



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Yu-Min Kuo,
National Cheng Kung University, Taiwan

*CORRESPONDENCE
Yanqiang Wang
✉ wffywyq2006@126.com;
✉ wangqiangdoctor@126.com

RECEIVED 28 March 2023
ACCEPTED 17 April 2023
PUBLISHED 11 May 2023

CITATION
Zhao Y, Wang Y, Geng D and Wang Y (2023)
Editorial: Neuroinflammation and
neuroimmune response in experimental MCAO
and ischemic stroke.
Front. Aging Neurosci. 15:1195395.
doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2023.1195395

COPYRIGHT
© 2023 Zhao, Wang, Geng and Wang. This is an
open-access article distributed under the terms
of the [Creative Commons Attribution License
\(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or reproduction
in other forums is permitted, provided the
original author(s) and the copyright owner(s)
are credited and that the original publication in
this journal is cited, in accordance with
accepted academic practice. No use,
distribution or reproduction is permitted which
does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Neuroinflammation and neuroimmune response in experimental MCAO and ischemic stroke

Yingzhe Zhao¹, Yuge Wang², Deqin Geng³ and Yanqiang Wang^{1*}

¹Department of Neurology II, Affiliated Hospital of Weifang Medical University, Weifang, Shandong, China, ²Department of Neurology, The Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China, ³Department of Neurology, Affiliated Hospital of Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, Jiangsu, China

KEYWORDS

ischemic stroke, neural inflammation, neuroprotection, blood-brain barrier, inflammation

Editorial on the Research Topic

Neuroinflammation and neuroimmune response in experimental MCAO and ischemic stroke

Stroke, is one of the most significant medical challenges worldwide, because it carries a serious health and economic burden (Karikari et al., 2018). The global disease burden study indicates that the number of cases of new stroke, epidemics, and deaths per year remains high (Feigin et al., 2016). Stroke, especially ischemic stroke, is caused primarily by an interruption in cerebral blood flow, which induces severe neurologic deficits (Qin et al., 2022). Intravenous administration of tissue plasminogen activator (t-PA) and endovascular treatment is currently available for recanalizing the blood flow, but are limited by a narrow therapeutic time window and the potential adverse effects of intracranial hemorrhage and are influenced by technical capabilities and hospital conditions (Mosconi and Paciaroni, 2022). However, evidence of the benefit of these treatment options for ischemic stroke is usually limited. Despite the promising neuroprotective strategies, the mechanism of neuronal death after ischemia is still unclear, and further clinical research is required. Thus, it is paramount to further clarify the mechanisms of ischemic stroke and develop new therapies against the disease.

Increasing evidences show that neuroinflammatory response to acute cerebral ischemia is a major factor in the pathobiology and prognosis of stroke (Shaheryar et al., 2021; Stuckey et al., 2021; Puleo et al., 2022). Inflammatory activity begins after ischemia in the acute phase, followed by excitotoxicity; free-radical production; neuron necrosis; apoptosis; disruption of the blood-brain barrier (BBB) and of neurovascular units; microcirculatory dysfunction; brain edema; hemorrhagic transformation (Jurcau and Simion, 2021); resident microglia activation; infiltration of inflammatory cells such as T cells, monocytes, neutrophils, and different inflammatory cells (Qiu et al., 2021); and discharge of immune mediators including cytokines and chemokines. All of these factors collectively worsen neurological outcomes. In later stages of post-stroke, these inflammatory mediators support tissue repair and functional recovery. Recent studies have implicated the pathological processes of ferroptosis, mitochondrial metabolism, imbalanced gut microbial community, or dysbiosis in ischemic stroke (Zhou et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2022). Therefore, inhibiting post-stroke neuroinflammation can alleviate ischemic brain injury and is the basis for future research and novel therapeutics for ischemic stroke.

Clinical research mainly focuses on infiltrating peripheral immune inflammatory cells (Jayaraj et al., 2019). Variations in their counts and ratios is associated with early and late course and prognosis of ischemic stroke and/or treatment with recombinant t-PA and/or thrombectomy (Mosconi and Paciaroni, 2022). These studies have also emphasized that neutrophil-specific gene expression patterns may contribute to poor treatment responses (Bui et al.). High ratio of monocytes to high-density lipoprotein is associated with hemorrhagic transformation in acute ischemic stroke on intravenous thrombolysis (Xia et al.). Matrix metalloproteinase-9 (MMP-9) and brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) are closely related to the prognosis of ischemic stroke in a time-dependent manner (Li et al.). However, it is worth noting that these findings suggest that the clinical application value of these effects depend on infiltrating into the ischemic site and peak time, location of infarcts, time period since occurrence, severity of ischemia, and stroke subtype. The poor quality and small quantity of included clinical research articles have influenced the assessment. Therefore, constructing a scientific evaluation model to predict stroke by inflammatory factors needs further validation in multi-center, large-sample, and high-quality studies.

