Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Angela Kamer, New York University, United States

REVIEWED BY Mark Ryder, University of California, San Francisco, United States Lidia Glodzik, Cornell University, United States Ke Xi, Cornell University, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Taizen Nakase ⊠ taizen.nakase.a4@tohoku.ac.jp

RECEIVED 23 May 2023 ACCEPTED 13 July 2023 PUBLISHED 01 August 2023

CITATION

Zhang Y, Tatewaki Y, Nakase T, Liu Y, Tomita N, Thyreau B, Zheng H, Muranaka M, Takano Y, Nagasaka T and Taki Y (2023) Impact of hs-CRP concentration on brain structure alterations and cognitive trajectory in Alzheimer's disease. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 15:1227325. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2023.1227325

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Zhang, Tatewaki, Nakase, Liu, Tomita, Thyreau, Zheng, Muranaka, Takano, Nagasaka and Taki. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Impact of hs-CRP concentration on brain structure alterations and cognitive trajectory in Alzheimer's disease

Ye Zhang¹, Yasuko Tatewaki^{1,2}, Taizen Nakase^{1,2*}, Yingxu Liu¹, Naoki Tomita^{1,2}, Benjamin Thyreau³, Haixia Zheng⁴, Michiho Muranaka^{1,2}, Yumi Takano^{1,2}, Tatsuo Nagasaka⁵ and Yasuyuki Taki^{1,2,3}

¹Department of Aging Research and Geriatric Medicine, Institute of Development, Aging and Cancer, Tohoku University, Sendai, Japan, ²Department of Geriatric Medicine and Neuroimaging, Tohoku University Hospital, Sendai, Japan, ³Smart-Aging Research Center, Tohoku University, Sendai, Japan, ⁴Laureate Institute for Brain Research, Tulsa, OK, United States, ⁵Division of Radiology, Tohoku University Hospital, Sendai, Japan

Introduction: Present study was to investigate hs-CRP concentration, brain structural alterations, and cognitive function in the context of AD [Subjective cognitive decline (SCD), mild cognitive impairment (MCI), and AD].

Methods: We retrospectively included 313 patients (Mean age = 76.40 years, 59 SCD, 101 MCI, 153 AD) in a cross-sectional analysis and 91 patients (Mean age = 75.83 years, 12 SCD, 43 MCI, 36 AD) in a longitudinal analysis. Multivariable linear regression was conducted to investigate the relationship between hs-CRP concentration and brain structural alterations, and cognitive function, respectively.

Results: Hs-CRP was positively associated with gray matter volume in the left fusiform ($\beta = 0.16$, $p_{FDR} = 0.023$) and the left parahippocampal gyrus ($\beta = 0.16$, $p_{FDR} = 0.029$). *Post hoc* analysis revealed that these associations were mainly driven by patients with MCI and AD. The interaction of diagnosis and CRP was significantly associated with annual cognitive changes ($\beta = 0.43$, p = 0.008). Among these patients with AD, lower baseline CRP was correlated with greater future cognitive decline (r = -0.41, p = 0.013).

Conclusion: Our study suggests that increased hs-CRP level may exert protective effect on brain structure alterations and future cognitive changes among patients already with cognitive impairment.

KEYWORDS

gray matter volume, systemic inflammation, C-reactive protein, FreeSurfer, subjective cognitive decline, Alzheimer's disease

1. Introduction

Mounting and compelling evidence suggest that systemic inflammatory process may play a central role in the development of Alzheimer's disease (AD) (McGeer and McGeer, 1998; Kuo et al., 2005; Holmes, 2013; Heppner et al., 2015; Kinney et al., 2018). Inflammatory proteins [i.e., Interleukin-6 (IL-6) and C-reactive protein (CRP)] have been detected in brain plaques and neurofibrillary tangles in patients with AD (Iwamoto et al., 1994; Huell et al., 1995), suggesting the involvement of inflammatory processes in the etiology of AD. As an acute-phase reactant, CRP is a relatively stable but nonspecific marker of systemic inflammation which is primarily regulated by IL-6 (Pepys and Hirschfield, 2003). Clinically, CRP concentration was associated with age-related diseases, and was used as a marker of cardiovascular risk (Verma et al., 2005). Notably, peripheral CRP levels was moderately correlated with other inflammatory cytokines such as IL-6, IL-1, tumor necrosis factor (TNF) (r = 0.232-0.537, all p < 0.05), and was associated with cerebrospinal fluid CRP (r = 0.855, p < 0.001), suggesting that peripheral CRP is a useful marker for both peripheral and central immune activity (Felger et al., 2020).

The progression of AD is mainly characterized by cognitive decline and brain atrophy (Kinney et al., 2018). Despite mounting of evidence suggest that increased CRP concentration may have a deleterious impact on cognitive function and future risk of AD on non-demented populations (Teunissen et al., 2003; Engelhart et al., 2004; Komulainen et al., 2007; Kravitz et al., 2009; Wersching et al., 2010), conflicting findings have been reported in patients diagnosed with AD dementia and patients with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) (Locascio et al., 2008; O'Bryant et al., 2010; Silverman et al., 2012; Fernandes et al., 2020; Lewis and Knight, 2021). For instance, Fernandes et al. (2020) found that lower CRP level predicted a faster conversion to future AD dementia in patients with mild cognitive impairment (MCI), suggesting that the impact of CRP on AD pathology may vary with the disease progression. Supporting this notion, in a recent 10-year longitudinal study, increased CRP levels has been linked to worse future cognitive function only among cognitively healthy older participants; while in patients with dementia, elevated CRP was associated with slower future cognitive decline (Lewis and Knight, 2021). This evidence raised the question of whether CRP concentration associates with different brain alterations patterns in the context of different disease progression. In fact, several neuroimaging studies attempted to explore these relationships. CRP concentration has been linked to greater white matter hyperintensities severity (a loss of brain vascular integrity due to axonal loss and demyelination) (Young et al., 2008; Satizabal et al., 2012; Walker et al., 2018), decreased fractional anisotropy (Wersching et al., 2010; Wooten et al., 2021), greater regional cerebral blood flow decline (Warren et al., 2018). Moreover, increased CRP concentration has been linked to smaller gray matter volumes (GMVs) in the medial temporal lobe and cingulate cortex (Bettcher et al., 2012; Taki et al., 2013; Marsland et al., 2015), which may explain the association between CRP level and decreased cognitive function in cognitively healthy older adults (Teunissen et al., 2003; Engelhart et al., 2004; Komulainen et al., 2007; Kravitz et al., 2009; Wersching et al., 2010). However, it should be noted that these findings were lacking replication in patients already with cognitive impairment. Moreover, these studies employed wholebrain exploratory analysis, which is limited in lacking specificity of AD and immune-related neuroanatomical changes. Furthermore, the relationship between CRP and AD risk may be largely confounded by cardiovascular disease (CVD), which is highly associated with peripheral CRP level (Koyama et al., 2013). In a previous study, participants with increased CRP concentration at baseline increased over 50% risk of developing dementia; while this association no longer remained significant after adjusting for possible confounding of CVD risk factors (Hsu et al., 2017). Therefore, a strict exclusion based on detailed medical history are needed to control possible confounding variables, such as CVD and other diseases.

