



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY

Mark J. Campbell,
University of Limerick, Ireland

REVIEWED BY

Amy Elizabeth Whitehead,
Liverpool John Moores University,
United Kingdom

*CORRESPONDENCE

Michael G. Trotter
✉ michael.trotter@umu.se

RECEIVED 31 May 2023

ACCEPTED 11 August 2023

PUBLISHED 25 August 2023

CITATION

Bubna K, Trotter MG, Polman R and Poulus DR
(2023) Terminology matters: defining the
esports athlete.
Front. Sports Act. Living 5:1232028.
doi: 10.3389/fspor.2023.1232028

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Bubna, Trotter, Polman and Poulus.
This is an open-access article distributed under
the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution
License \(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or
reproduction in other forums is permitted,
provided the original author(s) and the
copyright owner(s) are credited and that the
original publication in this journal is cited, in
accordance with accepted academic practice.
No use, distribution or reproduction is
permitted which does not comply with these
terms.

Terminology matters: defining the esports athlete

Kabir Bubna¹, Michael G. Trotter^{2*}, Remco Polman³
and Dylan R. Poulus⁴

¹The International Federation of Esports Coaches (IFoEC), London, United Kingdom, ²Department of Psychology, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden, ³Institute of Health and Wellbeing, Federation University Australia, Berwick, VIC, Australia, ⁴Physical Activity, Sport and Exercise Research Theme, Faculty of Health, Southern Cross University, Gold Coast, QLD, Australia

KEYWORDS

esports, esports athletes, terminology, definitions, gamer

1. Introduction

There has been increasing interest in esports from participation, spectator, and academic perspectives. Concerning the latter, there have been discussions about whether esports can be classified as a sport and its definition (1). A range of academic disciplines have started investigating the esports industry [e.g., Business, Sport Science, Law, Cognitive Science; see (2)], and have provided several differing definitions for esports. We believe that within these definitions, there is a need to consistently distinguish between individuals who play the various esports titles as a form of leisure compared to those who play them competitively. A commonly adopted definition of esports was proposed by Pedraza-Ramirez et al. (3), who view esports as “the casual or organized playing of competitive computer games facilitated through in-game ranking systems or organized competitions” (p. 6).

Studies examining whether esports can be called “sports” provide compelling evidence that this is the case. Campbell et al. (4) suggest that sports sit on a continuum between those which are predominantly physical (e.g., weightlifting) and those which are more cognitively focused (e.g., chess). Esports generally meet the criteria of various definitions of sports (5). The clear distinction between the two domains (sports and esports) lies in the fact that performance is enacted through different mediums, most esports do not adhere to the definition of “sport” presented by Connor (6) “a sport is a game involving physical exertion” (pg 15) whereas, in esports performance is conducted through electronic systems where the use controls an avatar. However, esports and sports share similarities in the sense, that in most cases there are organised teams, there is an element of competition (i.e., winners and losers), and with the professionalisation of esports, counters hours are dedicated to training and refining skill for competitive success (7). Hence, many of the characteristics attributed to sports also apply to esports (2, 8).

Generally, the term “athletes” refers to those who participate in sports. However, when referring to competitors of specific sports (especially team sports), athletes are also referred to as players (e.g., football, hockey players). We will argue in this paper that a similar hierarchical structure of naming individuals engaging in esports should be adopted in esports research to facilitate clarity on the sample and enhance comparisons across (e)sports.

As esports are widely considered sports, we seek to establish clarity about which term should be used regarding individuals who participate within the vast esports ecosystem. Having consistent terminology that differentiates between the recreational gamer and competitive gamer is an important issue for several reasons. Firstly, this reduces the confusion that might occur across the esports literature. Secondly, it allows comparisons

with sports and exercise literature. Thirdly, it allows for a more accurate description of the different groupings of esports participants.

Developing a clearer understanding of how we define those who play video games competitively will allow more targeted research and comparisons. For example, this would allow for research to explore how esports athletes differ from games in terms of health behaviours like physical exercise, nutritional practices, smoking, and drinking as well as psychosocial characteristics like social support and self-regulation.

