Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Momcilo Jankovic, Fondazione MBBM, Italy

REVIEWED BY Elizabeth Yen, Tufts University, United States Cecilia Anna Ferrero Caroggio,

ATS MILAN Metropolitan City, Italy *CORRESPONDENCE

Aifen Zhou ⊠ april1972@163.com

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Children and Health, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pediatrics

RECEIVED 14 February 2023 ACCEPTED 21 March 2023 PUBLISHED 18 April 2023

CITATION

Dong X and Zhou A (2023) Associations of maternal pre-pregnancy body mass index and gestational weight gain with risk of offspring neurodevelopment at 2 years: A Chinese birth cohort study. Front. Pediatr. 11:1165743.

doi: 10.3389/fped.2023.1165743

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Dong and Zhou. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Associations of maternal prepregnancy body mass index and gestational weight gain with risk of offspring neurodevelopment at 2 years: A Chinese birth cohort study

Xiaohan Dong¹ and Aifen Zhou^{1,2*}

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Wuhan Children's Hospital (Wuhan Maternal and Child Healthcare Hospital), Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science & Technology, Wuhan, China, ²Institute of Maternal and Child Health, Wuhan Children's Hospital (Wuhan Maternal and Child Healthcare Hospital), Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science & Technology, Wuhan, China

Introduction: In recent decades, there has been a surge in both obesity and developmental impairments. Only a few research have looked at the relationship between gestational weight growth and pre-pregnancy BMI in mothers and the neurobehavioral development of their infants. The current research investigates the associations among maternal pre-pregnancy BMI, GWG, and the risk of child neural development at 2 years of age depending on a Chinese birth prospective study.

Methods: The study population was 3,115 mother-infant pairs were registered in the Wuhan Health Baby cohort between September 2013 and October 2018, and data from this cohort was used in this investigation. The Chinese classification was used to group maternal BMI before conception. Based on the 2019 Life Cycle Project-Maternal Obesity and Childhood Outcomes Study Group, categories for GWG were created. The outcome was an assessment of child neural development at age 2 which was measured by employing a Chinese translation of the Bayley scales (BSID-CR). The multivariate regression models were used to calculate the beta (β) coefficients and 95% confidence intervals (Cls) for estimating the associations between continuous Bayley scores and maternal pre-pregnancy BMI categories, as same as in GWG categories.

Results: Infants of overweight and obese moms exhibited lower MDI scores than those of mothers with normal pre-pregnancy BMI ($\beta = -2.510$, 95%CI = -4.821 to -0.200) in the entire sample. Meanwhile, we find among the normal pre-pregnancy BMI mothers, infants of inadequate GWG mothers had lower MDI scores ($\beta = -3.952$, 95%CI = -7.809 to -0.094) compared with the referenced adequate GWG mothers, as well as the infants of excessive GWG mothers among the underweight pre-pregnancy BMI mothers ($\beta = -5.173$, 95%CI = -9.803 to -0.543). The PDI scores of the infants were not affected by the maternal pre-pregnancy BMI or GWG.

Conclusion: For Chinese babies aged 2 in this nationally representative sample, aberrant pre-pregnancy BMI and GWG can impair infants' mental development, but not psychomotor development. Such results are significant given the incidence of overweight and obesity as well as the long-term effects of early brain development. In this study we found optimal GWG recommendations

proposed by 2019 Life Cycle Project-Maternal Obesity and Childhood Outcomes Study Group were more suitable for Chinese women than 2009 Institute of Medicine(IOM) guidelines. Additionally, women should be given general advice on how to achieve their ideal pre-pregnancy BMI and GWG.

KEYWORDS

overweight, pre-pregnancy, gestational weight gain, obese, neurodevelopment, body mass index

Introduction

Negative health consequences for mothers and babies are strongly correlated with the incidence of overweight and obesity among women of reproductive age (1-3). Recent epidemiologic studies have shown that mother pre-pregnancy BMI and gestational weight gain (GWG) are risk factors for impaired infant neurodevelopment that may be modifiable (4-9). Potential causes of aberrant foetal brain development and deficiencies in cognitive, linguistic, and motor abilities include hormonal and inflammatory-induced alterations (10-12), as well as excessive or inadequate nutritional consumption during pregnancy (13, 14). According to animal research, significant amounts of inflammatory cytokines (15, 16) that influence brain development and later neurodevelopmental functioning can result from an overweight condition with increased GWG. Contrarily, some research indicates that adequate GWG may be able to mitigate the negative effects of greater BMI numbers on neonate/child neurodevelopment (7, 17-20). The effect for infants at 2 years of age in the Chinese population was rarely appreciated, despite the causal association between pre-pregnancy BMI, GWG, and worse neurobehavioral development in newborns being shown. Clear ethnic disparities in BMI and GWG have been seen in people with various genetic backgrounds, living situations, and lifestyles, particularly in some US population-based cohort studies (21, 22). As GWG levels differ with ethnicity, different BMI classes may be also connected with them (23). The relationships between the risk of child neurodevelopment and BMI and GWG in various ethnic communities must therefore be reproduced and verified. This study looked into any connections between maternal prepregnancy BMI, GWG, and the likelihood of adverse infant neural advancement in the Chinese.

Materials and methods

Participants of study

The Wuhan Health Baby cohort, which was carried out in Wuhan, China, and was intended to ascertain the effect of maternal exposures on child health, was the source of the individuals. This research included pregnant women who underwent their initial antenatal checkup at Wuhan Maternal and Child Healthcare Hospital between September 2013 and October 2018. The pregnant person must meet the requirements at enrollment in order to be eligible: Residents of Wuhan City, Singleton Gestation, Under Gestational 16 Weeks, Prepared to Fill Out Questionnaires, Preparation for Prenatal Checkups, and Preparation for Delivery at Study Healthcare. 3,598 mother-child couples were included. Participants with incomplete pregnancy and delivery data (n = 17) and people who had unqualified neurodevelopmental assessment results at age 2 (n = 392) were eliminated from the group. The final research group included 3115 mother-child couples after removing the children who experienced asphyxia (n = 6), preterm labour (n = 53), and foetal growth retardation (n = 15).

