

Association Between Tea Drinking and Cognitive Disorders in Older Adults: A Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies

Mengyuan Shi^{1†}, Limin Cao^{2†}, Huiyuan Liu¹, Yuhan Zhou¹, Yuhong Zhao¹ and Yang Xia^{1*}

¹ Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Shengjing Hospital of China Medical University, Shenyang, China, ² The Third Central Hospital of Tianjin, Tianjin, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Xinghui Li, Nanjing Agricultural University, China

Reviewed by:

Lidan Zheng, University of New South Wales, Australia Talitha Best, Central Queensland University, Australia

*Correspondence:

Yang Xia xytmu507@126.com; xiay1@sj-hospital.org

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Neurocognitive Aging and Behavior, a section of the journal Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience

> Received: 29 December 2021 Accepted: 30 March 2022 Published: 25 April 2022

Citation:

Shi M, Cao L, Liu H, Zhou Y, Zhao Y and Xia Y (2022) Association Between Tea Drinking and Cognitive Disorders in Older Adults: A Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies. Front. Aging Neurosci. 14:845053. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2022.845053 **Introduction:** Previous research has shown that tea drinking has a bearing on Cognitive Disorders, but the conclusions are inconsistent. The purpose of this research was to systematically assess the published evidence pertaining to tea drinking and the risk of cognitive disorders in older adults using a meta-analysis, and to concurrently evaluate the dose-response association.

Design: A meta-analysis.

Setting and Participants: We used the PubMed and Web of Science databases for a literature search until 30 May 2021. We initially retrieved 20,908 studies (14,884 from PubMed and 6,024 from the Web of Science), Thirty-six studies met the inclusion criteria (7 case-control, 16 cohort, and 13 cross-sectional studies), involved 224,980 participants.

Methods: Pooled odd ratios (ORs) with their corresponding 95% confidence intervals (Cls) were used to evaluate the strength of the association under a fixed- or random-effect model according to heterogeneity test results.

Results: The results showed that drinking tea was negatively associated with cognitive disorders (OR: 0.76, 95% CI: 0.70–0.82). Moreover, dose-response associations were found between tea drinking and cognitive disorders (1 time/day: OR, 0.81; 95% CI, 0.70–0.95; 1 cup/day: OR, 0.86; 95% CI, 0.78–0.94). In addition, subgroup analyses were performed according to study designs, study population, types of tea drinking, outcomes and methods used to assess outcomes. Most of the results in the subgroup analyses were consistent with the main results.

Conclusion: The results of the present study provided abundant evidence that tea drinking is inversely proportional with the occurrence of cognitive disorders in older adults. A linear dose-response association between tea drinking and decreased prevalence of cognitive disorders was found.

Keywords: tea drinking, older adults, meta-analysis, dose-response, congnitive disorders

SUMMARY

Cognitive disorders are a common neurodegenerative disease among older adults. The proportional and linear associations between tea drinking and cognitive disorders were studied by meta-analysis. A total of 36 studies (224,980 participants) were enrolled in the present study. Results demonstrated that tea drinking was positively associated with cognitive disorders.

INTRODUCTION

Neurologic diseases impact the nervous system and include epilepsy, cerebrovascular disease (such as stroke), and neurodegenerative diseases (such as cognitive disorders) (Thangaraj et al., 2020). Cognitive disorders, also known as neurocognitive disorders, typically affect learning, memory, perceptual-motor function, language, attention, and problem solving (Robbins et al., 2019). Cognitive disorders mainly include delirium, mild cognitive impairment (MCI), and major neurocognitive disorder [such as dementia, Parkinson's disease (PD), and Alzheimer's disease (AD)] (Sachdev et al., 2014). After 65 years of age, the incidence of cognitive disorders increases sharply (Huang et al., 2009). Due to the increase in population aging worldwide, the disease burden of cognitive disorders is gradually increasing. For example, as a type of cognitive disorder, dementia affects 5-6% of people \geq 60 years of age (Jia et al., 2020). The total number of dementia patients is expected to reach 82 million in 2030 and 152 million in 2050 (World Health Organization, 2020). Early intervention for cognitive disorders is especially effective, thus intervention can be used to help relieve the social and economic burden of AD and other types of cognitive disorders.

According to previous reports, cognitive disorders may be related to many factors, such as the aging process and lifestyle (Laudisio et al., 2008; Rabbitt et al., 2008a,b; Solfrizzi et al., 2008). Tea originated in China thousands of years ago and is widely enjoyed worldwide. In Asian countries, drinking green tea is a social custom, while Western countries prefer black tea (Cheng, 2006). It has been shown in experiments (in vitro studies, etc.) and animal studies that tea polyphenols may not only have effective neuroprotective activity, but may also help slow the progression of neurodegenerative diseases (Mandel and Youdim, 2004; Chen et al., 2018). In vitro and in vivo experimental studies have shown that tea and its components, such as catechin and theanine, have neuroprotective effects (Kim et al., 2009; Lee et al., 2009, 2013; Biasibetti et al., 2013). Some epidemiologic studies have shown that drinking tea can improve neuropsychiatric disorders (Gardner et al., 2007) and reduce the development of cognitive disorders (Feng et al., 2012). A previous study (Ide et al., 2014) concluded that drinking green tea ameliorates or delays cognitive disorders in older adults. Thus, green tea may delay the occurrence or development of cognitive disorders (Mandel et al., 2005, 2008; Song et al., 2012); however, other studies showed no association between tea drinking and the prevalence of cognitive disorders (Forster et al., 1995; Lindsay et al., 2002). These discrepant

findings were the impetus for a meta-analysis to elucidate the association between tea drinking and cognitive disorders. Of note, existing meta-analyses involving tea drinking and cognitive disorders (Ran et al., 2021) have historically paid less attention to the association between subtypes of tea drinking and cognitive disorders.

To further understand the associations between tea drinking and cognitive disorders, we conducted subgroup analyses according to the types of tea drinking, study population, types of cognitive disorders,types of disease assessments, and study design. Moreover, the dose-response associations between tea drinking and cognitive disorders were further studied. The purpose of this research was to conduct a meta-analysis that provides a comprehensive conclusion on the associations between tea drinking and cognitive disorders in older adults, which is of clinical significance in efforts to prevent and treat cognitive disorders.

METHODS

Literature Search

We used the PubMed and Web of Science databases for a literature search until 30 May 2021, with the following key words: ("tea" OR "oolong tea" OR "black tea" OR "subtypes of tea" OR "drinking tea" OR "beverage of tea" OR "tea consumption" OR "tea catechins consumption" OR "green tea" OR "tea intake" OR "intake of tea" OR "component in tea" OR "caffeine" OR "caffeine intake from tea" OR "tea polyphenol" OR "tea catechins" OR "tea extracts" OR "polyphenol" OR "catechins") combined with ("Alzheimer's disease" OR "cognitive impairment" OR "Alzheimer's type" OR "cognitive decline" OR "dementia" OR "MCI" OR "mild neurocognitive disorder" OR "mild cognitive impairment" OR "cognitive impair*" OR "memory impair*" OR "Alzheimer's disease" OR "dementia*" OR "cognitive dysfunction" OR "cognitive disorder*" OR "cognitive defect" OR "memory disorder*" OR "AD" OR "executive function" OR "Alzheimer*"). We also searched the selected literature in other correlative meta-analysis to gain the most integrated compilation of studies possible from the reported paper. In addition, in the event several studies originated from identical research, we chose the studies with the longest duration of follow-up and/or the largest sample size. We used Endnote 9.0 software for the search and management of the selected studies. The study selection process was performed following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) statement (Page et al., 2021).

Selection Criteria

The inclusion criteria for selected studies were as follows: $(1) \ge 60$ of age; (2) selected studies included daily tea drinking (not tea extract) and cognitive disorder-related outcomes, such as AD, Parkinson's disease (PD), and cognitive decline in older adults; and (3) studies that reported the odds ratios (ORs), hazard ratios (HRs), risk ratios (RRs), and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs).

The animal studies, reviews, reports, and studies with unavailable data were excluded. If two or more studies shared the same population, we only selected the most recent study.

Data Extraction and Quality Assessment

Two research assistants collected the following data from the literature: the first author's last name; publication year; research country; study design; number of participants and cases; OR (95% CI); HR (95% CI); and RR (95% CI), as well as variables that were adjusted in the primary analysis. The Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS) and the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) were used for quality assessment.

Statistical Analysis

RRs and HRs are equal to ORs (Zhang and Yu, 1998; Li et al., 2012; Kim et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2017; Ran et al., 2021) when the prevalence of the outcome is low. An OR with a 95% CI determined the correlation between tea drinking and cognitive disorders. I^2 was used to assess heterogeneity across studies. Based on the heterogeneity ($I^2 = 64.9\%$), a random effect model was selected. Influence analysis was also performed to determine whether an individual study affected the overall research results. Begg's test was applied to assess publication bias. Subgroup analyses were carried out by disease type, population, type of study design, type of tea, and type of disease assessment.

A dose-response meta-analysis was performed using Stata software (version 16; Stata Corp., College Station, TX, United States), and the *glst* and *xblc* orders were applied to carry out the model estimation and draw linear or non-linear dose-response association maps.

RESULTS

Study Selection

The study selection process is shown in **Figure 1**. We preliminarily retrieved 20,908 studies (14,884 from PubMed and 6,024 from the Web of Science). First, we found 2,169 duplicate literature records through preliminary screening. Second, we screened the titles and abstracts, and 18,595 studies deviated significantly from the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Third, we conducted full-text screening, and 108 studies were excluded. Finally, 36 studies (7 case-control, 16 cohort, and 13 cross-sectional studies) met the inclusion criteria and were included for this meta-analysis with a total of 224,980 participants. Of which, 16 studies were from China, 6 were from Europe, 7 were from the North America, and 7 were from Japan.

Study Characteristics

Table 1 summarizes the nature by country, study type, publication year, research design, etc. **Supplementary Table 1** shows the distinguishing features of 36 studies (7 case-control, 13 cross-sectional, and 16 cohort studies). In the articles included in the study, different methods were used to measure tea drinking records, such as the Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ) and self-administered questionnaire. The great majority of studies were adjusted for latent confounding factors. We recorded the

OR (95% CI) of cognitive disorders based on the highest and lowest tea drinking classifications.

