Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Stefano Tarantini, University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, United States

REVIEWED BY

Ma Yuanyuan, Fudan University, China Alvin S. Das, Harvard Medical School, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Wenyuan Zhang ⊠ zhangwenyuan11@163.com

RECEIVED 15 June 2023 ACCEPTED 28 November 2023 PUBLISHED 08 January 2024

CITATION

Zhang W, Fu F and Zhan Z (2024) Association between intracranial and extracranial atherosclerosis and white matter hyperintensities: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 15:1240509. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2023.1240509

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Zhang, Fu and Zhan. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Association between intracranial and extracranial atherosclerosis and white matter hyperintensities: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Wenyuan Zhang¹*, Fangwang Fu² and Zhenxiang Zhan³

¹Department of Neurology, Affiliated Yueqing Hospital, Wenzhou Medical University, Yueqing, China, ²Department of Neurology, The Second Affiliated Hospital and Yuying Children's Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China, ³Department of Neurology, Affiliated Jinhua Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Jinhua, China

Background: White matter hyperintensities (WMHs) are key neuroimaging markers of cerebral small vessel diseases. This study aimed to investigate whether intracranial and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis is associated with WMHs.

Methods: Following a previously registered protocol (PROSPERO protocol: CRD42023407465), PubMed, Web of Science, and Embase were systematically searched for relevant literature published until March 2023. Cross-sectional studies examining the association between intracranial and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis and WMHs were included. Random effects models were used to calculate the pooled estimates.

Results: Twenty-one eligible studies, including 10,841 participants, were identified. Intracranial and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis was associated with an increased risk of WMHs (OR 1.80, 95% CI 1.25–2.57, l^2 = 75%) and increased WMH volumes (SMD 0.40, 95% CI 0.18–0.63, l^2 = 63%). Heterogeneity resulted from the WMHs rating method and the location. Extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis (ECAS) was significantly associated with WMHs (OR 2.10, 95% CI 1.22–3.62, l^2 = 71%), but intracranial atherosclerotic stenosis (ICAS) was insignificantly associated with WMHs (OR 1.75, 95% CI 0.97–3.15, l^2 = 84%). The association was stable in the subgroup analysis based on WMHs location, which included deep WMHs and periventricular WMHs.

Conclusion: Intracranial and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis is associated with WMHs. This association is significant in ECAS, but attenuated in ICAS.

KEYWORDS

cerebral small vessel disease, extracranial atherosclerosis, intracranial atherosclerosis, meta-analysis, white matter hyperintensities

Introduction

White matter hyperintensities (WMHs) of presumed vascular origin are ischemic manifestations of cerebral small vessel disease that can be detected through neuroimaging (Wardlaw et al., 2013). The prevalence of WMHs increases significantly with age, ranging from approximately 5% in individuals aged 40 years to nearly 100% in those aged 80 years

(Zhuang et al., 2018). Severe WMHs have been linked to various negative outcomes, including cognitive impairment (Debette et al., 2019), neuropsychiatric symptoms (Clancy et al., 2021), gait dysfunction (Kim et al., 2016), increased stroke risk (Debette et al., 2019), and poorer stroke recovery (Cheng et al., 2022). However, the underlying mechanisms responsible for the development of WMHs remain incompletely understood, with current research highlighting dysfunctions in cerebral blood flow and the blood–brain barrier as crucial factors (Wardlaw et al., 2019). Previous studies have established an association between intracranial and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis and white matter hyperintensities (WMHs), although the results of these studies are not consistent (Pu et al., 2009; Lee et al., 2012; Schulz et al., 2013; Park et al., 2015; Duan et al., 2018; Huang et al., 2022).

Atherosclerosis is the primary cause of luminal stenosis in both intracranial and extracranial arteries. Approximately 50% of Asian patients with acute ischemic stroke suffer from intracranial and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis (Wang et al., 2014). Although WMHs are the primary imaging marker representing damage to the brain's small vessels, some studies have reported that WMHs were more common in patients with intracranial and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis (Lee et al., 2011; Park et al., 2015; Duan et al., 2018). A previous meta-analysis demonstrated that carotid artery stenosis was associated with total WMHs, deep WMHs (DWMHs), and periventricular WMHs (PVWMHs) (Ye et al., 2018). However, it is important to note that this meta-analysis only included a limited number of studies (n=8). Furthermore, the study conducted by Ye et al. specifically focused on extracranial internal carotid artery stenosis, and there has been no comprehensive summary of research findings regarding intracranial atherosclerotic stenosis (ICAS) and WMHs. The pathogenic mechanisms and hemodynamic changes of ICAS and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis (ECAS) are not entirely consistent (Kim et al., 2018). Therefore, it is necessary to determine whether ICAS, similar to ECAS, is related to WMHs. To date, a considerable number of recent studies have been published investigating the correlation between intracranial and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis and white matter hyperintensities (WMHs) (Duan et al., 2018; Ye et al., 2019; Del Brutto et al., 2020; Fang et al., 2020; Benli et al., 2021; Yin et al., 2021; Choi et al., 2022; Ghaznawi et al., 2022; Huang et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2022). In light of this, we conducted an updated systematic review and meta-analysis to examine the potential association between ICAS or ECAS and WMHs. Additionally, the associations between intracranial and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis and WMHs in different study designs, severity of atherosclerotic stenosis, WMHs rating method, and WMHs location were further analyzed.

Methods

This systematic review was carried out based on a predefined protocol (PROSPERO registration number: CRD42023407465), adhering to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (Page et al., 2021).

Search strategy

The PubMed, Web of Science, and Embase databases were systematically searched from their inception to February 2023. The

detailed search formula was as follows: ("white matter hyperintensit*" OR "white matter lesion*" OR "white matter change*" OR "white matter disease*" OR "white matter damage*" OR "leukoaraiosis" OR "leukoencephalopath*" OR "Binswanger's disease") AND ("intracranial atherosclero*" OR "cerebral atherosclero*" OR "extracranial atherosclero*" OR "arter* stenosis" OR "intracranial stenosis" OR "extracranial stenosis" OR "carotid stenosis"). The references of the included articles and relevant reviews were manually searched to identify potential studies missed during the initial literature search. Two independent investigators (ZZ and FF) performed a literature, and differences were resolved by a third investigator (WZ) joining the discussion.

