



In Vivo Toxicity Evaluation of PEGylated CuInS₂/ZnS Quantum Dots in BALB/c Mice

Wenyi Zou^{1,2}, Li Li², Yajing Chen², Tingting Chen², Zhiwen Yang², Jie Wang², Dongmeng Liu², Guimiao Lin^{2*} and Xiaomei Wang^{1,2*}

¹ College of Life Sciences and Oceanography, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, China, ² Department of Physiology, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Shenzhen University Health Science Center, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, China

In recent years, quantum dots (QDs) have emerged as a potential contrast agent for bioimaging due to their bright luminescence and excellent photostability. However, the wide use of QDs in vivo has been limited due to underlying toxicity caused by leakage of heavy metals. Although non-cadmium QDs have been developed to resolve this issue, a comprehensive understanding of the toxicity of these newly developed QDs remains elusive. In this study, we administered PEGylated copper indium sulfide/zinc sulfide (CulnS₂/ZnS), which are typical non-cadmium QDs, and analyzed the longterm effects of these nanoparticles in BALB/c mice. Body weight, hematology, blood biochemistry, organ histology, and biodistribution were examined at different time points. We found no significant difference in body weight after injection of CulnS₂/ZnS QDs. These CulnS₂/ZnS QDs entered and were accumulated in major organs for 90 days post-injection. The majority of biochemical indicators were not significantly different between the QDs-treated group and the control group. In addition, no significant histopathological abnormalities were observed in the treated mice compared with the control mice. CuInS₂/ZnS QDs did not lead to observable toxicity in vivo following either the administration of a high or low dose. Our research not only provides direct evidence of the bio-safety of CuInS₂/ZnS QDs, but also a feasible method for evaluating nanoparticle toxicity.

Keywords: CuInS₂/ZnS quantum dots, nanotoxicology, non-cadmium, toxicity, in vivo

INTRODUCTION

Quantum dots (QDs) are semiconductor nanomaterials composed of a finite number of atoms, are three-dimensional in the order of nanometers, and include CdSe, CdTe, and PbS (Veeranarayanan et al., 2012). QDs have many attractive optical properties, such as strong fluorescent intensity, wide excitation spectra, narrow emission spectra, resistance to photobleaching, and strong stability. QDs are widely used in bioimaging, drug delivery, optical sensing, and biological labeling (Lin et al., 2015b; Peng et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2018). However, with the increasing use of QDs, there is concern regarding the potential impact of QDs on the environment and humans. A number of studies have shown that QDs are distributed in major organs and can accumulate in some tissues for a long period (Li et al., 2016). Many cell lines can take up QDs, which leads to damage such as reduced cell viability, induction of apoptosis, oxidative stress, and cell morphology changes (Chen et al., 2018).

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Eleonore Fröhlich, Medical University of Graz, Austria

Reviewed by:

Thomas Pons, UMR8213 Laboratoire de Physique et d'Etude des Matériaux (LPEM), France Yiling Zhong, The University of New South Wales, Australia

*Correspondence:

Guimiao Lin gmlin@szu.edu.cn Xiaomei Wang xmwang@szu.edu.cn

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Predictive Toxicology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pharmacology

Received: 05 November 2018 Accepted: 05 April 2019 Published: 25 April 2019

Citation:

Zou W, Li L, Chen Y, Chen T, Yang Z, Wang J, Liu D, Lin G and Wang X (2019) In Vivo Toxicity Evaluation of PEGylated CulnS₂/ZnS Quantum Dots in BALB/c Mice. Front. Pharmacol. 10:437. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2019.00437

