Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Yi Min Wan, National University Health System, Singapore

REVIEWED BY Kulvinder Kochar Kaur, Kulvinder Kaur Centre For Human Reproduction, India Rwei-Ling Yu, National Cheng Kung University, Taiwan

*CORRESPONDENCE Guojun He Image: Guode Contempositic Conte

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 24 September 2024 ACCEPTED 10 January 2025 PUBLISHED 24 January 2025

CITATION

Cheng Y, Chen L, Zhu H, Ge Y, Li L, Guo Y, Wang X, You S, He G and Xue S (2025) Baseline liver fibrosis-4 score correlates to the progression of anxiety and cognitive impairment in patients with Parkinson's disease. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 17:1501319.

doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2025.1501319

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Cheng, Chen, Zhu, Ge, Li, Guo, Wang, You, He and Xue. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Baseline liver fibrosis-4 score correlates to the progression of anxiety and cognitive impairment in patients with Parkinson's disease

Yongqing Cheng^{1,2†}, Li Chen^{3†}, Honghong Zhu^{4†}, Yingchao Ge⁵, Lei Li¹, Yan Guo¹, Xin Wang⁶, Shuangfei You¹, Guojun He^{1*} and Shouru Xue^{2*}

¹Department of Neurology, The Yancheng Clinical College of Xuzhou Medical University, The First People's Hospital of Yancheng, Yancheng, Jiangsu, China, ²Department of Neurology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University, Suzhou, Jiangsu, China, ³Department of Ophthalmology, Funing County People's Hospital, Yancheng, Jiangsu, China, ⁴Department of Rheumatology and Immunology, The Yancheng Clinical College of Xuzhou Medical University, The First People's Hospital of Yancheng, Yancheng, Jiangsu, China, ⁵Department of Neurology, Qidong Hospital Affiliated to Nantong University, Nantong, Jiangsu, China, ⁶Department of Radiology, The Yancheng Clinical College of Xuzhou Medical University, The First People's Hospital of Yancheng, Yancheng, Jiangsu, China

Background: Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) or liver fibrosis may share similar pathophysiological features with Parkinson's disease (PD), yet their correlation was unclear. This study aimed to explore their correlation between PD and liver fibrosis using the fibrosis-4 score (FIB-4) as a surrogate marker.

Methods: We analyzed Parkinson's Progression Markers Initiative (PPMI) data and enrolled PD patients with comprehensive baseline and 5-year follow-up timepoint clinical data. Participants were categorized based on FIB-4 levels to assess the association between FIB-4 scores and various clinical scales, controlling for potential confounders. Differences in the progression of clinical scales over five years were compared using generalized linear mixed models (GLMM).

Results: Baseline FIB-4 levels positively correlated to scores of baseline section III of the Unified-Parkinson Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS III) (r = 0.145, p = 0.017), Epworth Sleepiness Scale (EPSS) (r = 0.140, P = 0.022), Hopkins Verbal Learning Test (HVLT)-delayed recall (r = 0.128, P = 0.036) and HVLT-retention (r = 0.128, p = 0.036). GLMM analysis revealed an independent correlation between FIB-4 subgroup*time and several clinical scales including the State-trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI), Symbol Digit Modalities Test (SDMT), Semantic Fluency Test (SF), HVLT-total recall, and HVLT-delayed recall, with the high FIB-4 subgroup exhibiting a greater decline in these scores compared to the low FIB-4 subgroup (all p<0.05).

Conclusion: Elevated baseline FIB-4 correlated to more severe baseline daytime sleepiness, motor symptoms, and memory function in PD patients, along with a more rapid decline in cognitive functions such as executive function, information processing ability, and memory. Additionally, a high FIB-4 might confer a protective effect against anxiety.

KEYWORDS

liver fibrosis-4 score, Parkinson's disease, progression, cognitive function, anxiety

1 Introduction

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is the most common chronic liver disease globally, with an estimated incidence of approximately 25% in the general population (Powell et al., 2021). NAFLD a range of pathological conditions from simple steatosis to non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), with varying degrees of fibrosis and potential progression to cirrhosis (Chalasani et al., 2018). Liver fibrosis has been confirmed to be a predictor the severity, progression, and poor prognosis of NAFLD (Kaya and Yilmaz, 2022; Powell et al., 2021). Beyond its implications for liver diseases, liver fibrosis shares similar risk factors and common pathological mechanisms with cardiovascular diseases and has been shown to be closely associated with the occurrence and poor prognosis of cardiovascular events (Tang et al., 2023; Wen et al., 2022; Liu et al., 2021). The liver-brain axis theory has recently highlighted the potential association between NAFLD/liver fibrosis and neurological disorders (Lombardi et al., 2019; Vegas-Suárez et al., 2022). Although current research is inconclusive, it has been found that NAFLD and liver fibrosis might be associated with brain atrophy, cerebral hypoperfusion, reduced brain activity, cognitive impairment, and stroke severity and prognosis (Lombardi et al., 2019). A few recent studies have reported the potential association between NAFLD and PD but have drawn inconsistent conclusions (Jeong et al., 2021; van Kleef et al., 2023).

The gold standard for liver fibrosis is pathological biopsy, which is is invasive, costly, and carries risks of postoperative complications, making it unsuitable for large-scale screening. Various non-invasive surrogates for assessing liver fibrosis have been proposed based on some physical or biochemical markers (Lai et al., 2024). Fibrosis 4 score (FIB-4), converted from age, aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), and platelet, is recommended by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD) as an effective surrogate marker for liver fibrosis (2018). Accumulating evidence has confirmed that FIB-4 could be used to predict NAFLD progression and poor prognosis as well as the occurrence, progression, and poor prognosis of cardiovascular events (Schreiner et al., 2022; Vieira Barbosa et al., 2022a; Anstee et al., 2024). However, the relationship between FIB-4 and Parkinson's disease has been minimally explored. To the best of our knowledge, there is only one recent research that reported the potential correlation between FIB-4 and cognitive dysfunction in PD (Zolin et al., 2024). Still, it did not study the relationship between FIB-4 and other aspects of PD. Therefore, we designed this study to comprehensively investigate the potential correlation between FIB-4 and PD.

2 Materials and methods

Our study comprised both cross-sectional and retrospective cohort analyses, utilizing data from the Parkinson's Progression Markers Initiative (PPMI).¹ The PPMI is a extensive, multi-center, longitudinal study designed to collect diverse data to identify biological markers of PD progression (Marek et al., 2011). All data used in this study, details of the study design, procedures, and other protocols are freely accessible online.² The PPMI study was approved by the local Institutional Review Boards of all participating sites, and written informed consent was obtained from each participant. All methods were performed according to the relevant guidelines and regulations. The data was obtained from the PPMI database on November 10, 2023, following the PPMI Data User Agreement rules.

2.1 Participants

The inclusion has been reported in detail previously [16]. These criteria required participants to (1) be at least 30 years old at the time of PD diagnosis; (2) exhibit symptoms of asymmetric resting tremor or asymmetric bradykinesia or two symptoms of bradykinesia, resting tremor, and rigidity with a recent diagnosis of PD; (3) have a Hoehn and Yahr (H&Y) stage of 1 or 2; (4) have not received treatment for PD; and (5) have DAT imaging revealing a deficiency in dopamine transporters. Exclusion criteria included patients with atypical PD syndromes, those suspected of having progressive supranuclear palsy, or multiple system atrophy from the follow-up. In addition to meeting the PPMI eligibility criteria, patients who were enrolled in the current study must not have dementia or chronic liver disease at baseline and no missing baseline FIB-4-related hematology data. Meanwhile, eligible participants were required to have complete data on motor and nonmotor assessments at baseline and 5-year follow-up timepoint, as well as data from two or more assessments performed annually during the 5-year follow-up.