Study selection

This meta-analysis included studies that reported an association between ICAS or ECAS and WMHs in human. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (i) the set criteria for ICAS or ECAS severity was \geq 50%; (ii) WMHs were assessed by a quantitative or semiquantitative method based on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI); (iii) the available data for meta-analysis (effect estimates or mean and standard deviation) were reported; and (iv) the article was published in full text in English. The following studies were excluded: (1) studies with unclear criteria for ICAS or ECAS severity; (2) studies with WMHs assessment based on computer tomography (CT); (3) meeting abstracts; and (4) systematic reviews. In the case of duplicated published data, we included the study with the greatest number of participants.

ICAS was defined as stenosis in intracranial internal carotid artery, middle cerebral artery, anterior cerebral artery, posterior cerebral artery, intracranial vertebral artery, and basilar artery. ECAS was defined as stenosis in extracranial internal carotid artery, extracranial vertebral artery, external carotid artery, common carotid artery, the proximal portion of subclavian artery, and aortic arch. Imaging examinations to determine the degree of vascular stenosis included Doppler ultrasonography (DUS), CT angiography (CTA), magnetic resonance angiography (MRA), and digital subtraction angiography (DSA). WMHs were defined as hyperintense in the subcortical white matter displayed on T2-weighted sequences (Figure 1) and could be divided into DWMHs and PVWMHs according to their anatomical locations. WMHs within 13 mm from ventricular surface were classified as DWMHs, and WMHs 13 mm or further from the ventricular surface were classified as PVWMHs (Kim et al., 2008). The measurement of WMHs involved quantification methods and semi-quantitative visual rating scales, such as Fazekas Scale (Fazekas et al., 1987), Scheltens Scale (Scheltens et al., 1993), and Age-Related White Matter Changes (ARWMC) Scale (Wahlund et al., 2001).

Data extraction

Two independent reviewers (ZZ and FF) used a prespecified template to extract information on study characteristics (first author, publication year, country, study design), participant details (sample size, mean age, sex ratio), atherosclerotic stenosis assessment (location, severity, vascular image), WMHs assessment (rating method,



location), and statistical analyses (effect estimate and corresponding 95% confidence interval [CI], mean and standard deviation). Relevant missing data were requested by emailing the corresponding authors when possible. Otherwise, the study was not included in the subgroup analysis grouped by missing variables. Effect estimates (usually odds ratios [ORs]) were extracted from the most fully adjusted models. When adjusted effect estimates were not directly provided, we chose unadjusted effect estimates or calculated the ORs using 2×2 tables.

Quality assessment

Two independent reviewers (ZZ and FF) assessed the risk of bias in the study design using the Newcastle-Ottawa scale (Stang, 2010). The checklist consists of three domains (selection, comparability, and exposure) with a total quality score ranging from 0 to 9 points. A score equal to or exceeding 7 indicated a high-quality study. Any disagreements were resolved by a third reviewer (WZ).

Statistical analysis

Summary measures, including OR and standardized mean difference (SMD), were applied to studies reporting WMHs in the form of categorical and continuous variables, respectively. A random-effects meta-analysis model (DerSimonian Laird method) was used to calculate the pooled OR and SMD (Dersimonian and Laird, 1986). When DWMHs and PVWMHs were reported instead of total WMHs in the included studies, DWMH data was selected for the meta-analysis.

Heterogeneity was assessed using the Cochran Q statistic and was quantified using the I^2 metric. $I^2 > 50\%$ was considered statistically significant heterogeneity (Higgins et al., 2003). The source of heterogeneity was investigated via meta-regression (if $n \ge 10$) and subgroup analyses stratified by multiple different variables. Sensitivity analyses were conducted by excluding one study at a time to examine the robustness of the synthesized results. Publication bias (if $n \ge 10$) was assessed using funnel plot and Egger's test (Egger et al., 1997). All

statistical tests were two-tailed, and statistical significance was set at p < 0.05. Statistical analyses were performed using the R version 4.2.1 software (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

Results

Literature search

Figure 2 shows the screening and selection processes of the study. The systematic database search yielded 477, 452, and 578 records from the PubMed, Web of Science, and Embase databases, respectively. After excluding duplicates and reviewing titles and abstracts, 73 articles were considered as potential studies on the association between WMHs and ICAS or ECAS. Following a review of the full texts and a manual search, 21 studies were included in the meta-analyses. Of these, 14 studies were pooled to calculate the OR (Pu et al., 2009; Romero et al., 2009; Muñoz-Cortés et al., 2013; Schulz et al., 2013; Park et al., 2015; Duan et al., 2018; Ye et al., 2019; Del Brutto et al., 2020; Fang et al., 2020; Benli et al., 2022), and seven studies were pooled to calculate the SMD (Patankar et al., 2006; Chuang et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2011; Cheng et al., 2012; Scherr et al., 2012; Sahin et al., 2015; Ghaznawi et al., 2022).

Study characteristics

The characteristics of the included studies are summarized in Table 1. Nine studies were conducted in Europe and America, and 12 studies were conducted in East Asia. Five studies compared WMHs in the ipsilateral and contralateral hemispheres of stenotic vessels in patients with ICAS or ECAS, and 16 studies compared WMHs in patients with ICAS or ECAS and controls without ICAS and ECAS. Overall, 21 studies included 10,841 participants (median sample size, n = 212: minimum, n = 29; maximum, n = 2,420). The average age of the participants in the included studies ranged between 51.6 and 72.7 years, and the proportion of females ranged from 8.7 to



81.0%. ICAS were investigated in 11 studies, and ECAS was investigated in 16 studies. The internal carotid artery was the artery of greatest concern (n=20). The criterion for atherosclerotic stenosis was set as greater than 50% in 13 studies, greater than 60% in one study, and greater than 70% in seven studies. Quantitative assessment was conducted in two studies, semi-quantitative assessment in 18 studies, and qualitative assessment in one study. The commonly used scales were Fazekas Scale (n=seven), Scheltens Scale (n=four), and ARWMC Scale (n=three). Total WMHs were investigated in 14 studies, and DWMHs and PVWMHs were investigated in nine studies. According to the quality assessment, approximately half of the studies were of high quality (Supplementary Table S1).

