Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Wen-Hao Xu, Fudan University, China

REVIEWED BY Fahad Quhal, King Fahad Specialist Hospital Dammam, Saudi Arabia Jiao Hu, Central South University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Fan Yang Xangyangyang89757@163.com

RECEIVED 22 April 2023 ACCEPTED 03 July 2023 PUBLISHED 19 July 2023

CITATION

Mao H and Yang F (2023) Prognostic significance of albumin-to-globulin ratio in patients with renal cell carcinoma: a metaanalysis. *Front. Oncol.* 13:1210451. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2023.1210451

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Mao and Yang. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Prognostic significance of albumin-to-globulin ratio in patients with renal cell carcinoma: a meta-analysis

Huaying Mao¹ and Fan Yang^{2*}

¹Clinical Laboratory, Huzhou Central Hospital, Affiliated Central Hospital of Huzhou University, Huzhou, Zhejiang, China, ²Clinical Laboratory, Huzhou Maternity and Child Health Care Hospital, Huzhou, Zhejiang, China

Background: Whether the albumin-to-globulin ratio (AGR) predicts the prognosis of renal cell carcinoma (RCC) remains controversial. Herein, we performed a meta-analysis to critically evaluate the relationship between the AGR and RCC prognosis, as well as the association between the AGR and the clinicopathological characteristics of RCC.

Methods: The PubMed, Web of Science, Embase, and Cochrane Library databases were thoroughly and comprehensively searched from their inception until 24 June 2023. To determine the predictive significance of the AGR, hazard ratios (HRs) and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated from the pooled data. The relationship between the AGR and the clinicopathological features of RCC was evaluated by estimating odds ratios (ORs) and 95% CIs in subgroup analyses.

Results: The meta-analysis included nine articles involving 5,671 RCC cases. A low AGR significantly correlated with worse overall survival (OS) (HR = 1.82, 95% CI = 1.37-2.41, p <0.001) and progression-free survival (PFS) (HR = 2.44, 95% CI = 1.61-3.70, p <0.001). Analysis of the pooled data also revealed significant associations between a low AGR and the following: female sex (OR = 1.48, 95% CI = 1.31-1.67, p <0.001), pT stage T3-T4 (OR = 4.12, 95% CI = 2.93-5.79, p <0.001), pN stage N1 (OR = 3.99, 95% CI = 2.40-6.64, p <0.001), tumor necrosis (OR = 3.83, 95% CI = 2.23-6.59, p <0.001), and Fuhrman grade 3-4 (OR = 1.82, 95% CI = 1.34-2.42, p <0.001). The AGR was not related to histology (OR = 0.83, 95% CI = 0.60-1.15, p = 0.267).

Conclusion: In patients with RCC, a low AGR strongly predicted poor OS and PFS and significantly correlated with clinicopathological features indicative of disease progression.

KEYWORDS

albumin-to-globulin ratio, renal cell carcinoma, meta-analysis, prognosis, blood test

Introduction

Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) accounts for approximately 2.2% of all cancer cases, and the median age at diagnosis age is 64 years (1, 2). Most (approximately 90%) malignant solid lesions in the kidney are RCCs (3). Globally, there were 431,288 new cases of RCC and 179,368 deaths from RCC in 2020 (1). Since 2012, the number of people developing RCC worldwide has increased by 22% according to the World Cancer Research Fund International (4).

RCC has three major subtypes: clear cell (approximately 80% of cases), papillary (approximately 15%), and chromophobe (approximately 5%) (5, 6). Despite significant advances in therapeutic strategies, RCC still has a poor prognosis. The overall 5-year survival rate is 8–59% (7); for advanced disease, it is <20%, and for metastatic RCC (mRCC), the median overall survival (OS) time is 10 months (8, 9). These poor outcomes may partly reflect the lack of powerful prognostic indicators (10). Consequently, identifying novel and effective biomarkers for prognosis prediction in patients with RCC in clinical settings is imperative.

Current evidence indicates that systemic chronic inflammation and malnutrition contribute to carcinogenesis and tumor progression (11, 12). Albumin (ALB) and globulin (GLB) are two major serum proteins that reflect nutritional and inflammatory status. The ALB-to-GLB ratio (AGR) is an established marker in oncology; it is calculated as follows: AGR = serum ALB/(total serum protein - serum ALB) (13). A low AGR has been widely associated with poor outcomes in various cancers, such as non-small-cell lung cancer (14), esophageal cancer (15), cervical cancer (16), multiple myeloma (17), and pancreatic cancer (18).

Previous studies have explored the prognostic significance of the AGR in patients with RCC (19–27). However, inconsistent results were obtained: in some studies, a low AGR significantly predicted worse survival (23, 26, 27), whereas in others, the AGR was unrelated to prognosis (21, 24, 25). To provide resolution, we performed a meta-analysis that evaluated the relationship between the AGR and RCC prognosis, as well as between the AGR and the clinicopathological characteristics of RCC.

Materials and methods

Study guideline

This meta-analysis was performed in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines (28).

