



Applied olfactory cognition

Gesualdo M. Zucco^{1*}, Benoist Schaal², Mats J. Olsson³ and Ilona Croy⁴

¹ Department of General Psychology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Padova, Padova, Italy

² Centre Européen des Sciences du Goût, CNRS, Dijon, France

³ Division for Psychology, Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

⁴ Department of Clinical Neurophysiology, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden

*Correspondence: zucco@unipd.it

Edited and reviewed by:

Eddy J. Davelaar, Birkbeck College, UK

Keywords: applied olfaction, cognition, everyday life, expertise, health and disease

In recent years a significant body of research has accumulated on olfaction along several lines of investigation, ranging from molecular mechanisms to the neural and cognitive processing of olfactory information, as well as to multiple influences of odors on our everyday lives. The purpose of the present Frontiers' Research Topic is to present experimental data (run in the laboratory as well as in everyday settings), reviews and methods papers on various applied or applicable aspects of olfactory cognition along with the beneficial possibilities that olfactory cognitions make possible in ameliorating different aspects of human condition.

The present Research Topic is composed of 23 articles reunited in six fields of applied olfactory cognition. The first section concerns basic studies on odor memory and attention. In the first article, Smeets and Dijksterhuis (2014) review the potency of odors to affect human behavior. In the second article, Toet and van Schaik (2013) focus on how such priming are dependent on the congruency between the odor prime and the behavior that is supposed to be affected. In the third article, Köster et al. (2014) reverse the typical view on memory as being triggered by cues of previously encountered objects and argue that odor memory in everyday life is about detecting novelty rather than pleasantness. This section ends with an overview by Larsson et al. (2014) (article fourth) on the potency of odor-cues to generate life-long autobiographical memories.

The second section reunites contributions on the acquisition and consequence of olfactory expertise which remains relatively unexplored in olfaction. Royet et al. (2013), report brain imaging studies with different types of odor experts, including: perfumers, flavorists, and oenologists (article fifth). Thereafter Sezille et al. (2014) (article sixth) investigate whether experts do perceive the pleasantness of odorants differently than non-experts. Pagliarini et al. (2013) (article seventh) study the attitudes of consumers toward wine from organically grown grapes.

The third section of the Research Topic addresses chemoreception in everyday life. In the eighth article, Thomas-Danguin et al. (2014) and his colleagues survey how everyday odors such as food flavors, perfumes, and wines convey complex information which perception depends on sophisticated processing abilities at different levels of the system. Andersson et al. (2013) turn in the ninth article to the problem of health-risk perception of chemical exposure and its interaction with distress and the ideas the receiver has about the exposure. In the tenth article Demattè et al. (2014) review the role of olfaction in food neophobia and suggest

that olfaction might work as an alerting system preventing the ingestion of potentially detrimental substances.

The fourth section of the Research Topic focuses on the relationships between olfaction and emotional processes. In the eleventh article, He et al. (2014) investigates the facial expressions of emotion in response to odors. In the twelfth article, Joussain et al. (2014) show in a combined field and laboratory study the influence of odor exposure on emotional states. In the thirteenth article, Ischer et al. (2014) present a new approach to investigate how olfactory ambiances affect visual responses in virtual worlds. In the fourteen article, Seo et al. (2013) show how personality traits affect the way attitudes toward odors. Further, Schablitzky and Pause (2014) (article fifteenth) investigate the interesting link between olfactory perception and depression. Glass et al. (2014) (article sixteenth) and colleagues show how potently everyday odors can induce emotions as happiness and disgust in the perceiver, while Tricoli et al. (2014) (article seventeenth) find interesting gender difference in how liking and wanting of odors differ over time.

The fifth section concerns aspects of human reproductive life in relation with the emission and perception of body odors. Cameron (2014) starts by reviewing how pregnancy affects the perception of environmental odors (article eighteenth). Lundström et al. (2013) (article nineteenth) show how the body odor of two day-old newborns elicits activation in reward-related cerebral areas in women, regardless of their maternal status.

In the last section of the Research Topic olfaction is considered in relation with health and disease issues. Ignatieva et al. (2014) (article twentieth) hunt for a genetic explanation of interindividual variability in perceptual and emotional processing of odors. Hummel et al. (2013) (article twenty-first) give us a close-up on how the brain processes odor mixtures; while Doty and Kamath (2014) (article twenty-second) review how our olfactory abilities change across the life span. Finally, Maurage et al. (2014) (article twenty-third) point to the role of olfaction in the establishment of alcohol dependence.

We are grateful to all of the contributors for their commitment to this project and for providing new accounts of the state of the art in applied olfactory cognition. We would also like to extend our special thanks to Professor Richard J. Stevenson for writing the foreword of this book. We hope that this e-volume will help promote further research on the applied aspects of olfactory perception and cognition and attract new scientists

to the field. We also hope that it will be a useful resource for colleagues and professionals dealing with the study of the chemical senses in relation with issues on human welfare in everyday setting.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Dr. Eddy J. Davelaar, Specialty Chief Editor of Cognitive Science, a section of the Journal *Frontiers in Psychology* and to the members of the Editorial team of *Frontiers* for their competent and friendly assistance along the entire production process.