Association of ICAS and ECAS with WMHs based on OR

We found intracranial and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis to be associated with an increased risk of WMHs (OR 1.80, 95% CI 1.25–2.57, I^2 =75%, 14 studies; Figure 3). Sensitivity analyses further revealed a stable association between intracranial and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis and WMHs (Supplementary Figure S1). Metaregression analysis revealed that the source of heterogeneity originated from the WMHs rating method and location (both p<0.05). Subgroup analyses showed that race, age, and sex did not influence this association (Figure 4). Not only patients with intracranial and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis had increased odds of WMHs compared to controls without intracranial and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis (OR 1.64, 95% CI 1.07–2.49, I^2 =77%, 11 studies), but also patients with intracranial and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis had increased odds of WMHs in the ipsilateral hemisphere of the stenotic vessel compared to the contralateral side (OR 2.66, 95% CI 1.65–4.31, $I^2 = 0\%$, three studies). Intracranial and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis with a threshold of 50% stenosis was associated with WMHs (OR 1.94, 95% CI 1.31-2.88, I² = 76%, 11 studies). According to the location of atherosclerotic stenosis, ECAS (OR 2.10, 95% CI 1.22–3.62, *I*²=71%, six studies) but not ICAS (OR 1.75, 95% CI 0.97–3.15, I^2 = 84%, seven studies) was associated with WMHs. Both DWMHs (OR 2.97, 95% CI 2.20-4.02, I²=0%, five studies), and PVWMHs (OR 1.81, 95% CI 1.01-3.26, I²=65%, five studies) were associated with intracranial and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis. WMHs assessed by ARWMC Scale were not associated with intracranial and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis. The funnel plot (Supplementary Figure S2) for the studies investigating the association between intracranial and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis and WMHs showed mild asymmetry. However, Egger's tests (p=0.17) indicated no significant publication bias in this meta-analysis.

Association of ICAS and ECAS with WMHs based on SMD

Our analyses found that intracranial and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis to be associated with increased volume of WMHs (SMD 0.40, 95% CI 0.18–0.63, I^2 =63%, seven studies; Figure 5). The result remained stable in sensitivity analyses, excluding one study each time (Supplementary Figure S3).

TABLE 1 Study characteristics.

First author, year	Country	Study design	Sample size	Age (mean, y)	Female	Location of atherosclerotic stenosis	Severity of atherosclerotic stenosis	Vascular image	WMHs rating method	WMHs location	NOS
Patankar et al. (2006)	UK	Interindividual	90	NA	NA	Extracranial	≥70%	DUS	Scheltens Scale	Deep and periventricular	5
Pu et al. (2009)	China	Interindividual	185	56.2 ± 10.4	14.1%	Intracranial and extracranial	≥50%	MRA	ARWMC Scale	Total	5
Romero et al. (2009)	USA	Interindividual	1971	58.0 ± 10.0	53.0%	Extracranial	≥50%	DUS	Volumetric	Total	8
Chuang et al. (2011)	China	Intraindividual	106	64.4 ± 8.1	39.6%	Extracranial	≥60%	DUS or MRA	Fazekas Scale	Deep and periventricular	8
Lee et al. (2011)	South Korea	Interindividual	268	67.0 ± 12.4	43.3%	Intracranial and extracranial	≥50%	MRA	Scheltens Scale	Total, deep and periventricular	7
Cheng et al. (2012)	China	Interindividual	43	71.2 ± 6.6	44.2%	Extracranial	≥70%	DUS or MRA	Scheltens Scale	Total	8
Scherr et al. (2012)	Austria	Interindividual	212	70.2 ± 9.1	43.9%	Extracranial	≥50%	DUS	Pantoni Scale	Total	7
Muñoz-Cortés et al. (2013)	Spain	Interindividual	67	53.5 ± 7.0	28.0%	Extracranial	≥50%	DUS	Fazekas Scale	Total	5
Schulz et al. (2013)	UK	Interindividual	671	71.1 ± 11.5	44.3%	Intracranial and extracranial	≥50%	DUS, CTA or MRA	ARWMC Scale	Total	6
Park et al. (2015)	South Korea	Interindividual	697	67.8 ± 12.6	41.8%	Intracranial and extracranial	≥50%	MRA	Fazekas Scale	Deep and periventricular	6
Sahin et al. (2015)	Turkey	Intraindividual	29	68.2 ± 9.2	37.9%	Extracranial	≥70%	CTA, MRA or DSA	Scheltens Scale	Deep and periventricular	8
Duan et al. (2018)	China	Interindividual	2,420	61.9 ± 11.2	32.5%	Intracranial and extracranial	≥50%	MRA	Manolio Scale	Total	5
Ye et al. (2019)	China	Intraindividual	115	67.2 ± 10.2	18.3%	Extracranial	≥70%	DUS	King Scale	Deep and periventricular	9
Del Brutto et al. (2020)	USA	Interindividual	581	71.0 ± 8.4	57.1%	Intracranial	≥50%	MRA	Pantoni Scale	Total	6
Fang et al. (2020)	China	Interindividual	180	63.7 ± 11.6	35.6%	Intracranial	≥70%	MRA	ARWMC Scale	Total	5
Benli et al. (2021)	Turkey	Intraindividual	69	72.7 ± 9.3	50.7%	Extracranial	≥50%	DUS	Fazekas Scale	Deep and periventricular	8
Yin et al. (2021)	China	Interindividual	469	60.2 ± 11.3	27.7%	Intracranial	≥50%	CTA, MRA or DSA	Lesions ≥5 mm in any diameter	Total	6
Choi et al. (2022)	South Korea	Interindividual	1,337	51.6 ± 9.2	13.5%	Intracranial	≥50%	MRA	Fazekas Scale	Deep and periventricular	8
Ghaznawi et al. (2022)	Netherlands	Interindividual	654	57.0 ± 10.0	81.0%	Extracranial	≥70%	DUS	Volumetric	Total	6
Huang et al. (2022)	China	Intraindividual	161	65.7 ± 8.4	8.7%	Intracranial and extracranial	≥50%	DSA	Fazekas Scale	Deep and periventricular	8
Wang et al. (2022)	China	Interindividual	516	59.0 ± 20.0	25.6%	Intracranial	≥70%	MRA	Fazekas Scale	Total	7

ARWMC, age-related white matter changes; CTA, computer tomography; DUS, doppler ultrasonography; DSA, digital subtraction angiography; MRA, magnetic resonance angiography; NA, not applicable; NOS, Newcastle-Ottawa scale; WMHs, white matter hyperintensities.

