Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Timothy James Kinsella, Brown University, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Giuseppe Carlo Iorio Deppecarlo.iorio@gmail.com

RECEIVED 23 May 2024 ACCEPTED 31 May 2024 PUBLISHED 07 June 2024

CITATION

Iorio GC, Denaro N, Livi L, Desideri I, Nardone V and Ricardi U (2024) Editorial: Advances in radiotherapy for head and neck cancer. *Front. Oncol.* 14:1437237. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2024.1437237

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 lorio, Denaro, Livi, Desideri, Nardone and Ricardi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Advances in radiotherapy for head and neck cancer

Giuseppe Carlo Iorio^{1*}, Nerina Denaro², Lorenzo Livi³, Isacco Desideri³, Valerio Nardone⁴ and Umberto Ricardi¹

¹Department of Oncology, Radiation Oncology, University of Turin, Turin, Italy, ²Medical Oncology Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milan, Italy, ³Department of Experimental and Clinical Biomedical Sciences "Mario Serio", University of Florence, Florence, Italy, ⁴Department of Precision Medicine, University of Campania "L. Vanvitelli", Naples, Italy

KEYWORDS

HNC (head and neck cancer), radiotherapy, radiation oncology, cancer care, personalized oncology

Editorial on the Research Topic

Advances in radiotherapy for head and neck cancer

Modern Radiotherapy (RT) plays a key role in Head and Neck Cancer (HNC). More precise delivery techniques, increasing employment of hadrontherapy, and artificial intelligence (AI) support characterize modern RT, enabling safer treatments with enhanced therapeutic window. Nonetheless, multidisciplinary care paths are necessary to manage HNC patients undergoing RT. This Research Topic features 14 articles exploring the advances in RT for HNC, covering both clinical and technological aspects in this complex oncologic scenario.

The first manuscript by Chen is a remarkable review of one of the topics at the forefront of HNC research: the human papillomavirus (HPV-)related oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma RT de-escalation (1). Chen appropriately highlighted how, while enthusiasts argue that the data robustly supports the integration of de-escalation into contemporary practice, skeptics point out that the published data is still relatively preliminary and makes it difficult to make definitive recommendations.

Two manuscripts reported the role of hadrontherapy in HNC (Adeberg et al. and Rampinelli et al.). Hadrontherapy offers advantages in dose distribution and improved radiobiology that may significantly improve the treatment safety and outcomes of certain HNCs (2–4). The retrospective analysis by Adeberg et al. assessed the outcomes and treatment-related toxicity following intensity-modulated RT (IMRT) and a Carbon Ion RT (CIRT) boost for salivary duct carcinoma (SDC). The study showed that multimodal therapy approaches with surgery followed by IMRT and CIRT boost for SDC leads to good local and locoregional disease control. The use of proton therapy reirradiation for HNC is increasing (5). However, reports are heterogeneous, and outcomes can be difficult to interpret (5). Rampinelli et al. assessed outcomes and toxicities of different treatment modalities (including proton therapy) for recurrent nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) in a non-endemic area. A total of 140 patients treated in Italy from 1998 to 2020 were retrospectively assessed. In this series, favorable cases with lower age, comorbidity rate, and stage underwent preferentially endoscopic surgery, as well as patients with shorter

disease-free interval from primary treatment. More complex cases underwent re-RT, distributed between photon-based RT and proton therapy. Noteworthy, regarding the intricate context of recurrent NPC, a Chinese multicentre randomized phase 3 trial (published in 2021) demonstrated that endoscopic surgery significantly improved overall survival compared with re-IMRT in patients with resectable local recurrence (6).

Three manuscripts focused on how radiation oncologists should set up multidisciplinary care paths to limit the severity of side effects and encourage tailored monitoring strategies for HNC patients (Santo et al.; He et al.; Patel et al.).

