

Sergei M. Kopeikin¹* and Valeri V. Makarov²

¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, United States, ²US Naval Observatory, Astrometry Department, Washington, DC, United States

This review paper discusses the science of astrometric catalogs, their current applications and future prospects for making progress in fundamental astronomy, astrophysics and gravitational physics. We discuss the concept of fundamental catalogs, their practical realizations, and future perspectives. Particular attention is paid to the astrophysical implementations of the catalogs such as the measurement of the Oort constants, the secular aberration and parallax, and asteroseismology. We also consider the use of the fundamental catalogs in gravitational physics for testing general theory of relativity and detection of ultra-long gravitational waves of cosmological origin. PACS numbers: 04.20.Cv, 04.30.-w, 95.10.-a, 95.10.Jk, 95.30.-k.

Keywords: fundamental catalogues, general relativity, Oort constants, astroseismology, dark matter, gravitational waves, secular aberration, secular parallax

1 INTRODUCTION

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

László Szabados, Konkoly Observatory (MTA), Hungary

Reviewed by:

Vadim Vadimovich Bobylev, Pulkovo Observatory (RAS), Russia Wen-Biao Han, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China

*Correspondence:

Sergei M. Kopeikin KopeikinS@missouri.edu

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Fundamental Astronomy, a section of the journal Frontiers in Astronomy and Space Sciences

Received: 09 December 2020 Accepted: 19 January 2021 Published: 22 March 2021

Citation:

Kopeikin SM and Makarov W (2021) The Science of Fundamental Catalogs. Front. Astron. Space Sci. 8:639706. doi: 10.3389/fspas.2021.639706 Fundamental astronomy is currently an integral part of modern gravitational physics and astrophysics, which rely upon two pillars—astrometry and celestial mechanics. The defining task of fundamental astronomy is to build the inertial celestial reference frame, which is used to determine coordinates, velocities, and accelerations of astronomical bodies and to predict their past, present, and future dynamical evolution in the course of time. Reference objects of fundamental astronomy are stars and quasars, which are benchmarks materializing the inertial reference frame on the sky. Quasars are located at large distances from the Solar System so that their trigonometric parallaxes are difficult or even impossible to measure even now. Historically, the inability to detect the annual parallax was used as an argument against the Copernicus heliocentric theory, but it was based on misapprehension of astronomical distance scales. Parallaxes of just a few dozen stars could be measured until the early 20th century, when specialized astrograph telescopes and photographic plates facilitated a breakthrough in precise astrometry. Celestial coordinates and velocities are traditionally determined as angles on the celestial sphere and their time derivatives (proper motions), in contrast to the Cartesian three-dimensional coordinates and velocities. For a long time, astrometric observations of stars and planets were interpreted in the framework of spherical astronomy and the Newtonian celestial mechanics in the Euclidean space.

The situation had changed about 100 years ago with the advent of a new generation of large optical telescopes and the emergent understanding of the true nature of spiral nebulae and the size of the Universe, accompanied by the advent of special and general theory of relativity and flourishing of astrophysics (Trimble, 1995). Fundamental astronomy has undergone dramatic technological changes and explored a broader range of electromagnetic spectrum radiation operating from the ground and from space. A cardinal improvement in the precision of astrometric measurements of positions and parallaxes of stars and quasars has been achieved (in some cases approaching the level of $\approx 10~\mu as$) by making use of the Very Long Baseline Interferometry (VLBI) (Fomalont and Kopeikin, 2002; Fomalont and Reid, 2004; Sanna et al., 2017) and the Gaia space satellite (Castelvecchi, 2016).

One should notice that the measurement of time is an integral part of fundamental astronomy as time is one of the four coordinates of spacetime manifold, which is the arena where all the physical and astronomical phenomena take place. Precise time determination is a cornerstone discipline and technology on its own but its synergy with fundamental astronomy has never been more essential than today. Modern technology allows one to manufacture atomic clocks with a relative fractional instability of the order of a few parts in 10^{-18} (Poli et al., 2013; Mehlstäubler et al., 2018). A global network of such most stable and accurate clocks produces a practical realization of the International Atomic Time (TAI) scale at the level of 10^{-16} (Petit, 2010), which is the basic time scale of planetary and lunar ephemerides (Kopeikin et al., 2011), binary star orbital dynamics (Anglada-Escudé and Torra, 2006), exoplanetary search (Kaplan and Makarov, 2003; Feng et al., 2019), pulsar timing astronomy (Lorimer and Kramer, 2012), etc.

Technological achievements in high-precision astronomical measurements of coordinates and velocities of celestial bodies along with manufacturing of ultra-accurate quantum clocks open new fascinating research opportunities in the field of fundamental astronomy and its astrophysical applications which are briefly reviewed in the present article.

2 ASTROMETRY OF FUNDAMENTAL CATALOGS

2.1 The Concept of a Fundamental Catalog

A fixed inertial system of coordinates on the sky is called the celestial reference system. A practical realization of the celestial reference system is called a celestial reference frame (CRF) as defined by a list of reference objects forming a fundamental catalog (Kopff, 1936). Fundamental catalogs are based on absolute measurements of the reference objects without using previous information about their positions and motions. The concepts "absolute" and "fundamental" underwent subtle transformations over the past few decades. Differential or relative astrometry, which is the counterpart of absolute, is a more understandable notion, applied mostly to wide-angle instruments and surveys where astrometric determinations are performed in reference to sources whose apparent places at the time of observation are assumed to be known with superior accuracy from a different catalog. What defines an astrometric measurement as absolute? In the pre-Hipparcos era, when ground-based meridian circles were the driving force of absolute astrometry, a measurement was considered as being independent of other catalogs if it was performed relative to a "fixed", or at least long-term stable, direction with respect to the surface of Earth such as two artificial light sources in long evacuated tubes or a plumb line realized through a liquid mercury reference horizon. Being attached to a constantly rotating and wobbling Earth, these reference directions could only be assumed to be fixed if the instantaneous orientation parameters that is the components of the angular velocity vector, were known to superior

accuracy. The Earth orientation parameters as well as the orbit of Earth around the Sun, were determined by special optical measurements referred to the fundamental astrometric stars using a different set of instruments and techniques. This mutual dependence of Earth orientation and absolute astrometry of stars may look like a vicious circle, but there was no viable alternative until the advent of the *Very-Long Baseline Interferometry* with linked radio telescopes, and with it, of *global* astrometric techniques. The term "global" is sometimes used as replacement to "absolute," which is not exact, because the truly global space astrometry with Hipparcos and Gaia, as discussed in the following, is not fully absolute (Vallenari, 2018).

Until the discovery of quasars by M. Schmidt in 1963, the main reference objects of the CRF were stars. A fundamental catalog contains a limited number of standard stars whose coordinates and proper motions are measured with utmost astrometric precision and considered as known. The catalog is used for measuring relative positions and velocities of other astronomical objects. The main plane of the CRF is the celestial equator at a fixed standard epoch and one of the coordinate axes is placed in the direction of vernal equinox, that is, the point of intersection of the celestial equator with the ecliptic. The origin of the coordinate system is implicitly placed at the center of mass of the Solar System. Since the Earth moves in space along its orbit around the Sun and its axis of rotation undergoes precession, the specific realization of the celestial reference frame depends on the standard epoch of the equinox and on our knowledge of the constant of precession (Walter and Sovers, 2000). This brings in the ephemerides of the Newtonian theory of gravity to the realization of the inertial frame in the form of ephemerides of Earth and major planets of the Solar System, as well as an elaborate model of Earth rotation (Kovalevsky and Seidelmann, 2012). Through this choice of coordinates, Earth orientation parameters and theory, precise timing, and absolute astrometry are the three inseparable parts of the CRF.

The first fundamental catalog of the northern sky (abbreviated as FC) was compiled by A. Auwers and published in 1879 (Auwers, 1879). The FC catalog included positions and proper motions of 539 fundamental stars. The second fundamental catalog (NFK) consisting of 925 stars was published in 1907 by J. Peters (Peters, 1907). It extended the FC from the northern to southern sky. The third fundamental catalog (FK3) was produced by A. Kopff in 1938 (Kopff, 1938; Kopff, 1939). Progressive development of the star-based fundamental catalog resulted in the compilation of FK4 and further FK5 catalogs by W. Fricke (Fricke and Kopff, 1963; Fricke et al., 1988). FK5, published in 1988, included 1,535 fundamental stars from FK4 as a primary standard along with 3,117 stars as an extension. All the fundamental catalogs up to FK5 used observations made with ground-based optical instruments (Fricke, 1985; Perryman, 2012a). On the other hand, the Sixth Catalog of Fundamental Stars (FK6) is the result of the combination of the Hipparcos satellite data given in the Hipparcos Catalog (ESA 1997) with the ground-based data listed in the basic part of the FK5-Part I (Wielen et al., 1999).

2.2 International Celestial Reference Frame

A major disadvantage of the stellar fundamental catalogs is the proper motion of the defining stars. Stars move in the tangential plane because of the combined effects of the Galactic rotation and their own peculiar velocity due to the distribution of Galactic orbits. Because of the non-random, or systemic, components of stellar proper motions, the celestial reference frame has a residual rotation and, strictly speaking, cannot be considered as inertial. Furthermore, a fraction of nearby stars comprise moving groups and kinematic associations with complex, non-random patterns on the sky (Makarov and Urban, 2001). Even when taken as a whole and averaged, a sample of stars does not provide a fixed direction required to achieve absolute astrometry. Distant galaxies and active galactic nuclei (AGN) are much further away from the Solar System and their true proper motions are believed to be negligibly small. They are much better celestial reference objects to build an inertial reference frame on the sky. However, galaxies have noticeable angular sizes preventing precise measurement of their photometric centers in the optical wavelengths, which reduces the accuracy of the catalog. This problem is much less essential for quasars, which are luminous nuclei of the most active and distant galaxies. Therefore, the International Astronomical Union (IAU) decided to build the International Celestial Reference Frame (ICRF) by making use of quasars as fundamental reference objects. Millions of quasars have been cataloged using various methods in the optical and near-infrared domain, but only several thousand are bright enough in the radio to be observed by the VLBI, which is a self-sufficient and global technique inherently linked to the Earth orientation parameters and precision timing, thus closely approaching the concept of absoluteness.

The first realization of the ICRF1 was completed in 1998 and contained 212 defining quasars (Ma et al., 1998). It was adjusted to FK5, in order to preserve continuity with the series of the stellar fundamental catalogs, and delivered an order of magnitude improvement in the realization of the inertial reference frame on the sky. A decade later, the ICRF2 catalog consisting of 295 reference quasars, was released (Ma et al., 2009). It was subsequently replaced by the ICRF3 (Charlot et al., 2020) as adopted by the IAU during its XXX-th General Assembly in 2018 (Gordon, 2018). The ICRF3 contains positions of 4,536 quasars as measured at frequencies 8.4 and 2.3 GHz (X/S band). Among them 303 sources are identified as defining sources. These are rather uniformly distributed on the sky and determine the axes of the frame. The quasar positions at 8.4/2.3 GHz are supplemented with positions of 824 sources at 24 GHz (K band), and with position of 678 sources at 32/8.4 GHz (Ka/X band). The positions of ICRF3 sources are adjusted to those in the ICRF2 at the epoch J2015.0 with an accuracy of 30 μas. The advanced feature of the ICRF3 is the accounting for the galactocentric acceleration of the Solar System, which causes a secular aberration effect (Kopeikin and Makarov, 2006) of 5.8 µas/yr in the apparent proper motions of quasars (MacMillan et al., 2019).

The attempt to introduce alternative catalogs obtained by different VLBI configurations at higher electromagnetic frequencies is related to the problem of frequency-dependent astrometric position of interferometric cores located along

parsec-scaled jets of AGNs (Kovalev et al., 2008) which may reach ~1 mas, thus, compromising the formal precision of ICRF coordinates. The effect of frequency-dependent astrometric core positions in ICRF3 measured by the phase and group delay interferometric technique has been studied more thoroughly by Porcas (Porcas, 2009), who argued that if the core shift, Δx , is described by the frequency power-law, $\Delta x \sim v^{\beta}$, with the index $\beta = 1$, then, there is no difference between the phase and group delay positions of the core and the absolute radio astrometry gives the position of the AGN jet base without appreciable error. Recently, a more comprehensive investigation of this problem has been conducted (Plavin et al., 2019). It revealed that the offsets between the core positions is frequency-dependent and may reach nearly 0.5 mas at 2 and 8 GHz. It can also change in the course of time with a characteristic variability of the individual core positions of about 0.3 mas.

The practical realization of ICRF3 heavily relies upon the advanced astronomical and geophysical modeling of VLBI observations (Charlot et al., 2020). The modeling has been gradually improved over the past 30 years resulting in the latest exhaustive version of the VLBI data processing algorithm documented in the Technical Note 36 of the International Earth Rotation and Reference Systems Service (IERS) (Petit and Luzum, 2010). It includes a comprehensive description of the Earth's rotation parameters along with its general-relativistic theory of orbital motion in the Solar System, propagation of radio waves in the ionosphere and troposphere, and a self-consistent post-Newtonian theory of reference coordinate systems and gravitational Shapiro's time delay (Kopeikin et al., 2011; Soffel et al., 2017). New IERS conventions are currently in preparation and are to be released in 2022.