The present study had two main goals: (1) to investigate whether CRP is associated with brain structural alterations in the context of AD [patients with subjective cognitive decline (SCD), patients with MCI and patients with AD], and (2), whether CRP is associated with cognitive changes among patients with different stages of cognitive impairments. Findings from present study may contribute to mechanistic understanding of association between systemic inflammation, cognitive changes, and brain structural alterations in AD.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Participants

Approval for present study was acquired by Clinical Research Ethics Committee of the Tohoku University Graduate School of Medicine (approval number: 2018-1-618).

374 participants (Mean age = 76.41 years, female: 58%) from the memory clinic at Tohoku University Hospital were screened. Data was collected from January 2018 to April 2022 only among those participants who first visited our memory clinic. Exclusion criteria were cerebral stroke, systemic lupus erythematosus, Parkinson's disease, progressive supranuclear palsy, depression, infections, encephalitis, alcohol-related brain damage, schizophrenia, AD with cardiovascular disease, and other non-AD dementias. Clinical diagnoses were determined by certificated gerontologists or neurologists (T.N., N.T., Y. Taka., and M.M.) based on a multidisciplinary diagnostic evaluation, including a structured clinical interview, a neurological examination, neuropsychological testing, blood tests, and neuroimaging (structural magnetic resonance imaging: structural MRI). Diagnosis for AD and MCI were based on the criteria of the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association (NIA-AA) working group (McKhann et al., 2011; Jack et al., 2018). Both "possible" and "probable" AD were included. All patients with MCI were amnestic type. Diagnosis for SCD was determined when individuals complained about subjective cognitive decline while clinical assessments, including neuropsychological assessments and neuroimaging, but did not indicate any neurological or psychiatric disorders (Jessen et al., 2014).

Participants completed Japanese version of Mini Mental Status Examination (MMSE-J) and Alzheimer's Disease Assessment Scale Cognitive Subscale Japanese version (ADAS-cog-J) for assessing global cognitive function. Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) was measured to assess depressive scores. All neuropsychological tests were performed by a clinical psychologist. Drinking habits was assessed by asking the participants whether they have a habit to continuously drink more than 72 ml of conversion pure alcohol per day. Smoking habits was measured by asking the participants whether they currently have a habit of smoking cigarette. Systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) were also obtained. Body mass index (BMI) was also calculated. All information were adopted from clinical records during the first visit.



2.2. C-reactive protein

Using blood sample at the first visit, serum concentration of high sensitivity c-reactive protein (hs-CRP) were analyzed with the N-assay LA CRP-U Nittobo Kit (Nittobo Medical Corporation, Japan) in duplicates using Canon TBA-FX8 (Canon Medical Systems Corporation, Japan) in our hospital laboratory. The lowest limit of quantification (LLOQ) was 0.005 mg/dL, and the upper limit of quantification (ULOQ) was 40 mg/dL. The coefficient of variation was under 5%. The hs-CRP concentration was log-transformed due to skewed distributions. Patients who showed CRP level higher than 3 mg/dL were excluded from this study, because these patients can be assumed to have acute infectious disease (n = 3).

2.3. MRI acquisition and processing

T1-weighted MRI were acquired using magnetization-prepared rapid gradient echo sequence (MPRAGE) on a 3-Tesla Philips Achieva scanner with a 32-channel head coil using the following parameters: repetition time = 8.70 ms; echo time = 3.1 ms; flip angle = 8 degrees; field of view = $256 \times 256 \times 180$ mm; and voxel dimensions = $0.7 \times 0.7 \times 0.7$ mm.

All imaging data were processed using FreeSurfer 7.0.0 (Fischl, 2012).¹ Gray matter volume (GMVs) was extracted based on the Desikan-Killiany atlas, including 68 cortical regions (34 regions per hemisphere) (Desikan et al., 2006). We selected 24 regions of interest (ROIs) which were particularly vulnerable for AD progression (Baron et al., 2001; Sabuncu et al., 2012; Corlier et al., 2018) and systemic inflammation (Marsland et al., 2015; Corlier et al., 2018). These regions included bilateral following regions: caudal anterior cingulate cortex, caudal middle frontal gyrus, entorhinal cortex, fusiform, isthmus cingulate cortex, parahippocampal gyrus, posterior cingulate cortex, precuneus, rostral anterior cingulate cortex, rostral middle frontal gyrus, supramarginal gyrus and subcortical regions of hippocampus (see Figure 1). Estimate total intracranial volume (eTIV) were calculated. Quality check of the imaging was performed before analysis by a certificated neuroradiologist (Y. Tat).

2.4. Assessment of longitudinal cognitive changes

Then, we investigated the relationships between hs-CRP and follow-up cognitive changes in these patients. Among these 313

¹ https://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/



participants, we included 91 patients (mean age: 75.83 years, 12 SCD, 43 MCI, 36 AD) with longitudinal cognitive assessments. The follow-up neuropsychological assessment was ADAS-cog-J. ADAS-cog-J is a validated and structured scale often used to measure cognitive change in clinical practice (Raghavan et al., 2013). The ADAS-cog-J score ranges from 0 to 70, with higher scores indicates worse cognitive function. Due to a wide range of follow-up time (average follow-up time: 425 days, range: 96–902 days), the annual ADAS -cog change was calculated by using a formula: (the follow-up ADAS-cog-J scores - the baseline ADAS-cog-J scores)/the follow-up time (years). Greater positive annual ADAS-cog-J change indicates greater cognitive decline.

2.5. Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using RStudio and R version 4.1.2 (https://www.R-project.org/). For demographic information, data were presented as the mean \pm SD for continuous variables and frequency and proportions for categorical variables. One-way analysis of variance was used to compare means. Additionally, Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated to illustrate the relationship between all demographic variables for all participants, SCD group, MCI group and AD group, separately (Supplementary Figures 1–4).

Multivariable robust linear regression models were conducted to investigate hs-CRP concentration on brain regions after adjusting for age, sex, MMSE-J, drinking habits, BMI, education years and eTIV. The false discovery rate (FDR) was set to 0.05 to control for multiple comparisons. Effect size estimates were calculated by standardized beta coefficient with 95% confidence interval. For brain regions survived after FDR correction, *post hoc* tests were performed to examine the effect of CRP concentration on these brain regions in each group, separately.