Although the toxicity of QDs has been demonstrated, to some extent, most previous QDs cytotoxicity studies focused on cadmium-based nanocrystals (Ho et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2013). It is known that cadmium can cause adverse health effects when cadmium-based QDs enter the body. Cho et al. (2007) found that cadmium ions are released from CdSe QDs, and induce cytotoxicity by mechanisms such as intracellular reactive oxygen species production. To reduce the health risks caused by cadmium release from cadmium-containing QDs, non-cadmium QDs such as InP/ZnS, PbSe, and CuInS₂ have been developed. CuInS₂ QDs have proved promising as fluorescent probes in in vivo imaging due to their excellent fluorescence. To increase their biocompatibility, a "cap" or "shell" of CuInS₂ is normally designed. ZnS is usually used as a "cap" or "shell" to protect the core element in case of leakage of the toxic core element, making the CuInS₂/ZnS QDs brighter and more stable than the coreonly CuInS₂ QDs (Pons et al., 2010). Although CuInS₂/ZnS is a promising nanomaterial, little data regarding the toxicity of CuInS₂/ZnS are available. For example, Liu et al. (2017) found that exposure to CuInS₂/ZnS QDs in rare minnow embryos led to developmental toxicity via the induction of oxidative stress and DNA damage, which indicated that CuInS₂/ZnS QDs were toxic to Gobiocypris rarus embryos. A study showed that CuInS₂ and CuInS₂/ZnS QDs were non-toxic to HeLa and OECM-1 cells even when the concentration of QDs reached 250 µg/mL (Chen et al., 2015). Another study showed that CuInS₂/ZnS QDs caused less inflammation than CdTeSe/CdZnS QDs (Thomas et al., 2010). Although the ZnS coating can reduce the toxicity of CuInS₂ QDs, it cannot completely prevent the adverse effects caused by the nanoparticles themselves (Song et al., 2013; Tang S. et al., 2013). To date, little is known about the toxicity of CuInS₂/ZnS QDs, especially their long-term toxicity, which in turn hinders the development of their future bioapplications. Therefore, it is necessary to further evaluate the long-term in vivo toxicity of CuInS₂/ZnS QDs.

In this study, we systematically investigated the in vivo longterm toxicity of CuInS₂/ZnS QDs terminated with polyethylene glycol (PEG) functional groups, which are normally used to modify the surface of nanoparticles in order to increase their in vivo biocompatibility. In brief, BALB/c mice were administered PEGylated CuInS2/ZnS QDs at 2.5 mg/kg or 25 mg/kg intravenously, and then body weight, hematology, blood biochemistry, organ histology, and biodistribution were monitored over a 90-day period. We found that the fluorescent signals from CuInS₂/ZnS QDs were detectable in the spleen and liver over the 90-day period. There was no obvious change in body weight in the experimental group compared to the control group. The majority of biochemical indicators showed no significant differences between the QDs-treated group and the control group. Moreover, hematological analysis and hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining showed no change in the QDs-treated group compared to the control group. In summary, we found that PEGylated CuInS2/ZnS QDs did not lead to observable toxicity in vivo although they accumulated in the body for a long period. Our research suggested that CuInS₂/ZnS QDs were highly biocompatible in vivo if an appropriate surface modification and dosage were used.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Characterization of CuInS₂/ZnS QDs

PEGvlated CuInS2/ZnS QDs were purchased from Najingtech Company, Hangzhou city, China. The ratio of Cu: In: Zn is 1:1.8: 25.2 which was determined by ICP-MS. The molecular weight of PEG chain is 2000 Da, and the density of the PEG chains is 0.8-0.9. The optical density of the 10 mg/mL QD solution used was 0.803 at the wavelength of 550 nm. The hydrodynamic size distribution, particle size distribution and zeta potential of the PEGvlated CuInS₂/ZnS QDs were measured using a particle size analyzer (Brookhaven Instruments 90 Plus, United States). The morphological images of CuInS₂/ZnS QDs were obtained in Wuhan University using a JEOL model Jem-2100 transmission electron microscope (TEM) with an acceleration voltage of 200 kV. Absorption spectra were measured by UV-visible-nearinfrared spectrophotometer (TP-720, Tianjin city, China). The photoluminescence emission spectra were measured using a fluorescence spectrophotometer (F-4600, Hitachi, Japan) with an excitation wavelength of 380 nm.