2.2 Clinical characteristics

Demographic characteristics of all subjects were collected, including sex, age, years of education, and disease duration of PD. Section III of the Unified-Parkinson Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS III) and the H&Y stages were used to assess the severity of movement disorders. The common non-motor symptoms were also evaluated. Global non-motor symptoms were assessed using section I of the UPDRS (UPDRS I), and activities of daily living were assessed using section II of the UPDRS (UPDRS II) and the Schwab & England Activities of Daily Living Scale (ADL). Depression was assessed with the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS), anxiety with the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI), autonomic nerve function with Scales for Outcomes in Parkinson's disease-Autonomic (SCOPA-AUT), daytime sleepiness with the Epworth Sleepiness Scale (EPSS), REM sleep behavior disorder with the REM Sleep Behavior Disorder Screening Questionnaire (RBDSQ), and impulsive-compulsive behaviors with the Questionnaire for Impulsive-Compulsive Disorders in Parkinson's Disease (QUIP). A comprehensive assessment of cognitive function included global cognition and cognitive subdomains. Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) was used to assess global cognition, the Benton Judgment of Line Orientation Test (BJLOT) for visuospatial function, the Letter Number Sequencing Test (LNST) for working memory and executive function, the Symbol Digit Modalities Test (SDMT) for attention and speed of information processing and the

¹ https://www.ppmi-info.org

² http://www.ppmi-info.org/study-design

Semantic Fluency Test (SFT) for semantic memory and executive function, and the Hopkins Verbal Learning Test (HVLT: including total recall, delayed recall, retention and recognition discrimination) for memory function. Olfactory dysfunction, as assessed by the University of Pennsylvania Smell Identification Test (UPSIT), was not included due to a lack of data for follow-up at a 5-year follow-up time-point. The score changes in clinical scales over 5-year follow-up were calculated as the 5-year follow-up time-point scores minus the baseline scores.

2.3 Laboratory characteristics

Blood samples were collected, stored, and handled according to the PPMI Research Biomarkers Laboratory manual.³ Baseline data collection included pre-enrollment screening or a baseline visit for hematological parameters necessary for FIB-4 calculation, including platelet count, alanine aminotransferase (ALT), and aspartate aminotransferase (AST).

The FIB-4 was calculated using the formula (Sterling et al., 2006):

$$FIB - 4 = age(years) \times AST(U/L) / [platelet(109/L) \times ALT(U/L)^{1/2}]$$

Prior research categorizes patients with NAFLD as either low-risk for advanced liver fibrosis with FIB-4 <1.3 or high-risk with FIB-4 \geq 1.3 (Mcpherson et al., 2017; Zolin et al., 2024). Therefore, Participants were accordingly divided into low FIB-4 and high FIB-4 subgroups based on their baseline FIB-4 levels.

2.4 Statistics

Continuous variables are described as mean and standard deviation (SD) or median and interquartile range (IQR) depending on their distribution. Categorical variables were presented as absolute number and percentage (%). The T-test, ANOVA, or Mann-Whitney U test were used to assess differences between the low and high FIB-4 subgroups. Correlations between baseline FIB-4 and clinical scales at baseline and 5-year follow-up time-point were analyzed using Pearman and Spearman correlation analysis, with FIB-4 as a continuous variable. All correlation analyses were adjusted for age, sex, education years, and disease duration of PD. Generalized linear mixed models (GLMM) were then performed to compare the longitudinal changes of clinical variables among patients with different baseline FIB-4 levels (low FIB-4 and high FIB-4 subgroups). The models included the scores of each longitudinal clinical scale, such as UPDRS I, II, III, GDS, etc., as dependent variables. Gender, age, BMI, education years, disease duration, H&Y stages, follow-up time, low FIB-4 subgroup, high FIB-4 subgroup, and the interaction between FIB-4 subgroup and follow-up time (FIB-4 subgroup*time) were used as fixed variables. Then, we conducted a subgroup analysis according to gender and age. Two-sided P values < 0.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance. All the statistical analyses and visualization were conducted using SPSS version 23.0 (IBM, New York, NY, USA) and R version 4.2.0.

3.1 Comparison of baseline characteristics between low and high FIB-4 subgroups

A total of 273 PD patients, with a mean age of 60.2 years, were included in the study. The median baseline FIB-4 score was 1.200 (IQR, 0,932, 1.658). Based on the baseline FIB-4 level, participants were divided into a low FIB-4 (n = 150) and a high FIB-4 (n = 123) subgroups. The baseline characteristics of all subjects, along with comparisons between different subgroups, are detailed in Table 1. In our cohort, patients had a median disease duration of PD of 3.0 (1.0, 6.0) years and a median H&Y stage of 1.0 (1.0, 2.0), with males comprising 66.3% of the study population. Compared to the low FIB-4 subgroup, high FIB-4 subgroup demonstrated a higher proportion of male (60.7% vs. 73.2%, P = 0.03) and higher levels of age (mean \pm SD, 65.6 ± 7.4 vs. 55.9 ± 9.0, *p* < 0.001), education years (16.00 (13.75, 17.25) vs. 16.0 (15.0, 18.0), P = 0.002), H&Y stage (1.0 (1, 2) vs. 2(1, 2), *P* = 0.001), UPDRS III (17 (12, 23) vs. 20 (15, 26), *p* = 0.002) and SCOPA-AUT score (9.5 (6, 16) vs. 12 (8, 18), *P* = 0.019). However, the low FIB-4 subgroup scored higher on cognitive function-related scales, including MoCA (28.00 (26.75, 29.00) vs. 27.00 (26.00, 29.00), *p* = 0.019), LSNT (11 (9, 13) vs. 11 (9, 12), *P* = 0.006) and SDMT (45.5 (39, 50) vs. 39 (34, 46), *p* < 0.001), suggesting better cognitive function in the low FIB-4 subgroup. No significant differences in other baseline characteristics were observed between the two subgroups.

3.2 Comparison of score changes in clinical scales between low and high FIB-4 subgroups over 5-year follow-up

Table 2 presents the differences in the score changes of the clinical scales between baseline and the 5-year follow-up time-point (calculated as the 5-year follow-up time-point score minus the baseline score). Significant differences in the changes related to anxiety and cognition were observed between the subgroups. After 5 years of follow-up, the STAI scores (including state, trait, and total scores) of patients in the high FIB-4 subgroup decreased more substantially than those in the low FIB-4 subgroup (P = 0.005 for state, P = 0.023 for trait, and P = 0.002 for total). In addition, compared to the low FIB-4 subgroup, the high FIB-4 subgroup showed greater decreases in cognitive-related scales, including LSNT, SDMT, SFT, HVLT total recall, and HVLT recognition discrimination (P = 0.012, P = 0.011, P = 0.022, P = 0.015, and p = 0.023,respectively). However, no significant differences were observed in the global cognitive-related MoCA, motor-related UPDRS III, or other clinical scales between the two subgroups.

