### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Allison B. Reiss, New York University, United States

REVIEWED BY Vijay Karkal Hegde, Texas Tech University, United States Firoz Akhter, Stony Brook University, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE Sang Won Seo ⊠ sw72.seo@samsung.com

RECEIVED 14 August 2023 ACCEPTED 25 September 2023 PUBLISHED 13 October 2023

#### CITATION

Kang SH, Yoo H, Cheon BK, Kim JP, Jang H, Kim HJ, Kang M, Oh K, Koh S-B, Na DL, Chang Y and Seo SW (2023) Sex-specific relationship between non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and amyloid- $\beta$  in cognitively unimpaired individuals. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 15:1277392. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2023.1277392

### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Kang, Yoo, Cheon, Kim, Jang, Kim, Kang, Oh, Koh, Na, Chang and Seo. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Sex-specific relationship between non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and amyloid- $\beta$ in cognitively unimpaired individuals

Sung Hoon Kang<sup>1,2</sup>, Heejin Yoo<sup>1,3</sup>, Bo Kyoung Cheon<sup>1,3</sup>, Jun Pyo Kim<sup>1</sup>, Hyemin Jang<sup>1</sup>, Hee Jin Kim<sup>1</sup>, Mira Kang<sup>4,5</sup>, Kyungmi Oh<sup>2</sup>, Seong-Beom Koh<sup>2</sup>, Duk L. Na<sup>1</sup>, Yoosoo Chang<sup>6</sup> and Sang Won Seo<sup>1,3,4,7,8</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Neurology, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea, <sup>2</sup>Department of Neurology, Korea University Guro Hospital, Korea University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea, <sup>3</sup>Alzheimer's Disease Convergence Research Center, Samsung Medical Center, Seoul, Republic of Korea, <sup>4</sup>Department of Digital Health, SAIHST, Sungkyunkwan University, Seoul, Republic of Korea, <sup>5</sup>Center for Health Promotion, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea, <sup>6</sup>Center for Cohort Studies, Total Healthcare Center, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea, <sup>7</sup>Department of Health Sciences and Technology, SAIHST, Sungkyunkwan University, Seoul, Republic of Korea, <sup>8</sup>Department of Intelligent Precision Healthcare Convergence, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Republic of Korea

**Background:** Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is known to be associated with a high risk of clinically diagnosed Alzheimer's disease (AD). Additionally, the prevalence of NAFLD and AD is higher in elderly females than in males. However, a sex-specific association between NAFLD and amyloid-beta (A $\beta$ ) deposition remains unclear. Therefore, we investigated the sex-specific relationship between NAFLD and A $\beta$  deposition in a large-sized cohort of cognitively unimpaired (CU) individuals.

**Methods:** We enrolled 673 (410 [60.9%] females and 263 [39.1%] males) CU individuals aged  $\geq$ 45 years who underwent A $\beta$  positron emission tomography (PET). The presence of NAFLD, assessed using the hepatic steatosis index, and the severity of NAFLD, assessed using the Fibrosis-4 index, were considered predictors. A $\beta$  deposition on PET was considered as an outcome.

**Results:** Females had a higher frequency of NAFLD than males (48 and 23.2%, p < 0.001). Among females, the presence of NAFLD ( $\beta = 0.216$ , p < 0.001) was predictive of increased A $\beta$  deposition, whereas among males, the presence of NAFLD ( $\beta = 0.191$ , p = 0.064) was not associated with A $\beta$  deposition. Among females, the presence of NAFLD with low ( $\beta = 0.254$ , p = 0.039), intermediate ( $\beta = 0.201$ , p = 0.006), and high fibrosis ( $\beta = 0.257$ , p = 0.027) was predictive of increased A $\beta$  deposition. A $\beta$  deposition also increased as the severity of NAFLD increased in females (p for trend = 0.001).

**Conclusion:** We highlight the marked influence of NAFLD and its severity on the risk of  $A\beta$  deposition in relation to sex. Furthermore, our findings suggest that sex-specific strategies regarding the management of NAFLD are necessary for the prevention of  $A\beta$  deposition.

## KEYWORDS

NAFLD, amyloid-beta (A $\beta$ ), sex, Alzheimer's disease, preclinical stage of Alzheimer's disease

# Introduction

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a highly prevalent chronic liver disease (Younossi et al., 2016) that has detrimental effects on multiple extrahepatic health conditions and liver-related outcomes. NAFLD is closely associated with metabolic syndromes, including diabetes and insulin resistance and complications of metabolic syndromes such as cardiovascular diseases (Gaggini et al., 2013). Thus, NAFLD is considered a hepatic manifestation of metabolic syndrome. Meanwhile, Alzheimer's disease (AD) is characterized by the amyloidbeta (A $\beta$ ) deposition in the brain. A $\beta$  deposition starts 15–20 years before the onset of cognitive impairment. Since AB positron emission tomography (PET) allowed us to detect AB deposition in living individuals, 15-30% of cognitively unimpaired (CU) individuals are found to have Aβ deposition (Sperling et al., 2020). Aβ deposition is also known to be associated with poor metabolic health (Gottesman et al., 2017). Specifically, metabolic syndromes, including diabetes, insulin resistance, hyperlipidemia, and increased weight variability, are closely related to Aβ deposition in CU individuals (Reed et al., 2014; Willette et al., 2015; Kang et al., 2022).