Ethics statement

Proof of permission is available upon request. The medical ethics committee at the Wuhan Maternal and Child Healthcare Hospital (Wuhan Medical & Healthcare Center for Women and Children), Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology has approved this work for research ethics. When participants visited the hospital for the first time for a prenatal examination, they were all asked to sign written informed consent forms.

BMI and GWG

Maternal pre-pregnancy BMI and GWG were exposed. Maternal self-reports of pre-pregnancy weight and height were collected at the start of the study. Weight (kg)/height (m)2 was used to compute pre-pregnancy BMI, which was then classified using the Chinese BMI classification standard (24), which is more appropriate for the Chinese population and several other Asian Pacific populations (25) than the World Health Organization (WHO) standard. Women were thus split into four groups based on the categories of the Working Group on Obesity in China: underweight (BMI < 18.5 kg/m²), normal weight $(18.5 \text{ kg/m}^2 \le \text{BMI} < 24.0 \text{ kg/m}^2)$, overweight (24.0 kg/m^2) $m^2 \le BMI < 28.0 \text{ kg/m}^2$), and obese (BMI $\ge 28.0 \text{ kg/m}^2$) (24). Because there were only 66 people in the "obesity" group, the category was amalgamated with the "overweight" category and is now referred to as the "overweight/obese" classification. The three BMI categories of "underweight," "normal weight," and "overweight/obese" were then statistically compared, using women with normal BMIs ("normal weight"; 18.5-24.0 kg/m²) as the reference group.

Maternal GWG was estimated as the discrepancy between the most recent menstrual cycle's self-reported weight and the last

weight that was clinically measured and recorded prior to or at delivery (26). GWG categories of inadequate, sufficient, and excess were developed based on the 2019 Life Cycle Project-Maternal Obesity and Childhood Outcomes Study Group (1). For women classified as underweight, adequate weight increase ranged from 14.0 kg to less than 16.0 kg (BMI < 18.5 kg/m^2); 10.0 kg to less than 18.0 kg for normal weight (BMI, $18.5-24.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$); 2.0 kg to less than 16.0 kg for overweight (BMI, $25.0-29.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$); 2.0 kg to less than 6.0 kg for obesity grade 1 (BMI, $30.0-34.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$); For obesity grade 2 (BMI, $35.0-39.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$), weight reduction or growth of 0 kg to less than 4.0 kg is required, and for obesity grade 3 (BMI, 40.0 kg/m^2), weight gain of 0 kg to less than 6.0 kg is required. During the analysis, women with adequate GWG served as the reference group.

Children neurodevelopment

To evaluate the neurodevelopment of babies at a mean age of 2 years (27), we utilised the Chinese revision of the Bayley Scale of Infant Development (BSID-CR). The Bayley Scales of Infant Development (BSID) was converted into the BSID-CR after some additional localization revisions (27). The BSID-CR performed satisfactorily and was implemented extensively in China (27). Highly trained psychiatrists who were unaware of the infants' exposure data conducted the examinations. The entire testing procedure was carried out in accordance with hospital protocol and was captured on film. The accompanying main indicators were primarily included in the BSID-CR measurement results: (1) The Mental Development Index (MDI), which measured the cognitive growth of infants and included measures of language, generalisation, classification, memory, and social skills; (2) The Psychomotor Development Index (PDI), which measured the psychomotor growth of infants and included measures of muscle coordination and gross and fine manipulation skills. With a mean of 100 and a standard deviation of 15, all raw scores were standardised to get normative scores (27).

Covariates

After delivery, skilled nurses conducted face-to-face interviews with the participants to gather socioeconomic and demographic data, such as the mother's age, education level, family's yearly income, and lifestyle factors (e.g., passive smoking during pregnancy). Medical records were used to gather information on other aspects of the mother's pregnancy, including parity, delivery method, date of last menstrual period (LMP), gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM), hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (HDP), model of delivery, birth date, and the characteristics of the infant (such as gender, gestational age at birth, and birth weight). The birth date less the LMP date was used to calculate the gestational age (weeks).

Statistical analysis

The relationship between the mother's pre-pregnancy BMI, GWG, and continuous Bayley ratings is assessed using multivariable linear regression using beta (β) coefficients and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Potential confounding factors included demographic factors (maternal age, gender, and education), lifestyle factors (passive smoking during pregnancy, family yearly income), obstetric factors (parity, delivery mode, gestational age, and birth weight), and medical conditions (gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM), hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (HDP). In the current investigation, two models were examined after potential confounders were taken into account. Model 1 was modified to account for maternal characteristics such as maternal age, parity, education, GDM, HDP, annual family income, and passive smoking while pregnant. Model 2 underwent further adjustments for neonatal variables such as delivery method, gender, gestational age, and birth weight. For this model, we looked at the data to see if there was any evidence of a multiplicative statistical interaction among prepregnancy BMI status and adequate gestational weight growth. The regression models automatically removed cases with missing confounder data. The pre-pregnancy BMI or appropriate GWG were employed as the reference in all regression model results. Further to investigate the association between GWG and neurodevelopment in various pre-pregnancy BMI categories, we performed a stratification analysis and separated the samples into subgroups based on their prenatal BMI classes.

We did a sensitivity analysis because the data were primarily dependent on the parents' self-reports in order to address the probable misclassification of GWG and BMI. We conducted the stratified analysis after adopting the World Health Organization BMI classifications (28) and IOM (WHO) GWG recommendation (29) as alternative criteria in the sensitivity analysis. The WHO BMI range for being underweight was the same as the Chinese range, but it was wider for the other classes. The 2019 Life Cycle Project-Maternal Obesity and Childhood Outcomes Study Group suggestion for excessive weight gain was narrower than the range of the IOM recommendations for adequate weight gain, which were wider in the underweight and obese pre-pregnancy BMI categories and narrower in the normal weight and overweight pre-pregnancy BMI categories.

The SPSS programme (version 26.0, IBM SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) was used for all statistical analyses. Based on two-tailed tests, P < 0.05 indicated significant differences for key variables, and P < 0.1 indicated substantial associations. Counts and percentage are used to express categorical variables. If continuous variables are regularly distributed, they are expressed as means (SDs), otherwise as medians (interquartile ranges) if the dispersion is skewed.