3.3 Correlation analysis between baseline FIB-4 index and clinical scale scores at baseline and 5-year follow-up time-point

The correlation analysis results between baseline FIB-4 level and clinical scales are shown in Table 3. Baseline FIB-4 levels were positively correlated with baseline UPDRS III (r = 0.145, p = 0.017), EPSS (r = 0.140, p = 0.022), HVLT delayed recall (r = 0.128, P = 0.036)

³ Results

³ http://ppmi-info.org

Baseline characteristics	Total (<i>n</i> = 273)	Low FIB-4 subgroup (n = 150)	High FIB-4 subgroup (<i>n</i> = 123)	<i>P</i> (Low VS higher FIB-4 subgroups)
Age, mean (SD) (year)	60.2 (9.7)	55.9 (9.0)	65.6 (7.4)	<0.001
Male, <i>n</i> (%)	181 (66.3)	91 (60.7)	90 (73.2)	0.03
BMI, median (IQR) (Kg/cm ²)	26.68 (24.06,29.55)	26.99 (24.12,29.63)	26.37 (24.03,29.54)	0.638
Education years, median (IQR) (year)	16 (14,18)	16.00 (13.75,17.25)	16 (15, 18)	0.002
disease duration, median (IQR) (year)	3 (1,6)	3 (1,5)	3 (1,8)	0.136
H&Y stage, median (IQR)	1 (1,2)	1 (1,2)	2 (1,2)	0.001
UPDRS I, median (IQR)	1 (0,2)	1 (0, 2)	1 (0, 1)	0.242
UPDRS II, median (IQR)	4 (2, 7.5)	4 (2, 7)	5 (2,8)	0.152
UPDRS III, median (IQR)	18 (14,24.5)	17 (12,23)	20 (15,26)	0.002
GDS, median (IQR)	5 (4,6)	5 (4,6)	5 (4,6)	0.082
STAI-state, median (IQR)	48 (44,50)	47 (44,50)	49 (45,50)	0.106
STAI-trate, median (IQR)	46 (43.5,48)	46 (43,48)	46 (44,48)	0.398
STAI-total, median (IQR)	93 (88,97.5)	92.5 (87.75,97.0)	94 (90,98)	0.091
QUIP, median (IQR)	4 (4,4)	4 (4,4)	4 (4,4)	0.343
SCOPA-AUT, median (IQR)	10 (6,17)	9.5 (6,16)	12 (8,18)	0.019
EPSS, median (IQR)	5 (3,7.5)	5 (3,7)	6 (3,8)	0.061
RBDSQ, median (IQR)	3 (2,5)	3 (2,5)	3 (2,5)	0.709
ADL, median (IQR)	90 (90,100)	95 (90,100)	90 (90,100)	0.135
MoCA score, median (IQR)	28 (26,29)	28.00 (26.75, 29.00)	27.00 (26.00, 29.00)	0.019
BJOLT, median (IQR)	14 (12,15)	14 (12,15)	14 (12,15)	0.915
LSNT, median (IQR)	11 (9,12)	11 (9,13)	11 (9,12)	0.006
SDMT, median (IQR)	43 (37,49)	45.5 (39,50)	39 (34,46)	<0.001
SFT, median (IQR)	51 (44,57)	50 (43,56)	53 (46,57)	0.123
HVLT Total Recall, mean (SD)	46.83 (10.36)	46.26 (10.04)	47.52 (10.73)	0.318
HVLT Delayed Recall, median (IQR)	47 (38,55)	45 (37,55)	48 (39,55)	0.263
HVLT Retention, median (IQR)	48 (41,55)	48 (41,55)	48 (41,56)	0.504
HVLT Recognition Discrimination, median (IQR)	47 (38,54)	47 (37,57)	47.5 (38,54)	0.373
FIB-4 index, median (IQR)	1.200 (0,932, 1.658)	0.962 (0.789,1.109)	1.678 (1.427,1.966)	<0.001

TABLE 1 Comparison of baseline characteristics of PD patients in subgroups with different baseline FIB-4 index levels

PD, Parkinson's Disease; FIB-4, Fibrosis-4; SD, standard deviation; BMI, body mass index; IQR, range interquartile; MDS-UPDRS I, II, III, Part I, II, III of Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale; GDS, Geriatric Depression Scale; STAI, State–Trait Anxiety Inventory; QUIP, Questionnaire for Impulsive-Compulsive Disorders in Parkinson's Disease (QUIP); SCOPA-AUT, Scales for Outcomes in Parkinson's disease-Autonomic; EPSS, Epworth Sleepiness Scale; RBDSQ, REM sleep behavior disorder with the REM Sleep Behavior Disorder Screening Questionnaire; ADL, Schwab & England Activities of Daily Living Scale; MoCA, Montreal Cognitive Assessment; BJOLT, Benton Judgment of Line Orientation Test; LNST, Letter Number Sequencing Test; SDMT, Symbol Digit Modalities Test; SFT, Semantic Fluency Test; HVLT, Hopkins Verbal Learning Test.

and HVLT retention scores (r = 0.128, p = 0.036). At the 5-year follow-up, baseline FIB-4 was still positively correlated with EPSS but not with other clinical scales.

3.4 The prediction of longitudinal changes in clinical scales using baseline FIB-4

To elucidate the relationship between baseline FIB-4 levels and the progression of PD further, we examined longitudinal changes in clinical scales in patients with low and high levels of baseline FIB-4. General linear mixed-effect models (GLMM) were conducted to compare the progression of clinical symptoms between groups by testing the interaction between subgroups and time (FIB-4 subgroup*time). The results showed that FIB-4 subgroup*time was independently correlated with UPDRS I, STAI, LSNT, SDMT, SFT, HVLT-total recall, and HVLT-delayed recall scores (Table 4; Figure 1). In particular, patients in the high FIB-4 subgroup showed a significantly faster decline in cognitive subdomain scores at late follow-up, including LSNT, SDMT, SF, HVLT total recal and HVLT delayed recall. This suggests that high levels of FIB-4 may aggravate the deterioration of cognitive function with the prolongation of disease duration. Meanwhile, the anxiety-related scale scores, including the STAI-state subscore, the STAI-trait subscore, and the STAI-total scores, decreased more significantly in the high FIB-4 level subgroup over the 5-year period. It is suggested that high level of FIB-4 may have a potential protective effect on anxiety in PD patients. There were no significant differences in the longitudinal changes of other clinical scales scores during the 5-year follow-up period (Supplementary Table S1).

Clinical scale	Total	Low FIB-4 subgroup	High FIB-4 subgroup	P (Low VS higher FIB-4 subgroups)
UPDRS I, median (IQR)	0 (0,2)	0 (-1,2)	0 (0,2)	0.156
UPDRS II, median (IQR)	4 (0,8)	4 (0,7.25)	3 (0,8)	0.841
UPDRS III, median (IQR)	8 (2.0,17.5)	8 (3,18)	8 (0,17)	0.256
GDS, median (IQR)	0 (-1,1)	0 (-1,1)	0 (-1,1)	0.482
STAI-state, median (IQR)	0 (-4.75,3.0)	0 (-4,4)	-1 (-5,2)	0.005
STAI-trait, median (IQR)	-1 (-3,2.0)	-1 (-3,3)	-1 (-4,2)	0.023
STAI-total, median (IQR)	-1 (-6,4)	1 (-5,5)	-1 (-7,2)	0.002
QUIP, median (IQR)	-4 (-4,-1)	-4 (-4,-1)	-4 (-4,-1)	0.447
SCOPA, median (IQR)	5 (0,9.5)	5 (0,9)	5 (0,10)	0.593
EPSS, median (IQR)	2 (-1,5)	2 (0,5)	1 (-1,4)	0.322
RBDSQ, median (IQR)	0 (-1,2)	0 (-1,2.25)	1 (-1,2)	0.541
ADL, median (IQR)	-10 (-10,0)	-10 (-10,0)	-10 (-10,0)	0.641
MoCA score, median (IQR)	0 (-2,1)	0 (-1.25,1)	0 (-2,1)	0.115
BJOLT, median (IQR)	0 (-2,1)	0 (-1,1)	-1 (-2,1)	0.111
LSNT, median (IQR)	0 (-2,1)	0 (-2,1)	-1 (-2,0)	0.012
SDMT, median (IQR)	-2 (-7,5)	-1 (-6,6)	-2 (-8,3)	0.011
SFT, median (IQR)	1 (-6,8.5)	2 (-6,9)	-2 (-6,6)	0.022
HVLT Total Recall, mean (SD)	1.69 (11.84)	3.43 (11.62)	-0.44 (11.80)	0.015
HVLT Delayed Recall, median (IQR)	2 (-3,11)	4.5 (-3,12)	2 (-4,10)	0.083
HVLT Retention, median (IQR)	2 (-6,11)	2 (-5,12)	2 (-8,10)	0.510
HVLT Recognition Discrimination, median (IQR)	0.5 (-4,11)	4 (-3,11.25)	-1.5 (-8,9)	0.023