Supplementary Tables 2, 3 show the quality evaluation results of the included studies. According to the quality assessment by the NOS, the mean value for the 7 case-control and 16 cohort studies was 7.1 stars. In the current study, we considered a study awarded 5–9 stars as a medium- or high-quality study because the criteria for medium- or high-quality have not been established. All documents were of medium or high-quality with scores of 5–9. The cross-sectional study used the AHRQ scale for quality evaluation, including 11 items. Each item was rated as "yes" (1 point), and "no" or "unclear" (0 point); 0–3 points represented low-quality literature, 4–7 points represented medium-quality literature, and 8–11 points represented high-quality literature. All documents were of medium- or high-quality with scores of 5–8.

Association Between Tea Drinking and Cognitive Function

A notable heterogeneity ($I^2 = 64.9\%$) is shown in **Figure 2**. The forest map of the random effects model indicated that drinking tea was inversely proportional to cognitive disorders (OR: 0.76, 95% CI: 0.70–0.82).

Subgroup Analyses

Table 2 shows the combined outcomes of each subgroup. According to the type of study design, we divided all the studies into three subgroups (Supplementary Figure 1). Among all three subgroups, cross-sectional (OR: 0.69, 95% CI: 0.61-0.79) and cohort studies (OR: 0.77, 95% CI: 0.69-0.87) indicated that tea drinking were inversely associated with cognitive disorders, while case-control studies showed no association. Among the population subgroups (Supplementary Figure 2), drinking tea remarkably lowered the risk of cognitive disorders in Chinese (OR: 0.70, 95% CI: 0.62-0.79), European (OR: 0.91, 95% CI: 0.83-0.99) and Japanese (OR: 0.73, 95% CI: 0.63-0.83) subgroups; however, no association existed in North American subgroup (OR: 0.83, 95% CI: 0.59-1.17). Among the subgroup analysis of types of cognitive disorders (Supplementary Figure 3), drinking tea remarkably lowered the risk of cognitive decline (OR: 0.71, 95% CI: 0.53-0.96), cognitive impairment (OR: 0.73, 95% CI: 0.65-0.82), and dementia (OR: 0.85, 95% CI: 0.76-0.95). Nevertheless, no correlation was demonstrated between tea drinking and PD (OR: 0.73, 95% CI: 0.50-1.08). Subgroup analysis of tea types (Supplementary Figure 4) showed that drinking green tea (OR: 0.75, 95% CI: 0.66-0.84) significantly reduced the risk of cognitive disorders, but not black tea (OR: 0.86, 95% CI: 0.58-1.28). Subgroup analysis was performed according to the type of disease assessment (Supplementary Figure 5). The Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) (OR: 0.70, 95% CI: 0.63-0.79), Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) (OR: 0.71, 95% CI: 0.59-0.86), Kihon Checklist (OR: 0.77, 95% CI: 0.70-0.85), Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in Older Adults (IQCODE) (OR: 0.37, 95% CI: 0.24-0.58), and other assessment types (OR: 0.79, 95%) CI: 0.69-0.92) were associated with cognitive function, but the modified Mini-Mental State (3MSE) (OR: 0.74, 95% CI:



0.37–1.49), Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) (OR: 0.51, 95% CI: 0.19–1.40), and Détérioration Cognitive Observeé (DECO) scores (OR: 0.93, 95% CI: 0.80–1.07) had no correlation between tea drinking and cognitive function.

Dose-Response Association Analysis

Data for dose-response analysis were derived from 13 estimates of 11 separate studies. In addition to these studies, the remaining studies included two tea drinking datasets. Data from at least three dose levels are required for dose-response analysis, thus these data were excluded (Alexander et al., 2009). Tea drinking showed a negative linear association with cognitive disorders (*P* for linearity < 0.05; **Figure 3**). Therefore, drinking tea was a protective factor for cognitive disorders (1 time/day:

OR, 0.81; 95% CI, 0.70-0.95; 1 cup/day: OR, 0.86; 95% CI, 0.78-0.94).

Publication Bias

Publication bias was evaluated based on the entire dataset. The *P*-value of the Begg's test was 0.596, and the symmetric funnel plot is shown in **Supplementary Figure 6**. Based on the results of Begg's test (P = 0.596; **Figure 4**), we did not detect any publication bias.

DISCUSSION

The results of the present study showed that tea drinking is negatively associated with cognitive disorders in older adults. The

TABLE 1	Summarizes	the nature by	country,	study type,	publication	year, and	research	desigr

