



Global View on the Cytokinin Regulatory System in Potato

Sergey N. Lomin, Yulia A. Myakushina, Oksana O. Kolachevskaya, Irina A. Getman, Ekaterina M. Savelieva, Dmitry V. Arkhipov, Svetlana V. Deigraf and Georgy A. Romanov*

Timiryazev Institute of Plant Physiology, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia

Cytokinins (CKs) were earlier shown to promote potato tuberization. Our study aimed to identify and characterize CK-related genes which constitute CK regulatory system in the core potato (Solanum tuberosum) genome. For that, CK-related genes were retrieved from the sequenced genome of the S. tuberosum doubled monoploid (DM) Phureja group, classified and compared with Arabidopsis orthologs. Analysis of selected gene expression was performed with a transcriptome database for the S. tuberosum heterozygous diploid line RH89-039-16. Genes responsible for CK signaling, biosynthesis, transport, and metabolism were categorized in an organ-specific fashion. According to this database, CK receptors StHK2/3 predominate in leaves and flowers, StHK4 in roots. Among phosphotransmitters, StHP1a expression largely predominates. Surprisingly, two pseudo-phosphotransmitters intended to suppress CK effects are hardly expressed in studied organs. Among B-type RR genes, StRR1b, StRR11, and StRR18a are actively expressed, with StRR1b expressing most uniformly in all organs and StRR11 exhibiting the highest expression in roots. By cluster analysis four types of prevailing CK-signaling chains were identified in (1) leaves and flowers, StHK2/3→StHP1a→StRR1b/+; (2) shoot apical meristems, stolons, and mature tubers, $StHK2/4 \rightarrow StHP1a \rightarrow StRR1b/+$; (3) stems and young tubers, StHK2/4->StHP1a->StRR1b/11/18a; and (4) roots and tuber sprouts, StHK4->StHP1a->StRR11/18a. CK synthesis genes StIPT3/5 and StCYP735A are expressed mainly in roots followed by tuber sprouts, but rather weakly in stolons and tubers. By contrast, CK-activation genes StLOGs are active in stolons, and StLOG3b expression is even stolon-confined. Apparently, the main CK effects on tuber initiation are realized via activity of StLOG1/3a/3b/7c/8a genes in stolons. Current advances and future directions in potato research are discussed.

Keywords: potato, cytokinin, two-component system, receptor, response regulator, gene expression, signaling chains, multistep phosphorelay

INTRODUCTION

Potato tubers (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) are well known and widespread sources of food, feed, and technical substances (starches). Cytokinins (CKs), classical plant hormones, are known to promote potato tuber formation, at least in conventional *in vitro* systems (Palmer and Smith, 1970; Aksenova et al., 2000; Romanov et al., 2000; Cheng et al., 2020). CKs are also involved in the formation of artificial "tuberoids" on tobacco and tomato shoots (Guivarc'h et al., 2002; Eviatar-Ribak et al., 2013)

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Wolfram G. Brenner, Universität Leipzig, Germany

Reviewed by:

Takatoshi Kiba, Nagoya University, Japan Adam Solti, Eötvös Loránd University, Hungary

> *Correspondence: Georgy A. Romanov gromanov@yahoo.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Physiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 02 October 2020 Accepted: 19 November 2020 Published: 21 December 2020

Citation:

Lomin SN, Myakushina YA, Kolachevskaya OO, Getman IA, Savelieva EM, Arkhipov DV, Deigraf SV and Romanov GA (2020) Global View on the Cytokinin Regulatory System in Potato. Front. Plant Sci. 11:613624. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.613624

1

as well as tuber-shaped rounded tumors on agrobacteriainfected plants (Dodueva et al., 2020). In addition to stimulating the formation of tubers, CKs contribute to their sprouting (Hartmann et al., 2011; Aksenova et al., 2013). The morphogenic effect of CKs certainly exploits their ability to induce cell divisions (Miller et al., 1955; Sakakibara, 2006; Romanov, 2009; Kieber and Schaller, 2014), although is not limited to this. All the above emphasizes the importance of CK regulatory system for such a valuable tuber-producing crop as potatoes.

Over the past decade, a prominent progress has been achieved in potato research. First of all, this concerns the sequencing of the complete genome of S. tuberosum group Phureja doubled monoploid DM1-3 516 R44 (DM) by the Potato Genome Sequencing Consortium [PGSC] (2011). Thereafter, a set of genes/proteins controlling tuberization was uncovered (Dutt et al., 2017; Hannapel et al., 2017). It became clear that the regulation of tuberization is based on a complex crosstalk between numerous hormonal and non-hormonal factors (Aksenova et al., 2012, 2014). In our research, we focused on the hormonal part of this regulatory network. On the basis of our experimental data (Kolachevskaya et al., 2015, 2017, 2018, 2019a) and data from recent literature, an updated hypothesis of hormonal regulation of potato tuberization was advanced (Kolachevskaya et al., 2017, 2019b), where CKs play an important role, especially at the tuber induction and initiation stages. Furthermore, the DNA sequence coding for CK receptors (sensor histidine kinase) and basic CKsignaling machinery were identified in the sequenced DM genome and analyzed by means of bioinformatics tools (Lomin et al., 2018b). In parallel, a suite of genes encoding sensor histidine kinases from tetraploid cultivar "Désirée" were cloned and expressed, giving rise to individual CK receptors. These receptors were studied in-depth, including their phylogenetics, conserved domains, 3D-structures, ligand-binding properties, organ-specificity of expression and responsiveness to CKs, regulatory cis-elements in their promoters, subcellular localization, homo- and heterodimerization, and mode of interaction with phosphotransmitters (Lomin et al., 2018b, 2020; Arkhipov et al., 2019).

