	0.010				
	V	-7.129×10 <sup>-8</sup>	-9.921×10 <sup>-8</sup>	-9.085×10 <sup>-8</sup>	-4.576×10 <sup>-8</sup>	-4.053×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-7.791×10 <sup>-8</sup>	-0.327				
	W	-1.392×10 <sup>-8</sup>	-1.663×10 <sup>-8</sup>	-1.854×10 <sup>-8</sup>	-8.064×10 <sup>-9</sup>	-1.545×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-2.120×10 <sup>-8</sup>	0.061				
	X	-6.086×10 <sup>-8</sup>	-2.005×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-1.757×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-6.503×10 <sup>-8</sup>	-5.127×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-1.566×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-0.307				
Sire	A	8.739×10 <sup>-7</sup>	2.133×10 <sup>-7</sup>	2.015×10 <sup>-7</sup>	1.392×10 <sup>-6</sup>	2.613×10 <sup>-6</sup>	2.487×10 <sup>-6</sup>	-0.609*				
	В	-1.076×10 <sup>-6</sup>	-3.555×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-3.140×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-7.161×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-1.538×10 <sup>-6</sup>	-4.075×10 <sup>-6</sup>	8.889				
	С	-1.468×10 <sup>-6</sup>	-5.006×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-4.345×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-9.445×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-1.941×10 <sup>-6</sup>	-5.517×10 <sup>-6</sup>	8.416				
	D	1.817×10 <sup>-6</sup>	7.665×10 <sup>-7</sup>	6.765×10 <sup>-7</sup>	1.152×10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.980×10 <sup>-6</sup>	8.455×10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.060*				
	E	-2.074×10 <sup>-6</sup>	-6.551×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-5.844×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-1.274×10 <sup>-6</sup>	-2.621×10 <sup>-6</sup>	-7.401×10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.286*				
	F	8.167×10 <sup>-7</sup>	3.337×10 <sup>-7</sup>	2.936×10 <sup>-7</sup>	1.820×10 <sup>-6</sup>	3.224×10 <sup>-6</sup>	3.707×10 <sup>-6</sup>	3.000				
	G	1.729×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-3.376×10 <sup>-8</sup>	-3.544×10 <sup>-8</sup>	-3.049×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-5.063×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-3.614×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-6.028*				
	Н	-9.343×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-3.144×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-2.738×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-5.555×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-1.119×10 <sup>-6</sup>	-3.465×10 <sup>-6</sup>	3.726				
	Ι	2.728×10 <sup>-7</sup>	1.009×10 <sup>-7</sup>	8.989×10 <sup>-8</sup>	1.032×10 <sup>-7</sup>	2.447×10 <sup>-7</sup>	1.149×10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.319*				
	J	-1.128×10 <sup>-6</sup>	-5.827×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-5.158×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-1.112×10 <sup>-6</sup>	-1.712×10 <sup>-6</sup>	6.363×10 <sup>-6</sup>	3.553				
	K	3.375×10 <sup>-7</sup>	1.815×10 <sup>-8</sup>	$1.860 \times 10^{-8}$	-2.116×10 <sup>-7</sup>	$-3.578 \times 10^{-8}$	4.382×10 <sup>-7</sup>	0.209*				
	L	-6.070×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-1.852×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-1.617×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-3.335×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-7.141×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-2.075×10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.009*				
	М	-2.030×10 <sup>-6</sup>	-8.271×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-7.283×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-1.490×10 <sup>-6</sup>	$-2.584 \times 10^{-6}$	-9.061×10 <sup>-6</sup>	2.865				
	Ν	1.150×10 <sup>-6</sup>	5.622×10 <sup>-7</sup>	4.903×10 <sup>-7</sup>	8.224×10 <sup>-7</sup>	1.386×10 <sup>-6</sup>	6.093×10 <sup>-6</sup>	5.103				
	0	-1.517×10 <sup>-6</sup>	-7.010×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-6.124×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-1.364×10 <sup>-6</sup>	-2.203×10 <sup>-6</sup>	-7.564×10 <sup>-6</sup>	5.066				
	Р	3.036×10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.174×10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.029×10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.752×10 <sup>-6</sup>	3.195×10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.298×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-8.755*				
	Q	4.261×10 <sup>-7</sup>	2.144×10 <sup>-7</sup>	1.866×10 <sup>-7</sup>	3.317×10 <sup>-7</sup>	5.233×10 <sup>-7</sup>	2.284×10 <sup>-6</sup>	-3.220*				
	R	2.300×10 <sup>-6</sup>	9.151×10 <sup>-7</sup>	8.049×10 <sup>-7</sup>	$1.408 \times 10^{-6}$	2.441×10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.005×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-4.840*				
	Т	1.564×10 <sup>-7</sup>	8.006×10 <sup>-8</sup>	6.160×10 <sup>-8</sup>	-1.645×10 <sup>-8</sup>	-1.503×10 <sup>-8</sup>	8.819×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-1.671*				
	U	-1.327×10 <sup>-6</sup>	-5.842×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-5.105×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-9.380×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-1.322×10 <sup>-6</sup>	-6.523×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.222*				
	V	-4.000×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-8.892×10 <sup>-8</sup>	-7.962×10 <sup>-8</sup>	-2.101×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-4.474×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-9.940×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-7.070*				
	W	1.543×10 <sup>-6</sup>	6.303×10 <sup>-7</sup>	5.523×10 <sup>-7</sup>	9.879×10 <sup>-7</sup>	1.718×10 <sup>-6</sup>	6.870×10 <sup>-6</sup>	-6.878*				
	Х	-3.414×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-1.797×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-1.540×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-2.986×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-5.659×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-1.998×10 <sup>-6</sup>	-6.650**				

BW, body weight; TL, total length; BL, body length; CL, carapace length; CW, carapace width; AL, abdomen length; ST, survival time. The symbols \* and \*\* indicate significance at 0.05 and 0.01 probabilities, respectively.