Fronts, D. P. Casecontrol study United Kingdom 1966 218 Others - 1.40 0.81 258 Pagarini-HILA Casecontrol study United States 200 557 MMSE - 1.21 0.88 1.70 Cendenowny, L. Casecontrol study Lamada 2002 4.088 3MMSE - 1.21 0.78 0.80 Richin, K. Conos-sectional study Finance 2000 1.589 Others Same - 1.20 0.81 0.80 0.81 0.80 0.81 0.80 0.81 0.80 0.81 0.80 0.81 0.80 0.81 0	Study	Study design	Country	Year	Number of participants	Outcome measurement method	Туре	OR/RR	LCI	UCI
Pagamin-Hill, A. Case-control study Under States 2015 Others - 1.0 0.68 1.003 Case-control study Case-control study Vagam 2006 1.003 MMSE - 1.012 0.78 1.61 Karyama, S. Case-control study United States 0.00 1.003 MMSE - 0.62 0.73 0.7	Forster, D. P.	Case-control study I	United Kingdor	n1995	218	Others	-	1.40	0.81	2.63
Checkowsy, H. Case-control study United States 2002 557 MMSE - 0.40 0.20 0.20 0.20 Lindsay, J. Case-control study Japa 2008 1.003 MMSE - 0.61 0.81 0.81 Dai, G. Cabrin study France 2007 7.01 MMSE - 0.81 0.85 1.10 Versamber, M. N. Cabrin study France 2007 7.01 MMSE - 0.81 0.86 0.49 9.38 Richnia, K. Case-sectional study Norway 2009 2.031 MMSE MMSE - 0.67 0.38 2.45 Yao, Y. H. Caber study China 2010 2.400 MMSE - 0.67 0.35 1.00 Yao, Y. H. Caber study United States 2011 2.102 MMSE - 0.67 1.30 Yao, Y. H. Caber study United States 2012 1.211 MMSE - 0	Paganini-Hill, A.	Case-control study	United States	2001	2,715	Others	-	1.21	0.86	1.70
Lindisgy, J. Canadi 2002 4,08 3MMSE 1,12 0,73 0,83 0,83 Buh, Q. Contor study United States 2008 1,589 others 0,81 0,87 4,333 Richk, K. Contor study France 2007 7,717 MMSE 0,81 0,87 1,71 Veccambre, M. N. Contor study France 2009 2,031 MMSE 0,81 0,80 1,83 Existence, M. H. Conse-sectional study Freining 2009 2,031 MMSE 0,80 0,62 1,83 Huang, C. O. Cross-sectional study Orina 2010 2,809 MMSE 0,50 0,62 1,83 Yin, Y. H. Caber ottrudy Orina 2011 2,119 MMSE 0,59 0,607 1,30 Yin, Y. H. Caber ottrudy Orina 2014 2019 3MSE/IOCODE Mon 0,21 0,13	Checkoway, H.	Case-control study	United States	2002	557	MMSE	-	0.40	0.20	0.90
Kuriyama, S. Cross-sectional study Japan 2000 1.003 MMSE 0.62 0.64 0.63 0.64 Dai, Q. Chront study France 2007 7.017 MMSE 0.81 0.86 1.01 Nark, E. Cohont study France 2007 2.011 MMSE 0.86 0.96 0.97 4.19 Nark, E. Cross-sectional study France 2009 6.81 MMSE 0.86 0.99 0.93 2.44 Huang, C. 0. Cross-sectional study France 2009 6.81 MMSE 0.69 0.32 2.45 Yao, Y. H. Cohont study Orina 2010 2.409 MMSE 0.57 0.33 1.00 Yao, X. A. Cohont study United State 2011 2.119 MMSE 0.57 0.33 1.00 Yao, X. A. Cohont study United State 2011 2.119 MMSE <t< td=""><td>Lindsay, J.</td><td>Case-control study</td><td>Canada</td><td>2002</td><td>4,088</td><td>3MSE</td><td>-</td><td>1.12</td><td>0.78</td><td>1.61</td></t<>	Lindsay, J.	Case-control study	Canada	2002	4,088	3MSE	-	1.12	0.78	1.61
Dai, O. Cehort study United States 2008 1,588 others - 1,70 0,767 4,533 Ritchie, K. Cohort study France 2009 7,107 MMSE - 0,81 0,865 1,10 Uncambre, M. N. Cohort study France 2009 2,031 MMSE - 0,81 0,90 0,33 2,431 Eskeline, M. H. Cross-sectional study Finand 2009 6,031 1,409 MMSE - 1,04 0,33 2,455 Yao, Y. H. Cohort study China 2019 6,81 MMSE - 0,57 1,530 Yao, Y. H. Cohort study China 2011 2,119 MMSE - 0,57 1,530 Yao, N. Cohort study China 2011 2,119 MMSE - 0,57 1,530 Yao, N. Cose-sectional study China 2011 2,111 MMSE - 0,57 1,530 Yao, N. Co	Kuriyama, S.	Cross-sectional study	Japan	2006	1,003	MMSE	-	0.62	0.43	0.89
Fitche, K. Cohort study France 2007 7,017 MMSE - 0.81 0.95 1.10 Varcambre, M. N. Cohort study France 2009 2,031 MECO score Recent cognitive decine 0.96 0.74 1.09 Nurk, E. Cross-sectional study Final 2009 2,031 MMSE - 0.68 0.74 1.09 Balaharo, M. N. Cross-sectional study Crina 2009 6.81 MMSE Men 0.55 0.02 1.44 Yao, Y. H. Cohort study Crina 2010 2,809 MMSE - 0.59 0.035 1.00 Yu, M. S. Cohort study United States 2011 6,109 3MSE/IGOCODE Men 0.42 0.48 1.31 Yao, Y. H. Cohort study United States 2011 1.112 3MSE/IGOCODE Men 0.22 0.40 1.41 Palcolos, N. Cohort study United States 2012 5,691 MMSE -	Dai, Q.	Cohort study	United States	2006	1,589	others	-	1.70	0.67	4.33
Vercambre, M. N. Cohort study France 2009 4,809 DECO score Psenctional inpairment 0.90 0.78 1.19 Nurk, E. Cross-sectional study Norway 2009 2,031 MMSE - 0.90 0.90 0.33 Eskelinen, M. H. Cross-sectional study Finian 2009 681 MMSE MMSE - 0.06 0.32 2.45 Yao, Y. H. Cohort study China 2019 681 MMSE - 0.67 0.30 2.45 Yao, Y. H. Cohort study China 2019 617 others - 0.67 1.30 Arab, L. Case-control study China 2011 2.192 MMSE - 0.69 0.33 1.30 Arab, L. Case-control study China 2011 2.112 2.016rs Moren 0.72 0.80 1.30 Paidois, N. Case-control study China 201 7.59 MMSE - 0.62	Ritchie, K.	Cohort study	France	2007	7,017	MMSE	-	0.81	0.65	1.01
DECO score Functional impairment 0.40 0.47 1.03 Nurk, E. Cross-sectional istudy Nink 2009 1.409 MMSE 0.68 0.49 0.33 Huang, C. Q. Cross-sectional istudy China 2009 681 MMSE Men 0.55 0.22 1.64 Yao, Y. H. Cachort study China 2010 2.030 MMSE - 0.57 0.42 0.75 1.30 Yao, Y. H. Cachort study China 2011 6.17 others - 0.57 1.30 Arab, L. Cachort study United States 2011 2.19 MMSE/COCODE Men 0.32 0.43 1.41 Palacios, N. Cohort study United States 2012 5.691 MMSE - 0.40 1.21 Chen, X., Y. Case-control study China 2014 720 MMSE - 0.73 0.35 1.01 Yang, G. Cachort study China	Vercambre, M. N.	Cohort study	France	2009	4,809	DECO score	Recent cognitive decline	0.96	0.78	1.19
Nink, E. Cross-sectional study Nave 2009 2,031 MMSE 0.68 0.49 0.53 Eskelinen, M. H. Cross-sectional study Frina 2009 1,409 MMSE 1.04 0.59 1.38 Lange, C. Cross-sectional study China 2010 2,109 MMSE Men 0.36 2.40 7.77 Tanaka, K. Cabort study China 2011 2,119 MMSE 0.59 0.35 1.00 Wu, M. S. Cross-sectional study China 2011 2,119 MMSE 0.59 0.35 1.00 Mab, L Cohort study United States 2011 2,112 121 MMSE Men 0.62 0.75 1.40 Palacios, N. Cohort study United States 2011 12,112 Others Men 0.62 0.63 0.01 1.11 Nare, G. Cohort study China 2012 5.61 MMSE						DECO score	Functional impairment	0.90	0.74	1.09
Exkellman, M. H. Cross-sectional study Finland 2009 6.409 MMSE 1.04 0.69 1.24 Huang, C. Q. Cross-sectional study China 2019 6.809 MMSE Men 0.55 0.22 1.64 Yao, Y. H. Cohort study Japan 2011 2.109 MMSE - 0.59 0.35 1.00 Arab, L. Cohort study United States 2011 2.119 MMSE - 0.99 0.75 1.30 Arab, L. Cohort study United States 2012 1.12,122 Others Men 0.62 0.48 1.37 Palacios, N. Cohort study United States 2.012 5.691 MMSE - 0.82 0.668 1.00 Yang, B., Q. Cohort study China 2014 720 MMSE - 0.82 0.67 1.41 Nogach-Shinohara, M. Cohort study China 2014 720 MMSE - 0.82	Nurk, E.	Cross-sectional study	Norway	2009	2,031	MMSE	-	0.68	0.49	0.93
Huang, C. Q. Cross-sectional study China 2009 681 MMSE Mm (0) 0.25 1.64 Yao, Y. H. Cohort study China 2010 2,809 MMSE - 0.57 0.32 1.00 Yao, X. H. Coss-octinol study United Sitas 2011 617 Others - 0.99 0.75 1.30 Arab, L. Cochort study United Sitas 2011 4.809 3MSE/GCODE Men 0.34 0.68 1.37 Palacios, N. Cohort study United Sitas 2012 12.12 Others Men 0.22 0.40 1.31 Yang, B., Q. Cohort study China 2012 5,691 MMSE - 0.82 0.60 1.41 Yang, B., Q. Cohort study China 2014 720 MMSE - 0.73 0.52 0.61 1.41 Yang, B., Q. Cohort study China 2014 720 MMSE - 0.73 0.61	Eskelinen, M. H.	Cross-sectional study	Finland	2009	1,409	MMSE	-	1.04	0.59	1.84
MMSEWomen0.680.382.45Tanaka, K.Cabort studyJapan2012.809MMSE-0.570.050.77Tanaka, K.Case-control studyUnited State2012.19MMSE-0.590.751.30Arab, L.Cohort studyUnited State2012.19MMSE-0.690.751.30Arab, L.Cohort studyUnited State2011.21MMSE-0.620.181.41Palacios, N.Cohort studyUnited State20125.691MMSE-0.820.600.611.61Yang, B.Case-control studyChina20147.20MMSE-0.480.620.611.61Yang, G.Cohort studyChina20142.33MMSE-0.480.620.611.61Yang, G.Cohort studyJapan20169.375MMSE-0.480.620.650.650.66<	Huang, C. Q.	Cross-sectional study	China	2009	681	MMSE	Men	0.55	0.22	1.64
Yao, Y. H. Cohort study China 2010 2,809 MMSE 0.57 0.42 0.77 Tanaka, K. Case-control study Onina 2011 2,119 others 0.59 0.53 1.30 Arab, L. Cohort study United States 2011 4,809 3MSE/OCODE Men 0.42 0.68 1.37 Balacios, N. Cohort study United States 2011 112,122 Others Men 0.72 0.40 1.27 Oran, S., Y. Case-control study Onita 2012 5,691 MMSE 0.82 0.88 1.00 Yang, B., O. Case-control study China 2014 720 MMSE 0.82 0.88 1.00 Yang, B., O. Case-control study China 2014 720 MMSE 0.48 0.22 0.87 Yang, G. Cohort study China 2015 9.375 MMSE 0.68 0.64 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>MMSE</td> <td>Women</td> <td>0.96</td> <td>0.38</td> <td>2.45</td>						MMSE	Women	0.96	0.38	2.45
Tanaka, K. Case-control study Japan 2011 6.17 others - 0.59 0.75 1.30 Wu, M. S. Cross-sectional study United States 2011 2,119 MMSE - 0.99 0.75 1.30 Arab, L. Cohort study United States 2011 1,212 Others Women 0.62 0.61 1.41 Palacios, N. Cohort study United States 2012 1,2122 Others Women 0.62 0.61 1.41 Palacios, N. Case-control study China 2012 5,661 MMSE - 0.82 0.62 0.61 1.41 Yang, B., O. Case-control study China 2014 223 MMSE - 0.82 0.60 0.63 0.61 1.61 Yang, G. Cohort study Japan 2014 223 MMSE - 0.61 0.61 0.61 0.61 0.61 0.61 0.61 0.61 0.61 0.61	Yao, Y. H.	Cohort study	China	2010	2,809	MMSE	-	0.57	0.42	0.77