Receptors are considered key proteins in hormone signaling, but they cannot signal by themselves. Regulation by any hormone in planta requires dozens of genes/proteins that function in various aspects of the given hormonal system. Like any other hormonal system, the CK regulatory system can be conventionally divided into a relatively conserved central part (CK signaling, synthesis, metabolism, and transport) and a more variable periphery-mainly CK-responsive regulatory genes (Bhargava et al., 2013; Brenner and Schmülling, 2015). For example, the central part of the model Arabidopsis plant distinguished by small genome size comprises, according to the latest estimates, some 80 genes (Supplementary Figure 1). Among them, 34 genes belong to the so-called twocomponent system (TCS) and constitute a signaling pathway termed multistep phosphorelay (MSP) from transmembrane receptors to primary response genes in the nucleus (Heyl and Schmülling, 2003; Mizuno, 2005; Kieber and Schaller, 2014, 2018; Pekárová et al., 2016, 2018; Arkhipov et al., 2019;

Hallmark and Rashotte, 2019). Other involved genes have been classified as genes for CK synthesis (20 genes in total) (Kamada-Nobusada and Sakakibara, 2009), catabolism, and reversed inactivation (12 genes) (Schmülling et al., 2003; Hoyerová and Hošek, 2020), as well a transport (eight genes) (Liu et al., 2019). The CK regulatory system in potatoes is far less studied than in Arabidopsis. Here we intend to gain insight into the genome-wide composition and functioning of the central part of CK regulatory system in potatoes, with a particular focus on tuber formation. The prospects for using current knowledge to improve potato yield are discussed below.

CK-RELATED GENES IN POTATOES

Current molecular studies of potatoes are based on the sequenced DM genome representing the core genome of this widespread crop. Nevertheless, the size of even this minimal genome (844 Mb) is many times larger than the genome of the model Arabidopsis plant (135 Mb). However, this difference essentially disappears when we compare the numbers of protein-coding genes: 27,029 in Arabidopsis thaliana "Columbia" (Swarbreck et al., 2008) and 39,031 in DM potato (Potato Genome Sequencing Consortium [PGSC], 2011). In this case, the size of the one genome is now only reduced to only 1.44 times that of the other. Consequently, a large dissimilarity in numbers of genes of CK regulatory systems between these two species seems unlikely. Table 1 demonstrates that the core potato genome encodes orthologs of nearly all gene families involved in the central part of the CK system in Arabidopsis. And indeed, the sizes of orthologous gene families are rather close in Arabidopsis and potato (Supplementary Figure 1; Lomin et al., 2018b). For CK synthesis, potatoes possess 16 genes (compare to 20 in Arabidopsis), encoding six isopentenyl adenine transferases (StIPT), one cytochrome P450 monooxygenase StCYP735A, and nine lonely guy (StLOG) orthologs. For CK perception, potatoes have three receptor histidine kinases (StHK2-4) similarly to Arabidopsis; 8, 7, and 2 response regulators of class A, B, and C, respectively, as well as three cytokinin response factor (StCRF) proteins. In this regard, potatoes markedly differ from Arabidopsis in the proportion of TCS-like genes. In potatoes, half (three out of six) of the members of the phosphotransfer protein family (StHP) lack conserved phospho-accepting amino acids and are considered putative inhibitors of the MSP. By contrast, in Arabidopsis only one such member (AHP6, 1 from 6) is a true MSP inhibitor. In Arabidopsis, type B response regulators were divided into three groups, from which only ARR-Bs of the first group (ARR-BI) were proven to participate in CK signaling. Interestingly, ARR-Bs of these groups are not the closest neighbors on the phylogenetic tree but are interspersed with the APRR2 and APRR6 groups of pseudo-RRs. Similarly to Arabidopsis, most (7) of potato RR-B genes are homologous to RR-BI group, whereas three *StRR-B* genes are beyond this phylogenetic branch (Supplementary Figure 2A). The encoded three StRR-B proteins (StRR25, 27, and 28), along with conserved phosphoaccepting aspartate, contain abnormal DD- and K-motifs in their

TABLE 1 | Proven or high-probable components of the CK regulatory system in DM potatoes.