Many studies show that NAFLD is associated with a higher risk of clinically diagnosed AD (Shang et al., 2021; Kim et al., 2022). However, no previous studies have investigated the association between NAFLD and A $\beta$  deposition in CU individuals. A $\beta$  deposition in CU individuals represents the preclinical stage of AD (Shim and Morris, 2011). This preclinical stage has received considerable attention because early intervention may increase the possibility of therapeutic success. In addition, the prevention of A $\beta$  deposition in CU individuals is regarded as primary prevention. Thus, investigation of the relationship between NAFLD and A $\beta$  deposition in CU individuals has important implications for primary prevention, especially considering the paucity of known prevention methods.

Previous studies have suggested that there were differences in the effects of metabolic syndrome on brain health between females and males (Lee et al., 2018; Kim et al., 2019). In addition, the prevalence of NAFLD and AD was higher in elderly females than in elderly males (Alzheimer's Association, 2016; Lonardo et al., 2019; Tobari and Hashimoto, 2020). Therefore, the effects of NAFLD on A $\beta$  deposition may differ depending on sex. However, the sex-specific relationship between NAFLD and A $\beta$  deposition remains unclear.

In the present study, we aimed to investigate the sex-specific relationship between the presence of NAFLD, as defined using the hepatic steatosis index (HSI), and A $\beta$  deposition in a large-sized cohort of CU individuals. Furthermore, we aimed to identify the sex-specific relationship between NAFLD severity, assessed using the Fibrosis-4 (FIB-4) index, and A $\beta$  deposition. Given that brain health is more vulnerable to metabolic syndrome in females than in males, the effect of NAFLD on A $\beta$  deposition may be more prominent in females than in males.

# Methods

## Study participants

We enrolled 673 cognitively unimpaired (CU) participants  $\geq$  45 years of age who underwent A $\beta$  PET at the memory clinic in the

Department of Neurology at Samsung Medical Center in Seoul, Korea, between August 2015 and November 2021. These participants comprised volunteers who applied for comprehensive dementia evaluation advertised in the local community, memory clinic, and paper; spouses of patients who visited the memory clinic; and participants with subjective cognitive decline. All participants underwent standardized neuropsychological test battery using the Seoul Neuropsychological Screening Battery 2nd edition (SNSB-II; Kang et al., 2021), brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and laboratory tests, including liver function tests. All participants met the following criteria: (1) no medical history that was likely to affect cognitive function based on Christensen's health screening criteria (Christensen et al., 1991); (2) no objective cognitive impairment in any cognitive domain on a comprehensive neuropsychological test battery (above at least -1.0 standard deviation (SD) of age-adjusted norms on any cognitive test); and (3) independence in activities of daily living. We excluded the participants with history of viral hepatitis or alcohol-liver disease, severe WMH (cap or band >10 mm and longest diameter of deep white matter lesion >25 mm), and structural lesions including cerebral infarction, intracranial hemorrhage, brain tumors, and hydrocephalus on MRI.

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Samsung Medical Center approved. Written informed consent was obtained from all the participants.

## Fatty liver assessment

Fatty liver was defined using the HSI, which includes serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT, IU/L), serum aspartate aminotransferase (AST, IU/L), body mass index (BMI, kg/m<sup>2</sup>), sex, and presence of diabetes. The HSI was calculated using the following variables:  $HSI = 8 \times (ALT/AST ratio) + BMI (+2, if female; +2, if diabetes mellitus).$ 

Hepatic steatosis index has been created and validated for the detection of NAFLD in large populations, not only in Asians (Lee et al., 2010; Murayama et al., 2021) but also in Europeans (Meffert et al., 2014; Khani et al., 2022). In these validation studies in Asians, the area under the receiver operator characteristic curve was greater than 0.8. According to a validation study in a Korean population (Lee et al., 2010), fatty liver was defined as an HSI>36 for the present study.

## Liver fibrosis assessment

Liver fibrosis was assessed using the Fibrosis-4 (FIB-4) index in participants with fatty liver. The FIB-4 index was calculated using the following formula: age (years)×AST (IU/L)/[platelet count  $(10^9/L)$ ×ALT (IU/L)<sup>1/2</sup>]. The FIB-4 index correlates highly with biopsy-proven advanced liver fibrosis (Lee et al., 2021). Furthermore, previous studies have demonstrated that the FIB-4 index was closely associated with liver disease-specific mortality (Unalp-Arida and Ruhl, 2017) and cardiovascular disease (Schonmann et al., 2021). Liver fibrosis was categorized according to the following cut-off values:  $\leq 1.3$ , low fibrosis; > 1.3 and < 2.67, intermediate fibrosis;  $\geq$  2.67, high fibrosis.

# A $\beta$ PET acquisition

All the participants underwent A $\beta$  PET [<sup>18</sup>F-florbetaben (FBB) and <sup>18</sup>F-flutemetamol (FMM)] PET using a Discovery STe PET/CT scanner (GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI, United States). For FBB or FMM PET, a 20-min emission PET scan in dynamic mode (consisting of 4×5min frames) was performed 90min after the injection of a mean dose of 311.5MBq FBB or 197.7MBq FMM, respectively. Three-dimensional PET images were reconstructed in a 128×128×48 matrix with 2 mm×2 mm×3.27 mm voxel size using the ordered-subsets expectation maximization algorithm (FBB, iteration = 4 and subset = 20; FMM, iteration = 4 and subset = 20).