2.3 Hipparcos

A great boost to the development of the optical fundamental catalog was given by the launch of the Hipparcos astrometric satellite in 1989 (https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/hipparcos). After ~3.5 years of the generally successful mission, the main Hipparcos catalog and several ancillary data sets were published in 1997 (Perryman et al., 1997). It contains 118,218 entries (single and multiple stars) distributed over the entire sky with a mean density of approximately three stars per square degree. The Hipparcos catalog was adjusted to the ICRF1 through a combination of radio star positions and by a few additional indirect techniques. Combining the Hipparcos 5-parameter astrometry with older ground-based observations lead to the creation of the sixth fundamental catalog (FK6) published in two parts: FK6(I) in 1999 (Wielen et al., 1999), and FK6(III) 2000 (Wielen et al., 2000). The FK6 contains several solutions for the defining stars. The classical one is the single-star mode solution (SI mode) with a mean error in proper motion of 590 µas/yr. The other two solutions are called the long-term prediction (LTP) and short-term prediction (STP) modes. They have been introduced in response to the quasi-instantaneous nature of the Hipparcos measurements which could not discriminate between a binary star with orbital period of a few years and a single star. The LTP

and STP modes are the most precise solutions for single Hipparcos stars with a typical mean error of proper motion of 930 µas/yr.

The Hipparcos technology revolutionized the field of astrometry and galactic astronomy (Perryman, 1998; Perryman, 2012b). It significantly extended the reference frame and achieved a much higher precision of standard astrometric solutions for a single star based on five model parameters—right ascension α , declination δ , parallax ω , and two components of proper motion in the plane of the sky, μ_{α} and μ_{δ} (Kovalevsky and Seidelmann, 2012). Combining the Hipparcos catalog with the sixth observable parameter—the radial velocity of the star—measured independently by means of stellar spectroscopy, effectively allowed one to start studying kinematics of stars in the six-dimensional phase space. This provides a complete description of the trajectories of stars in the neighborhood of the Solar System that is vitally important for an adequate understanding of the processes of formation and dynamical evolution of the Milky Way (Perryman, 2012b).

2.4 Gaia

The Gaia astrometric satellite (Gaia Collaboration et al., 2016b), launched on December 19, 2013 (https://www.cosmos.esa.int/ web/gaia/launch), is the next step forward after the Hipparcos. The astrometric objectives of the mission are to collect and build a 3-dimensional catalog of more than one billion stars and 500,000 quasars and to discover thousands of new asteroids and Jupitersize exoplanets. Like the Hipparcos mission, Gaia measures positions, proper motions, and parallaxes of stars, which (along with precise ground-based and Gaia's spectroscopic measurements of radial velocities) provide the most comprehensive census of about 1% of the total stellar population of our Galaxy. The Gaia catalog is released in several steps. As of today, two releases of the Gaia catalog—DR1 (https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/gaia/dr1), (Gaia Collaboration et al., 2016a) and DR2 (https://www.cosmos.esa. int/web/gaia/dr2)—have been published.

The Gaia DR1 catalog includes about 1.14 billion sources. Its five-parameter astrometric solution is given for about two million stars from the intersection with the Tycho-2 catalog (Høg et al., 2000) which were treated as single stars without taking their radial velocity into account. The Gaia-CRF1 reference frame is aligned with the ICRF2 radio catalog at the 0.1 mas level at epoch J2015.0, and is non-rotating with respect to the ICRF2 to within 0.03 mas/yr (Mignard et al., 2016). On the other hand, it was found that the Hipparcos reference frame has a residual rotation with respect to the Gaia-DR1 frame at a rate of 0.24 mas/yr (Lindegren et al., 2016).

The DR2 extends the CRF to a larger number of sources amounting to 1.69 billion stars with the mean epoch J2015.5. The DR2 five-parameter astrometric solution significantly extends that of the DR1, and includes about 1.33 billion stars. Moreover, the DR2 provides radial velocities for more than 7.2 million stars with stellar magnitudes in the range $4 \le m \le 13$ and an effective temperature in the range $3550 \le T \le 6900$ K. This yields a full six-parameter astrometric characterization for those stars. The reference frame of the DR2 is a densification of the

Gaia-CRF1 from 2,191 frame-fixing sources to 556,869 sources uniformly distributed across almost the entire sky except along the Galactic equator (Gaia Collaboration et al., 2018). The densification of the quasar grid improved the determination and removal of the residual global rotation of the celestial frame caused by the observed proper motion of the frame-fixing sources. The densification does not change the orientation of the Gaia-CRF2 coordinate axes which is still defined by a much smaller number of radio-loud quasars with accurate positions in the ICRF3 catalog.

At the time when this review was in preparation, the Gaia consortium has announced a third data release (EDR3) which includes a few catalogs and documentation, available online at the Gaia website (https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/gaia/early-datarelease-3). The contents and survey properties of EDR3 are summarized in (Brown et al., 2020). The source list of EDR3 is mostly the same as that for Gaia DR2 but it does feature new additions and there are some notable changes. The creation of the source list for Gaia EDR3 includes enhancements that make it more robust with respect to high proper motion stars and the disturbing effects of spurious and partially resolved sources. Briefly, EDR3 includes astrophotometric solution for approximately 1.8 billion sources brighter than m>21. For 1.5 billion of those sources, parallaxes, proper motions, and the $G_{\rm BP}$ – G_{RP} color are also available. EDR3 also includes seven million radial velocities from Gaia DR2 after removal of a small number of spurious values. EDR3 represents an updated materialization of the celestial reference system—the Gaia-CRF3—which is based solely on extra-galactic sources in the optical bandwidth and ICRF3 positions. For bright stars (G < 13 mag), however, the Hipparcos catalog was used to correct for a residual spin of the proper motion field. The internal consistency of the EDR3 CRF realization may also suffer from the split of the source list into two categories, viz., 5-parameter solutions, and somewhat less reliable 6-parameter solutions.

Gaia EDR3 represents a significant advance over Gaia DR2, with parallax precision increased by 30%, proper motion precision increased by a factor of 2, and astrometric systematic errors suppressed by 30–40% for the parallaxes and by a factor 2.5 for the proper motions. The photometry also benefits from higher precision with much better homogeneity across color, magnitude, and celestial position of the sources. Consistent definitions of the G, $G_{\rm BP}$, and $G_{\rm RP}$ passbands are achieved over the entire magnitude and color range with no systematic above the 1 percent level. The Gaia team works on the data release DR3 which will be based on the improved version of Gaia-EDR3.

2.5 Other Catalogs and Databases

It is worth mentioning that besides the fundamental catalogs there exists a large number of other astronomical catalogs and databases of various astronomical objects grouped together by a common type, morphology, origin, or method of discovery. Wikipedia lists all existing astronomical catalogs on this website (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_astronomical_catalogues). Among these, the Tycho-2 catalog of the 2.5 million brightest stars (Høg et al., 2000) is one of the most useful and frequently used in fundamental astronomy. It contains

resolved binary systems with separations down to 0.8 arcsec (Fabricius et al., 2002) and proper motions with accuracy up to 2.5 mas/yr. It was amended a few years ago with the data taken from Gaia-DR1 resulting in the new 5-parameter Tycho-Gaia astrometric solution (TGAS), reaching a positional accuracy of stars of 0.3 mas in positions of epoch and 1 mas/yr in proper motions (Michalik et al., 2015).

The VizieR database should also be mentioned, which groups in an homogeneous way thousands of astronomical catalogs gathered for decades by the Center de Données de Strasbourg (CDS) and participating institutes (Ochsenbein et al., 2000). This web-accessible database has developed into a powerful tool enabling researchers and users to retrieve and combine astronomical information across various disciplines and domains, including fundamental astrometry.

3 ASTROPHYSICS OF FUNDAMENTAL CATALOGS

3.1 The Oort Constants

Astrophysical applications of fundamental catalogs are ubiquitous. Exact positions, trigonometric parallaxes, and proper motions of stars from the catalog along with their radial velocities (when available) form the observational basis to study stellar kinematics of the Milky Way, globular clusters and dwarf spheroidal galaxies from the Local Group. Stellar kinematics of the stars near the Sun allows us to measure the important Oort's constants abbreviated as A, B, C, and K in the linearized two-dimensional model of rotation of the galactic disc neglecting its thickness and represented by close orbits of stars. Two of the constants, A and B, which characterize the azimuthal shear and vorticity of the velocity field, were introduced by Oort himself (Oort, 1927) for the idealized axisymmetric model of Milky Way's rotation. The two other constants, currently denoted as C and K, characterize the radial shear and divergence of the velocity field and account for a non-axisymmetric component of the distribution of stellar velocities (Ogrodnikoff, 1932; Milne, 1935)¹. The four constants define the local rotational properties of the kinematic vector field of stellar velocities and give us insight in the mass density profile of the galactic disc within the solar neighborhood (A and B constants) as well as allows us to evaluate the physically important parameters of the Galactic spiral density wave (C and K constants) (Bobylev and Bajkova, 2013; Bobylev,

Measuring the Oort constants has been a high priority task for fundamental astronomy since 1927 when the Oort's theory of Galactic rotation was formulated (Oort, 1927) and the astrometric measurements of proper motions of stars became sufficiently accurate. Nonetheless, before the Hipparcos mission, the numerical estimates of the Oort constants had a large

dispersion depending on the origin of data and methods used to compile different catalogs (Kerr and Lynden-Bell, 1986; Olling and Merrifield, 1998; Makarov and Murphy, 2007). One of the reasons is that prior to the Hipparcos breakthrough, the astrometric measurements of stellar proper motions relied on fundamental catalogs like FK4 and FK5, whose reference systems were tied to the orbits of Earth and major planets and, thus, were sensitive to the precession of the vernal equinox with respect to the ecliptic. Satellite measurements of proper motions, on the other hand, are tied, directly or indirectly, to quasars and distant galaxies and are not sensitive to the possible residual rotation of the reference frame of the fundamental catalog. Additional systematic errors in the values of the Oort constants appeared in previous studies due to the axisymmetric idealization of the Milky Way with almost circular orbits of stars, mathematically represented by a linear tensor. With the growing accuracy and larger samples of stars in astrometric catalogs, these systematic errors became noticeable, so that more accurate models of the motion of the Solar System with respect to the Local Standard of Rest (LSR) had to be worked out along with the necessity to account for the vertical component of the systemic motion of stars and the mode mixing effect (Olling and Dehnen, 2003). A comprehensive study of the Oort constants using astrometric measurements for 304,267 main-sequence stars within a typical distance of 230 pc, taken from the Gaia-DR1 TGAS catalog, was conducted by Bovy (Bovy, 2017), who obtained for the local velocity field generated by closed orbits:

$$A = +15.3 \pm 0.4 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1} kpc^{-1}}$$
, (azimuthal shear) (1)

$$B = -11.9 \pm 0.4 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1} kpc^{-1}},$$
 (vorticity) (2)

$$C = -3.2 \pm 0.4 \,\mathrm{km \ s^{-1} kpc^{-1}}$$
, (radial shear) (3)

$$K = -3.3 \pm 0.6 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1} kpc^{-1}}$$
, (divergence) (4)

with no color trend over a wide range of stellar populations. The measured values of the Oort constants **Eqs. 1–4** correspond to the Sun's peculiar velocity $u_0 = 8.99 \pm 0.31 \, \text{Km/s}$, $w_0 = 7.22 \pm 0.22 \, \text{Km/s}$, while $10 \le v_0 \le 22 \, \text{Km/s}$ is sensitive to the stellar population of reference, because of the asymmetric drift². Comparison with previous determination of the Oort constants and the solar peculiar velocity made by Makarov and Murphy (Makarov and Murphy, 2007) on the sample of 42,339 non-binary Hipparcos stars with accurate parallaxes, using a vector spherical harmonic formalism, shows a good agreement with **Eqs. 1–4** within the statistical error except of the v_0 component of the solar peculiar velocity which is strongly affected by the asymmetric drift.

Will the linearized Oort and Ogorodnikov-Milne models remain adequate in the post-Gaia future? Perhaps, a three-dimensional mathematical representation of the Galactic velocity field with the origin at the Galactic center and a larger number of fitting parameters will become necessary at some point. The vector spherical harmonic formalism is still

¹The constant K is denoted as B' in Ogorodnikov's paper (Ogrodnikoff, 1932). The letter K for the forth Oort's constant respects Ogorodnikov's notation of the gravitational force vector $K = (K_x, K_y) = (K, 0)$ according to Eq. 6 in (Ogrodnikoff, 1932).

