Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Gagan Chhabra, University of Wisconsin-Madison, United States

REVIEWED BY Ichwaku Rastogi,

University of Wisconsin-Madison, United States Shengqin Su, Stanford University, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Weijie Zhang ☑ fcczhangwj@zzu.edu.can Yabing Du ☑ dyb_19861002@126.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Skin Cancer, a section of the journal Frontiers in Oncology

RECEIVED 07 December 2022 ACCEPTED 27 March 2023 PUBLISHED 21 April 2023

CITATION

Wang C, Liu S, Li X, Cui K, Zhang W and Du Y (2023) Baseline neutrophil-to- ratio combined with the change during treatment provides risk stratification for metastatic malignant melanoma patients treated with PD-1 inhibitors in a Chinese population. *Front. Oncol.* 13:1118301.

doi: 10.3389/fonc.2023.1118301

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Wang, Liu, Li, Cui, Zhang and Du. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. Baseline neutrophil-to- ratio combined with the change during treatment provides risk stratification for metastatic malignant melanoma patients treated with PD-1 inhibitors in a Chinese population

Chen Wang^{1†}, Shengyan Liu^{2†}, Xin Li¹, Kang Cui¹, Weijie Zhang^{1*} and Yabing Du^{1*}

¹Department of Oncology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, China, ²Department of Hepatopancreatobiliary Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, China

Background: Previous studies have suggested that an elevated baseline neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (BLNLR) and elevated relative change of NLR (Δ NLR%) is associated with worse outcomes in patients with a variety of cancers. This study aims to investigate the value of BLNLR and Δ NLR% before the third cycle of treatment on the prognosis of patients with metastatic malignant melanoma treated with PD-1 inhibitors.

Methods: A total of 63 patients with metastatic malignant melanoma treated with PD-1 inhibitors in the First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University from January 2017 to December 2021 were retrospectively analyzed. BLNLR and Δ NLR% before the third cycle of treatment were collected. The Kaplan-Meier method was used to draw survival curves and Log-Rank test was used for survival analysis. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis were used to analyze the relationship between BLNLR, Δ NLR% and clinical characteristics with progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS).

Results: Univariate analysis showed that PFS and OS were associated with BLNLR, Δ NLR%, BMI and number of metastatic organs (P < 0.05). Multivariate analysis showed that BLNLR, Δ NLR%, BMI and number of metastatic organs were independent predictors of OS and BLNLR and Δ NLR% were independent predictors of PFS. Patients were divided into four groups according to BLNLR (<3, \geq 3) and Δ NLR% (< 30%, \geq 30%): low-BLNLR + low- Δ NLR% group, low-BLNLR + high- Δ NLR% group, high-BLNLR + low- Δ NLR% group, high-BLNLR + high- Δ NLR% group. The median OS was 20 months, 8 months, 9 months, 5 months and the median PFS was 8 months, 3 months, 2 months, 2 months, respectively.

Conclusion: BLNLR combined with Δ NLR% can be used to predict the prognosis of PD-1 inhibitors in patients with metastatic malignant melanoma.

KEYWORDS

melanoma, PD-1 inhibitors, prognosis, baseline NLR, relative change of NLR

1 Introduction

Malignant melanoma is a highly aggressive malignant tumor, commonly found in the skin, digestive tract, genitals, nasal cavity and eyes (1). Early melanoma can be cured by surgical treatment, but the prognosis of advanced melanoma is poor. Before 2011, chemotherapy was the mainstay of treatment for advanced melanoma with a 5-year survival rate of less than 5% (2, 3). With the development of immune checkpoint inhibitors, the clinical outcomes of patients with advanced melanoma have been significantly improved and some patients can achieve long-term survival. The 5-year survival rate of patients with advanced melanoma treated with programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1) inhibitors is about 40% (4-6). Nevertheless, the objective response rate of malignant melanoma treated with PD-1 inhibitors is low and more than half of patients cannot benefit from PD-1 inhibitors (4, 7, 8). In addition, PD-1 inhibitors are costly and some patients may experience serious immune-related adverse events. Therefore, it is crucial to identify appropriate prognostic markers and those patients who are most likely to respond to PD-1 inhibitors.

Although the drug development and clinical application of PD-1 inhibitors are progressing rapidly, only a few prognostic markers have been used in clinical practice such as programmed death ligand-1(PD-L1) expression, microsatellite instability (MSI) and tumor mutation burden (TMB) (9–13). These markers play an important role in predicting the efficacy of PD-1 inhibitors, but there are still some limitations due to the need for invasive biopsies to obtain tissue, intratumor heterogeneity in PD-L1 expression and high cost and so on (14). Peripheral blood-based markers such as neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR) and circulating tumor cells are easy to obtain and can be continuously evaluated, which has gained more and more attention.