# A $\beta$ PET quantification using Centiloid values

Amyloid-beta uptake was defined according to A $\beta$  PET quantification using Centiloid values. We performed a direct comparison of FBB-FMM Centiloid (dcCL) method previously developed by our group (Cho et al., 2020) to standardize the quantification of A $\beta$  PET images obtained using different ligands. The dcCL method for FBB and FMM PET enables the transformation of the standardized uptake value ratio (SUVR) of FBB and FMM PETs to dcCL values directly without conversion to the <sup>11</sup>C-labeled Pittsburgh compound SUVR.

There are three steps for obtaining dcCL values (Cho et al., 2020): (1) preprocessing of PET images, (2) determination of the global cortical target volume of interest (CTX VOI), and (3) conversion of dcSUVR to dcCL values. First, to preprocess the Aß PET images, PET images were co-registered to each participant's MR image and then normalized to a T1-weighted MNI-152 template using the SPM8 unified segmentation method. We used T1-weighted MR image correction with the N3 algorithm only for intensity non-uniformities, without applying corrections to the PET images for brain atrophy or partial volume effects. Second, we used the FBB-FMM CTX VOI, defined as the area of AD-specific brain AB deposition in our previous study (Cho et al., 2020). Briefly, to exclude areas of aging-related brain Aβ deposition, the FBB-FMM CTX VOI was generated by comparing SUVR parametric images (with the whole cerebellum as a reference area) between 20 typical patients with Alzheimer's disease-related cognitive impairment (AD-CTX) and 16 healthy elderly participants (EH-CTX) who underwent both FBB and FMM PET scans. To generate the FBB-FMM CTX VOI, the average EH-CTX image was subtracted from the average AD-CTX image. We then defined the FBB-FMM CTX VOI as the area of AD-related brain A $\beta$  accumulation common to both FBB and FMM PET. Finally, the dcSUVR values of the FBB-FMM CTX VOI were converted into dcCL units using the dcCL conversion equation. The dcCL equation was derived from the FBB-FMM CTX VOI separately for FBB and FMM PET and applied to FBB and FMM dcSUVR.

To determine the participants' dcCL cut-off-based A $\beta$  positivity, we applied the optimal cut-off value derived using *k*-means cluster analysis in 527 independent samples of participants with normal cognition. The cut-off value was set at 27.08, representing the 95th percentile of the lower cluster, and the whole cerebellum was used as a reference region.

Figure 1 presents two representative cases with respect to  $A\beta$  uptake and  $A\beta$  positivity on PET scan.

# Standardized neuropsychological test battery

All participants underwent the SNSB-II (Kang et al., 2021), which includes standardized and validated tests of various cognitive functions. The SNSB-II evaluates many cognitive factors, including verbal and visual memory, visuo-constructive function, language, praxis, components of Gerstmann syndrome (acalculia, agraphia, right/left disorientation, and finger agnosia), and frontal/executive functions. We chose to use six cognitive measures, which are representative and important neuropsychological tests, to evaluate cognitive function in five cognitive domains as follows: (1) Memory: Seoul Verbal Learning Test and Rey–Osterrieth Complex Figure Test (RCFT) delayed recall; (2) Language: Korean version of the Boston Naming Test; (3) Visuospatial function: RCFT copy; (4) Frontal executive function: Stroop Test color reading; and (5) Attention: Digit Span Test backward.

# Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were performed separately in males and females. Independent t-tests and chi-square tests were used to



compare the demographic and clinical characteristics of the participants.

To investigate the association between the presence of NAFLD and  $A\beta$  deposition, we performed linear regression analyses in males and females, with the presence of NAFLD as a predictor, and quantified dcCL values as an outcome after controlling for age, education years, hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, BMI, and Apolipoprotein E4 (APOE4) genotype. To investigate the association between the severity of NAFLD and A $\beta$  deposition, we performed linear regression analyses in males and females, with the severity of NAFLD (no NAFLD, NAFLD with low fibrosis, NAFLD with intermediate fibrosis, and NAFLD with high fibrosis) as a predictor and quantified dcCL values as an outcome, after controlling for age, education years, hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, BMI, and APOE4 genotype. We also performed a linear trend test using linear regression analysis in males and females, with the severity of NAFLD as a continuous variable, after controlling for age, education years, hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, BMI, and APOE4 genotype. To evaluate the interaction of sex and NAFLD on  $\mbox{A}\beta$ deposition, we performed a linear regression analysis, with NAFLD and sex together as the main effect and NAFLD  $\times$  sex as an interaction effect, after controlling for age, education years, hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, BMI, and APOE4 genotype in all participants.

A sensitivity analysis using cut-off-based categorization rather than quantified dcCL values was performed to further validate the relationship between NAFLD and A $\beta$  deposition. We used logistic regression analysis with NAFLD as a predictor and A $\beta$  positivity as an outcome after controlling for age, education years, hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, BMI, and *APOE4* genotype.

All reported *p* values were two-sided, and the significance level was set at 0.05. All the analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, United States).

# Results

## Clinical characteristics of participants

Among the 673 participants, 410 were females and 263 were males (Table 1). Females had a lower mean age (70.7 ± 7.7 and 71.8 ± 7.2, p=0.049), education years (10.9 ± 4.7 and 13.8 ± 3.9, p < 0.001), and BMI (23.8 ± 3.1 and 24.7 ± 2.6, p < 0.001) than males. There were no differences between males and females in the mean dcCL values (19.29±30.68 and 23.73±38.74, p=0.117), the frequency of A $\beta$  + (24.9 and 26.6%, p=0.679), and the frequency of *APOE4* genotype (25.1 and 26.6%, p=0.732). Females had a lower frequency of hypertension (43.4 and 52.5%, p=0.027) and diabetes (15.4 and 25.1%, p=0.002) than males. However, the frequency of NAFLD was higher in females than in males (48 vs. 23.2%, p < 0.001).

