



# The Challenge of Improving Soil Fertility in Yam Cropping Systems of West Africa

Emmanuel Frossard<sup>1\*</sup>, Beatrice A. Aighewi<sup>2</sup>, Sévérin Aké<sup>3</sup>, Dominique Barjolle<sup>4</sup>, Philipp Baumann<sup>4</sup>, Thomas Bernet<sup>5</sup>, Daouda Dao<sup>6</sup>, Lucien N. Diby<sup>7</sup>, Anne Floquet<sup>8</sup>, Valérie K. Hgaza<sup>6</sup>, Léa J. Ilboudo<sup>7</sup>, Delwende I. Kiba<sup>1,9</sup>, Roch L. Mongbo<sup>8</sup>, Hassan B. Nacro<sup>10</sup>, Gian L. Nicolay<sup>5</sup>, Esther Oka<sup>8</sup>, Yabile F. Ouattara<sup>8</sup>, Nestor Pouya<sup>10</sup>, Ravinda L. Senanayake<sup>1,11</sup>, Johan Six<sup>4</sup> and Orokyia I. Traoré<sup>10</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Group of Plant Nutrition, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, ETH Zurich, Lindau, Switzerland, <sup>2</sup> Yam Improvement for Income and Food Security in West Africa Project, Research for Development, International Institute for Tropical Agriculture, Abuja, Nigeria, <sup>3</sup> Laboratory of Plant Physiology, Université Felix Houphouët Boigny, Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire, <sup>4</sup> Group of Sustainable Agroecosystems, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland, <sup>5</sup> Department of International Cooperation, Research Institute of Organic Agriculture, Frick, Switzerland, <sup>6</sup> Département Recherche et Développement, Groupe de recherche sécurité alimentaire, Centre Suisse de Recherches Scientifiques, Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire, <sup>7</sup> Côte d'Ivoire Country Programme, World Agroforestry Centre, Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire, <sup>8</sup> Laboratoire d'Analyse des Dynamiques Sociales et du Développement, Université d'Abomey-Calavi, Cotonou, Benin, <sup>9</sup> Laboratoire Sol Eau Plante, Institut de l'Environnement et Recherches Agricoles, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso, <sup>10</sup> Laboratoire d'étude et de recherche sur la fertilité du sol, Institut du Développement Rural, Université Nazi Boni, Bobo Dioulasso, Burkina Faso, <sup>11</sup> Department of Agriculture, Field Crops Research and Development Institute, Mahalluppallama, Sri Lanka

## OPEN ACCESS

### Edited by:

Urs Feller,  
University of Bern, Switzerland

### Reviewed by:

Carlo Grignani,  
Università degli Studi di Torino, Italy  
Joann K. Whalen,  
McGill University, Canada

### \*Correspondence:

Emmanuel Frossard  
emmanuel.frossard@usys.ethz.ch

### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to  
Agroecology and Land Use Systems,  
a section of the journal  
Frontiers in Plant Science

**Received:** 22 August 2017

**Accepted:** 30 October 2017

**Published:** 21 November 2017

### Citation:

Frossard E, Aighewi BA, Aké S, Barjolle D, Baumann P, Bernet T, Dao D, Diby LN, Floquet A, Hgaza VK, Ilboudo LJ, Kiba DI, Mongbo RL, Nacro HB, Nicolay GL, Oka E, Ouattara YF, Pouya N, Senanayake RL, Six J and Traoré OI (2017) The Challenge of Improving Soil Fertility in Yam Cropping Systems of West Africa. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8:1953. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.01953

Yam (*Dioscorea* spp.) is a tuber crop grown for food security, income generation, and traditional medicine. This crop has a high cultural value for some of the groups growing it. Most of the production comes from West Africa where the increased demand has been covered by enlarging cultivated surfaces while the mean yield remained around 10 t tuber ha<sup>-1</sup>. In West Africa, yam is traditionally cultivated without input as the first crop after a long-term fallow as it is considered to require a high soil fertility. African soils, however, are being more and more degraded. The aims of this review were to show the importance of soil fertility for yam, discuss barriers that might limit the adoption of integrated soil fertility management (ISFM) in yam-based systems in West Africa, present the concept of innovation platforms (IPs) as a tool to foster collaboration between actors for designing innovations in yam-based systems and provide recommendations for future research. This review shows that the development of sustainable, feasible, and acceptable soil management innovations for yam requires research to be conducted in interdisciplinary teams including natural and social sciences and in a transdisciplinary manner involving relevant actors from the problem definition, to the co-design of soil management innovations, the evaluation of research results, their communication and their implementation. Finally, this research should be conducted in diverse biophysical and socio-economic settings to develop generic rules on soil/plant relationships in yam as affected by soil management and on how to adjust the innovation supply to specific contexts.

**Keywords:** *Dioscorea* spp., soil fertility, interdisciplinarity, transdisciplinarity, innovation platforms

## INTRODUCTION

Yam (*Dioscorea* spp.) is a tuber crop grown by smallholders throughout the tropics (Andres et al., 2017). The most important species are *Dioscorea alata* (greater or water yam), *Dioscorea rotundata* (white guinea yam), *Dioscorea cayenensis* (yellow guinea yam), and *Dioscorea esculenta* (lesser yam) (Arnau et al., 2010). Besides being a staple consumed by 155 million people, yam is grown as a cash crop and a medicinal plant (Lebot, 2009; Sangakkara and Frossard, 2014) and has a high cultural value for some of the groups growing it (Coursey, 1981). Despite its importance, yam remains an orphan crop (Kennedy, 2003; Naylor et al., 2004).

West Africa produced 62 million tons of tuber (91% of world production) in 2014 (FAOSTAT, 2016). Until now the increased tuber demand was achieved by enlarging cultivated surfaces from 0.9 million ha in 1961 to 7.0 million ha in 2014. In the meantime mean fresh tuber yield increased only from 7.8 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in 1961 to 8.8 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (FAOSTAT, 2016), whereas tuber yields equal or higher than 50 and 40 t ha<sup>-1</sup> were reported on research plots for *D. alata* and *D. rotundata*, respectively (Lugo et al., 1993; Asiedu et al., 1998; Chude et al., 2011; Diby et al., 2011a; Bassey and Akpan, 2015). The yam belt of West Africa spans from the humid forest where yam is cultivated for food security to the northern Guinean savanna where yam is also cultivated as a cash crop (Ndabalishye, 1995; Asiedu and Sartie, 2010). Yam is traditionally planted as the first crop, after a long-term fallow as it is considered to be demanding in terms of soil fertility (Carsky et al., 2010). In the following years, the field is cultivated with other staple crops and/or perennial crops. Yam is usually grown without any external input using own tubers as planting material (so called yam seed). In areas where land is scarce, farmers grow yam after only a year of fallow or without fallow (Maliki et al., 2012b).