Results

In **Table 1**, it is evident that maternal pre-pregnancy BMI was related to maternal age, parity, GDM, HDP, gestational age, birth

	Total sample	Pre-pregnancy BMI category			Gestational weight gain category				
	(<i>n</i> = 3,115),%	Underweight (<i>n</i> = 614),%	Normal weight (<i>n</i> = 2,071),%	Overweight/ obese (<i>n</i> = 430),%	Inadequate (<i>n</i> = 309),%	Adequate (n = 1,589),%	Excessive (<i>n</i> = 1,217),%		
Maternal age (years) ^{a,b,d}	28 (27-31)	27 (26–39)	28 (27-31)	30 (27–33)	28 (26-31)	29 (27-32)	28 (26-30)		
Maternal education ^b									
≤High school	611 (19.6)	152 (4.9)	373 (12.0)	86 (2.8)	63 (2.0)	281 (9.0)	267 (8.6)		
≥college	2,504 (80.4)	462 (14.8)	1,698 (54.5)	344 (11.0)	246 (7.9)	1,308 (42.0)	950 (30.5)		
Family yearly income (¥) ^b								
≤50,000	410 (13.2)	99 (3.2)	261 (8.4)	50 (1.6)	44 (1.4)	180 (5.8)	44 (1.4)		
50,000-100,000	1,164 (37.4)	218 (7.0)	772 (24.8)	174 (5.6)	116 (3.7)	590 (18.9)	116 (3.7)		
≥100,000	1,541 (49.5)	297 (9.5)	1,038 (33.3)	206 (6.6)	149 (4.8)	819 (26.3)	149 (4.8)		
Passive smoking during	g pregnancy								
Yes	179 (5.7)	34 (1.1)	120 (3.9)	25 (0.8)	23 (0.7)	94 (3.0)	62 (2.0)		
No	2,936 (94.3)	580 (18.6)	1,951 (62.6)	405 (13.0)	286 (9.2)	1,495 (48.0)	1,155 (37.1)		
Parity ^b			·						
Primiparous(0)	2,542 (81.6)	542 (17.4)	1,688 (54.2)	312 (10.0)	244 (7.8)	1,231 (39.5)	1,067 (34.3)		
Multiparous (≥1)	573 (18.4)	72 (2.3)	383 (12.3)	118 (3.8)	65 (2.1)	358 (11.5)	150 (4.8)		
GDM ^{a,b}									
Yes	250 (8.0)	18 (0.6)	168 (5.4)	64 (2.1)	33 (1.1)	157 (5.0)	60 (1.9)		
No	2,865 (92.0)	596 (19.1)	1,903 (61.1)	366 (11.7)	276 (8.9)	1,432 (46.0)	1,157 (37.1)		
HDP ^{a,b}									
Yes	61 (2.0)	4 (0.1)	30 (1.0)	27 (0.9)	1 (0.0)	29 (0.9)	31 (1.0)		
No	3,054 (98.0)	610 (19.6)	2,041 (65.5)	403 (12.9)	308 (9.9)	1,560 (50.1)	1,186 (38.1)		
Delivery model ^b									
Natural delivery	1,549 (49.7)	369 (11.8)	1,033 (33.2)	147 (4.7)	174 (5.6)	808 (25.9)	567 (18.2)		
Cesarean delivery	1,566 (50.3)	245 (7.9)	1,038 (33.3)	283 (9.1)	135 (4.3)	781 (25.1)	650 (20.9)		
Gestational age (weeks) ^{a,b,d}	39.4 (38.8-40.1)	39.6 (39.0-40.3)	39.43 (38.9-40.1)	39.42 (38.7-40.0)	39.43 (38.7-40.0)	39.43 (38.7-40.1)	39.43 (39.0-40.3)		
Birth weight(g) ^{a,b,c}	3357.5 (390.6)	3249.2 (348.9)	3362.3 (385.2)	3488.8 (428.0)	3203.2 (361.5)	3321.9 (371.4)	3443.1 (403.0)		
Gender									
Male	1,620 (52.0)	312 (10.0)	1,082 (34.7)	226 (7.3)	159 (5.1)	836 (26.8)	625 (20.1)		
Female	1,495 (48.0)	302 (9.7)	989 (31.7)	204 (6.5)	150 (4.8)	753 (24.2)	592 (19.0)		
MDI scores ^{a,c}	107.97 (22.22)	108.41 (21.91)	108.37 (22.14)	105.42 (22.92)	109.69 (23.29)	108.43(22.25)	107.70(21.91)		
PDI scores	107.83 (18.34)	107.48 (17.65)	107.92 (18.50)	107.93 (18.59)	108.72 (19.42)	107.71 (18.90)	107.77 (17.30)		

TABLE 1 Features of mothers and babies based on pre-pregnancy body mass index (BMI) and gestational weight gain (GWG) (n = 3,115).

BMI, prepregnancy body mass index; GWG, gestational weight gain; GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus; HDP, hypertensive disorders of pregnancy; MDI, Mental Developmental Index; PDI, Psychomotor Developmental Index.

^a*P* < 0.05 difference by analysis of χ^2 of prepregnancy BMI category.

^b*P* < 0.05 difference by analysis of χ^2 of gestational weight gain category.

^cThe data were presented as mean(standard deviation).

^dThe data were presented as median (25th–75th).

weight, and MDI scores, whereas GWG was related to maternal age, mother education, family yearly income, parity, GDM, HDP, gestational age, and birth weight. Before becoming pregnant, the majority of mothers (66.5%) had BMIs that were within the normal range, and only a tiny percentage (19.7%) or 13.8% were underweight or overweight. 9.9% of women had inadequate GWG, 51.0% had appropriate GWG, and 39.1% had excessive GWG, based on the 2019 Life Cycle Project-Maternal Obesity and Childhood Effects Study Group recommendations. The median (25th-75th) maternal age was 28 (27-31) years, with a range of 19 to 43 years. Mothers were primiparous in the great majority (81.6%) and had college experience (80.4%). Only a small percentage of mothers (5.7%) either smoked themselves or did so while they were pregnant. In addition, the ratio of maleto-female newborns was almost 1:1 (52%-48%). 50.3% of the babies were delivered via caesarean section. 3357.5(390.6) g, 107.97(22.22), and 107.83(18.34), respectively, were the mean (SD) birth weights, MDI scores, and PDI scores. The median gestational age (25th–75th percentile) was 39.4(38.9–40.1)weeks.