Then, we performed multivariable linear regression analysis to investigate the association between hs-CRP and cognitive function. Hs-CRP concentration was entered an independent variable, while baseline MMSE-J scores, baseline ADAS-cog-J scores and annual ADAS-cog-J changes were set as predictors, respectively. We included different three models: model 1 was unadjusted; model 2 was adjusted for age, sex, education, and diagnosis; and model 3 added the hs-CRP × diagnosis interaction variable. Then, we conducted *post-hoc* tests to examine the effect of CRP concentration on cognitive function in SCD, MCI, and AD.

3. Results

3.1. Study population

We excluded 61 patients, leaving a total of 313 patients (59 SCD, 101 MCI, 153 AD) were enrolled in present study (for data exclusion process, see Figure 2). As showed in the Table 1, for all participants, age, MMSE-J, BMI, ADAS-cog-J, and drinking habits showed statistically significant group difference. While there was not statistically significant group difference in sex, logCRP, SBP, DBP, GDS, smoking habits and eTIV. Among those participants with longitudinal ADAS scores, sex, MMSE, ADAS-cog baseline showed statistically significant group difference (Table 1).

3.2. Gray matter volume and hs-CRP

Elevated hs-CRP level was significantly associated with increased GMVs in four regions, including the left fusiform $(puncorrected = 0.001, \beta = 0.16, 95\% CI = [0.065, 0.192]),$ left parahippocampal gyrus (puncorrected = 0.002, β = 0.16, 95% CI = [0.057, 0.260]), right parahippocampal gyrus (puncorrected = 0.035, β = 0.11, 95% CI = [0.007, 0.208]), and left hippocampus (puncorrected = 0.018, β = 0.10, 95% CI = [0.018, 0.181]). The left fusiform (pFDR = 0.023) and left parahippocampal gyrus (pFDR = 0.029) remained significant after FDR correction (Table 2 and Supplementary Table 1). The post hoc analysis revealed that the significant simple correlation between CRP level and left fusiform were mainly driven by patients with MCI and AD groups (MCI: r = 0.19, p = 0.056; AD: r = 0.21, p = 0.011). Similarly, despite it did not reach the significant level, the correlation between CRP level and left parahippocampal gyrus were mainly driven by patients with MCI and AD (MCI: r = 0.17, p = 0.090; AD: r = 0.10, p = 0.227) (Figure 3). Additionally, we conducted post hoc analysis by using GMVs/eTIV as outcome variables to illustrate to relationship between GMVs/eTIV and CRP concentration in each group. We found that a significant positive correlation remained between CRP concentration and GMVs/eTIV in the left fusiform in the AD group (r = 0.20, p = 0.0126) (Supplementary Figure 5).

TABLE 1 Demographic characteristics of study participants (N = 313).

	SCD		MCI		AD		pa
	N	Mean (SD)	N	Mean (SD)	N	Mean (SD)	
Age	59	68.81 (12.60)	101	76.39 (8.21)	153	79.35 (7.13)	0.001
Sex (Male,%)	59	25 (42.37%)	101	44 (43.56%)	153	56 (36.60%)	0.492
MMSE	58	28.28 (1.56)	101	24.59 (2.95)	153	19.86 (4.35)	0.000
BMI	59	23.62 (3.12)	101	22.41 (3.03)	153	22.37 (3.64)	0.034
logCRP	59	-1.24 (0.51)	101	-1.31 (0.49)	153	-1.21 (0.55)	0.494
Education years	59	13.46 (2.25)	101	12.81 (2.48)	153	12.40 (2.60)	0.012
SBP	57	134.05 (21.39)	99	140.91 (20.57)	149	139.68 (21.68)	0.181
DBP	57	76.63 (11.16)	98	72.65 (10.37)	148	73.89 (14.11)	0.316
ADAS-cog	52	6.27 (2.42)	97	12.10 (4.70)	143	19.19 (7.61)	0.001
GDS	41	4.22 (3.03)	78	3.54 (2.83)	102	3.68 (2.79)	0.550
Drinking habits (Yes,%)	59	41 (69.49%)	101	48 (47.52%)	153	74 (48.37%)	0.012
Smoking habits (Yes,%)	59	25 (42.37%)	101	34 (33.66%)	153	41 (27.80%)	0.084
eTIV	59	1544444.02 (185389.79)	101	1544625.15 (182104.28)	153	1517549.03 (159348.75)	0.216
Age	12	74.25 (12.2)	43	75.81 (8.36)	36	76.39 (7.14)	0.752
Sex (Male,%)	12	7 (58.33%)	43	21 (48.84%)	36	9 (25.00%)	0.041
MMSE	12	28.5 (1.24)	43	25.14 (2.53)	36	21.17 (3.96)	0.000
BMI	12	23.1 (3.32)	43	22.11 (2.95)	36	22.56 (4.33)	0.071
logCRP	12	-1.13 (0.58)	43	-1.30 (0.59)	36	-1.40(0.48)	0.166
Education years	12	13.83 (1.75)	43	12.72 (2.66)	36	12.64 (2.4)	0.322
SBP	12	5.17 (2.92)	43	19.12 (10.2)	36	13.89 (7.04)	0.310
DBP	12	5.83 (3.21)	43	10.84 (6.52)	36	14.44 (7.26)	0.106
ADAS-cog baseline	12	6.53 (2.01)	43	11.25 (4.41)	36	17.02 (6.56)	0.001
Annual ADAS-cog change	12	0.66 (2.84)	43	0.30 (4.21)	36	2.42 (4.65)	0.083
GDS	12	3.92 (2.11)	43	5.95 (3.27)	36	6.33 (3.14)	0.657
Drinking habits (Yes,%)	12	8 (66.67%)	43	24 (55.81%)	36	18 (50.00)	0.596
Smoking habits (Yes,%)	12	4 (33.33%)	43	17 (39.53%)	36	10 (27.78%)	0.546
eTIV	12	1548775.7 (198378.54)	43	1551422.68 (184160)	36	1472160.93 (159649.25)	0.122

SCD, subjective cognitive decline; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; AD, Alzheimer's disease; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; BMI, body mass index; logCRP, CRP concentration was log-transformed; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; ADAS-cog, Alzheimer's Disease Assessment Scale-Cognitive subscale Japanese version; GDS, The Geriatric Depression Scale; eTIV: estimated Total Intracranial Volume. Drinking habits was assessed by asking the participants whether they have a habit to drinking alcohol. Smoking habits was measured by asking the participants whether they have a habit of smoking cigarette.

 a Calculated using one-way analysis of variance for normally distributed continuous variables (Age, BMI, logCRP, SBP, DBP, ADAS-cog, ADAS-cog baseline, annual ADAS-cog change and eTIV), Kruskal-Wallis test for non-normally distributed continuous variables (MMSE, Education, GDS) and the χ^{2} test for categorical variables (Sex, drinking habits and smoking habits).