## Effects of NAFLD on A $\beta$ deposition

As illustrated in Figure 2A, among females, the presence of NAFLD ( $\beta$ =0.216, p<0.001) was predictive of increased dcCL (Table 2). However, among males, the presence of NAFLD ( $\beta$ =0.191, p=0.064) was not associated with dcCL values (Table 2). There was no

### TABLE 1 Demographics and clinical information of participants.

	Females ( <i>n</i> = 410)	Males (n = 263)	<i>p</i> value	
Demographics				
Age, years	$70.7 \pm 7.7$	$71.8 \pm 7.2$	0.049	
Education, years	$10.9\pm4.7$	$13.8\pm3.9$	< 0.001	
APOE4 genotype	103 (25.1%)	70 (26.6%)	0.732	
Hypertension	178 (43.4%)	138 (52.5%)	0.027	
Diabetes	63 (15.4%)	66 (25.1%)	0.002	
Hyperlipidemia	202 (49.3%)	121 (46.0%)	0.455	
BMI	23.8±3.1	$24.7 \pm 2.6$	< 0.001	
Liver profiles				
Presence of NAFLD	197 (48.0%)	61 (23.2%)	< 0.001	
NAFLD severity			< 0.001	
Low fibrosis	27 (6.6%)	2 (0.8%)		
Intermediate fibrosis	140 (34.1%)	44 (16.7%)		
High fibrosis	30 (7.3%)	15 (5.7%)		
$A\beta$ deposition				
dcCL values	$19.3 \pm 30.7$	23.7±38.7	0.117	
*Aβ positivity	102 (24.9%)	70 (26.6%)	0.679	

Values are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. \*A $\beta$  positivity was determined using optimal cut-off values of dcCL. A $\beta$ , Amyloid-beta; *n*, Number of patients whose data were available for analysis; APOE4, Apolipoprotein E4; BMI, Body mass index; dcCL, Direct comparison of FBB-FMM Centiloid; and NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease.

interaction effect between sex and the presence of NAFLD (sex × NAFLD, p = 0.109) on dcCL values.

Regarding the severity of NAFLD, among females, the presence of NAFLD with low ( $\beta$ =0.254, p=0.039), intermediate ( $\beta$ =0.201, p=0.006), and high fibrosis ( $\beta$ =0.257, p=0.027) was predictive of increased dcCL values (Table 2; Figure 3A). However, among males, there were no differences in the dcCL values between those without and with NAFLD with low ( $\beta$ =0.139, p=0.917), intermediate ( $\beta$ =0.262, p=0.077), or high fibrosis ( $\beta$ =0.018, p=0.917; Table 2).

In the linear trend test, as illustrated in Figure 3A, the dcCL values increased as the severity of NAFLD (low, intermediate, and high fibrosis) increased in females (*p* for trend = 0.001), which was not the case with the males (*p* for trend = 0.136). There was no interaction effect between sex and NAFLD severity (sex×severity of NAFLD, p = 0.073) on dcCL values.

## Sensitivity analysis

Regarding the categorical values of A $\beta$ , among females, presence of NAFLD [odds ratio (OR)=2.32, *p*=0.005] was independently associated with higher A $\beta$  positivity (Figure 2B), whereas, among males, the presence of NAFLD (OR=1.83, *p*=0.165) was not associated with A $\beta$  positivity (Figure 2B). There was no interaction effect between sex and the presence of NAFLD (sex×NAFLD, *p*=0.143) on A $\beta$  positivity. The relationship between the severity of NAFLD and A $\beta$  positivity showed a similar trend. A $\beta$  positivity became higher as the severity of NAFLD (low, intermediate, and high fibrosis) increased in females (*p* for trend=0.014), whereas A $\beta$ 



#### FIGURE 2

Differences in A $\beta$  uptake and positivity according to the presence of NAFLD. (A) Values depicted in the bar plot represent the presence of NAFLD on the X-axis and A $\beta$  uptake (CL) on the Y-axis. (B) Values depicted in the bar plot represent the presence of NAFLD on the X-axis and A $\beta$  positivity (%) on the Y-axis. \*p for interaction was estimated using the linear regression analyses, including the presence of NAFLD as the main effect and sex x presence of NAFLD as an interaction effect after controlling for age, education years, hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, BMI, and *APOE4* genotype. \*p value was estimated using linear regression analyses, with the presence of NAFLD as a predictor, after controlling for age, education years, hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, BMI, and *APOE4* genotype. \*p value was estimated using logistic regression analyses, including the presence of NAFLD as a main effect and sex x severity of NAFLD as an interaction effect after severity of NAFLD as an interaction effect and sex x severity of NAFLD as a predictor, after controlling for age, education years, hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, BMI, and *APOE4* genotype. \*p value was estimated using logistic regression analyses, with the severity of NAFLD as a predictor after controlling for age, education years, hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, BMI, and *APOE4* genotype. \*p value was estimated using logistic regression analyses, with the severity of NAFLD as a predictor after controlling for age, education years, hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, BMI, and *APOE4* genotype. \*p value was estimated using logistic regression analyses, with the severity of NAFLD as a predictor after controlling for age, education years, hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, BMI, and *APOE4* genotype. \*p Amyloid; *APOE4*, Apolipoprotein E4; BMI, Body mass index; dcCL, Direct comparison of FBB-FMM Centiloid; and NAFLD, Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease.