2. Key terminology within esports literature

Across the range of literature, there is a clear incongruence in the terms used to describe esports athletes (see **Table 1**). Terms such as esports player, esports athlete, gamer, and video game players can all describe the individuals who interact within the vast esports ecosystem in any competitive manner (i.e., ranked play or structured competition). At times, when referring to the more formal and organized categories, terms may be prefaced, such as “elite” e-athletes, “professional” video gamers, and “competitive” esports players. Due to the nature of esports, and following the definition provided by Perdraza-Ramirez et al. (3), all esports feature some in-game or external ranking system that categorizes players into various rankings indicative of their skill. For example, League of Legends (LoL) features a ranking system that spans from Iron (the lowest) to Challenger (the highest).

With a majority of the player base placed between Silver and Gold, and with ~1% of the participants populating the Master, Grandmaster and Challenger tiers (24). However, not everyone within these tiers participates for a ranking or within a structured and competitive system. Many align themselves with content creation or streaming, providing high-quality live or on-demand entertainment for a larger audience. Cases like this are not exclusive to LoL but feature across almost all prominent esports titles, further highlighting the need to create clear terminology between athlete, entertainer, and player to avoid future ambiguity as the scientific literature within esports develops.

3. Current research and definitions

As mentioned previously, many academic domains have started to pay attention to the world of esports. In doing so, they have created a range of definitions and terminology. Although not an exhaustive list, **Table 1** briefly explores the current literature available across various academic fields (i.e., business, psychology, physiology, injury & health, computer sciences, and coaching) to evidence the terminology used.

4. Critique of current terminology

When examining **Table 1**, it is clear that many papers interchangeably use the terms athletes, competitors, and players when discussing esports. This further highlights the need for

TABLE 1 A range of terminology used in existing literature pertaining to esports.

Discipline	Paper/Authors	Terminology used	Definition
Business	Reitman et al., (2)	Video game players; cybersport	N/A
	Huttermann et al., (9)	Esports athletes or players	N/A
Psychology	Trotter et al., (10)	Esports Athletes (e-athletes)	“We define e-athletes as those who play an esports and have an official ranking for that esports.”
	Poulus et al., (11)	“Elite” esports athletes	N/A
	Leis et al., (12)	Esports Players; “Professional” Esports Players	N/A
	Smith et al., (13)	Players; Gamers; Elite esports competitors	N/A
Physiology	DiFrancisco-Donoghue et al., (14)	Esports players	N/A
	McNulty et al., (15)	Esports Players; Professional League of Legends Players	N/A
	Kari & Karhulahti (16)	E-athletes; professional e-athletes	“As defined by their team contracts or achievements in international tournaments”.
Injury & Health	McGee & Ho., (17)	Esports competitors; Professional players	N/A
	McGee et al., (18)	Esports competitors; competitive gamers	N/A
	Trotter et al., (19)	Esports player; Esports athlete	Esports are video games specifically designed with competition in mind. Video games, on the other hand, are a leisure activity designed to entertain.
Computer Sciences	Turkay et al., (20)	Collegiate Players; Esports Players	N/A
	Khormov et al., (21)	Esports Athletes; Esports Players	“In this research, we define an athlete as a professional player with a work contract with a professional eSports team... A player is a person without the eSports contract while having relevant game skills or status.”
Coaching	Watson et al., (22)	Esports players	N/A
	Bubna et al., (23)	Esports Athletes; professional players; professional gamers	N/A

clarity within the terminology, with considerations taken to define what is considered aligned to an esports athlete and who is encapsulated when discussing the casual gamer. Secondly, out of the sixteen papers outlined within the table, only four papers explicitly define their population, further emphasizing the need to understand the specific distinctions between them.

5. Discussion

We argue that esports athlete (or e'athlete as an abbreviation) is a suitable term that encapsulates individuals who compete in any esports to achieve an in-game ranking or who compete in a formalized competition. Esports athlete (e'athlete) should be used similarly to the umbrella term "athlete", broadly referring to the players of all types of sports (25). Furthermore, the term "player" can be used when referring to players within a specific esports title [e.g., LoL player, Counter-Strike: Global Offensive (CS:GO) player]. Standardising this terminology across each academic discipline will help differentiate and offer clarification between esports-specific research (competitive games) and video game research (non-competitive games).