TABLE 2 Comparison of score changes in clinical scales between low FIB-4 and high FIB-4 subgroups over 5-year follow-up

FIB-4, Fibrosis-4; SD, standard deviation; IQR, range interquartile; UPDRS I, II, III, Part I, II, III of Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale; GDS, Geriatric Depression Scale; STAI, State-Trait Anxiety Inventory; QUIP, Questionnaire for Impulsive-Compulsive Disorders in Parkinson's Disease (QUIP); SCOPA-AUT, Scales for Outcomes in Parkinson's disease-Autonomic; EPSS, Epworth Sleepiness Scale; RBDSQ, REM sleep behavior disorder with the REM Sleep Behavior Disorder Screening Questionnaire; ADL, Schwab & England Activities of Daily Living Scale; MoCA, Montreal Cognitive Assessment; BJOLT, Benton Judgment of Line Orientation Test; LNST, Letter Number Sequencing Test; SDMT, Symbol Digit Modalities Test; SFT, Semantic Fluency Test; HVLT, Hopkins Verbal Learning Test.

3.5 Subgroup analyses based on age and sex

In order to determine whether the association between baseline FIB-4 levels and progression of cognitive impairment was related to age and gender, subgroup analyses were performed according to age and gender, respectively. The results showed that the differences in longitudinal cognitive changes between different FIB-4 levels subgroups persisted in male PD patients, but not in female patients (Supplementary Tables S2, S3). Meanwhile, in PD patients older than 60 years old, the progression of cognitive impairment was also significantly different between the two high and low FIB-4 subgroups, but there was no significant difference in PD patients younger than 60 years old (Supplementary Tables S4, S5).

4 Discussion

In this study, we explored the association of baseline FIB-4 levels with clinical manifestations of PD at baseline and after 5-year follow-up, through both cross-sectional and cohort analyses. Our study discovered that patients with elevated FIB-4 levels exhibited more pronounced baseline motor and autonomic dysfunctions, as well as cognitive impairments. The baseline FIB-4 level significantly correlated with the baseline UPDRS III score, EPSS, HVLT delayed recall, and HVLT retention, even after adjusting for age, sex, disease duration, and education level. The results affirmed that a higher baseline FIB-4 was related to severe baseline PD motor deficits, daytime sleepiness, and cognitive impairment. However, at the 5-year follow-up time-point, the baseline FIB-4 levels were only correlated with the EPSS, but not with other clinical characteristics, hinting that baseline FIB-4 might predict the severity of daytime sleepiness in PD patients after five years. Moreover, our cohort analysis revealed a link between baseline FIB-4 levels and the evolution of PD-related anxiety and cognitive changes over time. Notably, there were significant differences in the changes observed in the STAI, LSNT, SDMT, SFT, HVLT total recall, and HVLT recognition discrimination scores between patients with high and low FIB-4 levels. The results of the GLMM analysis confirmed that patients with elevated FIB-4 levels experienced faster longitudinal progression in SDMT, SFT, HVLT-total recall, HVLT recognition discrimination, and a slower longitudinal progression in STAI scores. Consistent with prior research, our study also illustrated that a high baseline level of FIB-4 accelerated the deterioration of

Clinical scales	Baseline		5 year follow- up time-point		
	r	р	r	p	
UPDRS I	-0.028	0.649	0.014	0.825	
UPDRS II	0.085	0.166	0.005	0.939	
UPDRS III	0.145	0.017	0.013	0.832	
GDS	0.014	0.815	0.012	0.840	
STAI-state	0.061	0.322	-0.021	0.728	
STAI-trait	0.082	0.180	0.068	0.310	
STAI-total	0.083	0.174	0.019	0.761	
QUIP	0.013	0.827	-0.006	0.919	
SCOPA-AUT	0.010	0.866	0.115	0.060	
EPSS	0.140	0.022	0.146	0.016	
RBDSQ	0.043	0.479	0.044	0.471	
ADL	-0.023	0.711	-0.054	0.381	
MoCA score	0.017	0.783	-0.034	0.581	
BJOLT	0.017	0.779	-0.116	0.058	
LSNT	-0.029	0.638	-0.096	0.117	
SDMT	-0.046	0.451	-0.072	0.237	
SFT	0.027	0.661	0.040	0.517	
HVLT Total Recall	0.048	0.431	-0.077	0.210	
HVLT Delayed Recall	0.128	0.036	0.010	0.870	
HVLT Retention	0.128	0.036	0.040	0.509	
HVLT Recognition Discrimination	0.090	0.143	-0.074	0.229	

TABLE 3	Correlation	analysis	between	baseline	e FIB-4	index	and o	clinical
scale sco	ores at baseli	ne and 5	-year foll	ow-up ti	ime po	int		

FIB-4, Fibrosis-4; UPDRS I, II, III, Part I, II, III of Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale; GDS, Geriatric Depression Scale; STAI, State–Trait Anxiety Inventory; QUIP, Questionnaire for Impulsive-Compulsive Disorders in Parkinson's Disease (QUIP); SCOPA-AUT, Scales for Outcomes in Parkinson's disease-Autonomic; EPSS, Epworth Sleepiness Scale; RBDSQ, REM sleep behavior disorder with the REM Sleep Behavior Disorder Screening Questionnaire; ADL, Schwab & England Activities of Daily Living Scale; MoCA, Montreal Cognitive Assessment; BJOLT, Benton Judgment of Line Orientation Test; LNST, Letter Number Sequencing Test; SDMT, Symbol Digit Modalities Test; SFT, Semantic Fluency Test; HVLT, Hopkins Verbal Learning Test.

cognitive functions, including executive function, information processing ability, and memory, albeit not affecting global cognition (Zolin et al., 2024). For the first time, our findings suggest that high baseline FIB-4 levels might offer protective benefits against anxiety in PD. In addition, previous studies have shown that the sensitivity and specificity of FIB-4 in the evaluation of liver fibrosis are different in different gender and age groups. Similarly, our study also suggested that the effect of FIB-4 on the longitudinal changes of cognitive function was different in different gender and age groups. However, since this was a *post hoc* analysis, the differences in other indicators between the subgroups could not be controlled, and the sample sizes of the subgroups were not balanced, so further studies are needed to confirm this conclusion.

The role of liver fibrosis scores, such as FIB-4, has primarily been associated with assessing the severity and prognosis of liver diseases, as they considerably predict liver disease risk and adverse outcomes (Albhaisi et al., 2023). A prospective cohort study in the United States indicated that higher liver fibrosis index scores correlate with

increased liver disease incidence and overall mortality, even in individuals without viral hepatitis (Unalp Arida and Ruhl, 2017). Another study conducted by Cholankeril et al. showed that longitudinal changes of FIB-4 were strongly associated with progression to cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma in NAFLD (George et al., 2022). Subsequently, accumulating evidence has shown that NAFLD and liver fibrosis have been linked to cardiovascular diseases, with liver fibrosis indices predicting adverse cardiovascular events and all-cause mortality in several epidemiological studies. Notably, in specific cohorts of NAFLD and Non-Alcoholic Steatohepatitis (NASH) patients, FIB-4 effectively forecasted major adverse cardiovascular events (Vieira Barbosa et al., 2022b, Anstee et al., 2024, Tang et al., 2023).