Table 3. The highest SCA-ranked combinations for six growth traits (BW, TL, BL, CL, CW, and AL) of 47 combinations were:  $R_{d} \times P_{\varphi}$  (3.023),  $R_{d} \times P_{\varphi}$  (9.725),  $R_{d} \times P_{\varphi}$  (8.685),  $F_{d} \times F_{\varphi}$ (1.707),  $F \sigma \times F \varphi$  (0.791), and  $R \sigma \times P \varphi$  (7.216), respectively. The combinations with the second-highest SCA values were  $G\sigma \times$ HQ (2.572), G3 × HQ (8.596), G3 × HQ (7.648), R3 × PQ (1.466),  $R\sigma \times PQ$  (0.716), and  $G\sigma \times HQ$  (6.344), respectively. The ratios of GCA variance to phenotypic variance for parental growth and multiple stress tolerance traits ranged from 1.006  $\times$  $10^{-6}$ % to 4.536 ×  $10^{-5}$ % and from 0.253% to 6.502%, respectively. The ratios of variance of the SCA to the phenotypic variance of the growth and multiple stress tolerance traits of the parents were 10.125% to 11.351% and 6.502%, respectively. In addition, the ratio of GCA variance to the phenotypic variance was less for the female parent (0.253%) than for the male parent (Table 4). And the parental P-value ranged from  $2.69 \times 10^{-63}$  to  $2.19 \times 10^{-6}$  (Table 4).

# Heterosis of growth and integrated stress tolerance traits in *L. vannamei* hybrid combinations

Figure 1 shows growth and multiple stress tolerance heterosis in *L. vannamei*. The heterosis ranges of seven traits (BW, TL, BL, CL, CW, AL, and multiple stress tolerance) in 24 hybrid combinations were -18.977% to 46.518%, -7.508% to 15.288%, -7.483% to 15.214%, -4.815% to 9.838%, -5.504% to 10.964%, -8.408% to 17.116%, and -50.42517% to 68.462%, respectively. In terms of BW, TL, BL, CL, CW, and AL, heterosis was highest in the combination Gđ × HQ: 46.518%, 15.288%, 15.214%, 9.838%, 10.964%, and 17.116%, respectively. The three best combinations of heterosis were Rđ × PQ (68.462%), Pđ × RQ (43.077%), and Nđ × OQ (24.500%). In addition, the heterosis of seven traits in 24 hybrid combinations had both positive and negative values, indicating that heterosis could be obtained by crossing and that harmful alleles can be exposed by hybridization.

#### Discussions

#### General combining abilities

Combining ability includes GCA (general combining ability) and SCA(Specific combining ability) (Hayman, 1957; Costa et al., 2019). GCA represents the average performance of parental lines in hybrid combinations, which is mainly improved by pure propagation; it provides information concerning the magnitude of additive genetic effects, which can be used to identify superior parents in breeding programs. High positive GCA values contribute to increased character expression, while negative values tend to have a reducing effect

(Hayman, 1957; Eisen et al., 1983). To our knowledge, this is the first attempt to analyze the combining ability for multiple stress tolerance traits in L. vannamei. Our results showed that the GCAs of O strain females and B strain males were highest (0.602 and 8.889, respectively). Thus, females of strain O and males of strain B could be used as the maternal and paternal lines, respectively, to increase multiple stress resistance in the next generation. The GCAs for six growth traits (BW, TL, BL, CL, CW, and AL) in male and female parents ranged from  $-9.061 \times$  $10^{-6}$  to  $1.298 \times 10^{-5}$  and from  $-2.088 \times 10^{-6}$  to  $3.210 \times 10^{-6}$ , respectively; these values were close to 0. Similar results were previously reported for agricultural papaya (Eisen et al., 1983), maize (Khamphasan et al., 2020), cotton (Hinze et al., 2011), rice, and tilapia (Lin et al., 2016), where the traits had GCAs of 0 or close to 0. The observation of a GCA close to 0 for the growth traits of L. vannamei indicated that there is little potential for continued selection to achieve genetic improvement; crossbreeding should be performed in combination with the results of SCA for strain improvement.