 $^{^2}$ See [64, \$24.3] and plots of (u_0,v_0,w) in the entry (Wielen et al., 1999) on website [https://gist.github.com/jobovy/b002421ca865c93991f08f0eda4e72de] and for more detail.

intrinsically two-dimensional, and therefore, not well suited to capture the increasingly available radial dimension of the field. A galactocentric model based on a vector generalization of solid spherical harmonics, or other combinations of orthogonal vector functions may provide inroads in the formal part of the problem. For a well-conditioned, three-dimensional Galactocentric model, the kinematics of stars beyond the Galactic center has to be accurately known. This goal will require pushing the distance limits of the fundamental catalog to "the other half" of the Galaxy. Interstellar extinction of light within the Galactic disk is the main obstacle, and exploring space astrometry in the infrared, as proposed in the Gaia-NIR successor mission (Hobbs et al., 2019), will open up new fascinating possibilities in this area of research.

3.2 The Secular Aberration

While the Solar System moves with respect to the LSR with the peculiar velocity u_0 , v_0 , w_0 , the LSR itself moves around the center of the Milky Way along a circular path, which is characterized by the local parameters of Galactic rotation: R_0 – the radial distance to the center of the Milky Way, Θ_0 and $(d\Theta/dR)_{R_0}$ - the linear velocity of the circular orbital motion and its radial gradient, and $\omega_0 = \Theta_0/R_0$. In the axisymmetric approximation, these parameters are directly related to the Oort constants A and B, that is, $\omega_0 = A - B$ and $(d\Theta/dR)_{R_0} = -(A + B)$. The IAU recommended value for the LSR rotational velocity Θ_0 = $220 \, km/s$ and the distance to the galactic center $R_0=8.5 \, kpc$. These values do not directly match the measured values of the Oort constants Eq. 1, Eq. 2 due to the fact that in the solar neighborhood, non-circular streaming motions are important. Indeed, comparison of Eqs. 3,4 with Eqs. 1,2 shows that $|C| \simeq |K| \simeq 0.2|A|$ and they cannot be ignored in the determinations of the circular velocity of the LSR from the local kinematics of stars. Since the parameters of Galactic rotation are used for evaluating the total mass distribution inside the Milky Way and testing general relativity with binary pulsars (Wex, 2014; Weisberg and Huang, 2016), their measurement being done independently of the Oort constants plays a crucial role (Fomalont and Reid, 2004; Reid et al., 2014; VERA Collaboration et al., 2020).

It was noticed that the centrifugal acceleration $a=d\Theta_0/dt$ of the circular motion of the LSR is expressed in terms of the product $a=\omega_0\Theta_0$ which can be measured from the analysis of a systematic vector field of the apparent proper motions of distant quasars over the entire sky induced by the effect of the secular aberration of light. The amplitude of the secular aberration effect was determined at 5.8 µas/yr (MacMillan et al., 2019). It is large enough to be taken into account in the ICRF3 catalog in certain high-accuracy applications (Truebenbach and Darling, 2017). The deviation from this nominal value caused by the peculiar motion of the Solar System with respect to the LSR is less than 0.8 µas/yr (Kopeikin and Makarov, 2006; Titov et al., 2011; Titov and Krásná, 2018; MacMillan et al., 2019), which is sufficiently negligible.

The measurement of the secular aberration is a good and independent quality test of the Gaia astrometric solution. The recently announced astrometric solution based on Gaia EDR3

yields the direction of the secular acceleration toward the point on the celestial sphere with the coordinates $\alpha=269.1 \text{deg} \pm 5.4 \text{deg}$, $\delta=-31.6 \text{deg} \pm 4.1 \text{deg}$, with a value corresponding to a proper motion amplitude of $5.05\pm0.35~\mu\text{as}~\text{yr}^{-1}$ (Gaia Collaboration et al., 2020). These results are in a good agreement with the acceleration vector of the Solar System expected from the current models of the Galactic gravitational potential. It is anticipated that future Gaia data releases will provide the estimates of the secular aberration effect with uncertainties substantially below 0.1 $\mu\text{as}~\text{yr}^{-1}$.

At the current level of precision of astrometric observations, the secular aberration alone cannot be used for independent measurement of the Galactic rotation parameters. This will be achieved in future astrometric missions [e.g., STARE, NEAT, THEIA (Boehm et al., 2017; Janson et al., 2018) or Gaia-NIR (Hobbs and Høg, 2018), etc.,] that will allow position and parallax measurements to better than 1 μ as and proper motions to better than 1 μ as/yr. Nonetheless, a recent paper by Bovy (Bovy, 2020) demonstrates that measurement of the secular aberration effect in combination with relative accelerations obtained from binary pulsar orbital decays (Chakrabarti et al., 2020) allows one to determine all of the parameters describing the dynamics of our local Galactic environment, including the circular velocity at the Sun, and its derivative, the local angular frequency, the Oort constants, and the Sun's motion with respect to the LSR.

3.3 The Secular Parallax

The Solar System moves with respect to the center of the Milky Way, which itself traverses with respect to the cosmological reference frame defined by the isotropy of the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) (Weinberg, 2008). The overall velocity of the motion of the Solar System barycenter with respect to the CMB is directed toward the galactic coordinates (l, b) = $(263.99^{\circ} \pm 0.14^{\circ}, 48.26^{\circ} \pm 0.03^{\circ})$ and has a magnitude of v_{\odot} = $369 \pm 0.9 \, km/s$ (Aghanim et al., 2014). Distant cosmological objects (galaxies, quasars) participate in the Hubble expansion and move fast in the radial direction with respect to the Milky Way. Nonetheless, they also have peculiar velocities with respect to the CMB. The peculiar motion of the Milky Way and distant galaxies is caused by the inhomogeneities of the large scale structure of the Universe. Several researchers have recently suggested that catalog's analysis of the proper motions of distant cosmological objects can provide meaningful consistency tests of the standard model of cosmology³, place independent constraints on the numerical value of the Hubble constant and the linear growth rate of cosmic structures, and be instrumental in the search for the nature of dark matter (Hall, 2019; Paine et al., 2020).

As an example, let us consider how the measurement of the vector field of galactic proper motions can constrain the Hubble constant H_0 . The proper motion of each galaxy consists of several components. The first one is caused by the velocity of the Solar

³The number count of the galaxies can be useful tracers of the cosmological parameters in addition to the proper motions (Bengaly et al., 2018; Maartens et al., 2018; Pant et al., 2019).

System (i.e., observer), v_{\odot} , with respect to the CMB, which is called the secular parallax effect (Kardashev, 1986). This effect manifests as a dipole harmonic in the proper motion field of the galactic population in the direction collinear to v_{\odot} and amounting to $78 d^{-1} \sin \beta \mu as/yr$ Mpc, where β is the angle between the galaxy and v_{\odot} . The second component of the proper motion is caused by the peculiar velocity of the galaxy, v_g , with respect to the CMB. The peculiar velocities v_g of sufficiently close galaxies are not distributed randomly in space since they are caused by the large scale structure inhomogeneities of the local supercluster (Hall, 2019). The proper motion caused by v_g has approximately the same magnitude and distance dependence as the secular parallax proper motion. The third component of the proper motion is caused by the secular aberration. The secular aberration does not depend on the distance. Moreover, it can be measured independently by observing solely the proper motion of very distant quasars (Kopeikin and Makarov, 2006; Titov et al., 2011; Titov and Krásná, 2018) and after that subtracted.

The fact that the peculiar velocities of galaxies are strongly correlated with the large scale structure allows us to compute the best statistical estimates of the corresponding proper motions and subtract them from the relative proper motion to get the secular parallax effect for each galaxy (Hall, 2019). The evaluation of the secular parallax makes it possible to measure the proper distance d to the galaxy independently of the astronomical "distance ladder" based on standard candles like cepheids, etc. At the same time, a spectroscopic measurement of the redshift z of the galaxy yields the quantity $z = H_0 d$. Making use of the distance d from the secular parallax, independent constraints on the value of the Hubble constant H_0 can be obtained. This method was used by Paine et al. (Paine et al., 2020) who estimated a first preliminary limit of 3,500 µas/yr Mpc on the secular parallax amplitude using proper motions of 232 nearby galaxies from Gaia-DR2. This limit can be improved by a factor of 10 at the end of Gaia mission to set a tighter constraint on the Hubble parameter.

3.4 Asteroseismology and Parallax Zero-point

A completely new application of the data from fundamental catalogs emerged from their growing capability to deliver a consistency check of the theory of the internal structure of stars. Conventionally, it was broad-band photometry and trigonometric parallax, ϖ , of stars that have been used to determine their physical characteristics: the effective surface temperature T_* , radius R_* , and luminosity L_* , under the condition that extinction A_{λ} and reddening have been measured as well (Carroll and Ostlie, 2017). The photometric parallax of a star is given by

$$\Pi = c_{\lambda} \left(\frac{R_{bb}}{R_{\odot}}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{T_{*}}{T_{\odot}}\right)^{-2} \tag{5}$$

where

$$c_{\lambda} = 10^{0.2(m_{\lambda} + BC_{\lambda} + 5 - A_{\lambda} - M_{bolo})}, \tag{6}$$

 m_{λ} and BC_{λ} are the star's apparent magnitude and bolometric correction at a given wavelength, $M_{bol\odot} = +4.75$ is the Sun's absolute magnitude, and R_{bb} is the radius of the black body having the effective temperature T_* . Notice that, generally speaking, R_{bb} is close but not equal to R_* .

Asteroseismology provides the theoretical relation between the star's radius and the global seismic parameters (Khan et al., 2019)

$$\left(\frac{R_*}{R_{\odot}}\right) = \left(\frac{\nu_{\text{max}}}{\nu_{\text{max}\odot}}\right) \left(\frac{\Delta\nu}{\Delta\nu_{\odot}}\right)^{-2} \left(\frac{T_*}{T_{\odot}}\right)^{1/2},$$
(7)

where $\Delta \nu$ is the average frequency separation between the modes of stellar oscillations and $\nu_{\rm max}$ is the frequency corresponding to the maximum observed oscillation power, both normalized to the solar values of the helioseismological parameters (Kjeldsen and Bedding, 1995). Making use of **Eq. 7** in **Eq. 5** yields an asteroseismic parallax

$$\omega_{sei} = Ac_{\lambda} \left(\frac{\nu_{\text{max}}}{\nu_{\text{max}\odot}}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\Delta\nu}{\Delta\nu_{\odot}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{T_{*}}{T_{\odot}}\right)^{-5/2}, \tag{8}$$

where $A \simeq 1$ is the scaling factor taking into account the deviation of R_{bb} from R_* and normalizing the global asterosesmological parameters $\Delta \nu$ and $\nu_{\rm max}$ to the solar values $\Delta \nu_{\odot}$ and $\nu_{\rm max\odot}$ (Kjeldsen and Bedding, 1995; Khan et al., 2019).

The astreroseismic parallax ω_{sei} can be independently computed from corresponding observations of stars and compared with the trigonometric parallax π of the same stars from a fundamental astrometric catalog like Hipparcos or Gaia-DR2. This way, the scaling factor A can be determined along with the so-called global parallax zero-point π_0 of the astrometric catalog (Arenou et al., 1995; Makarov, 1998; Butkevich et al., 2017). The value of $A \approx 1$ is used to calibrate the theory of stellar oscillations while the knowledge of $\pi_0 \approx 0$ provides the correct value of trigonometric parallaxes. The parallax zero-point can be also determined independently by making use of distant quasars which have negligible trigonometric parallaxes for z > 0.1, but a difficulty arises with possible dependence of the zero-point on magnitude, which affects a large number of brighter stars.

A comparison of the different types of parallaxes has been recently conducted by Khan et al. (Khan et al., 2019) who used the Kepler mission data (https://www.nasa.gov/mission_pages/ kepler/main/index.html) to compare the results with Gaia-DR2. They find that there is no absolute standard within asteroseismology, because different seismic approaches to the parallax determination problem extensively produce a parallax zero-point, which is fairly different from the Gaia-DR2 $\pi_0 \simeq -29$ μas determined by Lindegren et al. (Lindegren et al., 2018) by making use of 555,934 sources identified as quasars. Similar analysis was done by Zinn et al. (Zinn et al., 2019) who used asteroseismic data of evolved red giant branch stars from the APOKASC-2 catalog to determine the zero-point offset of Gaia DR2 parallaxes. Testing asteroseismology with Gaia DR2 has been also undertaken by Hall et al. (Hall et al., 2019) who used a sample of 5,576 red clump stars from the Kepler mission field.

Broadly speaking, the parallax zero-point π_0 is the systematic parallax error which applies to all objects in a fundamental catalog and, therefore, profoundly affects many important applications, including the fundamental distance scale. For Gaia, it comes from specific thermally-induced variations of the basic angle with time, which are periodic functions of the Sun's aspect angle (Butkevich et al., 2017). The Gaia basic angle monitoring system (BAM) is not sufficient to track this variation at the required level of accuracy, resulting in a complicated instrument's calibration scheme. It is not easy to estimate the parallax zero point because the error may be both magnitude and color-dependent through the functional dependencies of the calibration models and intrinsic poor conditioning. Some estimates of the parallax zero-point in EDR3 catalog are presented by Lindegren et al. (Lindegren et al., 2020a) along with a complete list of references to previous papers and a circumstantial discussion of the problem. Notably, compared with Gaia-DR2, the astrometric calibration models in EDR3 have been extended, and the spin-related distortion model includes a self-consistent determination of basic-angle variations, improving the global parallax zero point. The global parallax zero point of EDR3 is about -17 µas (Lindegren et al., 2020b). The parallax zero point issue will be hopefully resolved, at the level of a few mas, in future data releases that will rely upon further improved calibration models.