Ethics statement

This study used data from previous articles, and approval from an ethics committee or institutional review board was therefore waived.

Search strategy

We thoroughly and comprehensively searched the PubMed, Web of Science, Embase, and Cochrane Library databases from their inception until 24 June 2023. Only English publications were selected. The following combinations of Medical Subject Headings and other terms were used: (albumin to globulin ratio, albumin/ globulin ratio, AGR, or albumin-globulin ratio) and (renal cancer, kidney neoplasm, kidney cancer, renal cell carcinoma, renal carcinoma, or RCC). The references of the retrieved studies were manually examined to identify additional relevant studies.

Study eligibility criteria

We included English-language articles that reported the following: (1) the association between the AGR and survival outcome [e.g., OS, recurrence-free survival, cancer-specific survival (CSS), and progression-free survival (PFS)]; (2) hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) or data that allowed their calculation; and (3) the threshold used to stratify a high/low AGR. Additional inclusion criteria were a pathological diagnosis of RCC and measurement of serum ALB and GLB levels before treatment. Meeting abstracts, reviews, case reports, letters, comments, studies with overlapping patients, and animal studies were excluded.

Data collection and quality evaluation

Two researchers (HM and FY) independently screened the retrieved articles and extracted and crosschecked the data. Disagreements between the two investigators were settled through negotiation until a consensus was reached. The data collected in this study included first author, country, publication year, sample size, study period, patient age and sex, number of study centers (single or multiple), metastatic status, treatment, follow-up period, AGR threshold, survival endpoints, type of survival analysis (univariate or multivariate), and HRs with 95% CIs. We used the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) (29) to evaluate the quality of the study in three domains: selection (0–4 points), comparability (0–2 points), and outcome assessment (0–3 points), yielding a total score of 0–9. Articles with NOS scores ≥ 6 were considered high-quality.

Statistical analysis

HRs with 95% CIs were calculated to determine whether the AGR significantly predicted survival outcome. Inter-study heterogeneity was assessed using Higgin's I² statistic (30) and Cochran's Q test (31).

Abbreviations: AGR, albumin-to-globulin ratio; RCC, renal cell carcinoma; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival; ALB, albumin; GLB, globulin; NSCLC, non-small-cell lung cancer; PRISMA, Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses; RFS, recurrence-free survival; CSS, cancer-specific survival; NOS, Newcastle-Ottawa Scale; DFS, disease-free survival; DMFS, distant metastasis-free survival.

P values <0.10 and I² values >50% indicated heterogeneity. Randomeffects and fixed-effects models are used for heterogeneous and nonheterogeneous data, respectively.

To further explore the ability of the AGR to predict RCC prognosis, subgroup analyses stratified by country, sample size, study center number, metastatic status, treatment, AGR threshold, and type of survival analysis were performed. Associations between the AGR and the clinicopathological features of RCC were evaluated by determining odds ratios (ORs) with 95% CIs. Begg's test (32) and Egger's test (33) were used to assess publication bias. Statistical analyses were performed using Stata software, version 12.0 (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX, USA). P values <0.05 indicated statistical significance.

Results

The literature selection process

A total of 155 studies were retrieved in the preliminary search; 37 duplicates were eliminated, leaving 118 studies (Figure 1). An additional 97 studies were excluded owing to irrelevance as determined upon title and abstract screening. Among the remaining 21 studies, 12 were discarded following full-text assessment: six did not analyze AGRs, four lacked survival data, one was a meeting abstract, and one had overlapping patients. Finally, nine articles involving 5,671 cases (19–27) were included in the present study.

Study features

Table 1 lists the basic features of the nine included studies. All studies were published between 2017 and 2021; five were performed in China (19, 20, 22, 24, 25), two in Turkey (21, 26), and one each in Korea (23) and Austria (27). All studies were retrospective (19-27), with sample sizes of 95-2,970 (median, 187). Seven studies were single-center (19-22, 24-26) and two were multicenter (23, 27). Four studies examined patients with non-mRCC (19, 21, 23, 24), three examined patients with mRCC (25-27), and two included patients at multiple stages (20, 22). Surgery was performed in eight studies (19-25, 27) and targeted therapy in one study (26). The threshold AGR was 1.11-1.64 (median, 1.43). Eight (19-21, 23-27) and six (19, 21-23, 26, 27) studies assessed the significance of the AGR in predicting OS and PFS, respectively. Multivariate regression analyses with HRs and CIs were performed in seven studies (19-21, 23, 24, 26, 27) and univariate analyses in two (22, 25). The NOS scores for the included articles ranged from 7 to 9, which indicated high quality.