Wu, M. S. Cross-sectional study China 2011 2,119 MMSE - 0,99 0,75 1,30 Arab, L. Cohort study United States 201 4,809 3MSE/IQCODE Men 0,32 0,68 1,31 Palacios, N. Cohort study United States 2012 112,122 Others Men 0,72 0,40 1,12 Chen, X., Y. Case-control study Othera 2012 5,661 MMSE - 0,63 0,02 0,67 0,40 1,10 Yang, B., Q. Case-control study Othera 2012 5,661 MMSE - 0,63 0,62 0,67 Wang, G. Cohort study Otha 2014 420 CDR Green tea 0,52 0,67 0,53 0,61 0,10 0,10 0,10 0,13 0,13 0,15 0,161 0,16 0,16 0,16 0,16 0,16 0,16 0,16 0,16 0,16 0,16 0,16 0,16	Tanaka, K.	Case-control study	Japan	2011	617	others	-	0.59	0.35	1.00
Arab, L. Cohort study United States 211 4,809 3MSE/IOCODE Men 0,34 0,68 1,17 Palacios, N. Cohort study United States 201 1,12,122 Others Men 0,72 0,040 1,27 Chen, X., Y. Case-control study China 2014 5,691 MMSE - 0,82 0,602 0,602 0,612 0,77 3,052 0,603 0,612 0,613 0,612 0,613 0,612 0,613 0,612 0,613 0,612 0,614 0,612 0,614 0,616 0,612 0,616 0,6	Wu, M. S.	Cross-sectional study	China	2011	2,119	MMSE	-	0.99	0.75	1.30
Palacios, N. Cohort study United States 2012 112,122 Others Men 0.62 0.18 1.41 Palacios, N. Cohort study United States 2012 112,122 Others Men 0.75 0.40 1.27 Chens, X., Y. Case-control study China 2012 5,691 MMSE - 0.82 0.68 1.00 Yang, B., O. Case-control study China 2014 720 MMSE - 0.48 0.62 0.61 1.01 Yang, G. Cohort study Japan 2014 490 CDR Green tea 0.32 0.61 0.64 </td <td>Arab, L.</td> <td>Cohort study</td> <td>United States</td> <td>2011</td> <td>4,809</td> <td>3MSE/IQCODE</td> <td>Men</td> <td>0.34</td> <td>0.68</td> <td>1.37</td>	Arab, L.	Cohort study	United States	2011	4,809	3MSE/IQCODE	Men	0.34	0.68	1.37
Palacios, N. Cohort study United States 2012 112,122 Others Men 0.72 0.40 1.27 Chen, X., Y. Case-control study China 2012 5,691 MMSE – 0.82 0.68 1.00 Yang, B., Q. Case-control study China 2014 223 MMSE – 0.63 0.62 0.67 0.63 0.65 0.67 0.63 0.65 0.67 0.63 0.65 0.67 0.68 0.62 0.67 0.68 0.62 0.67 0.68 0.62 0.67 0.63 0.65 0.67 0.63 0.61 0.68 0.66 0.68 0.66 0.68 0.66 0.68 0.66 0.68 0.66 0.68 0.66 0.68 0.66 0.68 0.66 0.68 0.66 0.68 0.66 0.68 0.66 0.68 0.66 0.68 0.66 0.68 0.66 0.68 0.66 0.68 0.66 0.68 0.67 0						3MSE/IQCODE	Women	0.62	0.18	1.41
Chen, X, Y. Case-control study China 2012 5,691 MMSE - 0.82 0.82 0.63 Yang, B., Q. Case-control study China 2014 720 MMSE - 0.82 0.62 0.67 Wang, G. Cohort study China 2014 223 MMSE - 0.48 0.21 1.11 Noguchi-Shinohara, M. Cohort study Japan 2015 9.375 MMSE - 0.48 0.62 0.66 Shen, W. Corosr-sectional study China 2015 9.375 MMSE - 0.69 0.53 1.01 Tomata, Y (a). Cohort study Japan 2016 13.645 The Kihon Checklist - 0.73 0.61 0.87 Wang, T. Cohort study Japan 2016 14.402 The Kihon Checklist - 0.79 0.70 0.88 Wang, T. Cohort study China 2017 1.302 MMSE - 0.79 0.61	Palacios, N.	Cohort study	United States	2012	112,122	Others	Men	0.72	0.40	1.27
Chen, X., Y. Case-control study China 2012 5,691 MMSE - 0.82 0.68 1.00 Yang, B., Q. Case-control study China 2014 720 MMSE - 0.73 0.52 0.87 Wang, G. Cohort study Japa 2014 223 MMSE - 0.48 0.62 0.11 Noguchi-Shinohara, M. Cohort study Japa 2015 9,375 MMSE - 0.68 0.63 0.65 0.63 1.01 Shen, W. Cohort study China 2015 9,375 MMSE - 0.68 0.61 0.68 0.61 0.68 0.61 0.68 0.61 0.67 0.68 0.61 0.68 0.61 0.68 0.61 0.68 0.61 0.68 0.61 0.68 0.61 0.68 0.61 0.68 0.61 0.69 0.68 0.61 0.68 0.69 0.68 0.60 0.61 0.57 0.58 0.58						Others	Women	0.75	0.40	1.41
Yang, B., Q. Case-control study China 2014 720 MMSE - 0.73 0.52 0.87 Wang, G. Cohort study China 2014 223 MMSE - 0.48 0.21 1.11 Noguch:-Shinohara, M. Cohort study Japan 2015 9,375 MMSE - 0.48 0.62 0.63 0.64 Shen, W. Cross-sectional study China 2015 9,375 MMSE - 0.68 0.65 0.65 0.65 0.65 0.65 0.65 0.65 0.61 0.66 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.68 1.07 0.68 1.07 0.68 1.07 0.68 1.07 0.68 1.07 0.68 1.07 </td <td>Chen, X., Y.</td> <td>Case-control study</td> <td>China</td> <td>2012</td> <td>5,691</td> <td>MMSE</td> <td>-</td> <td>0.82</td> <td>0.68</td> <td>1.00</td>	Chen, X., Y.	Case-control study	China	2012	5,691	MMSE	-	0.82	0.68	1.00
Wang, G. Cohort study China 2014 223 MMSE - 0.48 0.21 1.11 Noguchi-Shinohara, M. Cohort study Japan 2014 490 CDR Green tea 0.32 0.16 0.64 Shen, W. Cross-sectional study China 2015 822 MMSE - 0.68 0.56 0.61	Yang, B., Q.	Case-control study	China	2014	720	MMSE	-	0.73	0.52	0.87
Noguchi-Shinohara, M. Cohort study Japan 2014 490 CDR Green tea 0.32 0.16 0.64 CDR Black tea 1.52 0.77 3.03 Shen, W. Cross-sectional study China 2015 9,375 MMSE - 0.68 0.59 0.35 10.10 Tomata, Y(a). Cohort study Japan 2016 13,645 The Kihon Checklist - 0.73 0.61 0.87 Yang, Y. Cohort study Japan 2016 14,402 The Kihon Checklist - 0.79 0.70 0.88 Wang, T. Cross-sectional study China 2017 1,302 MMSE - 0.74 0.57 0.88 Gu, Y. J. Cross-sectional study China 2018 2,622 Others - 0.74 0.57 0.83 1.105 Xu, H. Cohort study United States 2018 2,131 MoCA Green tea for men 0.62 0.61 0.44 0.85 </td <td>Wang, G.</td> <td>Cohort study</td> <td>China</td> <td>2014</td> <td>223</td> <td>MMSE</td> <td>-</td> <td>0.48</td> <td>0.21</td> <td>1.11</td>	Wang, G.	Cohort study	China	2014	223	MMSE	-	0.48	0.21	1.11
CDR Black tead 1.52 0.77 3.03 Shen, W. Cross-sectional study China 2015 9,375 MMSE - 0.68 0.64 0.68 Zeng, Y. Cohort study Japan 2016 13,645 The Kihon Checklist - 0.70 0.70 0.80 0.87 Tomata, Y (a). Cohort study Japan 2016 14,402 The Kihon Checklist - 0.70 0.70 0.80 Yang, T. Cross-sectional study China 2017 1,302 MMSE - 0.72 0.49 0.81 Gu, Y J. Cross-sectional study China 2017 4,749 MMSE - 0.74 0.57 0.98 Fischer, K. Cohort study China 2018 2,622 Others - 1.90 0.81 1.752 Ku, H. Cross-sectional study China 2018 2,814 MMCA Green tea for momen 0.82 0.58 1.16 MoCA <td< td=""><td>Noguchi-Shinohara, I</td><td>M. Cohort study</td><td>Japan</td><td>2014</td><td>490</td><td>CDR</td><td>Green tea</td><td>0.32</td><td>0.16</td><td>0.64</td></td<>	Noguchi-Shinohara, I	M. Cohort study	Japan	2014	490	CDR	Green tea	0.32	0.16	0.64
Shen, W. Cross-sectional study China 2015 9,375 MMSE - 0.68 0.54 0.65 Zeng, Y. Cohort study Japan 2016 822 MMSE - 0.59 0.35 1.01 Tomata, Y (a). Cohort study Japan 2016 13,645 The Kihon Checklist - 0.73 0.61 0.87 Tomata, Y (b). Cohort study Japan 2016 14,402 The Kihon Checklist - 0.73 0.61 0.87 Yang, T. Cross-sectional study China 2017 1,302 MMSE - 0.74 0.57 0.98 Gu, Y. J. Cross-sectional study China 2018 4,579 Others - 0.94 0.86 1.02 Fischer, K. Cohort study United States 2018 3,844 3MSE - 1.94 0.66 0.63 1.15 Xu, H. Cross-sectional study China 2018 2,131 MoCA Green tea for w						CDR	Black tea	1.52	0.77	3.03
Zeng, Y.Cohort studyChina2015822MMSE-0.590.0351.01Tomata, Y (a).Cohort studyJapan201613,645The Kihon Checklist-0.730.610.87Tomata, Y (b).Cohort studyJapan201614,402The Kihon Checklist-0.790.700.88Wang, T.Cross-sectional studyChina20171,302MMSE-0.720.491.07An, R.Cohort studyChina20174,749MMSE-0.940.6570.98Fischer, K.Cohort studyChina20184,579Others-0.740.6570.98Fischer, K.Cohort studyGermany20182,622Others-0.940.861.02Yu, H.Cross-sectional studyChina20182,131MoCAGreen tea for men0.660.460.93Xu, H.Cross-sectional studyChina20191,245MoCAGreen tea for men0.390.091.68MoCAColort studyJapan20201,305MMSE-0.610.440.83Kuang, S. Y.Cross-sectional studyChina20191,245Others-0.610.440.85Shirai, Y.Cohort studyJapan20202,923Others-0.610.440.86Shirai, Y.Cohort studyJapan20202,923Others- <td< td=""><td>Shen, W.</td><td>Cross-sectional study</td><td>China</td><td>2015</td><td>9,375</td><td>MMSE</td><td>-</td><td>0.68</td><td>0.54</td><td>0.86</td></td<>	Shen, W.	Cross-sectional study	China	2015	9,375	MMSE	-	0.68	0.54	0.86
Tomata, Y (a). Cohort study Japan 2016 13,645 The Kihon Checklist - 0.73 0.61 0.87 Tomata, Y (b). Cohort study Japan 2016 14,402 The Kihon Checklist - 0.79 0.70 0.88 Wang, T. Cross-sectional study China 2017 1,302 MMSE - 0.74 0.77 0.81 1.08 Gu, Y J. Cross-sectional study China 2017 4,749 MMSE - 0.74 0.57 0.98 Fischer, K. Cohort study Germany 2018 2,622 Others - 1.19 0.81 1.75 Xu, H. Cross-sectional study United States 2018 2,131 MoCA Green tea for men 0.66 0.46 0.93 Xu, H. Cross-sectional study China 2019 1,245 MoCA Green tea for men 0.62 0.61 1.49 MoCA Black tea for men 0.60 0.61 0.44 0.85<	Zeng, Y.	Cohort study	China	2015	822	MMSE	-	0.59	0.35	1.01
Tomata, Y (b). Cohort study Japan 2016 14,402 The Kihon Checklist - 0.79 0.70 0.88 Wang, T. Cross-sectional study China 2017 1,302 MMSE - 0.72 0.49 1.07 An, R. Cohort study China 2017 4,749 MMSE - 0.94 0.81 1.08 Gu, Y. J. Cross-sectional study China 2018 4,579 Others - 0.94 0.86 1.02 Fischer, K. Cohort study Germany 2018 2,622 Others - 0.94 0.86 1.02 Feng, L Cohort study United States 2018 2,131 MoCA Green tea for women 0.68 0.168 1.16 Xu, H. Cross-sectional study China 2019 1,245 MoCA Green tea for women 0.62 0.024 1.12 MoCA Dolong tea for women 0.60 0.33 2.72 Chuang, S. Y. <	Tomata, Y (a).	Cohort study	Japan	2016	13,645	The Kihon Checklist	-	0.73	0.61	0.87