Study	Odds Ratio	OR 95%-Cl Weight	
Pu,2009	-	0.97 [0.46; 2.06] 7.0%	
Romero,2009		2.35 [1.08; 5.12] 6.8%	
Munoz-Cortes,2013	-	- 5.94 [1.51; 23.33] 4.1%	
Schulz,2013		0.88 [0.52; 1.48] 8.2%	
Park,2015		2.86 [1.89; 4.32] 8.8%	
Duan,2018	+	1.37 [1.16; 1.62] 9.8%	
Ye,2019		3.96 [1.61; 9.75] 6.1%	
Del Brutto,2020		3.66 [1.55; 8.66] 6.4%	
Fang,2020		0.73 [0.38; 1.42] 7.4%	
Benli,2021		2.76 [1.19; 6.40] 6.5%	
Yin,2021		0.86 [0.55; 1.34] 8.7%	
Choi,2022		- 7.11 [2.33; 21.73] 5.1%	
Huang,2022	- <u>.</u>	1.93 [0.89; 4.18] 6.8%	
Wang,2022	-	1.03 [0.62; 1.72] 8.3%	
Random effects model	•	1.80 [1.25; 2.57] 100.0%	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 75\%, p < 0.01$			
0.1	0.5 1 2 10		
FIGURE 3 Forest plot depicting intracranial and extracranial atheroscle	protic stenosis and the risk of WMHs.		

Subgroup analyses showed that race and sex did not influence this association (Figure 6). The results were similar between comparison the volume of WMHs in patients with intracranial and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis and controls without intracranial and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis (SMD 0.42, 95% CI 0.09-0.75, $I^2 = 75\%$, five studies) and comparison the volume of WMHs in the ipsilateral hemisphere of the stenotic vessel and contralateral side in patients with intracranial and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis (SMD 0.40, 95% CI 0.16–0.64, $I^2 = 0\%$, two studies). According to the location of atherosclerotic stenosis, both ICAS (SMD 0.52, 95% CI 0.25-0.79, one study) and ECAS (SMD 0.34, 95% CI 0.07–0.62, $I^2 = 70\%$, seven studies) were associated with WMHs. Intracranial and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis was associated with increased volume of DWMHs (SMD 0.54, 95% CI 0.22-0.86, *I*² = 65%, four studies) and PVWMHs (SMD 0.41, 95% CI 0.25–0.57, $I^2 = 0\%$, four studies). No significant differences in this association were observed between the different semi-quantitative assessment scales.

Discussion

This systematic review and meta-analysis showed that intracranial and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis \geq 50% was associated with WMHs in deep and periventricular regions. The association was validated in studies designed for both inter-and intra-individual comparisons. Our study showed that ECAS was significantly associated with WMHs, whereas ICAS was only marginally associated with WMHs.

The correlation between ECAS and WMHs confirmed the findings of a previous meta-analysis by Ye et al. (2018). Consistent with previous meta-analysis, the extracranial artery of interest in the included studies was the carotid artery, particularly the extracranial internal carotid artery, which is the main blood vessel supplying the supratentorial white matter. Compared to the study by Ye et al., which only provided SMD values, our study also provided OR values. Our study was conducted to verify the correlation between ICAS and WMHs; however, the results were borderline. Several studies on ICAS and WMHs were excluded because of the lack of data available for meta-analysis, which reported contradictory results, including positive and null associations (Chutinet et al., 2012; Li et al., 2014; Nam et al., 2017; Zhai et al., 2018; Zhao et al., 2019; Ren et al., 2021; Feng et al., 2023). The inconsistencies in the results might be due to the differences in study subjects, sample size, ICAS assessment method, WMHs rating method and statistical analysis between the studies. Overall, several studies with a large sample size (n > 1,000)reported similar results, indicating that ICAS was associated with WMHs (Nam et al., 2017; Duan et al., 2018; Zhai et al., 2018; Choi et al., 2022). Another strength of our study is to explore the source of heterogeneity using meta-regression and subgroup analyses. The WMHs rating method was an important source of heterogeneity. Fazekas Scale was suitable for the analysis of WMHs in the form of categorical variable, and Scheltens Scale was suitable for the analysis of WMHs in the form of continuous variable.

The pathophysiology linking intracranial and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis to WMHs remains unclear. The shared risk factors (e.g., advanced age, hypertension, and diabetes) of intracranial and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis and cerebral

Subgroup	Number of Studies	Interaction P-value	Random Effects Model (Odds Ratio)	OR	95%-C
Country					
Fastern	9	0.35		1 60 [1 03 2 48
Western	5	0.00		1.00 [1 22:40
western	5			2.32 [1.22, 4.44
Study design					
Interindividual	11	0.13		1.64 [1.07; 2.49
Intraindividual	3			2.66 [1.65; 4.31]
Sample size (n)					
<500	7	0.67	+ . .	1.66 [0.94: 2.91
>=500	7			1.95 [1.19; 3.20
Age (mean.v)					
<60	5	0.53		2 22 I	1 00. 4 91.
>=60	0	0.00		1 67 1	1 10. 2 52
~-00	3			1.0 <i>1</i> [1.10, 2.03
Female (%)	-	0.74	_	4 07 -	1 0 4 0 7 1
<30.0%	[0.74		1.97 [1.04; 3.71
>=30.0%	7			1.72 [1.10; 2.71
Location of ath	erosclerotic	stenosis			
ICAS and ECAS	5	0.61	+ • -	1.48 [0.95; 2.29
ICAS	7			1.75 [0.97; 3.15
ECAS	6			2.10 [1.22; 3.62
Severity of athe	erosclerotic	stenosis			
>=50%	11	0.51		1.94 [1.31: 2.88
>=70%	3			1.37 [0.53; 3.54
Vascular image					
DUS	4	0.23	— — —	3.12「	1.98: 4.92
MRA	7			173	1 00 2 97
DSA	1			1.93 [0.89; 4.18
WMHs rating m	ethod				
Fazekas Scale	6	< 0.01		2 5 8 1	1 40. 1 16
	2	- 0.01			1.40, 4.40 0 50· 1 22
	ວ ∡				1 10. 1 00
ivianolio Scale	1		≖	1.37	1.16; 1.62
King Scale	1			- 3.96 [1.61; 9.75
Pantoni Scale	1			- 3.66 [1.55; 8.66
Volumetric	1			2.35 [1.08; 5.12
WMHs location					
Total	9	< 0.01	+	1.30 [0.92; 1.83
Deep	5			2.97	2.20: 4.02
Periventricular	5			1.81 [1.01; 3.26
NOS					
<7	8	0.16	<u> </u>	1 46 [0 92 2 32
>=7	6	0.10		2 / 2 /	1 42. 1 00
~ - 1	U			2.42 [1.40, 4.09