The study of neuroinflammation in animal experiments provides a theoretical basis to explore the pathogenesis and progression of ischemic stroke. Additionally, it is beneficial to the clinical transformation of new diagnostic, prognostic, and therapeutic neuroprotective strategies for stroke (Kumar and Aakriti, 2016; Sommer, 2017). Experimental research on animal models mainly focuses on resident cells, the release of inflammatory factors, and signaling pathway (Ma et al., 2020). The studies included in this special edition reviews the inflammatory factors and signal pathways involved in stroke. It was found that VEGF, TGF- β , Ccl19, Ccl24, IL17a, IL3, and complement C5 were the most frequently involved inflammatory factors were. These inflammatory factors aggravate ischemic brain injury and activate corresponding pathways and promote the release of inflammatory mediators (Hammond et al.). The inflammatory signaling pathways involved in ischemic brain injury are complex. AMPK/AKT/GSK3 β pathway, SLC7A11/GSH/GPX4 pathway, and PDGFR β /PI3/Akt pathway are all involved in the regulation of ischemic brain injury (Li et al.; Xu et al.). Different signaling pathways can exacerbate neuroinflammation-mediated ischemic brain injury. The MCAO/R (middle cerebral artery occlusion-reperfusion) model is one of the models that most closely simulate ischemic stroke (McCabe et al., 2018; Wimmer et al., 2018). However, ischemic stroke is a heterogeneous disease with complex pathophysiology, and it is thus impossible to mimick all aspects of human stroke in a single animal model, other factor might be the selection of animal stroke models, in young animals without any comorbidity such as hypertension, diabetes, and obesity (Fluri et al., 2015; Sommer, 2017). The rate of successful translation of preclinical stroke research has been very low. It is very important to choose an appropriate stroke model and ensure that the scientific, standardized study design of preclinical trials can increase the translational potential of stroke models (Sommer, 2017; Narayan et al., 2021).

Neuroinflammation is a key player in the progression of ischemic brain injury. However, whether this mechanism exerts beneficial or detrimental effects in ischemic stroke pathology may depend on the time following cerebral ischemia (Jayaraj et al., 2019). Neuroinflammation is a complex phenomenon governed by many factors such as activated astrocytes, microglia and endothelial cells, cytokines, chemokines, and reactive oxygen species (Qin et al., 2019). Neuroinflammation maybe an interesting target for therapeutic intervention. However, the research findings of neuroinflammation in preclinical animal models and clinical transformation are far from expected (Candelario-Jalil and Paul, 2021). Hence, understanding the time-dependent role of neuroinflammation in stroke pathophysiology and performing basic research by using stroke models combined with real-world clinical conditions (Fluri et al., 2015) will certainly provide more data on the development of novel neuroprotective strategies for post-stroke inflammation that could help alleviate the global burden of stroke.

Author contributions

All authors have contributed to the writing, editing, and approved the final version of the text for submission.

Funding

Authors are supported by grants from the Clinical Research Center of Affiliated Hospital of Weifang Medical University (No. 2022WYFYLCYJ02), Shandong Medical and Health Science and Technology Development Plan Project (202203070794), and Yuan Du Scholars and Weifang Key Laboratory.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge the assistance of Editorial teachers and reviewers. And thank Editorial teachers and reviewers.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