Gene	GenBank			PGSC	
	Gene ID	Protein	Amino acids	Primary transcript	Location
СНК					
StHK2	LOC102591086	XP_015158747.1	1,263	PGSC0003DMT400015729	ST4.03ch07:44284533.44287254 R
StHK3	LOC102587294	XP_006352176.1	1,032	PGSC0003DMT400084727	ST4.03ch05:14358273.14361236 F
StHK4	LOC102603756	XP_006355050.1	992	PGSC0003DMT400075775	ST4.03ch04:2774233.2781847 R
нк					
StCKI1	LOC102594786	XP_006349947.1	765	PGSC0003DMT400011818	ST4.03ch12:60313883.60322789 F
StETR1	LOC102588584	XP_006349996.1/97.1	754/751	PGSC0003DMT400020285	ST4.03ch12:1112062.1121655 R
HPt					
StHP1a	LOC102590747	XP_006365269.1/70.1/71.1	151	PGSC0003DMT400081746	ST4.03ch01:60730670.60732462 R
StHP1b	LOC102603297	XP_006352793.1	152	PGSC0003DMT400051833	ST4.03ch06:59207849.59211570 F
StHP4a	LOC102589200	XP_006364721.1/XP_015159552.1	136/112	PGSC0003DMT400077451	ST4.03ch08:38158555.38161451 R
PHPt					
StPHP4b	LOC102584884	XP_015170906.1	137	PGSC0003DMT400047799	ST4.03ch11:42457958.42462239 R
StPHP4c	LOC102606269	XP_0063430 <u>39.1/40.1</u>	152		
StPHP6	LOC102601463	XP_006364219.1	156	PGSC0003DMT400001706	ST4.03ch03:56593561.56594955 R
RR-B I					
StRR1a	LOC102578736	XP_0063635 <u>79.1/80.1</u>	675	PGSC0003DMT400065835	ST4.03ch01:11998173.12002053 F
StRR1b	LOC102586468	XP_006345976.1	663	PGSC0003DMT400060506	ST4.03ch05:50113044.50119581 F
StRR1c	LOC102596771	XP_006349953.1	556	PGSC0003DMT400090747	ST4.03ch12:60090199.60093910 R
StRR11	LOC102593308	XP_015161764.1/67.1/68.8	481/581/581	PGSC0003DMT400031260	ST4.03ch05:11541271.11546411 F
StRR14	LOC102606335	XP_0063550 <u>58.1/59.1</u>	656/653	PGSC0003DMT400075907	ST4.03ch04:2709257.2714486 F
StRR18a	LOC102598455	XP_006343681.1	681	PGSC0003DMT400008290	ST4.03ch07:402301.406809 F
StRR18b	LOC102587717	XP_006350077.1	707	PGSC0003DMT400020233	ST4.03ch12:2023372.2028700 F
RR-A					
StRR4	LOC102602758	XP_015168830.1	248	PGSC0003DMT400058306	ST4.03ch05:6752023.6754796 R
StRR9a	LOC102590336	XP_006355595.1	163	PGSC0003DMT400007977	ST4.03ch02:30409061.30409639 R
StRR9b	LOC102588738	XP_0151702 <u>32.1/33.1</u>	214/211	PGSC0003DMT400007618	ST4.03ch04:24951499.24954972 f
StRR9c	LOC102599826	XP_006351272.1	226	PGSC0003DMT400076726	ST4.03ch10:58221853.58223696 F
StRR9d	LOC102601166	XP_006351276.1	226	PGSC0003DMT400076758	ST4.03ch10:58094625.58096547 R
StRR15	LOC102605280	XP_006344995.1	202	PGSC0003DMT400063187	ST4.03ch03:53710748.53712289 R
StRR17a	LOC102583233	XP_006357298.1	156	PGSC0003DMT400070964	ST4.03ch06:34954518.34956370 F
StRR17b	LOC102579353	XP_006358744.1	148	PGSC0003DMT400042922	ST4.03ch06:34247673.34249935 F
RR-C ¹					
StRR22a	LOC107059982	XP_015162643.1	137	PGSC0003DMT400092899	ST4.03ch03:23672034.23672546 R
StRR22b	LOC102580685	XP_006361623.2	115	PGSC0003DMT400096803	ST4.03ch03:37709010.37709447 R
CRF					
StCRF1	LOC102599019	XP_006343893.1	338	PGSC0003DMT400032058	ST4.03ch08:55617019.55618035 F
StCRF3a	LOC102605010	XP_006360182.1	374	PGSC0003DMT400006041	ST4.03ch06:38128680.38129804 F
StCRF3b	LOC102591238	XP_006350598.1	389	PGSC0003DMT400086922	ST4.03ch03:44865282.44866451 F
ATP/ADP-IPT					
StIPT1a	LOC102595379	XP_006360459	334	PGSC0003DMT400015411	ST4.03ch04:1541542.1542378 F
StIPT1b	LOC102604151	XP_006344131	345	PGSC0003DMT400037749	ST4.03ch05:2800989.2801840 R
StIPT3	LOC102579012	XP_006355868	323	PGSC0003DMT400002509	ST4.03ch09:46852324.46853283 R
StIPT5	LOC102599418	XP_006339408	330	PGSC0003DMT400083203	ST4.03ch01:61389842.61390834 R
tRNA-IPT					
StIPT9	LOC102605568	XP_006358948.1/49.1/XP_015169686.1	450/368/398	PGSC0003DMT400039795	ST4.03ch12:270799.276885 F
StIPT2	LOC102594223	XP_006349089/XP_015164944	467/466	PGSC0003DMT400068271	ST4.03ch11:39838731.39844810 R
CYP735A					
StCYP735A	LOC102603015	XP_006363117.1	517	PGSC0003DMT400032989	ST4.03ch02:41114766.41117388 F
LOG					
StLOG1a	LOC102584678	XP_006340180.1	228	PGSC0003DMT400020890	ST4.03ch11:41500955.41505580 F
StLOG1b	LOC102598045	XP_006365730.2	216		

(Continued)