#### Specific combining ability

SCA refers to the heterosis between two specific populations that exceed the GCA. Nonadditive effects can be measured using the SCA to determine the presence of epistasis and heterosis. Our results showed that the highest SCA-ranked combinations for six growth traits (BW, TL, BL, CL, CW, and AL) of 47 combinations were:  $R_{3} \times P_{2}$  (3.023),  $R_{3} \times P_{2}$  (9.725),  $R_{3} \times P_{2}$  (8.685),  $F_{3} \times P_{3}$ FQ (1.707),  $F_{\mathcal{O}} \times F_{\mathcal{Q}}$  (0.791), and  $R_{\mathcal{O}} \times P_{\mathcal{Q}}$  (7.216), respectively. The combinations with the second-highest SCA values were  $G\sigma \times$ HQ (2.572), G3 × HQ (8.596), G3 × HQ (7.648), R3 × PQ (1.466),  $R_{\mathcal{O}} \times P_{\mathcal{O}}$  (0.716), and  $G_{\mathcal{O}} \times H_{\mathcal{O}}$  (6.344), respectively. Among the 47 combinations, the highest SCA for multiple stress tolerance was observed for NJ  $\times$  OQ (30.131). These results indicate that RJ  $\times$ PQ,  $G\sigma \times HQ$ , and  $F\sigma \times FQ$  could be used as candidate mating combinations to obtain offspring with high growth rates. The combination  $N\sigma \times OQ$  was selected as the candidate mating combination for the offspring with multiple stress tolerance. In addition, the ratios of the GCA variance to phenotypic variance for parental growth and multiple stress tolerance traits ranged from  $1.006 \times 10^{-6}$ % to  $4.536 \times 10^{-5}$ % and from 0.253% to 6.502%, respectively. The ratios of variance of the SCA to the phenotypic variance of the growth and multiple stress tolerance traits of the parents were from 10.125% to 11.351% and 6.502%, respectively. Moreover, the ratio of the GCA variance to the phenotypic variance was less for the female parent (0.253%) than for the male parent. These observations indicate that the parental SCA played a dominant role in progeny trait performance, and the paternal effect greatly affected the multiple stress tolerance. The dominant role of SCA in the growth and multiple stress tolerance indicates that the L. vannamei population has high heterosis, rich genetic diversity, and unstable genetic variation; thus, this

Combination		Specific combining ability							
	BW (g)	TL (mm)	BL (mm)	CL (mm)	CW (mm)	AL (mm)	ST (h)		
Að×AQ	-0.261	-1.809	-1.607	0.725	0.385	-1.321	2.700		
A♂×E♀	-0.317	-1.707	-1.473	-0.273	-0.142	-1.257	18.417		
A♂×M♀	1.535	5.640	5.111	0.855	0.398	4.231	-23.553*		
B♂×A♀	0.854	2.617	2.354	0.360	0.183	1.961	8.314		
B♂×BQ	-1.321*	-4.140*	-3.733*	-0.676*	-0.356*	-3.127*	13.349		
B♂×D♀	-0.140	-0.353	-0.312	-0.090	-0.050	-0.278	-0.103		
B♂×O♀	-0.570*	-1.664	-1.475	-0.265	-0.155	-1.266	14.011		
Сð×С♀	0.705	2.978	2.650	0.448	0.196	2.165	24.007		
C♂×I♀	-1.244*	-4.263*	-3.796*	-0.678*	-0.358*	-3.187*	-16.895		
C&×NQ	-1.068*	-3.700*	-3.234*	-0.656*	-0.315*	-2.646	26.567		
D♂×B♀	1.159	4.475	3.976	0.661	0.313	3.284	2.273		
D♂×D♀	-0.846*	-2.724	-2.381	-0.473*	-0.240*	-1.971	11.325		
Dð×N♀	1.675	5.882	5.225	0.892	0.414	4.310	-9.357		
E♂×D♀	0.285	1.699	1.496	0.226	0.093	1.226	13.572		
Eð×EQ	-1.077*	-3.115*	-2.757*	-0.516*	-0.280*	-2.305	26.979		
Eð×KQ	-1.730**	-6.405*	-5.748*	-1.051*	-0.518	-4.768*	-12.388		
Eð×Q♀	0.252	1.299	1.117	0.145	0.062	0.926	-23.019*		
Fð×Fq	0.894	3.322	2.960	1.707	0.791	2.465	12.005		
Gð×D♀	-2.093**	-7.238**	-6.406**	-1.162**	-0.581**	-5.319*	-5.242		
Gð×G♀	-0.106	0.373	0.290	0.023	-0.010	0.211	5.953		
Gð×H9	2.572	8.596	7.648	1.328	0.643	6.344	-21.509*		
Gð×Pq	-0.184	-2.068	-1.889	-0.475*	-0.177	-1.476	-3.323		
H3×H9	-1.022*	-3.130*	-2.761*	-0.521*	-0.275*	-2.304	14.908		
Ið×I♀	0.299	1.004	0.906	0.097	0.060	0.764	5.277		
J♂×J♀	-1.234*	-5.803*	-5.200*	-1.043*	-0.420*	-4.231*	14.218		
Jo×J¥ K♂×E♀	-0.081	-0.475	-0.455	-0.138	-0.420	-4.231	2.821		
	0.450	0.656	0.643	-0.061	0.058*	0.662	-1.984		
K♂×K♀									
Lð×LQ	-0.664*	-1.844	-1.631 -7.343**	-0.313	-0.175	-1.380	4.036		
M&×MQ	-2.221**	-8.235**		-1.398**	-0.634**	-6.025**	11.463		
N&×DQ	1.583	6.042	5.312	0.905	0.431	4.387	-23.868*		
N&×NQ	-0.095	0.499	0.435	0.062	-0.005	0.325	14.158		
Nð×O9	-0.230	-0.943	-0.804	-0.196	-0.087	-0.661	30.131		
O♂×B♀	-0.615*	-2.956*	-2.614*	-0.576*	-0.216*	-2.105	12.266		
O&×OQ	-1.045*	-4.023*	-3.561*	-0.704*	-0.324*	-2.925*	8.004		
P♂×K♀	-0.058	0.527	0.404	0.034	-0.003	0.321	-22.506*		
P♂×P♀	1.641	4.733	4.302	0.644	0.330	3.630	-14.921		
P♂×R♀	1.739	6.426	5.667	0.966	0.457	4.678	2.393		
Q♂×Q♀	0.466	2.135	1.881	0.311	0.128	1.519	-12.886		
R♂×K♀	-0.055	0.066	0.047	0.016	-0.018	-0.019	-9.118		
R♂×P♀	3.023	9.725	8.685	1.466	0.716	7.216	8.834		
R♂×R♀	-0.452	-0.679	-0.617	-0.161	-0.099	-0.511	-19.086*		
T♂×T♀	0.171	0.797	0.621	-0.015	-0.004	0.586	-6.685		
U♂×U♀	-1.451*	-5.816*	-5.147*	-0.880*	-0.324*	-4.337*	0.887		
V♂×V♀	-0.438	-0.885	-0.803	-0.197	-0.110	-0.661	-28.293*		
W♂×E♀	1.774	6.424	5.732	0.961	0.463	4.748	-32.799*		
₩♂×₩₽	-0.086	-0.148	-0.164	-0.035	-0.042	-0.180	5.278		
X♂×X♀	-0.374	-1.789	-1.553	-0.280	-0.139	-1.329	-26.612*		