Cancer-associated inflammation, recognized as one of the hallmarks of cancer, plays an important role in occurrence and development of tumors (15). NLR as a marker of inflammation in peripheral blood is cheap, readily available and easy-to-use. More and more evidence has shown that higher baseline NLR (BLNLR) is associated with poor prognosis of advanced melanoma patients receiving immunotherapy (16–19). In addition, BLNLR before immunotherapy and the relative change of NLR after immunotherapy (Δ NLR%) are also related to the prognosis of advanced patients in European and American populations mainly with cutaneous melanoma (20–22). However, the response of different melanoma subtypes to immunotherapy is highly heterogeneous. In the Chinese population, acral and mucosal

melanoma are the main subtypes with a lower TMB, a higher proportion of chromosomal structural variation and a worse prognosis compared with cutaneous melanoma mainly occurs in European and American patients (23). Although current studies have found that BLNLR can predict the response to immunotherapy in the Chinese population (24), there is no report on the prognostic value of Δ NLR% in Chinese patients with advanced melanoma. The aim of this study is to investigate the prognostic value of BLNLR combined with Δ NLR% in patients with metastatic melanoma treated with PD-1 inhibitors in the Chinese population, to stratify the prognosis of patients and to attempt to provide reference for clinical decision making of metastatic melanoma patients in China.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Patients selection

This study retrospectively analyzed the clinical data of patients with stage IV malignant melanoma treated with PD-1 inhibitors in the First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University from January 2017 to December 2021. Inclusion criteria: (1) patients with malignant melanoma confirmed by pathological examination; (2) malignant melanoma was classified as stage IV according to the 8th edition of TNM staging. (3) Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status (ECOG PS) was 0-2. (4) at least 2 cycles of PD-1 inhibitors therapy. (5) complete clinical and hematological results and follow-up records. Exclusion criteria: (1) previous or concurrent history of other malignant tumors. (2) complicated with severe autoimmune diseases and hematological diseases. (3) long-term steroid therapy. (4) acute infection within 2 weeks before treatment. (5) no imaging examination was performed to evaluate the efficacy during treatment.

2.2 Clinicopathologic variables

The clinical data of patients within 1 week before PD-1 inhibitors were collected, including age, gender, body mass index (BMI), smoking history, drinking history, primary site, ECOG PS, tumor stage (TNM staging system, 8th edition), BRAF mutation status, number of metastatic organs, immunotherapy drugs, line of systemic therapy and NLR within 1 week before the first and third cycle of treatment. BLNLR was the ratio of neutrophil count to

lymphocyte count before the first cycle of treatment. Δ NLR% was relative NLR change (calculated as % change), defined as follows: Δ NLR% = (NLR before the third cycle of treatment/BLNLR-1) *100.

2.3 Efficacy evaluation and follow-up

Follow-up data were obtained through regular visits to the hospital and telephone follow-up. Progression-free survival (PFS) was defined as the time from the start of PD-1 inhibitors to tumor progression or patient death. Overall survival (OS) was defined as the time from the start of PD-1 inhibitors to patient death or the end of follow-up. Efficacy was assessed by radiographic results according to response evaluation criteria in solid tumors version 1.1 (RECIST 1.1). The follow-up was terminated on August, 2022. The follow-up time ranged from 2 to 34 months with a median of 10 months

2.4 Statistical analysis

At present, the cut-off values of BLNLR and Δ NLR% in predicting the prognosis of patients with advanced melanoma have not been unified. BLNLR is often used as the cut-off value of 3, 4, or 5 (18, 19, 25) and Δ NLR% is often used as the cut-off value of 30% (21, 23). In this study, 3 and 30% were selected as the cut-off values for BLNLR and ΔNLR% respectively. Patients were divided into low-BLNLR group (<3) and high-BLNLR group (\geq 3); Low- Δ NLR% (<30%) group and high- Δ NLR% (≥30%) group; Low-BLNLR+ low-ΔNLR% group, low-BLNLR+ high-ΔNLR% group, high-BLNLR+low- Δ NLR% group, high-BLNLR+ high- Δ NLR% group. IBM SPSS Statistics 25.0 was used for statistical analysis and R4.1.0 was used to draw the survival curve. Measurement data with normal distribution were represented as mean ± SD and comparison between groups was conducted using the independent sample t test. Measurement data with skewed distribution were represented as M (Q1, Q3). Count data were described as absolute numbers (percentage) and comparison between groups was analyzed using the chi-square test, the continuity correction chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. Univariate and multivariate analyses were analyzed using the Cox hazard regression model. Variables with P <0.05 in univariate analysis were included in multivariate analysis. The Kaplan-Meier method was used to draw survival curves and Log-Rank test was used for survival analysis. All statistical tests were two-sided probability tests and P <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3 Results