TABLE 1 | continued

Gene	GenBank			PGSC	
	Gene ID	Protein	Amino acids	Primary transcript	Location
StLOG3a/LOG1	LOC102581470	XP_006339070.1	220	PGSC0003DMT400027157	ST4.03ch10:54947968.54951688 F
StLOG3b	LOC102592821	XP_006354329.1	218	PGSC0003DMT400055525	ST4.03ch09:5646817.5650774 F
StLOG7a/LOG2	LOC102583076	XP_006348482.1	218	PGSC0003DMT400042349	ST4.03ch01:3283634.3289271 F
<u>StLOG7b</u>	LOC102587326	XP_006339321.1	217	PGSC0003DMT400072345	ST4.03ch10:56042745.56046560 R
StLOG7c/LOG3	LOC102592408	XP_006342033.1	225	PGSC0003DMT400009551	ST4.03ch04:70714467.70717363 F
StLOG8a	LOC102597227	XP_006351590.1	213	PGSC0003DMT400021223	ST4.03ch08:35250415.35253629 R
StLOG8b	LOC102595783	XP_015167145.1/XP_006354132.1	206/205	PGSC0003DMT400081828	ST4.03ch01:1308627.1312950 F
скх					
StCKX1a	LOC102577758	NP_001275030.1	543	PGSC0003DMT400033123	ST4.03ch04:11108702.11111248 R
StCKX1b	LOC102605765	XP_006351290.1	536		
StCKX3	LOC102577888	NP_001275401.1	527	PGSC0003DMT400000752	ST4.03ch12:3650404.3656647 F
StCKX5	CKX4	NP_001274957.1	526	PGSC0003DMT400009621	ST4.03ch04:70221912.70227296 R
StCKX6	CKX3	NP_001275006.1/XP_015163315.1/16.1	533/509/533	PGSC0003DMT400017390	ST4.03ch01:64568740.64570801 F
StCKX7a	LOC102605861	XP_006367057.1	542	PGSC0003DMT400080680	ST4.03ch08:33930753.33933803 R
StCKX7b	CKX5	NP_001275121.1	513	PGSC0003DMT400080679	ST4.03ch08:33888758.33891903 R
ABCG14					
StABCG14a	LOC102579805	XP_006359961.1	646	PGSC0003DMT400004973	ST4.03ch08:47265148.47267889 R
StABCG14b	LOC102600750	XP_006361227.1/28.1	662/659	PGSC0003DMT400047547	ST4.03ch08:3599414.3602415 R
ENT					
StENT3a	LOC102583617	XP_006347184.1	415		
StENT3b	LOC102601592	XP_006347157.1/XP_015164176.1	421	PGSC0003DMT400057543	ST4.03ch02:35070810.35072418 R
StENT3c	LOC102592247	XP_0063525 <u>97.1/98.1</u>	448/421	PGSC0003DMT400029352	ST4.03ch10:520474.523484 F
StENT3d	LOC102604121	NP_001275018.1	418	PGSC0003DMT400059645	ST4.03ch02:10149698.10151875 F
PUP					
StPUP1_1	LOC102593174	XP_006338103.1	354	PGSC0003DMT400005470	ST4.03ch04:64474151.64477355 F
StPUP1_2	LOC102592621	XP_006358992.1	358		
StPUP1_3	LOC102592834	XP_006338102.1	352	PGSC0003DMT400005467	ST4.03ch04:64481580.64482969 F
StPUP1_4	LOC102580279	XP_006366415.1	355	PGSC0003DMT400071864	ST4.03ch06:36382676.36385224 R
StPUP1_5	LOC102581289	XP_006364706.1	363		
StPUP1_6	LOC102590236	XP_006357923.1	341		
StPUP1_7	LOC102590918	XP_015169158.1	351	PGSC0003DMT400036604	ST4.03ch06:36309239.36311744 R
StPUP1_8	LOC102591268	XP_006357925.1	343		
StPUP1_9	LOC102580805	XP_006360266.1	364	PGSC0003DMT400045772	ST4.03ch12:55367629.55371917 F
StPUP1_10	LOC102581812	XP_006360267.2	363		

Gene families (left column) are marked bold. Slash separated and underlined end numbers in the Protein column corresponds to different splice forms. ¹This family contains non-expressing genes in the displayed organ set (StRR22a) or in the overall organism (StRR22b).

receiver (REC-) domains (**Supplementary Figure 2B**), which most likely render these proteins inactive. Other potato noncanonical genes are listed in the **Supplementary Table 1** as they hardly contribute to CK action. Notably, the potato genome contains genes encoding type C pseudo RRs (*PRR* type C) which are lacking in Arabidopsis. At least an essential portion of Arabidopsis PRR orthologs harbor CCT motif and take part in the photoperiodic flowering control unrelated to the CK system and to being subject to circadian rhythms (Mizuno, 2005). Any other role of the remaining PRRs (**Supplementary Table 1**) in CK action cannot be completely excluded but is very questionable.

We included in **Table 1** non-CK receptor histidine kinases (CKI1, ETR1) as they may play a role in CK signaling. When one such kinase (AtCKI1) was spontaneously overexpressed, the

mutated phenotype mimicked the effect of massive CK treatment (Kakimoto, 1996). The receiver domain of another histidine kinase, ethylene receptor ETR1, also can interact with MSP signaling intermediates acting downstream from CK receptors (Zdarska et al., 2019). The background TCS activity of these proteins seems to be sufficient to rescue the basic phenotype of Arabidopsis triple mutants lacking all three CK receptors and no longer responding to CKs (Higuchi et al., 2004; Nishimura et al., 2004; Riefler et al., 2006; Romanov, 2009). All this indicates the possible role of CK11 and ETR1 in MSP signaling. In total, the estimated number of genes directly involved in CK signaling (MSP) in DM potatoes is 31.

For CK degradation, potatoes possess seven CKX orthologs (Table 1). Genes for putative CK conjugation are included only in **Supplementary Table 1** because potato *StUGTs* have

no direct homology to Arabidopsis *UGT* genes responsible for CK O- and N-glucosylation (**Supplementary Figure 3**). Also, genes encoding CK transporters (Liu et al., 2019)—*StPUP* (10), *StENT* (4), and *StABCG14* (2) [possibly also *StABCI19-21* and *StAZG1,2* (Kim et al., 2020; Tessi et al., 2020), see **Supplementary Table 1**]—have active orthologs in Arabidopsis and are obviously of particular importance. The exceptions are gene-orthologs of *PUP14* transporters of Arabidopsis that are missing in potatoes (**Supplementary Figure 4**). Overall, the central part of the CK regulatory system in the core potato genome comprises 70 genes, the number close to that in Arabidopsis (**Supplementary Figure 1**). Among the potato genes, 28 (40%) are TCS homologs, whereas the remaining genes are not TCS-related.

THE EXPRESSION PATTERN OF CK-RELATED GENES

To elucidate the molecular events underlying potato growth, productivity and stress tolerance, the list of families of paralog genes is a useful but insufficient characteristic. Knowledge of absolute and relative spatiotemporal gene expression is necessary to address this issue. The expression pattern of genes involved in the CK action in the diploid potato line RH89-039-161 is shown in Figure 1. Clearly, most of these genes are expressed differently depending on the organ and stage of development. Among CHK receptors, the expression of StHK2/3 predominates in leaves and flowers, while StHK4 is mostly expressed in roots. In the latter organ, StHK2/4 genes are quite active. Among phosphotransmitters, StHP1a expression predominates in every organ, following by StHP1b, which is also expressed quasiconstitutively but to a much lesser extent. Interestingly, all three genes for the phosphotransfer-like proteins StPHP4b, 4c, 6, which are supposed to suppress CK signaling, are hardly expressed in RH89-039-16 line. As for RR-B transcription factors, StRR1b is expressed most uniformly in all organs, StRR1a acts similarly but much more weakly, and *StRR1c* is almost not expressed. Among all B-type RR genes, StRR1a, StRR1b, StRR11, and StRR18a are the most strongly expressed. Roots represent the site where almost all RR-B genes are expressed, dominated by StRR11.