#### TABLE 2 Relationship between NAFLD and $A\beta$ deposition.

		Females		Males	
		*Beta (SE)	p	*Beta (SE)	p
Presence	NAFLD	0.216 (0.060)	< 0.001	0.191 (0.103)	0.064
¥Severity	Low fibrosis	0.254 (0.123)	0.039	0.139 (0.440)	0.917
	Intermediate fibrosis	0.201 (0.064)	0.006	0.262 (0.117)	0.077
	High fibrosis	0.257 (0.108)	0.027	0.018 (0.170)	0.917

\*Beta was obtained using linear regression analyses, with the presence or severity of NAFLD separately as a predictor, after controlling for age, education years, hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, BMI, and APOE4 genotype. <sup> $T_p$ </sup> values were obtained after FDR correction. A $\beta$ , amyloid; APOE4, Apolipoprotein E4; BMI, body mass index; FDR, false discovery rate; NAFLD, Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; and SE, standard error.

positivity was not associated with the severity of NAFLD in males (p for trend = 0.365, Figure 3B). There was no interaction effect between sex and NAFLD severity (sex×NAFLD severity, p=0.090) on A $\beta$  positivity.

## Discussion

In the present study, we systematically investigated the sex-specific relationships between NAFLD and A $\beta$  deposition in a large number of CU individuals. We found that the presence of NAFLD, as defined

using HSI, was associated with higher A $\beta$  deposition in females but not in males. The severity of NAFLD, as defined using FIB-4, was also associated with higher A $\beta$  deposition in females but not in males. Thus, our findings suggest that there may be a sex-specific relationship between NAFLD and A $\beta$  deposition. Therefore, our results may contribute to the design of sex-specific strategies for NAFLD management to prevent A $\beta$  deposition in CU individuals.

In the present study, females had a higher incidence of NAFLD than males. Considering our female participants to be in postmenopausal status, our findings are consistent with previous studies showing that the frequency of NAFLD is higher in postmenopausal females than in males (Lonardo et al., 2019; Tobari and Hashimoto, 2020). However, the frequency of NAFLD is higher in males, when compared to females of pre-menopausal age group (Lonardo et al., 2019; Tobari and Hashimoto, 2020). This difference in the results before and after menopause could be explained by the influence of estrogen. Estrogen promotes well-metabolic conditions by regulating energy homeostasis, enhancing insulin release, modulating the role of growth hormones, and preventing inflammation (Rettberg et al., 2014). Hormone therapy has been reported to have a protective effect against NAFLD in postmenopausal females (McKenzie et al., 2006).

Our first major finding was that NAFLD was associated with higher A $\beta$  deposition in females. Previous studies have demonstrated that NAFLD is closely related to a greater risk of cognitive impairment and the clinical diagnosis of AD in the elderly population (Seo et al., 2016; Jeong et al., 2022). However, to the best



Differences in Aβ uptake and positivity according to the severity of NAFLD. (**A**) Values depicted in the bar plot represent the severity of NAFLD (no NAFDL, NAFLD with low fibrosis, NAFLD with intermediate fibrosis, and NAFLD with high fibrosis) on the X-axis and Aβ uptake (CL) on the Y-axis. (**B**) Values depicted in the bar plot represent the severity of NAFLD (no NAFDL, NAFLD with high fibrosis) on the X-axis and Aβ uptake (CL) on the Y-axis. (**B**) Values depicted in the bar plot represent the severity of NAFLD (no NAFDL, NAFLD with low fibrosis, NAFLD with intermediate fibrosis, and NAFLD with high fibrosis) on the X-axis and Aβ positivity (%) on the Y-axis. \**p* for interaction was estimated using linear regression analyses, including the severity of NAFLD as the main effect and sex x severity of NAFLD as an interaction effect after controlling for age, education years, hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, BMI, and *APOE4* genotype. \**p* for trend was estimated using linear regression analyses, with the severity of NAFLD as a continuous variable after controlling for age, education years, hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, BMI, and *APOE4* genotype. \**p* for interaction of NAFLD as the main effect and sex x severity of NAFLD as a continuous variable after controlling for age, education years, hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, BMI, and *APOE4* genotype. \**p* for trend was estimated using logistic regression analyses, including the presence of NAFLD as the main effect and sex x severity of NAFLD as a continuous variable after controlling for age, education years, hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, BMI, and *APOE4* genotype. \**p* for trend was estimated using logistic regression analyses, with the severity of NAFLD as a continuous variable after controlling for age, education years, hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, BMI, and *APOE4* genotype. \**p* for trend was estimated using logistic regression analyses, with the severity of NAFLD as a continuous variable after controlling for age,

of our knowledge, the association between NAFLD and  $A\beta$ deposition on PET has not been found in CU individuals. Several mechanisms may explain the association between NAFLD and Aß deposition. First, NAFLD-related chronic inflammation may contribute to the activation of microglial cells in the brain, leading to increased levels of inflammatory cytokines, eventually resulting in increased A<sup>β</sup> deposition. An animal study found that NAFLDinduced high-fat diet aggravates neuroinflammation, accompanied by increased A $\beta$  deposition (Kim et al., 2016). Additionally, another study suggested that increased lipocalin-2 levels related to NAFLD may induce the breakdown of the blood-brain barrier and increase the levels of inflammatory cytokines in the brain (Mondal et al., 2020). Alternatively, the low expression of low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein (LRP-1) observed in patients with NAFLD may lead to decreased A\beta clearance (Tamaki et al., 2007). The stimulation of LRP-1 expression in the hepatocyte decreases Aß deposition in the brain of AD mice model, which improves cognitive function (Sehgal et al., 2012).