We first want to determine if maternal pre-pregnancy BMI groups and GWG groups are associated with baby infants' MDI and PDI scores in our multivariable models. Table 2 displays the results of the association for the adjusted and unadjusted linear regression.

The relationship among pre-pregnancy BMI classes, GWG, and newborn neurobehavioral progress is shown in **Table 2**. The MDI scores of newborns of overweight and obese mothers were lower than the adjusted MDI scores of infants of normal-weight moms after controlling for maternal age, parity, maternal education, GWG, GDM, HDP, family yearly income, and passive smoking while pregnant. ($\beta = -2.479$, 95%CI = -4.800 to -0.158). For delivery method, gender, gestational age, and birth weight, we

	Underweight (<i>n</i> = 614)	Normal weight (<i>n</i> = 2,071)	Overweight/ Obese (<i>n</i> = 430)	Inadequate (<i>n</i> = 692)	Adequate (<i>n</i> = 1,646)	Excessive (<i>n</i> = 473)
MDI, β (95%CI)						
Unadjusted	0.044 (-1.957, 2.044)	0.00 (R)	-2.954 (-5.261, -0.646)	-1.744 (-4.453, 0.965)	0.00 (R)	-0.734 (-2.393, 0.926)
Adjusted ^a	0.875 (-1.261, 3.010)	0.00 (R)	-2.479 (-4.800, -0.158)	-2.120 (-4.940, 0.700)	0.00 (R)	-0.874 (-2.591, 0.844)
Adjusted ^b	0.929 (-1.201, 3.059)	0.00 (R)	-2.510 (-4.821, -0.200)	-1.998 (-4.782, 0.785)	0.00 (R)	-1.042 (2.773, 0.688)
PDI, β (95%CI)						
Unadjuste	-0.434 (-2.087, 1.219)	0.00 (R)	0.014 (-1.893, 1.920)	1.014 (-1.222, 3.251)	0.00 (R)	-0.142 (-1.727, 2.010)
Adjusted ^a	-0.824 (-2.592, 0.945)	0.00 (R)	0.239 (-1.683, 2.161)	1.270 (-1.065, 3.606)	0.00 (R)	0.604 (-0.818, 2.026)
Adjusted ^b	-0.893 (-2.656, 0.909)	0.00 (R)	0.381 (-1.553, 2.315)	1.425 (-0.905, 3.754)	0.00 (R)	0.589 (-0.860, 2.037)

TABLE 2 Correlations among child neurodevelopment scores at age 2 and PBMI and GWG categories.

PBMI, prepregnancy body mass index. GWG, gestational weight gain categories. CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; MDI, mental development index; PDI, psychomotor development index.

^aAdjusted for maternal age, parity, maternal education, GWG, GDM, HDP, family yearly income and passive smoking during pregnancy.

^bAdjusted for maternal age, parity, maternal education, GWG, GDM, HDP, family yearly income, passive smoking during pregnancy, delivery mode, gender, gestational age and birth weight.

P for interaction = 0.246 for MDI; P for interaction = 0.869 for PDI.

The appropriate GWG or normal pre-pregnancy BMI was employed as the reference in all regression model outcomes.

TABLE 3 Stratified by pre-pregnancy BMI categories, infantile neurodevelopment at 2 years of age was compared in the various GWG categories.

	MDI			PDI			
	β	95%CI	P	β	95%CI	Р	
Underweight							
Inadequate weight gain	-2.515	-7.751, 2.721	0.346	0.328	-3.929, 4.616	0.880	
Excessive weight gain	-5.173	-9.803, -0.543	0.029	-0.825	-4.616, 2.966	0.669	
Normal							
Inadequate weight gain	-3.952	-7.809, -0.094	0.045	1.284	-1.970, 4.539	0.439	
Excessive weight gain	-0.215	-2.279, 1.850	0.172	0.500	-1.242, 2.242	0.574	
Overweight/Obese							
Inadequate weight gain	-0.660	-10.556, 9.235	0.231	3.027	-5.147, 11.200	0.467	
Excessive weight gain	-0.790	-5.559, 3.979	0.745	2.525	-1.414, 6.464	0.208	

PBMI, prepregnancy body mass index. CI, confidence interval; MDI, mental development index; PDI, psychomotor development index.

Maternal age, parity, maternal education, pre-pregnancy BMI, GDM, HDP, family yearly income, passive smoking during pregnancy, delivery mode, gender, gestational age and birth weight were used as covariates.

All the results of regression models used the normal pre-pregnancy BMI or adequate GWG as a reference.

made additional adjustments. The relationship was still significant ($\beta = -2.510$, 95%CI = -4.821 to -0.200). Infant MDI scores and mother GWG categories did not show any statistically meaningful correlations. Infants PDI scores did not substantially differ according to the maternal prepregnancy BMI and GWG groups. The interaction term (BMI × GWG) was also explored by introducing into a generalized linear equation. The result showed that the interaction effect was not significantly associated with MDI (P = 0.246) or PDI (P = 0.869). As a result, in none of our sensitivity studies did we take the interaction into account. Then, in each pre-pregnancy BMI category subgroup, stratification

TABLE 4 Compares infant mental neurodevelopment at age 2 in accordance with the various IOM GWG categories according to wHO pre-pregnancy BMI classifications.

	MDI			PDI				
	β	95%CI	Р	β	95%CI	P		
Underweight	Underweight							
Inadequate weight gain	-1.737	-6.779, 3.306	0.499	0.811	-3.309, 4.930	0.699		
Excessive weight gain	-3.631	-7.503, 0.240	0.066	-1.680	-4.843, 1.483	0.297		
Normal	Normal							
Inadequate weight gain	-1.093	-3.809, 1.622	0.430	1.819	0.466, 4.103	0.119		
Excessive weight gain	0.695	-1.353, 2.743	0.506	1.023	-0.700, 2.746	0.244		
Overweight/Obese								
Inadequate weight gain	-0.169	-10.260, 9.922	0.974	2.152	-6.180, 10.484	0.611		
Excessive weight gain	-0.724	-6.50, 5.052	0.805	2.249	-2.521, 7.018	0.354		

PBMI, prepregnancy body mass index. GWG, gestational weight gain categories. CI, confidence interval; MDI, mental development index; PDI, psychomotor development index.