AGR and OS

Eight studies with 5,484 patients provided information regarding the relationship between the pretreatment AGR and OS (19–21, 23– 27). Owing to obvious heterogeneity ($I^2 = 61.1\%$, p = 0.012), we used a random-effects model to collectively analyze the data in these studies. We found that a low AGR remarkably predicted worse OS (HR = 1.82, 95% CI = 1.37–2.41, p <0.001; Table 2 and Figure 2). In subgroup analyses, the ability of the AGR to predict OS was



Study	Year	Country	Sample size	Study period	Age (year) Median (range)	Study center	Gender (M/F)	Study design	Metastatic status	Treatment	Cut-off value	Follow-up (month) Median (range)	Survival outcomes	Survival analysis	NOS score
Chen, Z.	2017	China	416	2003- 2013	56.3 (24- 80)	Single center	258/158	Retrospective	Non- metastatic	Surgery	1.22	69.2 (1-151)	OS, PFS	Multivariate	7
He, X.	2017	China	895	2000- 2012	51.4	Single center	600/295	Retrospective	Mixed	Surgery	1.47	69.68	OS	Multivariate	7
Koparal, M. Y.	2018	Turkey	162	2010- 2016	56.5	Single center	102/60	Retrospective	Non- metastatic	Surgery	1.40	27.5 (6-89)	OS, PFS	Multivariate	8
Bian, Z.	2020	China	187	2011- 2017	56.7	Single center	118/69	Retrospective	Mixed	Surgery	1.64	54.6 (1-97.2)	PFS	Univariate	7
Chung, J. W.	2020	Korea	2,970	1999- 2015	55.6	Multicenter	2,055/915	Retrospective	Non- metastatic	Surgery	1.47	26.0 (9.0-59.0)	OS, PFS	Multivariate	9
Hu, J.	2020	China	170	2010- 2015	52.5	Single center	120/50	Retrospective	Non- metastatic	Surgery	1.35	70	OS	Multivariate	7
Xu, K.	2020	China	95	2005- 2016	56 (16-75)	Single center	84/11	Retrospective	Metastatic	Surgery	1.5	51 (6-132)	OS	Univariate	8
Aktepe, O. H.	2021	Turkey	163	2008- 2019	60 (53-65)	Single center	120/43	Retrospective	Metastatic	Targeted therapy	1.11	19.05 (1.31- 102.6)	OS, PFS	Multivariate	7
Laukhtina, E.	2021	Austria	613	NR	57 (50-64)	Multicenter	428/185	Retrospective	Metastatic	Surgery	1.43	31	OS, PFS	Multivariate	8

M, male; F, female; OS, overall survival; PFS ,progression-free survival; NOS, Newcastle-Ottawa Scale.

unaffected by sample size, country, study center number, metastatic status, treatment, or AGR threshold (Table 2). Moreover, a low AGR significantly predicted poor OS in a multivariate analysis (HR = 1.98, 95% CI = 1.47-2.66, p <0.001; Table 2).

AGR and PFS

Six articles with 4,511 patients examined the relationship between the pretreatment AGR and PFS (19, 21–23, 26, 27). Owing to obvious heterogeneity ($I^2 = 75.9\%$, p = 0.001), we used a random-effects model to collectively analyze the data in these articles. We found that a low AGR strongly predicted worse PFS (HR = 2.44, 95% CI = 1.61–3.70, p <0.001; Figure 3 and Table 3). In subgroup analyses, a low AGR significantly predicted poor PFS regardless of country, sample size, study center number, metastatic status, treatment, cut-off value, or type of survival analysis (Table 3).

Relationship between the AGR and clinicopathological features

The relationship between the pretreatment AGR and the clinicopathological features of RCC was analyzed using data from six studies with 5,219 patients (19–21, 23, 26, 27). The clinicopathological characteristics examined were as follows: sex (female vs male), pT stage (T3–T4 vs T1–T2), pN stage (N1 vs N0), tumor necrosis (present vs absent), histology (clear cell RCC vs non-clear cell RCC), and Fuhrman grade (3–4 vs 1–2). As shown in Table 4 and Figure 4, a low AGR closely correlated with the female sex (OR = 1.48, 95% CI = 1.31–1.67, p <0.001), pT stage T3–T4 (OR = 4.12, 95% CI = 2.93–5.79, p <0.001), pN stage N1 (OR = 3.99, 95% CI = 2.23–6.59, p <0.001), and Fuhrman grade 3–4 (OR = 1.82, 95% CI = 1.34–2.42, p <0.001). The AGR was not related to histology (OR = 0.83, 95% CI = 0.60–1.15, p = 0.267).

TABLE 2 Subgroup analysis of prognostic value of AGR for OS in patients with RCC.