Lebot (2009) reports that producers perceive soil fertility decline as a key constraint for yam production in areas under intensive use. A recent global survey classified the topic “Improving soil fertility (micronutrients, fertilizer, organic matter)” as the second most important topic to be addressed in research preceded by “Improving shelf life of yam tubers” (Abdoulaye et al., 2014). Although soil fertility degradation and inadequate plant nutrition are recognized problems (Asadu et al., 2013), little has been done to address them. In the first global conference on yam held in 2013, only seven out of a total of 115 presentations dealt with these issues (IITA, 2013).

This review discusses the importance of soil fertility for yam, barriers that might limit the adoption of integrated soil fertility management (ISFM) in yam-based systems in West Africa, and the concept of innovation platforms (IPs) as a tool for designing innovations in yam-based systems before providing recommendations for future research.

## IMPORTANCE OF SOIL FERTILITY FOR YAM PRODUCTION

We consider here soil fertility to be the result of the combination between soil properties and crop management on plant growth

and tuber yield (Sebillotte, 1989; Patzel et al., 2000). The importance of soil fertility for yam was illustrated by Diby et al. (2009) who showed that tuber yields measured under the same climate, without fertilizer input, over two successive years were higher (40 t ha<sup>-1</sup> for *D. alata* and 21 t ha<sup>-1</sup> for *D. rotundata*) when the plants grew in a soil high in organic matter after a long-term forest-derived fallow than in a soil low in soil organic matter following a long-term savanna-derived fallow (21 t ha<sup>-1</sup> for *D. alata* and 3.7 t ha<sup>-1</sup> for *D. rotundata*). Similarly, Kassi et al. (2017) report a positive relationship between soil organic carbon stocks and tuber yields of *D. rotundata* with maximum yields obtained after forest and *Chromolaena odorata* fallows.

Aspects to be considered to understand the importance of soil fertility for yam are: the nutrient uptake in tubers and in the plant at maximum growth, as tuber yield is correlated to the maximum leaf area index (Diby et al., 2011c), the critical nutrient concentration in leaves under which a deficiency will appear and the amount of nutrients that can be released from the soil and taken up by the crop. Many publications report nutrient contents in tuber for N, P, K, Ca, and Mg (Table 1), but very few on micronutrients (Frossard et al., 2000). The results presented in Table 1 allow for calculation of the amount of nutrients exported from the field at tuber harvest. Fewer publications analyzed nutrient uptake and distribution during the entire plant growth (Irizarry and Rivera, 1985; Irizarry et al., 1995; Diby et al., 2011b) and even less were written on the critical nutrient concentration in yam (Shiwachi et al., 2004; O’Sullivan and Jenner, 2006).

The amount of soil nutrients taken up by a crop can be derived from trials where nutrient additions are varied. Many trials have been conducted on yam (Carsky et al., 2010; Susan John et al., 2016). Some show positive impacts of N, P, and K inputs on tuber yields, while other do not show any impact of nutrient additions. The results of many trials are however difficult to interpret since numerous factors, often not reported in publications, impact tuber yields. These are weather conditions, cultivar, yam seed quality, seed weight, planting density, planting date, weeds, diseases and pests, and plot history (Rodriguez-Montero et al., 2001; Cornet et al., 2014, 2016). For instance, the heterogeneous germination of yam due to variable yam seed quality leads to a large yield variability that can blur any treatment effect (Cornet et al., 2014). Melteras et al. (2008) observed radial of roots of *D. esculenta* that were longer than 3 m and suggested that this would lead to wrong interpretation of fertilizer trial results as an unfertilized plant would be able to take up nutrients from a fertilized plot. On the contrary, no N transfer was observed from fertilized to unfertilized plots in a study conducted with <sup>15</sup>N labeled fertilizer on *D. alata* with 1 m space between plots (Hgaza et al., 2012). Except in the work done by Kassi et al. (2017), no relation linking soil properties and yam tuber yield has been published. A prerequisite to understanding the relationships between soil properties and yam yield will be to install field trials on different soils with different rates of nutrient addition using identical crop management techniques together with sufficient information on weather.

Hgaza et al. (2012) observed a positive effect of NPK input on *D. alata* yield in a low fertility savanna. Since the added N was labeled with <sup>15</sup>N, these authors could show that the fertilizer input had triggered an increased uptake of N derived from the

**TABLE 1** | Nutrient concentration, water content, and dry matter tuber yield of *D. alata* and *D. rotundata* grown in various regions.

Species	Cultivar	Country	Nutrient concentration in yam tuber at harvest, kg t <sup>-1</sup> Dry Matter					Moisture content in tuber, kg water t <sup>-1</sup> Fresh Matter	Tuber yield, t Dry Matter ha <sup>-1</sup>	References
			N	P	K	Ca	Mg			
<i>D. alata</i>	N/M <sup>a</sup>	Kerala, India	15.9	2.0	20.0	nd <sup>b</sup>	nd <sup>b</sup>	nd <sup>b</sup>	4.6	Kabeerathumma et al., 1991
	Brazo fuerte	Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire	10.2	1.4	9.6	0.5	0.8	76.7	1.9	Budelmann, 1989
	Okinawa white	Miyako Islands, Japan	12.3	2.2	26.7	3.3	2.5	87.7	0.3	Shiwachi et al., 2015
	Gunung	Puerto Rico	19.0	1.6	19.1	5.0	6.0	85.2	8.7	Irizarry et al., 1995
	N/M	Western Nigeria	14.2	1.9	17.9	0.3	0.9	75.2	6.2	Obigbesan and Agboola, 1978
	TDa95/00010	Centre, Côte d'Ivoire	21.0	1.1	18.5	1.0	1.0	80.0	10.0	Diby et al., 2011b
	<b>Mean for <i>D. alata</i></b>			<b>15.4</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>18.6</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>81.0</b>	<b>5.3</b>
<b>Mean nutrient export per ton tuber Fresh Matter ha<sup>-1</sup></b>			<b>2.9</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>0.4</b>			
<i>D. rotundata</i>	N/M	Kerala, India	9.1	1.6	10.9	nd <sup>b</sup>	nd <sup>b</sup>	nd <sup>b</sup>	9.7	Kabeerathumma et al., 1991
	Habanero	Puerto Rico	8.1	1.3	9.5	1.0	1.4	65.1	18.0	Irizarry and Rivera, 1985
	Efuru	Western Nigeria	12.8	1.5	14.5	0.3	0.9	67.2	10.4	Obigbesan and Agboola, 1978
	Aro	Western Nigeria	11.5	1.5	12.7	0.3	0.9	65.6	9.7	Obigbesan and Agboola, 1978
	Obiaoturugo	Benin City, Nigeria	2.2	0.2	1.2	nd <sup>b</sup>	nd <sup>b</sup>	63.8	5.5	Law-Ogbomo and Remison, 2009
	TDr95/18544	Ibadan, Nigeria	3.2	1.7	5.9	0.7	1.2	64.0	3.4	Kikuno et al., 2015
	<b>Mean for <i>D. rotundata</i></b>			<b>7.8</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>9.1</b>	<b>0.6</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>65.1</b>	<b>9.4</b>
<b>Mean nutrient export per ton tuber Fresh Matter ha<sup>-1</sup></b>			<b>2.7</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>0.4</b>			