An intriguing finding of the present study is that the relationship between NAFLD and A $\beta$  deposition is valid in females but not in males. Although the exact mechanism remains uncertain, multifactorial factors, including sex hormones and socio-behaviors, may underlie the sex-specific relationship between NAFLD and A $\beta$ deposition. Estrogen deficiency in postmenopausal females with NAFLD may be a risk factor for A $\beta$  deposition. Estrogen may also protect from A $\beta$  deposition through anti-inflammatory properties and neurotrophic effects (Morinaga et al., 2007; Anderson et al., 2017). Furthermore, estrogen deficiencies may be attributed to blood-brain barrier breakdown, suggesting that postmenopausal females are more vulnerable to a highly systemic-inflammatory state (Maggioli et al., 2016). However, further comprehensive studies are necessary to identify the exact mechanism underlying the interactions between sex, NAFLD, A $\beta$  deposition, and inflammation.

Our second major finding was that the severity of NAFLD might be associated with  $A\beta$  deposition. In line with our findings, recent studies have shown that advanced liver fibrosis, which determines the severity of NAFLD, is predictive of cognitive decline and development (Weinstein et al., 2019; Solfrizzi et al., 2020). A link between NAFLD severity and  $A\beta$  deposition may occur through systemic inflammation. The interleukin signaling pathway is an important shared pathomechanism between NAFLD and AD (Karbalaei et al., 2018). Furthermore, animal studies have reported that systemic inflammation precipitates  $A\beta$  deposition, which eventually causes AD (Krstic et al., 2012; Carret-Rebillat et al., 2015).

The strength of the present study is that we systematically investigated the effects of NAFLD on A $\beta$  deposition in a large-sized cohort of CU individuals. Especially, enrollment of CU individuals

10.3389/fnagi.2023.1277392

ameliorated the potential reverse effects of dementia on NAFLD. However, this study has several limitations that should be addressed. First, we could not assess NAFLD using imaging or histological confirmation. However, this argument is mitigated to some degree, considering that HSI is a widely used surrogate marker of NAFLD and is well-validated (Lee et al., 2010; Meffert et al., 2014; Murayama et al., 2021; Khani et al., 2022). Second, since this was a cross-sectional study, it was difficult to guarantee the causality of AB deposition due to NAFLD. Third, although AB deposition is a necessary but not sufficient condition for AD, we did not assess the other AD biomarkers including phosphorylated tau. Finally, our participants were mainly recruited from individuals who underwent comprehensive dementia evaluation in the memory clinic. This study may have resulted in the enrollment of healthier or more "health-seeking" individuals, which may also limit the generalizability of this study to other community-based individuals. Nevertheless, our study is noteworthy, suggesting that the prevention and management of NAFLD might be important for the primary prevention of AB deposition in CU individuals. Furthermore, CU females were more vulnerable to developing NAFLD and had more prominent effects of NAFLD on Aß deposition than males. Thus, screening for early detection of NAFLD is necessary to identify individuals at high risk of AB deposition, especially females.

In conclusion, we highlighted that the presence of NAFLD was predictive of a higher A $\beta$  burden in females but not in males. The severity of NAFLD is also associated with a higher A $\beta$  burden in females. Therefore, our results suggest that sex-specific strategies are required for NAFLD management to prevent A $\beta$  deposition in CU individuals.

# Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

# **Ethics statement**

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Samsung Medical Center. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

# Author contributions

SK: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. HY: Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing. BC: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. JK: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. HJ: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. HK: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. MK: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. KO: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. S-BK: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. DN: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. YC: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. SS: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This research was supported by a grant of the Korean Health Technology R&D Project, Ministry of Health & Welfare, Republic of Korea (HI19C1132); a grant of the Korea Dementia Research Project through the Korea Dementia Research Center (KDRC), funded by the Ministry of Health & Welfare and Ministry of Science and ICT, Republic of Korea (grant number: HU20C0111); a grant of the Korea Health Technology R&D Project through the Korea Health Industry Development Institute (KHIDI), funded by the Ministry of Health & Welfare and Ministry of science and ICT, Republic of Korea (grant number: HU22C0170); the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (NRF-2019R1A5A2027340, NRF-2022R1F1A1063966); Institute of Information & communications Technology Planning & Evaluation (IITP) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (No. 2021-0-02068, Artificial Intelligence Innovation Hub); Future Medicine 20\*30 Project of the Samsung Medical Center [#SMX1230081]; the "Korea National Institute of Health" research project (2021-ER1006-02); Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education grant number: 2022R1I1A1A01056956); and Korea University Guro Hospital (KOREA RESEARCH-DRIVEN HOSPITAL) grant (No. O2309441).