Wang, T. Cross-sectional study China 2017 1,302 MMSE - 0.72 0.49 1.07 An, R. Cohort study China 2017 4,749 MMSE - 0.94 0.81 1.08 Gu, Y. J. Cross-sectional study China 2018 4,579 Others - 0.74 0.57 0.98 Fischer, K. Cohort study Germany 2018 2,622 Others - 0.94 0.86 1.02 Feng, L. Cohort study United States 2018 2,844 3MSE - 1.19 0.81 1.75 Xu, H. Cross-sectional study China 2018 2,131 MoCA Green tea for women 0.82 0.68 1.16 MoCA Black tea for women 0.52 0.24 1.12 MoCA Oolong tea for women 0.52 0.24 1.12 MoCA Oolong tea for women 0.60 0.61 2.72 Chuang, S. Y. <td< td=""><td>Tomata, Y (b).</td><td>Cohort study</td><td>Japan</td><td>2016</td><td>14,402</td><td>The Kihon Checklist</td><td>-</td><td>0.79</td><td>0.70</td><td>0.88</td></td<>	Tomata, Y (b).	Cohort study	Japan	2016	14,402	The Kihon Checklist	-	0.79	0.70	0.88
An, R. Cohort study China 2017 4,749 MMSE - 0.94 0.81 1.08 Gu, Y. J. Cross-sectional study China 2018 4,579 Others - 0.74 0.57 0.98 Fischer, K. Cohort study Germany 2018 2,622 Others - 0.94 0.86 1.02 Feng, L. Cohort study United States 2018 3,844 3MSE - 1.19 0.81 1.75 Xu, H. Cross-sectional study China 2018 2,131 MoCA Green tea for men 0.66 0.46 0.93 MoCA Black tea for men 0.74 0.37 1.49 MoCA Black tea for men 0.52 0.24 1.12 MoCA Oolong tea for men 0.39 0.09 1.68 Shirai, Y. Cross-sectional study China 2019 1,245 MMSE - 0.61 0.44 0.55 Shirai, Y. Cohort st	Wang, T.	Cross-sectional study	China	2017	1,302	MMSE	-	0.72	0.49	1.07
Gu, Y. J. Cross-sectional study China 2018 4,579 Others - 0.74 0.57 0.98 Fischer, K. Cohort study Germany 2018 2,622 Others - 0.94 0.86 1.02 Feng, L. Cohort study United States 2018 3,844 3MSE - 1.19 0.81 1.75 Xu, H. Cross-sectional study China 2018 2,131 MoCA Green tea for men 0.66 0.46 0.93 MoCA Green tea for women 0.82 0.58 1.16 MoCA Black tea for men 0.62 0.24 1.12 MoCA Black tea for women 0.52 0.24 1.12 MoCA Dolong tea for women 0.52 0.24 1.12 MoCA Oolong tea for women 0.60 0.13 2.72 Chuang, S. Y. Cross-sectional study Japan 2020 1,305 MMSE - 0.61 0.44 0.85 Shirai, Y. Cohort study Japan 2020 2,923 Others	An, R.	Cohort study	China	2017	4,749	MMSE	-	0.94	0.81	1.08
Fischer, K. Cohort study Germany 2018 2,622 Others - 0.94 0.86 1.02 Feng, L. Cohort study United States 2018 3,844 3MSE - 1.19 0.81 1.75 Xu, H. Cross-sectional study China 2018 2,131 MoCA Green tea for men 0.66 0.46 0.93 MacA Green tea for women 0.82 0.58 1.16 MoCA Black tea for men 0.74 0.37 1.49 MoCA Black tea for men 0.52 0.24 1.12 MoCA Olong tea for men 0.39 0.09 1.68 MoCA Olong tea for men 0.39 0.09 1.68 MoCA Oolong tea for men 0.39 0.09 1.68 Shirai, Y. Cohort study Japan 2020 1,305 MMSE - 0.61 0.44 0.85 Shirai, Y. Cohort study Japan 2020 2,923 Ot	Gu, Y. J.	Cross-sectional study	China	2018	4,579	Others	-	0.74	0.57	0.98
Feng, L. Cohort study United States 2018 3,844 3MSE - 1.19 0.81 1.75 Xu, H. Cross-sectional study China 2018 2,131 MoCA Green tea for men 0.66 0.46 0.93 Xu, H. Cross-sectional study China 2018 2,131 MoCA Green tea for men 0.66 0.46 0.93 MoCA Black tea for men 0.74 0.37 1.49 MoCA Black tea for men 0.52 0.24 1.12 MoCA Oolong tea for men 0.39 0.09 1.68 MoCA Oolong tea for men 0.39 0.09 1.68 MoCA Oolong tea for men 0.39 0.09 1.68 MoCA Oolong tea for men 0.60 0.13 2.72 Chuang, S. Y. Cohort study Japan 2020 1,305 MMSE - 0.70 0.52 0.94 Matsuyama, S. Cohort study Japan 2020 2,	Fischer, K.	Cohort study	Germany	2018	2,622	Others	-	0.94	0.86	1.02
Xu, H. Cross-sectional study China 2018 2,131 MoCA Green tea for men 0.66 0.46 0.93 MucA Green tea for women 0.82 0.58 1.16 MoCA Black tea for women 0.82 0.58 1.16 MoCA Black tea for women 0.74 0.37 1.49 MoCA Black tea for women 0.52 0.24 1.12 MoCA Oolong tea for men 0.39 0.09 1.68 MoCA Oolong tea for men 0.60 0.13 2.72 Chuang, S. Y. Cross-sectional study China 2019 1,245 Others - 0.61 0.44 0.85 Shirai, Y. Cohort study Japan 2020 1,305 MMSE - 0.70 0.52 0.94 Matsuyama, S. Cohort study Japan 2020 2,923 Others - 0.77 0.61 0.98 Qian, Yu-Xi Cross-sectional study China 2020	Feng, L.	Cohort study	United States	2018	3,844	3MSE	-	1.19	0.81	1.75
MoCA Green tea for women 0.82 0.58 1.16 MoCA Black tea for women 0.74 0.37 1.49 MoCA Black tea for women 0.52 0.24 1.12 MoCA Black tea for women 0.52 0.24 1.12 MoCA Oolong tea for women 0.60 0.13 2.72 Chuang, S. Y. Cross-sectional study China 2019 1,245 Others - 0.61 0.44 0.85 Shirai, Y. Cohort study Japan 2020 1,305 MMSE - 0.70 0.52 0.94 Matsuyama, S. Cohort study Japan 2020 2,923 Others - 0.77 0.61 0.98 Qian, Yu-Xi Cross-sectional study China 2020 4,579 Others - 0.66 0.48 0.90 Wang, Z. Cross-sectional study China 2020 625 MMSE - 0.29 0.18 0.45 Huang,	Xu, H.	Cross-sectional study	China	2018	2,131	MoCA	Green tea for men	0.66	0.46	0.93
MoCA Black tea for men 0.74 0.37 1.49 MoCA Black tea for men 0.52 0.24 1.12 MoCA Dolong tea for men 0.39 0.09 1.68 MoCA Oolong tea for men 0.30 0.09 1.68 MoCA Oolong tea for men 0.60 0.13 2.72 Chuang, S. Y. Cross-sectional study China 2019 1,245 Others - 0.61 0.44 0.85 Shirai, Y. Cohort study Japan 2020 1,305 MMSE - 0.70 0.52 0.94 Matsuyama, S. Cohort study Japan 2020 2,923 Others - 0.77 0.61 0.98 Qian, Yu-Xi Cross-sectional study China 2020 4,579 Others - 0.66 0.48 0.90 Wang, Z. Cross-sectional study China 2020 625 MMSE - 0.29 0.18 0.45 Huang, W. C. </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>MoCA</td> <td>Green tea for women</td> <td>0.82</td> <td>0.58</td> <td>1.16</td>						MoCA	Green tea for women	0.82	0.58	1.16
MoCA Black tea for women 0.52 0.24 1.12 MoCA Oolong tea for women 0.39 0.09 1.68 MoCA Oolong tea for women 0.60 0.13 2.72 Chuang, S. Y. Cross-sectional study China 2019 1,245 Others - 0.61 0.44 0.85 Shirai, Y. Cohort study Japan 2020 1,305 MMSE - 0.70 0.52 0.94 Matsuyama, S. Cohort study Japan 2020 2,923 Others - 0.77 0.61 0.98 Qian, Yu-Xi Cross-sectional study China 2020 4,579 Others - 0.66 0.48 0.90 Wang, Z. Cross-sectional study China 2020 625 MMSE - 0.29 0.18 0.45 Huang, W. C. Cross-sectional study China 2021 1,115 MMSE - 0.23 0.75 6.68						MoCA	Black tea for men	0.74	0.37	1.49
MoCA Oolong tea for men 0.39 0.09 1.68 MoCA Oolong tea for mom 0.60 0.13 2.72 Chuang, S. Y. Cross-sectional study China 2019 1,245 Others - 0.61 0.44 0.85 Shirai, Y. Cohort study Japan 2020 1,305 MMSE - 0.70 0.52 0.94 Matsuyama, S. Cohort study Japan 2020 2,923 Others - 0.77 0.61 0.98 Qian, Yu-Xi Cross-sectional study China 2020 4,579 Others - 0.66 0.48 0.90 Wang, Z. Cross-sectional study China 2020 625 MMSE - 0.29 0.18 0.45 Huang, W. C. Cross-sectional study China 2021 1,115 MMSE - 2.23 0.75 6.68						MoCA	Black tea for women	0.52	0.24	1.12
MoCA Oolong tea for women 0.60 0.13 2.72 Chuang, S. Y. Cross-sectional study China 2019 1,245 Others – 0.61 0.44 0.85 Shirai, Y. Cohort study Japan 2020 1,305 MMSE – 0.70 0.52 0.94 Matsuyama, S. Cohort study Japan 2020 2,923 Others – 0.77 0.61 0.98 Qian, Yu–Xi Cross-sectional study China 2020 4,579 Others – 0.66 0.48 0.90 Wang, Z. Cross-sectional study China 2020 625 MMSE – 0.29 0.18 0.45 Huang, W. C. Cross-sectional study China 2021 1,115 MMSE – 2.23 0.75 6.68						MoCA	Oolong tea for men	0.39	0.09	1.68
Chuang, S. Y. Cross-sectional study China 2019 1,245 Others - 0.61 0.44 0.85 Shirai, Y. Cohort study Japan 2020 1,305 MMSE - 0.70 0.52 0.94 Matsuyama, S. Cohort study Japan 2020 2,923 Others - 0.77 0.61 0.98 Qian, Yu-Xi Cross-sectional study China 2020 4,579 Others - 0.66 0.48 0.90 Wang, Z. Cross-sectional study China 2020 625 MMSE - 0.29 0.18 0.45 Huang, W. C. Cross-sectional study China 2021 1,115 MMSE - 2.23 0.75 6.68						MoCA	Oolong tea for women	0.60	0.13	2.72
Shirai, Y. Cohort study Japan 2020 1,305 MMSE - 0.70 0.52 0.94 Matsuyama, S. Cohort study Japan 2020 2,923 Others - 0.77 0.61 0.98 Qian, Yu-Xi Cross-sectional study China 2020 4,579 Others - 0.66 0.48 0.90 Wang, Z. Cross-sectional study China 2020 625 MMSE - 0.29 0.18 0.45 Huang, W. C. Cross-sectional study China 2021 1,115 MMSE - 2.23 0.75 6.68	Chuang, S. Y.	Cross-sectional study	China	2019	1,245	Others	_	0.61	0.44	0.85
Matsuyama, S. Cohort study Japan 2020 2,923 Others - 0.77 0.61 0.98 Qian, Yu-Xi Cross-sectional study China 2020 4,579 Others - 0.66 0.48 0.90 Wang, Z. Cross-sectional study China 2020 625 MMSE - 0.29 0.18 0.45 Huang, W. C. Cross-sectional study China 2021 1,115 MMSE - 2.23 0.75 6.68	Shirai, Y.	Cohort study	Japan	2020	1,305	MMSE	-	0.70	0.52	0.94
Qian, Yu–Xi Cross-sectional study China 2020 4,579 Others - 0.66 0.48 0.90 Wang, Z. Cross-sectional study China 2020 625 MMSE - 0.29 0.18 0.45 Huang, W. C. Cross-sectional study China 2021 1,115 MMSE - 2.23 0.75 6.68	Matsuyama, S.	Cohort study	Japan	2020	2,923	Others	-	0.77	0.61	0.98
Wang, Z. Cross-sectional study China 2020 625 MMSE - 0.29 0.18 0.45 Huang, W. C. Cross-sectional study China 2021 1,115 MMSE - 2.23 0.75 6.68	Qian, Yu–Xi	Cross-sectional study	China	2020	4,579	Others	-	0.66	0.48	0.90
Huang, W. C. Cross-sectional study China 2021 1,115 MMSE – 2.23 0.75 6.68	Wang, Z.	Cross-sectional study	China	2020	625	MMSE	_	0.29	0.18	0.45
	Huang, W. C.	Cross-sectional study	China	2021	1,115	MMSE	-	2.23	0.75	6.68