3.1 Baseline characteristics of the patients

A total of 63 patients with stage IV melanoma treated with PD-1 inhibitors were included in this study, including 32 males (50.8%) and 31 females (49.2%), aged 54.5 ± 12.4 years. The primary site was cutaneous in 13 cases (20.6%), mucosal in 19 cases (30.2%), acral in 13 cases (20.6%), ocular in 10 cases (15.9%) and unknown in 8 cases (12.7%). The ECOG PS of 57 (90.5%) patients was 0-1. There were 3

patients (4.8%) in stage M1a, 12 patients (19%) in stage M1b, 39 patients (61.9%) in stage M1c and 9 patients (14.3%) in stage M1d. 21 patients were treated with PD-1 inhibitors alone and the remaining patients were treated with PD-1 inhibitors combined with angiogenesis inhibitors, targeted therapy, chemotherapy or radiotherapy. 27 (42.9%) patients had multiple metastases and 36 (57.1%) patients had single organ metastasis. 27 (42.9%) received PD-1 inhibitor as first-line therapy and the remaining patients received second or more lines of treatment. The median PFS was 3 months [95% confidence interval (CI): 1.89-4.11 months] and the median OS was 11 months (95%CI: 8.57-13.43months). The 6month, 1-year and 2-year OS rates were 71.4% (95%CI: 61.1%-83.5%), 41.3% (95%CI: 30.5%-55.8%) and 25.4% (95%CI: 15.1%-42.9%) respectively. The BLNLR, NLR before the third cycle of treatment and ΔNLR% were 2.411 (1.705, 3.422), 2.546 (1.749, 4.724) and 22.3% (-12.9%, 46.7%) respectively. Detailed clinical characteristics are provided in Table 1.

3.2 The association between BLNLR, \triangle NLR % and clinical characteristics of patients

In this study, statistically significant differences were observed in sex and number of metastatic organs between the low-BLNLR group and the high-BLNLR group (P < 0.05). There were no significant differences in other characteristics (age, drinking history, smoking history, primary site, ECOG PS, M stage, BMI, BRAF mutation, combination therapy, immunotherapy drugs and line of systemic therapy between the two groups (P > 0.05). There was a significant difference in the distribution of primary sites between the low- Δ NLR% group and the high- Δ NLR% group (P =0.001) and no significant differences were observed in other characteristics (P > 0.05) (Table 1).

3.3 High BLNLR and High Δ NLR% were associated with worse OS and PFS

Univariate Cox regression analysis showed that BMI<24kg/m², number of metastatic organs \geq 2, high BLNLR and high Δ NLR% were significantly associated with poor OS and PFS (*P* < 0.05) (Table 2).

The Kaplan-Meier survival curve showed that the median OS of the low-BLNLR group was 15 months (95%CI: 7.48-22.52 months) and only 7 months (95%CI: 4.70-9.30 months) in the high-BLNLR group (P<0.001) (Figure 1A). The median PFS of the low-BLNLR group was 5 months (95%CI: 2.31-7.70 months) and only 2 months (95%CI: 1.77-2.23 months) in the high-BLNLR group (P<0.001) (Figure 1E). The median OS of the low- Δ NLR% group was 15 months (95%CI: 7.75-22.25 months) and only 6 months (95%CI: 4.71-7.29 months) in the high- Δ NLR% group (P=0.001) (Figure 1B). The median PFS of the low- Δ NLR% group was 5 months (95%CI: 2.10-7.90 months) and only 3 months (95%CI: 2.50-3.50 months) in the high- Δ NLR% group (P = 0.011) (Figure 1F). The median OS of BMI≥24 kg/m² group was 20 months (95%CI: 9.85-30.15 months) and only 8 months (95%CI:

TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics of 63 patients with metastatic melanoma.