4 GRAVITATIONAL PHYSICS OF FUNDAMENTAL CATALOGS

4.1 Testing General Relativity

The most precise test of general relativity before the advent of gravitational wave astronomy was achieved with timing of compact binary pulsars (Kramer and Wex, 2009; Wex, 2014). The compact binary pulsars have short orbital periods and move fairly fast $(v/c \sim 10^{-3})$, which makes them ideal celestial laboratories for measuring a number of various relativistic parameters. Most of the parameters are associated with the relativistic effects in the conservative (1-st and 2-nd) post-Newtonian approximations. Gravitational waves carry out the orbital energy and angular momentum of the source binary system causing the orbital period, P_b , to decay. The rate of the decay was computed in general relativity (GR) with different mathematical techniques (see review by Damour (Damour, 1987) for historical details) and is given by (Peters and Mathews, 1963; Peters, 1964; Damour, 1983; Kopeikin, 1985; Schäfer, 1985)

$$\left(\frac{\dot{P}_b}{P_b}\right)^{GR} = -\frac{96\pi}{5} \frac{G^{5/3}}{c^5} \frac{m_1 m_2}{\left(m_1 + m_2\right)^{1/3}} \left(\frac{P_b}{2\pi}\right)^{-8/3} \left(1 + \frac{73}{24}e^2 + \frac{37}{96}e^4\right) \left(1 - e^2\right)^{-7/2},\tag{9}$$

where m_1 and m_2 are the masses of the pulsar and its companion, e is the eccentricity of the binary system, G is the universal gravitational constant, and c is the fundamental speed of gravity (equal to the speed of light in vacuum).

The observed value of \dot{P}_b^{obs} is contaminated by a number of relativistic effects caused by the galactic motion of the binary

pulsar with respect to the Solar System (Damour and Taylor, 1991)

$$\left(\frac{\dot{P}_b}{P_b}\right)^{obs} = \left(\frac{\dot{P}_b}{P_b}\right)^{GR} + \left(\frac{\dot{P}_b}{P_b}\right)^{gal},\tag{10}$$

where the numerical value of \dot{P}_b^{gal} crucially depends on our knowledge of the stellar kinematics of the disk of the Milky Way. According to Damour and Taylor (Damour and Taylor, 1991)

$$\left(\frac{\dot{P}_b}{P_b}\right)^{gal} = -\frac{\Theta_0}{c} \left[\cos l + \frac{\delta - \cos l}{\delta - \cos 2l} \left(1 - \frac{A+B}{A-B}\sqrt{\delta - \cos 2l}\right)\right],\tag{11}$$

where Θ_0 is the circular velocity of the LSR, l is the galactic longitude of the binary pulsar, $\delta = d/R_0$, d is the distance between the binary pulsar and the Sun, R_0 is the distance from the LSR to the center of the Milky Way, A and B are the Oort constants.

Testing general relativity in binary pulsars is based on measurement of the five classic (Keplerian) parameters of the orbit along with any two (post-Keplerian) relativistic parameters of the 1-st post-Newtonian approximation of general relativity (Damour and Taylor, 1992). Usually, the two post-Keplerian parameters are—the secular drift of periastron $\langle \dot{\omega} \rangle = f_{\dot{\omega}}(m_1, m_2, e, P_b),$ and the Doppler + Einstein gravitational delay $\gamma = f_v(m_1, m_2, e, P_b)$ – which depend on the masses of the pulsar and its companion, orbital eccentricity and the orbital period. The eccentricity e and the orbital period P_b are known from the measured Keplerian parameters. Hence, measuring $\langle \dot{\omega} \rangle$ and γ allows to determine the masses m_1 and m_2 . These values can be substituted to the right hand-side of Eq. 9 along with P_b and e yielding $(\dot{P}_b/P_b)_{pred}^{GK}$, which can be compared with the observed value $(P_b/P_b)^{GR}$.

Unfortunately, the direct comparison is impossible, as one can see from Eq. 10, because of the galactic contribution $(\dot{P}_b/P_b)^{\rm gal}$ to the observed orbital decay rate. The galactic contribution is a complicated function Eq. 11 of the kinematic parameters of the Solar System and the binary pulsar, which are available from fundamental catalogs. Current determinations of the kinematic parameters entering the right hand-side of Eq. 11 are so reliable that the galactic contribution can be accurately computed and removed from the right hand-side of Eq. 10. The remaining deviation of the predicted value of the orbital decay rate from its observed value is

$$\left(\frac{\dot{P}_b}{P_b}\right)_{pred}^{GR} - \left(\frac{\dot{P}_b}{P_b}\right)^{GR} = 0.9983 \pm 0.0016,$$
 (12)

i.e., the theory is validated within 0.16% (Weisberg and Huang, 2016; Weisberg et al., 2010).

It is remarkable that this type of testing of general relativity can be used in the opposite way. As recently emphasized by Chakrabarti et al. (Chakrabarti et al., 2020), assuming that general relativity holds and, therefore, the gravitational-wave orbital decay contribution can be predicted and subtracted, the observed orbital period changes can be turned into measurements

of the relative Galactic acceleration at the binary pulsar's location and the Sun. Chakrabarti et al. (Chakrabarti et al., 2020) used such relative accelerations derived from observations of 14 binary pulsars to constrain the local gravitational field produced by the Galactic potential. They found the *Oort limit*, that is the total volume mass density in the Galactic mid-plane, to be equal to $0.08^{0.05}_{-0.02} M_{\odot} pc^{-3}$. Accounting for the baryonic mass budget derived in (McKee et al., 2015), Chakrabarti et al. (Chakrabarti et al., 2020) obtained a local dark matter density equals to $\rho_{DM} = -0.004^{0.05}_{-0.02} M_{\odot} pc^{-3}$, which is lower than that obtained by McKee et al. (McKee et al., 2015) but consistent within the current standard estimates of its measurement in the solar neighborhood by independent methods (Bovy and Tremaine, 2012).

4.2 Gravitational Waves

Gravitational waves are the solutions of Einstein's equations describing the propagation of ripple perturbations spacetime curvature with the speed of light. In fact, the speed of gravitational waves is set to be equal to the speed of light by the Einstein's postulate that the fundamental speed of gravity c_{σ} appearing in the gravity sector of general relativity4 has the same numerical value as the speed of light c from the electromagnetic/matter sector (Kopeikin, 2004; Kopeikin, This postulate has been recently confirmed experimentally by measuring the bending of light by the moving gravitational field of Jupiter (Kopeikin, 2001; Fomalont and Kopeikin, 2003) and in observations of gravitational waves (Cornish et al., 2017), in complete agreement with general relativity.

Gravitational waves were detected in 2015 by the Laser Interferometry Gravitational-wave Observatory (LIGO) (Reitze, 2017; Schutz, 2018). This discovery opened a new window to study the nature of black holes, neutron stars and astrophysical processes in the very early Universe, which are not accessible for observations by any other means (Schutz, 1999). The gravitational-wave spectrum is distributed approximately over 10 decades of frequency, from the high-frequency normal modes of oscillating neutron stars down to the lowest frequencies produced by various cosmological mechanisms such as inflation, phase transitions, cosmic strings, etc. (Allen, 1997; Maggiore, 2000). Plane gravitational waves produce periodic fluctuations in the apparent positions of distant astronomical sources and in the time of propagation of electromagnetic signals from them. These fluctuations have a characteristic amplitude that is proportional to the strain of the gravitational wave (Braginsky et al., 1990; Schutz, 2010). Direct astrometric measurements of gravitational waves from a single source are not possible with the current pulsar timing or VLBI technology. However, it was noticed that the stochastic ensemble of these waves produced by various mechanisms can be detected in a roundabout way by studying temporal and angular correlations in the times of arrival (TOA) of radio pulses from the pulsar timing array (PTA) (Hellings and Downs, 1983; Foster and Backer, 1990; van Haasteren et al., 2009; Manchester, 2013; Taylor et al., 2017), or in the redshifts of quasars (Seto and Cooray, 2006), or in the pattern of their proper motions in an astrometric fundamental catalog (Pyne et al., 1996; Gwinn et al., 1997; Kaiser and Jaffe, 1997; Jaffe, 2004; Makarov, 2010; Book and Flanagan, 2011; Bini and Geralico, 2018; Oin et al., 2019).

The temporal two-point cross-correlation function in the times of arrival of radio signals is known as the Hellings-Downs curve (Hellings and Downs, 1983; Lee et al., 2008)

$$C_{ab} = \frac{1}{12\pi^2} \zeta_{ab}^{HD} \int_0^\infty \left| h_c(f) \right|^2 f^{-3} df, \tag{13}$$

$$\zeta_{ab}^{HD} = \sin^2 \frac{\theta_{ab}}{2} \left[3 \ln \left(\sin \frac{\theta_{ab}}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{4} \right] + \frac{1}{2} (1 - \delta_{ab}),$$
 (14)

where $h_c(f)$ is the characteristic strain of the gravitational wave metric perturbation at frequency f, θ_{ab} is the angle between two sources of radio waves labeled a and b respectively, δ_{ab} is the Kronecker symbol. The magnitude of the strain at frequency f is related to the dimensional energy density of gravitational waves $\Omega_g(f)$ at this frequency by

$$|h_c(f)|^2 = \frac{3}{2} \frac{H_0 \Omega_g(f)}{\pi^2 f^2},$$
 (15)

where H_0 is the present value of the Hubble constant.

The shape of the Helling-Downs curve Eq. 14 provides a means to measure polarization properties of gravitational waves and to detect possible violations of general relativity (Lee et al., 2008; Burke-Spolaor et al., 2019). Measuring the amplitude of the temporal cross-correlation function Eq. 13 allows us to evaluate the overall energy density of the ensemble of gravitational waves as well as to get information about their spectrum. The PTA technique is the most sensitive to gravitational waves in the frequency band ranging from 1 nHz to a few tens of microhertz, where the mergers of supermassive black hole binaries (SMBHBs) are considered to be the most promising sources of gravitational waves. Preliminary results on the spectrum, energy and other characteristics of gravitational waves emitted by SMBHBs have been recently obtained from analysis of the 11-years data release of monitoring of 45 millisecond pulsars by the North American Nanohertz Observatory for Gravitational Waves (NANOGrav) (Arzoumanian et al., 2018) and published in (Aggarwal et al., 2019; Aggarwal et al., 2020; Hazboun et al., 2020).

The angular two-point cross-correlation function has been derived by Pyne et al. (Pyne et al., 1996), Gwinn et al. (Gwinn et al., 1997), who focused mainly on the contribution of the second spherical harmonic in the expansion of the proper motion of light sources caused by stochastic gravitational waves over the entire sky. Recently, these calculations have been extended to all spherical harmonics by Book and Flanagan (Book and Flanagan, 2011) and Klioner (Klioner, 2018) and we summarize them below for the reader's convenience. Let

⁴The gravity sector of general relativity is associated with gravitational variables entering the left side of Einstein's field equations while the electromagnetic/matter sector is associated with the energy-momentum tensor of matter in the right side of these equations. The speed of gravity is, therefore, the speed $c = c_g$ normalizing the time derivatives of the metric tensor, $g_{\mu\nu}$, which are a constitutional part of both the Christoffel symbols and the Riemann tensor (Kopeikin and Fomalont, 2006; Kopeikin and Fomalont, 2007).

the source of light be observed in the direction defined by a unit vector $n=(n^i)=(\cos\alpha\cos\delta,\sin\alpha\cos\delta,\sin\alpha)$ where the angle α is the right ascension and δ is the declination. By definition, the proper motion is a time derivative of the unit vector, $\mu=\dot{n}$ which is a vector field on a unit sphere. The angular cross-correlation function of proper motions of distant sources caused by a stochastic gravitational wave ensemble, is

$$C_{ab}^{ij} = \langle \mu_a^i \mu_b^j \rangle, \tag{16}$$

where the angular brackets denote the averaging over the ensemble of stochastic gravitational waves, the (geometric) vector indices i and j take values $\{1,2,3\}$, the labels a and b numerate the sources from the astrometric catalog seen in the directions of unit vectors $n_a = (n_a^i)$ and $n_b = (n_b^i)$ respectively, and $\mu_a^i = \dot{n}_a^i$, $\mu_b^i = \dot{n}_b^i$. The Book-Flanagan cross-correlation function reads (Book and Flanagan, 2011)

$$C_{ab}^{ij} = H_0^2 \zeta_{ab}^{BF} \left(A^i A^j + B^i C^j \right) \int_0^\infty \Omega_g(f) d\ln f, \tag{17}$$

$$\zeta_{ab}^{BF} = \frac{1}{2} - 6\sin^2\left(\frac{\theta_{ab}}{2}\right) \left[\tan^2\left(\frac{\theta_{ab}}{2}\right) \ln\left(\sin\frac{\theta_{ab}}{2}\right) + \frac{7}{12}\right], \quad (18)$$

where θ_{ab} is the angle between the two sources, that is, $\cos\theta_{ab}=n_a\cdot n_b$, and three mutually-orthogonal vectors

$$A = \sin^{-1}\theta_{ab} (n_a \times n_b), \qquad B = n_a \times A, \qquad C = n_b \times A. \quad (19)$$