Maternal age, parity, maternal education, pre-pregnancy BMI, GDM, HDP, family yearly income, passive smoking during pregnancy, delivery mode, gender, gestational age and birth weight were used as covariates.

All the results of regression models used the normal pre-pregnancy BMI or adequate GWG as a reference.

analyses and linear regressions were carried out to investigate the association between GWG and neurodevelopment. In comparison to the referred sufficient GWG women, inadequate GWG was highly related to the reduced MDI scores in mothers with normal maternal pre-pregnancy BMI ($\beta = -3.952$, 95%CI = -7.809 to -0.094). (Table 3). Infants of high GWG moms had reduced MDI scores ($\beta = -5.173$, 95%CI = -9.803 to -0.543) in the underweight pre-pregnancy BMI mothers (Table 3). The PDI scores, in contrast, were not affected by the mother's pre-pregnancy BMI or GWG.

Table 4 provides an overview of the sensitivity analysis findings. The relevance and course of the results is changed when an alternative GWG and BMI standard is used. There were no associations between maternal GWG categories and infant MDI or PDI scores.

Discussion

A substantial correlation among maternal BMI, GWG, and neurobehavioral developmental variability at 2 years of age was found in this nationally representative sample of infants under 2 years of age. Infants of moms with an abnormal GWG or an overweight/obese prenatal BMI showed substantial negative connections with their cognitive development but did not affect their psychomotor development. More specifically, inadequate GWG was linked to poorer MDI scores for moms who were of normal weight before conception. Babies born to underweught mothers with high GWG levels exhibited more delayed cognitive development. The mean MDI score of babies born to obese or overweight moms was a little lower than that of babies born to mothers who were of a healthy weight. The study's findings concur with our theory. The standardised prevalence of obesity in China was 8.1% in 2018, more than twice as high as in 2004 (30), as a result of the country's current obesity pandemic. It is necessary to emphasise the significance of maternal pre-pregnancy BMI and GWG data for newborn neurobehavioral development.

There has been some recent research on the association between mother pre-pregnancy BMI and/or GWG and infants' neuro development. Similar to our findings, a study in Shanghai, China, infants aged 1 year revealed that mothers who were overweight/obese before becoming pregnant had infants that were lacking in neurobehavioral development, including linguistic capacity and social conduct. Women with high GWG, however, only exhibited a decline in social behavior (31). Although we were unable to look at connections between the various cognitive domains in this study. Insufficient maternal GWG may harm an infant's neurodevelopment, according to a large survey conducted in Japan in 2021 (32). GWG below recommended levels was linked to a significantly higher risk of developmental delay for the domains of communication (odds ratio [OR] 1.21, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.09-1.34), gross motor (OR 1.14, 95%CI, 1.05-1.24), fine motor (OR 1.13, 95%CI, 1.04-1.24), problem-solving (OR 1.09, 95%CI, 1.01-1.18), and personalsocial (OR 1.15, 95%CI, 1.08-1.25) (32). Contrary to these research, a correlation between maternal obesity or overweight and a child's cognitive development was not found in two cohorts of European infants at around 3 years of age (32, 33). That study had a drawback, though, in that the evaluation of newborns' cognitive ability was dependent on subjective maternal reports as opposed to impartial tests. The following contradictory results could be explained by many variables. First off, the linear regression models used in the majority of these studies can hide the true nonlinear relationship between prenatal body mass and a child's intellectual abilities. Second, some investigations may not have used the best metrics of maternal prepregnancy BMI or child neurodevelopment (34, 35). Small sample sizes may also reduce the statistical power of certain studies (36).

The relationship between a mother's pre-pregnancy BMI and her child's intellectual growth has been noticed, and numerous mechanisms may be involved. Inadequate prenatal micronutrient status (37-40), high-stress levels (41-43), and an inflammatory intrauterine environment (44-46) are a few potential biological causes. Particularly, obesity-related intrauterine inflammation may have a direct impact on the cognitive feature of offspring by harming the developing foetal brain (45, 46) or by continuing to increase the permeability of the foetal blood-brain barrier (47), making the developing foetus more susceptible to other intrauterine toxic agents. Why insufficient GWG might lead to neurodevelopmental problems is unknown. Malnutrition may limit embryonic brain growth, which is one explanation. Since specific nutrients are needed at delicate or crucial stages of foetal development, the quality of the mother's food is crucial (48). Folic acid is known to be essential for neural tube development (49). Since myelination, the formation of the frontal cortex, and the basal ganglia all depend on iron, iron insufficiency is the most typical nutritional shortfall throughout pregnancy (50). Sussman et al. hypothesised that early exposure to a carbohydrate-restricted diet, like the currently well-liked ketogenic diet programmes, affected offspring neuroanatomy, such as brain structure and volumetric change (51), as well as behavioural alterations, such as decreased susceptibility to anxiety and depression and increased hyperactivity in adult mouse offspring (51). Contrarily, excessive prenatal weight gain may worsen the already high levels of inflammation linked to obesity (52, 53), impeding development (50-54). For underweight women of childbearing age, it would seem crucial to follow the best diet and weight-gain advice.

The development of an infant's motor skills at age 2 was not shown to be correlated with the maternal pre-pregnancy BMI or GWG categories. Young newborns' motor development is relatively resistant to mild influences, and the ages at which healthy infants complete developmental goals in this area vary widely. As a result, utilising broad-based tests, it may be challenging to identify population-level assessments of dedicated motor development (55). Long-term motor results should therefore be further studied in the future.