Variables	No. of studies	No. of patients	Effects model	HR (95%CI)	р	Heteroger l ² (%) Ph	ieity					
Total	8	5,484	Random	1.82 (1.37-2.41)	< 0.001	61.1	0.012					
Country												
China	4	1,576	Random	2.11 (1.10-4.05)	0.025	81.5	0.001					
Other than China	4	3,908	Fixed	1.66 (1.37-2.02)	< 0.001	0	0.623					
Sample size												
<200	4	590	Fixed	1.54 (1.16-2.04)	0.002	37.0	0.190					
≥200	4	4,894	Random	2.04 (1.32-3.14)	0.001	76.7	0.005					
Study center												
Single center	6	1,901	Random	1.99 (1.27-3.13)	0.003	70.8	0.004					
Multicenter	2	3,583	Fixed	1.58 (1.26-1.97)	<0.001	0	0.511					
Metastatic status												
Non-metastatic	4	3,718	Random	2.57 (1.28-5.14)	0.008	66.2	0.031					
Metastatic	3	871	Random	1.51 (1.12-2.04)	0.007	51.0	0.130					
Mixed	1	895	-	1.59 (1.08-2.33)	0.019	-	-					
Treatment												
Surgery	7	5,321	Random	1.78 (1.29-2.46)	<0.001	64.3	0.010					
Targeted therapy	1	163	-	2.10 (1.34-3.29)	0.001	-	-					
Cut-off value												
<1.43	4	911	Random	2.73 (1.45-5.12)	0.002	59.0	0.062					
≥1.43	4	4,573	Fixed	1.48 (1.25-1.77)	< 0.001	0	0.456					
Survival analysis												
Univariate	1	95	-	1.13 (0.75-1.69)	0.567	-	-					
Multivariate	7	5,389	Random	1.98 (1.47-2.66)	<0.001	56.7	0.031					



Publication bias

Begg's and Egger's tests were used to assess publication bias. Funnel plots revealed rough symmetry regarding the distribution of many of the included articles, indicating the absence of obvious publication bias for OS (p = 0.266 and 0.236 for Begg's and Egger's tests, respectively) and PFS (p = 0.260 and 0.087, respectively; Figure 5).

Discussion

Whether the AGR predicts RCC prognosis is unclear, with previous reports providing conflicting results (19-27). The present meta-analysis, which synthesized data from nine studies with 5,671 RCC cases, revealed a robust association between a low pretreatment AGR and shorter OS and PFS times. As a reflection of the aggressive nature of RCC, a low AGR also correlated with pT stage T3-T4, pN stage N1, tumor necrosis, and Fuhrman grade 3-4. Hence, it might serve as a stable predictor of the short- and longterm prognosis of patients with RCC; notably, patients with low AGRs tended to experience tumor progression and metastasis. To our knowledge, this is the first reported meta-analysis of the significance of the AGR in predicting the prognosis and clinicopathological features of RCC.

The AGR compares ALB and GLB levels, with a low AGR indicating a low ALB and/or high GLB level. The potential



Forest plots of the prognostic role of AGR for PFS in patients with RCC.

TABLE 3 Subgroup analysis of prognostic value of AGR for PFS in patients with RCC.

Variables	No. of studies	No. of patients	Effects model	HR (95%CI)	р	Heterogei I ² (%) Ph	neity				
Total	6	4,511	Random	2.44 (1.61-3.70)	< 0.001	75.9	0.001				
Country											
China	2	603	Fixed	8.00 (4.06-15.75)	< 0.001	0	0.676				
Other than China	4	3,908	Fixed	1.70 (1.44-2.01)	< 0.001	0	0.647				
Sample size											
<200	3	512	Fixed	2.05 (1.45-2.89)	< 0.001	46.9	0.152				
≥200	3	3,999	Random	2.51 (1.36-4.65)	0.003	87.9	< 0.001				
Study center											
Single center	4	928	Random	3.96 (1.48-10.59)	0.006	78.8	0.003				
Multicenter	2	3,583	Fixed	1.66 (1.38-2.00)	< 0.001	23.6	0.253				
Metastatic status											
Non-metastatic	3	3,548	Random	3.46 (1.07-11.26)	0.039	83.3	0.003				
Metastatic	2	776	Fixed	1.61 (1.32-1.97)	< 0.001	0	0.396				
Mixed	1	187	-	6.45 (1.91-21.80)	0.003	-	-				
Treatment											
Surgery	5	4,348	Random	2.84 (1.62-4.98)	<0.001	80.7	< 0.001				
Targeted therapy	1	163	-	1.84 (1.27-2.65)	0.001	-	-				
Cut-off value											
<1.43	3	741	Random	3.43 (1.03-11.40)	0.044	83.0	0.003				
≥1.43	3	3,770	Random	1.91 (1.28-2.83)	0.001	66.5	0.050				
Survival analysis											
Univariate	1	187	-	6.45 (1.91-21.80)	0.003	-	-				
Multivariate	5	4,324	Random	2.21 (1.47-3.30)	<0.001	75.9	0.002				

mechanisms underlying the correspondence between a low AGR and poor RCC prognosis reflect the anti-oncogenic and prooncogenic actions of ALB and GLB, respectively. Serum ALB, a liver-generated soluble protein, maintains capillary osmotic pressure, removes free radicals from the blood (13), inhibits systemic inflammatory reactions, and serves as a marker of nutritional status (34). Malnutrition and inflammation impede its synthesis, as does interleukin-6 during the acute phase of inflammation in hepatocytes (35). ALB levels have been useful for predicting the outcomes of various cancers (36). The GLB component of the AGR includes diverse proinflammatory proteins, such as immunoglobulins, C-reactive protein,

TABLE 4 The association between AGR and clinicopathological features in patients with RCC.