#### TABLE 3 SCAs for 47 combinations of *L. vannamei* at 15 week old.

BW, TL, BL, CL, CW, AL, ST: see legend in Table 2. The symbols \* and \*\* indicate significance at 0.05 and 0.01 probabilities, respectively.

Varia	nces	Traits									
		BW (g)	TL (mm)	BL (mm)	CL (mm)	CW (mm)	AL (mm)	ST (h)			
Dam	Variance of GCA ( $\sigma_{GCA}^2$ )	2.870×10 <sup>-7</sup>	2.485×10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.988×10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.448×10 <sup>-7</sup>	5.197×10 <sup>-7</sup>	1.421×10 <sup>-6</sup>	3.243			
	Variance ratio of GCA $(\sigma_{GCA}^2/\sigma_P^2)$	1.673×10 <sup>-6</sup> %	1.135×10 <sup>-6</sup> %	1.148×10 <sup>-6</sup> %	2.635×10 <sup>-6</sup> %	4.109×10 <sup>-5</sup> %	1.197×10 <sup>-6</sup> %	0.253%			
	<i>P</i> -value	2.19×10 <sup>-6</sup>	$1.44 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.41 \times 10^{-6}$	1.75×10 <sup>-11</sup>	2.57×10 <sup>-10</sup>	1.24×10 <sup>-6</sup>	3.55×10 <sup>-59</sup>			
Sire	Variance of GCA ( $\sigma_{GCA}^2$ )	1.609×10 <sup>-6</sup>	2.227×10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.742×10 <sup>-6</sup>	6.649×10 <sup>-7</sup>	5.737×10 <sup>-7</sup>	1.812×10 <sup>-5</sup>	83.264			
	Variance ratio of GCA . $(\sigma_{GCA}^2/\sigma_P^2)$ .	9.383×10 <sup>-6</sup> %	1.017×10 <sup>-6</sup> %	1.006×10 <sup>-6</sup> %	1.210×10 <sup>-5</sup> %	4.536×10 <sup>-5</sup> %	1.527×10 <sup>-5</sup> %	6.502%			
	<i>P</i> -value	2.06×10 <sup>-10</sup>	6.06×10 <sup>-12</sup>	5.78×10 <sup>-12</sup>	$1.04 \times 10^{-17}$	$1.37 \times 10^{-15}$	7.14×10 <sup>-12</sup>	2.69×10 <sup>-63</sup>			
Varianc	e of SCA ( $\sigma_{SCA}^2$ )	1.761	22.174	17.564	0.624	0.141	12.050	331.278			
Varianc	e ratio of SCA ( $\sigma_{SCA}^2/\sigma_P^2$ )	10.265%	10.125%	10.143%	11.351%	11.132%	10.151%	25.870%			
Phenoty	vpic variance $(\sigma_p^2)$	17.157	218.994	173.160	5.495	1.265	118.712	1280.523			

TABLE 4 Variance components of combining ability for growth and multiple stress tolerance traits in L. vannamei.

BW, TL, BL, CL, CW, AL, ST: see legend in Table 2.

population is suitable for cross-breeding. Studies on aquatic animals, such as catfish (Bosworth and Waldbieser, 2014), salmon (Vandeputte et al., 2002), rainbow trout (Henryon et al., 2002), sea bass (Wang et al., 2006), and Atlantic cod (Tosh et al., 2010), have shown that the breeding traits of the progeny can be markedly influenced by the maternal genetic effects. This is in contrast to that noted in the present results. However, a previous study showed that the paternal effect was greater than the maternal effect in terms of breeding traits in the sea cucumber (Liu, 2015), tilapia (Tang et al., 2015), and *Sinonovacula constricta* (Li, 2018).