CDR, Clinical Dementia Rating; DECO, the "Détérioration Cognitive Observeé" (observeé cognitive deterioration) questionnaire; IQCODE, informant questionnaire on cognitive decline in the elderly; LCI, lower confidence limit; MMSE: Mini–Mental State Examination; OR, odds ratio; RR, relative risk; U.S., The United States; UK, The United Kingdom; UCI, upper confidence limit; 3MS, the modified Mini-Mental State.

dose-response association confirmed that drinking tea markedly decreased the main outcome of cognitive disorders in older adults, especially green tea drinking.

Previous meta-analyses (Greenland, 1987; Ono et al., 2003; Li et al., 2012; Kim et al., 2015; Yamada et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2017; Ran et al., 2021) concluded that tea drinking is associated with cognitive disorders, which is consistent with the results in the present study. Caffeine is an important component in tea. Many experimental studies have shown the benefits of caffeine on cognitive function (Greenland, 1987). Other beneficial elements in tea, such as polyphenols, have anti-amyloidogenic effects (Alexander et al., 2009) and could be a key molecule for the development of preventives and therapeutics for cognitive disorders (Ono et al., 2003; Yamada et al., 2015).

Previous meta-analyses (Qi and Li, 2014; Liu et al., 2017) did not study the subgroup analysis association between tea drinking

D. D. F		1.07
D P Forster (1995)		1.37
Applie Paganini-Hill (2001)		0.95
Annia Paganin-Fili (2001)		2.57
Shinichi Kuriyama (2006)		2.43
Oi Dai MDBhD (2006)		0.66
Chang-Quan Huang (men) (2009)		0.00
Chang-Quan Huang (men) (2009)	0.96 (0.38, 2.44)	0.56
Fha Nurk (2009)	0.58 (0.49, 0.94)	2 71
Mario H. Eskelinen (2009)	1.04 (0.59, 1.84)	1.44
Marie-Noël Vercambre(Recent cognitive decline) (2009)	0.96 (0.78, 1.19)	3.53
Marie–Noël Vercambre(Recent cognitive decime) (2009)	0.90 (0.74, 1.09)	3.67
Yu-Hui Yao (2010)	0.57 (0.42, 0.77)	2.83
Keiko Tanaka (2011)	0.59 (0.35, 1.00)	1.60
Ming-Shiang Wu (2011)	0.99 (0.75, 1.30)	3.04
X. Chen (2012)	0.82 (0.68, 0.99)	3.67
Natalia Palacios, Sc.D.(men) (2012)	0.72 (0.40, 1.28)	1.40
Natalia Palacios, Sc.D.(women) (2012)	0.75 (0.40, 1.41)	1.24
Lenore Arab(men) (2013)	0.34 (0.24, 0.48)	2.51
Lenore Arab(women) (2013)	0.62 (0.22, 1.74)	0.56
Baoqin Yang (2014)	0.73 (0.56, 0.94)	3.17
Moeko Noguchi-Shinohara(green tea) (2014)	0.32 (0.16, 0.64)	1.08
Moeko Noguchi-Shinohara(black tea) (2014)	1.52 (0.77, 3.02)	1.10
Yi Zeng (2014)	0.59 (0.35, 1.00)	1.58
Gang Wang (2014)	0.48 (0.21, 1.10)	0.80
Wei Shen (2015)	0.68 (0.54, 0.86)	3.36
K. Ritchie, (2007)	0.81 (0.65, 1.01)	3.42
Yasutake Tomata (2016)	0.79 (0.70, 0.89)	4.23
Yasutake Tomata (2016)	0.73 (0.61, 0.87)	3.79
Tao Wang (2017)	0.72 (0.49, 1.06)	2.26
Ying–Jie Gu (2017)	0.74 (0.56, 0.97)	3.07
Ruopeng An (2017)	0.94 (0.81, 1.09)	4.04
hua Xu(Green tea for men) (2018)	0.66 (0.46, 0.94)	2.50
hua Xu(Green tea for women) (2018)	0.82 (0.58, 1.16)	2.53
hua Xu(Black tea for men) (2018)	0.74 (0.37, 1.48)	1.07
hua Xu(Black tea for women) (2018)	0.52 (0.24, 1.12)	0.91
hua Xu(Oolong tea for men) (2018)	0.39 (0.09, 1.68)	0.29
hua Xu(Oolong tea for women) (2018)	0.60 (0.13, 2.74)	0.27
Lei Feng (2018)	1.19 (0.81, 1.75)	2.29
Karina Fischer (2018)	0.94 (0.86, 1.02)	4.39
Shao-Yuan Chuang PhD (2019)	0.61 (0.44, 0.85)	2.65
Yoshiro Shirai (2019)	0.70 (0.52, 0.94)	2.88
Yu-XI QIAN (2020)		2.75
Zongqiu wang (2020)	0.29 (0.18, 0.46)	1.89
Sanae Matsuyama (2020)	0.77 (0.61, 0.98)	3.33
wei-Uning riving (2021) Overall DL $(l^2 = 64.0\% + n = 0.000)$		100.00
Overall, DL ($I = 64.9\%$, $\beta = 0.000$)	0.78 (0.70, 0.82)	100.00
	I I I 125 1 8	
NOTE: Weights are from random-effects model		

and cognitive disorders. In addition, some meta-analyses (Kim et al., 2015; Ma et al., 2016) did not examine the dose-response association between tea drinking and cognitive disorders. Most recently, a meta-analysis (Ran et al., 2021) showed that tea drinking has a linear association with morbidity and cognitive deficits. The results (Ran et al., 2021) showed that drinking 1 cup of tea per day leads to a 6% reduction in cognitive deficits morbidity, whereas 2 cups per day leads to an 11% decrease. No subgroup analysis was performed in this study, however (Ran et al., 2021). Moreover, the outcomes included in this meta-analysis (Ran et al., 2021) were MCI, AD, or dementia, and there was no outcome on PD, and cognitive decline. Another previous meta-analysis (Li et al., 2012) reported an association between tea drinking and PD. However, this meta-analysis only included PD as the outcome (Li et al., 2012). Overall, few previous meta-analysis studies have explored the associations between tea drinking and different kinds of cognitive disorders including MCI, dementia, AD, and PD. Thus, we conducted the present meta-analysis with the recently updated data among older adults as a multidimensional evaluation of the dose-response association between tea drinking and cognitive outcomes. Moreover, subgroup analyses were also conducted.

Cognitive disorders include a cluster of diseases (Battle, 2013; Gong et al., 2019), such as MCI, PD, AD, and dementia. The severity of these diseases differs (Roberts and Knopman, 2013; Masters et al., 2015; Tysnes and Storstein, 2017; World Health Organization, 2020). At the same time, the methods for measuring and defining diseases are different among studies

TABLE 2 Combined results of subgroup analysis of tea drinking and cognitive function.