ial and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis with the risk of WMHs in subgroup analyses.



small vessel disease may play a mediating role. However, the intra-individual comparison design which eliminates the influence of vascular risk factors still showed more severe WMHs in the ipsilateral hemisphere of intracranial and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis than that in the contralateral hemisphere. This indicates that other important mechanisms link intracranial and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis with WMHs. Cerebral blood flow and cerebrovascular reactivity are impaired in patients with ICAS (Liu and Li, 2016; Yang et al., 2017) or ECAS (Hartkamp et al., 2018), especially in the ipsilateral hemisphere of the stenotic vessel. Endothelial dysfunction (including cerebral blood flow, cerebrovascular reactivity, intracranial pulsatility) is a pivotal pathogenesis of cerebral small vessel disease (Wardlaw et al., 2019). Three studies reported that WMHs were not directly related to arterial stenosis but were independently associated with the stenosis-induced cerebral hemodynamic changes in patients with ICAS (Fang et al., 2020; Ren et al., 2021; Feng et al., 2023). Intracranial and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis does not necessarily cause decreased cerebral blood flow (Shakur et al., 2014) as it also depends on collateral blood flow, which may result in a null association between intracranial and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis and WMHs in some previous studies. Recently, high intracranial vascular pulsatility has been linked to the formation of WMHs in individuals with asymptomatic ICAS (Zhao et al., 2022).

Our results showed that the association between intracranial and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis and WMHs was stronger in the deep white matter than in the periventricular white matter. Neuropathological examination reveals that the main pathological changes are demyelination in DWMHs and the main changes are interstitial edema in PVWMHs (Haller et al., 2013). A crosssectional study of arterial spin-labeling images showed that ischemia-hypoperfusion is the pathogenesis of DWMHs rather than PVWMHs (Cai et al., 2022). This difference in underlying mechanisms explains the differences in the strength of the association between intracranial and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis and WMHs at different sites.

Our meta-analysis was based on cross-sectional studies; therefore, causality between intracranial and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis and WMHs could not be established. A 1 year follow-up study reported no association between 50-69% carotid artery stenosis and ipsilateral WMHs progression (Kwee et al., 2011). Another 7 years follow-up study also found that carotid artery stenosis \geq 50% was not associated with WMHs progression, as assessed using the Fazekas Scale (Ihle-Hansen et al., 2021). The only positive findings were observed in a retrospective longitudinal study that reported that ICAS \geq 20% was associated with WMHs progression assessed using the modified Rotterdam Progression scale after a 3 years interval (Zhong et al., 2022). A drawback of these studies is that cerebral perfusion was not considered. Reduced cerebral blood flow has been demonstrated to predict WMHs progression in longitudinal studies (Ten Dam et al., 2007; Bernbaum et al., 2015; Promjunyakul et al., 2018). Because cerebral blood flow can be normal in patients with carotid artery stenosis, it is necessary to conduct follow-up studies on specific patients with carotid artery stenosis who have a decrease in cerebral blood flow.

Our study has some limitations. First, gray literature such as meeting abstracts were not included in our meta-analysis. Second, a significant number of studies were excluded due to insufficient data availability, thereby impacting the comprehensiveness of our analysis on the association between ICAS and WMHs. Consequently, further high-quality studies are necessary to conduct a more robust metaanalysis. Third, substantial heterogeneity was observed in the metaanalyses. To address this, we analyzed the data using a random-effect model and explored the heterogeneity using meta-regression. Fourth, some included studies provided univariate analysis results on ICAS or ECAS and WMHs. Thus, the association may be biased due to confounding factors. Finally, a limitation of this study is that it only provides information that intracranial and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis is associated with WMHs. Further studies are warranted to

Subgroup	Studies	P-value (S	tanuardised wean Ditteren	Ce) SIMID 95%-C
Country	-			
Eastern	3	0.83		0.39 [0.22; 0.57
Western	4			0.44 [0.01; 0.88
Study design				
Interindividual	5	0.93		0.42 [0.09; 0.75
Intraindividual	2			0.40 [0.16; 0.64
Sample size (n)				
<100	3	0.21		0.64 [0.12; 1.15
>=100	4			0.29 [0.13; 0.46
Age (mean,y)				
<60	1	0.04		0.06 [-0.20; 0.32
>=60	5		-	0.37 [0.23; 0.52
Female (%)				
<40.0%	2	0.37		0.40 [0.16; 0.64
>=40.0%	4			0.26 [0.08; 0.45
Location of athe	rosclerotic	stenosis		
ICAS and ECAS	1	0.64		0.39 [0.14; 0.63
ICAS	1			0.52 [0.25; 0.79
ECAS	7			0.34 [0.07; 0.62
Severity of athe	rosclerotic s	tenosis		
>=50%	2	0.91		0.36 [0.17; 0.55
>=60%	1			0.41 [0.13; 0.68
>=70%	4		•	0.46 [-0.01; 0.93
Vascular image				
DUS	3	0.81	+	0.47 [-0.13; 1.07
MRA	1			0.39 [0.14; 0.63
WMHs rating me	ethod			
Fazekas Scale	1	0.12		0.41 [0.13; 0.68
Scheltens Scale	4			0.56 [0.19; 0.92
Pantoni Scale	1			0.32 [0.02; 0.61
Volumetric	1			0.06 [-0.20; 0.32
WMHs location				
Total	4	0.27		0.26 [0.08; 0.45
Deep	4			0.54 [0.22; 0.86
Periventricular	4		-	0.41 [0.25; 0.57
NOS				
<7	2	0.71		— 0.57 [-0.47; 1.60
>=7	5	ſ		0.37 [0.23; 0.52
		4		

determine whether improving intracranial and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis (e.g., stenting) can prevent WMHs progression.