The study, one of the largest probable birth cohort studies in the middle of China, was meticulously carried out with a high rate of long-term follow-up to determine the effect of maternal exposures on child health. In this study, professional psychologists assessed the neurodevelopment of more than 3,000 infants at the age of two using highly standardised methods, a detailed protocol, and rigorous quality control measures. These advantages made the research especially ideal for researching the relationship between the BMI and GWG of the mother before conception and the neurodevelopment of the foetus. The high sample size gave us enough statistical power to investigate the effects of possible confounders including hypertensive diseases and gestational diabetes. In contrast to earlier findings, our study embraced the Life Cycle Project-Maternal Obesity and Childhood Outcomes Study Group's optimal GWG ranges guideline in 2019 but not IOM. And a significant association between abnormal GWG and infants's mental development was successfully found compared with the IOM GWG guidelines. According to this study, not only in China but also in other developed nations, it is necessary to reevaluate the ideal pre-pregnancy BMI and GWG for women who want to become pregnant. To support this assertion, more research with a larger sample size is required.

There are several restrictions to this study. First, because our sample size was so small, we were unable to conduct additional BMI subgroup analyses, such as those for overweight and obese people. Second, self-reported data on mother weight during pregnancy, as in the majority of studies in this area, may have skewed results. The information for this investigation was then obtained from a single clinic. Further research is necessary to determine how the reported findings affect external validity, even if they may reflect the features of the mother-infant group in this region. We lacked information on postnatal environmental factors, which are also crucial for infants' neurobehavioral development (56, 57). Studies examining correlations of prenatal exposure levels with offspring healthcare outcomes are susceptible to residual confounding by genetic and environmental factors that are shared within families. Future observational studies examining the causative role of maternal prepregnancy BMI and GWG in offspring's neurodevelopmental outcomes must take into account any potential residual contamination by this shared familial variables (58).

We discovered that abnormal GWG and high pre-pregnancy BMI were both linked to impaired cognitive development in 2-year-old infants. Our findings imply that babies born to inadequate or excessive GWG moms have lower MDI scores and may consequently need greater monitoring for developmental impairments, even if the exact mechanism is still unknown. A healthy weight and lifestyle before getting pregnant should be promoted to all women of reproductive age, according to this study. Although there are obstacles to such preconception weight loss, including a lack of knowledge and understanding, time restraints, and affordability (59), the current public health objective in China is to raise the percentage of women who are in a healthy weight range when they conceive. Future studies should look at how pregnant weight increase patterns and higher pre-pregnancy BMI values affect the cognitive development of children.

Conclusion/future directions

For Chinese babies aged 2 in this nationally representative sample, aberrant pre-pregnancy BMI and GWG can impair infants' mental development, but not psychomotor development. Such results are significant given the incidence of overweight and obesity as well as the long-term effects of early brain development. Additionally, women should be given general advice on how to achieve their ideal pre-pregnancy BMI and GWG. Optimal GWG recommendations proposed by 2019 Life Cycle Project-Maternal Obesity and Childhood Outcomes Study Group were more suitable for Chinese women than 2009 Institute of Medicine(IOM) guidelines. Besides, stratifying the further study by sex (male vs. female) would also strengthen to provide highly relevant and meaningful clinical information on infants' predisposition to MDI or PDI outcomes.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Materials, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Wuhan Maternal and Child Healthcare Hospital (Wuhan Medical & Healthcare Center for Women and Children) medical ethics committee of Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology. Written informed consent to participate in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardian/next of kin.

Author contributions

AZ: study design, statistical analysis; XD: study design, literature search, statistical analysis, manuscript writing. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Voerman E, Santos S, Inskip H, Amiano P, Barros H, Charles MA, et al. Association of gestational weight gain with adverse maternal and infant outcomes. *JAMA*. (2019) 321(17):1702–15. doi: 10.1001/jama.2019.3820

2. Santos S, Voerman E, Amiano P, Barros H, Beilin LJ, Bergström A, et al. Impact of maternal body mass index and gestational weight gain on pregnancy complications: an individual participant data meta-analysis of European, north American and Australian cohorts. *BJOG*. (2019) 126(8):984–95. doi: 10.1111/1471-0528.15661

3. Sun Y, Shen Z, Zhan Y, Wang Y, Ma S, Zhang S, et al. Effects of pre-pregnancy body mass index and gestational weight gain on maternal and infant complications. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth.* (2020) 20(1):390. doi: 10.1186/s12884-020-03071-y

4. Fuemmeler BF, Zucker N, Sheng Y, Sanchez CE, Maguire R, Murphy SK, et al. Pre-pregnancy weight and symptoms of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and executive functioning behaviors in preschool children. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2019) 16(4):667. doi: 10.3390/ijerph16040667

5. Widen EM, Kahn LG, Cirillo P, Cohn B, Kezios KL, Factor-Litvak P. Prepregnancy overweight and obesity are associated with impaired child neurodevelopment. *Matern Child Nutr.* (2018) 14(1):e12481. doi: 10.1111/mcn.12481

6. Widen EM, Nichols AR, Kahn LG, Factor-Litvak P, Insel BJ, Hoepner L, et al. Prepregnancy obesity is associated with cognitive outcomes in boys in a low-income, multiethnic birth cohort. *BMC Pediatr.* (2019) 19(1):507. doi: 10.1186/s12887-019-1853-4

7. Hinkle SN, Albert PS, Sjaarda LA, Grewal J, Grantz KL. Trajectories of maternal gestational weight gain and child cognition assessed at 5 years of age in a prospective cohort study. *J Epidemiol Community Health.* (2016) 70(7):696–703. doi: 10.1136/ jech-2014-205108

8. Pugh SJ, Hutcheon JA, Richardson GA, Brooks MM, Himes KP, Day NL, et al. Gestational weight gain, prepregnancy body mass index and offspring attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder symptoms and behaviour at age 10. *BJOG*. (2016) 123 (13):2094–103. doi: 10.1111/1471-0528.13909

9. Pugh SJ, Richardson GA, Hutcheon JA, Himes KP, Brooks MM, Day NL, et al. Maternal obesity and excessive gestational weight gain are associated with components of child cognition. *J Nutr.* (2015) 145(11):2562–9. doi: 10.3945/jn.115. 215525

10. van der Burg JW, Sen S, Chomitz VR, Seidell JC, Leviton A, Dammann O. The role of systemic inflammation linking maternal bmi to neurodevelopment in children. *Pediatr Res.* (2016) 79(1):3–12. doi: 10.1038/pr.2015.179