REFERENCES

- Andersson, L., Claeson, A.-S., Ledin, L., Wisting, F., and Nordin, S. (2013). The influence of health-risk perception and distress on reactions to low-level chemical exposure. *Front. Psychol.* 4:816. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00816
- Cameron, E. L. (2014). Pregnancy and olfaction: a review. *Front. Psychol.* 5:67. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00067
- Demattè, M. L., Endrizzi, I., and Gasperi, F. (2014). Food neophobia and its relation with olfaction. *Front. Psychol.* 5:127. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00127
- Doty, R. L., and Kamath, V. (2014). The influences of age on olfaction: a review. *Front. Psychol.* 5:20. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00020
- Glass, S. T., Lingg, E., and Heuberger, E. (2014). Do ambient urban odors evoke basic emotions? *Front. Psychol.* 5:340. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00340
- He, W., Boesveldt, S., de Graaf, C., and de Wijk, R. A. (2014). Dynamics of autonomic nervous system responses and facial expressions to odors. *Front. Psychol.* 5:110. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00110
- Hummel, T., Olgun, S., Gerber, J., Huchel, U., and Frasnelli, J. (2013). Brain responses to odor mixtures with sub-threshold components. *Front. Psychol.* 4:786. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00786
- Ignatieva, E. V., Levitsky, V. G., Yudin, N. S., Moshkin, M. P., and Kolchanov, N. A. (2014). Genetic basis of olfactory cognition: extremely high level of DNA sequence polymorphism in promoter regions of the human olfactory receptor genes revealed using the 1000 Genomes Project dataset. *Front. Psychol.* 5:247. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00247
- Ischer, M., Baron, N., Mermoud, C., Cayeux, I., Porcherot, C., Sander, D., et al. (2014). How incorporation of scents could enhance immersive virtual experiences. *Front. Psychol.* 5:736. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00736
- Joussain, P., Rouby, C., and Bensafi, M. (2014). A pleasant familiar odor influences perceived stress and peripheral nervous system activity during normal aging. *Front. Psychol.* 5:113. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00113
- Köster, E. P., Möller, P., and Mojjet, J. (2014). A “Misfit” Theory of Spontaneous Conscious Odor Perception (MITSCOP): reflections on the role and function of odor memory in everyday life. *Front. Psychol.* 5:64. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00064
- Larsson, M., Willander, J., Karlsson, K., and Arshamian, A. (2014). Olfactory LOVER: behavioral and neural correlates of autobiographical odor memory. *Front. Psychol.* 5:312. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00312
- Lundström, J. N., Mathe, A., Schaal, B., Frasnelli, J., Nitzsche, K., Gerber, J., et al. (2013). Maternal status regulates cortical responses to the body odor of newborns. *Front. Psychol.* 4:597. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00597
- Maurage, P., Rombaux, P., and de Timary, P. (2014). Olfaction in alcohol-dependence: a neglected yet promising research field. *Front. Psychol.* 4:1007. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2013.01007
- Pagliarini, E., Laureati, M., and Gaeta, D. (2013). Sensory descriptors, hedonic perception and consumer's attitudes to Sangiovese red wine deriving from organically and conventionally grown grapes. *Front. Psychol.* 4:896. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00896
- Royet, J.-P., Plailly, J., Saive, A.-L., Veyrac, A., and Delon-Martin, C. (2013). The impact of expertise in olfaction. *Front. Psychol.* 4:928. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00928
- Schablitzky, S., and Pause, B. M. (2014). Sadness might isolate you in a non-smelling world: olfactory perception and depression. *Front. Psychol.* 5:45. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00045
- Seo, H. S., Lee, S., and Cho, S. (2013). Relationships between personality traits and attitudes toward the sense of smell. *Front. Psychol.* 4:901. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00901
- Sezille, C., Fournel, A., Rouby, C., Rinck, F., and Bensafi, M. (2014). Hedonic appreciation and verbal description of pleasant and unpleasant odors in untrained, trainee cooks, flavorists, and perfumers. *Front. Psychol.* 5:12. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00012
- Smeets, M. A. M., and Dijksterhuis, G. B. (2014). Smelly primes – when olfactory primes do or do not work. *Front. Psychol.* 5:96. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00096
- Thomas-Danguin, T., Sinding, C., Romagny, S., El Mountassir, F., Atanasova, B., Le Berre, E., et al. (2014). The perception of odor objects in everyday life: a review on the processing of odor mixtures. *Front. Psychol.* 5:504. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00504
- Toet, A., and van Schaik, M. G. (2013). Visual attention for a desktop virtual environment with ambient scent. *Front. Psychol.* 4:883. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00883
- Triscio, C., Croy, I., Olausson, H., and Sailer, U. (2014). Liking and wanting pleasant odors: different effects of repetitive exposure in men and women. *Front. Psychol.* 5:526. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00526

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received: 02 July 2014; accepted: 22 July 2014; published online: 12 August 2014.

Citation: Zucco GM, Schaal B, Olsson MJ and Croy I (2014) Applied olfactory cognition. *Front. Psychol.* 5:873. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00873

This article was submitted to Cognitive Science, a section of the journal *Frontiers in Psychology*.

Copyright © 2014 Zucco, Schaal, Olsson and Croy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.