By means of cluster analysis of the organ-specific expression of genes encoding receptors and StRRs type B (**Supplementary Figure 5**), we identified four types of prevailing signaling chains: (1) in leaves and flowers, StHK2/3 \rightarrow StHP1a \rightarrow StRR1b/+; (2) in shoot apical meristems, stolons, and mature tubers, StHK2/4 \rightarrow StHP1a \rightarrow StRR1b/+; (3) in stems and young tubers, StHK2/4 \rightarrow StHP1a \rightarrow StRR1b/11/18a; and (4) in roots and tuber sprouts, StHK4 \rightarrow StHP1a \rightarrow StRR11/18a.

Cytokinins synthesis genes *StIPT3/5* and *StCYP735A* are expressed mainly in roots (similarly to Arabidopsis). CK-perception and synthesis genes (*StHKs*, *StIPTs*, and *StCYP735A*) are also actively expressed in tuber sprouts, where *StHK4* transcripts prevail over transcripts of other CK receptor genes. A special group of CK-activation genes termed *StLOGs* are active in stolons, and *StLOG3b* expression is mainly restricted to this



organ (**Figure 1**). It is noteworthy that organs (leaves, stolons) in which *StLOG* genes are strongly expressed, are mostly devoid of transcripts of other CK-synthesizing genes (*StIPT*, *StCYP735A*) (**Figure 1**). The above observations, based on the gene expression data¹ for the diploid potato RH89-039-16, are generally

¹http://solanaceae.plantbiology.msu.edu/pgsc_download.shtml

consistent with organ-specific analysis of the transcriptome in the commercial tetraploid potatoes "Désirée" (Lomin et al., 2018b, and data not shown). However, the organ/tissue patterns of the expressed genes in different potato lines/cultivars (DM, RH89-039-16, "Désirée") were not strictly identical, indicating some cultivar-specificity of gene functioning in potatoes. For example, RH89-039-16 and "Désirée" share similar organdependent expression patterns for *StHP1a*, *StPHP4b*, *StPHP6*, *StRR1b*, *StRR14*, *StRR18a*, *StRR9a*, *StCYP735A*, and *StABCG14a*, although marked differences in these patterns were observed for *StRR11*, *StRR9c*, *StIPT5*, and *StCKX3*. The expression patterns of remaining CK-related genes coincide moderately.

DISCUSSION

Here we present a global view on the CK regulatory system in potatoes. Generally, each hormonal regulatory system, in particular the cytokinin one (Romanov, 2009), includes complex units ensuring hormone biosynthesis, translocation, perception, and inactivation, as well as the primary response structures to targeted signal transduction. These units consist of corresponding proteins encoded by cognate genes. Here, the CK-related gene sequences were retrieved from the annotated core genome of the doubled monoploid DM1-3 516 R44 potato Phureja (the genes whose role in the CK system is proven or highly probable, Table 1) and thus represent the minimal gene set of the potato CK system. There is no doubt that commercial varieties of potatoes, mostly tetraploids, possess much many genes related to CK system. This is corroborated by data on the tetraploid "Désirée" variety, in which at least six authentic CK receptors have been identified (Lomin et al., 2018b), twice as many (3) CK receptors encoded by the DM genome. However, additional genes are close paralogs of the "core" genes, so the number of gene clades remains unchanged. Other genes that could theoretically acquire the status of CK "core" genes are listed in Supplementary Table 1, but their involvement requires detailed research and seems unlikely at this time.

In terms of nomenclature, we propose to follow the tradition of naming gene/protein according to the best homology to its Arabidopsis counterpart. Thereby, the potato genes were named according to their closest orthologs in Arabidopsis (see **Supplementary Figures 2–4**) in **Supplementary Data**. When many potato genes turned out to be orthologous to the same Arabidopsis gene, the former are marked with an additional letter at the end (a, b, c, etc.). Such nomenclature has already been used to designate potato CK-receptors (Lomin et al., 2012; Steklov et al., 2013) and other potato genes/proteins (Lomin et al., 2018b). The exceptions are *StPUP* genes since their homology to any of Arabidopsis *PUPs* is not obvious. In any case, this compiled gene set (**Table 1**) can serve as a convenient basis for studying genes, constituting the basic part of the CK system in potato.

As expected, most of CK-related enzymatic activities are encoded in the core potato genome by small (mostly 2–10 members) gene families. At the moment, 16 such families can be counted, of which eight families correspond to intracellular signal transduction (MSP), totally 31 genes encoding 41 proteins (Table 1). Among these proteins, only three (StHKs) have contact directly with hormonal ligands, while others obviously do not, though this has not been definitely proven. In fact, this part of the global CK system includes 28 authentic TCS homologs, which generate or affect signal transmission through His-Asp phosphorelay. The exceptions are proteins with degenerated TCS homology, which cannot directly participate in MSP because of structural deficiency. These non-functioning in MSP genes and proteins are evidently not bona fide members of the central part of the CK system. Some of them are displayed in Supplementary Table 1 containing not vet excluded but possible candidates for the CK system in potatoes. In fact, CKs almost monopolized the MSP system, using it as a signaling part of their global regulatory circuit. This statement is supported by the fact that every functional phosphotransmitter is promiscuous, i.e., is able to transmit "hot" phosphate from any CK receptor to any RR-B in the nucleus (Hutchison et al., 2006; Dortay et al., 2008; Lomin et al., 2018a; Arkhipov et al., 2019). Other hormones—in particular, ethylene, whose receptors are also TCS homologshad to switch to a signal transduction pathway other than MSP (Pekárová et al., 2018).