Animal Injections and Weight Measurements

Male BALB/c mice of 7 weeks old were purchased from the Medical Laboratory Animal Center of Guangdong Province and were housed six per cage at a 12 h/12 h light/dark cycle. The animal experiments were conducted in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals Center of Shenzhen University and approved by the Experimental Animal Ethics Committee of Shenzhen University (Permit No. 201512022). Each mouse was injected with 100 μ L of buffered saline or buffered QD dispersion via the tail vein. Following these injections, the mice were weighed at various time points from 0 to 90 days.

Frozen Sections and Histology

At 1, 3, 7, 14, 30, 60, and 90 days post-injection, the mice in each group were sacrificed. The organs (heart, liver, spleen, lung, kidney, brain, and testis) were harvested. Frozen sections were obtained using a freezing microtome (CM3050S, Leica, Germany). Fluorescent images of these organs were obtained using a fluorescence microscope (Axio Observer, Zeiss, Germany).

Following organ harvesting, the organs were fixed in 10% formalin for 24 h, and then dehydrated with different gradient concentrations of alcohol. Before staining with H&E, the tissues were embedded in paraffin and sectioned. After staining, the stained slices were observed under a fluorescence microscope (Axio Observer, Zeiss, Germany).

Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) Analysis

Before ICP-MS analysis, the major organs (heart, liver, spleen, lung, kidney, brain, and testis) were digested with 4 mL 65% HNO₃ and 1 mL 30% H_2O_2 for 20 min at 180°C using microwave acid digestion apparatus (Milestone ETHOS ONE). In order to



reduce the concentration of HNO₃, the solution was diluted 10 times with deionized water after digestion. The concentration of In element in the sample was tested by ICP-MS (Nexlon 300X, PerkinElmer, United States).

Routine Blood Examination and Serum Biochemistry

After injection, blood samples from each group were obtained on day 1, 3, 7, 14, 30, 60, and 90. Routine blood examination was conducted using a blood analyzer (Dymind, D5-CRP, China). To determine serum biochemistry, indicators of kidney, liver, and heart function were measured by a blood biochemistry analyzer (Mindray, BS-220, China).

Statistical Analysis

All data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS 11.0 package. Analyses of differences between the experimental groups were performed by one-way ANOVA. Differences were considered significant at p < 0.05 and extremely significant at p < 0.01.

RESULTS

Characterization of QDs

Dynamic light scattering was used to measure the hydrodynamic diameter and zeta potential of $CuInS_2/ZnS$ QDs. Figure 1A shows that the average hydrodynamic diameter of $CuInS_2/ZnS$

QDs was approximately 13–15 nm. Figure 1B shows a representative transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image of CuInS₂/ZnS QDs. The TEM size distribution was approximately 10 nm. The particle size distribution weighted by intensity indicated slight agglomeration of QD in solution (Figure 1C). The zeta potential of the CuInS₂/ZnS QDs was approximately -13.52 mV. Figure 1D shows the extinction and photoluminescence spectra of the CuInS₂/ZnS QDs. The emission peak of QDs was around 610 nm.



FIGURE 2 | The body weight of mice was monitored after injection of the QD/buffer solution.

Body Weight

To evaluate the effect of $CuInS_2/ZnS$ QDs on the development and growth of mice, body weight was continuously measured. As shown in **Figure 2**, there were no significant differences in the average body weight after injection of $CuInS_2/ZnS$ QDs over the 90-day period, in either the high dose QDs-treated group or the low dose-treated group. In addition, the behavior and appearance of mice after QDs injection were continuously monitored. No significant differences in eating, drinking, hair color, and glossiness were found between the QDs-treated mice and the control mice. No aggression or unusual responses were observed in treated mice compared to control mice. These results showed that the CuInS₂/ZnS QDs were biocompatible in mice.