11. Mehta SH, Kerver JM, Sokol RJ, Keating DP, Paneth N. The association between maternal obesity and neurodevelopmental outcomes of offspring. *J Pediatr.* (2014) 165 (5):891–6. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2014.07.003

12. Sullivan EL, Nousen EK, Chamlou KA. Maternal high fat diet consumption during the perinatal period programs offspring behavior. *Physiol Behav.* (2014) 123:236-42. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2012.07.014

13. Sasaki A, de Vega W, Sivanathan S, St-Cyr S, McGowan PO. Maternal high-fat diet alters anxiety behavior and glucocorticoid signaling in adolescent offspring. *Neuroscience.* (2014) 272:92–101. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2014.04.012

14. Yeung EH, Sundaram R, Ghassabian A, Xie Y, Buck Louis G. Parental obesity and early childhood development. *Pediatrics*. (2017) 139(2):e20161459. doi: 10.1542/ peds.2016-1459

15. Niculescu MD, Lupu DS. High fat diet-induced maternal obesity alters fetal hippocampal development. *Int J Dev Neurosci.* (2009) 27(7):627–33. doi: 10.1016/j. ijdevneu.2009.08.005

16. Tozuka Y, Wada E, Wada K. Diet-induced obesity in female mice leads to peroxidized lipid accumulations and impairment of hippocampal neurogenesis during the early life of their offspring. *FASEB J.* (2009) 23(6):1920–34. doi: 10.1096/fj.08-124784

17. Huang L, Yu X, Keim S, Li L, Zhang L, Zhang J. Maternal prepregnancy obesity and child neurodevelopment in the collaborative perinatal project. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2014) 43(3):783–92. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyu030

18. Pugh SJ, Hutcheon JA, Richardson GA, Brooks MM, Himes KP, Day NL, et al. Child academic achievement in association with pre-pregnancy obesity and gestational weight gain. *J Epidemiol Community Health.* (2016) 70(6):534–40. doi: 10.1136/jech-2015-206800

19. Rodriguez A, Miettunen J, Henriksen TB, Olsen J, Obel C, Taanila A, et al. Maternal adiposity prior to pregnancy is associated with ADHD symptoms in offspring: evidence from three prospective pregnancy cohorts. *Int J Obe (2005)*. (2008) 32(3):550–7. doi: 10.1038/sj.ijo.0803741

20. Cao LJ, Lin HY, Liang X, Chen YJ, Liu YY, Zheng YZ, et al. Association between pre-pregnancy body mass index and offspring neuropsychological development from 1 to 24 months of age: a birth cohort study in China. *Biomed Environ Sci.* (2019) 32 (10):730–8. doi: 10.3967/bes2019.093

21. Walter S, Mejía-Guevara I, Estrada K, Liu SY, Glymour MM. Association of a genetic risk score with body mass Index across different birth cohorts. *JAMA*. (2016) 316(1):63–9. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.8729

22. Headen IE, Davis EM, Mujahid MS, Abrams B. Racial-ethnic differences in pregnancy-related weight. Adv Nutr. (2012) 3(1):83–94. doi: 10.3945/an.111.000984

23. Headen I, Mujahid MS, Cohen AK, Rehkopf DH, Abrams B. Racial/ethnic disparities in inadequate gestational weight gain differ by pre-pregnancy weight. *Matern Child Health J.* (2015) 19(8):1672–86. doi: 10.1007/s10995-015-1682-5

24. Zhou BF, Cooperative Meta-Analysis Group of the Working Group on Obesity in China. Predictive values of body mass index and waist circumference for risk factors of certain related diseases in Chinese adults–study on optimal cut-off points of body mass index and waist circumference in Chinese adults. *Biomed Environ Sci.* (2002) 15 (1):83–96. PMID: 12046553.

25. Wang Y, Mi J, Shan XY, Wang QJ, Ge KY. Is China facing an obesity epidemic and the consequences? The trends in obesity and chronic disease in China. *Int J Obes* (2005). (2007) 31(1):177–88. doi: 10.1038/sj.ijo.0803354

26. Oken E, Gillman MW. Fetal origins of obesity. Obes Res. (2003) 11(4):496–506. doi: 10.1038/oby.2003.69

27. Yi SH, Luo XH, Yang ZW, Wan GB. The revising of the bayley scales of infant development (BSID) in China. *Chin J Clin Psychol.* (1993) 1:71–5.

28. World Health Organization. Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. Report of a who consultation. *World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser.* (2000) 894:i-xii, 1-253. PMID: 11234459.

29. Rasmussen KM, Yaktine AL. Weight gain during pregnancy: Reexamining the guidelines. Washington, DC: National Academies Press (US) (2009). 868 p.

30. Wang L, Zhou B, Zhao Z, Yang L, Zhang M, Jiang Y, et al. Body-mass index and obesity in urban and rural China: findings from consecutive nationally representative surveys during 2004–18. *Lancet.* (2021) 398(10294):53–63. doi: 10. 1016/s0140-6736 (21)00798-4

31. Wang H, Zhang H, Li J, Liao J, Liu J, Hu C, et al. Prenatal and early postnatal exposure to ambient particulate matter and early childhood neurodevelopment: a birth cohort study. *Environ Res.* (2022) 210:112946. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2022.112946

32. Motoki N, Inaba Y, Shibazaki T, Misawa Y, Ohira S, Kanai M, et al. Insufficient maternal gestational weight gain and infant neurodevelopment at 12 months of age: the Japan environment and children's study. *Eur J Pediatr.* (2022) 181(3):921–31. doi: 10.1007/s00431-021-04232-7

33. Brion MJ, Zeegers M, Jaddoe V, Verhulst F, Tiemeier H, Lawlor DA, et al. Intrauterine effects of maternal prepregnancy overweight on child cognition and behavior in 2 cohorts. *Pediatrics*. (2011) 127(1):e202–11. doi: 10.1542/peds.2010-0651

34. Rizzo T, Metzger BE, Burns WJ, Burns K. Correlations between antepartum maternal metabolism and intelligence of offspring. N Engl J Med. (1991) 325 (13):911–6. doi: 10.1056/nejm199109263251303