3.3. Cognitive function and hs-CRP

Multivariable linear regression models were implemented to investigate the association between hs-CRP and cognitive function using unadjusted and adjusted models. Model 1 was unadjusted for covariates. Model 2 was adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, education years, and diagnosis. Model 3 was model 2 plus adjustment for the interaction between hs-CRP and diagnosis. Hs-CRP was not associated with baseline MMSE-J scores or baseline ADAS-cog-J scores in unadjusted or adjusted models. Notably, the interaction of diagnosis and hs-CRP was positively associated with annual ADAS-cog-J change ($\beta = 0.43$, SE = 1.25, p = 0.008) (Table 3 and Supplementary Table 2). Then, we performed Pearson correlation to test the relationship between hs-CRP concentration and cognitive function in SCD, MCI, and AD groups, respectively. Because of the limited sample size in each group, we additionally included simple linear regression equations with adjusted r squared (Figure 4). There was a negative correlation between hs-CRP concentration and annual ADAS-cog-J change in the AD group (r = -0.41, p = 0.0127). While the MCI group show no correlation and the SCD group showed a positive trend between hs-CRP concentration and annual ADAS-cog-J change (MCI: r = -0.02, p = 0.897; SCD: r = 0.34, p = 0.273).

TABLE 2 Regional effect of hs-CRP concentration on selected ROIs.

ROI	β	SE	95% CI	p	PFDR
L caudal anterior cingulate cortex	-0.024	0.062	$-0.147 {\sim} 0.098$	0.693	0.733
L caudal middle frontal gyrus	0.030	0.051	$-0.069{\sim}0.129$	0.552	0.733
L entorhinal cortex	0.091	0.052	-0.011~0.192	0.083	0.397
L fusiform	0.158	0.048	0.065~0.251	0.001	0.023
L isthmus cingulate cortex	0.055	0.050	$-0.044 \sim 0.154$	0.275	0.710
L parahippocampal gyrus	0.158	0.052	0.057~0.26	0.002	0.029
L posterior cingulate cortex	-0.019	0.049	-0.116~0.078 0.700		0.733
L precuneus	0.064	0.043	$-0.021 \sim 0.15$	-0.021~0.15 0.137	
L rostral anterior cingulate cortex	0.036	0.055	$-0.071 \sim 0.143$	0.507	0.733
L rostral middle frontal gyrus	0.040	0.040	$-0.038{\sim}0.119$	0.314	0.710
L supramarginal gyrus	0.043	0.047	$-0.048 \sim 0.134$	0.355	0.710
R caudal anterior cingulate cortex	0.056	0.056	-0.055~0.166 0.327		0.710
R caudal middle frontal gyrus	-0.051	0.049	$-0.146{\sim}0.045$	0.303	0.710
R entorhinal cortex	0.040	0.052	$-0.062{\sim}0.142$	0.443	0.733
R fusiform	0.058	0.043	$-0.027 \sim 0.143$	0.177	0.608
R isthmus cingulate cortex	-0.017	0.051	-0.118~0.083 0.733		0.733
R parahippocampal gyrus	0.108	0.051	0.007~0.208 0.035		0.211
R posterior cingulate cortex	-0.043	0.051	$-0.143 {\sim} 0.057$	0.406	0.733
R precuneus	0.027	0.043	$-0.058{\sim}0.112$	0.531	0.733
R rostral anterior cingulate cortex	0.020	0.054	$-0.086{\sim}0.125$	0.712	0.733
R rostral middle frontal gyrus	0.023	0.041	$-0.056{\sim}0.103$	0.568	0.733
R supramarginal gyrus	0.019	0.049	$-0.077 \sim 0.115$	0.696	0.733
L Hippocampus	0.099	0.042	0.018~0.181	0.018	0.142
R Hippocampus	0.016	0.045	$-0.073 \sim 0.104$	0.727	0.733

Robust linear regression was conducted with CRP concentration as the independent variable and selected ROIs as outcome variables after controlled for age, sex, MMSE-J score, body mass index, drinking habits, and estimate total intracranial volume. ROI: region of interest; R: right; L: left; β: Standardized beta coefficient; 95% CI: 95% confidence interval.

4. Discussion

This study aimed to investigate hs-CRP concentration, brain structural alterations, and cognitive function in the context of AD. We observed a significantly positive association between CRP concentration and GMVs in the left fusiform and left parahippocampal gyrus. We also investigated whether hs-CRP was associated with cognitive function. We observed a significant interaction between diagnosis and CRP concentration in predicting the annual cognitive changes; *post hoc* analysis revealed that among these patients diagnosed with AD, lower baseline hs-CRP was correlated with greater future cognitive decline. Collectively, our findings suggest that increased hs-CRP may have exert protective effect on brain structure alterations and future cognitive changes among patients already with cognitive impairment.

Elevated hs-CRP concentration was associated with increased GMVs in the left fusiform and left parahippocampal gyrus. Bettcher et al. (2012) reported that compared with undetectable group, participants with detectable CRP level (>3 mg/dL) showed smaller GMVs in the medial temporal lobe. It is noteworthy that these participants were non-demented and only the medial temporal lobe was selected. In present study, we selected 24 brain regions which has been previously linked to progression of AD and systemic

inflammation. Additionally, the post-hoc tests revealed that the positive association between CRP level and GMVs was mainly driven by patients with cognitive impairment, that is, patients with MCI or patients diagnosed with AD. The fusiform, known as "the face area," is critical for face perception (Kanwisher et al., 1997). Impaired facial recognition, e.g., fail to identify family members, is a common symptom in patients with AD (Hargrave et al., 2002). Amyloid deposition in the hippocampus has been linked to decreased GMVs in fusiform gyrus, suggesting an upstream and downstream relationship between these two areas (Chang et al., 2016). As part of the medial temporal lobe, the parahippocampal gyrus is mainly involved in memory processing (Köhler et al., 1998), which is a useful biomarker in neuropathology of AD (Echávarri et al., 2011; van Velzen et al., 2020). Notably, brain atrophy in the parahippocampal gyrus has been proposed to be a better predictor than hippocampus in the very early stages of AD (Echávarri et al., 2011). Our results raise the possibility that increased hs-CRP concentration may exert neuroprotective effect on brain structure alterations among patients who already with cognitive impairment, that is, increased CRP may represent an active immune function to against the progression of AD (Silverman et al., 2012). This hypothesis was proposed by Silverman et al. (2012), which they found a replicable association between increased CRP in proband



FIGURE 3

Correlations between CRP concentration and gray matter volumes. CRP level was inversely correlated with gray matter volume in the left fusiform and left parahippocampal gyrus. The positive correlation between CRP level and left fusiform were mainly driven by patients with MCI and AD groups (MCI: r = 0.19, p = 0.056; AD: r = 0.21, p = 0.011). Similarly, the positive correlation between CRP level and left parahippocampal gyrus were mainly driven by patients with MCI and AD (MCI: r = 0.17, p = 0.090; AD: r = 0.10, p = 0.227). (A) Region of interest and (B) scatter plots of correlations.