Characteristic	Overall (n=63)	BLNLR<3 (n=41)	BLNLR≽3 (n=22)	P value	ΔNLR%<30% (n=35)	∆NLR%≥30% (n=28)	P value
Age	54.5±12.4	52.3±11.8	58.6±12.5	0.053 ^b	55.5±12.1	53.4±12.8	0.502
Gender				0.043			0.910
Male	32 (50.8)	17 (41.5)	15 (68.2)		18 (51.4)	14 (50.0)	
Female	31 (49.2)	24 (58.5)	7 (31.8)		17 (48.6)	14 (50.0)	
Alcohol-drinking history	1			0.461			0.498
No	58 (92.1)	39 (95.1)	19 (86.4)		31 (88.6)	27 (96.4)	
Yes	5 (7.9)	2 (4.9)	3 (13.6)		4 (11.4)	1 (3.6)	
Smoking history				0.097			0.892
No	49 (77.8)	35 (85.4)	14 (63.6)		27 (77.1)	22 (78.6)	
Yes	14 (22.2)	6 (14.6)	8 (36.4)		8 (22.9)	6 (21.4)	
Primary site				0.098 ^a			0.001 ^a
Cutaneous	13 (20.6)	10 (24.4)	3 (13.6)		3 (8.6)	10 (35.7)	
Mucosal	19 (30.2)	14 (34.1)	5 (22.7)		15 (42.9)	4 (14.3)	
Acral	13 (20.6)	5 (12.2)	8 (36.4)		11 (31.4)	2 (7.1)	
Ocular	10 (15.9)	5 (12.2)	5 (22.7)		3 (8.6)	7 (25.0)	
Primary site unknown	8 (12.7)	7 (17.1)	1 (4.5)		3 (8.6)	5 (17.9)	
ECOG PS				0.716			0.886
0-1	57 (90.5)	38 (92.7)	19 (86.4)		31 (88.6)	26 (92.9)	
2	6 (9.5)	3 (7.3)	3 (13.6)		4 (11.4)	2 (7.1)	
M stage				0.228 ^a			0.453 ^a
M1a	3 (4.8)	3 (7.3)	0 (0.0)		2 (5.7)	1 (3.6)	
M1b	12 (19.0)	10 (24.4)	2 (9.1)		9 (25.7)	3 (10.7)	
M1c	39 (61.9)	22 (53.7)	17 (77.3)		19 (54.3)	20 (71.4)	
M1d	9 (14.3)	6 (14.6)	3 (13.6)		5 (14.3)	4 (14.3)	
BMI(kg/m ²)				0.259			0.337
<24	34 (54.0)	20 (48.8)	14 (63.6)		17 (48.6)	17 (60.7)	
≥24	29 (46.0)	21 (51.2)	8 (36.4)		18 (51.4)	11 (39.3)	
BRAF mutation	1			0.925 ^a			0.873 ^a
Yes	6 (9.5)	4 (9.8)	2 (9.1)		3 (8.6)	3 (10.7)	
No	32 (50.8)	20 (48.8)	12 (54.5)		17 (48.6)	15 (53.6)	
Unknown	25 (39.7)	17 (41.5)	8 (36.4)		15 (42.9)	10 (35.7)	
Combined with other therapy				0.455			0.473
No	21 (33.3)	15 (36.6)	6 (27.3)		13 (37.1)	8 (28.6)	
Yes	42 (66.7)	26 (63.4)	16 (72.7)		22 (62.9)	20 (71.4)	
Immunotherapy drugs				0.409			0.730
Toripalimab	35 (55.5)	21 (51.3)	14 (63.6)		21 (60.0)	14 (50.0)	
Pembrolizumab	18 (28.6)	14 (34.1)	4 (18.2)		9 (25.7)	9 (32.1)	
Camrelizumab	10 (15.9)	6 (14.6)	4 (18.2)		5 (14.3)	5 (17.9)	

(Continued)

TABLE 1 Continued

Characteristic	Overall (n=63)	BLNLR<3 (n=41)	BLNLR≽3 (n=22)	P value	∆NLR%<30% (n=35)	∆NLR%≥30% (n=28)	P value
Number of metastatic sites				0.003			1.000
1	36 (57.1)	29 (70.7)	7 (31.8)		20 (57.1)	16 (57.1)	
≥2	27 (42.9)	12 (29.3)	15 (68.2)		15 (42.9)	12 (42.9)	
Line of treatment				0.195			0.306
1	27 (42.9)	20 (48.8)	7 (31.8)		17 (48.6)	10 (35.7)	
≥2	36 (57.1)	21 (51.2)	15 (68.2)		18 (51.4)	18 (64.3)	

BMI, body mass index; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status. a: Fisher's exact test. b: independent sample t-test. The bold values represent P<0.05.

TABLE 2 Univariate Cox regression model on overall survival and progression-free survival.