Patients with HNC are at a high risk of malnutrition at the time of diagnosis, and nutritional support or intervention is often needed during and after RT to avoid jeopardizing treatment outcomes (7). Therefore, the role of a multidisciplinary team is to share the outcomes to assess the proper supportive path. The literature overview by Santo et al. highlighted that adequate nutritional screening, assessment, and interventions might increase treatment adherence. Cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) has already been confirmed to be an effective psychological treatment to avoid or decrease the occurrence of adverse effects in patients with proven malignancies (8). In this regard, the prospective study by He et al. showed that CBT reduced the occurrence, latency, and severity of oral mucositis during chemoradiation therapy for locoregional advanced NPC. A too-often neglected HNC treatment-related toxicity is financial toxicity. Unplanned hospitalizations and emergency department (ED) visits during RT can lead to treatment breaks, leading to a financial burden on patients and the healthcare system (9). Patel et al. retrospectively analyzed the occurrence of ED visits, unplanned hospitalizations, and treatment breaks in HNC patients (n=376) undergoing RT in relation to pain and opioid use as well as other clinical, treatment, and socioeconomic characteristics. The authors found that patients with factors such as heavy opioid use, black race, receipt of concomitant chemotherapy, and lower socioeconomic class may require closer monitoring during RT.

Moving into the "technical" section, this Research Topic is rounded out by two articles (Zong et al.; Cai et al.) focusing on NPC clinical target volume (CTV) optimization and organs-at-risk (OARs) refinement, and a final set of six articles (Luan et al.; Lucido et al.; Gu et al.; Li et al.; Liu et al.; Huang et al.) highlighting advances in machine learning(ML)/deep learning(DL), radiomics and dosiomics.

In terms of modern RT target volumes refinement and optimization for NPC patients, a phase III trial published in 2022 demonstrated that the elective ipsilateral upper-neck irradiation (UNI), sparing the uninvolved lower neck, provided similar regional control and resulted in less toxicity compared with standard whole-neck irradiation (WNI) in patients with N0-N1 disease (10). The retrospective study by Zong et al. aimed to determine the diagnostic value of diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) and to elucidate the clinical characteristics of medial group retropharyngeal lymph nodes (RLNs) based on multimodal imaging. Additionally, the authors intended to explore the feasibility of optimizing the CTV60 boundary based on the characteristics of medial group RLNs. Among the findings, DWI demonstrated superiority in displaying lymph nodes. Moreover, based on the low incidence of the medial RLNs, CTV60 of medial group RLNs from the skull base to the upper edge of C2 emerged as feasible, leading to dosimetric advantages for protecting swallowing structures. Cai et al. aimed to assess the effects of accessory parotid gland (APG) on the dosimetry of the parotid glands (PGs) during NPC RT and evaluate its predictive value for late xerostomia. Noteworthy, the APG is rarely mentioned in the literature (11). Cai et al. suggested the potential benefits of considering the APG and PGs as a single OAR during RT for NPC. With APG included, the predictive power of the dosimetric parameters for xerostomia tended to improve, although no significant differences were observed. Manual labeling of HN OARs is time-consuming and subjective (12). Therefore, DL segmentation methods have been widely used. However, the accuracy of commercial autosegmentation systems still needs to be evaluated and improved (13).

Luan et al. proposed a parallel network architecture called PCG-Net, which incorporates both convolutional neural networks and a Gate-Axial-Transformer to capture local information and global context effectively. The PCG-Net outperformed other methods, improving the accuracy of HN OARs segmentation and potentially treatment planning for HNC patients. In the setting of using a DL-based autosegmentation model to reduce contouring time without compromising contour accuracy, Lucido et al. conducted a blinded randomized trial employing two HNC expert radiation oncologists and using retrospective de-identified patient data. DL autosegmentation demonstrated significant time-savings for OARs contouring while improving agreement with the institutional gold standard. On the other hand, DL for RT dose prediction has been reported for different tumor sites, but the influence of multiple different levels of input information on the ability to predict dose has not been adequately investigated. A generative adversarial network (GAN) is a class of ML frameworks and a prominent framework for approaching generative AI.