TABLE 3 Multivariable linear regression analyses of the interaction effect between diagnosis and hs-CRP on cognitive function.

	Model 1		Model 2		Model 3	
	β (SE)	p	β (SE)	р	β (SE)	р
Baseline MMSE-J scores						
Hs-CRP	-0.04 (0.52)	0.509	-0.01 (0.39)	0.687	-0.07 (1.24)	0.593
Diagnosis \times hs-CRP interaction					0.02 (0.50)	0.668
Baseline ADAS-cog-J scores						
Hs-CRP	0.00 (0.883)	0.994	-0.05 (0.72)	0.263	0.02 (2.34)	0.891
Diagnosis \times hs-CRP interaction					-0.03 (0.93)	0.618
Annual ADAS-cog-J change						
Hs-CRP	-0.16 (0.82)	0.131	-0.10 (0.97)	0.441	0.83 (2.86)	0.024
Diagnosis × hs-CRP interaction					0.43 (1.25)	0.008

β: Standardized beta coefficient; SE = Standard error; MMSE-J = Japanese version of Mini-Mental State Examination. ADAS-cog-J: Alzheimer's Disease Assessment Scale-Cognitive subscale Japanese version; Annual ADAS-cog-J change: (follow-up ADAS-cog-J score - baseline ADAS-cog-J score)/follow-up times (year), with higher positive annual ADAS-cog-J change score indicates greater cognitive decline, Hs-CRP: High-sensitivity C-reactive protein (log-transformed); SCD: Subjective cognitive decline, MCI: Mild cognitive impairment, AD: Alzheimer's disease. Model 1: unadjusted association. Model 2: adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, education years, and diagnosis. Model 3: model 2 plus adjustment for the interaction between hs-CRP and diagnosis.

and decreased dementia risk in their relatives (Silverman et al., 2012), suggesting the protective genotypes may moderate impact of systemic inflammation on AD. Supporting this notion, immunerelated gene expression was found to be downregulated in subjects with cognitive impairment, while it was upregulated in the brains of cognitively healthy individuals (Katsel et al., 2009). This suggests that a robust immune system plays a central role in preserving cognitive function, whereas an inability to respond to the immune system could contribute to the disease progression (Katsel et al., 2009).

We also found a significant interaction between CRP concentration and diagnosis in predicting future cognitive decline.



Specifically, increased CRP concentration at baseline was associated with slower cognitive decline in patients with AD. Our result was consistent with previous studies based on patients who already diagnosed with AD (Locascio et al., 2008; O'Bryant et al., 2010; Lima et al., 2014; Gong et al., 2016; Lewis and Knight, 2021; Saxton et al., 2023). For instance, Locascio et al. (2008) reported that a lower CRP level was associated with both greater cognitive and functional decline in patients with AD. In a recent large longitudinal study, researchers found that increased CRP was associated with worse baseline cognitive function in non-demented participants; while among participants with dementia, elevated CRP was associated with slower cognitive decline (Lewis and Knight, 2021), suggesting the impact of CRP on cognition may vary with the disease progression (O'Bryant et al., 2010; Gong et al., 2016; Fernandes et al., 2020). Despite more evidence is warranted to elucidate how CRP affects cognitive function in the neuropathology of AD, our result suggests that increased CRP level may indicate an appropriate immune response function among patients who already with cognitive impairment, contributing to slower cognitive decline in the AD progression. Our findings could also explain why epidemiological studies suggest the impact of increased inflammation on AD could be harmful; while the clinical treatments targeting on anti-inflammatory process have failed (Aisen, 2002; Wang et al., 2015; Ozben and Ozben, 2019), presumably due to a lack of considering for the different stage of progression. From this perspective, our results underline the importance of anti-inflammation treatments target on AD that should consider the different stages of this disease.

The mechanisms underlying the protective effect of CRP concentration on brain alterations and cognitive changes in patients with MCI and AD remain unclear. One potential explanation is that the presence of the Apolipoprotein £4 (APOE ε4) allele, a well-studied genetic risk factor for AD, may modify the impact of CRP on AD-related neurodegeneration. Both animal and human studies have suggested APOE £4 plays a role in the inflammatory process (Jofre-Monseny et al., 2008; Rebeck, 2017; Tzioras et al., 2019). It has been observed that individuals with APOE £4 carriers exhibit lower CRP concentrations compared to non-APOE £4 carriers, indicating potential variations in immune function among individuals with different APOE ɛ4 alleles (Haan et al., 2008; Hubacek et al., 2010; Tao et al., 2018; Tao et al., 2021; Wooten et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2022). Studies have reported the neuroprotective effect of increased CRP levels in both individuals without the APOE ɛ4 allele (Silverman et al., 2008; Lima et al., 2014; Tao et al., 2021) and APOE E4 carriers (Haan et al., 2008). These findings may be attributed to differences in disease progression and demographic characteristics. Notably, elevated CRP levels have been associated with lower cortical A β specifically in APOE $\epsilon 4$ carriers, suggesting that increased CRP may reflect an adaptive function within this APOE £4 genotype, contributing to improved plaque clearance (Hooper et al., 2018). However, it has also been reported that increased CRP levels have a detrimental effect on cognition in APOE ε4-positive individuals (Tao et al., 2021; Wooten et al., 2021). Further studies are needed to clarify whether APOE ε4 plays a mediating role in the association between systemic inflammation and the neuropathology of AD. Another potential explanation involves the activation of microglia. Specifically, an

increased concentration of CRP may activate microglia, leading to a neurotrophic effect on the brain and cognition in patients with cognitive impairments. Peripheral inflammation can induce an inflammatory process in the brain through the activation of microglia (Riazi et al., 2008; Norden and Godbout, 2013). While sustained activation of microglia can have a neurotoxic effect and contribute to cognitive deficits, it is important to note that activated microglia can also be beneficial by producing neurotrophic compounds (Sawada et al., 2008). Notably, the transition from a neuroprotective effect to a neurotoxic effect of activated microglia depends on various factors, including disease progression and brain regions (Sawada et al., 2008). Although not directly linked to AD, the characteristics of primed microglia in individuals with Parkinson's disease (PD) and dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB) suggest variations in the secretion of cytokines and neurotrophins, potentially resulting in neuroprotective effects in PD and neurotoxic effects in DLB (Imamura et al., 2005). It is worth mentioning that CRP can inhibit the production of nitric oxide, a neurotoxic substance produced by microglia (Chao et al., 1992). Further investigation is warranted to unravel the precise mechanisms underlying the interplay between increased CRP levels, microglial activation, and cognitive function in patients with AD and MCI.