In recent years, investigations have highlighted potential connections between NAFLD, liver fibrosis, and brain health, including brain volume, brain aging, cerebral perfusion and activity, ischemic and hemorrhagic strokes, cognitive impairments, and neurodegeneration (Lombardi et al., 2019). A cross-sectional study based on the Framingham Study illustrated that NAFLD significantly correlated to smaller total cerebral brain volume, equating the difference to 4.2 years of brain aging in the general populace and 7.3 years in individuals under 60 years old (Weinstein et al., 2018). Another study based on the Rotterdam Study proved that liver steatosis and fibrosis were independently associated with decreases cerebral blood flow and brain perfusion (Yilmaz et al., 2023). Furthermore, many studies demonstrated an inconsistent association of NAFLD and liver fibrosis with stroke. A cohort study involving 9088 subjects without a history of stroke demonstrated the association between liver fibrosis and the incidence of stroke among middle-aged populations in China (Shengjun et al., 2022). Another study found that liver fibrosis was an independent predictor of longterm all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in patients with ischemic stroke (Baik et al., 2019a). Nevertheless, some other studies failed to find an association between NAFLD and liver fibrosis and stroke (Lombardi et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2022). Baik et al. even found that more severe hepatic steatosis protected ischemic stroke (Baik et al., 2019b).

Due to similar pathological mechanisms such as insulin resistance (IR), oxidative stress, and inflammation, NAFLD and liver fibrosis were considered to be associated with neurodegenerative diseases, encompassing cognitive impairment, dementia, and PD (Lombardi et al., 2019). An early study by Elliott et al. was among the first to propose and confirm the potential link between cognitive impairment and NAFLD (Colognesi et al., 2020). Subsequently, several observations have validated the association. Recently, Parikh et al. investigated the association of liver fibrosis with dementia and cognitive impairment in the UK Biobank study, uncovering that liver fibrosis in midlife significantly elevated the risk of subsequent dementia (Parikh et al., 2022). Then, they further examined cognitive tests and brain imaging data and proved that liver fibrosis was associated with worse Digit Symbol Substitution Test (DSST) and executive function-related assessments but not memory (Parikh et al., 2023). Contrarily, some other studies failed to reach the same result or even completely opposite conclusions. Xiao et al. conducted a cross-sectional and longitudinal study in the Rotterdam Study. They found neither NAFLD nor liver fibrosis increased the risk of dementia and cognitive impairment, but rather a protective effect on cognition during the first 5 years of follow-up (Xiao et al., 2022). TABLE 4 Comparison of the longitudinal change trend of cognitive and anxiety related scale scores in different FIB-4 subgroups over 5 years using general linear mixed-effect models.

Clinical scales	Low FIB-4 subgroup	High FIB-4 subgroup	Difference in Low FIB-4 subgroup (95% CI)	Difference in high FIB-4 subgroup (95% Cl)	Difference between subgroups (95% CI)	Pª	Pp
UPDRSI, estimated mean (SD)							0.041
Baseline	0.96 (0.32)	0.81 (0.33)	-	-	-0.15 (-0.59, 0.28)	0.492	
1	1.03 (0.32)	1.18 (0.33)	0.07 (-0.25, 0.40)	0.38 (0.02, 0.74)	0.30 (-0.18, 0.79)	0.216	
2	1.10 (0.32)	1.56 (0.33)	0.14 (-0.18, 0.46)	0.75 (0.39, 1.10)	0.61 (0.13, 1.09)	0.013	
3	1.30 (0.32)	1.48 (0.33)	0.34 (0.02, 0.66)	0.68 (0.33, 1.03)	0.34 (-0.14, 0.81)	0.162	
4	1.35 (0.32)	1.95 (0.33)	0.39 (0.07, 0.71)	1.14 (0.79, 1.49)	0.75 (0.28, 1.22)	0.002	
5	1.72 (0.32)	2.00 (0.33)	0.76 (0.44, 1.08)	1.20 (0.85, 1.54)	0.44 (-0.04, 0.91)	0.070	
STAI-State subscore, estimated mean (SD)							0.018
Baseline	47.75 (0.78)	48.37 (0.82)	-	-	0.62 (-0.54, 1.79)	0.294	
1	47.73 (0.79)	47.12 (0.82)	-0.02 (-0.98, 0.94)	-1.25 (-2.31, -0.20)	-1.23 (-2.66, 0.19)	0.089	
2	48.07 (0.79)	46.37 (0.82)	0.32 (-0.63, 1.28)	-2.00 (-3.05, -0.96)	-2.33 (-3.74, -0.91)	0.001	
3	48.34 (0.79)	47.21 (0.82)	0.59 (-0.35, 1.53)	-1.17 (-2.21, -0.13)	-1.76 (-3.16, -0.36)	0.014	
4	48.02 (0.79)	46.77 (0.82)	0.27 (-0.67, 1.21)	-1.60 (-2.64, -0.56)	-1.87 (-3.27, -0.47)	0.009	
5	48.10 (0.78)	46.70 (0.82)	0.35 (-0.59, 1.28)	-1.67 (-2.70, -0.64)	-2.02 (-3.41, -0.63)	0.004	
STAI-Trait subscore, estimated mean (SD)							<0.001
Baseline	45.48 (0.66)	45.96 (0.68)	-	-	0.48 (-0.46, 1.42)	0.314	
1	44.78 (0.66)	45.73 (0.69)	-0.70 (-1.44, 0.04)	-0.24 (-1.05, 0.57)	0.46 (-0.63, 1.56)	0.407	
2	45.65 (0.66)	44.74 (0.69)	0.17 (-0.56, 0.91)	-1.22 (-2.03, -0.41)	-1.39 (-2.48, -0.30)	0.013	
3	45.80 (0.66)	44.83 (0.69)	0.32 (-0.40, 1.05)	-1.13 (-1.93, -0.33)	-1.45 (-2.53, -0.37)	0.008	
4	45.18 (0.66)	44.82 (0.69)	-0.31 (-1.03, 0.42)	-1.14 (-1.95, -0.34)	-0.84 (-1.92, 0.24)	0.129	
5	45.52 (0.66)	44.46 (0.68)	0.04 (-0.68, 0.76)	-1.50 (-2.30, -0.71)	-1.54 (-2.62, -0.47)	0.005	
STAI-Total, estimated mean (SD)							<0.001
Baseline	93.16 (1.22)	94.11 (1.27)	-	-	0.96 (-0.79, 2.70)	0.283	
1	92.43 (1.23)	92.61 (1.28)	-0.73 (-2.11, 0.65)	-1.50 (-3.02, 0.02)	-0.77 (-2.82, 1.28)	0.461	
2	93.65 (1.23)	90.88 (1.27)	0.49 (-0.88, 1.87)	-3.23 (-4.74, -1.72)	-3.72 (-5.76, -1.68)	<0.001	
3	94.07 (1.22)	91.82 (1.27)	0.92 (-0.44, 2.27)	-2.29 (-3.79, -0.80)	-3.21 (-5.23, -1.19)	0.002	
4	93.12 (1.22)	91.36 (1.27)	-0.04 (-1.39, 1.32)	-2.75 (-4.25, -1.25)	-2.71 (-4.73, -0.69)	0.009	
5	93.54 (1.22)	90.93 (1.27)	0.39 (-0.96, 1.73)	-3.18 (-4.66, -1.69)	-3.57 (-5.57, -1.56)	<0.001	
LSNT, estimated mean (SD)							0.005
Baseline	10.96 (0.37)	11.10 (0.39)	-	-	0.14 (-0.36, 0.64)	0.579	
1	10.75 (0.38)	11.21 (0.39)	-0.21 (-0.57, 0.15)	0.11 (-0.30, 0.51)	0.32 (-0.23, 0.86)	0.252	
2	10.88 (0.38)	10.96 (0.39)	-0.08 (-0.44, 0.29)	-0.14 (-0.54, 0.26)	-0.06 (-0.60, 0.48)	0.821	
3	10.75 (0.38)	10.55 (0.39)	-0.21 (-0.57, 0.15)	-0.55 (-0.94, -0.15)	-0.34 (-0.87, 0.19)	0.211	
4	10.88 (0.37)	10.50 (0.39)	-0.08 (-0.44, 0.28)	-0.60 (-1.00, -0.21)	-0.52 (-1.06, 0.01)	0.054	
5	10.61 (0.37)	10.12 (0.39)	-0.35 (-0.71, 0.00)	-0.98 (-1.38, -0.59)	-0.63 (-1.16, -0.10)	0.019	