An example comes from studies by Trotter et al. (19, 26). In their 2020 study, they compare the health and psychical activity behaviours of e'athletes with those of normative data. Future research could compare e'athletes with gamers, athletes, or normative values. Similarly, the study by Trotter et al. (11) explored the efficacy of a school-based esports program. Future studies could examine how e'athletes would benefit from esports-specific interventions to enhance health behaviours (e.g., physical activity; see 27).

The aim of this piece was to briefly discuss the various terms used in academic research to describe esports participants and highlight the need for consistent terminology across academic research. The absence of a cohesive naming convention or terminology poses a challenge within the field, hindering researchers' ability to consolidate existing literature and derive significant, cross-study conclusions. This issue becomes particularly evident when conducting systematic reviews or meta-analysis, where the lack of a unified approach complicates the synthesis process.

Looking towards sporting research, the use of "athlete" is generally a broader term used to describe athletes across any range of sports, however, in sports, athletes are often categorized by their level of eliteness (28), expertise (29) and competition level (i.e., national/international, county, university, and club; see (25)). However, due to the infancy of the esports industry and esports research, no guidelines exist to help delineate between eliteness, expertise, or competition standards, which leads to the generalization of populations within the ranking system. Further research is still required to understand the appropriate adjectives used to delineate the expertise of esports athletes (i.e., amateur, semi-professional, professional and elite), which can help researchers be more specific within their sampling across the various disciplines that have started to investigate esports.

Author contributions

KB: writing original draft preparation. KB, MT, RP, and DP: writing—review and editing and project administration. MT, RP, and DP: supervision. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

- Formosa J, O'Donnell N, Horton EM, Türkay S, Mandryk RL, Hawks M, et al. Definitions of esports: a systematic review and thematic analysis. *Proc ACM Hum-Comput Interact.* (2022) 6(CHI PLAY):1–45. doi: 10.1145/3549490
- Reitman JG, Anderson-Coto MJ, Wu M, Lee JS, Steinkuehler C. Esports research: a literature review. *Games Cult.* (2020) 15(1):32–50. doi: 10.1177/1555412019840892
- Pedraza-Ramirez I, Musculus L, Raab M, Laborde S. Setting the scientific stage for esports psychology: a systematic review. *Int Rev Sport Exerc Psychol.* (2020) 13(1):319–52. doi: 10.1080/1750984X.2020.1723122
- Campbell MJ, Toth AJ, Moran AP, Kowal M, Exton C. Esports: a new window on neurocognitive expertise? *Prog Brain Res.* (2018) 240:161–74. doi: 10.1016/bs.pbr.2018.09.006
- Holden JT, Kaburakis A, Rodenberg RM. Esports: children, stimulants and video-gaming-induced inactivity. *J Paediatr Child Health.* (2018) 54(8):830–1. doi: 10.1111/jpc.13897
- Connor S. *A philosophy of sport.* London, England: Reaktion Books (2011).
- Jenny SE, Manning RD, Keiper MC, Olrich TW. Virtual (ly) athletes: where eSports fit within the definition of "sport". *Quest.* (2017) 69(1):1–18. doi: 10.1080/00336297.2016.1144517
- Ferrari S. *Esport and the human body: foundations for a popular aesthetics.* DiGRA conference (2013).
- Huettermann M, Trail GT, Pizzo AD, Stallone V. Esports sponsorship: an empirical examination of esports consumers' perceptions of non-endemic sponsors. *J Glob Sport Manag.* (2020) 8(2):1–26. doi: 10.1080/24704067.2020.1846906
- Trotter MG, Coulter TJ, Davis PA, Poulus DR, Polman R. Social support, self-regulation, and psychological skill use in e-athletes. *Front Psychol.* (2021) 12:722030. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.722030
- Poulus DR, Coulter TJ, Trotter MG, Polman R. A qualitative analysis of the perceived determinants of success in elite esports athletes. *J Sports Sci.* (2022) 40(7):742–53. doi: 10.1080/02640414.2021.2015916