Characteristic	OS		PFS		
	HR (95%CI)	P value	HR (95%CI)	P value	
Age	1.00 (0.98-1.03)	0.807	1.01 (0.98-1.03)	0.639	
Gender					
Male	1		1		
Female	0.89 (0.49-1.61)	0.702	0.96 (0.57-1.62)	0.874	
Alcohol-drinking history					
No	1		1		
Yes	0.90 (0.28-2.94)	0.867	1.35 (0.54-3.40)	0.520	
Smoking history					
No	1		1		
Yes	1.59 (0.77-3.25)	0.208	1.28 (0.69-2.38)	0.442	
Primary site					
Cutaneous	1		1		
Mucosal	1.12 (0.48-2.57)	0.799	1.19 (0.57-2.48)	0.641	
Acral	0.90 (0.33-2.44)	0.832	0.90 (0.39-2.08)	0.801	
Ocular	1.33 (0.49-3.58)	0.579	1.23 (0.50-3.02)	0.655	
Primary site unknown	1.75 (0.61-5.03)	0.297	1.30 (0.53-3.18)	0.573	
ECOG PS					
0-1	1		1		
2	1.27 (0.45-3.60)	0.649	1.04 (0.44-2.42)	0.935	
M stage					
Mla	1		1		
M1b	1.19 (0.23-6.12)	0.833	1.65 (0.36-7.55)	0.520	
M1c	2.42 (0.56-10.53)	0.239	2.36 (0.57-9.85)	0.239	
M1d	3.02 (0.59-15.40)	0.184	1.69 (0.36-8.01)	0.506	
BMI(kg/m ²)					
<24	1		1		

(Continued)

TABLE 2 Continued

Characteristic	OS		PFS		
	HR (95%CI)	P value	HR (95%CI)	P value	
≥24	0.36 (0.19-0.69)	0.002	0.52 (0.30-0.90)	0.019	
BRAF mutation	·	·			
Yes	1		1		
No	1.54 (0.46-5.19)	0.482	2.96 (0.69-5.58)	0.208	
Unknown	1.93 (0.57-6.59)	0.293	1.94 (0.67-5.66)	0.225	
Combined with other therapy		•	1		
No	1		1		
Yes	1.30 (0.69-2.45)	0.422	1.08 (0.61-1.91)	0.800	
Immunotherapy drugs					
Toripalimab	1		1		
Pembrolizumab	0.69 (0.33-1.44)	0.318	0.69 (0.37-1.28)	0.241	
Camrelizumab	1.04 (0.48-2.28)	0.921	0.97 (0.47-2.10)	0.991	
Number of metastatic sites					
1	1		1		
≥2	2.46 (1.30-4.65)	0.006	2.01 (1.18-3.44)	0.011	
Line of treatment					
1	1		1		
≥2	1.64 (0.88-3.05)	0.120	1.52 (0.88-2.61)	0.130	
BLNLR					
<3	1		1		
≥3	3.16 (1.65-6.05)	0.001	2.54 (1.44-4.47)	0.001	
ΔNLR%					
<30%	1		1		
≥30%	2.60 (1.40-4.83)	0.003	1.88 (1.10-3.21)	0.022	

BLNLR, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio before the first cycle of PD-1 inhibitor; DNLR%, relative NLR change (calculated as % change) before the third cycle of PD-1 inhibitor; BMI, body mass index; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival. The bold values represent P<0.05.

4.82-11.18 months) in the BMI<24kg/m² group (P = 0.001) (Figure 1C). The median PFS of BMI≥24kg/m² group was 7 months (95%CI: 3.06-10.94 months) and only 3 months (95%CI: 2.42-3.58 months) in the BMI<24kg/m² group (P = 0.009) (Figure 1G). The median OS of the single organ metastasis group was 17 months (95%CI: 8.63-25.37 months) and only 9 months (95%CI: 5.82-12.19 months) in the multiple organ metastasis group (P=0.003) (Figure 1D). The median PFS of the single organ metastasis group was 5 months (95%CI: 0.60-9.40 months) and only 2 months (95%CI: 1.36-2.64 months) in the multiple organ metastasis group (P = 0.004) (Figure 1H). In a multivariate Cox regression model, BLNLR, Δ NLR%, BMI and number of metastatic organs were independent prognostic factors for OS (Table 3). The risk of mortality in the high-BLNLR group was 2.58 times higher than that in the low-BLNLR group (HR: 2.58, 95%CI: 1.21-5.52, *P* = 0.014). The risk of mortality in the high- Δ NLR% group was 3.76 times higher than that in the low- Δ NLR% group (HR: 3.76, 95%CI: 1.93-7.32, *P* < 0.001). BLNLR and Δ NLR% were independent prognostic factors for PFS (Table 3). The risk of disease progression in the high-BLNLR group was 2.20 times higher than that in the low-BLNLR group (HR: 2.20, 95%CI: 1.15-4.18, *P* = 0.017). The risk of disease progression in the high- Δ NLR%

06



group was 2.44 times higher than that in low- Δ NLR% group (HR: 2.44, 95%CI: 1.37-4.32, *P* = 0.002) (Table 3).