Conclusion

In summary, despite the considerable heterogeneity and the crosssectional nature of the included studies, this meta-analysis showed that intracranial and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis was related to WMHs severity. Notably, this association was found to be significant in ECAS, but less pronounced in ICAS. Future studies should obtain longitudinal data on intracranial and extracranial atherosclerotic stenosis and WMHs progression.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Author contributions

WZ conceived and designed the study and wrote the manuscript. WZ, ZZ, and FF performed the literature search and data extraction. FF assisted in data analysis. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

References

Benli, M. D., Güven, B., Güven, H., and Conkbayır, I. (2021). Silent brain infarcts and white matter lesions in patients with asymptomatic carotid stenosis. *Acta Neurol. Belg.* 121, 983–991. doi: 10.1007/s13760-020-01517-w

Bernbaum, M., Menon, B. K., Fick, G., Smith, E. E., Goyal, M., Frayne, R., et al. (2015). Reduced blood flow in normal white matter predicts development of leukoaraiosis. J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab. 35, 1610–1615. doi: 10.1038/jcbfm.2015.92

Cai, J., Sun, J., Chen, H., Chen, Y., Zhou, Y., Lou, M., et al. (2022). Different mechanisms in periventricular and deep white matter hyperintensities in old subjects. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 14:940538. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2022.940538

Cheng, H. L., Lin, C. J., Soong, B. W., Wang, P. N., Chang, F. C., et al. (2012). Impairments in cognitive function and brain connectivity in severe asymptomatic carotid stenosis. *Stroke* 43, 2567–2573. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.111.645614

Cheng, Z., Zhang, W., Zhan, Z., Xia, L., and Han, Z. (2022). Cerebral small vessel disease and prognosis in intracerebral haemorrhage: a systematic review and metaanalysis of cohort studies. *Eur. J. Neurol.* 29, 2511–2525. doi: 10.1111/ene.15363

Choi, J., Kim, J. Y., Kwon, H. J., Choi, H. J., Kim, S. H., Kim, S., et al. (2022). Association of cerebral white matter hyperintensities with coronary artery calcium in a healthy population: a cross-sectional study. *Sci. Rep.* 12:21562. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-25654-9

Chuang, Y. M., Huang, K. L., Chang, Y. J., Chang, C. H., Chang, T. Y., et al. (2011). Associations between circle of Willis morphology and white matter lesion load in subjects with carotid artery stenosis. *Eur. Neurol.* 66, 136–144. doi: 10.1159/000329274

Chutinet, A., Biffi, A., Kanakis, A., Fitzpatrick, K. M., Furie, K. L., et al. (2012). Severity of leukoaraiosis in large vessel atherosclerotic disease. *AJNR Am. J. Neuroradiol.* 33, 1591–1595. doi: 10.3174/ajnr.A3015

Clancy, U., Gilmartin, D., Jochems, A. C. C., Knox, L., Doubal, F. N., et al. (2021). Neuropsychiatric symptoms associated with cerebral small vessel disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Psychiatry* 8, 225–236. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30431-4

Debette, S., Schilling, S., Duperron, M. G., Larsson, S. C., and Markus, H. S. (2019). Clinical significance of magnetic resonance imaging markers of vascular brain injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Neurol.* 76, 81–94. doi: 10.1001/ jamaneurol.2018.3122

Del Brutto, O. H., Del Brutto, V. J., Mera, R. M., Pérez, P., Recalde, B. Y., et al. (2020). Prevalence and correlates of intracranial atherosclerotic disease among communitydwelling older adults of Amerindian ancestry. The three villages study. *J. Stroke Cerebrovasc. Dis.* 29:105135. doi: 10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2020.105135

Funding

This work was supported by the Medical Science and Technology Project of Zhejiang Province of China (No. 2021KY797).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnagi.2023.1240509/ full#supplementary-material

Dersimonian, R., and Laird, N. (1986). Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control. Clin. Trials 7, 177-188. doi: 10.1016/0197-2456(86)90046-2

Duan, W., Pu, Y., Liu, H., Jing, J., Pan, Y., Zou, X., et al. (2018). Association between Leukoaraiosis and symptomatic intracranial large artery Stenoses and occlusions: the Chinese intracranial atherosclerosis (CICAS) study. *Aging Dis.* 9, 1074–1083. doi: 10.14336/AD.2018.0118

Egger, M., Davey Smith, G., Schneider, M., and Minder, C. (1997). Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ* 315, 629–634. doi: 10.1136/bmj.315.7109.629

Fang, H., Leng, X., Pu, Y., Zou, X., Pan, Y., Song, B., et al. (2020). Hemodynamic significance of middle cerebral artery stenosis associated with the severity of ipsilateral white matter changes. *Front. Neurol.* 11:214. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2020.00214

Fazekas, F., Chawluk, J. B., Alavi, A., Hurtig, H. I., and Zimmerman, R. A. (1987). MR signal abnormalities at 1.5-T in ALZHEIMERS dementia and normal aging. *Am. J. Neuroradiol.* 8, 421–426. doi: 10.2214/ajr.149.2.351

Feng, F., Kan, W., Yang, H., Ding, H., Wang, X., and Dong, R. (2023). White matter hyperintensities had a correlation with the cerebral perfusion level, but no correlation with the severity of large vessel stenosis in the anterior circulation. *Brain Behav.* 13. doi: 10.1002/brb3.2932