N/M<sup>a</sup>, not mentioned; nd<sup>b</sup> data not provided.

soil by the crop. As this input had not changed root growth (Hgaza et al., 2011), the authors concluded that the NPK addition had increased the rate of soil organic matter mineralization. This phenomenon needs further investigation as it can have negative consequences on these soils, which have very low organic matter contents. Whether such an effect would also occur following organic fertilizer inputs should be assessed. In the same study, Hgaza et al. (2012) observed a maximum N fertilizer recovery of below 30% in the tuber. This limited recovery was explained by the low planting density (one plant m<sup>-2</sup>), which is typical for West Africa and by the coarse and superficial root system of *D. alata* (Hgaza et al., 2011). This low recovery rate suggests high rates of nutrient losses which need to be quantified. Mineral fertilizer inputs have also been reported to increase tuber weight loss and rotting during storage and to negatively affect the organoleptic properties of tubers (Vernier et al., 2000; Baimey et al., 2006). Since fertilizers use will become unavoidable to increase yam productivity, the effects of fertilizer on tuber quality will need to be studied.

Guidelines for yam fertilization are shown in **Table 2**. These call for some remarks. No distinction is made between yam species except for Sri Lanka. Only Nigeria makes a difference

between soil fertility classes, but these classes are not defined with respect to yam. Three of the guidelines recommend also manure and lime applications. No official recommendations were found for West African countries except Nigeria. The guidelines shown in **Table 2** seem to cover the N and K needs for a tuber yield of 30 t ha<sup>-1</sup> while showing a massive P over-fertilization.

Water-soluble mineral fertilizers are not often used on yam in West Africa. Alternative to sustain plant nutrition are the use of less demanding yam cultivars, to make a better use of microorganisms fostering plant nutrition, to intercrop yam with legumes, to add organic mulch, or to recycle wastes as sources of nutrients. Current research is identifying cultivars of *D. alata* able to produce large tuber yields when planted in acid, alkaline or saline soils (Perlas et al., 2010; Anyanwu and Ildefonso, 2015; Shiwachi et al., 2015; Takada et al., 2017). Rezaei et al. (2017) recently suggested that the ability of *D. esculenta* to grow under low N conditions would be related to the presence of N-fixing endophytic bacteria able to sustain the N nutrition of the plant. Mycorrhizal fungi can colonize yam roots, and management affects mycorrhizal communities in yam-based systems (Tchabi et al., 2008, 2009; Dare et al., 2013, 2014). However, we still lack knowledge on the impact of mycorrhizae in the field and on how

TABLE 2 | Fertilization guidelines on yam (*Dioscorea* spp.) from different regions.

Country	Target species	Target yield	Water-soluble fertilizer				Lime	Manure	Timing of NPK addition	Other
		t FM ha <sup>-1</sup>	N	P	K	Mg	t ha <sup>-1</sup> year <sup>-1</sup>			
India	Kerala <sup>a</sup>		80	60	80					
	Tamil Nadu <sup>b</sup>	20–25 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	40	60	120		25	Before planting	At 30 days after planting: 4 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> <i>Azospirillum</i>	
			50		120			90 days after planting		
Nigeria <sup>c</sup>	Low fertility class soil		90	54	75	6	0.5	56 days after planting	Apply in each case NPK in ring 15 cm from base of the vine, 3–5 cm deep;	
	Medium fertility class soil	Between 5 and 50 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	45	25	40	6	If soil acidic	56 days after planting	Apply Mg as basal fertilization	
	High fertility class soil		20	0	0	6		56 days after planting		
Sri Lanka <sup>d</sup>			30	30	29		1–2	Before planting	Incorporate basal NPK into soil before planting	
			30		29		If soil acidic	60 days after planting	Subsequent applications to be done in a circle around the plant and incorporated into soil	
			30		29			90 days after planting		
French West Indies <sup>e</sup>	Martinique		41	45	113		1–3	Before planting		
		Between 15 and 30 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	69				If soil acidic	45 days after planting		
			20		58			90 days after planting		

<sup>a</sup> <http://www.keralaagriculture.gov.in/html/crops/fertiliserrec.htm>; <sup>b</sup> [http://agritech.tnau.ac.in/horticulture/horti\\_vegetables/Dioscorea.html](http://agritech.tnau.ac.in/horticulture/horti_vegetables/Dioscorea.html); <sup>c</sup> Chude et al. (2011); <sup>d</sup> Department of Agriculture (2007); <sup>e</sup> [http://www.martinique.chambagri.fr/fileadmin/documents/CDA\\_martinique/internet/listes\\_frontend/SDQ/Fiches\\_techniques/FIT-igname14.pdf](http://www.martinique.chambagri.fr/fileadmin/documents/CDA_martinique/internet/listes_frontend/SDQ/Fiches_techniques/FIT-igname14.pdf).

to manage them to improve yam yield. Intercropping yam with herbaceous legumes in the presence of fertilizer increases tuber yield and nutrient recycling rate (Maliki et al., 2012b). However, whether the recycled nutrients are taken up by following crops is not known. Intercropping yam with *Gliricidia sepium* is promising as it can be used as a stake for yam vines while fixing N from the atmosphere (Budelmann, 1990a,b; O'Sullivan et al., 2008). The addition of *G. sepium*, *Tithonia diversifolia*, or *C. odorata* mulches can also improve yam yield by providing nutrients, limiting weed invasion and decreasing soil temperature (Budelmann, 1989; Agbede et al., 2014). Except from one work showing an increase of *D. rotundata* tuber yield following urine input (Comoé et al., 2009), no other publication was found on the effect of waste recycling on yam. Finally, Agbede (2006) and Agbede and Adekiya (2013) show that mounding or ridging lead to higher tuber yields than no-till and that soil apparent density is negatively correlated to tuber yield.