Data on the relative expression of selected genes allowed us to outline the most plausible protein chains transmitting the CK signal from receptors to primary response genes. This corresponds to the activity of the prevailing potato MSP. We suggested four types of main signaling chains, which are delineated above. These chains are partially redundant, especially in relation to the transfer stage from the cytosol to the nucleus, where phosphotransmitter StHP1a predominates in all organs of the diploid potato. However, predominant receptors and B-type response regulators may differ depending on the organ, and this CK-signaling specificity should be taken into account when researchers manipulate the potato genome. To date, we consider the stolon as the most promising organ for engineering potato productivity and early maturation. Apparently, this is where the most important events leading to tuber initiation should take place. The most noticeable feature of the transcriptome of the CK system in stolons is the increased activity of the LOG-genes (StLOG1/3a/3b/7c/8a) without visible changes in the expression of other CK-related gene families. Moreover, the expression of StLOG3b is stolon-specific. In contrast, the activity of other CK synthesis genes, IPTs and CYP735A, was much weaker here than in other organs. Thus, stolons are likely sites where CK precursors (phosphoribosides) are exported and activated to functional CK bases by LOG enzymes. Recently, it was found that three LOG genes (StLOG3a, 7a, and 7c) are activated by the tuberigen StBEL5, most likely through the direct interaction of this transcription factor with the corresponding *cis*-elements in the promoters of these genes (Sharma et al., 2016). It is noteworthy that the ectopic expression of only one of the tomato LOG genes was sufficient for the formation of tuber-like structures from the lateral meristems in tomato (Eviatar-Ribak et al., 2013). Thus, we assume that CKs are involved in the tuberization process through the activation of LOG genes by the StBEL5 pathway. The contribution of the stolon identity pathway is also possible due to the only partial overlap of LOG expression patterns induced by StBEL5 and inherent in stolons.

Collectively, since CKs were known to be implicated in many aspects of potato growth and productivity, the presented genome-wide data characterizing the CK-regulatory system of potatoes can be useful for the exploration and breeding of this important crop.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found here: http://solanaceae.plantbiology.msu.edu/pgsc_ download.shtml.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

SL, YM, OK, IG, ES, SD, and DA presented and analyzed their experimental and/or bioinformatic results. SL prepared main illustrative materials. GR wrote the version of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Aksenova, N. P., Konstantinova, T. N., Golyanovskaya, S. A., Kossmann, J., Willmitzer, L., and Romanov, G. A. (2000). Transformed potato plants as a model for studying the hormonal and carbohydrate regulation of tuberization. *Russ. J. Plant Physiol.* 47, 370–379.
- Aksenova, N. P., Konstantinova, T. N., Golyanovskaya, S. A., Sergeeva, L. I., and Romanov, G. A. (2012). Hormonal regulation of tuber formation in potato plants. *Russ. J. Plant Physiol.* 59, 451–466. doi: 10.1134/S1021443712040024
- Aksenova, N. P., Sergeeva, L. I., Kolachevskaya, O. O., and Romanov, G. A. (2014). "Hormonal regulation of tuber formation in potato," in *Bulbous Plants: Biotechnology*, eds K. Ramavat, and J. Mérillon (Boca Raton, FL: Taylor & Francis Group), 3–36. doi: 10.1201/b16136-3
- Aksenova, N. P., Sergeeva, L. I., Konstantinova, T. N., Golyanovskaya, S. A., Kolachevskaya, O. O., and Romanov, G. A. (2013). Regulation of potato tuber dormancy and sprouting. *Russ. J. Plant Physiol.* 60, 301–312. doi: 10.1134/ S1021443713030023
- Arkhipov, D. V., Lomin, S. N., Myakushina, Y. A., Savelieva, E. M., Osolodkin, D. I., and Romanov, G. A. (2019). Modeling of protein-protein interactions in cytokinin signal transduction. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 20:2096. doi: 10.3390/ ijms20092096
- Babicki, S., Arndt, D., Marcu, A., Liang, Y., Grant, J. R., Maciejewski, A., et al. (2016). Heatmapper: web-enabled heat mapping for all. *Nucl. Acids Res.* 44, W147–W153. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw419
- Bhargava, A., Clabaugh, I., To, J. P., Maxwell, B. B., Chiang, Y. H., Schaller, G. E., et al. (2013). Identification of cytokinin-responsive genes using microarray meta-analysis and RNA-Seq in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* 162, 272–294. doi: 10.1104/pp.113.217026
- Brenner, W. G., and Schmülling, T. (2015). Summarizing and exploring data of a decade of cytokinin-related transcriptomics. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6:29. doi: 10.3389/ fpls.2015.00029
- Cheng, L., Wang, D., Wang, Y., Xue, H., and Zhang, F. (2020). An integrative overview of physiological and proteomic changes of cytokinin-induced potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) tuber development *in vitro*. *Physiol. Plant.* 168, 675– 693. doi: 10.1111/ppl.13014
- Dodueva, I. E., Lebedeva, M. A., Kuznetsova, K. A., Gancheva, M. S., Paponova, S. S., and Lutova, L. A. (2020). Plant tumors: a hundred years of study. *Planta* 251:82.
- Dortay, H., Gruhn, N., Pfeifer, A., Schwerdtner, M., Schmülling, T., and Heyl, A. (2008). Toward an interaction map of the two-component signaling pathway of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. J. Proteome Res. 7, 3649–3660. doi: 10.1021/pr0703831
- Dutt, S., Manjul, A. S., Raigond, P., Singh, B., Siddappa, S., Bhardwaj, V., et al. (2017). Key players associated with tuberization in potato: potential candidates

All authors contributed to the manuscript editing, developed the idea and outline of the manuscript, and read and approved the manuscript final version before submission.