# Analysis of heterosis for growth and integrated stress tolerance traits

Heterosis is a phenomenon in which the progeny of a parental cross of two different populations is superior to its parents in terms of reproduction, survival, and growth (Burke and Arnold, 2001; Hua et al., 2003; Hochholdinger and Hoecker, 2007). In commercial production environments, heterosis is analyzed to evaluate the feasibilities of various hybridization schemes. In the present study, the heterosis of 7 traits (BW, TL, BL, CL, CW, AL, and ST) in 24 hybrid combinations had positive and negative values ranging from -18.977% to 46.518%, -7.508% to 15.288%, -7.483% to 15.214%, -4.815% to 9.838%, -5.504% to 10.964%, -8.408% to 17.116%, and -50.42517% to 68.462%, respectively. Therefore, hybridization led to both heterosis and exposure to harmful alleles. This was consistent with the results of a previous study (Lu et al., 2016), in which the heterosis (-13.36% to 13.80%) of the body weight of *L*. vannamei had both positive and negative values. Similar results have been reported in aquatic animals, such as bighead carp growth and survival (-55.9% to 13.8%) (Duong et al., 2022) and abalone growth and survival (-10.8% to 41.4%) (Li et al., 2017). Lu et al. (2016) suggested that a large amount of heterosis may be

caused by the accumulation of favorable dominant alleles or dominant alleles that masking recessive deleterious alleles in hybrids. From the perspective that inbreeding exposes harmful genes, heterosis is only a compensation for the decline caused by inbreeding (i.e., a hybrid progeny does not show any advantages but may show disadvantages) (Tian et al., 2007; Yuan et al., 2015). Dunham (2011) argued that crosses between wild and farmed (domesticated) strains could result in positive heterosis, outbreeding suppression (or negative heterosis), or moderate heterosis. In general, crosses can provide information concerning the frequency of heterozygotes among progenies, produce a degree of heterosis, and can help to alleviate inbreeding decline.

In addition, this study identified a hybrid combination of  $G_{\sigma} \times$  HQ, which generally has high SCAs for BW (2.572), TL (8.596), BL (7.648), and AL (6.344). The combination of six growth traits [BW (46.518%), TL (15.288%), BL (15.214%), CL (9.838%), CW (10.964%), and heterosis of AL (17.116%)] for  $G_{\sigma} \times$  HQ was the highest among all combinations. The SCA for the hybrid combination N $\sigma \times$  OQ (30.131) was the highest, while it's heterosis (24.500%) was the third-highest. Thus, the combination  $G_{\sigma} \times$  HQ can be used to achieve rapid growth, while N $\sigma \times$  OQ can be used to achieve multiple stress tolerance.

## Conclusion

In this study, the parental model was used to analyze the GCA and SCA among six *L. vannamei* germplasm populations; heterosis was analyzed in each population. The results showed that the growth and multiple stress tolerance performance of the hybrid offspring were mainly affected by the parental SCA, which implies that the effect of genetic improvement through cross-breeding will be better. Strain O females and strain B males can serve as the maternal and paternal parental lines for the next generation to achieve multiple stress resistance. The growth



traits of the hybrid combination  $G\sigma \times HQ$  exhibited the highest heterosis and a generally high SCA, compared with other examined mating combinations examined. The  $N\sigma \times OQ$ combination had the highest SCA for multiple stress tolerance and the third-highest heterosis. Therefore, in the future,  $G\sigma \times HQ$ can be considered the preferred combination to improve the growth rate of the offspring, and  $N\sigma \times OQ$  as the preferred combination to improve the multi-factor stress tolerance of the offspring.

#### Data availability statement

The raw data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request: JL, liujy70@126.com.

#### **Ethics statement**

This study was carried out in accordance with the requirements of Care and Use of Laboratory Animals in China, Animal Ethical and Welfare Committee of China Experimental Animal Society. The protocol was reviewed and approved by the Animal Ethical and Welfare Committee of Guangdong Ocean University, China.

## Author contributions

JL designed the study and provided relative experiment material. LW analyzed data, carried out the experiment and wrote this manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

#### References

Argue, B. J., Arce, S. M., Lotz, J. M., and Moss, S. M. (2002). Selective breeding of pacific white shrimp (litopenaeus vannamei) for growth and resistance to taura syndrome virus. *Aquaculture* 204, 447–460. doi: 10.1016/s0044-8486(01)00830-4

Bosworth, B., and Waldbieser, G. (2014). Reprint of: General and specific combining ability of male blue catfish (ictalurus furcatus) and female channel catfish (ictalurus punctatus) for growth and carcass yield of their f-1 hybrid progeny. *Aquaculture* 420, S29–S35. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2014.01.017

Bureau of Fisheries (2021). "National fisheries technology extension station, China fisheries association," in *China Fishery statistical yearbook* (Beijing: China Agriculture Press). M. o. A. a. R. A.

Burke, J. M., and Arnold, M. L. (2001). Genetics and the fitness of hybrids. Annu. Rev. Genet. 35, 31–52. doi: 10.1146/annurev.genet.35.102401.085719

Butler, D. G., Cullis, B. R., Gilmour, A. R., Gogel, B. G., and Thompson, R. (2017). *Asreml-r reference manual version 4* (Hemel Hempstead, HP1 1ES, UK: VSN International Ltd).