A GAN generates realistic predictions using two concurrent generative and discriminative neural networks (14, 15). Gu et al. used a three-dimensional deep GAN to predict dose distributions for locally advanced HNC RT and achieved results that were highly similar to the clinical plans.

Radiomics is a new research field that decodes tumor phenotypes by quantitatively analyzing image features extracted from medical images, seeking personalized clinical decision-making and better patient stratification (16–20).

Li et al. analyzed the recurrence patterns and reasons in patients with NPC treated with IMRT and investigated the feasibility of radiomics for the analysis of radioresistance. The authors found that radiomic analysis can serve as an imaging biomarker to facilitate early salvage for NPC patients at risk of in-field recurrence. Using methods similar to radiomics, dosiomics analyzes the spatial features of the 3-dimensional patient-specific dose distribution, providing better predictions of radiation-induced results (21, 22). Liu et al. demonstrated that a comprehensive modeling approach combining radiomics, dosiomics and clinical components displayed better predictive values for locoregional recurrence risk following RT than any single factor for locoregionally advanced hypopharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma.

Finally, radiomics and dosiomics can predict treatment-related toxicity for HNC patients. Huang et al. showed that radiomics and dosiomics features (from the planning computed tomography) are correlated with the incidence of grade 4 radiation-induced lymphopenia (G4-RIL) in NPC patients. Noteworthy, RIL has been proven to be a prognostically significant toxicity, affecting survival outcomes in several solid tumors (23, 24). To conclude, this Research Topic presents evidence of the clinical and technological advances in RT for HNC. This broad collection of articles summarizes these exciting active research areas while underscoring the current advances.

Author contributions

GI: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Data curation, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Validation, Visualization. ND: Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. LL: Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing.

References

1. Iorio GC, Arcadipane F, Martini S, Ricardi U, Franco P. Decreasing treatment burden in HPV-related OPSCC: A systematic review of clinical trials. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol.* (2021) 160:103243. doi: 10.1016/j.critrevonc.2021.103243

2. Laramore GE. Role of particle radiotherapy in the management of head and neck cancer. *Curr Opin Oncol.* (2009) 21:224–31. doi: 10.1097/cco.0b013e328329b716

3. Garden AS, Weber RS, Morrison WH, Ang KK, Peters LJ. The influence of positive margins and nerve invasion in adenoid cystic carcinoma of the head and neck treated with surgery and radiation. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* (1995) 32:619–26. doi: 10.1016/0360-3016(95)00122-F

4. Jensen AD, Nikoghosyan AV, Poulakis M, Höss A, Haberer T, Jäkel O, et al. Combined intensity-modulated radiotherapy plus raster-scanned carbon ion boost for advanced adenoid cystic carcinoma of the head and neck results in superior locoregional control and overall survival. *Cancer*. (2015) 121:3001–9. doi: 10.1002/cncr.29443

5. Lee A, Woods R, Mahfouz A, Kitpanit S, Cartano O, Mohamed N, et al. Evaluation of proton therapy reirradiation for patients with recurrent head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *JAMA Netw Open.* (2023) 6:e2250607. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.50607

6. Liu YP, Wen YH, Tang J, Wei Y, You R, Zhu XL, et al. Endoscopic surgery compared with intensity-modulated radiotherapy in resectable locally recurrent nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a multicentre, open-label, randomised, controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* (2021) 22:381–90. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30673-2

7. Isenring EA, Capra S, Bauer JD. Nutrition intervention is beneficial in oncology outpatients receiving radiotherapy to the gastrointestinal or head and neck area. *Br J Cancer*. (2004) 91:447–52. doi: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6601962

8. Brothers BM, Yang HC, Strunk DR, Andersen BL. Cancer patients with major depressive disorder: testing a biobehav Ioral/Cognitive behavior intervention. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* (2011) 79:253–60. doi: 10.1037/a0022566