Beside the approaches already mentioned, farmers have developed strategies to cope with soil fertility depletion. In Benin, these include the selection and cultivation of less demanding yam cultivars, the introduction of yam in rotations to benefit from the residual effect of fertilizers added to previous crops, and the cultivation of yams in sites where water, organic matter, and nutrients accumulate such as, lowlands and old cattle corrals (Floquet et al., 2012). Another example is found in the province of Passoré (Burkina Faso) where yam is grown under semi-arid conditions (700 mm year<sup>-1</sup>) on hydromorphic soils in rotation with other staple crops and with organic and mineral fertilizers (Dumont et al., 2005; Tiama et al., 2016). The impacts on yam yield formation, nutrient dynamics, and use efficiency of these adaptations have not yet been studied.

The ISFM framework has been proposed to increase yields in smallholders' tropical settings. ISFM is based on the combined use of organic and mineral nutrient sources in conjunction with appropriate crop varieties and adaptations to the local context (Vanlauwe et al., 2010, 2015). ISFM is implicitly mentioned in three of the fertilization guidelines (Table 2) and is already practiced in regions of Benin and Burkina Faso as discussed above. ISFM on yam starts to be studied (Ennin et al., 2013; Lawal et al., 2013) but it could be used on a much broader scale. The following section is dedicated to barriers that could limit the adoption of ISFM in yam-based systems of West Africa.

## BARRIERS THAT MIGHT LIMIT THE ADOPTION OF ISFM IN YAM-BASED SYSTEMS OF WEST AFRICA

There is little information on the acceptance of soil management practices for yam (Maliki et al., 2012a) and more generally on the adoption of new technologies in yam (Dao et al., 2003; Soro et al., 2010). Overall, the adoption of new technologies in yam seems limited. For instance, the miniset technology that uses small and healthy tuber parts, and that was developed decades ago (Aighewi et al., 2014), has not been widely adopted (Okoro and Ajieh, 2015). Similarly, high yielding yam varieties tolerant to diseases and growing without staking have not been widely adopted

(Alene et al., 2015). Notable exceptions have been the adoption in Côte d'Ivoire of the *D. alata* varieties Florido and C18, which are easy to grow while showing good resistance to diseases (Doumbia et al., 2004, 2014). Moreover, C18 is well appreciated for cooking "foutou," a yam-based dish (Doumbia et al., 2014), which is a driver for technology adoption in West Africa, as food quality is very important to producers and consumers (Adesina and Baidu-Forson, 1995).

Low adoption rates of soil improving options in yam-based systems have been linked to the fact that researchers neither paid sufficient attention to the multitude of problems farmers really face, nor built on the diversity of problem-solving practices developed by farmers in their diverse biophysical and socio-economic contexts (Nederlof and Dangbégnon, 2007; Floquet et al., 2012). The adoption of ISFM in yam-based systems of West Africa might indeed be challenging for the following reasons. Will yam producers having access to old woody fallows, even though such fallows are becoming scant and remote from villages, find ISFM more efficient in terms of returns to labor? Will it be possible for yam farmers having access to limited land to mobilize sufficient organic resources such as, *G. sepium* for ISFM at reasonable opportunity costs? Will yam farmers, who do not own their land, be motivated to invest in improving its fertility?

Altogether, there is a potential for ISFM in yam-based systems but this needs to be linked to farmers' options and preferences and to the demand expressed by the different actors along the value-chain. Most of the internal (labor, organic matter from planted fallow, or mixed agroforestry component) and external (mineral fertilizers, herbicides, improved planting materials) resources needed to implement ISFM may require investments from the individual farmer or the community which could limit the return on investment and thus the adoption of ISFM practices. Overall, finding out the right mix of ISFM measures will require a high level of collaboration between actors to define a joint intervention strategy and activities to generate scalable outputs built on farmers' experiences and perceptions and suited to the diversity of local contexts. We suggest that IPs could be a mean to define jointly such strategies for reasons given thereafter.

## INNOVATION PLATFORMS AS A TOOL TO DEVELOP COLLABORATION BETWEEN ACTORS FOR DESIGNING INNOVATIONS IN YAM-BASED SYSTEMS

"Innovation platforms (IPs) are a way of organizing multi-stakeholder interactions, marshaling ideas, people and resources to address challenges and opportunities embedded in complex settings" (Davies et al., in press). IPs are often organized around a farm product, such as, yam (Bonfoh et al., 2016), and include relevant stakeholders connecting households and community operational settings with state policies and institutions. Experiences with IPs reveal that they both affect market connections and technological knowledge within the product value chain (Adekunle et al., 2012). Jiggins et al. (2016) summarizing the results from a range of well documented IPs in West Africa pinpoint the importance of building trust for

shared action and of shared learning in experimental processes of change. Hounkonnou et al. (in press) conclude from their experiences with nine IPs that the design can help leverage institutional constraints and create favorable niches of change. Whether such niches can trigger changes in the technological and institutional regimes that are needed to develop a prosperous yam economy making a sustainable use of natural resources must still be proven. There are few published reports on how the work of IPs can be used to foster sustainable soil fertility management (Tittonell et al., 2012). But, no publication was found on how IPs could foster sustainable soil fertility management in tropical root and tuber crops such as yam.

## RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

This review showed that improving soil fertility in yam-based systems in West Africa faces three challenges that need to be addressed simultaneously if research is to deliver soil management innovations that are sustainable, feasible, and acceptable. These challenges are: (i) improving our understanding of the relations between soil properties, management, and tuber yield, (ii) analyzing the social and economic impacts of these innovations, and (iii) assessing their acceptance and implementation by stakeholders.