Several limitations must be mentioned. First, despite we excluded possible confounding variable based on the detailed medical history, we cannot rule out the possibility that medication status contribute to the impact of CRP on different stages of AD. For example, the use of anti-inflammatory drugs, e.g., NSAID, could have impact on the CRP concentration. Second, our study was based on a limited sample size. We only included 313 clinical patients and 91 patients with longitudinal cognitive scores. Future studies could investigate systemic inflammation, brain alterations and cognitive changes with a larger sample size. Moreover, since we did not follow all patients, a selective bias should be considered in the longitudinal analysis. Last, we failed to include other inflammatory markers, such as TNF and IL-6 in this study. Although CRP is a sensitive marker that had been used in many previous studies, proinflammatory markers may accurately reflect the inflammatory status. Future research could examine the relationships between a broader range of inflammatory markers, brain alterations and cognitive changes in individuals with AD.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in this study are included in this article/**Supplementary material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

Approval for present study was acquired by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of the Tohoku University Graduate School of Medicine (approval number: 2018-1-618). Written informed consent to participate in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardian/next of kin. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

Author contributions

YZ and YKT co-designed the study. YKT was responsible for scanning the subjects and supporting the image technology. YKT, TNK, TNG, MM, and YT collected the data. YZ, YL, and BT analyzed the data. YZ wrote the first draft of the manuscript. YYT supervised this study. All authors have contributed to and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the Advanced Graduate Program for Future Medicine and Health Care, Tohoku University, Grantsin-Aid for Scientific Research from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (18K15580, 19K111637, and 21K07665), and TUMUG Support Project (Project to Promote Gender Equality and Female Researchers) of Tohoku University. This work was partly supported by the JST COI Grant Number JPMJCE1303. Per contractual agreement, the funder had no role in the design of the study, in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data, in the writing of the report, or in the decision to submit the article for publication.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Sayaka Makabe, Mari Otsuki, and Kiyoe Katsura (Institute of Development, Aging and Cancer, Tohoku University) for their clerical support and data management.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnagi.2023. 1227325/full#supplementary-material

References

Aisen, P. S. (2002). The potential of anti-inflammatory drugs for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease. *Lancet Neurol.* 1, 279–284.

Baron, J.-C., Chételat, G., Desgranges, B., Perchey, G., Landeau, B., De La Sayette, V., et al. (2001). In vivo mapping of gray matter loss with voxel-based morphometry in mild Alzheimer's disease. *Neuroimage* 14, 298–309. doi: 10.1006/nimg.2001.0848

Bettcher, B. M., Wilheim, R., Rigby, T., Green, R., Miller, J. W., Racine, C. A., et al. (2012). C-reactive protein is related to memory and medial temporal brain volume in older adults. *Brain Behav. Immun.* 26, 103–108.

Chang, Y.-T., Huang, C.-W., Chen, N.-C., Lin, K.-J., Huang, S.-H., Chang, W.-N., et al. (2016). Hippocampal amyloid burden with downstream fusiform gyrus atrophy correlate with face matching task scores in early stage Alzheimer's disease. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 8:145. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2016.00145

Chao, C. C., Hu, S., Molitor, T. W., Shaskan, E. G., and Peterson, P. K. (1992). Activated microglia mediate neuronal cell injury via a nitric oxide mechanism. *J. Immunol. (Baltimore, Md.: 1950)* 149, 2736–2741.

Corlier, F., Hafzalla, G., Faskowitz, J., Kuller, L. H., Becker, J. T., Lopez, O. L., et al. (2018). Systemic inflammation as a predictor of brain aging: Contributions of physical activity, metabolic risk, and genetic risk. *Neuroimage* 172, 118–129. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.12.027

Desikan, R. S., Segonne, F., Fischl, B., Quinn, B. T., Dickerson, B. C., Blacker, D., et al. (2006). An automated labeling system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on MRI scans into gyral based regions of interest. *Neuroimage* 31, 968–980. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.01.021

Echávarri, C., Aalten, P., Uylings, H. B., Jacobs, H., Visser, P. J., Gronenschild, E., et al. (2011). Atrophy in the parahippocampal gyrus as an early biomarker of Alzheimer's disease. *Brain Struct. Funct.* 215, 265–271.

Engelhart, M. J., Geerlings, M. I., Meijer, J., Kiliaan, A., Ruitenberg, A., Van Swieten, J. C., et al. (2004). Inflammatory proteins in plasma and the risk of dementia. *Arch. Neurol.* 61:668.

Felger, J. C., Haroon, E., Patel, T. A., Goldsmith, D. R., Wommack, E. C., Woolwine, B. J., et al. (2020). What does plasma CRP tell us about peripheral and central inflammation in depression? *Mol. Psychiatry* 25, 1301–1311. doi: 10.1038/s41380-018-0096-3

Fernandes, A., Tábuas-Pereira, M., Duro, D., Lima, M., Gens, H., Santiago, B., et al. (2020). C-reactive protein as a predictor of mild cognitive impairment conversion into Alzheimer's disease dementia. *Exp. Gerontol.* 138:111004. doi: 10.1016/j.exger.2020. 111004

Fischl, B. (2012). FreeSurfer. NeuroImage 62, 774-781.

Gong, C., Wei, D., Wang, Y., Ma, J., Yuan, C., Zhang, W., et al. (2016). A metaanalysis of C-reactive protein in patients with Alzheimer's disease. *Am. J. Alzheimers Dis. Other Dement.* 31, 194–200.

Haan, M. N., Aiello, A. E., West, N. A., and Jagust, W. J. (2008). C-reactive protein and rate of dementia in carriers and non carriers of Apolipoprotein APOE4 genotype. *Neurobiol. Aging* 29, 1774–1782. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2007.04.020

Hargrave, R., Maddock, R. J., and Stone, V. (2002). Impaired recognition of facial expressions of emotion in Alzheimer's disease. *J. Neuropsychiatry Clin. Neurosci.* 14, 64–71.

Heppner, F. L., Ransohoff, R. M., and Becher, B. (2015). Immune attack: The role of inflammation in Alzheimer disease. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 16, 358–372. doi: 10.1038/nrn3880

Holmes, C. (2013). Review: Systemic inflammation and Alzheimer's disease. *Neuropathol. Appl. Neurobiol.* 39, 51–68.