Factors	No. of studies	No. of patients	Effects model	OR (95%CI)	р	Heterogeneity I ² (%) Ph	
Gender (female vs male)	6	5,219	Fixed	1.48 (1.31-1.67)	< 0.001	0	0.473
pT stage (T3-T4 vs T1-T2)	3	1,473	Fixed	4.12 (2.93-5.79)	< 0.001	17.3	0.299
pN stage (N1 vs N0)	2	1,311	Fixed	3.99 (2.40-6.64)	< 0.001	0	0.682
Tumor necrosis (present vs absent)	2	578	Fixed	3.83 (2.23-6.59)	< 0.001	0	0.660
Histology (ccRCC vs non-ccRCC)	3	1,671	Fixed	0.83 (0.60-1.15)	0.267	0	0.961
Fuhrman grade (G3-G4 vs G1-G2)	4	1,636	Fixed	1.82 (1.38-2.42)	< 0.001	0	0.419

ccRCC, clear cell renal cell carcinoma.



T2); (C) pN stage (N1 vs N0); (D) Tumor necrosis (present vs absent); (E) Histology (ccRCC vs non-ccRCC); and (F) Fuhrman grade (G3-G4 vs G1-G2)

complement components (37), α -2 macroglobulin, fibrinogen, prothrombin, and serum amyloid A (38, 39). Because immunoglobulins are primarily metabolized in the liver, their clearance is impaired in patients with severe hepatic dysfunction, resulting in hyperglobulinemia (40, 41). In support of a potential role of GLB in apoptosis and carcinogenesis, a previous study associated increases in immunoglobulin levels with variations in the gene encoding tumor necrosis factor receptor 13B (42). Therefore, the AGR, which considers both ALB and GLB levels, is a reasonable and reliable prognostic marker.

Notably, a recent large-scale multicenter real-world retrospective study used pretreatment clinical characteristics to identify patients with muscle-invasive bladder cancer (MIBC) who could benefit from neoadjuvant combination therapy (43). Based on the combined prognostic efficiency of four hematological indexes [platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR) and hemoglobin, globulin, and platelet levels], a new indicator, the PLR.GHR (PLR*Globulin/Hemoglobin), was developed for MIBC (43). This finding has important implications in terms of the findings of our meta-analysis on RCC

Previous studies have evaluated various hematological parameters as predictors of RCC outcomes. In the report by De

Giorgi et al., the systemic immune inflammation index and body mass index independently predicted OS in RCC patients treated with nivolumab (44). In studies of mRCC, a low pretreatment prognostic nutritional index was a potential risk factor after firstline therapy with tyrosine kinase inhibitors (45), and the PLR was an independent indicator of survival in a large cohort (n = 921) (46). Additionally, a recent meta-analysis associated a high pretreatment neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and PLR with progression and mortality in mRCC patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors. Collectively, these studies implicate multiple hematological parameters in RCC prognosis (44–47).

Both units of the AGR (ALB and GLB) are important components of the tumor microenvironment. Therefore, the prognostic capability of the AGR can be influenced by tumor immune markers, such as branched chain aminotransferase 2 (BCAT2) (48), 5 methylcytosine (5mC) (49), and Siglec15 (50), all of which have been shown to shape the tumor microenvironment (48–50). The relationship between the AGR and these markers should be investigated in future studies.

Several meta-analyses suggest that a low pretreatment AGR can predict the prognosis of various cancers (51-55). In a meta-analysis of 3,211 patients with head and neck cancer, a low AGR



significantly correlated with poor disease-free survival (DFS), distant metastasis-free survival, OS, T3–T4 status, lymph node metastasis, and stage III–IV disease (51). In a meta-analysis of 7,211 patients with metastatic prostate cancer, the AGR independently predicted PFS and CSS (52). Furthermore, in a meta-analysis of 8,397 patients with colorectal cancer, a low AGR robustly predicted poor OS and DFS/PFS (53). In additional meta-analyses, a low AGR remarkably predicted poor OS and DFS in patients (n = 3,496) with lung cancer (54) and was closely associated with poor OS and DFS/PFS in patients with gastric cancer (12 articles) (55).

The present study had some limitations. First, because all included articles were retrospective, inherent heterogeneity existed. However, the appropriate model for analysis of heterogeneous data (the random-effects model) was used. Second, the sample size was relatively small. Although we searched several databases, only nine relevant studies were retrieved. Third, most of the eligible studies were conducted in Asia. Consequently, our findings might be more applicable to Asian vs non-Asian patients with RCC. Owing to these limitations, larger, multi-arm prospective studies are needed to validate the prognostic significance of the pretreatment AGR in patients with RCC.

In conclusion, a low AGR markedly predicted poor OS and PFS in patients with RCC and significantly correlated with clinicopathological features indicative of disease progression. Use of the AGR will aid the identification of high-risk individuals and expedite the development of effective therapeutic strategies for RCC.

Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Author contributions

HM and FY contributed to conception and design of the study. HM and FY were in charge of data collection. HM and FY performed the statistical analysis. HM wrote the first draft of the manuscript. FY edited the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Editage (www.editage.com) for English language editing.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA: Cancer J Clin* (2021) 71(3):209–49. doi: 10.3322/ caac.21660

2. Scelo G, Larose TL. Epidemiology and risk factors for kidney cancer. J Clin Oncol (2018) 36(36):Jco2018791905. doi: 10.1200/jco.2018.79.1905

3. Ljungberg B, Albiges L, Abu-Ghanem Y, Bedke J, Capitanio U, Dabestani S, et al. European association of urology guidelines on renal cell carcinoma: the 2022 update. *Eur Urol* (2022) 82(4):399–410. doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2022.03.006

4. International WCRF. *Kidney cancer statistics* (2020). Available at: https://wwwwcrforg/cancer-trends/kidney-cancer-statistics/.

5. Hsieh JJ, Purdue MP, Signoretti S, Swanton C, Albiges L, Schmidinger M, et al. Renal cell carcinoma. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* (2017) 3:17009. doi: 10.1038/nrdp.2017.9

6. Singh D. Current updates and future perspectives on the management of renal cell carcinoma. *Life Sci* (2021) 264:118632. doi: 10.1016/j.lfs.2020.118632

7. Park JY, Tae BS, Jeong CW, Song C, Seo SI, Hong SK, et al. Development of the clinical calculator for mortality of patients with metastatic clear cell type renal cell carcinoma: An analysis of patients from Korean Renal Cancer Study Group database. *Invest Clin Urol* (2020) 61(3):260–8. doi: 10.4111/icu.2020.61.3.260

8. Culp SH, Karam JA, Wood CG. Population-based analysis of factors associated with survival in patients undergoing cytoreductive nephrectomy in the targeted therapy era. *Urologic Oncol* (2014) 32(5):561–8. doi: 10.1016/j.urolonc.2013.12.003

9. Internò V, De Santis P, Stucci LS, Rudà R, Tucci M, Soffietti R, et al. Prognostic factors and current treatment strategies for renal cell carcinoma metastatic to the brain: an overview. *Cancers (Basel)* (2021) 13(9):2114. doi: 10.3390/cancers13092114

10. Gulati S, Vogelzang NJ. Biomarkers in renal cell carcinoma: Are we there yet? Asian J Urol (2021) 8(4):362–75. doi: 10.1016/j.ajur.2021.05.013

11. Bromberg J, Wang TC. Inflammation and cancer: IL-6 and STAT3 complete the link. *Cancer Cell* (2009) 15(2):79–80. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2009.01.009

12. McMillan DC, Watson WS, O'Gorman P, Preston T, Scott HR, McArdle CS. Albumin concentrations are primarily determined by the body cell mass and the systemic inflammatory response in cancer patients with weight loss. *Nutr Cancer* (2001) 39(2):210–3. doi: 10.1207/S15327914nc392_8

13. Song WJ, Li NC, Gao J, Xu ZP, Liu JY, Long Z, et al. The predictive significance of prognostic nutritional index and serum albumin/globulin ratio on the overall survival of penile cancer patients undergoing penectomy. *Curr Oncol (Toronto Ont)* (2022) 29(10):7569–78. doi: 10.3390/curroncol29100596

14. Lu P, Ma Y, Wei S, Liang X. A low albumin-to-globulin ratio predicts a poor prognosis in patients with metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer. *Front Med* (2021) 8:621592. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2021.621592

 Atsumi Y, Kawahara S, Kakuta S, Onodera A, Hara K, Kazama K, et al. Low preoperative albumin-to-globulin ratio is a marker of poor prognosis in patients with esophageal cancer. *In Vivo* (2021) 35(6):3555–61. doi: 10.21873/invivo.12658

 Kawata A, Taguchi A, Baba S, Miyamoto Y, Tanikawa M, Sone K, et al. A low preoperative albumin-to-globulin ratio is a negative prognostic factor in patients with surgically treated cervical cancer. *Int J Clin Oncol* (2021) 26(5):980–5. doi: 10.1007/ s10147-021-01861-8

17. Cai Y, Zhao Y, Dai Q, Xu M, Xu X, Xia W. Prognostic value of the albuminglobulin ratio and albumin-globulin score in patients with multiple myeloma. *J Int Med Res* (2021) 49(3):300060521997736. doi: 10.1177/0300060521997736

18. Hayashi M, Kobayashi D, Takami H, Inokawa Y, Tanaka N, Kurimoto K, et al. Albumin-globulin ratio indicates the survival outcome of pancreatic cancer cases who underwent preoperative treatment and curative surgical resection. *Nutr Cancer* (2023) 75(5):1330–39. doi: 10.1080/01635581.2023.2191384

19. Chen Z, Shao Y, Yao H, Zhuang Q, Wang K, Xing Z, et al. Preoperative albumin to globulin ratio predicts survival in clear cell renal cell carcinoma patients. *Oncotarget* (2017) 8(29):48291–302. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.15162