(Continued)

Clinical scales	Low FIB-4 subgroup	High FIB-4 subgroup	Difference in Low FIB-4 subgroup (95% Cl)	Difference in high FIB-4 subgroup (95% Cl)	Difference between subgroups (95% CI)	Pª	P ^b
SDMT, estimated mean (SD)							0.007
Baseline	43.89 (1.46)	44.25 (1.51)	-	-	0.36 (-1.53, 2.25)	0.711	
1	43.99 (1.47)	43.78 (1.52)	0.10 (-1.22, 1.41)	-0.47 (-1.93, 0.99)	-0.57 (-2.53, 1.40)	0.574	
2	43.38 (1.47)	42.45 (1.51)	-0.51 (-1.83, 0.81)	-1.80 (-3.25, -0.35)	-1.29 (-3.24, 0.67)	0.198	
3	43.05 (1.46)	42.49 (1.51)	-0.84 (-2.14, 0.46)	-1.76 (-3.19, -0.32)	-0.92 (-2.86, 1.02)	0.353	
4	42.93 (1.46)	40.80 (1.51)	-0.96 (-2.26, 0.34)	-3.45 (-4.89, -2.01)	-2.49 (-4.43, -0.55)	0.012	
5	43.46 (1.46)	40.51 (1.51)	-0.43 (-1.72, 0.86)	-3.74 (-5.16, -2.32)	-3.31 (-5.23, -1.39)	0.001	
SFT, estimated mean (SD)							0.026
Baseline	50.32 (1.64)	51.22 (1.70)	-	-	0.90 (-1.29, 3.08)	0.421	
1	51.21 (1.65)	51.19 (1.71)	0.88 (-0.71, 2.48)	-0.03 (-1.81, 1.74)	-0.92 (-3.30, 1.47)	0.452	
2	51.31 (1.65)	50.41 (1.70)	0.98 (-0.61, 2.58)	-0.81 (-2.57, 0.94)	-1.80 (-4.17, 0.58)	0.138	
3	52.69 (1.64)	50.73 (1.70)	2.37 (0.79, 3.95)	-0.50 (-2.23, 1.24)	-2.87 (-5.21, -0.52)	0.017	
4	52.15 (1.64)	50.22 (1.70)	1.83 (0.25, 3.40)	-1.00 (-2.75, 0.74)	-2.83 (-5.18, -0.48)	0.018	
5	52.97 (1.64)	50.29 (1.70)	2.65 (1.08, 4.21)	-0.93 (-2.65, 0.80)	-3.57 (-5.90, -1.25)	0.003	
HVLT total recall, estimated mean (SD)							<0.001
Baseline	45.79 (1.72)	46.23 (1.78)	-	-	0.44 (-1.87, 2.74)	0.710	
1	44.64 (1.73)	44.82 (1.79)	-1.15 (-2.83, 0.53)	-1.41 (-3.28, 0.46)	-0.26 (-2.77, 2.25)	0.840	
2	46.12 (1.73)	44.34 (1.79)	0.33 (-1.35, 2.02)	-1.89 (-3.74, -0.04)	-2.22 (-4.72, 0.28)	0.081	
3	49.66 (1.73)	45.97 (1.78)	3.87 (2.20, 5.53)	-0.26 (-2.09, 1.57)	-4.12 (-6.59, -1.65)	0.001	
4	47.70 (1.73)	43.77 (1.79)	1.91 (0.25, 3.57)	-2.46 (-4.30, -0.62)	-4.36 (-6.84, -1.89)	0.001	
5	49.22 (1.72)	45.79 (1.78)	3.43 (1.79, 5.08)	-0.44 (-2.26, 1.38)	-3.87 (-6.32, -1.42)	0.002	
HVLT delayed recall, estimated mean (SD)							0.033
Baseline	44.42 (1.75)	45.33 (1.81)	-	-	0.91 (-1.48, 3.30)	0.455	
1	44.55 (1.75)	44.40 (1.82)	0.13 (-1.67, 1.94)	-0.93 (-2.93, 1.07)	-1.06 (-3.75, 1.64)	0.441	
2	46.29 (1.75)	44.87 (1.82)	1.87 (0.07, 3.67)	-0.46 (-2.44, 1.52)	-2.33 (-5.01, 0.35)	0.088	
3	47.21 (1.75)	45.02 (1.81)	2.79 (1.01, 4.57)	-0.31 (-2.27, 1.65)	-3.10 (-5.75, -0.45)	0.022	
4	46.72 (1.75)	43.52 (1.81)	2.30 (0.52, 4.08)	-1.81 (-3.78, 0.17)	-4.11 (-6.76, -1.45)	0.002	
5	48.50 (1.75)	46.42 (1.81)	4.09 (2.32, 5.85)	1.10 (-0.85, 3.05)	-2.99 (-5.62, -0.36)	0.026	

TABLE 4 (Continued)

FIB-4, Fibrosis-4; UPDRS I, II, III, Part I, II, III of Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale; STAI, State–Trait Anxiety Inventory; LNST, Letter Number Sequencing Test; SDMT, Symbol Digit Modalities Test; SFT, Semantic Fluency Test; HVLT, Hopkins Verbal Learning Test. P*: P for Difference between subgroups; P^b: P for FIB-4 subgroup*time.

Studies on the correlation between NAFLD, liver fibrosis, and PD were relatively scarce and yielded inconsistent results. A national cohort study in Israel found that non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) could heighten the risk of PD, whereas a subsequent large cohort study in Korea indicated gender-specific differences, with NAFLD increasing PD risk in women but decreasing it in men (Goldstein et al., 2019; Jeong et al., 2021). However, a recent cohort study by Laurens et al. based on the Rotterdam study failed to find an association between fatty liver and PD in the European population, regardless of gender (van Kleef et al., 2023). The above studies on NAFLD and PD have reached inconsistent conclusions. Very recently, a cohort study reported that liver fibrosis was

associated with a decline in multiple cognitive domains in patients with PD, aligning with our findings (Zolin et al., 2024). However, we explored the correlation between liver fibrosis and PD more comprehensively, and for the first time, found a correlation between baseline FIB-4 and the longitudinal changes in the anxiety scale (STAI). Additionally, we found baseline FIB-4 levels to be associated with daytime sleepiness, motor dysfunction, cognitive impairment at baseline, and daytime sleepiness at the five-year follow-up timepoint. However, due to the lack of scales related to social function, the correlation between FIB-4 and social function of PD, a field that has received great attention in recent years, is not clear (Perepezko et al., 2019; Su et al., 2020)



The liver is the main organ in the human body for energy balance and metabolism of toxic compounds. Thus, hepatic disorders could lead to inhibited clearance of toxic and harmful substances, potentially connecting liver and neurodegeneration through the known brain-liver axis (Vegas-Suárez et al., 2022). However, the underlying pathophysiological mechanisms of the correlation between NAFLD or liver fibrosis and PD remain unclear. We speculate that factors such as insulin resistance (IR), neuroinflammation, gut microbiota disorder, and neurotoxin accumulation might play significant roles (Lombardi et al., 2019; Vegas-Suárez et al., 2022). IR is a hallmark of NAFLD and liver fibrosis, which can accelerate the accumulation of liver fat and promote the release of inflammatory substances (Lade et al., 2014). IR could also promote alpha-synuclein accumulation and disrupt insulin signaling in dopaminergic neurons, leading to dopaminergic dysfunction, reduced mitochondrial oxidative activity, and ultimately, the onset and progression of PD (Athauda and Foltynie, 2016). Besides, NAFLD and liver fibrosis are often characterized by a proinflammatory state, which is also involved in the pathogenesis of PD (Schuster et al., 2018). Neuroinflammation is a common feature that accompanies liver fibrosis, which leads to the reactivity of microglia and increases the synthesis of other proinflammatory cytokines, promotes the recruitment of monocytes, and even alters the blood-brain barrier (BBB) permeability, thereby promoting the degeneration of dopamine neurons and contributing to the occurrence and development of PD (Zimmermann and Brockmann, 2022). In addition, alterations in the gut microbiota and increased intestinal permeability associated with NAFLD and fibrosis may promote neuroinflammation and alpha-synuclein accumulation, exacerbating PD progression (Tilg et al., 2022; Sun and Shen, 2018). Moreover, reduced neurotoxin clearance and altered neurotransmitter activity linked to these liver conditions might also contribute to the development of PD (Vegas-Suárez et al., 2022). To further understand the mechanisms underlying the association between NFLPD or liver fibrosis and PD, further research is needed.