Chaivichoo, P., Koonawootrittriron, S., Chatchaiphan, S., Srimai, W., and Na-Nakorn, U. (2020). Genetic components of growth traits of the hybrid between male north african catfish (clarias gariepinus burchell 1822) and female bighead

# Funding

This study was financially supported by the National Key R&D Plan "Blue Granary Science and Technology Innovation" key special project in 2020 (2020YFD0900205) and 2019 Guangdong Provincial Science and Technology Special Fund ("Special Project + Task List") Competitive Distribution Project (2019A04008).

#### Acknowledgments

The authors thank Hongbiao Zhuo, Shuo Fu, Dongshui Luo, Rongye Yang, Haixin Ou, Jiahao Liang, and Jing Wang for their valuable technical assistance in both the laboratory and field trials.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

#### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

catfish (c. macrocephalus gunther 1864). Aquaculture 521, 735082. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2020.735082

Chen, J. C., Liu, P. C., and Lin, Y. T. (1988). Super intensive culture of red-tailed shrimp penaeus penicillatus. *J World Aquaculture Soc.* 19, 127–131. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-7345.1988.tb00940.x

Colombani, N., Giambastiani, B. M. S., and Mastrocicco, M. (2017). Impact of climate variability on the salinization of the coastal wetland-aquifer system of the po delta, italy. *J. Water Supply Res. Technology-Aqua* 7, 430–441. doi: 10.2166/aqua.2017.115

Costa, A. C., Botelho, H. A., da Silva Gomes, R. C., de Sousa Campos, S. A., Reis Neto, R. V., Balestre, M., et al. (2019). General and specific combining ability in serrasalmidae. *Aquaculture Res.* 50, 717–724. doi: 10.1111/are.13913

Cui, Y. T., Ren, X. Y., Li, J., Zhai, Q. Q., Feng, Y. Y., Xu, Y., et al. (2017). Effects of ammonia-n stress on metabolic and immune function *via* the neuroendocrine system in litopenaeus vannamei. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 64, 270–275. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2017.03.028

Dai, P., Wang, H. X., Xiao, G. Q., and Liu, B. Z. (2014). Combining ability and heterosis analysis over two environments in a diallel cross of three families of the

clam meretrix meretrix. Acta Oceanologica Sin. 33, 37–42. doi: 10.1007/s13131-014-0539-x

De Donato, M., Manrique, R., Ramirez, R., Mayer, L., and Howell, C. (2005). Mass selection and inbreeding effects on a cultivated strain of penaeus (litopenaeus) vannamei in venezuela. *Aquaculture* 247, 159–167. doi: 10.1016/ j.aquaculture.2005.02.005

Deng, Y. W., Liu, X., Wu, F. C., and Zhang, G. F. (2008). Experimental evaluation of heterobeltiosis and heterosis between two populations of pacific abalone haliotis discus hannai ino. *Acta Oceanologica Sin.* 27, 112–119.

Deng, Y. W., Liu, X., Zhang, G. F., and Wu, F. C. (2010). Heterosis and combining ability a diallel cross of three geographically isolated populations of pacific abalone haliotis discus hannai ino. *Chin. J. Oceanology Limnology* 28, 1195–1199. doi: 10.1007/s00343-010-9903-7

Dong, L. J. (2018). Evaluation of genetic parameters and screening related genes of cold tolerance traits in shrimp (Shanghai: Shanghai Ocean University).

Duan, Y. F., Wang, Y., Liu, Q. S., Zhang, J. S., and Xiong, D. L. (2019). Changes in the intestine barrier function of litopenaeus vannamei in response to ph stress. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 88, 142–149. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2019.02.047

Duong, T.-Y., Nguyen, N.-T. T., Nguyen, T. T., Huynh, L. T. T., Bui, T. M., Pham, L. T., et al. (2022). Growth and survival of crossbreeds between wild and domestic strains of mekong delta bighead catfish (clarias macrocephalus gunther 1864) cultured in tanks. *Aquaculture* 547, 737507. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2021.737507

Eisen, E. J., Horstgen-Schwark, G., Saxton, A. M., and Bandy, T. R. (1983). Genetic interpretation and analysis of diallel crosses with animals. TAG. theoretical and applied genetics. *Theoretische und angewandte Genetik* 65, 17–23. doi: 10.1007/ bf00276256

Gjedrem, T. (2012). Genetic improvement for the development of efficient global aquaculture: A personal opinion review. *Aquaculture* 344, 12–22. doi: 10.1016/ j.aquaculture.2012.03.003

Hayman, B. I. (1957). Interaction, heterosis and diallel crosses. *Genetics* 42, 336-355. doi: 10.1093/genetics/42.3.336

Hedgecock, D., and Davis, J. P. (2007). Heterosis for yield and crossbreeding of the pacific oyster crassostrea gigas. *Aquaculture* 272, S17–S29. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2007.07.226

Henryon, M., Jokumsen, A., Berg, P., Lund, I., Pedersen, P. B., Olesen, N. J., et al. (2002). Genetic variation for growth rate, feed conversion efficiency, and disease resistance exists within a farmed population of rainbow trout. *Aquaculture* 209, 59–76. doi: 10.1016/s0044-8486(01)00729-3

Hinze, L. L., Campbell, B. T., and Kohel, R. J. (2011). Performance and combining ability in cotton (gossypium hirsutum l.) populations with diverse parents. *Euphytica* 181, 115–125. doi: 10.1007/s10681-011-0442-x