Ghaznawi, R., Rissanen, I., De Bresser, J., Kuijf, H. J., Zuithoff, N. P. A., et al. (2022). Carotid artery stenosis and progression of hemispheric brain atrophy: the SMART-MR study. *Cerebrovasc. Dis.* 52, 1–8. doi: 10.1159/000526261

Haller, S., Kovari, E., Herrmann, F. R., Cuvinciuc, V., Tomm, A. M., Zulian, G. B., et al. (2013). Do brain T2/FLAIR white matter hyperintensities correspond to myelin loss in normal aging? A radiologic-neuropathologic correlation study. *Acta Neuropathol. Commun.* 1:14. doi: 10.1186/2051-5960-1-14

Hartkamp, N. S., Petersen, E. T., Chappell, M. A., Okell, T. W., Uyttenboogaart, M., et al. (2018). Relationship between haemodynamic impairment and collateral blood flow in carotid artery disease. *J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab.* 38, 2021–2032. doi: 10.1177/0271 678X17724027

Higgins, J. P., Thompson, S. G., Deeks, J. J., and Altman, D. G. (2003). Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ* 327, 557–560. doi: 10.1136/bmj.327.7414.557

Huang, K. L., Chang, T. Y., Wu, Y. M., Chang, Y. J., Wu, H. C., Liu, C. H., et al. (2022). Mediating roles of leukoaraiosis and infarcts in the effects of unilateral carotid artery stenosis on cognition. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 14:972480. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2022.972480

Ihle-Hansen, H., Ihle-Hansen, H., Fure, B., Thommessen, B., Helland, G. B., Oksengard, A. R., et al. (2021). Carotid atherosclerosis and longitudinal changes of MRI visual rating measures in stroke survivors: a seven-year follow-up study. *J. Stroke Cerebrovasc. Dis.* 30:106010. doi: 10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2021.106010

Kim, J. S., Kim, Y. J., Ahn, S. H., and Kim, B. J. (2018). Location of cerebral atherosclerosis: why is there a difference between east and west? *Int. J. Stroke* 13, 35–46. doi: 10.1177/1747493016647736

Kim, Y. J., Kwon, H. K., Lee, J. M., Cho, H., Kim, H. J., Park, H. K., et al. (2016). Gray and white matter changes linking cerebral small vessel disease to gait disturbances. *Neurology* 86, 1199–1207. doi: 10.1212/WNL.000000000002516

Kim, K. W., Macfall, J. R., and Payne, M. E. (2008). Classification of white matter lesions on magnetic resonance imaging in elderly persons. *Biol. Psychiatry* 64, 273–280. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2008.03.024

Kwee, R. M., Hofman, P. A., Gronenschild, E. H., Van Oostenbrugge, R. J., Mess, W. H., Ter Berg, J. W., et al. (2011). Association between carotid plaque characteristics and cerebral white matter lesions: one-year follow-up study by MRI. *PLoS One* 6:e17070. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0017070

Lee, S. J., Kim, J. S., Chung, S. W., Kim, B. S., Ahn, K. J., and Lee, K. S. (2011). White matter hyperintensities (WMH) are associated with intracranial atherosclerosis rather than extracranial atherosclerosis. *Arch. Gerontol. Geriatr.* 53, e129–e132. doi: 10.1016/j. archger.2010.07.008

Li, H., Xu, G., Xiong, Y., Zhu, W., Yin, Q., Fan, X., et al. (2014). Relationship between cerebral atherosclerosis and leukoaraiosis in aged patients: results from DSA. *J. Neuroimaging* 24, 338–342. doi: 10.1111/jon.12047

Liu, Z., and Li, Y. (2016). Cortical cerebral blood flow, oxygen extraction fraction, and metabolic rate in patients with middle cerebral artery stenosis or acute stroke. *AJNR Am. J. Neuroradiol.* 37, 607–614. doi: 10.3174/ajnr.A4624

Muñoz-Cortés, M., Cabré, C., Villa, D., Vives, J. P., Arruche, M., Soler, J., et al. (2013). Oxidative stress and other risk factors for white matter lesions in chronic hemodialysis patients. *Clin. Nephrol.* 80, 187–197. doi: 10.5414/CN107943

Nam, K. W., Kwon, H. M., Jeong, H. Y., Park, J. H., Kim, S. H., Jeong, S. M., et al. (2017). Cerebral white matter hyperintensity is associated with intracranial atherosclerosis in a healthy population. *Atherosclerosis* 265, 179–183. doi: 10.1016/j. atherosclerosis.2017.09.010

Page, M. J., Mckenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., et al. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ* 372:n71. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n71

Park, J. H., Kwon, H. M., Lee, J., Kim, D. S., and Ovbiagele, B. (2015). Association of intracranial atherosclerotic stenosis with severity of white matter hyperintensities. *Eur. J. Neurol.* 22, e2–e3. doi: 10.1111/ene.12431

Patankar, T., Widjaja, E., Chant, H., Mccollum, C., Baldwin, R., Jeffries, S., et al. (2006). Relationship of deep white matter hyperintensities and cerebral blood flow in severe carotid artery stenosis. *Eur. J. Neurol.* 13, 10–16. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-1331.2006.01115.x

Promjunyakul, N. O., Dodge, H. H., Lahna, D., Boespflug, E. L., Kaye, J. A., et al. (2018). Baseline NAWM structural integrity and CBF predict periventricular WMH expansion over time. *Neurology* 90, e2119–e2126. doi: 10.1212/WNL.00000000005684

Pu, Y., Liu, L., Zou, X., Chen, P., Wang, Y., Zhou, Y., et al. (2009). Relationship between leukoaraiosis and cerebral large artery stenosis. *Neurol. Res.* 31, 376–380. doi: 10.1179/174313209X444071

Ren, T., Sun, S., Li, B., Chen, Y., Qu, X., et al. (2021). Study on the correlation between ischemic Leukoaraiosis and cerebral large artery stenosis using the stages of the preinfarction period based on the result of computed tomography perfusion. *Neurologist* 27, 1–5. doi: 10.1097/NRL.00000000000350

Romero, J. R., Beiser, A., Seshadri, S., Benjamin, E. J., Polak, J. F., Vasan, R. S., et al. (2009). Carotid artery atherosclerosis, MRI indices of brain ischemia, aging, and cognitive impairment: the Framingham study. *Stroke* 40, 1590–1596. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.108.535245