Fluorescent Images of Major Organs

In order to study the distribution of CuInS₂/ZnS QDs in mice, the major organs including heart, liver, lung, spleen, kidney, and brain were sliced into 5 μ m sections for fluorescence imaging at





different time points. As shown in **Figure 3**, the results showed that the QDs emitted strong fluorescence in the liver and spleen up to 90 days after injection. In addition, a few fluorescent signals were observed in the lung and kidney up to 30 days after injection (**Supplementary Figures S1, S2**). These results suggested that the QDs with fluorescence emitting ability are taken up by the spleen and liver and accumulated over 90 days.

Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) Analysis

To further determine the accumulation and biodistribution of CuInS₂ in mice, the concentrations of indium in the major organs were measured by ICP-MS. We first performed ICP-MS analysis to calculate concentrations of Cu, In and Zn. Our results showed that the CuInS₂/ZnS QDs solution we injected corresponded to 0.21 mg/kg of Cu, 0.68 mg/kg of In, and 5.37 mg/kg of Zn. Figure 4 shows that indium from QDs was mainly accumulated in the liver, spleen, and lung after injection. On day 1, the concentrations of indium in the liver, spleen, and lung were 4.350 \pm 1.699 $\mu g/g,$ $8.322 \pm 2.094 \ \mu$ g/g, and $4.607 \pm 2.329 \ \mu$ g/g, respectively. The indium concentration in vivo gradually decreased with time. On day 90, the concentrations of indium in the liver, spleen, and lung were 0.130 \pm 0.022 µg/g, 0.158 \pm 0.038 µg/g, and $0.029 \pm 0.004 \ \mu$ g/g, respectively. Indium was still detected in the kidney, heart, brain, and testis 90 days after injection. This suggested that indium from QDs can remain in the major organs for up to 90 days.

Routine Blood Examination

When QDs enter the body through a vein, they first react with the cells and components in the blood. To evaluate the

effect of CuInS₂/ZnS QDs on blood cells, a routine blood examination was carried out. White blood cell count (WBC), red blood cell count (RBC), Platelet (PLT) count, hematocrit (HCT), hemoglobin (HGB) level, mean corpuscular hemoglobin (MCH), mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (MCHC), platelet distribution width (PDW), mean corpuscular volume (MCV), mean platelet volume (MPV), coefficient of variation of RBC volume distribution width (RDW-CV), and standard deviation of RBC volume distribution width (RDW-SD) were determined. Figure 5 demonstrates that the experimental group showed significant differences in some biochemical indicators at some time points compared with the control group; however, most of the biochemical indicators did not differ significantly at final time point (day 90), with the exception of PDW. This suggested that CuInS₂/ZnS QDs did not cause obvious adverse effects in blood cells.

Clinical Biochemistry

In order to investigate the effect of $CuInS_2/ZnS$ QDs accumulation on the functions of major organs, we determined the physiological function of these organs by measuring a series of serum biomarkers. Indicators of liver function included glucose (GLU), total cholesterol (TC), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate transaminase (AST), triglyceride (TG), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), albumin (ALB), alkaline phosphatase (ALP) and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C). Indicators of kidney function included uric acid (UA), total protein (TP), and urea (UREA). Indicators of heart function included creatine kinase (CK), creatinine (CREA), creatine kinase-MB (CK-MB), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), and α -hydroxybutyrate dehydrogenase (α -HBDH). In addition, we determined the content of total bilirubin (T-Bil) in the serum. As



FIGURE 5 [Hematology markers were monitored over the 90-day treatment period. Abbreviations: white blood cell count, WBC; red blood cell count, RBC; platelet, PLT; hematocrit, HCT; hemoglobin, HGB; mean corpuscular hemoglobin, MCH; mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration, MCHC; platelet distribution width, PDW; mean corpuscular volume, MCV; mean platelet volume, MPV; coefficient of variation of RBC volume distribution width, RDW-CV; standard deviation of RBC volume distribution width, RDW-SD. Values are the means \pm SD, n = 5. Values significantly different from the control are indicated by asterisks (*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01).