FUNDING

Supported by a grant of the Russian Science Foundation No. 17-74-20181. We also thank the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation for generous subsidies used to pay for the main Institute facilities as prerequisites for the successful experimental work.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2020. 613624/full#supplementary-material

for genetic engineering. Crit. Rev. Biotechnol. 37, 942-957. doi: 10.1080/07388551.2016.1274876

- Eviatar-Ribak, T., Shalit-Kaneh, A., Chappell-Maor, L., Amsellem, Z., Eshed, Y., and Lifschitz, E. (2013). A cytokinin-activating enzyme promotes tuber formation in tomato. *Curr. Biol.* 23, 1057–1064. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2013. 04.061
- Guivarc'h, A., Rembur, J., Goetz, M., Roitsch, T., Noin, M., Schmülling, T., et al. (2002). Local expression of the *ipt* gene in transgenic tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L. cv. SR1) axillary buds establishes a role for cytokinins in tuberization and sink formation. *J. Exp. Bot.* 53, 621–629. doi: 10.1093/jexbot/53. 369.621
- Hallmark, H. T., and Rashotte, A. M. (2019). Review Cytokinin Response Factors: responding to more than cytokinin. *Plant Sci.* 289, 110251. doi: 10.1016/j. plantsci.2019.110251
- Hannapel, D. J., Sharma, P., Lin, T., and Banerjee, A. K. (2017). The multiple signals that control tuber formation. *Plant Physiol.* 174, 845–856. doi: 10.1104/pp.17. 00272
- Hartmann, A., Senning, M., Hedden, P., Sonnewald, U., and Sonnewald, S. (2011). Reactivation of meristem activity and sprout growth in potato tubers require both cytokinin and gibberellin. *Plant Physiol.* 155, 776–796. doi: 10.1104/pp. 110.168252
- Heyl, A., and Schmülling, T. (2003). Cytokinin signal perception and transduction. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 6, 480–486. doi: 10.1016/s1369-5266(03)00087-6
- Higuchi, M., Pischke, M. S., Mähönen, A. P., Miyawaki, K., Hashimoto, Y., Seki, M., et al. (2004). *In planta* functions of the Arabidopsis cytokinin receptor family. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 101, 8821–8826. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0402887101
- Hoyerová, K., and Hošek, P. (2020). New insights into the metabolism and role of cytokinin N-glucosides in plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* 11:741. doi: 10.3389/fpls. 2020.00741
- Hutchison, C. E., Li, J., Argueso, C., Gonzalez, M., Lee, E., Lewis, M. W., et al. (2006). The Arabidopsis histidine phosphotransfer proteins are redundant positive regulators of cytokinin signaling. *Plant Cell* 18, 3073–3087. doi: 10. 1105/tpc.106.045674
- Kakimoto, T. (1996). CKI1, a histidine kinase homolog implicated in cytokinin signal transduction. *Science* 274, 982–985. doi: 10.1126/science.274.5289.982
- Kamada-Nobusada, T., and Sakakibara, H. (2009). Molecular basis for cytokinin biosynthesis. *Phytochem*. 70, 444–449. doi: 10.1016/j.phytochem.2009.02.007
- Kieber, J. J., and Schaller, G. E. (2014). Cytokinins. Arabidopsis Book 12:e0168. doi: 10.1199/tab.0168
- Kieber, J. J., and Schaller, G. E. (2018). Cytokinin signaling in plant development. Development 145:dev149344. doi: 10.1242/dev.149344
- Kim, A., Chen, J., Khare, D., Jin, J. Y., Yamaoka, Y., Maeshima, M., et al. (2020). Non-intrinsic ATP-binding cassette proteins ABCI19, ABCI20 and ABCI21

modulate cytokinin response at the endoplasmic reticulum in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Cell Rep. 39, 473–487. doi: 10.1007/s00299-019-02503-0