Hooper, C., Barreto, P. D. S., Cantet, C., Cesari, M., Payoux, P., Salabert, A. S., et al. (2018). Chronically raised C-reactive protein is inversely associated with cortical β -amyloid in older adults with subjective memory complaints. *Exp. Gerontol.* 108, 226–230.

Hsu, P.-F., Pan, W.-H., Yip, B.-S., Chen, R. C.-Y., Cheng, H.-M., and Chuang, S.-Y. (2017). C-Reactive protein predicts incidence of dementia in an elderly Asian community cohort. *J. Am. Med. Dir. Assoc.* 18, 277.e7–277.e11. doi: 10.1016/j.jamda. 2016.12.006

Hubacek, J. A., Peasey, A., Pikhart, H., Stavek, P., Kubinova, R., Marmot, M., et al. (2010). APOE polymorphism and its effect on plasma C-reactive protein levels in a large general population sample. *Hum. Immunol.* 71, 304–308. doi: 10.1016/j. humimm.2010.01.008

Huell, M., Strauss, S., Volk, B., Berger, M., and Bauer, J. (1995). Interleukin-6 is present in early stages of plaque formation and is restricted to the brains of Alzheimer's disease patients. *Acta Neuropathol.* 89, 544–551. doi: 10.1007/BF00571510

Imamura, K., Hishikawa, N., Ono, K., Suzuki, H., Sawada, M., Nagatsu, T., et al. (2005). Cytokine production of activated microglia and decrease in neurotrophic factors of neurons in the hippocampus of Lewy body disease brains. *Acta Neuropathol.* 109, 141–150. doi: 10.1007/s00401-004-0919-y

Iwamoto, N., Nishiyama, E., Ohwada, J., and Arai, H. (1994). Demonstration of CRP immunoreactivity in brains of Alzheimer's disease: Immunohistochemical study using formic acid pretreatment of tissue sections. *Neurosci. Lett.* 177, 23–26. doi: 10.1016/0304-3940(94)90035-3

Jack, C. R. Jr., Bennett, D. A., Blennow, K., Carrillo, M. C., Dunn, B., Haeberlein, S. B., et al. (2018). NIA-AA research framework: Toward a biological definition of Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's Dement*. 14, 535–562. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2018.02.018

Jessen, F., Amariglio, R. E., Van Boxtel, M., Breteler, M., Ceccaldi, M., Chételat, G., et al. (2014). A conceptual framework for research on subjective cognitive decline in preclinical Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement.* 10, 844–852.

Jofre-Monseny, L., Minihane, A.-M., and Rimbach, G. (2008). Impact of apoE genotype on oxidative stress, inflammation and disease risk. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* 52, 131–145.

Kanwisher, N., Mcdermott, J., and Chun, M. M. (1997). The fusiform face area: A module in human extrastriate cortex specialized for face perception. *J. Neurosci.* 17, 4302–4311.

Katsel, P., Tan, W., and Haroutunian, V. (2009). Gain in brain immunity in the oldest-old differentiates cognitively normal from demented individuals. *PLoS One* 4:e7642. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0007642

Kinney, J. W., Bemiller, S. M., Murtishaw, A. S., Leisgang, A. M., Salazar, A. M., and Lamb, B. T. (2018). Inflammation as a central mechanism in Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement.* 4, 575–590.

Köhler, S., Black, S., Sinden, M., Szekely, C., Kidron, D., Parker, J., et al. (1998). Memory impairments associated with hippocampal versus parahippocampal-gyrus atrophy: An MR volumetry study in Alzheimer's disease. *Neuropsychologia* 36, 901– 914. doi: 10.1016/s0028-3932(98)00017-7

Komulainen, P., Lakka, T. A., Kivipelto, M., Hassinen, M., Penttila, I. M., Helkala, E.-L., et al. (2007). Serum high sensitivity C-reactive protein and cognitive function in elderly women. *Age Ageing* 36, 443–448. doi: 10.1093/ageing/afm051

Koyama, A., O'brien, J., Weuve, J., Blacker, D., Metti, A. L., and Yaffe, K. (2013). The role of peripheral inflammatory markers in dementia and Alzheimer's disease: A meta-analysis. *J. Gerontol. Ser. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci.* 68, 433–440.

Kravitz, B. A., Corrada, M. M., and Kawas, C. H. (2009). Elevated C-reactive protein levels are associated with prevalent dementia in the oldest-old. *Alzheimers Dement.* 5, 318–323. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2009.04.1230

Kuo, H.-K., Yen, C.-J., Chang, C.-H., Kuo, C.-K., Chen, J.-H., and Sorond, F. (2005). Relation of C-reactive protein to stroke, cognitive disorders, and depression in the general population: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Neurol.* 4, 371–380. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(05)70099-5

Lewis, N. A., and Knight, J. E. (2021). Longitudinal associations between C-reactive protein and cognitive performance in normative cognitive ageing and dementia. *Age Ageing* 50, 2199–2205. doi: 10.1093/ageing/afab152

Lima, T. A., Adler, A. L., Minett, T., Matthews, F. E., Brayne, C., Marioni, R. E., et al. (2014). C-reactive protein, APOE genotype and longitudinal cognitive change in an older population. *Age Ageing* 43, 289–292. doi: 10.1093/ageing/aft193

Locascio, J. J., Fukumoto, H., Yap, L., Bottiglieri, T., Growdon, J. H., Hyman, B. T., et al. (2008). Plasma amyloid β -protein and C-reactive Protein in relation to the rate of progression of Alzheimer disease. *Arch. Neurol.* 65, 776–785.

Marsland, A. L., Gianaros, P. J., Kuan, D. C.-H., Sheu, L. K., Krajina, K., and Manuck, S. B. (2015). Brain morphology links systemic inflammation to cognitive function in midlife adults. *Brain Behav. Immun.* 48, 195–204.

McGeer, E. G., and McGeer, P. L. (1998). The importance of inflammatory mechanisms in Alzheimer disease. *Exp. Gerontol.* 33, 371–378.

McKhann, G. M., Knopman, D. S., Chertkow, H., Hyman, B. T., Jack, C. R. Jr., Kawas, C. H., et al. (2011). The diagnosis of dementia due to Alzheimer's disease: Recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement.* 7, 263–269.

Norden, D. M., and Godbout, J. P. (2013). Review: Microglia of the aged brain: Primed to be activated and resistant to regulation. *Neuropathol. Appl. Neurobiol.* 39, 19–34.

O'Bryant, S. E., Waring, S. C., Hobson, V., Hall, J. R., Moore, C. B., Bottiglieri, T., et al. (2010). Decreased C-reactive protein levels in Alzheimer disease. *J. Geriatr. Psychiatry Neurol.* 23, 49–53.

Ozben, T., and Ozben, S. (2019). Neuro-inflammation and anti-inflammatory treatment options for Alzheimer's disease. *Clin. Biochem.* 72, 87–89.