Subgroup analysis	Pooled OR (95%Cl), <i>P</i> -value for the heterogeneity <i>Q</i> -test, <i>I</i> ² statistics (%), number of estimates in included studies (n)					
_	Ν	Risk estimates of cognitive disorder				
Study design						
Cohort	16	0.77 (0.69–0.87); <i>I</i> ² = 72.2%, <i>P</i> = 0.000				
Cross-sectional	13	0.69 (0.61–0.79); <i>I</i> ² = 41.6%, <i>P</i> = 0.030				
Case-control	7	0.87 (0.69–1.09); <i>I</i> ² = 63.1%, <i>P</i> = 0.012				
Type of tea drin	king					
Green tea	9	0.75 (0.66–0.84); I ² = 64.8%, P = 0.002				
Black tea	4	0.86 (0.58–1.28); <i>I</i> ² = 55.6%, <i>P</i> = 0.061				
Study populatio	n					
Chinese	16	0.70 (0.62–0.79); <i>I</i> ² = 55.3%, <i>P</i> = 0.001				
Japanese	7	0.73 (0.63–0.83); $l^2 = 45.7\%$, $P = 0.075$				
North American	7	0.83 (0.59–1.17); <i>I</i> ² = 79.8%, <i>P</i> = 0.000				
European	6	0.91 (0.83–0.99); $l^2 = 16.9\%$, $P = 0.301$				
Type of cognitiv	e disorders					
Cognitive impairment	18	0.73 (0.65–0.82); <i>l</i> ² = 65.7%, <i>P</i> = 0.000				
Dementia	14	0.85 (0.76–0.95); <i>I</i> ² = 61.9%, <i>P</i> = 0.001				
PD	4	0.73 (0.50–1.08); $l^2 = 61.1\%$, $P = 0.036$				
Cognitive decline	6	0.71 (0.53–0.96); <i>l</i> ² = 81.4%, <i>P</i> = 0.000				
Type of disease	assessment					
MMSE	20	0.70 (0.63–0.79); <i>I</i> ² = 55.6%, <i>P</i> = 0.000				
3MSE	3	$0.74 (0.37 - 1.49); I^2 = 90.0\%, P = 0.000$				
MoCA	2	0.71 (0.59–0.86); <i>I</i> ² = 0.0%, <i>P</i> = 0.904				
The Kihon Checklist	3	0.77 (0.70–0.85); <i>l</i> ² = 0.0%, <i>P</i> = 0.464				
CDR	2	0.51 (0.19–1.40); <i>I</i> ² = 87.9%, <i>P</i> = 0.000				
IQCODE	1	0.37 (0.24–0.58); <i>I</i> ² = 14.8%, <i>P</i> = 0.279				
DECO score	1	0.93 (0.80–1.07); $I^2 = 0.0\%$, $P = 0.659$				
Others	14	$0.79(0.69-0.92)$; $l^2 = 62.9\%$, $P = 0.001$				

CDR, Clinical Dementia Rating; DECO, the "Détérioration Cognitive Observeé" (observed cognitive deterioration) questionnaire; IQCODE, informant questionnaire on cognitive decline in the elderly; MMSE: Mini–Mental State Examination; MoCA, Montreal Cognitive Assessment; PD, Parkinson's disease; 3MSE, the modified Mini-Mental State.

(Ciesielska et al., 2016). Therefore, we conducted subgroup analyses according to types of cognitive disorders and disease assessment. In addition, different types of tea have different ingredients and different health effects (Mhatre et al., 2021). Different regions have different drinking habits and prefer different types of tea (Cabrera et al., 2006; Cheng, 2006; Chacko et al., 2010; Johnson et al., 2012). Therefore, we conducted subgroup analyses according to types of tea drinking and the study population. Finally, the study design (Mann, 2003) affects the reliability of the results. Thus, a subgroup analysis according to different study designs was performed.

The subgroup analysis showed that drinking tea was associated with cognitive impairment, cognitive decline, and dementia, but no apparent association was found in the outcome of PD. This finding may be partially attributed to the limited evidence







between tea drinking and PD in the studies we included. The subgroup analysis showed that drinking tea may be associated with cognitive disorders among Chinese, Japanese, and European subgroups, but no such association was found in the North American subgroup. We speculate that the main reason for this finding may be related to the different kinds of popular tea in different areas. For example, North Americans prefer black tea to green tea. The nutritional and functional components of black and green teas are not quite the same. For example, the levels of catechin (ECGC) are highest in green tea, followed by black tea (Lin et al., 2003). Moreover, green tea contains ascorbic acid and a high intake of ascorbic acid is related to a reduced risk of AD (Engelhart et al., 2002); however, black tea does not contain ascorbic acid (Noguchi-Shinohara et al., 2014). This finding was also confirmed by our subgroup analysis which showed green tea, but not black tea, was negatively associated with cognitive disorders. Subgroup analysis also showed that the type of disease assessment can have an impact on the outcome. Future studies should take into consideration the type of assessment used when assessing different cognitive disorders.

The advantages of this meta-analysis were as follows: First, the symmetric distribution included in the study enhanced the reliability of the statistical analysis. Second, the literature included in our meta-analysis was of medium-to-high quality, which made the result more stable. Third, this meta-analysis included as much literature as possible (Kim et al., 2015), which not only expanded the sample population, but also added the subgroup analysis, which enhanced the evidence strength of the study. Finally, the dose-response analysis improved the quality and intensity of our findings.

The present meta-analysis had some potential limitations. First, we detected slight heterogeneity in the study, which could be interpreted by differences in population source, study design, tea drinking type, cognitive disorders detection, and analysis strategies. Second, some important confounding factors were not adjusted in the initial study, such as lifestyle (diet and interest), and some related conditions (urinary disease and dyslipidemia). Therefore, we could not rule out confounders, such as accidental, residual, or unmeasured factors, which may have caused our results to be biased. Third, in most of the studies included, the data of tea drinking came from self-administered questionnaires, which inevitably lead to misclassification. Fourth, although the result was derived from a few studies, the studies were not comprehensive in terms of tea subtype, study population, study type, assessment type, and disease type, which may have led to unstable or limited secondary analysis results. Finally, we treated HR/RR equal to OR according to the published studies (Li et al., 2012; Ran et al., 2021) which may have affected the results. A previous study (Bigby, 2000) suggested that using the OR as

REFERENCES

- Alexander, D. D., Cushing, C. A., Lowe, K. A., Sceurman, B., and Roberts, M. A. (2009). Meta-analysis of animal fat or animal protein intake and colorectal cancer. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 89, 1402–1409. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.2008.2 6838
- Battle, D. E. (2013). Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (DSM). Codas 25, 191–192.
- Biasibetti, R., Tramontina, A. C., Costa, A. P., Dutra, M. F., Quincozes-Santos, A., Nardin, P., et al. (2013). Green tea (-)epigallocatechin-3-gallate reverses oxidative stress and reduces acetylcholinesterase activity in a

an approximation of the RR produces progressively larger errors as the outcome rate rises above 1%.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, a total of 36 independent observational studies were included and supported that tea drinking is inversely proportional and linear associated with the occurrence of cognitive disorders in older adults. Future randomized controlled trials of tea (not tea extract) are needed to confirm our results.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

MS: conceptualization, formal analysis, visualization, and writing-original draft. LC: writing-review and editing, and supervision. HL, YZho, and YZha: writing-review and editing. YX: conceptualization, resources, writing-review and editing, supervision, and funding acquisition. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 81903302), Young Elite Scientists Sponsorship Program by China Association for Science and Technology (grant no. YESS20200151), and 345 Talent Project of Shengjing Hospital of China Medical University (grant no. M0294).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnagi. 2022.845053/full#supplementary-material

streptozotocin-induced model of dementia. Behav. Brain Res. 236, 186-193. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2012.08.039

- Bigby, M. (2000). Odds ratios and relative risks. Arch. Dermatol. 136, 770-771.
- Cabrera, C., Artacho, R., and Giménez, R. (2006). Beneficial effects of green tea-a review. J. Am. Coll. Nutr. 25, 79-99. doi: 10.1080/07315724.2006.10719518
- Chacko, S. M., Thambi, P. T., Kuttan, R., and Nishigaki, I. (2010). Beneficial effects of green tea: a literature review. *Chin. Med.* 5:13. doi: 10.1186/1749-8546-5-13
- Chen, S. Q., Wang, Z. S., Ma, Y. X., Zhang, W., Lu, J. L., Liang, Y. R., et al. (2018). Neuroprotective effects and mechanisms of tea bioactive components in neurodegenerative diseases. *Molecules* 23:512. doi: 10.3390/molecules230 30512