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# References

Alzheimer's Association (2016). 2016 Alzheimer's disease facts and figures. Alzheimers Dement. 12, 459-509. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2016.03.001

Anderson, L. J., Liu, H., and Garcia, J. M. (2017). Sex differences in muscle wasting. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* 1043, 153–197. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-70178-3\_9

Carret-Rebillat, A. S., Pace, C., Gourmaud, S., Ravasi, L., Montagne-Stora, S., Longueville, S., et al. (2015). Neuroinflammation and A $\beta$  accumulation linked to systemic inflammation are decreased by genetic PKR down-regulation. *Sci. Rep.* 5:8489. doi: 10.1038/srep08489

Cho, S. H., Choe, Y. S., Kim, H. J., Jang, H., Kim, Y., Kim, S. E., et al. (2020). A new Centiloid method for (18)F-florbetaben and (18)F-flutemetamol PET without conversion to PiB. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 47, 1938–1948. doi: 10.1007/s00259-019-04596-x

Christensen, K. J., Multhaup, K. S., Nordstrom, S., and Voss, K. (1991). A cognitive battery for dementia: development and measurement characteristics. *Psychol. Assess. J. Consult. Clin. Psychol.* 3, 168–174. doi: 10.1037/1040-3590.3.2.168

Gaggini, M., Morelli, M., Buzzigoli, E., DeFronzo, R. A., Bugianesi, E., and Gastaldelli, A. (2013). Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and its connection with insulin resistance, dyslipidemia, atherosclerosis and coronary heart disease. *Nutrients* 5, 1544–1560. doi: 10.3390/nu5051544

Gottesman, R. F., Schneider, A. L., Zhou, Y., Coresh, J., Green, E., Gupta, N., et al. (2017). Association between midlife vascular risk factors and estimated brain amyloid deposition. *JAMA* 317, 1443–1450. doi: 10.1001/jama.2017.3090

Jeong, S., Oh, Y. H., Choi, S., Chang, J., Kim, S. M., Son, J. S., et al. (2022). Association of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease with incident dementia later in life among elder adults. *Clin. Mol. Hepatol.* 28, 510–521. doi: 10.3350/cmh.2021.0332

Kang, S. H., Kim, J. H., Chang, Y., Cheon, B. K., Choe, Y. S., Jang, H., et al. (2022). Independent effect of body mass index variation on amyloid- $\beta$  positivity. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 14:924550. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2022.924550

Kang, S. H., Park, Y. H., Kim, J. P., Kim, J. S., Kim, C. H., Jang, H., et al. (2021). Cortical neuroanatomical changes related to specific neuropsychological deficits in subcortical vascular cognitive impairment. *NeuroImage Clin.* 30:102685. doi: 10.1016/j. nicl.2021.102685

Karbalaei, R., Allahyari, M., Rezaei-Tavirani, M., Asadzadeh-Aghdaei, H., and Zali, M. R. (2018). Protein-protein interaction analysis of Alzheimer's disease and NAFLD based on systems biology methods unhide common ancestor pathways. *Gastroenterol. Hepatol, Bed Bench* 11, 27–33.

Khani, V., Momeni Moghaddam, A., and Hatami, B. (2022). Comparison of hepatic steatosis index as noninvasive diagnostic tool and liver ultrasound for non-alcoholic steatosis in the adult population. *Gastroenterol. Hepatol, Bed Bench* 15, 360–365. doi: 10.22037/ghfbb.v15i4.2480

Kim, D. G., Krenz, A., Toussaint, L. E., Maurer, K. J., Robinson, S. A., Yan, A., et al. (2016). Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease induces signs of Alzheimer's disease (AD) in wild-type mice and accelerates pathological signs of AD in an AD model. *J. Neuroinflammation* 13:1. doi: 10.1186/s12974-015-0467-5

Kim, S. E., Lee, J. S., Woo, S., Kim, S., Kim, H. J., Park, S., et al. (2019). Sex-specific relationship of cardiometabolic syndrome with lower cortical thickness. *Neurology* 93, e1045–e1057. doi: 10.1212/wnl.000000000008084

Kim, G. A., Oh, C. H., Kim, J. W., Jeong, S. J., Oh, I. H., Lee, J. S., et al. (2022). Association between non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and the risk of dementia: a nationwide cohort study. *Liver Int.* 42, 1027–1036. doi: 10.1111/liv.15244

Krstic, D., Madhusudan, A., Doehner, J., Vogel, P., Notter, T., Imhof, C., et al. (2012). Systemic immune challenges trigger and drive Alzheimer-like neuropathology in mice. J. Neuroinflammation 9:151. doi: 10.1186/1742-2094-9-151

Lee, J. H., Kim, D., Kim, H. J., Lee, C. H., Yang, J. I., Kim, W., et al. (2010). Hepatic steatosis index: a simple screening tool reflecting nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Digest. Liver Dis.* 42, 503–508. doi: 10.1016/j.dld.2009.08.002

Lee, J. S., Kim, S., Yoo, H., Park, S., Jang, Y. K., Kim, H. J., et al. (2018). Trajectories of physiological brain aging and related factors in people aged from 20 to over-80. *J. Alzheimer's Dis.* 65, 1237–1246. doi: 10.3233/jad-170537

Lee, J., Vali, Y., Boursier, J., Spijker, R., Anstee, Q. M., Bossuyt, P. M., et al. (2021). Prognostic accuracy of FIB-4, NAFLD fibrosis score and APRI for NAFLD-related events: a systematic review. *Liver Int.* 41, 261–270. doi: 10.1111/liv.14669

Lonardo, A., Nascimbeni, F., Ballestri, S., Fairweather, D., Win, S., Than, T. A., et al. (2019). Sex differences in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: state of the art and identification of research gaps. *Hepatology* 70, 1457–1469. doi: 10.1002/hep.30626

Maggioli, E., McArthur, S., Mauro, C., Kieswich, J., Kusters, D. H. M., Reutelingsperger, C. P. M., et al. (2016). Estrogen protects the blood-brain barrier from inflammation-induced disruption and increased lymphocyte trafficking. *Brain Behav. Immun.* 51, 212–222. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2015.08.020