The vector field of proper motions caused by stochastic gravitational waves can be decomposed with respect to the basis of vector spherical harmonics

$$\mu = \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{+l} \left[\mu_{Elm} Y_{lm}^{E}(n) + \mu_{Blm} Y_{lm}^{B}(n) \right], \tag{20}$$

where $Y_{lm}^E(n)$ and $Y_{lm}^B(n)$ are the electric- and magnetic-type transverse vector spherical harmonics (Thorne, 1980). The cross-correlation matrix of the angular spectral decomposition is

$$\langle \mu_{Qlm} \mu_{Q'l'm'} \rangle = H_0^2 C_{lml'm'}^{QQ'} \int_0^\infty \Omega_g(f) d \ln f, \qquad (21)$$

where the labels $Q \in \{E, B\}$ and $Q' \in \{E, B\}$. The coefficients (Book and Flanagan, 2011)

$$C_{lml'm'}^{QQ'} = \oint \oint d^2 \Omega_{n_a} d^2 \Omega_{n_b} Y_{lm}^*(n_a) Y_{l'm'}(n_b) \nabla_i^a \nabla_j^b \left[\zeta_{ab}^{BF} \left(A^i A^j + B^i C^j \right) \right], \tag{22}$$

where $Y_{lm}(n_a)$ are the scalar spherical harmonics, the integration is over the unit sphere, ∇^a_i and ∇^b_i are the normalized 3-dimensional gradients with respect to x^i_a and x^i_b entering the definition of the unit vectors: $n^i_a = x^i_a/|x_a|$ and $n^i_b = x^i_b/|x_b|$. Computation by **Eq. 22** yields (Book and Flanagan, 2011)

$$C_{lml'm'}^{QQ'} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{QQ'} \delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'} \frac{4\pi}{2l+1} \alpha_l, \tag{23}$$

where

$$\alpha_l = 24(2l+1)\frac{(l-1)!}{(l+1)!}\frac{(l-2)!}{(l+2)!}.$$
 (24)

Statistical power of the expectation value of the proper motion induced by the stochastic gravitational waves is

$$\langle \mu^2 \rangle = \sum_{Olm_{Q'l'm'}} Y_{lm}^Q(n) Y_{l'm'}^{Q'}(n) \langle \mu_{Qlm} \mu_{Q'l'm'} \rangle = \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \langle \mu_l^2 \rangle, \quad (25)$$

where

$$\langle \mu_l^2 \rangle = \alpha_l H_0^2 \int_0^\infty \Omega_g(f) d\ln f$$
 (26)

and the numerical coefficients α_l characterize the power of the lth spherical harmonic in the proper motion spectrum. Gwinn et al. (Gwinn et al., 1997) calculated $\alpha_2 = 5/6$. Book and Flanagan (Book and Flanagan, 2011) provided numerical estimates for next 10 coefficients up to l = 11. Klioner (Klioner, 2018) derived a general mathematical expression for all harmonics,

$$\alpha_l = 24(2l+1)\frac{(l-1)!}{(l+1)!}\frac{(l-2)!}{(l+2)!}.$$
(27)

The expansion coefficients α_l decrease asymptotically as l^{-5} as the harmonic degree l increases.

The formalism of the astrometric detection of ultra-long gravitational waves described above can be used in future astrometric missions having much better precision than Gaia (Kopeikin and Gwinn, 2000). The current level of astrometric technology does not allow us to set useful physical constraints of the density of primordial gravitational waves in the early Universe (Schutz, 2010), although such an attempt has been done with radio-quasars (Pyne et al., 1996; Gwinn et al., 1997). The main reasons for the insufficient sensitivity of astrometric detection of gravitational waves are relatively large accidental and systematic errors of the coefficients of vector spherical harmonics representing the observed proper motion field of CRF-defining quasars and AGNs as well as the occurrence of post-fit residuals with perturbations (outliers) beyond the statistical expectation. The latter emerges in comparison analyses of Gaia and ICRF astrometry (Makarov et al., 2017), and may partly be caused by the morphology of the optical counterparts. Users of the radiooptical CRF should also remember that the global spin of the Gaia celestial coordinate system has been technically adjusted to zero on the specially selected sample of reference objects.

5 FUTURE OF FUNDAMENTAL CATALOGS

There are two basic directions in the progressive development of astrometric catalogs establishing the inertial reference frame for various applications in astronomy and fundamental physics. They are concerned with the continuous improvement of astrometric precision in positions, proper motions, and parallaxes, and the increase in the number of catalog's objects. Currently, the astrometric precision of fundamental radio and

optical catalogs is about 100 µas (Charlot et al., 2020; Gordon, 2018; Gaia Collaboration et al., 2018). It was recognized long ago that at this level of precision, the data processing of observations used for the construction of catalogs would require an elaborate general-relativistic model of celestial and terrestrial reference frames (Kopeikin et al., 2011; Soffel et al., 2003; Soffel and Langhans, 2013) and propagation of light (Klioner and Kopeikin, 1992; Klioner, 2003), along with a substantially accurate description of motion of the Solar System bodies (Pitjeva, 2001; Standish and Fienga, 2002; Pitjeva, 2005; Fienga et al., 2008) and the observer (Earth rotation parameters) (Capitaine et al., 2009; Capitaine, 2012; Dehant and Mathews, 2015). These relativistic models have been worked out by a number of research groups around the globe and summarized in the form of standards of the International Earth Rotation and Reference Systems Service (IERS) (Petit and Luzum, 2010).

The near-future goal of fundamental astronomy is to attain the precision of 1 µas (Fomalont and Reid, 2004; Reid and Honma, 2014; Malbet et al., 2016; Lattanzi et al., 2018; Rioja and Dodson, 2019) and to progress beyond this threshold as soon as technology permits (Lindegren, 2007; Brown, 2014; Zschocke et al., 2014). In a more distant future, it may be possible to achieve a sub-microarcsecond astrometric resolution (Johnston et al., 2000). Data processing of sub-microarcsecond astrometric observations will require taking into account a great deal of relativistic effects both in the motion of the observer and the source of light as well as in the propagation of light rays (Kopeikin and Gwinn, 2000). Relativistic models of equations of motion of the Solar System will have to be extended, at least, to the level of the 2-nd post-Newtonian approximation and to incorporate a large number of gravitational relativistic multipoles of the Solar System's bodies (Racine and Flanagan, 2005; Kopeikin, 2019a; Kopeikin, 2019b) while the propagation of light must include various relativistic effects in the time delay and deflection angle caused by the higher-order post-Newtonian terms (Teyssandier, 2012; Linet and Teyssandier, 2013) along with the orbital and rotational motion of the light-ray deflecting bodies (Kopeikin and Schäfer, 1999; Kopeikin and Mashhoon, 2002; Kopeikin, 2009) and their complicated multipolar structure (Kopeikin et al., 1999; Kopeikin et al., 2006; Kopeikin and Makarov, 2007).

Beyond the sub-microarcsecond threshold, one will see a new population of celestial physical phenomena caused by the presence of primordial gravitational waves from the early Universe and different localized astronomical sources like binary stars, space-time topological defects (cosmic strings), moving gravitational lenses, the time variability of gravitational fields of the Solar System and the sources of light, and many others—see the review by Vallenari (Vallenari, 2018) for more detail. Adequate physical interpretation of these yet undetectable sub-microarcsecond phenomena cannot be achieved within the currently applied models, which will require significant development and extension into the field of relativistic gravitational physics (Klioner, 2012) and comprehensive understanding of the astrophysical origin of

the astrometric jitter in position, proper motion, and structure of the observed light sources (Vallenari, 2018).

A practical realization of sub-microarcsecond fundamental catalogs can be achieved with a number of different astronomical techniques in various ranges of the electromagnetic spectrum. In particular, radio astrometry at this level of accuracy adhering to the time-tested concept of absolute measurements requires technical facilities like VLBA (https://science.nrao.edu/facilities/vlba/docs/manuals/oss), VERA (Nagayama et al., 2020) and the Square Kilometer Array (SKA) (Fomalont and Reid, 2004; Godfrey et al., 2012; Reid and Honma, 2014; Weltman et al., 2020) with baselines ranging from the Earth's radius to the size of the lunar orbit. First successful steps in this direction have been recently taken with space radio-interferometric mission RadioAstron (Kardashev et al., 2012; Popov, 2019; Gurvits, 2020) which proved to be very productive (http://www.asc.rssi.ru/radioastron/ publications/publ.html).

Looking into the future of precision optical astrometry, the prospects seem to be less well defined, because it is not obvious which technological innovation would bring a significant jump in accuracy and productivity compared to the currently operating Gaia mission. The Space Interferometry Mission (SIM) was a bold initiative to move beyond 1 µas in differential astrometry by replacing a traditional telescope with an imaging camera with a Michelson-type optical interferometer (Unwin et al., 2008). The theoretical astrometric precision of phase referenced interferometers is defined by the ratio λ/B , where λ is the effective wavelength and B is the baseline length, for photon shot noise limited, monochromatic interferometric fringes. The corresponding performance limit of a traditional imaging telescope is proportional to λ/D , with D being the entrance pupil diameter. Thus, interferometry can in principle provide much better astrometric precision by using baselines exceeding the largest feasible collecting areas of space telescopes. SIM, however, was much limited by budgetary constraints and the basic technical design of a single-body space craft.

The astrometric capabilities of optical interferometry could be indefinitely improved by operating a set of siderostats in a formation-flying mode with a separate beam combiner space craft, similar to the now defunct DARWIN concept (Fridlund, 2004), but without the nulling function for direct imaging. The technical challenges of monitoring and maintaining long baselines between free-floating telescopes within microns are formidable, however. In the domain of proven technology, the Theia initiative (Boehm et al., 2017) promises to surpass the 1 µas threshold for brighter targets, but only in the narrowangle regime of differential astrometry, which can hardly contribute to fundamental astrometry. The proposed Gaia-NIR project (Hobbs et al., 2019), a successor to Gaia in the near infrared, will be truly a global astrometry mission greatly improving the Celestial Reference Frame by obtaining a second epoch of reference object positions, observing deeper into the dusty Galactic belt and beyond, and measuring fainter infrared quasars and AGNs, but only a

moderate progress in the single measurement accuracy is anticipated.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

SK started the original draft of the paper and has written its first draft. VM contributed to the next drafts of the paper (there were six iterations all in all) checking the entire paper content and contributing important updates and references to all sections of the paper.

REFERENCES

- Aggarwal, K., Arzoumanian, Z., Baker, P. T., Brazier, A., Brinson, M. R., et al. (2019). The NANOGrav 11 yr data set: limits on gravitational waves from individual supermassive black hole binaries. *Astrophys. J.* 880 (2), 116. doi:10. 3847/1538-4357/ab2236
- Aggarwal, K., Arzoumanian, Z., Baker, P. T., Brazier, A., Brook, P. R., Burke-Spolaor, S., et al. (2020). The NANOGrav 11 yr data set: limits on gravitational wave memory. *Astrophys. J.* 889 (1), 38. doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ab6083
- Aghanim, N., Armitage-Caplan, C., Arnaud, M., Ashdown, M., Atrio-Barandela, F., et al. (2014). Planck 2013 results. XXVII. Doppler boosting of the CMB: eppur si muove. Astron. Astrophys. 571, A27. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201321556
- Anglada-Escudé, G., and Torra, J. (2006). Astrometric light-travel time signature of sources in nonlinear motion. Astron. Astrophys. 449 (3), 1281–1288. doi:10. 1051/0004-6361:20054500
- Arenou, F., Lindegren, L., Froeschle, M., Gomez, A. E., Turon, C., Perryman, M. A. C., et al. (1995). Zero-point and external errors of HIPPARCOS parallaxes. Astron. Astrophys. 304. 52.
- Arzoumanian, Z., Brazier, A., Burke-Spolaor, S., Chamberlin, S., Chatterjee, S., Christy, B., et al. (2018). The NANOGrav 11-year data set: high-precision timing of 45 millisecond pulsars. *Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.* 235 (2), 37. doi:10. 3847/1538-4365/aab5b0
- Auwers, A. (1879). Fundamental Catalog für die Zonen-Beobachtungen am Nördlichen Himmel. Leipzig, XIV: Publ. Astron. Gesellschaft.
- Allen, B. (1997). "The stochastic gravity-wave background: sources and detection," in *Relativistic gravitation and gravitational radiation*, Editors. J.-A. Marck and J.-P. Lasota, 373.
- Bengaly, C. A. P., Maartens, R., and Santos, M. G. (2018). Probing the Cosmological Principle in the counts of radio galaxies at different frequencies. *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.*, 2018 (4), 031. doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2018/04/031
- Bini, D., and Geralico, A. (2018). Gravitational wave effects on astrometric observables. Phys. Rev. D 98 (12), 124036. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.98.124036
- Bobylev, V. V., and Bajkova, A. T. (2013). Galactic kinematics from a sample of young massive stars. *Astron. Lett.* 39 (8), 532–549. doi:10.1134/S106377371308001X
- Bobylev, V. V. (2020). Kinematics of T Tauri stars close to the Sun from the gaia DR2 catalogue. Astron. Lett. 46 (2), 131–143. doi:10.1134/S1063773720020024
- Boehm, C., Krone-Martins, A., Amorim, A., Anglada-Escude, G., Brandeker, A., et al. (2017). Theia: faint objects in motion or the new astrometry frontier. arXiv Preprint: arXiv:1707.01348.
- Book, L. G., and Flanagan, É. É. (2011). Astrometric effects of a stochastic gravitational wave background. Phys. Rev. D 83, 024024. doi:10.1103/ PhysRevD.83.024024
- Bovy, J. (2020). A purely acceleration-based measurement of the fundamental Galactic parameters. arXiv Preprint: arXiv:2012.02169.
- Bovy, J. (2017). Galactic rotation in gaia DR1. Mon. Notices Royal Astron. Soc. 468 (1), L63–L67. doi:10.1093/mnrasl/slx027
- Bovy, J., and Tremaine, S. (2012). On the local dark matter density. *Astrophys. J.* 756 (1), 89. doi:10.1088/0004-637x/756/1/89
- Braginsky, V. B., Kardashev, N. S., Polnarev, A. G., and Novikov, I. D. (1990).Propagation of electromagnetic radiation in a random field of gravitational waves and space radio interferometry. *Nuovo Cim. B* 105 (10), 1141–1158.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Brian Luzum (United States Naval Observatory, United States), László Szabados (Konkoly Observatory, Hungary) and the referees for valuable comments and suggestions which helped us to improve presentation of the manuscript. We are grateful to Yuri Y. Kovalev (ASC Lebedev Physical Institute, Russia) and Jo Bovy (University of Toronto, Canada) for helpful discussions and useful bibliographic references.