- Candelario-Jalil, E., and Paul, S. (2021). Impact of aging and comorbidities on ischemic stroke outcomes in preclinical animal models: a translational perspective. *Exp. Neurol.* 335, 113494. doi: 10.1016/j.expneurol.2020.113494
- Feigin, V. L., Nichols, E., Alam, T., Bannick, M. S., Beghi, E., Blake, N., et al. (2016). Global, regional, and national burden of neurological disorders, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study. *Lancet Neurol.* 18, 459–480. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(18)30499-X
- Fluri, F., Schuhmann, M. K., and Kleinschnitz, C. (2015). Animal models of ischemic stroke and their application in clinical research. *Drug Des. Devel. Ther.* 9, 3445–3454. doi: 10.2147/dddt.S56071
- Jayaraj, R. L., Azimullah, S., Beiram, R., Jalal, F. Y., and Rosenberg, G. A. (2019). Neuroinflammation: friend and foe for ischemic stroke. *J. Neuroinflammation* 16, 142. doi: 10.1186/s12974-019-1516-2
- Jurcau, A., and Simion, A. (2021). Neuroinflammation in cerebral ischemia and ischemia/reperfusion injuries: from pathophysiology to therapeutic strategies. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 23, 14. doi: 10.3390/ijms23010014
- Karikari, T. K., Charway-Felli, A., Höglund, K., Blennow, K., and Zetterberg, H. (2018). Global, regional, and national burden of stroke and its risk factors, 1990–2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study. *Front. Neurol.* 9, 201. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(21)00252-0
- Kumar, A., Aakriti, and Gupta, V. (2016). A review on animal models of stroke: an update. *Brain Res. Bull.* 122, 35–44. doi: 10.1016/j.brainresbull.02016
- Ma, R., Xie, Q., Li, Y., Chen, Z., Ren, M., Chen, H., et al. (2020). Animal models of cerebral ischemia: a review. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 131, 110686. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2020.110686
- Mccabe, C., Arroja, M. M., Reid, E., and Macrae, I. M. (2018). Animal models of ischaemic stroke and characterisation of the ischaemic penumbra. *Neuropharmacology* 134, 169–177. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.09022
- Mosconi, M. G., and Paciaroni, M. (2022). Treatments in ischemic stroke: current and future. *Eur. Neurol.* 85, 349–366. doi: 10.1159/000525822
- Narayan, S. K., Grace Cherian, S., Babu Phaniti, P., Babu Chidambaram, S., Rachel Vasanthi, A. H., Arumugam, M., et al. (2021). Preclinical animal studies in ischemic stroke: challenges and some solutions. *Animal Model Exp. Med.* 4, 104–115. doi: 10.1002/ame2.12166
- Puleo, M. G., Miceli, S., Chiara, D. i., Pizzo, T., Della Corte, G. M., Simonetta, V., et al. (2022). Molecular mechanisms of inflammasome in ischemic stroke pathogenesis. *Pharmaceuticals* 15, 1168. doi: 10.3390/ph15101168
- Qin, C., Yang, S., Chu, Y. H., Zhang, H., Pang, X. W., Chen, L., et al. (2022). Signaling pathways involved in ischemic stroke: molecular mechanisms and therapeutic interventions. *Signal Transduct. Target Ther.* 7, 215. doi: 10.1038/s41392-022-01064-1
- Qin, C., Zhou, L. Q., Ma, X. T., Hu, Z. W., Yang, S., Chen, M., et al. (2019). Dual functions of microglia in ischemic stroke. *Neurosci. Bull.* 35, 921–933. doi: 10.1007/s12264-019-00388-3
- Qiu, Y. M., Zhang, C. L., Chen, A. Q., Wang, H. L., Zhou, Y. F., Li, Y. N., et al. (2021). Immune cells in the BBB disruption after acute ischemic stroke: targets for immune therapy? *Front. Immunol.* 12, 678744. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.678744
- Shaheryar, Z. A., Khan, M. A., Adnan, C. S., Zaidi, A. A., Hänggi, D., Muhammad, S., et al. (2021). Neuroinflammatory triangle presenting novel pharmacological targets for ischemic brain injury. *Front. Immunol.* 12, 748663. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.748663
- Sommer, C. J. (2017). Ischemic stroke: experimental models and reality. *Acta Neuropathol.* 133, 245–261. doi: 10.1007/s00401-017-1667-0
- Stuckey, S. M., Ong, L. K., Collins-Praino, L. E., and Turner, R. J. (2021). Neuroinflammation as a key driver of secondary neurodegeneration following stroke? *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 22, 3101. doi: 10.3390/ijms222313101
- Wimmer, I., Zrzavy, T., and Lassmann, H. (2018). (2018). Neuroinflammatory responses in experimental and human stroke lesions. *J. Neuroimmunol.* 323, 10–18. doi: 10.1016/j.jneuroim.07003
- Zhang, W., Dong, X. Y., and Huang, R. (2022). Gut microbiota in ischemic stroke: role of gut bacteria-derived metabolites. *Transl. Stroke Res.* 3, 1096. doi: 10.1007/s12975-022-01096-3
- Zhou, Y., Liao, J., Mei, Z., Liu, X., and Ge, J. (2021). Insight into crosstalk between ferroptosis and necroptosis: novel therapeutics in ischemic stroke. *Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev.* 2021, 9991001. doi: 10.1155/2021/9991001