20. He X, Guo S, Chen D, Yang G, Chen X, Zhang Y, et al. Preoperative albumin to globulin ratio (AGR) as prognostic factor in renal cell carcinoma. *J Cancer* (2017) 8 (2):258–65. doi: 10.7150/jca.16525

21. Koparal MY, Polat F, Çetin S, Bulut EC, Sözen TS. Prognostic role of preoperative albumin to globulin ratio in predicting survival of clear cell renal cell carcinoma. *Int Braz J urol* (2018) 44(5):933-46. doi: 10.1590/s1677-5538.Ibju.2018.0012

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

22. Bian Z, Meng J, Niu Q, Jin X, Wang J, Feng X, et al. Prognostic role of prothrombin time activity, prothrombin time, albumin/globulin ratio, platelets, sex, and fibrinogen in predicting recurrence-free survival time of renal cancer. *Cancer Manag Res* (2020) 12:8481–90. doi: 10.2147/cmar.S264856

23. Chung JW, Park DJ, Chun SY, Choi SH, Lee JN, Kim BS, et al. The prognostic role of preoperative serum albumin/globulin ratio in patients with non-metastatic renal cell carcinoma undergoing partial or radical nephrectomy. *Sci Rep* (2020) 10(1):11999. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-68975-3

24. Hu J, Chen J, Li H, He T, Deng H, Gong G, et al. A preoperative nomogram predicting the pseudocapsule status in localized renal cell carcinoma. *Transl Androl Urol* (2020) 9(2):462–72. doi: 10.21037/tau.2020.01.26

25. Xu K, Li J, Hu M, Zhang H, Yang J, Gong H, et al. Prognostic significance of preoperative inflammatory biomarkers and traditional clinical parameters in patients with spinal metastasis from clear cell renal cell carcinoma: A retrospective study of 95 patients in a single center. *Cancer Manag Res* (2020) 12:59–70. doi: 10.2147/cmar.S228570

26. Aktepe OH, Güner G, Güven DC, Taban H, Yıldırım H, Şahin TK, et al. Impact of albumin to globulin ratio on survival outcomes of patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma. *Turkish J Urol* (2021) 47(2):113–9. doi: 10.5152/tud.2021.20377

27. Laukhtina E, Pradere B, D'Andrea D, Rosiello G, Luzzago S, Pecoraro A, et al. Prognostic effect of preoperative serum albumin to globulin ratio in patients treated with cytoreductive nephrectomy for metastatic renal cell carcinoma. *Transl Androl Urol* (2021) 10(2):609–19. doi: 10.21037/tau-20-1101

28. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, Grp P. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *J Clin Epidemiol* (2009) 62(10):1006–12. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2009.06.005

29. Stang A. Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. *Eur J Epidemiol* (2010) 25 (9):603–5. doi: 10.1007/s10654-010-9491-z

30. Higgins JPT, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. Stat Med (2002) 21(11):1539–58. doi: 10.1002/sim.1186

31. Cochran W. The combination of estimates from different experiments. *Biometrics* (1954) 10:101-29.

32. Begg CB, Mazumdar M. Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. *Biometrics* (1994) 50(4):1088–101. doi: 10.2307/2533446

33. Egger M, Smith GD, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *Bmj-British Med J* (1997) 315(7109):629–34. doi: 10.1136/bmj.315.7109.629

34. Doweiko JP, Nompleggi DJ. The role of albumin in human physiology and pathophysiology, Part III: Albumin and disease states. *JPEN J parenteral enteral Nutr* (1991) 15(4):476–83. doi: 10.1177/0148607191015004476

35. Xu C, Wu X, Hack BK, Bao L, Cunningham PN. TNF causes changes in glomerular endothelial permeability and morphology through a Rho and myosin light chain kinase-dependent mechanism. *Physiol Rep* (2015) 3(12):e12636. doi: 10.14814/ phy2.12636

36. Tang LQ, Li CF, Chen QY, Zhang L, Lai XP, He Y, et al. High-sensitivity Creactive protein complements plasma Epstein-Barr virus deoxyribonucleic acid prognostication in nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a large-scale retrospective and prospective cohort study. Int J Radiat oncology biology Phys (2015) 91(2):325–36. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2014.10.005

37. Afshar-Kharghan V. The role of the complement system in cancer. J Clin Invest (2017) 127(3):780–9. doi: 10.1172/jci90962

38. Deng Y, Pang Q, Miao RC, Chen W, Zhou YY, Bi JB, et al. Prognostic significance of pretreatment albumin/globulin ratio in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Onco Targets Ther* (2016) 9:5317–28. doi: 10.2147/ott.S109736

39. Xing Y, Guo ZN, Yan S, Jin H, Wang S, Yang Y. Increased globulin and its association with hemorrhagic transformation in patients receiving intra-arterial thrombolysis therapy. *Neurosci Bull* (2014) 30(3):469–76. doi: 10.1007/s12264-013-1440-x

40. Doi H, Hayashi E, Arai J, Tojo M, Morikawa K, Eguchi J, et al. Enhanced B-cell differentiation driven by advanced cirrhosis resulting in hyperglobulinemia. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* (2018). doi: 10.1111/jgh.14123

41. Tanaka S, Okamoto Y, Yamazaki M, Mitani N, Nakqjima Y, Fukui H. Significance of hyperglobulinemia in severe chronic liver diseases-with special reference to the correlation between serum globulin/IgG level and ICG clearance. *Hepato-gastroenterology* (2007) 54(80):2301–5.