Our study contributed to the evidence for the association of liver fibrosis with PD, and further investigation into the underlying mechanisms is crucial as it could unveil new targets and approaches for the early treatment of PD. However, some limitations of our study should be noted. First, because of strict inclusion and exclusion criteria, we included only patients with complete data on all clinical scales at baseline and at the 5-year follow-up time-point, which might limit the generalizability and possibly introduce bias. Second, many patients had missing assessment data at various time points, which may have affected our analyses and conclusions. Third, some other confounding factors such as other underlying medical conditions, ethnicity, predispositions and genetics including GBA, microbial 16S rRNA gene, et al. were not included in the analysis. (Qian et al., 2018; Chang et al., 2024). Forth, the dynamic changes of FIB-4 were ignored because ALT and AST may change dynamically with time. At last, this study lack the histological and imaging diagnosis of NAFLD and liver fibrosis, and it must be acknowledged that the predictive value of FIB-4 for liver fibrosis may not be ideal in some age groups (Lai et al., 2024).

5 Conclusion

In conclusion, this study has conducted an extensive examination of the relationship between liver fibrosis and Parkinson's Disease (PD) through the lens of the FIB-4 marker. Our data reveals that baseline FIB-4 level was associated with daytime sleepiness, motor difficulties, and cognitive impairments at baseline. Besides, patients presenting higher baseline FIB-4 levels exhibited a more rapid progression in multiple cognitive subdomains, including executive function, information processing ability, and memory. Interestingly, a higher baseline FIB-4 also seemed to convey a potential protective effect against anxiety, hinting at a multifaceted link between liver fibrosis and the progression of PD. Further research is necessary to validate these results and to delve deeper into the underlying mechanisms.

Data availability statement

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found at: https://www.ppmi-info.org/.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the institutional review board at each site and the Medical Ethics Committee of the First People's Hospital of Yancheng. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

YC: Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Writing original draft, Writing - review & editing. LC: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing - original draft. HZ: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Methodology, Software, Supervision, Writing - original draft. YiG: Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Writing - original draft. LL: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Writing - original draft. YaG: Data curation, Methodology, Supervision, Writing - original draft. XW: Data curation, Formal analysis, Software, Supervision, Writing original draft. SY: Data curation, Methodology, Project administration, Validation, Writing - original draft. GH: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. SX: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. The study was supported by the Health Commission of Yancheng (YK2023008, YK2024017). PPMI was funded by the Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research and multiple funding partners.

Acknowledgments

Parkinson's Progression Markers Initiative, a public-private partnership, is funded by The Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research and funding partners, including AbbVie, Acurex Therapeutics, Allergan, Amathus Therapeutics, ASAP Aligning Science Across Parkinson's, Avid Radiopharmaceuticals, Bial Biotech, Biogen, BioLegend, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Calico, Celgene, Cerevel, Coave Therapeutics, Dacapo Brainscience, Denali, Edmond J. Safra Philanthropic Foundation, 4D Pharma plc, GE Healthcare, Genentech, GlaxoSmithKline (GSK), Golub Capital, Handl Therapeutics, Insitro, Janssen Neuroscience, Lilly, Lundbeck, Merck, Meso Scale Discovery, Neurocine, Pfizer, Piramal, Prevail Therapeutics, Roche, Sanofi Genzyme, Servier, Takeda, Teva, UCB, Vanqua Bio, Verily, Voyager Therapeutics, and Yumanity.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

Albhaisi, S., Sun, J., and Sanyal, A. J. (2023). Fibrosis-4 index is associated with the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with cirrhosis and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Front. Oncol.* 13:1198871. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2023.1198871

Anstee, Q. M., Berentzen, T. L., Nitze, L. M., Jara, M., Jensen, A. B., Kjær, M. S., et al. (2024). Prognostic utility of fibrosis-4 index for risk of subsequent liver and cardiovascular events, and all-cause mortality in individuals with obesity and/or type 2 diabetes: a longitudinal cohort study. *Lancet Regional Health* 36:100780. doi: 10.1016/j.lanepe.2023.100780

Athauda, D., and Foltynie, T. (2016). Insulin resistance and Parkinson's disease: a new target for disease modification? *Prog. Neurobiol.* 145-146, 98–120. doi: 10.1016/j. pneurobio.2016.10.001

Baik, M., Kim, S. U., Kang, S., Park, H. J., Nam, H. S., Heo, J. H., et al. (2019). Liver fibrosis, not steatosis, associates with long-term outcomes in ischaemic stroke patients. *Cerebrovasc. Dis.* 47, 32–39. doi: 10.1159/000497069

Baik, M., Kim, S. U., Nam, H. S., Heo, J. H., and Kim, Y. D. (2019). The paradoxical protective effect of liver steatosis on severity and functional outcome of ischemic stroke. *Front. Neurol.* 10:375. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2019.00375

Chalasani, N., Younossi, Z., Lavine, J. E., Charlton, M., Cusi, K., Rinella, M., et al. (2018). The diagnosis and management of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: practice guidance from the American association for the study of liver diseases. *Clin. Liver Dis.* 11:81. doi: 10.1002/cld.722

Chang, C.-W., Tan, C.-H., Hong, W.-P., and Yu, R.-L. (2024). GBA moderates cognitive reserve's effect on cognitive function in patients with Parkinson's disease. *J Neurol* 271, 4392–4405. doi: 10.1007/s00415-024-12374-5

Colognesi, M., Gabbia, D., and De Martin, S. (2020). Depression and cognitive impairment—extrahepatic manifestations of NAFLD and NASH. *Biomedicines* 8:229. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines8070229

George, C., Jennifer, R., Jinna, C., Xian, Y., Maya, B., Liang, L., et al. (2022). Longitudinal changes in fibrosis markers are associated with risk of cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *J. Hepatol.* 78. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2022.10.035

Goldstein, L., Fogel-Grinvald, H., and Steiner, I. (2019). Hepatitis B and C virus infection as a risk factor for Parkinson's disease in Israel-A nationwide cohort study. *J. Neurol. Sci.* 398, 138–141. doi: 10.1016/j.jns.2019.01.012

Jeong, S., Lee, H. R., Jang, W., Kim, D., Yoo, J. E., Jeon, K. H., et al. (2021). Sex differences in the association between nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and Parkinson's disease. *Parkinsonism Relat. Disord.* 93, 19–26. doi: 10.1016/j.parkreldis.2021.10.030

Kaya, E., and Yilmaz, Y. (2022). Metabolic-associated fatty liver disease (MAFLD): a multi-systemic disease beyond the liver. *J. Clin. Transl. Hepatol.* 10, 329–338. doi: 10.14218/JCTH.2021.00178

Lade, A., Noon, L. A., and Friedman, S. L. (2014). Contributions of metabolic dysregulation and inflammation to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis, hepatic fibrosis, and cancer. *Curr. Opin. Oncol.* 26, 100–107. doi: 10.1097/CCO.00000000000042