- Brown, A. G. A. (2014). Space astrometry in the Gaia era and beyond. *EAS Publ. Ser.* 67-68, 307-313. doi:10.1051/eas/1567056
- Brown, A. G. A., Vallenari, A., Prusti, T., de Bruijne, J. H. J., Babusiaux, C., et al. (2020). Gaia early data release 3: summary of the contents and survey properties. arXiv e-prints: arXiv:2012.01533.
- Burke-Spolaor, S., Taylor, S. R., Charisi, M., Dolch, T., Hazboun, J. S., Holgado, A. M., et al. (2019). The astrophysics of nanohertz gravitational waves. Astron. Astrophys. Rev. 27 (1), 5. doi:10.1007/s00159-019-0115-7
- Butkevich, A. G., Klioner, S. A., Lindegren, L., Hobbs, D., and van Leeuwen, F. (2017). Impact of basic angle variations on the parallax zero point for a scanning astrometric satellite. Astron. Astrophys. 603, A45. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201730781
- Capitaine, N., Mathews, P. M., Dehant, V., Wallace, P. T., and Lambert, S. B. (2009). On the IAU 2000/2006 precession-nutation and comparison with other models and VLBI observations. *Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron.* 103 (2), 179–190. doi:10.1007/s10569-008-9179-9
- Capitaine, N. (2012). Micro-arcsecond celestial reference frames: definition and realization - impact of the recent IAU resolutions. Res. Astron. Astrophys. 12 (8), 1162–1184. doi:10.1088/1674-4527/12/8/013
- Carroll, B. W., and Ostlie, D. A. (2017). *An introduction to modern astrophysics*. 2nd Edn. Cambridge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press.
- Castelvecchi, D. (2016). Milky Way mapper: 6 ways the Gaia spacecraft will change astronomy. *Nature* 537 (7620), 292–293. doi:10.1038/nature.2016.20569
- Chakrabarti, S., Chang, P., Lam, M. T., Vigeland, S. J., and Quillen, A. C. (2020). A measurement of the Galactic plane mass density from binary pulsar accelerations. arXiv e-prints: arXiv:2010.04018.
- Charlot, P., Jacobs, C. S., Gordon, D., Lambert, S., de Witt, A., Böhm, J., et al. (2020). The third realization of the International Celestial Reference Frame by very long baseline interferometry. *Astron. Astrophys.* 644, A159. doi:10.1051/ 0004-6361/202038368
- Cornish, N., Blas, D., and Nardini, G. (2017). Bounding the speed of gravity with gravitational wave observations. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 119 (16), 161102. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.161102
- Damour, T. (1983). "Gravitational radiation and the motion of compact bodies," in Gravitational radiation. Editors N. Deruelle and T. Piran (Amsterdam, Netherlands: North-Holland), 59–144.
- Damour, T., and Taylor, J. H. (1991). On the orbital period change of the binary pulsar PSR 1913 + 16. Astrophys. J. 366, 501–511. doi:10.1086/169585
- Damour, T., and Taylor, J. H. (1992). Strong-field tests of relativistic gravity and binary pulsars. *Phys. Rev. D* 45 (6), 1840–1868. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD. 45.1840
- Damour, T. (1987). "The problem of motion in Newtonian and Einsteinian gravity," in *Three hundred years of gravitation*. Editors S. W. Hawking and W. Israel (Cambridge, London: Cambridge University Press), 128-198.
- Dehant, V., and Mathews, P. M. (2015). Precession, nutation and wobble of the earth. Cambridge, London: Cambridge University Press.
- Fabricius, C., Høg, E., Makarov, V. V., Mason, B. D., Wycoff, G. L., and Urban, S. E. (2002). The Tycho double star catalogue. Astron. Astrophys. 384, 180–189. doi:10.1051/0004-6361:20011822
- Feng, F., Lisogorskyi, M., Jones, H. R. A., Kopeikin, S. M., Butler, R. P., Anglada-Escudé, G., et al. (2019). PEXO: a global modeling framework for nanosecond timing, microarcsecond astrometry, and μm s-1 radial velocities. *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* 244 (2), 39. doi:10.3847/1538-4365/ab40b6

- Fienga, A., Manche, H., Laskar, J., and Gastineau, M. (2008). INPOP06: a new numerical planetary ephemeris. Astron. Astrophys. 477 (1), 315–327. doi:10. 1051/0004-6361:20066607
- Fomalont, E. B., and Kopeikin, S. M. (2002). "Phase referencing using several calibrator sources," in Proceedings of the 6th EVN Symposium on New Developments in VLBI Science and Technology, Bonn, Germany, 25–28 June, 2002. Editors E Ros, R. W. Porcas, A. P. Lobanov, and J. A. Zensus (Bonn, Germany: Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie), 53–56.
- Fomalont, E. B., and Kopeikin, S. M. (2003). The measurement of the light deflection from Jupiter: experimental results. Astrophys. J. 598, 704–711. doi:10.1086/378785
- Fomalont, E., and Reid, M. (2004). Microarcsecond astrometry using the SKA. New Astron. Rev. 48 (11-12), 1473–1482. doi:10.1016/j.newar.2004.09.037
- Foster, R. S., and Backer, D. C. (1990). Constructing a pulsar timing array. Astrophys. J. 361, 300. doi:10.1086/169195
- Fricke, W. (1985). Fundamental catalogues, past, present and future. Celest. Mech. 36 (3), 207–239. doi:10.1007/BF01230737
- Fricke, W., and Kopff, A. (1963). Fundamental catalogue (FK 4). Karlsruhe, Germany: Veroeffentlichungen des Astronomischen Rechen-Instituts Heidelberg, Verlag Braun.
- Fricke, W., Schwan, H., Lederle, T., Bastian, U., Bien, R., Burkhardt, G., et al. (1988). Fifth fundamental catalogue (FK5). Part 1. The basic fundamental stars. Heidelberg, Germany: Veroeffentlichungen des Astronomischen Rechen-Instituts Heidelberg, 32, 1–106.
- Fridlund, C. V. M. (2004). The Darwin mission. Adv. Space Res. 34 (3), 613–617. doi:10.1016/j.asr.2003.05.031
- Gaia Collaboration: Brown, A. G. A., Vallenari, A., Prusti, T., de Bruijne, J. H. J., Mignard, F., et al. (2016a). Gaia Data Release 1. Summary of the astrometric, photometric, and survey properties. Astron. Astrophys. 595 (A2), 1–23. doi:10. 1051/0004-6361/201629512
- Gaia Collaboration: Prusti, T., de Bruijne, J. H. J., Brown, A. G. A., Vallenari, A., Babusiaux, C., et al. (2016b). The Gaia mission. *Astron. Astrophys.* 595 (A1), 1–36. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201629272
- Gaia Collaboration: Mignard, F., Klioner, S. A., Lindegren, L., Hernández, J., Bastian, U., et al. (2018). Gaia data release 2. The celestial reference frame (Gaia-CRF2). Astron. Astrophys. 616 (A14), 1–15. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/ 201832916
- Gaia Collaboration: Klioner, S. A., Mignard, F., Lindegren, L., Bastian, U., McMillan, P. J., et al. (2020). Gaia early data release 3: acceleration of the solar system from Gaia astrometry. arXiv e-prints: arXiv:2012.02036.
- Godfrey, L. E. H., Bignall, H., Tingay, S., Harvey-Smith, L., Kramer, M., Burke-Spolaor, S., et al. (2012). Science at very high angular resolution with the square kilometre array. *Publ. Astron. Soc. Aust.* 29 (1), 42–53. doi:10.1071/AS11050
- Gordon, D. (2018). "ICRF3: a new realization of the international celestial reference frame," in AGU Fall Meeting Abstracts 2018, Washington, DC, December 10–14, 2018, G42A–G01.
- Gurvits, L. I. (2020). Space VLBI: from first ideas to operational missions. Adv. Space Res. 65 (2), 868–876. doi:10.1016/j.asr.2019.05.042
- Gwinn, C. R., Eubanks, T. M., Pyne, T., Birkinshaw, M., and Matsakis, D. N. (1997).
 Quasar proper motions and low-frequency gravitational waves. Astrophys. J. 485, 87–91. doi:10.1086/304424
- Hall, A. (2019). Cosmology with extragalactic proper motions: harmonic formalism, estimators, and forecasts. Mon. Notices Royal Astron. Soc. 486 (1), 145–165. doi:10.1093/mnras/stz648
- Hall, O. J., Davies, G. R., Elsworth, Y. P., Miglio, A., Bedding, T. R., Brown, A. G. A., et al. (2019). Testing asteroseismology with gaia DR2: hierarchical models of the red clump. *Mon. Notices Royal Astron. Soc.* 486 (3), 3569–3585. doi:10.1093/mnras/stz1092
- Hazboun, J. S., Simon, J., Taylor, S. R., Lam, M. T., Vigeland, S. J., Islo, K., et al. (2020). The NANOGrav 11 yr data set: evolution of gravitational-wave background statistics. *Astrophys. J.* 890 (2), 108. doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ ab68db
- Hellings, R. W., and Downs, G. S. (1983). Upper limits on the isotropic gravitational radiation background from pulsar timing analysis. Astrophys. J. Lett. 265, L39–L42. doi:10.1086/183954
- Hobbs, D., and Høg, E. (2018). "GaiaNIR a future all-sky astrometry mission," in Proceedings of the International Astronomical Union, Symposium S330: Astrometry and Astrophysics in the Gaia sky, April 2017. Editors A. Recio-