we know, T-Bil not only can reflect the function of liver, but also reflect whether hemolysis occurs in the body. From **Figure 6**, it can be seen that no obvious differences were found between the QDs-treated group and the control group in the majority of serum biomarkers at final time point (day 90), except for UREA, UA, CK-MB, ALP, and HDL-C. These results indicated that QDs affected the content of some serum components, but did not lead to functional damage due to the body's complexity and resistance. were sliced into 5 μ m sections and stained with H&E. The results are shown in **Figure 7** and demonstrate that no changes were observed in treated mice compared with control mice. Therefore, although the QDs remained in these organs, especially in spleen and liver for 90 days after injection, no significant histopathological abnormalities were observed. These results suggested that PEGylated CuInS₂/ZnS QDs showed little toxicity in these organs.

Histological Changes

As the QDs accumulated in major organs, we wondered if the QDs would cause histomorphic adverse effects in these organs. The heart, liver, spleen, lung, kidney, brain, and testis

DISCUSSION

To date, QDs have been produced and widely used in many fields such as biomedicine, optics, and physics. Due to the wide







application of QDs, there are many ways in which humans are exposed to QDs. Hence, there is growing concern regarding the toxicity of QDs. The majority of toxicity studies on QDs have been based on the in vitro cell model (Zhang et al., 2011). Compared with the data obtained in vitro, there is insufficient data on in vivo toxicity. The potential toxicity of each QD should be evaluated both in vitro and in vivo as data acquired in and out of the body are often not completely consistent. To our knowledge, the in vitro model is simpler than the *in vivo* model, and can eliminate the interference of many complicated factors, which is advantageous in the research of detailed molecular mechanisms accounting for toxicological behavior. However, the in vitro model cannot simulate the complexity of the biological process of QDs in vivo. Toxicity in vivo is influenced by many factors such as dosage, administration route, biodistribution, excretion, elimination by the immune system, and the animal model used. In addition, the physical and chemical properties of QDs such as shape, size, composition, and surface coating can also affect their potential toxicity in vivo. For example, Byron et al. found that different surface coatings on QDs determined localization of the QDs in vivo (Ballou et al., 2004). Xiao et al. demonstrated that adenosine 5'-monophosphate modified CdSe/ZnS QDs could stimulate the growth of Tetrahymena thermophila, while

mercaptoacetic acid-capped CdSe/ZnS QDs inhibited growth (Xiao et al., 2012).

In this study, we investigated the long-term toxicity of PEGylated $CuInS_2/ZnS$ QDs in BALB/c mice at concentrations up to 25 mg/kg. This study provided information for clinical applications and the foundation for the design and development of biologically targeted nanoparticles for biomedical applications.

One of the key indices in evaluating toxicity in vivo is the biodistribution of QDs. Our study demonstrated that CuInS₂/ZnS QDs can accumulate in the spleen and liver for more than 3 months after injection. These results are consistent with a previous report (Ye et al., 2012). In addition, Su et al. (2011) previously reported that aqueous synthesized QDs initially accumulated in the liver a short time after injection. These results also revealed that the breakdown and clearance of QDs are quite slow, suggesting that longer-term studies will be required to examine the ultimate fate of these heavy metals and the influence of their accumulation in mice. Contrary to our results, Choi et al. (2007) found that no accumulation of QDs was observed in the liver of male CD-1 mice after injection. The authors explained that as the size of the QDs was within the kidney filtration size limit, a number of QDs were removed by renal excretion. Although we did not observe QD fluorescence in the brain, kidney, and lung, etc, this does not mean that the QDs had

been removed from the organism (Lin et al., 2015a). It is possible that the QDs had broken up and fluorescence of the QDs was unable to be detected.

As the QDs were injected into the tail vein, they were in direct contact with blood cells. The QDs are similar in size to viruses and large proteins, and may influence the immune system or induce an inflammatory response, which would be indicated by changes in hematological factors, such as the WBC (Xiao et al., 2012). Thus, it was essential to investigate the quantity change or shape distribution of blood cells in mice, such as red blood cells and white blood cells. Our findings showed that the blood cells examined were not significantly different between the control group and the experimental group at day 90, with the exception of PLTs, suggesting little toxicity in BALB/c mice.