42. Osman W, Okada Y, Kamatani Y, Kubo M, Matsuda K, Nakamura Y. Association of common variants in TNFRSF13B, TNFSF13, and ANXA3 with serum levels of non-albumin protein and immunoglobulin isotypes in Japanese. *PloS One* (2012) 7(4):e32683. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0032683

43. Hu J, Chen J, Ou Z, Chen H, Liu Z, Chen M, et al. Neoadjuvant immunotherapy, chemotherapy, and combination therapy in muscle-invasive bladder cancer: A multi-center real-world retrospective study. *Cell Rep Med* (2022) 3(11):100785. doi: 10.1016/j.xcrm.2022.100785

44. De Giorgi U, Procopio G, Giannarelli D, Sabbatini R, Bearz A, Buti S, et al. Association of systemic inflammation index and body mass index with survival in patients with renal cell cancer treated with nivolumab. *Clin Cancer Res* (2019) 25 (13):3839–46. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.Ccr-18-3661

45. Cai W, Zhong H, Kong W, Dong B, Chen Y, Zhou L, et al. Significance of preoperative prognostic nutrition index as prognostic predictors in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma with tyrosine kinase inhibitors as first-line target therapy. *Int Urol Nephrol* (2017) 49(11):1955-63. doi: 10.1007/s11255-017-1693-9

46. Yuk HD, Kang M, Hwang EC, Park JY, Jeong CW, Song C, et al. The platelet-tolymphocyte ratio as a significant prognostic factor to predict survival outcomes in patients with synchronous metastatic renal cell carcinoma. *Invest Clin Urol* (2020) 61 (5):475–81. doi: 10.4111/icu.20200002

47. Yanagisawa T, Mori K, Katayama S, Mostafaei H, Quhal F, Laukhtina E, et al. Hematological prognosticators in metastatic renal cell cancer treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors: a meta-analysis. *Immunotherapy* (2022) 14(9):709–25. doi: 10.2217/imt-2021-0207

48. Cai Z, Chen J, Yu Z, Li H, Liu Z, Deng D, et al. BCAT2 shapes a noninflamed tumor microenvironment and induces resistance to anti-PD-1/PD-L1 immunotherapy

by negatively regulating proinflammatory chemokines and anticancer immunity. *Adv Sci (Weinh)* (2023) 10(8):e2207155. doi: 10.1002/advs.202207155

49. Hu J, Othmane B, Yu A, Li H, Cai Z, Chen X, et al. 5mC regulator-mediated molecular subtypes depict the hallmarks of the tumor microenvironment and guide precision medicine in bladder cancer. *BMC Med* (2021) 19(1):289. doi: 10.1186/s12916-021-02163-6

50. Hu J, Yu A, Othmane B, Qiu D, Li H, Li C, et al. Siglec15 shapes a non-inflamed tumor microenvironment and predicts the molecular subtype in bladder cancer. *Theranostics* (2021) 11(7):3089–108. doi: 10.7150/thno.53649

51. Wang YT, Kuo LT, Lai CH, Tsai YH, Lee YC, Hsu CM, et al. Low pretreatment albumin-to-globulin ratios predict poor survival outcomes in patients with head and neck cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Cancer* (2023) 14(2):281–9. doi: 10.7150/jca.80955

52. Salciccia S, Frisenda M, Bevilacqua G, Viscuso P, Casale P, De Berardinis E, et al. Prognostic value of albumin to globulin ratio in non-metastatic and metastatic prostate cancer patients: A meta-analysis and systematic review. *Int J Mol Sci* (2022) 23 (19):11501. doi: 10.3390/ijms231911501

53. Quan L, Jiang X, Jia X, Cheng F. Prognostic value of the albumin-to-globulin ratio in patients with colorectal cancer: A meta-analysis. *Nutr Cancer* (2022) 74 (9):3329–39. doi: 10.1080/01635581.2022.2076890

54. Li J, Wang Y, Wu Y, Li J, Che G. Prognostic value of pretreatment albumin to globulin ratio in lung cancer: A meta-analysis. *Nutr Cancer* (2021) 73(1):75–82. doi: 10.1080/01635581.2020.1737155

55. Wei C, Yu Z, Wang G, Zhou Y, Tian L. Low pretreatment albumin-to-globulin ratio predicts poor prognosis in gastric cancer: insight from a meta-analysis. *Front Oncol* (2020) 10:623046. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2020.623046