9. Noel CW, Forner D, Wu V, Enepekides D, Irish JC, Husain Z, et al. Predictors of surgical readmission, unplanned hospitalization and emergency department use in head and neck oncology: A systematic review. *Oral Oncol.* (2020) 111:105039. doi: 10.1016/J.ORALONCOLOGY.2020.105039

10. Tang LL, Huang CL, Zhang N, Jiang W, Wu YS, Huang SH, et al. Elective upperneck versus whole-neck irradiation of the uninvolved neck in patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma: an open-label, non-inferiority, multicentre, randomised phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* (2022) 23:479–90. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(22)00058-4

11. Frommer J. The human accessory parotid gland: its incidence, nature, and significance. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. (1977) 43:671–6. doi: 10.1016/0030–4220 (77)90049–4

ID: Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. VN: Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. UR: Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

12. Kosmin M, Ledsam J, Romera-Paredes B, Mendes R, Moinuddin S, de Souza D, et al. Rapid advances in auto-segmentation of organs at risk and target volumes in head and neck cancer. *Radiother Oncol.* (2019) 135:130–40. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2019.03.004

13. Chen X, Sun S, Bai N, Han K, Liu Q, Yao S, et al. A deep learning-based autosegmentation system for organs-at-risk on whole-body computed tomography images for radiation therapy. *Radiother Oncol.* (2021) 160:175–84. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2021.04.019

14. Goodfellow IJ, Pouget-Abadie J, Mirza M, Xu B, Warde-Farley D, Ozair S, et al. Generative adversarial networks. *Adv Neural Inf Process Syst.* (2014) 2672–80. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.1406.2661

15. Isola P, Zhu J-Y, Zhou T, Efros AA. Image-to-image translation with conditional adversarial networks. IEEE-Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR). (2017) 5967–76. doi: 10.1109/CVPR.2017.632

16. Fave X, Mackin D, Lee J, Yang J, Zhang L. Computational resources for radiomics. *Transl Cancer Res.* (2016) 5:340–8. doi: 10.21037/tcr.2016.06.17

17. Aerts HJ, Velazquez ER, Leijenaar RT, Parmar C, Grossmann P, Carvalho S, et al. Decoding tumour phenotype by noninvasive imaging using a quantitative radiomics approach. *Nat Commun.* (2014) 5:4006. doi: 10.1038/ncomms5006

18. Lambin P, Rios-Velazquez E, Leijenaar R, Carvalho S, van Stiphout RG, Granton P, et al. Radiomics: extracting more information from medical images using advanced feature analysis. *Eur J Cancer*. (2012) 48:441. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2011.11.036

19. Gillies RJ, Kinahan PE, Hricak H. Radiomics: images are more than pictures, they are data. *Radiology*. (2016) 278:563. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2015151169

20. Kumar V, Gu Y, Basu S, Berglund A, Eschrich SA, Schabath MB, et al. Radiomics: the process and the challenges. *Magn Reson Imaging*. (2012) 30:1234–48. doi: 10.1016/j.mri.2012.06.010

21. Liang B, Yan H, Tian Y, Chen X, Yan L, Zhang T, et al. Dosiomics: Extracting 3D spatial features from dose distribution to predict incidence of radiation pneumonitis. *Front Oncol.* (2019) 9:269. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2019.00269

22. Wu A, Li Y, Qi M, Lu X, Jia Q, Guo F, et al. Dosiomics improves prediction of locoregional recurrence for intensity modulated radiotherapy treated head and neck cancer cases. *Oral Oncol.* (2020) 104:104625. doi: 10.1016/j.oraloncology.2020.104625

23. Iorio GC, Ricardi U, Dal Pra A. Radiation-induced lymphopenia beyond the COVID-19 pandemic. Front Oncol. (2020) 10:617302. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2020.617302

24. Iorio GC, Spieler BO, Ricardi U, Dal Pra A. The impact of pelvic nodal radiotherapy on hematologic toxicity: A systematic review with focus on leukopenia, lymphopenia and future perspectives in prostate cancer treatment. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol.* (2021) 168:103497. doi: 10.1016/j.critrevonc.2021.103497