We recommend future research to take the following steps to address these challenges. Research must be conducted in a transdisciplinary manner involving the relevant actors from the practice (Baveye et al., 2014), from the problem definition, to the co-design of soil management innovations, the evaluation of research results, their communication, and their implementation. This could be done by fostering IPs including producers, actors involved in the yam-value chain as well as authorities, the media, microcredit organizations, and agricultural extension agencies as all of them will influence the decision of farmers to implement innovative soil management. The research should be conducted by interdisciplinary teams including experts in natural and social

sciences. The co-designed soil management innovations should be tested following the mother/baby trials scheme (Snapp, 2002). This work should be done in sites showing a large diversity in terms of their biophysical and socio-economic characteristics to derive generic rules on soil/plant relationships in yam as affected by soil management and on how to develop and adjust the innovation supply to specific contexts. Finally, research will have to trigger collaboration with so-called organizations of change such as, national agricultural extension agencies to out and upscale the approach and options developed by research.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

EF led the review. All co-authors except RS made substantial contributions to the conception, development and revision of this review during the various workshops of the YAMSYS project. RS made substantial contributions for the acquisition of data for the revised version and contributed critically to the revised version. All co-authors approved the final version and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of this work.

## FUNDING

This work has been done during the YAMSYS project (www.yamsys.org) funded by the food security module of the Swiss Programme for Research on Global Issues for Development (www.r4d.ch) (SNF project number: 400540\_152017/1).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors warmly thank Denis Ouédraogo and François Lompo (INERA, Burkina Faso), Vincent Lebot and Denis Cornet (CIRAD, France), Robert Asiedu (IITA, Nigeria), Hidehiko Kikuno (University of Tokyo, Japan), and Susan John (ICAR, Kerala, India) as well as the two reviewers of the manuscript for their valuable inputs in the discussion and Samuel Mathu Ndungu (ETH Zurich) for English correction.

## REFERENCES

- Abdoulaye, T., Alene, A., Rusike, J., and Adebayo, A. (2014). *Results of a Global Online Expert Survey: Major Constraints, Opportunities, and Trends for Yam Production and Marketing and Priorities for Future RTB Yam Research*. CGIAR Research Program on Roots, Tubers and Bananas (RTB), RTB Working Paper 2014-3. Available online at: www.rtb.cgiar.org
- Adekunle, A. A., Ellis-Jones, J., Ajibefun, I., Nyikal, R. A., Bangali, S., Fatunbi, O., et al. (2012). *Agricultural Innovation in Sub-Saharan Africa: Experiences from Multiple-Stakeholder Approaches*. Forum for Agricultural Research in Africa (FARA), Accra.
- Adesina, A. A., and Baidu-Forsan, J. (1995). Farmers' perceptions and adoption of new agricultural technology: evidence from analysis in Burkina Faso and Guinea, West Africa. *Agric. Econ.* 13, 1–9. doi: 10.1016/0169-5150(95)01142-8
- Agbede, T. M. (2006). Effect of tillage on soil properties and yam yield on an Alfisol in southwestern Nigeria. *Soil Tillage Res.* 86, 1–8. doi: 10.1016/j.still.2005.01.012
- Agbede, T. M., Adekiya, A. O., and Ogeh, J. S. (2014). Response of soil properties and yam yield to *Chromolaena odorata* (Asteraceae) and *Tithonia diversifolia* (Asteraceae) mulches. *Arch. Agron. Soil Sci.* 60, 209–224. doi: 10.1080/03650340.2013.780127
- Agbede, T. M., and Adekiya, A. O. (2013). Soil properties and yam yield under different tillage systems in a tropical Alfisol. *Arch. Agron. Soil Sci.* 59, 505–519. doi: 10.1080/03650340.2011.652096
- Aighewi, B. A., Maroya, N. G., and Asiedu, R. (2014). *Seed Yam Production from Minisets: A Training Manual*. Ibadan: IITA.
- Alene, A. D., Abdoulaye, T., Rusike, J., Manyong, V., and Walker, T. S. (2015). "The effectiveness of crop improvement programmes from the perspectives of varietal output and adoption: Cassava, Cowpea, Soybean and Yam in Sub-Saharan Africa and maize in West and Central Africa," in *Crop Improvement, Adoption and Impact of Improved Varieties in Food Crops in Sub-Saharan Africa*, eds T. S. Walker and J. Alwang (Wallingford: CGIAR; CAB International), 74–122.
- Andres, C., AdeOluwa, O. O., and Bhullar, G. S. (2017). "Yam (*Dioscorea* spp.)," in *Encyclopedia of Applied Plant Sciences*, Vol. 3., eds B. Thomas, B. G. Murray, and D. J. Murphy (Waltham, MA: Academic Press), 435–441.
- Anyanwu, C. F., and Ildefonso, R. L. (2015). Performance and adaptability of two yam (*Dioscorea* spp.) varieties under Ifugao condition. *Int. J. Adv. Res.* 3, 110–116.