12. Leis O, Raue C, Dreiskämper D, Lautenbach F. To be or not to be (e) sports? That is not the question! why and how sport and exercise psychology could research esports. *Ger J Exerc Sport Res.* (2021) 51(2):241–7. doi: 10.1007/s12662-021-00715-9
13. Smith MJ, Birch PD, Bright D. Identifying stressors and coping strategies of elite esports competitors. *Int J Gaming Comput-Mediat Simul.* (2019) 11(2):22–39. doi: 10.4018/IJGCMS.2019040102
14. DiFrancisco-Donoghue J, Jenny SE, Douris PC, Ahmad S, Yuen K, Hassan T, et al. Breaking up prolonged sitting with a 6 min walk improves executive function in women and men esports players: a randomised trial. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med.* (2021) 7(3):e001118. doi: 10.1136/bmjsem-2021-001118
15. McNulty C, Jenny SE, Leis O, Poulus D, Sondergeld P, Nicholson M. Physical exercise and performance in esports players: an initial systematic review. *J Electronic Gaming Esports.* (2023) 1:1. doi: 10.1123/jege.2022-0014
16. Kari T, Karhulahti VM. Do e-athletes move?: a study on training and physical exercise in elite e-sports. *Int J Gaming Comput-Mediat Simul.* (2016) 8(4):53–66. doi: 10.4018/IJGCMS.2016100104
17. McGee C, Ho K. Tendinopathies in video gaming and esports. *Front Sports Act Living.* (2021) 3:689371. doi: 10.3389/fspor.2021.689371
18. McGee C, Hwu M, Nicholson LL, Ho KK. More than a game: musculoskeletal injuries and a key role for the physical therapist in esports. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* (2021) 51(9):415–7. doi: 10.2519/jospt.2021.0109
19. Trotter M, Coulter T, Davis P, Poulus D, Polman RCJ. The association between esports participation, health and physical activity behaviour. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* (2020) 17:7329. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17197329
20. Türkay S, Formosa J, Adinolf S, Cuthbert R, Altizer R. *See no evil, hear no evil, speak no evil: how collegiate players define, experience and cope with toxicity. Proceedings of the 2020 CHI conference on human factors in computing systems* (2020). p. 1–13.
21. Khromov N, Korotin A, Lange A, Stepanov A, Burnaev E, Somov A. Esports athletes and players: a comparative study. *IEEE Pervasive Comput.* (2019) 18(3):31–9. doi: 10.1109/MPRV.2019.2926247
22. Watson M, Smith D, Fenton J, Pedraza-Ramirez I, Laborde S, Cronin C. Introducing esports coaching to sport coaching (not as sport coaching). *Sports Coach Rev.* (2022):1–20. doi: 10.1080/21640629.2022.2123960
23. Bubna K, Trotter MG, Watson M, Polman R. Coaching and talent development in esports: a theoretical framework and suggestions for future research. *Front Psychol.* (2023) 14:1–5. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1191801
24. Conroy E, Kowal M, Toth AJ, Campbell MJ. Boosting: rank and skill deception in esports. *Entertain Comput.* (2021) 36:100393. doi: 10.1016/j.entcom.2020.100393
25. Nicholls AR, Polman R, Levy AR, Taylor J, Copley S. Stressors, coping, and coping effectiveness: gender, type of sport, and skill differences. *J Sports Sci.* (2007) 25(13):1521–30. doi: 10.1080/02640410701230479
26. Trotter MG, Coulter TJ, Davis PA, Poulus DR, Polman R. Examining the impact of school esports program participation on student health and psychological development. *Front Psychol: Mov Sci Sport Psychol.* (2022) 12:807341. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.807341
27. Polman RCJ, Trotter M, Poulus D, Borkoles E. Esport: friend or foe? In: Gobel S, editors. *Serious Games: 4th Joint International Conference, JCSG 2018, Darmstadt, Germany, November 7-8, 2018, Proceedings 4.* Switzerland: Springer International Publishing (2018). p. 3–8.
28. Swann C, Moran A, Piggott D. Defining elite athletes: issues in the study of expert performance in sport psychology. *Psychol Sport Exerc.* (2015) 16:3–14. doi: 10.1016/j.psychsport.2014.07.004
29. Ericsson KA, Charness N, Feltovich R, Hoffman R. *The Cambridge handbook of expertise and expert performance.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press (2007).