Pepys, M. B., and Hirschfield, G. M. (2003). C-reactive protein: A critical update. J. Clin. Invest. 111, 1805–1812.

Raghavan, N., Samtani, M. N., Farnum, M., Yang, E., Novak, G., Grundman, M., et al. (2013). The ADAS-Cog revisited: Novel composite scales based on ADAS-Cog

to improve efficiency in MCI and early AD trials. *Alzheimers Dement.* 9, S21-S31. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2012.05.2187

Rebeck, G. W. (2017). The role of APOE on lipid homeostasis and inflammation in normal brains. J. Lipid Res. 58, 1493–1499.

Riazi, K., Galic, M. A., Kuzmiski, J. B., Ho, W., Sharkey, K. A., and Pittman, Q. J. (2008). Microglial activation and TNF α production mediate altered CNS excitability following peripheral inflammation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 105, 17151–17156.

Sabuncu, M. R., Buckner, R. L., Smoller, J. W., Lee, P. H., Fischl, B., Sperling, R. A., et al. (2012). The association between a polygenic Alzheimer score and cortical thickness in clinically normal subjects. *Cereb. Cortex* 22, 2653–2661.

Satizabal, C., Zhu, Y., Mazoyer, B., Dufouil, C., and Tzourio, C. (2012). Circulating IL-6 and CRP are associated with MRI findings in the elderly: The 3C-Dijon Study. *Neurology* 78, 720–727. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e318248e50f

Sawada, M., Sawada, H., and Nagatsu, T. (2008). Effects of aging on neuroprotective and neurotoxic properties of microglia in neurodegenerative diseases. *Neurodegener. Dis.* 5, 254–256.

Saxton, R. A., Caveney, N. A., Moya-Garzon, M. D., Householder, K. D., Rodriguez, G. E., Burdsall, K. A., et al. (2023). Structural insights into the mechanism of leptin receptor activation. *Nat. Commun.* 14:1797.

Silverman, J. M., Beeri, M. S., Schmeidler, J., Rosendorff, C., Angelo, G., Mavris, R. S., et al. (2008). C-reactive protein and memory function suggest antagonistic pleiotropy in very old nondemented subjects. *Age Ageing* 38, 237–241. doi: 10.1093/ageing/afn278

Silverman, J. M., Schmeidler, J., Beeri, M. S., Rosendorff, C., Sano, M., Grossman, H. T., et al. (2012). C-reactive protein and familial risk for dementia: A phenotype for successful cognitive aging. *Neurology* 79, 1116–1123. doi: 10.1212/WNL. 0b013e3182698c89

Taki, Y., Thyreau, B., Kinomura, S., Sato, K., Goto, R., Wu, K., et al. (2013). Correlation between high-sensitivity C-reactive protein and brain gray matter volume in healthy elderly subjects. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 34, 2418–2424. doi: 10.1002/hbm.22073

Tao, Q., Alvin Ang, T. F., Akhter-Khan, S. C., Itchapurapu, I. S., Killiany, R., Zhang, X., et al. (2021). Impact of C-reactive protein on cognition and Alzheimer disease biomarkers in homozygous apolipoprotein E varepsilon4 carriers. *Neurology* 97, e1243–e1252. doi: 10.1212/WNL.00000000012512

Tao, Q., Ang, T. F. A., Decarli, C., Auerbach, S. H., Devine, S., Stein, T. D., et al. (2018). Association of chronic low-grade inflammation with risk of Alzheimer disease in ApoE4 carriers. *JAMA Netw. Open* 1:e183597. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2018. 3597

Teunissen, C., Van Boxtel, M., Bosma, H., Bosmans, E., Delanghe, J., De Bruijn, C., et al. (2003). Inflammation markers in relation to cognition in a healthy aging population. *J. Neuroimmunol.* 134, 142–150. doi: 10.1016/s0165-5728(02)00398-3

Tzioras, M., Davies, C., Newman, A., Jackson, R., and Spires-Jones, T. (2019). Invited Review: APOE at the interface of inflammation, neurodegeneration and pathological protein spread in Alzheimer's disease. *Neuropathol. Appl. Neurobiol.* 45, 327–346. doi: 10.1111/nan.12529

van Velzen, L. S., Kelly, S., Isaev, D., Aleman, A., Aftanas, L. I., Bauer, J., et al. (2020). White matter disturbances in major depressive disorder: A coordinated analysis across 20 international cohorts in the ENIGMA MDD working group. *Mol. Psychiatry* 25, 1511–1525. doi: 10.1038/s41380-019-0477-2

Verma, S., Szmitko, P. E., and Ridker, P. M. (2005). C-reactive protein comes of age. Nat. Clin. Pract. Cardiovasc. Med. 2, 29–36.

Walker, K. A., Windham, B. G., Power, M. C., Hoogeveen, R. C., Folsom, A. R., Ballantyne, C. M., et al. (2018). The association of mid-to late-life systemic inflammation with white matter structure in older adults: The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study. *Neurobiol. Aging* 68, 26–33. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2018. 03.031

Wang, J., Tan, L., Wang, H.-F., Tan, C.-C., Meng, X.-F., Wang, C., et al. (2015). Antiinflammatory drugs and risk of Alzheimer's disease: An updated systematic review and meta-analysis. J. Alzheimers Dis. 44, 385–396.

Wang, Y., Grydeland, H., Roe, J. M., Pan, M., Magnussen, F., Amlien, I. K., et al. (2022). Associations of circulating C-reactive proteins, APOE ε4, and brain markers for Alzheimer's disease in healthy samples across the lifespan. *Brain Behav. Immun.* 100, 243–253.

Warren, K. N., Beason-Held, L. L., Carlson, O., Egan, J. M., An, Y., Doshi, J., et al. (2018). Elevated markers of inflammation are associated with longitudinal changes in brain function in older adults. *J. Gerontol. Ser. A* 73, 770–778. doi: 10.1093/gerona/glx199

Wersching, H., Duning, T., Lohmann, H., Mohammadi, S., Stehling, C., Fobker, M., et al. (2010). Serum C-reactive protein is linked to cerebral microstructural integrity and cognitive function. *Neurology* 74, 1022–1029. doi: 10.1212/WNL. 0b013e3181d7b45b

Wooten, T., Brown, E., Sullivan, D. R., Logue, M. W., Fortier, C. B., Fonda, J. R., et al. (2021). Apolipoprotein E (APOE) & moderates the relationship between c-reactive protein, cognitive functioning, and white matter integrity. *Brain Behav. Immun.* 95, 84–95.

Young, V. G., Halliday, G. M., and Kril, J. J. (2008). Neuropathologic correlates of white matter hyperintensities. *Neurology* 71, 804–811.