Hochholdinger, F., and Hoecker, N. (2007). Towards the molecular basis of heterosis. *Trends Plant Sci.* 12, 427-432. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2007.08.005

Huang, Y., Li, Q., Yuan, Y., Zhang, Z., Jiang, B., Yang, S., et al. (2022). Silencing of nrf2 in litopenaeus vannamei, decreased the antioxidant capacity, and increased apoptosis and autophagy. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 122, 257–267. doi: 10.1016/ j.fsi.2022.02.010

Hua, J. P., Xing, Y. Z., Wu, W. R., Xu, C. G., Sun, X. L., Yu, S. B., et al. (2003). Single-locus heterotic effects and dominance by dominance interactions can adequately explain the genetic basis of heterosis in an elite rice hybrid. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. United States America* 100, 2574–2579. doi: 10.1073/ pnas.0437907100

Hu, Z. G., Liu, J. Y., Yuan, R. P., and Zhang, J. C. (2016). Combining ability for resistance of litopenaeus vannamei toammonia nitrogen and dissolved oxygen. *South China Fisheries Sci.* 12, 43–49. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.2095-0780.2016.01.007

Huo, Z. M., Yan, X. W., Zhao, L. Q., Liang, J., Yang, F., and Zhang, G. F. (2015). Larval and juvenile growth performance of manila clam hybrids of two full-sib families. *J. Ocean Univ. China* 14, 564–568. doi: 10.1007/s11802-015-2354-1

Joseph, A., and Philip, R. (2020). Immunocompetence of penaeus monodon under acute salinity stress and pathogenicity of vibrio harveyi with respect to ambient salinity. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 106, 555–562. doi: 10.1016/ j.fsi.2020.07.067

Khamphasan, P., Lomthaisong, K., Harakotr, B., Scott, M. P., Lertrat, K., and Suriharn, B. (2020). Combining ability and heterosis for agronomic traits, husk and cob pigment concentration of maize. *Agriculture-Basel* 10, 510. doi: 10.3390/ agriculture10110510

Kong, J., Luan, S., Tan, J., Sui, J., Luo, K., and Li, X. (2020). Progress of study on penaeid shrim selective breeding. *Periodical Ocean Univ. China* 50, 81–97. doi: 10.16441/j.cnki.hdxb.20200033

Li, M. D., Li, J. T., Shi, K. P., He, Y. Y., Gao, B. Q., Liu, P., et al. (2021). Estimation of heritability and genetic correlation of saline-alkali tolerance in exopalaemon carinicauda. *Prog. Fishery Sci.* 42, 117–123. doi: 10.19663/j.issn2095-9869.20200221001

Lin, M. X., Yang, J., Yue, W. C., Kang, W., Lei, S. Y., Du, J. X., et al. (2016). Analysis of combining ability, heterosis and genetic correlation on growth traits of gift tilapia. *South China Fisheries Sci.* 12, 1–6. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.2095-0780.2016.02.001

Liu, S. L. (2015). Selective breeding and performance appraisal in thermotolerant strain of apostichopus japonicus. Qingdao: Ocean University of China.

Liu, J. H., Zheng, J. J., and Liu, J. Y. (2019). Genetic parameters for growthrelated traits and survival with age in the kuruma shrimp marsupenaeus japonicus. *Aquaculture Res.* 50, 42–48. doi: 10.1111/are.13862

Li, J. Q., Wang, M. L., Fang, J. G., Liu, X., Mao, Y. Z., Liu, G. M., et al. (2017). Reproductive performance of one-year-old pacific abalone (haliotis discus hannai) and its crossbreeding effect on offspring growth and survival. *Aquaculture* 473, 110–114. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2017.01.034

Lu, X., Luan, S., Cao, B. X., Meng, X. H., Sui, J., Dai, P., et al. (2017b). Estimation of genetic parameters and genotype-by-environment interactions related to acute ammonia stress in pacific white shrimp (litopenaeus vannamei) juveniles at two different salinity levels. *PloS One* 12, e0173835. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0173835

Lu, X., Luan, S., Cao, B., Sui, J., Dai, P., Meng, X., et al. (2017a). Heterosis and heritability estimates for the survival of the pacific white shrimp (litopenaeus vannamei) under the commercial scale ponds. *Acta Oceanologica Sin.* 36, 62–68. doi: 10.1007/s13131-016-0942-6

Lu, X., Luan, S., Luo, K., Meng, X. H., Li, W. J., Sui, J., et al. (2016). Genetic analysis of the pacific white shrimp (litopenaeus vannamei): Heterosis and heritability for harvest body weight. *Aquaculture Res.* 47, 3365–3375. doi: 10.1111/are.12820

Maluwa, A. O., and Gjerde, B. (2006). Genetic evaluation of four strains of oreochromis shiranus for harvest body weight in a diallel cross. *Aquaculture* 259, 28–37. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2006.06.003

Pillai, B. R., and Diwan, A. D. (2002). Effects of acute salinity stress on oxygen consumption and ammonia excretion rates of the marine shrimp metapenaeus monoceros. *J. Crustacean Biol.* 22, 45–52. doi: 10.1651/0278-0372(2002)022[0045: Eoasso]2.0.Co;2

Sui, J., Luan, S., Luo, K., Meng, X. H., Lu, X., Cao, B. X., et al. (2016). Genetic parameters and response to selection for harvest body weight of pacific white shrimp, litopenaeus vannamei. *Aquaculture Res.* 47, 2795–2803. doi: 10.1111/are.12729

Tang, Z. Y., Chen, W. Z., Luo, Y. J., Liang, J. N., Guo, Z. B., Zhong, H., et al. (2015). Estimating quantitative trait heritability for tilapia nilotica of different months. *Oceanologia Limnologia Sin.* 46, 1180–1185.