Lai, J. C., Liang, L. Y., and Wong, G. L. (2024). Noninvasive tests for liver fibrosis in 2024: are there different scales for different diseases? *Gastroenterol. Rep.* 12:goae024. doi: 10.1093/gastro/goae024

Liu, H. H., Cao, Y. X., Jin, J. L., Hua, Q., Li, Y. F., Guo, Y. L., et al. (2021). Liver fibrosis scoring systems as novel tools for predicting cardiovascular outcomes in patients following elective percutaneous coronary intervention. *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* 10. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.120.018869

Lombardi, R., Fargion, S., and Fracanzani, A. L. (2019). Brain involvement in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD): a systematic review. *Dig. Liver Dis.* 51, 1214–1222. doi: 10.1016/j.dld.2019.05.015

Marek, K., Jennings, D., Lasch, S., Siderowf, A., Tanner, C., Simuni, T., et al. (2011). The Parkinson progression marker initiative (PPMI). *Prog. Neurobiol.* 95, 629–635. doi: 10.1016/j.pneurobio.2011.09.005 organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnagi.2025.1501319/ full#supplementary-material

McPherson, S., Hardy, T., Dufour, J. F., Petta, S., Romero-Gomez, M., Allison, M., et al. (2017). Age as a confounding factor for the accurate non-invasive diagnosis of advanced NAFLD fibrosis. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.* 112, 740–751. doi: 10.1038/ajg.2016.453

Parikh, N. S., Kamel, H., Zhang, C., Gupta, A., Cohen, D. E., de Leon, M. J., et al. (2023). Association of liver fibrosis with cognitive test performance and brain imaging parameters in the UK biobank study. *Alzheimer's Dementia* 19, 1518–1528. doi: 10.1002/alz.12795

Parikh, N. S., Kamel, H., Zhang, C., Kumar, S., Rosenblatt, R., Spincemaille, P., et al. (2022). Association between liver fibrosis and incident dementia in the uk biobank study. *Eur. J. Neurol.* 29, 2622–2630. doi: 10.1111/ene.15437

Perepezko, K., Hinkle, J. T., Shepard, M. D., Fischer, N., Broen, M. P. G., Leentjens, A. F. G., et al. (2019). Social role functioning in Parkinson's disease: A mixedmethods systematic review. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 34, 1128–1138. doi: 10.1002/ gps.5137

Powell, E. E., Wong, V. W., and Rinella, M. (2021). Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *The Lancet* 397, 2212–2224. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32511-3

Qian, Y., Yang, X., Xu, S., Wu, C., Qin, N., Chen, S.-D., et al. (2018). Detection of Microbial 16S rRNA Gene in the Blood of Patients With Parkinson's Disease. *Front Aging Neurosci* 10:156. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2018.00156

Schreiner, A. D., Moran, W. P., Zhang, J., Livingston, S., Marsden, J., Mauldin, P. D., et al. (2022). The association of fibrosis-4 index scores with severe liver outcomes in primary care. *J. Gen. Intern. Med.* 37, 3266–3274. doi: 10.1007/s11606-021-07341-z

Schuster, S., Cabrera, D., Arrese, M., and Feldstein, A. E. (2018). Triggering and resolution of inflammation in NASH. *Nature Rev* 15, 349-364. doi: 10.1038/s41575-018-0009-6

Shengjun, X., Shizhang, Y., Wanshu, D., Yuanhui, Z., Wenhang, L., Pengbo, W., et al. (2022). Impact of liver fibrosis score on the incidence of stroke: a cohort study. *Liver Int.* 42. doi: 10.1111/liv.15359

Sterling, R. K., Lissen, E., Clumeck, N., Sola, R., Correa, M. C., Montaner, J., et al. (2006). Development of a simple noninvasive index to predict significant fibrosis in patients with HIV/HCV coinfection. *Hepatology* 43, 1317–1325. doi: 10.1002/hep.21178

Su, F.-T., Tai, C.-H., Tan, C.-H., Hwang, W.-J., and Yu, R.-L. (2020). The Development of the Social Functioning Scale for Patients with Parkinson's Disease. *J Parkinsons Dis* 10, 1143–1151. doi: 10.3233/JPD-201930

Sun, M., and Shen, Y. (2018). Dysbiosis of gut microbiota and microbial metabolites in Parkinson's disease. *Ageing Res. Rev.* 45, 53–61. doi: 10.1016/j.arr.2018.04.004

Tang, R., Abeysekera, K. W. M., Howe, L. D., Hughes, A. D., and Fraser, A. (2023). Non-alcoholic fatty liver and fibrosis is associated with cardiovascular structure and function in young adults. *Hepatol. Commun.* 7:e0087. doi: 10.1097/ HC9.000000000000087

Tilg, H., Adolph, T. E., and Trauner, M. (2022). Gut-liver axis: pathophysiological concepts and clinical implications. *Cell Metab.* 34, 1700–1718. doi: 10.1016/j. cmet.2022.09.017

Unalp Arida, A., and Ruhl, C. E. (2017). Liver fibrosis scores predict liver disease mortality in the united states population. *Hepatology* 66, 84–95. doi: 10.1002/hep.29113

van Kleef, L. A., Xiao, T., Ikram, M. A., Ikram, M. K., and de Knegt, R. J. (2023). Sexstratified associations between fatty liver disease and Parkinson's disease: the Rotterdam study. *Parkinsonism Relat. Disord.* 106:105233. doi: 10.1016/j.parkreldis.2022.105233

Vegas-Suárez, S., Simón, J., Martínez-Chantar, M. L., and Moratalla, R. (2022). Metabolic diffusion in neuropathologies: the relevance of brain-liver axis. *Front. Physiol.* 13:864263. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2022.864263

Vieira Barbosa, J., Milligan, S., Frick, A., Broestl, J., Younossi, Z., Afdhal, N., et al. (2022). Fibrosis-4 index can independently predict major adverse cardiovascular events

in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Am J Gastroenterol. 117, 453–461. doi: 10.14309/ ajg.000000000001606

Wang, M., Zhou, B., Zhang, Y., Ren, X., Li, L., Li, B., et al. (2022). Association between non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and risk of stroke: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Front. Cardiovasc. Med.* 9:812030. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2022.812030

Weinstein, G., Zelber-Sagi, S., Preis, S. R., Beiser, A. S., DeCarli, C., Speliotes, E. K., et al. (2018). Association of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease with lower brain volume in healthy middle-aged adults in the framingham study. *JAMA Neurol.* 75:97. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2017.3229

Wen, W., Li, H., Wang, C., Chen, C., Tang, J., Zhou, M., et al. (2022). Metabolic dysfunction-associated fatty liver disease and cardiovascular disease: a meta-analysis. *Front. Endocrinol.* 13:934225. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2022.934225

Xiao, T., van Kleef, L. A., Ikram, M. K., de Knegt, R. J., and Ikram, M. A. (2022). Association of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and fibrosis with incident dementia and cognition. *Neurology* 99. doi: 10.1212/WNL.000000000200770

Yilmaz, P., Alferink, L. J. M., Cremers, L. G. M., Murad, S. D., Niessen, W. J., Ikram, M. A., et al. (2023). Subclinical liver traits are associated with structural and hemodynamic brain imaging markers. *Liver Int.* 43, 1256–1268. doi: 10.1111/liv.15549

Zimmermann, M., and Brockmann, K. (2022). Blood and cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers of inflammation in Parkinson's disease. *J. Parkinson's Dis.* 12, S183–S200. doi: 10.3233/JPD-223277

Zolin, A., Zhang, C., Ooi, H., Sarva, H., Kamel, H., and Parikh, N. S. (2024). Association of liver fibrosis with cognitive decline in Parkinson's disease. *J. Clin. Neurosci.* 119, 10–16. doi: 10.1016/j.jocn.2023.11.019