The liver plays an important role in biotransformation and the major function of the kidney is excretion of foreign substances. When QDs reach the liver and kidney via the blood circulation, this may result in adverse effects on liver and kidney function. Previous studies have proved that it is useful to measure liver function, kidney function, and hepatocellular injury by determining a series of parameters in serum (Dufour et al., 2000). Our results showed that PEGylated CuInS₂/ZnS QDs did not cause any obvious abnormalities in the serum indicators tested, suggesting that CuInS₂/ZnS QDs have low toxicity in the body. In addition, although CuInS₂/ZnS QDs can remain in the spleen and liver for more than 90 days post-injection, they did not induce any pathological injuries to the structure of the major organs as shown by H&E staining. These results indicated that CuInS₂/ZnS QDs exhibited optimal biocompatibility and had low toxicity in BALB/c mice.

It should be emphasized that the CuInS₂/ZnS QDs used were PEGylated. PEGylation refers to the modification of a protein, peptide or non-peptide molecule with a PEG chain. These PEG conjugates have the following advantages: increased solubility, improved biocompatibility and biological stability, prolonged residence in the body, decreased degradation by enzymes, and reduced protein immunogenicity (Ikeda and Nagasaki, 2011). Therefore, PEGylation now plays an important role in drug delivery, imaging, and other nanoparticle bioapplications. Nanoparticles are generally unstable and may cause adverse effects in biological organisms; however, coating these nanoparticles with PEG can overcome this problem.

It has been reported that PEG coating not only greatly reduces toxicity, but also shows little interaction with tissue components (Nekolla et al., 2016). Tang et al. utilized QDs with a positive, negative, or a PEG coating to study toxicity in a mouse model, and found that QDs coated with PEG resulted in fewer chronic injuries *in vivo* compared to the positive or negative QDs (Tang Y. et al., 2013). In our study, the QDs used consisted of a CuInS₂ core and a ZnS shell, coated with PEG. Our results showed that PEGylated CuInS₂/ZnS QDs did not cause any adverse effects in BALB/c mice for 90 days after injection. In summary, these results showed that the toxicity of PEGylated CuInS₂/ZnS QDs was relatively low *in vivo*, suggesting that PEG modification can be used to optimize the bioapplication of CuInS₂ QDs in order to achieve low toxicity.

CONCLUSION

In this study, the long-term toxicity of CuInS₂/ZnS QDs in male mice at a high dose of 25 mg/kg and low dose of 2.5 mg/kg was investigated. Following injection, the CuInS₂/ZnS QDs accumulated in the liver and spleen over 90 days. There were no obvious changes in body weight or behavior. Routine blood tests and biochemical examination showed that most of the measured parameters were not significantly different between the QDs-treated group and the control group. H&E staining demonstrated that there were no distinct histopathological abnormalities related to treatment with the CuInS₂/ZnS QDs have high biocompatibility *in vivo* and can be utilized as optical probes or nanocarriers for selected *in vivo* biological applications.

ETHICS STATEMENT

This study was carried out in accordance with the recommendations of 'the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals Center of Shenzhen University'. The protocol was approved by the 'Experimental Animal Ethics Committee of Shenzhen University' (Permit No. 201512022).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

GL and WZ conceptualized and designed the manuscript. XW and GL supported for administrative. WZ, LL, YC, and DL experimented and collected the data. WZ, ZY, and JW analyzed the data and drafted the manuscript. TC critically revised the manuscript.