McKenzie, J., Fisher, B. M., Jaap, A. J., Stanley, A., Paterson, K., and Sattar, N. (2006). Effects of HRT on liver enzyme levels in women with type 2 diabetes: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Clin. Endocrinol.* 65, 40–44. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2265.2006.02543.x

Meffert, P. J., Baumeister, S. E., Lerch, M. M., Mayerle, J., Kratzer, W., and Völzke, H. (2014). Development, external validation, and comparative assessment of a new diagnostic score for hepatic steatosis. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.* 109, 1404–1414. doi: 10.1038/ajg.2014.155

Mondal, A., Bose, D., Saha, P., Sarkar, S., Seth, R., Kimono, D., et al. (2020). Lipocalin 2 induces neuroinflammation and blood-brain barrier dysfunction through liver-brain axis in murine model of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *J. Neuroinflammation* 17:201. doi: 10.1186/s12974-020-01876-4

Morinaga, A., Hirohata, M., Ono, K., and Yamada, M. (2007). Estrogen has antiamyloidogenic effects on Alzheimer's beta-amyloid fibrils in vitro. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 359, 697–702. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2007.05.158

Murayama, K., Okada, M., Tanaka, K., Inadomi, C., Yoshioka, W., Kubotsu, Y., et al. (2021). Prediction of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease using noninvasive and non-imaging procedures in Japanese health checkup examinees. *Diagnostics* 11:132. doi: 10.3390/diagnostics11010132

Reed, B., Villeneuve, S., Mack, W., DeCarli, C., Chui, H. C., and Jagust, W. (2014). Associations between serum cholesterol levels and cerebral amyloidosis. *JAMA Neurol.* 71, 195–200. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2013.5390

Rettberg, J. R., Yao, J., and Brinton, R. D. (2014). Estrogen: a master regulator of bioenergetic systems in the brain and body. *Front. Neuroendocrinol.* 35, 8–30. doi: 10.1016/j.yfrne.2013.08.001

Schonmann, Y., Yeshua, H., Bentov, I., and Zelber-Sagi, S. (2021). Liver fibrosis marker is an independent predictor of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in the general population. *Digest. Liver Dis.* 53, 79–85. doi: 10.1016/j.dld.2020.10.014

Sehgal, N., Gupta, A., Valli, R. K., Joshi, S. D., Mills, J. T., Hamel, E., et al. (2012). Withania somnifera reverses Alzheimer's disease pathology by enhancing low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein in liver. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 109, 3510–3515. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1112209109

Seo, S. W., Gottesman, R. F., Clark, J. M., Hernaez, R., Chang, Y., Kim, C., et al. (2016). Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease is associated with cognitive function in adults. *Neurology* 86, 1136–1142. doi: 10.1212/wnl.0000000002498

Shang, Y., Nasr, P., Ekstedt, M., Widman, L., Stål, P., Hultcrantz, R., et al. (2021). Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease does not increase dementia risk although histology data might improve risk prediction. *JHEP Rep.* 3:100218. doi: 10.1016/j.jhepr.2020. 100218

Shim, Y. S., and Morris, J. C. (2011). Biomarkers predicting Alzheimer's disease in cognitively Normal aging. *J. Clin. Neurol.* 7, 60–68. doi: 10.3988/jcn.2011.7.2.60

Solfrizzi, V., Scafato, E., Custodero, C., Loparco, F., Ciavarella, A., Panza, F., et al. (2020). Liver fibrosis score, physical frailty, and the risk of dementia in older adults: the Italian longitudinal study on aging. *Alzheimers Dement.* 6:e12065. doi: 10.1002/trc2.12065

Sperling, R. A., Donohue, M. C., Raman, R., Sun, C. K., Yaari, R., Holdridge, K., et al. (2020). Association of Factors with Elevated Amyloid Burden in clinically Normal older individuals. *JAMA Neurol.* 77, 735–745. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2020.0387

Tamaki, C., Ohtsuki, S., and Terasaki, T. (2007). Insulin facilitates the hepatic clearance of plasma amyloid beta-peptide (1 40) by intracellular translocation of low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 1 (LRP-1) to the plasma membrane in hepatocytes. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 72, 850–855. doi: 10.1124/mol.107.036913

Tobari, M., and Hashimoto, E. (2020). Characteristic features of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in Japan with a focus on the roles of age, sex and body mass index. *Gut Liver* 14, 537–545. doi: 10.5009/gnl19236

Unalp-Arida, A., and Ruhl, C. E. (2017). Liver fibrosis scores predict liver disease mortality in the United States population. *Hepatology* 66, 84–95. doi: 10.1002/hep.29113

Weinstein, G., Davis-Plourde, K., Himali, J. J., Zelber-Sagi, S., Beiser, A. S., and Seshadri, S. (2019). Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, liver fibrosis score and cognitive function in middle-aged adults: the Framingham study. *Liver Int.* 39, 1713–1721. doi: 10.1111/liv.14161

Willette, A. A., Johnson, S. C., Birdsill, A. C., Sager, M. A., Christian, B., Baker, L. D., et al. (2015). Insulin resistance predicts brain amyloid deposition in late middle-aged adults. *Alzheimers Dement.* 11, 504–510.e501. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2014.03.011

Younossi, Z. M., Koenig, A. B., Abdelatif, D., Fazel, Y., Henry, L., and Wymer, M. (2016). Global epidemiology of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease-Meta-analytic assessment of prevalence, incidence, and outcomes. *Hepatology* 64, 73–84. doi: 10.1002/ hep.28431