3.4 BLNLR combined with Δ NLR% provides risk stratification

In this study, both BLNLR and Δ NLR% were independent risk factors for OS and PFS and patients were stratified according to BLNLR and Δ NLR%. The patients in the low-BLNLR+ low- Δ NLR% group (n=22) had a good prognosis with a median OS of 20 months, which was significantly longer than the other three groups (all

P<0.05, Table 4). The median OS of patients with one adverse prognostic feature was 8 months (95%CI: 5.34-10.66) and 9 months (95%CI: 5.70-12.30), respectively. The high-BLNLR+ high-ΔNLR% group (n=9) had the worst prognosis with a median OS of only 5 months (95%CI: 2.08-7.92) and all patients died within 10 months (Figure 2A). The median PFS of the low-BLNLR+ low-ΔNLR% group was 8 months (95%CI: 5.74-10.26), which was significantly longer than the other three groups (all *P*<0.05, Table 4; Figure 2B). The median PFS in the low-BLNLR+ high-ΔNLR% group, high-BLNLR+ low-ΔNLR% group and high-BLNLR+ high-ΔNLR% group were 3 months (95%CI: 2.30-3.70), 2 months (95%CI: 1.66-2.34) and 2 months (95%CI: 1.77-2.23) respectively (Figure 2B).

TABLE 3 Multivariate Cox regression model on overall survival and progression-free survival.

Characteristic	OS		PFS		
	HR (95%CI)	P value	HR (95%CI)	P value	
BLNLR					
<3	1		1		
≥3	2.58 (1.21-5.52)	0.014	2.20 (1.15-4.18)	0.017	
ΔNLR%					
<30%	1		1		
≥30%	3.76 (1.93-7.32)	<0.001	2.44 (1.37-4.32)	0.002	
BMI(kg/m ²)					
<24	1		1		
≥24	0.33 (0.16-0.65)	0.001	0.58 (0.33-1.04)	0.066	
Number of metastatic sites					
1	1		1		
≥2	2.32 (1.10-4.91)	0.027	1.65 (0.90-3.02)	0.103	

BLNLR, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio before the first cycle of PD-1 inhibitor; DNLR%, relative NLR change (calculated as % change) before the third cycle of PD-1 inhibitor; BMI, body mass index; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival. The bold values represent P<0.05.

	P value		
	OS	PFS	
Low-BLNLR+ low-ANLR% vs low-BLNLR+ high-ANLR%	0.008	0.014	
Low-BLNLR+ low-ANLR% vs high-BLNLR+ low-ANLR%	<0.001	0.005	
Low-BLNLR+ low-ANLR% vs high-BLNLR+ high-ANLR%	<0.001	<0.001	
Low-BLNLR+ high-ΔNLR% vs high-BLNLR+ low-ΔNLR%	0.857	0.430	
Low-BLNLR+ high-ΔNLR% vs high-BLNLR+ high-ΔNLR%	0.008	0.001	
High-BLNLR+ low-ΔNLR% vs high-BLNLR+ high-ΔNLR%	0.004	0.064	

TABLE 4 Comparison between groups of Kaplan-Meier curves for low BLNLR+ low Δ NLR%, low BLNLR+ high Δ NLR%, high BLNLR+ low Δ NLR% and high BLNLR+ high Δ NLR%.

BLNLR, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio before the first cycle of PD-1 inhibitor; Δ NLR%, relative NLR change (calculated as % change) before the third cycle of PD-1 inhibitor; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival. The bold values represent P<0.05.

4 Discussion

Immunotherapy has become the main treatment for advanced melanoma, bringing significant survival benefits to patients. However, the therapeutic efficacy of immunotherapy in advanced melanoma is highly heterogeneous among different races and different individuals of the same race (25). A high BLNLR is associated with poor prognosis, but not all patients with low BLNLR respond well to immunotherapy. To address this clinical issue, we evaluated the prognostic value of BLNLR and Δ NLR% before third cycle of treatment and combined the two to stratify the prognosis of patients with metastatic malignant melanoma treated with PD-1 inhibitors.

Capone M et al. found that high BLNLR was an independent prognostic risk factor for OS and PFS in a retrospective study involving 97 patients with advanced melanoma who received immunotherapy (26). Qi et al. also confirmed that elevated BLNLR was associated with low immune response rate in the Chinese population (24). In a multicenter retrospective study of 224 patients with advanced melanoma receiving first-line immunotherapy, BLNLR was closely related to ECOG PS, number of metastatic sites and prognosis, and it was also found that Δ NLR% \geq 30% during the first two cycles of treatment suggested an increased risk of death (20). Cassidy MR et al. dynamically examined NLR levels in melanoma patients receiving immunotherapy and similarly found that a 30% increase in NLR was an independent risk factor for prognosis (22). Consistent with the above studies, we found that patients with BLNLR≥3 and Δ NLR %≥30% had a significantly increased risk of death and disease progression. In addition, it was found that high-BLNLR was association with male and multiple organ metastases and high-NLR% was association with cutaneous, ocular unknown primary melanoma. These associations indirectly support that BLNLR and Δ NLR% reflect a comples and individualized interaction between both hosts and tumor factors.