Tian, Y., Kong, J., Yang, C. H., Zhang, T. S., and Luo, K. (2007). Study on hybridization of two different populations of fenneropenaeus chinensis. *Haiyang Xuebao* 29, 157–161.

Tosh, J. J., Garber, A. F., Trippel, E. A., and Robinson, J. A. B. (2010). Genetic maternal and environmental variance components for body weight and length of atlantic cod at 2 points in life. *J. Anim. Sci.* 88, 3513–3521. doi: 10.2527/jas.2009-2676

Tran, Q. V., Tran, L. T., Nguyen, D. T. K., Ta, L. H., Nguyen, L. V., and Nguyen, T. T. (2021). Dataset on the agronomic characteristics and combining ability of new parental lines in the two-line hybrid rice systems in vietnam. *Data Brief* 36, 107069–107069. doi: 10.1016/j.dib.2021.107069

Vandeputte, M., Quillet, E., and Chevassus, B. (2002). Early development and survival in brown trout (salmo trutta fario l.): Indirect effects of selection for growth rate and estimation of genetic parameters. *Aquaculture* 204, 435–445. doi: 10.1016/ s0044-8486(01)00829-8

Wang, H., Luo, K., Luan, S., Kong, J., Xu, S. Y., and Chen, B. L. (2013). Combining ability of hybrid generation from the introduced populations of litopenaeus vannamei. *J. Fisheries China* 37, 489–495. doi: 10.3724/ SP.1.1231.2013.38339

Wang, X. X., Ross, K. E., Saillant, E., Gatlin, D. M.III, and Gold, J. R. (2006). Quantitative genetics and heritability of growth-related traits in hybrid striped bass (morone chrysops female x morone saxatilis male). *Aquaculture* 261, 535–545. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2006.07.032

Wang, C. Y., Wang, L., Liu, J. Y., and Fu, X. L. (2022). Analysis of combining ability and heterosis on growth trait and salinity tolerance of different litopenaeus vannamei populations. *Oceanologia Limnologia Sin.* 53, 161–167.

Yan, L. L., Su, J. Q., Wang, Z. Q., Yan, X. W., and Yu, R. H. (2017). Selection of reference genes for expression analysis of kumamoto and portuguese oysters and their hybrid. J. Ocean Univ. China 16, 1139–1147. doi: 10.1007/s11802-017-3339-z

Yao, T., Zhang, Y. H., Yan, X. W., Wang, Z. P., Li, D. C., Su, J. Q., et al. (2015). Interspecific hybridization between crassostrea angulata and c-ariakensis. *J. Ocean Univ. China* 14, 710–716. doi: 10.1007/s11802-015-2546-8

Ye, L., Jiang, S. G., Zhu, X. M., Yang, Q. B., Wen, W. G., and Wu, K. C. (2009). Effects of salinity on growth and energy budget of juvenile penaeus monodon. *Aquaculture* 290, 140–144. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2009.01.028

Yuan, R., Hu, Z., Liu, J., and Zhang, J. (2018). Genetic parameters for growthrelated traits and survival in pacific white shrimp, litopenaeus vannamei under conditions of high ammonia-n concentrations. *Turkish J. Fisheries Aquat. Sci.* 18, 37–47. doi: 10.4194/1303-2712-v18\_1\_05

Yuan, R. P., Liu, J. Y., Zhang, J. C., and Hu, Z. G. (2015). A comparative study of hypoxia and high-ammonia resistance between litopenaeus vannamei inbred and hybrid offspring at different stages. *J. Fishery Sci. China* 22, 410–417. doi: 10.3724/SP.J.1118.2015.14305

Yu, Q. R., Xie, J., Huang, M. X., Chen, C. Z., Qian, D. W., Qin, J. G., et al. (2020). Growth and health responses to a long-term ph stress in pacific white shrimp litopenaeus vannamei. *Aquaculture Rep.* 16, 100280. doi: 10.1016/j.aqrep.2020.100280 Zhang, J., Cao, F., Liu, J., and Yuan, R. (2017a). Genetic parameters for growth and survival traits in litopenaeus vannamei at different ages. *Aquaculture Int.* 25, 1901–1911. doi: 10.1007/s10499-017-0163-9

Zhang, J., Cao, F., Liu, J., Yuan, R., and Hu, Z. (2017b). Genetic parameters for growth and hypoxic tolerance traits in pacific white shrimp litopenaeus vannamei at different ages. *North Am. J. Aquaculture* 79, 75-83. doi: 10.1080/15222055.2016.1194923

Zhou, J., Wang, W. N., Wang, A. L., He, W. Y., Zhou, Q. T., Liu, Y., et al. (2009). Glutathione s-transferase in the white shrimp litopenaeus vannamei: Characterization and regulation under ph stress. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. C-Toxicology Pharmacol.* 150, 224–230. doi: 10.1016/j.cbpc.2009.04.012