- Kolachevskaya, O. O., Alekseeva, V. V., Sergeeva, L. I., Rukavtsova, E. B., Getman, I. A., Vreugdenhil, D., et al. (2015). Expression of auxin synthesis gene *tms1* under control of tuber-specific promoter enhances potato tuberization *in vitro*. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.* 57, 734–744. doi: 10.1111/jipb.12314
- Kolachevskaya, O. O., Lomin, S. N., Arkhipov, D. V., and Romanov, G. A. (2019a). Auxins in potato: molecular aspects and emerging roles in tuber formation and stress resistance. *Plant Cell Rep.* 38, 681–698. doi: 10.1007/s00299-019-02395-0
- Kolachevskaya, O. O., Lomin, S. N., Kojima, M., Getman, I. A., Sergeeva, L. I., Sakakibara, H., et al. (2019b). Tuber-specific expression of two gibberellins oxidase transgenes from Arabidopsis regulates over wide ranges the potato tuber formation. *Russ. J. Plant Physiol.* 66, 984–991. doi: 10.1134/ S1021443720010094
- Kolachevskaya, O. O., Sergeeva, L. I., Floková, K., Getman, I. A., Lomin, S. N., Alekseeva, V. V., et al. (2017). Auxin synthesis gene *tms1* driven by tuberspecific promoter alters hormonal status of transgenic potato plants and their responses to exogenous phytohormones. *Plant Cell Rep.* 36, 419–435. doi: 10. 1007/s00299-016-2091-y
- Kolachevskaya, O. O., Sergeeva, L. I., Getman, I. A., Lomin, S. N., Savelieva, E. M., and Romanov, G. A. (2018). Core features of the hormonal status in *in vitro* grown potato plants. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 13:e1467697. doi: 10.1080/15592324. 2018.1467697
- Liu, C. J., Zhao, Y., and Zhang, K. (2019). Cytokinin transporters: multisite players in cytokinin homeostasis and signal distribution. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10:693. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.00693
- Lomin, S. N., Krivosheev, D. M., Steklov, M. Y., Osolodkin, D. I., and Romanov, G. A. (2012). Receptor properties and features of cytokinin signaling. *Acta Nat.* 4, 31–45. doi: 10.32607/20758251-2012-4-3-31-45
- Lomin, S. N., Myakushina, Y. A., Arkhipov, D. V., Leonova, O. G., Popenko, V. I., Schmülling, T., et al. (2018a). Studies of cytokinin receptor–phosphotransmitter interaction provide evidences for the initiation of cytokinin signalling in the endoplasmic reticulum. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 45, 192–202. doi: 10.1071/FP16 292
- Lomin, S. N., Savelieva, E. M., Arkhipov, D. V., and Romanov, G. A. (2020). Evidences for preferential localization of cytokinin receptors of potato in the endoplasmic reticulum. *Biochem. (Moscow) Suppl. Ser. A Membr. Cell Biol.* 14, 146–153. doi: 10.1134/S1990747820010079
- Lomin, S. N., Myakushina, Y. A., Kolachevskaya, O. O., Getman, I. A., Arkhipov, D. V., Savelieva, E. M., et al. (2018b). Cytokinin perception in potato: new features of canonical players. *J. Exp. Bot.* 69, 3839–3853. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ ery199
- Miller, C. O., Skoog, F., Von Saltza, M. H., and Strong, F. M. (1955). Kinetin, a cell division factor from deoxyribonucleic acid. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 77:1392. doi: 10.1021/ja01610a105
- Mizuno, T. (2005). Two-component phosphorelay signal transduction systems in plants: from hormone responses to circadian rhythms. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* 69, 2263–2276. doi: 10.1271/bbb.69.2263
- Nishimura, C., Ohashi, Y., Sato, S., Kato, T., Tabata, S., and Ueguchi, C. (2004). Histidine kinase homologs that act as cytokinin receptors possess overlapping functions in the regulation of shoot and root growth in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 16, 1365–1377. doi: 10.1105/tpc.021477
- Palmer, C. E., and Smith, O. E. (1970). Effect of kinetin on tuber formation on isolated stolons of *Solanum tuberosum* L. cultured *in vitro*. *Plant Cell Physiol*. 11, 303–314. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.pcp.a074511
- Pekárová, B., Szmitkowska, A., Dopitová, R., Degtjarik, O., Žídek, L., and Hejátko, J. (2016). Structural aspects of multistep phosphorelay-mediated

signaling in plants. *Mol. Plant* 9, 71-85. doi: 10.1016/j.molp.2015.11. 008

- Pekárová, B., Szmitkowska, A., Houser, J., Wimmerova, M., and Hejátko, J. (2018). "Cytokinin and ethylene signaling," in *Plant Structural Biology: Hormonal Regulations*, eds J. Hejátko, and T. Hakoshima (Basel: Springer). doi: 10.1007/ 978-3-319-91352-0_10
- Potato Genome Sequencing Consortium [PGSC] (2011). Genome sequence and analysis of the tuber crop potato. *Nature* 475, 189–195. doi: 10.1038/ nature10158
- Riefler, M., Novak, O., Strnad, M., and Schmülling, T. (2006). Arabidopsis cytokinin receptor mutants reveal functions in shoot growth, leaf senescence, seed size, germination, root development, and cytokinin metabolism. *Plant Cell* 18, 40–54. doi: 10.1105/tpc.105.037796
- Romanov, G. A. (2009). How do cytokinins affect the cell? *Russ. J. Plant Physiol.* 56, 268–290. doi: 10.1134/S1021443709020174
- Romanov, G. A., Aksenova, N. P., Konstantinova, T. N., Golyanovskaya, S. A., Kossmann, J., and Willmitzer, L. (2000). Effect of indole-3-acetic acid and kinetin on tuberisation parameters of different cultivars and transgenic lines of potato in vitro. *Plant Growth Regul.* 32, 245–251. doi: 10.1023/A: 1010771510526
- Sakakibara, H. (2006). Cytokinins: activity, biosynthesis, and translocation. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 57, 431–449. doi: 10.1146/annurev.arplant.57.032905.10 5231
- Schmülling, T., Werner, T., Riefler, M., Krupková, E., and Bartrina y Manns, I. (2003). Structure and function of cytokinin oxidase/dehydrogenase genes of maize, rice, *Arabidopsis* and other species. *J. Plant Res.* 116, 241–252. doi: 10.1007/s10265-003-0096-4
- Sharma, P., Lin, T., and Hannapel, D. J. (2016). Targets of the StBEL5 transcription factor include the FT ortholog StSP6A. *Plant Physiol.* 170, 310–324. doi: 10. 1104/pp.15.01314
- Steklov, M. Y., Lomin, S. N., Osolodkin, D. I., and Romanov, G. A. (2013). Structural basis for cytokinin receptor signaling: an evolutionary approach. *Plant Cell Rep.* 32, 781–793. doi: 10.1007/s00299-013-1408-3
- Swarbreck, D., Wilks, C., Lamesch, P., Berardini, T. Z., Garcia-Hernandez, M., Foerster, H., et al. (2008). The Arabidopsis Information Resource (TAIR): gene structure and function annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 36, D1009–D1014. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkm965
- Tessi, T. M., Brumm, S., Winklbauer, E., Schumacher, B., Pettinari, G., Lescano, I., et al. (2020). Arabidopsis AZG2 transports cytokinins *in vivo* and regulates lateral root emergence. *New Phytol.* doi: 10.1111/nph.16943 [Epub ahead of print].
- Zdarska, M., Cuyacot, A. R., Tarr, P. T., Yamoune, A., Szmitkowska, A., Hrdinová, V., et al. (2019). ETR1 integrates response to ethylene and cytokinins into a single multistep phosphorelay pathway to control root growth. *Mol. Plant* 12, 1338–1352. doi: 10.1016/j.molp.2019.05.012

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Lomin, Myakushina, Kolachevskaya, Getman, Savelieva, Arkhipov, Deigraf and Romanov. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.