35. Hay WW Jr, Sparks JW. Placental, fetal, and neonatal carbohydrate metabolism. *Clin Obstet Gynecol.* (1985) 28(3):473-85. doi: 10.1097/00003081-198528030-00003

36. Neggers YH, Goldenberg RL, Ramey SL, Cliver SP. Maternal prepregnancy body mass Index and psychomotor development in children. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.* (2003) 82(3):235–40. doi: 10.1034/j.1600-0412.2003.00090.x

37. Rifas-Shiman SL, Rich-Edwards JW, Kleinman KP, Oken E, Gillman MW. Dietary quality during pregnancy varies by maternal characteristics in project Viva: a us cohort. J Am Diet Assoc. (2009) 109(6):1004–11. doi: 10.1016/j.jada.2009.03.001

38. Neggers Y, Goldenberg RL. Some thoughts on body mass Index, micronutrient intakes and pregnancy outcome. *J Nutr.* (2003) 133(5 Suppl 2):1737S-40S. doi: 10. 1093/jn/133.5.1737S

39. Giussani DA. The vulnerable developing brain. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. (2011) 108(7):2641-2. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1019726108

40. Georgieff MK. Nutrition and the developing brain: nutrient priorities and measurement. Am J Clin Nutr. (2007) 85(2):614S-20S. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/85.2.614S

41. Laraia BA, Siega-Riz AM, Dole N, London E. Pregravid weight is associated with prior dietary restraint and psychosocial factors during pregnancy. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* (2009) 17(3):550–8. doi: 10.1038/oby.2008.585

42. Talge NM, Neal C, Glover V. Translational research early stress, prevention science network: fetal, neonatal experience on child, and adolescent mental health. Antenatal maternal stress and long-term effects on child neurodevelopment: how and why?. J Child Psychol Psychiatr. (2007) 48(3-4):245–61. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2006.01714.x

43. Hobel C, Culhane J. Role of psychosocial and nutritional stress on poor pregnancy outcome. J Nutr. (2003) 133(5 Suppl 2):1709S–17S. doi: 10.1093/jn/133.5.1709S

44. Denison FC, Roberts KA, Barr SM, Norman JE. Obesity, pregnancy, inflammation, and vascular function. *Reproduction*. (2010) 140(3):373-85. doi: 10. 1530/rep-10-0074

45. Tozuka Y, Kumon M, Wada E, Onodera M, Mochizuki H, Wada K. Maternal obesity impairs hippocampal bdnf production and spatial learning performance in young mouse offspring. *Neurochem Int.* (2010) 57(3):235–47. doi: 10.1016/j.neuint. 2010.05.015

46. Bilbo SD, Tsang V. Enduring consequences of maternal obesity for brain inflammation and behavior of offspring. *FASEB J.* (2010) 24(6):2104–15. doi: 10. 1096/fj.09-144014

47. Huleihel M, Golan H, Hallak M. Intrauterine infection/inflammation during pregnancy and offspring brain damages: possible mechanisms involved. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol.* (2004) 2:17. doi: 10.1186/1477-7827-2-17

48. Vohr BR, Poggi Davis E, Wanke CA, Krebs NF. Neurodevelopment: the impact of nutrition and inflammation during preconception and pregnancy in low-resource settings. *Pediatrics*. (2017) 139(Suppl 1):S38–S49. doi: 10.1542/peds.2016-2828F

49. Molloy AM, Kirke PN, Brody LC, Scott JM, Mills JL. Effects of folate and vitamin B12 deficiencies during pregnancy on fetal, infant, and child development. *Food Nutr Bull.* (2008) 29(2 Suppl):S101–11; discussion S12–5. doi: 10.1177/15648265080292s114

50. Wachs TD, Georgieff M, Cusick S, McEwen BS. Issues in the timing of integrated early interventions: contributions from nutrition, neuroscience, and psychological research. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* (2014) 1308:89–106. doi: 10.1111/nyas. 12314

51. Sussman D, Ellegood J, Henkelman M. A gestational ketogenic diet alters maternal metabolic status as well as offspring physiological growth and brain structure in the neonatal mouse. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth.* (2013) 13:198. doi: 10. 1186/1471-2393-13-198

52. Do Carmo S, Forest JC, Giguère Y, Masse A, Lafond J, Rassart E. Modulation of apolipoprotein D levels in human pregnancy and association with

gestational weight gain. Reprod Biol Endocrinol. (2009) 7:92. doi: 10.1186/1477-7827-7-92

53. Shaarawy M, el-Mallah SY. Leptin and gestational weight gain: relation of maternal and cord blood leptin to birth weight. J Soc Gynecol Investig. (1999) 6 (2):70-3. doi: 10.1016/s1071-5576(99)00003-9

54. Sussman D, Germann J, Henkelman M. Gestational ketogenic diet programs brain structure and susceptibility to depression & anxiety in the adult mouse offspring. *Brain Behav.* (2015) 5(2):e00300. doi: 10.1002/brb3.300

55. Pitcher JB, Robertson AL, Cockington RA, Moore VM. Prenatal growth and early postnatal influences on adult motor cortical excitability. *Pediatrics*. (2009) 124 (1):e128–36. doi: 10.1542/peds.2008-1638

56. Aubuchon-Endsley N, Morales M, Giudice C, Bublitz MH, Lester BM, Salisbury AL, et al. Maternal pre-pregnancy obesity and gestational weight gain influence neonatal neurobehaviour. *Matern Child Nutr.* (2017) 13(2):e12317. doi: 10.1111/mcn.12317

57. Bale TL. Epigenetic and transgenerational reprogramming of brain development. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* (2015) 16(6):332–44. doi: 10.1038/nrn3818

58. Ekbom A. The Swedish multi-generation register. *Methods Mol Biol.* (2011) 675:215–20. doi: 10.1007/978-1-59745-423-0_10

59. Benton MR, Tape N, Deussen AR, Turnbull D, Dodd JM. Barriers to and facilitators for addressing overweight and obesity before conception: a qualitative study. *Women Birth.* (2021) 34(5):e493–7. doi: 10.1016/j.wombi.2020.09.025