- Arnau, G., Abraham, K., Sheela, M., Chair, H., Sartie, A., and Asiedu, R. (2010). "Yams," in *Root and Tuber Crops. Handbook of Plant Breeding*, Vol. 7, ed J. Bradshaw (New York, NY: Springer Science + Business Media), 127–148.
- Asadu, C. L. A., Hauser, S., and Unagwu, S. O. (2013). "Research gaps in yam production environment: a review" in *Book of Abstracts of the First Global Conference on Yam* (Accra: International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA)), 47.
- Asiedu, R., and Sartie, A. (2010). Crops that feed the World 1. Yams. Yams for income and food security. *Food Secur.* 2, 305–315. doi: 10.1007/s12571-010-0085-0
- Asiedu, R., Ng, S. Y. C., Bai, K. V., Ekananyake, I. J., and Wanyera, N. M. W. (1998). "Genetic improvement," in *Food Yams. Advances in Research*, eds G. C. Orkwor, R. Asiedu, and I. J. Ekananyake (Ibadan: IITA; NRCRI), 63–104.
- Baimey, H., Coyne, D., and Labuschagne, N. (2006). Effect of fertiliser application on yam nematode (*Scutellonema bradys*) multiplication and consequent damage to yam (*Dioscorea* spp.) under field and storage conditions in Benin. *Int. J. Pest Manage.* 52, 63–70. doi: 10.1080/09670870600552380
- Bassey, E. E., and Akpan, U. S. (2015). Evaluation of guinea white yam (*Dioscorea rotundata* Poir.) for yield and yield components in Nigeria. *Am. J. Exp. Agric.* 8, 216–233. doi: 10.9734/AJEA/2015/16496
- Baveye, P. C., Palfreyman, J., and Otten, W. (2014). Research efforts involving several disciplines: adherence to a clear nomenclature is needed. *Water Air Soil Pollut.* 225:1997. doi: 10.1007/s11270-014-1997-7
- Bonfoh, B., Todje, A., and Gbakenou, K. I. (2016). *Status of Agricultural Innovations, Innovation Platforms, and Innovations Investment*. 2015 PARI project country report, Republic of Togo, Forum for Agricultural Research in Africa (FARA), Accra.
- Budelmann, A. (1990a). Woody legumes as live support systems in yam cultivation. I. The tree-crop interface. *Agroforest. Syst.* 10, 47–59.
- Budelmann, A. (1990b). Woody legumes as live support systems in yam cultivation. II. The yam *Gliricidia sepium* association. *Agroforest. Syst.* 10, 61–69.
- Budelmann, A. (1989). Effect of the application of the leaf mulch of *Gliricidia sepium* on early development, leaf nutrient contents, and tuber yields of water yam (*Dioscorea alata*). *Agroforest. Syst.* 8, 243–256. doi: 10.1007/BF00129652
- Carsky, R. J., Asiedu, R., and Cornet, D. (2010). Review of soil fertility management for yam-based systems in West Africa. *Afr. J. Root Tuber Crops* 8, 1–17.
- Chude, V. O., Olayiwola, S. O., Osho, A. O., and Daudu, C. K. (2011). *Fertilizer Use and Management Practices for Crops in Nigeria*. Federal Fertilizer Department, Federal Ministry of Agricultural and Rural Development, Abuja.
- Comoé, B. K., Gnagne, T., Koné, D., Aké, S., Dembélé, S. G., and Kluste, A. (2009). Amélioration de la productivité de l'igname par l'utilisation d'urine humaine comme fertilisant. *Sci. Technol.* 17, 28–36.
- Cornet, D., Sierra, J., Tournebize, R., and Ney, B. (2014). Yams (*Dioscorea* spp.) plant size hierarchy and yield variability: emergence time is critical. *Eur. J. Agron.* 55, 100–107. doi: 10.1016/j.eja.2014.02.002
- Cornet, D., Sierra, J., Tournebize, R., Gabrielle, B., and Lewis, F. I. (2016). Bayesian network modeling of early growth stages explains yam interplant yield variability and allows for agronomic improvements in West Africa. *Eur. J. Agron.* 75, 80–88. doi: 10.1016/j.eja.2016.01.009
- Coursey, D. G. (1981). The interactions of yam and man. *J. Agric. Tradit. Bot. Appl.* 1, 5–21. doi: 10.3406/jatba.1981.3829
- Dao, D., Girardin, O., N'gbo, A. G., Zoungrana, P., Tschannen, A., Nindjin, C., et al. (2003). Technologies post récoltes de l'igname: déterminants de facteurs d'adoption d'innovations post-récoltes en culture d'igname au nord de la Côte d'Ivoire. *Agron. Afr.* 4, 91–98.
- Dare, M. O., Abaidoo, R., Fagbola, O., and Asiedu, R. (2013). Diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in soils of yam (*Dioscorea* spp.) cropping systems in four agroecologies of Nigeria. *Arch. Agron. Soil Sci.* 59, 521–531. doi: 10.1080/03650340.2011.653682
- Dare, M. O., Fagbola, O., Abaidoo, R. C., and Asiedu, R. (2014). Evaluation of white yam (*Dioscorea rotundata*) genotypes for arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization, leaf nutrient concentrations and tuber yield under NPK fertilizer application. *J. Plant Nutr.* 37, 658–673. doi: 10.1080/01904167.2013.867988
- Davies, J., Maru, Y., Hall, A., Abdourhamane, I. K., Adegbedi, A., Carberry, P., et al. (in press). Understanding innovation platform effectiveness through experiences from west and central Africa. *Agric. Syst.* doi: 10.1016/j.agry.2016.12.014