Sahin, N., Solak, A., Genc, B., and Akpinar, M. B. (2015). Dilatation of the Virchow-Robin spaces as an indicator of unilateral carotid artery stenosis: correlation with white matter lesions. *Acta Radiol.* 56, 852–859. doi: 10.1177/0284185114544243

Scheltens, P., Barkhof, F., Leys, D., Pruvo, J. P., Nauta, J. J. P., et al. (1993). A semiquantitative rating-scale for the assessment of signal HYPERINTENSITIES on magnetic-resonance-imaging. *J. Neurol. Sci.* 114,7–12. doi: 10.1016/0022-510X(93)90041-V

Scherr, M., Trinka, E., Mc Coy, M., Krenn, Y., Staffen, W., Kirschner, M., et al. (2012). Cerebral hypoperfusion during carotid artery stenosis can lead to cognitive deficits that may be independent of white matter lesion load. *Curr. Neurovasc. Res.* 9, 193–199. doi: 10.2174/156720212801619009

Schulz, U. G., Grüter, B. E., Briley, D., and Rothwell, P. M. (2013). Leukoaraiosis and increased cerebral susceptibility to ischemia: lack of confounding by carotid disease. *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* 2:e000261. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.113.000261

Shakur, S. F., Hrbac, T., Alaraj, A., Du, X., Aletich, V. A., Charbel, F. T., et al. (2014). Effects of extracranial carotid stenosis on intracranial blood flow. *Stroke* 45, 3427–3429. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.114.006622

Stang, A. (2010). Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. *Eur. J. Epidemiol.* 25, 603–605. doi: 10.1007/s10654-010-9491-z

Ten Dam, V. H., Van Den Heuvel, D. M., Craen AJ, D. E., Bollen, E. L., Murray, H. M., et al. (2007). Decline in total cerebral blood flow is linked with increase in periventricular but not deep white matter hyperintensities. *Radiology* 243, 198–203.

Wahlund, L. O., Barkhof, F., Fazekas, F., Bronge, L., Augustin, M., Sjögren, M., et al. (2001). A new rating scale for age-related white matter changes applicable to MRI and CT. *Stroke* 32, 1318–1322. doi: 10.1161/01.STR.32.6.1318

Wang, X. Y., Lyu, J. H., Zhang, S. H., Duan, C. H., Duan, Q., Ma, X. X., et al. (2022). Severity of intracranial large artery disease correlates with cerebral small vessel disease. *J. Magn. Reson. Imaging* 56, 264–272. doi: 10.1002/jmri.28004

Wang, Y., Zhao, X., Liu, L., Soo, Y. O., Pu, Y., Pan, Y., et al. (2014). Prevalence and outcomes of symptomatic intracranial large artery stenoses and occlusions in China: the Chinese intracranial atherosclerosis (CICAS) study. *Stroke* 45, 663–669. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.113.003508

Wardlaw, J. M., Smith, E. E., Biessels, G. J., Cordonnier, C., Fazekas, F., Frayne, R., et al. (2013). Neuroimaging standards for research into small vessel disease and its contribution to ageing and neurodegeneration. *Lancet Neurol.* 12, 822–838. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(13)70124-8

Wardlaw, J. M., Smith, C., and Dichgans, M. (2019). Small vessel disease: mechanisms and clinical implications. *Lancet Neurol.* 18, 684–696. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422 (19)30079-1

Yang, F., Shi, W., Shi, J., Zhang, Y., Yin, Y., Shi, H., et al. (2017). Assessment of cerebrovascular reserve in unilateral middle cerebral artery stenosis using perfusion CT and CO(2) inhalation tests. *Int. J. Neurosci.* 127, 320–325. doi: 10.1080/00207454. 2016.1235044

Ye, H., Wang, Y., Qiu, J., Wu, Q., Xu, M., and Wang, J. (2018). White matter hyperintensities and their subtypes in patients with carotid artery stenosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open* 8:e020830. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-020830

Ye, H., Wu, X., Yan, J., Wang, J., Qiu, J., and Wang, Y. (2019). Completeness of circle of Willis and white matter hyperintensities in patients with severe internal carotid artery stenosis. *Neurol. Sci.* 40, 509–514. doi: 10.1007/s10072-018-3683-9

Yin, K., Liang, S., Tang, X., Li, M., Yuan, J., Wu, M., et al. (2021). The relationship between intracranial arterial dolichoectasia and intracranial atherosclerosis. *Clin. Neurol. Neurosurg.* 200:106408. doi: 10.1016/j.clineuro.2020.106408

Zhai, F. F., Yan, S., Li, M. L., Han, F., Wang, Q., Zhou, L. X., et al. (2018). Intracranial arterial dolichoectasia and stenosis: risk factors and relation to cerebral small vessel disease. *Stroke* 49, 1135–1140. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.117.020130

Zhao, Y. Y., Dang, L., Tian, X., Yang, M. L., Lv, M., Sun, Q. J., et al. (2022). Association between intracranial Pulsatility and white matter Hyperintensities in asymptomatic intracranial arterial stenosis: A population-based study in Shandong, China. *J. Stroke Cereb. Diseases* 31:106406. doi: 10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2022.106406

Zhao, M., Liu, M., Nie, Z., Lu, Z., Jin, L., and Li, Y. (2019). Correlation of moderateto-severe intracranial arterial stenosis load with low cerebral perfusion, white matter hyperintensity, and cognitive dysfunction in patients without strokes. *Int. J. Clin. Exp. Med.* 12, 5051–5059.

Zhong, T., Qi, Y., Li, R., Zhou, H., Ran, B., Wang, J., et al. (2022). Contribution of intracranial artery stenosis to white matter hyperintensities progression in elderly Chinese patients: A 3-year retrospective longitudinal study. *Front. Neurol.* 13:922320. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2022.922320

Zhuang, F. J., Chen, Y., He, W. B., and Cai, Z. Y. (2018). Prevalence of white matter hyperintensities increases with age. *Neural Regen. Res.* 13, 2141–2146. doi: 10.4103/1673-5374.241465