- Cheng, T. O. (2006). All teas are not created equal: the Chinese green tea and cardiovascular health. *Int. J. Cardiol.* 108, 301–308. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2005. 05.038
- Ciesielska, N., Sokołowski, R., Mazur, E., Podhorecka, M., Polak-Szabela, A., and Kędziora-Kornatowska, K. (2016). Is the montreal cognitive assessment (MoCA) test better suited than the mini-mental state examination (MMSE) in mild cognitive impairment (MCI) detection among people aged over 60? meta-analysis. *Psychiatr. Pol.* 50, 1039–1052. doi: 10.12740/PP/4 5368
- Engelhart, M. J., Geerlings, M. I., Ruitenberg, A., van Swieten, J. C., Hofman, A., Witteman, J. C., et al. (2002). Dietary intake of antioxidants and risk of Alzheimer disease. JAMA 287, 3223–3229. doi: 10.1001/jama.287.24.3223
- Feng, L., Li, J., Ng, T. P., Lee, T. S., Kua, E. H., and Zeng, Y. (2012). Tea drinking and cognitive function in oldest-old Chinese. J. Nutr. Health Aging 16, 754–758. doi: 10.1007/s12603-012-0077-1
- Forster, D. P., Newens, A. J., Kay, D. W., and Edwardson, J. A. (1995). Risk factors in clinically diagnosed presenile dementia of the Alzheimer type: a case-control study in northern England. *J. Epidemiol. Community Health* 49, 253–258. doi: 10.1136/jech.49.3.253
- Gardner, E. J., Ruxton, C. H., and Leeds, A. R. (2007). Black tea–helpful or harmful? A review of the evidence. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* 61, 3–18. doi: 10.1038/sj.ejcn. 1602489
- Gong, Z., Wang, S., and Chen, W. (2019). Current situation and trend of rehabilitation for cognitive impairment. *West China Med. J.* 34, 487–493.
- Greenland, S. (1987). Quantitative methods in the review of epidemiologic literature. *Epidemiol. Rev.* 9, 1–30. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.epirev.a03 6298
- Huang, C. Q., Dong, B. R., Zhang, Y. L., Wu, H. M., and Liu, Q. X. (2009). Association of cognitive impairment with smoking, alcohol consumption, tea consumption, and exercise among Chinese nonagenarians/centenarians. *Cogn. Behav. Neurol.* 22, 190–196. doi: 10.1097/WNN.0b013e3181b2790b
- Ide, K., Yamada, H., Takuma, N., Park, M., Wakamiya, N., Nakase, J., et al. (2014). Green tea consumption affects cognitive dysfunction in the elderly: a pilot study. *Nutrients* 6, 4032–4042. doi: 10.3390/nu6104032
- Jia, L., Du, Y., Chu, L., Zhang, Z., Li, F., Lyu, D., et al. (2020). Prevalence, risk factors, and management of dementia and mild cognitive impairment in adults aged 60 years or older in China: a cross-sectional study. *Lancet Public Health* 5, e661–e671. doi: 10.1016/S2468-2667(20)30185-7
- Johnson, R., Bryant, S., and Huntley, A. L. (2012). Green tea and green tea catechin extracts: an overview of the clinical evidence. *Maturitas* 73, 280–287. doi: 10.1016/j.maturitas.2012.08.008
- Kim, T. I., Lee, Y. K., Park, S. G., Choi, I. S., Ban, J. O., Park, H. K., et al. (2009). I-Theanine, an amino acid in green tea, attenuates beta-amyloid-induced cognitive dysfunction and neurotoxicity: reduction in oxidative damage and inactivation of ERK/p38 kinase and NF-kappaB pathways. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 47, 1601–1610. doi: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2009.09.008
- Kim, Y. S., Kwak, S. M., and Myung, S. K. (2015). Caffeine intake from coffee or tea and cognitive disorders: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *Neuroepidemiology* 44, 51–63. doi: 10.1159/000371710
- Laudisio, A., Marzetti, E., Pagano, F., Cocchi, A., Franceshi, C., Bernabei, R., et al. (2008). Association of metabolic syndrome with cognitive function: the role of sex and age. *Clin. Nutr.* 27, 747–754. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2008.07.001
- Lee, J. W., Lee, Y. K., Ban, J. O., Ha, T. Y., Yun, Y. P., Han, S. B., et al. (2009). Green tea (-)-epigallocatechin-3-gallate inhibits beta-amyloid-induced cognitive dysfunction through modification of secretase activity via inhibition of ERK and NF-kappaB pathways in mice. J. Nutr. 139, 1987–1993. doi: 10.3945/ jn.109.109785
- Lee, Y. J., Choi, D. Y., Yun, Y. P., Han, S. B., Oh, K. W., and Hong, J. (2013). Epigallocatechin-3-gallate prevents systemic inflammation-induced memory deficiency and amyloidogenesis via its anti-neuroinflammatory properties. *J. Nutr. Biochem.* 24, 298–310. doi: 10.1016/j.jnutbio.2012.06.011
- Li, F. J., Ji, H. F., and Shen, L. (2012). A meta-analysis of tea drinking and risk of Parkinson's disease. *ScientificWorldJournal* 2012:923464. doi: 10.1100/2012/ 923464
- Lin, Y. S., Tsai, Y. J., Tsay, J. S., and Lin, J. K. (2003). Factors affecting the levels of tea polyphenols and caffeine in tea leaves. J. Agric Food Chem. 51, 1864–1873. doi: 10.1021/jf021066b

- Lindsay, J., Laurin, D., Verreault, R., Hébert, R., Helliwell, B., Hill, G. B., et al. (2002). Risk factors for Alzheimer's disease: a prospective analysis from the Canadian study of health and aging. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 156, 445–453. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwf074
- Liu, X., Du, X., Han, G., and Gao, W. (2017). Association between tea consumption and risk of cognitive disorders: a dose-response meta-analysis of observational studies. *Oncotarget* 8, 43306–43321. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.1 7429
- Ma, Q. P., Huang, C., Cui, Q. Y., Yang, D. J., Sun, K., Chen, X., et al. (2016). Metaanalysis of the association between tea intake and the risk of cognitive disorders. *PLoS One* 11:e0165861. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.016586
- Mandel, S., and Youdim, M. B. (2004). Catechin polyphenols: neurodegeneration and neuroprotection in neurodegenerative diseases. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 37, 304–317. doi: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2004.04.012
- Mandel, S. A., Amit, T., Weinreb, O., Reznichenko, L., and Youdim, M. B. (2008). Simultaneous manipulation of multiple brain targets by green tea catechins: a potential neuroprotective strategy for Alzheimer and Parkinson diseases. *CNS Neurosci. Ther.* 14, 352–365. doi: 10.1111/j.1755-5949.2008.00 060.x
- Mandel, S. A., Avramovich-Tirosh, Y., Reznichenko, L., Zheng, H., Weinreb, O., Amit, T., et al. (2005). Multifunctional activities of green tea catechins in neuroprotection. Modulation of cell survival genes, iron-dependent oxidative stress and PKC signaling pathway. *Neurosignals* 14, 46–60. doi: 10.1159/ 000085385
- Mann, C. J. (2003). Observational research methods. Research design II: cohort, cross sectional, and case-control studies. *Emerg. Med. J.* 20, 54–60. doi: 10.1136/ emj.20.1.54
- Masters, C. L., Bateman, R., Blennow, K., Rowe, C. C., Sperling, R. A., and Cummings, J. L. (2015). Alzheimer's disease. Nat. Rev. Dis. Primers 1:15056.
- Mhatre, S., Srivastava, T., Naik, S., and Patravale, V. (2021). Antiviral activity of green tea and black tea polyphenols in prophylaxis and treatment of COVID-19: a review. *Phytomedicine* 85:153286. doi: 10.1016/j.phymed.2020.15 3286
- Noguchi-Shinohara, M., Yuki, S., Dohmoto, C., Ikeda, Y., Samuraki, M., Iwasa, K., et al. (2014). Consumption of green tea, but not black tea or coffee, is associated with reduced risk of cognitive decline. *PLoS One* 9:e96013. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0096013
- Ono, K., Yoshiike, Y., Takashima, A., Hasegawa, K., Naiki, H., and Yamada, M. (2003). Potent anti-amyloidogenic and fibril-destabilizing effects of polyphenols in vitro: implications for the prevention and therapeutics of Alzheimer's disease. J. Neurochem. 87, 172–181. doi: 10.1046/j.1471-4159.2003. 01976.x
- Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., et al. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ* 372:n71.
- Qi, H., and Li, S. (2014). Dose-response meta-analysis on coffee, tea and caffeine consumption with risk of Parkinson's disease. *Geriatr. Gerontol. Int.* 14, 430– 439. doi: 10.1111/ggi.12123
- Rabbitt, P., Ibrahim, S., Lunn, M., Scott, M., Thacker, N., Hutchinson, C., et al. (2008a). Age-associated losses of brain volume predict longitudinal cognitive declines over 8 to 20 years. *Neuropsychology* 22, 3–9. doi: 10.1037/0894-4105. 22.1.3
- Rabbitt, P., Lunn, M., Ibrahim, S., Cobain, M., and McInnes, L. (2008b). Unhappiness, health and cognitive ability in old age. *Psychol. Med.* 38, 229–236. doi: 10.1017/S0033291707002139
- Ran, L. S., Liu, W. H., Fang, Y. Y., Xu, S. B., Li, J., Luo, X., et al. (2021). Alcohol, coffee and tea intake and the risk of cognitive deficits: a dose-response meta-analysis. *Epidemiol. Psychiatr. Sci.* 30:e13. doi: 10.1017/S20457960200 01183
- Robbins, R. N., Scott, T., Joska, J. A., and Gouse, H. (2019). Impact of urbanization on cognitive disorders. *Curr. Opin. Psychiatry* 32, 210–217. doi: 10.1097/YCO. 000000000000490
- Roberts, R., and Knopman, D. S. (2013). Classification and epidemiology of MCI. Clin. Geriatr. Med. 29, 753–772. doi: 10.1016/j.cger.2013.07.003
- Sachdev, P. S., Blacker, D., Blazer, D. G., Ganguli, M., Jeste, D. V., Paulsen, J. S., et al. (2014). Classifying neurocognitive disorders: the DSM-5 approach. *Nat. Rev. Neurol.* 10, 634–642. doi: 10.1038/nrneurol.2014.181

- Solfrizzi, V., Capurso, C., D'Introno, A., Colacicco, A. M., Frisardi, V., Santamato, A., et al. (2008). Dietary fatty acids, age-related cognitive decline, and mild cognitive impairment. *J. Nutr. Health Aging* 12, 382–386. doi: 10.1007/ BF02982670
- Song, J., Xu, H., Liu, F., and Feng, L. (2012). Tea and cognitive health in late life: current evidence and future directions. J. Nutr. Health Aging 16, 31–34. doi: 10.1007/s12603-011-0139-9
- Thangaraj, A., Sil, S., Tripathi, A., Chivero, E. T., Periyasamy, P., and Buch, S. (2020). Targeting endoplasmic reticulum stress and autophagy as therapeutic approaches for neurological diseases. *Int. Rev. Cell. Mol. Biol.* 350, 285–325. doi: 10.1016/bs.ircmb.2019.11.001
- Tysnes, O. B., and Storstein, A. (2017). Epidemiology of Parkinson's disease. J. Neural Transm. (Vienna) 124, 901–905.
- World Health Organization [WHO] (2020). *Dementia: A Public Health Priority*. Geneva: WHO.
- Yamada, M., Ono, K., Hamaguchi, T., and Noguchi-Shinohara, M. (2015). Natural phenolic compounds as therapeutic and preventive agents for cerebral amyloidosis. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* 863, 79–94. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-18 365-7_4

Zhang, J., and Yu, K. F. (1998). What's the relative risk? A method of correcting the odds ratio in cohort studies of common outcomes. *JAMA* 280, 1690–1691.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Shi, Cao, Liu, Zhou, Zhao and Xia. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.