NLR is a marker of systemic inflammatory response, but the mechanism of its effect on the prognosis of advanced melanoma immunotherapy is still unclear. Lymphocytes are the main effector cells of anti-tumor response and tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes can predict the efficacy of immunotherapy (27, 28). Several studies have shown that lymphopenia is associated with poor prognosis in a variety of tumors (29–31). Neutrophils reflect the inflammatory state of the host and are a marker of cancer (15). They are involved in the occurrence, proliferation and metastasis of tumors. Neutrophils can be recruited to inflammatory sites by chemokines and contribute to cancer initiation by DNA damage, angiogenesis and immunosuppression (32, 33). Neutrophil elastase (NE) produced by neutrophils can be endocytosed by tumor cells and then degrade insulin receptor substrate 1(IRS1) to free PI3K bound to IRS1, ultimately promoting tumor proliferation through the



Kaplan-Meier analysis of OS and PFS for the combination of BLNLR and ΔNLR%. (A) OS curve. (B) PFS curve. BLNLR, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio before the first cycle of PD-1 inhibitor; ΔNLR%, relative NLR change (calculated as % change) before the third cycle of PD-1 inhibitor; BMI, body mass index; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival.

10.3389/fonc.2023.1118301

PI3K-AKT signal pathway (34). Neutrophils can also reduce the arginine in T cells by secreting arginase-1(ARG-1), thereby inhibiting the activation and proliferation of CD3 mediated T cells, ultimately leading to tumor proliferation (35). In addition, matrix metalloprotenase-9 (MMP-9) produced by neutrophils can promote the release of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) to promote angiogenesis and participate in the process of tumor growth and metastasis (36). When it comes to tumor metastasis, neutrophils can do this by promoting cancer cell migration, intravasation and extravasation (33). Tumor-derived cathepsin G can induce cell migration and promote the entry of cancer cells into blood vessels. Neutrophil extracellular traps(NETs), a fibrous network composed of chromatin and proteins released from neutrophils, are able to capture circulating tumor cells and promote their dissemination at distant organs, leading to cancer metastasis (37). In addition, NE and MMP-9 can cleat membrane protein to induce the proliferation of dormant cancer cells in metastatic sites (38). A high NLR level may indicate an enhanced inflammatory response in the tumor microenvironment and a weakened lymphocyte-mediated anti-tumor response, thus reflecting the prognostic characteristics of melanoma patients.

The important problem of immunotherapy is the low response rate. In the Chinese population, the objective response rate of PD-1 inhibitors in patients with advanced melanoma is 15%-18.2% (39-43). Therefore, it is very important to find prognostic markers for immunotherapy in Chinese patients with malignant melanoma. BLNLR can predict the outcome of PD-1 inhibitors treatment in Chinese melanoma patients (24). However, the treatment of cancer is a dynamic process, so the dynamic change of NLR is more likely to better respond to the prognosis of PD-1 inhibitors treatment of melanoma patients. In this study, we found that the combination of BLNLR and ANLR% before the third cycle of treatment could enhance the predictive ability and better distinguish the prognosis of patients with melanoma under PD-1 inhibitors treatment. Melanoma patients with BLNLR <3 and Δ NLR% < 30% (34.9%) had a median OS of 20 months, which was significantly longer than the other three groups and were more likely to benefit from PD-1 inhibitors. In contrast, patients with BLNLR≥3 and ∆NLR%≥30% (14.3%) had extremely poor survival, with a median OS of only 5 months and all died within 10 months. In addition, it should be emphasized that the time point before the third cycle of treatment was chosen in this study, which was consistent with the time point when the first imaging examination was performed after treatment to assess the efficacy. If imaging examination before the third cycle treatment indicated stable or slight progression and the patient had low BLNLR and low Δ NLR%, it could be considered safe to continue this treatment. On the contrary, if the imaging examination before the third cycle of treatment indicates that the disease is slightly progressive but does not reach the progressive standard and the patient belongs to the high BLNLR and high ANLR% groups, doctors and patients should be cautious about the prognosis of such patients and there is a certain risk of disease progress in continuing PD-1 inhibitors. It has come to our knowledge that this is the first study to demonstrate in Chinese population that the combination of BLNLR and ΔNLR% enables prediction of prognosis in melanoma patients receiving PD-1 inhibitors. These results provide a strong basis for the combined use of BLNLR and $\Delta \text{NLR}\%$ as prognostic markers to optimize patient benefit.