- Department of Agriculture (2007). *Dioscorea alata, in Fertilizer Recommendations for Horticultural Crops*. Department of Agriculture, Peradeniya. 48.
- Diby, L. N., Hgaza, V. K., Tie, B. T., Assa, A., Carsky, R., Girardin, O., et al. (2009). Productivity of yams (*Dioscorea* spp.) as affected by soil fertility. *J. Anim. Plant Sci.* 5, 494–506.
- Diby, L. N., Hgaza, V. K., Tié, T. B., Assa, A., Carsky, R., Girardin, O., et al. (2011a). How does soil fertility affect yam growth? *Acta Agr. Scand.* 61, 448–457. doi: 10.1080/09064710.2010.505578
- Diby, L. N., Hgaza, V. K., Tié, T. B., Assa, A., Carsky, R., and Girardin, O. (2011b). Mineral nutrients uptake and partitioning in *Dioscorea alata* and *Dioscorea rotundata*. *J. Appl. Biosci.* 38, 2531–2539.
- Diby, L. N., Tie, B. T., Girardin, O., Sangakkara, R., and Frossard, E. (2011c). Growth and nutrient use efficiencies of yams (*Dioscorea* spp.) grown in two contrasting soils of West Africa. *Int. J. Agron.* 2011:175958. doi: 10.1155/2011/175958
- Doumbia, S., Koko, L., and Aman, S. A. (2014). L'introduction et la diffusion de la variété d'igname C18 en région centre de Côte d'Ivoire. *J. Appl. Biosci.* 80, 7121–7130. doi: 10.4314/jab.v80i1.2
- Doumbia, S., Tshiunza, M., Tollens, E., and Stessens, J. (2004). Rapid spread of the Florido yam variety (*Dioscorea alata*) in ivory coast - introduced for the wrong reasons and still a success. *Outlook Agric.* 33, 49–54. doi: 10.5367/000000004322877773
- Dumont, R., Dansi, A., Vernier, P., and Zoundjhekpou, J. (2005). *Biodiversité et Domestication des Ignames en Afrique de l'ouest: Pratiques Traditionnelles Conduisant à Dioscorea rotundata*. CIRAD; IPGRI.
- Ennin, S. A., Owusu Danquah, E., and Acheampong, P. P. (2013). "Chemical and integrated nutrient management options for sustainable yam production," in *Book of Abstracts of the First Global Conference on Yam* (Accra, International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA)), 23.
- FAOSTAT (2016). Available online at: <http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#home>
- Floquet, A. B., Maliki, R., Tossou, R. C., and Tokpa, C. (2012). Évolution des systèmes de production de l'igname dans la zone soudano-guinéenne du Bénin. *Cah. Agric.* 21, 427–437. doi: 10.1684/agr.2012.0597
- Frossard, E., Bucher, M., Mächler, F., Mozafar, A., and Hurrell, R. (2000). Potential for increasing the content and bioavailability of Fe, Zn and Ca in plants for human nutrition. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 80, 861–879. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0010(20000515)80:7<861::AID-JSFA601>3.0.CO;2-P
- Hgaza, V. K., Diby, L. N., Oberson, A., Tschannen, A., Tié, B. T., Sangakkara, U. R., et al. (2012). Nitrogen use by yam as affected by mineral fertilizer application. *Agron. J.* 104, 1558–1568. doi: 10.2134/agronj2011.0387
- Hgaza, V. K., Diby, L. N., Tié, T. B., Tschannen, A., Aké, S., Assa, A., et al. (2011). Growth and distribution of roots of *Dioscorea alata* L. do not respond to mineral fertilizer application. *Open Plant Sci. J.* 5, 14–22. doi: 10.2174/1874294701105010014
- Hounkonnou, D., Brouwers, J., van Huis, A., Jiggins, J., Kossou, D., Röling, N., et al. (in press). Triggering regime change: a comparative analysis of the performance of innovation platforms that attempted to change the institutional context for nine agricultural domains in West Africa. *Agric. Syst.* doi: 10.1016/j.agry.2016.08.009
- IITA (2013). *Book of Abstracts of the First Global Conference on Yam*. Accra, International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA).
- Irizarry, H., and Rivera, E. (1985). Nutrient uptake and dry matter production by intensively managed yam grown in an Ultisol. *J. Agric. Univ. P.R.* LXIX, 1–9.
- Irizarry, H., Goenaga, R., and Chardon, U. (1995). Nutrient uptake and dry matter yield in the 'Gunung' yam (*Dioscorea alata*) grown on an Ultisol without vine support. *J. Agric. Univ. P.R.* 79, 121–130.
- Jiggins, J., Hounkonnou, D., Sakyi-Dawson, O., Kossou, D., Traoré, M., Röling, N., and van Huis, A. (2016). Innovation platforms and projects to support smallholder development - experiences from Sub-Saharan Africa. *Cah. Agric.* 25, 64002. doi: 10.1051/cagri/2016051
- Kabeerathamma, S., Mohankumar, B., and Nair, P. (1991). Nutrient uptake of yam and their utilization pattern at different growth stages. *J. Root Crops.* 17, 26–30.
- Kassi, S.-P. A. Y., Koné, A. W., Tondoh, J. E., and Koffi, B. Y. (2017). *Chromolaena odorata* fallow-cropping cycles maintain soil carbon stocks and yam yields 40 years after conversion of native- to farmland, implications for forest conservation. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 247, 298–307. doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2017.06.044