- Blanco, P. de Laverny, A. G. A. Brown, and T. Prusti (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press), Vol. 12, 67–70. doi:10.1017/S1743921317005348
- Hobbs, D., Brown, A., Høg, E., Jordi, C., Kawata, D., Tanga, P., et al. (2019). Voyage 2050 white paper: all-sky visible and near infrared space astrometry. arXiv e-prints: arXiv:1907.12535.
- Høg, E., Fabricius, C., Makarov, V. V., Urban, S., Corbin, T., Wycoff, G., et al. (2000). The Tycho-2 catalogue of the 2.5 million brightest stars. Astron. Astrophys. 355, L27–L30.
- Jaffe, A. H. (2004). Observing gravitational radiation with QSO proper motions and the SKA. New Astron. Rev. 48 (11-12), 1483–1485. doi:10.1016/j.newar. 2004.09.018
- Janson, M., Brandeker, A., Boehm, C., and Martins, A. K. (2018). Future astrometric space missions for exoplanet science. Cham, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing, 87. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-55333-787
- K. J. Johnston, D. D. McCarthy, B. J. Luzum, and G. H. Kaplan (Editors) (2000). "Towards models and constants for sub-microarcsecond astrometry," in Proceedings of IAU Colloquium 180, Washington, DC, 27–30 March, 2000. Washington, DC: USNO, 180.
- Kaiser, N., and Jaffe, A. (1997). Bending of light by gravity waves. Astrophys. J. 484 (2), 545–554. doi:10.1086/304357
- Kaplan, G. H., and Makarov, V. V. (2003). Astrometric detection of binary companions and planets: acceleration of proper motion. Astron. Nachr. 324 (5), 419–424. doi:10.1002/asna.200310159
- Kardashev, N. S. (1986). Cosmological proper motion. Soviet Astron. 30, 501–504.
 Kardashev, N. S., Kovalev, Y. Y., and Radioastron, K. I. K. (2012). An earth-space radio interferometer with a 350,000 km baseline. URSI Radio Sci. Bull. 2012 (343), 22–29.
- Kerr, F. J., and Lynden-Bell, D. (1986). Review of galactic constants. Mon. Notices Royal Astron. Soc., 221, 1023–1038. doi:10.1093/mnras/221.4.1023
- Khan, S., Miglio, A., Mosser, B., Arenou, F., Belkacem, K., Brown, A. G. A., et al. (2019). New light on the Gaia DR2 parallax zero-point: influence of the asteroseismic approach, in and beyond the Kepler field. Astron. Astrophys. 628 A35. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201935304
- Kjeldsen, H., and Bedding, T. R. (1995). Amplitudes of stellar oscillations: the implications for asteroseismology. Astron. Astrophys. 293, 87–106.
- Klioner, S. A. (2003). A practical relativistic model for microarcsecond astrometry in space. Astron. J. 125 (3), 1580–1597. doi:10.1086/367593
- Klioner, S. A. (2012). Astronomical relativistic reference systems and their application for astrometry. Memorie della Societa Astronomica Italiana 83, 994.
- Klioner, S. A. (2018). Gaia-like astrometry and gravitational waves. Class. Quant. Grav. 35 (4), 045005. doi:10.1088/1361-6382/aa9f57
- Klioner, S. A., and Kopeikin, S. M. (1992). Microarcsecond astrometry in spacerelativistic effects and reduction of observations. Astron. J. 104, 897. doi:10. 1086/116284
- Kopeikin, S., Efroimsky, M., and Kaplan, G. (2011). Relativistic celestial mechanics of the solar system. Weinheim, Germany: Wiley.
- Kopeikin, S., Korobkov, P., and Polnarev, A. (2006). Propagation of light in the field of stationary and radiative gravitational multipoles. Class. Quant. Grav. 23, 4299–4322. doi:10.1088/0264-9381/23/13/001
- Kopeikin, S., and Mashhoon, B. (2002). Gravitomagnetic effects in the propagation of electromagnetic waves in variable gravitational fields of arbitrary-moving and spinning bodies. *Phys. Rev. D* 65 (6), 064025. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.65. 064025
- Kopeikin, S. M. (2019a). Covariant equations of motion beyond the spin-dipole particle approximation. Eur. Phys. J. Plus 134 (2), 68. doi:10.1140/epjp/i2019-12435-3
- Kopeikin, S. M. (2019b). Covariant equations of motion of extended bodies with arbitrary mass and spin multipoles. *Phys. Rev. D* 99 (8), 084008. doi:10.1103/ PhysRevD.99.084008
- Kopeikin, S. M., and Fomalont, E. B. (2006). Aberration and the fundamental speed of gravity in the Jovian deflection experiment. *Found. Phys.* 36, 1244–1285. doi:10.1007/s10701-006-9059-7
- Kopeikin, S. M., and Fomalont, E. B. (2007). Gravimagnetism, causality, and aberration of gravity in the gravitational light-ray deflection experiments. Gen. Relativ. Gravit. 39 (10), 1583–1624. doi:10.1007/s10714-007-0483-6
- Kopeikin, S. M. (1985). General relativistic equations of binary motion for extended bodies with conservative corrections and radiation damping. Soviet Astron. 29, 516–524.

- Kopeikin, S. M. (2006). Gravitomagnetism and the speed of gravity. Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 15, 305–320. doi:10.1142/S0218271806007663
- Kopeikin, S. M., and Gwinn, C. R. (2000). "Sub-microarcsecond astrometry and new horizons in relativistic gravitational physics," in *IAU colloq. 180: towards* models and constants for sub-microarcsecond astrometry. Editors K. J. Johnston, D. D. McCarthy, B. J. Luzum, and G. H. Kaplan (Washington, DC: U.S. Naval Observatory), 303–307. doi:10.1017/S0252921100000439
- Kopeikin, S. M., and Makarov, V. V. (2006). Astrometric effects of secular aberration. Astron. J. 131 (3), 1471–1478. doi:10.1086/500170
- Kopeikin, S. M., and Makarov, V. V. (2007). Gravitational bending of light by planetary multipoles and its measurement with microarcsecond astronomical interferometers. *Phys. Rev. D* 75 (6), 062002. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.75.062002
- Kopeikin, S. M. (2009). Post-Newtonian limitations on measurement of the PPN parameters caused by motion of gravitating bodies. *Mon. Notices Royal Astron.* Soc. 399 (3), 1539–1552. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.15387.x
- Kopeikin, S. M., Schäfer, G., Gwinn, C. R., and Eubanks, T. M. (1999). Astrometric and timing effects of gravitational waves from localized sources. *Phys. Rev. D* 59 (8), 084023. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.59.084023
- Kopeikin, S. M., and Schäfer, G. (1999). Lorentz covariant theory of light propagation in gravitational fields of arbitrary-moving bodies. *Phys. Rev. D* 60 (12), 124002. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.60.124002
- Kopeikin, S. M. (2001). Testing the relativistic effect of the propagation of gravity by very long baseline interferometry. Astrophys. J. Lett. 556 (1), L1–L5. doi:10. 1086/322872
- Kopeikin, S. M. (2004). The speed of gravity in general relativity and theoretical interpretation of the Jovian deflection experiment. Class. Quant. Grav. 21, 3251–3286. doi:10.1088/0264-9381/21/13/010
- Kopff, A. (1938). Bemerkungen zum Katalog der Zusatzsterne des FK3. Astron. Nachr. 267, 301–304. doi:10.1002/asna.19382671704
- Kopff, A. (1936). Star Catalogues, especially those of fundamental character. Mon. Notices Royal Astron. Soc. 96, 714. doi:10.1093/mnras/96.8.714
- Kopff, A. (1939). Vergleich des FK3 MIT dem General Catalogue von B. Boss. Astron. Nachr. 269, 160–167. doi:10.1002/asna.19392690306
- Kovalev, Y. Y., Lobanov, A. P., Pushkarev, A. B., and Zensus, J. A. (2008). Opacity in compact extragalactic radio sources and its effect on astrophysical and astrometric studies. *Astron. Astrophys.* 483 (3), 759–768. doi:10.1051/0004-6361:20078679
- Kovalevsky, J., and Seidelmann, P. K. (2012). Fundamentals of astrometry. Cambridge, London: Cambridge University Press.
- Kramer, M., and Wex, N. (2009). The double pulsar system: a unique laboratory for gravity. Class. Quan. Grav. 26 (7), 073001. doi:10.1088/0264-9381/26/7/073001
- Lattanzi, M. G., Gai, M., Cecconi, M., Cesare, S., and Mana, G. (2018). "Astrometry at micro-arcsec resolution: optical design aspects and technology issues," in *International conference on space optics ICSO 1997*. Editor G. Otrio (Bellingham, Washington: SPIE: International Society for Optics and Photonics), Vol. 10570, 229–239. doi:10.1117/12.2326460
- Lee, K. J., Jenet, F. A., and Price, R. H. (2008). Pulsar timing as a probe of noneinsteinian polarizations of gravitational waves. Astrophys. J. 685 (2), 1304–1319. doi:10.1086/591080
- Lindegren, L., Bastian, U., Biermann, M., Bombrun, A., de Torres, A., Gerlach, E., et al. (2020b). Gaia early data release 3: parallax bias versus magnitude, colour, and position. arXiv e-prints: arXiv:2012.01742.
- Lindegren, L., Hernández, J., Bombrun, A., Klioner, S., Bastian, U., et al. (2018). Gaia data release 2. The astrometric solution. Astron. Astrophys. 616, A2. doi:10. 1051/0004-6361/201832727
- Lindegren, L., Klioner, S. A., Hernández, J., Bombrun, A., Ramos-Lerate, M., Steidelmüller, H., et al. (2020a). Gaia early data release 3: the astrometric solution. arXiv e-prints: arXiv:2012.03380.
- Lindegren, L., Lammers, U., Bastian, U., Hernández, J., Klioner, S., et al. (2016). Gaia data release 1 - astrometry: one billion positions, two million proper motions and parallaxes. Astron. Astrophys. 595 (A4), 1–32. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201628714
- Lindegren, L. (2006). "Scientific potential of the future space astrometric missions," in *Proceedings of the International Astronomical Union*, (Highlights of Astronomy), 2, 481. doi:10.1017/S1743921307011556
- Linet, B., and Teyssandier, P. (2013). New method for determining the light travel time in static, spherically symmetric spacetimes. Calculation of the terms of

- order G 3. Class. Quant. Grav. 30 (17), 175008. doi:10.1088/0264-9381/30/17/175008
- Lorimer, D. R., and Kramer, M. (2012). Handbook of pulsar astronomy. Cambridge, London: Cambridge University Press.
- Ma, C., Arias, E. F., Bianco, G., Boboltz, D. A., Bolotin, S. L., Charlot, P., et al. (2009). The second realization of the international celestial reference frame by very long baseline interferometry. *IERS Tech. Note* 35 (1).
- Ma, C., Arias, E. F., Eubanks, T. M., Fey, A. L., Gontier, A.-M., Jacobs, C. S., et al. (1998). The international celestial reference frame as realized by very long baseline interferometry. *Astron. J.* 116 (1), 516–546. doi:10.1086/300408
- Maartens, R., Clarkson, C., and Chen, S. (2018). The kinematic dipole in galaxy redshift surveys. J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 2018 (01), 013. doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2018/01/013
- MacMillan, D. S., Fey, A., Gipson, J. M., Gordon, D., Jacobs, C. S., Krásná, H., et al. (2019). Galactocentric acceleration in VLBI analysis. Astron. Astrophys. 630 A93. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201935379
- Maggiore, M. (2000). Gravitational wave experiments and early universe cosmology. *Phys. Rep.* 331 (6), 283–367. doi:10.1016/S0370-1573(99)00102-7
- Makarov, V. V. (1998). Absolute measurements of trigonometric parallaxes with astrometric satellites. Astron. Astrophys. 340, 309–314.
- Makarov, V. V., Frouard, J., Berghea, C. T., Rest, A., Chambers, K. C., Kaiser, N., et al. (2017). Astrometric evidence for a population of dislodged AGNs. Astrophys. J. Lett. 835 (2), L30. doi:10.3847/2041-8213/835/2/L30
- Makarov, V. V., and Murphy, D. W. (2007). The local stellar velocity field via vector spherical harmonics. Astron. J. 134 (1), 367–375. doi:10.1086/518242
- Makarov, V. V. (2010). "Toward inertial reference frames with the SIM observatory," in Proceedings of the International Astronomical Union, Symposium S261: Relativity in Fundamental Astronomy: Dynamics, Reference Frames, and Data Analysis. Editors S. A. Klioner, P. K. Seidelmann, and M. H. Soffel (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press), Vol. 5, 345–349. doi:10.1017/S1743921309990639
- Makarov, V. V., and Urban, S. (2001). "Kinematic patterns of young nearby associations," in Young stars near Earth: Progress and prospects, volume 244 of astronomical Society of the Pacific conference series. Editors J. Ray and T. Greene, 57.
- Malbet, F., Léger, A., Anglada Escudé, G., Sozzetti, A., Spolyar, D., Labadie, L., et al. (2016). "Microarcsecond astrometric observatory Theia: from dark matter to compact objects and nearby earths," in Space telescopes and instrumentation 2016: optical, infrared, and millimeter wave. Editors H. A. MacEwen, G. G. Fazio, M. Lystrup, N. Batalha, N. Siegler, and E. C. Tong (Bellingham, Washington: SPIE: International Society for Optics and Photonics), Vol. 9904, 814–829. doi:10.1117/12.2234425
- Manchester, R. N. (2013). The international pulsar timing array. Class. Quant. Grav. 30 (22), 224010. doi:10.1088/0264-9381/30/22/224010
- McKee, C. F., Parravano, A., and Hollenbach, D. J. (2015). Stars, gas, and dark matter in the solar neighborhood. Astrophys. J. 814 (1), 13. doi:10.1088/0004-637x/814/1/13
- Mehlstäubler, T. E., Grosche, G., Lisdat, C., Schmidt, P. O., and Denker, H. (2018).
 Atomic clocks for geodesy. Rep. Prog. Phys. 81 (6), 064401. doi:10.1088/1361-6633/aab409
- Michalik, D., Lindegren, L., and Hobbs, D. (2015). The Tycho-Gaia astrometric solution. Astron. Astrophys. 574, A115. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201425310
- Mignard, F., Klioner, S., Lindegren, L., Bastian, U., Bombrun, A., Hernández, J., et al. (2016). Gaia Data Release 1. Astron. Astrophys. 595, A5. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201629534
- Milne, E. A. (1935). Stellar kinematics and the K-effect. Mon. Notices Royal Astron. Soc. 95, 560–573. doi:10.1093/mnras/95.7.560a
- Nagayama, T., Kobayashi, H., Hirota, T., Honma, M., Jike, T., Kim, M. K., et al. (2020). Performance of VERA in 10 micro-arcsecond astrometry. *Publ. Astron. Soc. Jpn.* 72 (4), 05. doi:10.1093/pasj/psaa034
- Ochsenbein, F., Bauer, P., and Marcout, J. (2000). The VizieR database of astronomical catalogues. *Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. Ser.* 143 (1), 23–32. doi:10.1051/aas:2000169
- Ogrodnikoff, K. (1932). A theory of streaming in the system of B stars. Zeitschrift für Astrophysik 4, 190–207.
- Olling, R. P., and Dehnen, W. (2003). The Oort constants measured from proper motions. Astrophys. J. 599 (1), 275–296. doi:10.1086/379278