FUNDING

The authors would like to acknowledge the funding support from National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) (Nos. 21677102 and 31671491), Natural Science Foundation of SZU (No. 827-000100, Starting project of Shenzhen highlevel overseas talents), the Chinese Ministry of Science and Technology (No. 2016YFC0904600), Shenzhen Science and Technology project (Nos. JCYJ20160331114230843, JCYJ20170817093725277, GJHZ20170313111237888, and JCYJ20170303160906960).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar. 2019.00437/full#supplementary-material

REFERENCES

- Ballou, B., Lagerholm, B. C., Ernst, L. A., Bruchez, M. P., and Waggoner, A. S. (2004). Noninvasive imaging of quantum dots in mice. *Bioconjug. Chem.* 15, 79–86. doi: 10.1021/bc034153y
- Chen, C., Wu, D., Chan, Y., Lin, C., Chung, P., Hsiao, M., et al. (2015). Evaluations of the chemical stability and cytotoxicity of CuInS2 and CuInS2/ZnS Core/Shell quantum dots. J. Phys. Chem. C 119, 2852–2860. doi: 10.1021/jp510908f
- Chen, T., Li, L., Xu, G., Wang, X., Wang, J., Chen, Y., et al. (2018). Cytotoxicity of InP/ZnS quantum dots with different durface functional groups toward two lung-derived cell lines. *Front. Pharmacol.* 9:763. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2018.00763
- Cho, S. J., Maysinger, D., Jain, M., Röder, B., Hackbarth, S., and Winnik, F. M. (2007). Long-term exposure to CdTe quantum dots causes functional impairments in live cells. *Langmuir* 23, 1974–1980. doi: 10.1021/la060093j
- Choi, H. S., Liu, W., Misra, P., Tanaka, E., Zimmer, J. P., Itty, I. B., et al. (2007). Renal clearance of quantum dots. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 25, 1165–1170. doi: 10.1038/ nbt1340
- Dufour, D. R., Lott, J. A., Nolte, F. S., Gretch, D. R., Koff, R. S., and Seeff, L. B. (2000). Diagnosis and monitoring of hepatic injury. II. Recommendations for use of laboratory tests in screening, diagnosis, and monitoring. *Clin. Chem.* 46, 2050–2068.
- Ho, C. C., Chang, H., Tsai, H. T., Tsai, M. H., Yang, C. S., Ling, Y. C., et al. (2013). Quantum dot 705, a cadmium-based nanoparticle, induces persistent inflammation and granuloma formation in the mouse lung. *Nanotoxicology* 7, 105–115. doi: 10.3109/17435390.2011.635814
- Ikeda, Y., and Nagasaki, Y. (2011). PEGylation technology in nanomedicine. Adv. Polym. Sci. 247, 115–140. doi: 10.1007/12_2011_154
- Li, X., Yang, X., Yuwen, L., Yang, W., Weng, L., Teng, Z., et al. (2016). Evaluation of toxic effects of CdTe quantum dots on the reproductive system in adult male mice. *Biomaterials* 96, 24–32. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2016.04.014
- Lin, G., Ouyang, Q., Hu, R., Ding, Z., Tian, J., Yin, F., et al. (2015a). In vivo toxicity assessment of non-cadmium quantum dots in BALB/c mice. *Nanomedicine* 11, 341–350. doi: 10.1016/j.nano.2014.10.002
- Lin, G., Wang, X., Yin, F., and Yong, K. T. (2015b). Passive tumor targeting and imaging by using mercaptosuccinic acid-coated near-infrared quantum dots. *Int. J. Nanomed.* 2015, 335–345. doi: 10.2147/IJN.S74805
- Liu, J., Law, W. C., Liu, J., Hu, R., Liu, L., Zhu, J., et al. (2013). Toxicity assessment of phospholipid micelle-encapsulated cadmium-based quantum dots using Kunming mice. RSC Adv. 3, 1768–1773. doi: 10.1039/c2ra21990e
- Liu, L., Xiao, Y., Ji, Y., Liu, M., Chen, Y., Zeng, Y., et al. (2017). CuInS2/ZnS QD exposure induces developmental toxicity, oxidative stress and DNA damage in rare minnow (Gobiocypris rarus) embryos and larvae. *Comparat. Biochem. Physiol. Part C* 198, 19–27. doi: 10.1016/j.cbpc.2017.04.009
- Nekolla, K., Kick, K., Sellner, S., Mildner, K., Zahler, S., Zeuschner, D., et al. (2016). Influence of surface modifications on the spatiotemporal microdistribution of quantum dots *In Vivo. Small* 12, 2666–2666. doi: 10.1002/smll. 201600071
- Peng, C., Liu, J., Yang, G., and Li, Y. (2018). Lysyl oxidase activates cancer stromal cells and promotes gastric cancer progression: quantum dot-based identification of biomarkers in cancer stromal cells. *Int. J. Nanomed.* 13, 161–174. doi: 10.2147/IJN.S143871

