



OPEN ACCESS

APPROVED BY
Frontiers Editorial Office, Frontiers
Media SA, Switzerland

*CORRESPONDENCE
Sarina Michaelis
✉ michaelis@uni-kassel.de

SPECIALTY SECTION
This article was submitted to
Animal Welfare and Policy,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Animal Science

RECEIVED 18 November 2022
ACCEPTED 01 December 2022
PUBLISHED 05 January 2023

CITATION
Michaelis S, Schubbert A, Gieseke D,
Cimer K, Zapf R, Lühken S, March S,
Brinkmann J, Schultheiß U and
Knierim U (2023) Corrigendum: A
comparison of online and live training
of livestock farmers for an on-farm
self-assessment of animal welfare.
Front. Anim. Sci. 3:1102029.
doi: 10.3389/fanim.2022.1102029

COPYRIGHT
© 2023 Michaelis, Schubbert, Gieseke,
Cimer, Zapf, Lühken, March, Brinkmann,
Schultheiß and Knierim. This is an open-
access article distributed under the
terms of the [Creative Commons
Attribution License \(CC BY\)](#). The use,
distribution or reproduction in other
forums is permitted, provided the
original author(s) and the copyright
owner(s) are credited and that the
original publication in this journal is
cited, in accordance with accepted
academic practice. No use,
distribution or reproduction is
permitted which does not comply with
these terms.

Corrigendum: A comparison of online and live training of livestock farmers for an on-farm self-assessment of animal welfare

Sarina Michaelis^{1*}, Antje Schubbert², Daniel Gieseke¹,
Kornel Cimer³, Rita Zapf⁴, Sally Lühken², Solveig March³,
Jan Brinkmann³, Ute Schultheiß⁴ and Ute Knierim¹

¹Farm Animal Behavior and Husbandry Section, University of Kassel, Kassel, Germany, ²Friedrich-Loeffler Institute of Animal Welfare and Animal Husbandry, Celle, Germany, ³Thünen Institute of Organic Farming, Westerau, Germany, ⁴Association for Technology and Structures in Agriculture, Darmstadt, Germany

KEYWORDS

animal welfare, training, animal-based indicators, self-assessment, reliability, feasibility

A corrigendum on

A comparison of online and live training of livestock farmers for an on-farm self-assessment of animal welfare

by Michaelis S, Schubbert A, Gieseke D, Cimer K, Zapf R, Lühken S, March S, Brinkmann J, Schultheiß U and Knierim U (2022) *Front. Anim. Sci.* 3:915708. doi: 10.3389/fanim.2022.915708.

In the published article, there was an error. In [Table 3](#) the first row of the table was set as the header.

The corrected [Table 3](#) and its caption appear below.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

TABLE 3 Comparison of the farmers' professional background between the online and live trained groups.

Experience with species [years]		Mean	Min.	Max.	No answer [farmer]			W	p-value	r
	Online:		17.6	1	48	0			2533.5	0.715
Live:		18.9	1	45	2					
Agricultural education		University or University of Applied Sciences degree	Master's certificate	Completed apprenticeship	Undergoing an apprenticeship	No formal agricultural qualification	No answer	W	p-value	r
	Online:	25	22	11	2	6	7	2008.0	0.612	0.024
Live:	19	27	11	3	4	5				
Position at the farm		Head of the farm	Head of a farm section	Employee	Apprentice	No answer		W	p-value	r
	Online:	42	9	15	1	6		1930.0	0.796	0.070
Live:	35	11	11	2	10					

W denotes the standardized test statistic and r the effect size (Mann-Whitney U test).