- Olling, R. P., and Merrifield, M. R. (1998). Refining the Oort and galactic constants. Mon. Notices Royal Astron. Soc. 297 (3), 943–952. doi:10.1046/j.1365-8711. 1998.01577.x
- Oort, J. H. (1927). Observational evidence confirming Lindblad's hypothesis of a rotation of the galactic system. Bull. Astron. Institutes Neth. 3, 275–282.
- Paine, J., Darling, J., Graziani, R., and Courtois, H. M. (2020). Secular extragalactic parallax: measurement methods and predictions for gaia. Astrophys. J. 890 (2), 146. doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ab6f00
- Pant, N., Rotti, A., Bengaly, C. A. P., and Maartens, R. (2019). Measuring our velocity from fluctuations in number counts. J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys., 2019 (3), 023. doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2019/03/023
- Perryman, M. A. C., Lindegren, L., Kovalevsky, J., Hog, E., Bastian, U., Bernacca, P. L., et al. (1997). The Hipparcos catalogue. Astron. Astrophys. 500, 501–504.
- Perryman, M. (1998). The Hipparcos astrometry mission. *Phys. Today* 51 (6), 38–43. doi:10.1063/1.882271
- Perryman, M. (2012a). The history of astrometry. Eur. Phys. J. H 37 (5), 745–792. doi:10.1140/epjh/e2012-30039-4
- Perryman, M. (2012b). Astronomical applications of astrometry. Cambridge, London: Cambridge University Press.
- Peters, J. (1907). Neuer Fundamentalkatalog des Berliner Astr. Jahrbuchs für die Epochen 1875 und 1900; (NFK). Veroeffentlichungen des Astronomischen Rechen-Instituts zu Berlin-Dahlem 33 (1).
- Peters, P. C. (1964). Gravitational radiation and the motion of two point masses. Phys. Rev. 136, B1224–B1232. doi:10.1103/PhysRev.136.B1224
- Peters, P. C., and Mathews, J. (1963). Gravitational radiation from point masses in a keplerian orbit. *Phys. Rev.* 131, 435–440. doi:10.1103/ PhysRev.131.435
- Petit, G. (2010). Atomic time scales TAI and TT(BIPM): present performances and prospects. *Proc. IAU* 5, 220–221. doi:10.1017/S1743921310008896
- Petit, G., and Luzum, B. (2010). IERS conventions. IERS Tech. Note 36 (1).
- Pitjeva, E. V. (2005). High-precision ephemerides of planets-EPM and determination of some astronomical constants. Sol. Syst. Res. 39 (3), 176–186. doi:10.1007/s11208-005-0033-2
- Pitjeva, E. V. (2001). Modern numerical ephemerides of planets and the importance of ranging observations for their creation. *Celestial Mech. Dyn. Astron.* 80, 249–271. doi:10.1023/a:1012289530641
- Plavin, A. V., Kovalev, Y. Y., Pushkarev, A. B., and Lobanov, A. P. (2019). Significant core shift variability in parsec-scale jets of active galactic nuclei. Mon. Notices Royal Astron. Soc. 485 (2), 1822–1842. doi:10.1093/mnras/stz504
- Poli, N., Oates, C. W., Gill, P., and Tino, G. M. (2013). Optical atomic clocks. Nuovo Cimento Rivista Serie 36 (12), 555–624. doi:10.1393/ncr/i2013-10095-x
- Popov, M. (2019). "Technical achievements and scientific results of RadioAstron mission 2011-2018," in URSI Asia-Pacific Radio Science Conference (AP-RASC), New Delhi, India, 9-15 March, 2019, 1-3.
- Porcas, R. W. (2009). Radio astrometry with chromatic AGN core positions. Astron. Astrophys. 505 (1), L1–L4. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/200912846
- Pyne, T., Gwinn, C. R., Birkinshaw, M., Eubanks, T. M., and Matsakis, D. N. (1996). Gravitational radiation and very long baseline interferometry. *Astrophys. J.* 465, 566. doi:10.1086/177443
- Qin, W., Boddy, K. K., Kamionkowski, M., and Dai, L. (2019). Pulsar-timing arrays, astrometry, and gravitational waves. *Phys. Rev. D* 99 (6), 063002. doi:10.1103/ PhysRevD.99.063002
- Racine, É., and Flanagan, É. É. (2005). Post-1-Newtonian equations of motion for systems of arbitrarily structured bodies. Phys. Rev. D 71 (4), 044010. doi:10. 1103/PhysRevD.71.044010
- Reid, M. J., and Honma, M. (2014). Microarcsecond radio astrometry. Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 52, 339–372. doi:10.1146/annurev-astro-081913-040006
- Reid, M. J., Menten, K. M., Brunthaler, A., Zheng, X. W., Dame, T. M., Xu, Y., et al. (2014). Trigonometric parallaxes of high mass star forming regions: the structure and kinematics of the Milky Way. Astrophys. J. 783 (2), 130. doi:10.1088/0004-637x/783/2/130
- Reitze, D. H. (2017). First detections of gravitational waves emitted from binary black hole mergers. Phys.-Usp. 60, 823. doi:10.3367/UFNe.2016.11.038176
- Rioja, M., and Dodson, R. (2019). (Ultra) precise astrometry today and tomorrow, with next-generation observatories. arXiv e-prints: arXiv:1910.13086.
- Sanna, A., Reid, M. J., Dame, T. M., Menten, K. M., and Brunthaler, A. (2017). Mapping spiral structure on the far side of the milky way. *Science* 358 (6360), 227–230. doi:10.1126/science.aan5452

- Schäfer, G. (1985). The gravitational quadrupole radiation-reaction force and the canonical formalism of ADM. Ann. Phys. 161, 81–100. doi:10.1016/0003-4916(85)90337-9
- Schutz, B. F. (2010). "Astrometric and timing effects of gravitational waves," in Proceedings of the International Astronomical Union, Symposium S261: Relativity in Fundamental Astronomy: Dynamics, Reference Frames, and Data Analysis, April 2009. Editors S. A. Klioner, P. K. Seidelmann, and M. H. Soffel (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press), Vol. 5, 234–239. doi:10.1017/S1743921309990457
- Schutz, B. F. (1999). Gravitational wave astronomy. Class. Quant. Grav. 16, A131–A156. doi:10.1088/0264-9381/16/12a/307
- Schutz, B. F. (2018). Gravitational-wave astronomy: delivering on the promises. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A. 376, 20170279. doi:10.1098/rsta.2017.0279
- Seto, N., and Cooray, A. (2006). Cosmological constraints on the very low frequency gravitational-wave background. *Phys. Rev. D* 73 (2), 023005. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.73.023005
- Soffel, M., Klioner, S. A., Petit, G., Wolf, P., Kopeikin, S. M., Bretagnon, P., et al. (2003). The IAU 2000 resolutions for astrometry, celestial mechanics, and metrology in the relativistic framework: explanatory supplement. Astron. J. 126, 2687–2706. doi:10.1086/378162
- Soffel, M., Kopeikin, S., and Han, W.-B. (2017). Advanced relativistic VLBI model for geodesy. J. Geod. 91, 783–801. doi:10.1007/s00190-016-0956-z
- Soffel, M., and Langhans, R. (2013). Space-time reference systems. Berlin, Germany: Springer. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-30226-8
- Standish, E. M., and Fienga, A. (2002). Accuracy limit of modern ephemerides imposed by the uncertainties in asteroid masses. *Astron. Astrophys.* 384, 322–328. doi:10.1051/0004-6361:20011821
- Taylor, S. R., Lentati, L., Babak, S., Brem, P., Gair, J. R., Sesana, A., et al. (2017). All correlations must die: assessing the significance of a stochastic gravitational-wave background in pulsar timing arrays. *Phys. Rev. D* 95 (4), 042002. doi:10. 1103/PhysRevD.95.042002
- Teyssandier, P. (2012). Direction of light propagation to order G² in static, spherically symmetric spacetimes: a new derivation. Class. Quant. Grav. 29 (24), 245010. doi:10.1088/0264-9381/29/24/245010
- Thorne, K. S. (1980). Multipole expansions of gravitational radiation. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 52, 299–339. doi:10.1103/revmodphys.52.299
- Titov, O., and Krásná, H. (2018). Measurement of the solar system acceleration using the Earth scale factor. Astron. Astrophys. 610, A36. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201731901
- Titov, O., Lambert, S. B., and Gontier, A.-M. (2011). VLBI measurement of the secular aberration drift. Astron Astrophys. 529 A91. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/ 201015718
- Trimble, V. (1995). The 1920 shapley-Curtis discussion: background, issues, and aftermath. *Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac.* 107, 1133. doi:10.1086/133671
- Truebenbach, A. E., and Darling, J. (2017). The VLBA extragalactic proper motion catalog and a measurement of the secular aberration drift. Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 233 (1), 3. doi:10.3847/1538-4365/aa9026
- Unwin, S. C., Shao, M., Tanner, A. M., Allen, R. J., Beichman, C. A., Boboltz, D., et al. (2008). Taking the measure of the universe: precision astrometry withSIM PlanetQuest. *Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac.* 120 (863), 38. doi:10.1086/525059
- Vallenari, A. (2018). The future of astrometry in space. Front. Astron. Space Sci. 5 (11), 1–11. doi:10.3389/fspas.2018.00011
- van Haasteren, R., Levin, Y., McDonald, P., and Lu, T. (2009). On measuring the gravitational-wave background using Pulsar Timing Arrays. *Mon. Notices Royal Astron. Soc.* 395 (2), 1005–1014. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966. 2009.14590.x
- VERA CollaborationHirota, T., Nagayama, T., Honma, M., Adachi, Y., Burns, R. A., et al. (2020). The first VERA astrometry catalog. *Publ. Astron. Soc. Jpn.* 72 (4), 04. doi:10.1093/pasj/psaa018
- Walter, H. G., and Sovers, O. J. (2000). Astrometry of fundamental catalogues. Heidelberg, Germany: Springer-Verlag.
- Weinberg, S. (2008). Cosmology. Oxford, London: Oxford University Press.
- Weisberg, J. M., and Huang, Y. (2016). Relativistic measurements from timing the binary pulsar PSR B1913+16. Astrophys. J. 829 (1), 55. doi:10.3847/0004-637X/ 829/1/55
- Weisberg, J. M., Nice, D. J., and Taylor, J. H. (2010). Timing measurements of the relativistic binary pulsar PSR B1913+16. Astrophys. J. 722, 1030–1034. doi:10. 1088/0004-637X/722/2/1030

- Weltman, A., Bull, P., Camera, S., Kelley, K., Padmanabhan, H., Pritchard, J., et al. (2020). Fundamental physics with the square kilometre array. *Publ. Astron. Soc. Aust.* 37, e002. doi:10.1017/pasa.2019.42
- Wex, N. (2014). "Testing relativistic gravity with radio pulsars," in Frontiers in relativistic celestial mechanics. Vol. 2. Applications and experiments. Editor S. M. Kopeikin (Berlin, Germany: De Gruyter), 39–102.
- Wielen, R., Schwan, H., Dettbarn, C., Lenhardt, H., Jahreiß, H., and Jährling, R. (1999). Sixth Catalogue of Fundamental Stars (FK6). Part I. Basic fundamental stars with direct solutions. Veroeff. Astron. Rechen-Inst. Heidelberg 35, 1.
- Wielen, R., Schwan, H., Dettbarn, C., Lenhardt, H., Jahreiß, H., Jährling, R., et al. (2000). Sixth Catalogue of Fundamental Stars (FK6). Part III. Additional fundamental stars with direct solutions. Veroeff. Astron. Rechen-Inst. Heidelberg 37 (1).
- Zinn, J. C., Pinsonneault, M. H., Huber, D., and Stello, D. (2019). Confirmation of the gaia DR2 parallax zero-point offset using asteroseismology and

- spectroscopy in the kepler field. Astrophys. J. 878 (2), 136. doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ab1f66
- Zschocke, S., Klioner, S. A., and Soffel, M. (2014). "Towards sub-microarsecond models for relativistic astrometry," in *Journées 2013 "Systèmes de référence* spatio-temporels. Editor N. Capitaine, 7–11.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Kopeikin and Makarov. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.