- Pons, T., Pic, E., Lequeux, N., and Cassette, E. (2010). Cadmiun-free CuInS2/ZnS quantum dots for sentinel lymph node with reduced toxicity. *Acs Nano* 4, 2531–2538. doi: 10.1021/nn901421v
- Song, T., Qingsong, C., Hicham, C., Vinay, A., Nadeau, J. L., and Mayer, G. D. (2013). Cadmium sulfate and CdTe-quantum dots alter DNA repair in zebrafish (Danio rerio) liver cells. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* 272, 443–452. doi: 10.1016/ j.taap.2013.06.004
- Su, Y., Peng, F., Jiang, Z., Zhong, Y., Lu, Y., Jiang, X., et al. (2011). In vivo distribution, pharmacokinetics, and toxicity of aqueous synthesized cadmium-containing quantum dots. *Biomaterials* 32, 5855–5862. doi: 10.1016/ j.biomaterials.2011.04.063
- Tang, S., Allagadda, V., Chibli, H., Nadeau, J. L., and Mayer, G. D. (2013). Comparison of cytotoxicity and expression of metal regulatory genes in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) liver cells exposed to cadmium sulfate, zinc sulfate and quantum dots. *Metallomics* 5, 1411–1422. doi: 10.1039/c3mt20234h
- Tang, Y., Han, S., Liu, H., Chen, X., Huang, L., Li, X., et al. (2013). The role of surface chemistry in determining in vivo biodistribution and toxicity of CdSe/ZnS core-shell quantum dots. *Biomaterials* 34, 8741–8755. doi: 10.1016/j. biomaterials.2013.07.087
- Thomas, P., Emilie, P., Nicolas, L., Elsa, C., Lin, B., François, G., et al. (2010). Cadmium-free CuInS2/ZnS quantum dots for sentinel lymph node imaging with reduced toxicity. Acs Nano 4:2531. doi: 10.1021/nn901421v
- Veeranarayanan, S., Poulose, A. C., Mohamed, M. S., Nagaoka, Y., Iwai, S., Nakagame, Y., et al. (2012). Synthesis and application of luminescent single CdS quantum dot encapsulated silica nanoparticles directed for precision optical bioimaging. *Int. J. Nanomed.* 7, 3769–3786. doi: 10.2147/IJN.S31310
- Xiao, Q., Qiu, T., Huang, S., Liu, Y., and He, Z. (2012). Preparation and biological effect of nucleotide-capped CdSe/ZnS quantum dots on *Tetrahymena thermophile. Biol. Trace Elem. Res.* 147, 346–353. doi: 10.1007/s12011-011-9286-4
- Ye, L., Yong, K., Liu, L., Roy, I., Hu, R., Zhu, J., et al. (2012). A pilot study in non-human primates shows no adverse response to intravenous injection of quantum dots. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 7, 453–458. doi: 10.1038/nnano.2012.74
- Zhang, L. W., Bäumer, W., and Monteiro-Riviere, N. A. (2011). Cellular uptake mechanisms and toxicity of quantum dots in dendritic cells. *Nanomedicine* 6, 777–791. doi: 10.2217/nnm.11.73
- Zhou, J., Zou, X., Song, S., and Chen, G. (2018). Quantum dots applied to methodology on detection of pesticide and veterinary drug residues. J. Agric. Food Chem. 66, 1307–1319. doi: 10.1021/acs.jafc.7b05119

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Zou, Li, Chen, Chen, Yang, Wang, Liu, Lin and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.