- Kennedy, D. (2003). Editorial: agriculture and the developing world. *Science* 302:357. doi: 10.1126/science.302.5644.357
- Kikuno, H., Shiwachi, H., Hasegawa, Y., and Asiedu, R. (2015). Effects of nitrogen application on off-season yam cropping after lowland rice in a derived savanna zone in Nigeria. *Trop. Agric. Develop.* 59, 146–153.
- Lawal, O. I., Adeoye, G. O., Asiedu, R., Ojieniyi, S. O., and Akinbile, L. A. (2013). “Agronomic evaluation of white yam (*Dioscorea rotundata* Poir.) under organo-mineral fertilizer treatment in southern Nigeria,” in *Book of Abstracts of the First Global Conference on Yam* (Accra: International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA)), 136.
- Law-Ogbomo, K. E., and Remison, S. U. (2009). Yield and distribution/ uptake of nutrients of *Dioscorea rotundata* influenced by NPK fertilizer application. *Not. Bot. Hort. Agrobot. Cluj.* 37, 165–170.
- Lebot, V. (2009). *Tropical Root and Tuber Crops Cassava, Sweet Potato, Yams and Aroids Crop Production Science in Horticulture* Vol. 17. Wallingford, CT: CAB International.
- Lugo, W. I., Lugo, H. M., Gozalez, A., Rafols, N., and Almodovar, C. (1993). Tillage and fertilizer rate effects on yam yields (*Dioscorea alata* L.). *J. Agric. Univ. P. R.* 77, 153–159.
- Maliki, R., Sinsin, B., and Floquet, A. (2012a). Evaluating yam-based cropping systems using herbaceous leguminous plants in the savannah transitional agroecological zone of Benin. *J. Sustain. Agr.* 36, 440–460. doi: 10.1080/10440046.2011.646352
- Maliki, R., Toukourou, M., Sinsin, B., and Vernier, P. (2012b). Productivity of yam-based systems with herbaceous legumes and short fallows in the Guinea-Sudan transition zone of Benin. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst.* 92, 9–19. doi: 10.1007/s10705-011-9468-7
- Melteras, M.-V., Lebot, V., Asher, C. J., and O’Sullivan, J. (2008). Crop development and root distribution in lesser yam (*Dioscorea esculenta*): implication for fertilization. *Exp. Agric.* 44, 209–221. doi: 10.1017/S0014479708006339
- Naylor, R. L., Falcon, W. P., Goodman, R. M., Jahn, M. M., Sengooba, T., Tefera, H., et al. (2004). Biotechnology in the developing world: a case for increased investments in orphan crops. *Food Policy* 29, 15–44. doi: 10.1016/j.foodpol.2004.01.002
- Ndabalishye, I. (1995). *Agriculture Vivrière Ouest-Africaine à Travers le cas de la Côte d’Ivoire*. Bouaké: Institut des savanes.
- Nederlof, E. S., and Dangbégnon, C. (2007). Lessons for farmer-oriented research: experiences from a West African soil fertility management project. *Agric. Hum. Values* 24, 369–387. doi: 10.1007/s10460-007-9066-0
- Obigbesan, G., and Agboola, A. (1978). Uptake and distribution of nutrients by yams (*Dioscorea* spp.) in Western Nigeria. *Exp. Agric.* 14, 349–355. doi: 10.1017/S0014479700008991
- Okoro, B. O., and Ajieh, P. C. (2015). Farmers’ perception and adoption of yam miniset technology in Anambra State, Nigeria. *Agric. Rev. Stiinta Practica Agricola* 24, 83–87.
- O’Sullivan, J. N., and Jenner, R. (2006). Nutrient deficiencies in greater yam and their effects on leaf nutrient concentrations. *J. Plant Nutr.* 29, 1663–1674. doi: 10.1080/01904160600851569
- O’Sullivan, J. N., Ernest, J., Melteras, M., Halavatau, S., Holzknicht, P., and Risimeri, J. (2008). *Yam Nutrition and Soil Fertility Management in the Pacific, Project Final Report*. ACIAR, Canberra, ACT.
- Patzel, N., Sticher, H., and Karlen, D. L. (2000). Soil fertility – phenomenon and concept. *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.* 163, 129–142. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1522-2624(200004)163:2<129::AID-JPLN129>3.0.CO;2-D
- Perlas, F. B., Ruiz, R. B., and Pante, R. E. (2010). “Field evaluation of selected yam (*Dioscorea alata*) accessions in acid soils and saline-prone areas,” in *19th World Congress of Soil Science, Soil Solutions for a Changing World* (Brisbane, QLD).
- Rezaei, A. Q., Kikuno, H., Babil, P., Tanaka, N., Park, B. J., Onjo, M., et al. (2017). Nitrogen-fixing endophytic bacteria is involved with the lesser yam (*Dioscorea esculenta* L.) growth under low fertile soil condition. *Trop. Agr. Dev.* 61, 40–47.
- Rodriguez-Montero, W., Hilger, T. H., and Leihner, D. E. (2001). Effects of seed rates and plant populations on canopy dynamics and yield in the greater yam (*Dioscorea alata* L.). *Field Crop Res.* 70, 15–26. doi: 10.1016/S0378-4290(00)00145-3
- Sangakkara, U. R., and Frossard, E. (2014). Home gardens and *Dioscorea* species – a case study from the climatic zones of Sri Lanka. *J. Agric. Rural Dev. Trop.* 115, 55–65.
- Sebillotte, M. (1989). *Fertilité et Systèmes de Production*. Paris: INRA.
- Shiwachi, H., Kikuno, H., Ohata, J., Kikuchi, Y., and Irie, K. (2015). Growth of water yam (*Dioscorea alata* L.) under alkaline soil conditions. *Trop. Agric. Dev.* 59, 76–82.
- Shiwachi, H., Okonkwo, C. C., and Asiedu, R. (2004). Nutrient deficiency symptoms in yams (*Dioscorea* spp.). *Trop. Sci.* 44, 155–162. doi: 10.1002/ts.158
- Snapp, S. (2002). “Quantifying farmer evaluation of technologies: the mother and baby trial design,” in *Quantitative Analysis of Data from Participatory Methods in Plant Breeding*, eds M. R. Bellon and J. Reeves (Mexico: CIMMYT), 9–17.
- Soro, D., Dao, D., Girardin, O., Tié, T. B., and Tschannen, A. B. (2010). Adoption d’innovations en agriculture en Côte d’Ivoire: cas de nouvelles variétés d’igname. *Cah. Agric.* 19, 403–410. doi: 10.1684/agr.2010.0437
- Susan John, K., George, J., Shanida Beegum, S. U., and Shivay, Y. S. (2016). Soil fertility and nutrient management in tropical tuber crops—An overview. *Ind. J. Agron.* 61 263–273.
- Takada, K., Kikuno, H., Babil, P., Irie, K., and Shiwachi, H. (2017). Water yam (*Dioscorea alata* L.) is able to grow in low fertile soil conditions. *Trop. Agric. Dev.* 61, 8–14.
- Tchabi, A., Burger, S., Coyne, D., Hountondji, F., Lawouin, L., Wiemken, A., et al. (2009). Promiscuous arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis of yam (*Dioscorea* spp.), a key staple crop in West Africa. *Mycorrhiza* 19, 375–392. doi: 10.1007/s00572-009-0241-6
- Tchabi, A., Coyne, D., Hountondji, F., Lawouin, L., Wiemken, A., and Oehl, F. (2008). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities in sub-Saharan Savannas of Benin, West Africa, as affected by agricultural land use intensity and ecological zone. *Mycorrhiza* 18, 181–195. doi: 10.1007/s00572-008-0171-8
- Tiama, D., Zoundjhekpou, J., Bationo Kando, P., Sawadogo, N., Nebie, B., Nanema, R. K., et al. (2016). Les ignames “Yuya” de la province de Passore au Burkina Faso. *Int. J. Innov. Appl. Sci.* 14, 1075–1085.
- Tittonell, P., Scopel, E., Andrieu, N., Posthumus, H., Mapfumo, P., Corbeels, M., et al. (2012). Agroecology-based aggradation-conservation agriculture (ABACO): targeting innovations to combat soil degradation and food insecurity in semi-arid Africa. *Field Crop Res.* 132, 168–174. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2011.12.011
- Vanlauwe, B., Bationo, A., Chianu, J., Giller, K. E., Merckx, R., Mokwunye, U., et al. (2010). Integrated soil fertility management, Operational definition and consequences for implementation and dissemination. *Outlook Agric.* 39, 17–24. doi: 10.5367/000000010791169998
- Vanlauwe, B., Descheemaeker, K., Giller, K. E., Huising, J., Merckx, R., Nziguheba, G., et al. (2015). Integrated soil fertility management in sub-Saharan Africa: unravelling local adaptation. *Soil* 1, 491–508. doi: 10.5194/soil-1-491-2015
- Vernier, P., Dossou, R. A., and Letourmy, P. (2000). Influence de la fertilisation chimique sur les qualités organoleptiques de l’igname. *Cah. Agric.* 9, 131–134.

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2017 Frossard, Aighewi, Aké, Barjolle, Baumann, Bernet, Dao, Diby, Floquet, Hgaza, Ilboudo, Kiba, Mongbo, Nacro, Nicolay, Oka, Ouattara, Pouya, Senanayake, Six and Traoré. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.