This study is a small sample and single-center retrospective study with potential selection bias and confounding factors. Due to the rarity of melanoma in the Chinese population, the number of patients included in this study was only 63. Subgroup analysis was not performed to further explore the effect of BLNLR and Δ NLR% on prognosis under different treatment methods. Based on the above limitations, further multi-center and large-sample prospective studies are needed to verify the conclusions of this study.

BLNLR combined with Δ NLR% can enhance the prognostic ability of metastatic melanoma patients treated with PD-1 inhibitors. Patients with low BLNLR and low Δ NLR% before the third cycle of treatment are more likely to benefit from PD-1 inhibitors. On the contrary, high BLNLR and high Δ NLR% is association with a very poor prognosis, which combined with other clinically relevant details such as imaging examination may be the reason for the change of treatment in this subgroup of patients. In conclusion, BLNLR combined with Δ NLR% can simply, economically and dynamically reflect the prognosis of metastatic melanoma, assisting clinicians in selecting treatment strategies to a certain extent.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Ethics Committee of The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University. Written informed consent for participation was not required for this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

Author contributions

CW, WZ, and YD performed the literature search and designed the study. CW, SL, XL, and KC contributed to the acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of the data. CW and SL wrote the original draft of the manuscript. WZ and YD edited the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

Young Elite Scientists Foundation Project of Henan Province (2021HYTP058).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Guo J, Qin S, Liang J, Lin T, Si L, Chen X, et al. Chinese Guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of melanoma (2015 edition). *Chin Clin Oncol* (2016) 5(4):57. doi: 10.21037/cco.2015.12.02

2. Chi Z, Li S, Sheng X, Si L, Cui C, Han M, et al. Clinical presentation, histology, and prognoses of malignant melanoma in ethnic Chinese: A study of 522 consecutive cases. *BMC Cancer* (2011) 11:85. doi: 10.1186/1471-2407-11-85

3. Lee SM, Betticher DC, Thatcher N. Melanoma: Chemotherapy. Br Med Bull (1995) 51(3):609-30. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.bmb.a072982

4. Robert C, Ribas A, Schachter J, Arance A, Grob J-J, Mortier L, et al. Pembrolizumab versus ipilimumab in advanced melanoma (Keynote-006): Post-hoc 5-year results from an open-label, multicentre, randomised, controlled, phase 3 study. *Lancet Oncol* (2019) 20(9):1239–51. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(19)30388-2

5. Robert C, Long GV, Brady B, Dutriaux C, Di Giacomo AM, Mortier L, et al. Fiveyear outcomes with nivolumab in patients with wild-type braf advanced melanoma. *J Clin Oncol* (2020) 38(33):3937–46. doi: 10.1200/JCO.20.00995

6. Larkin J, Chiarion-Sileni V, Gonzalez R, Grob J-J, Rutkowski P, Lao CD, et al. Five-year survival with combined nivolumab and ipilimumab in advanced melanoma. *N Engl J Med* (2019) 381(16):1535–46. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1910836

 D'Angelo SP, Larkin J, Sosman JA, Lebbé C, Brady B, Neyns B, et al. Efficacy and safety of nivolumab alone or in combination with ipilimumab in patients with mucosal melanoma: A pooled analysis. J Clin Onco (2017) 35(2):226–35. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2016.67.9258

8. Hodi FS, Chiarion-Sileni V, Gonzalez R, Grob J-J, Rutkowski P, Cowey CL, et al. Nivolumab plus ipilimumab or nivolumab alone versus ipilimumab alone in advanced melanoma (Checkmate 067): 4-year outcomes of a multicentre, randomised, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol* (2018) 19(11):1480–92. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(18)30700-9

9. Garon EB, Rizvi NA, Hui R, Leighl N, Balmanoukian AS, Eder JP, et al. Pembrolizumab for the treatment of non-Small-Cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med (2015) 372(21):2018–28. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1501824

10. Chan TA, Yarchoan M, Jaffee E, Swanton C, Quezada SA, Stenzinger A, et al. Development of tumor mutation burden as an immunotherapy biomarker: Utility for the oncology clinic. *Ann Oncol* (2019) 30(1):44–56. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdy495

11. Goodman AM, Kato S, Bazhenova L, Patel SP, Frampton GM, Miller V, et al. Tumor mutational burden as an independent predictor of response to immunotherapy in diverse cancers. *Mol Cancer Ther* (2017) 16(11):2598–608. doi: 10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-17-0386

12. Le DT, Durham JN, Smith KN, Wang H, Bartlett BR, Aulakh LK, et al. Mismatch repair deficiency predicts response of solid tumors to pd-1 blockade. *Science* (2017) 357(6349):409-13. doi: 10.1126/science.aan6733

13. Carlino MS, Long GV, Schadendorf D, Robert C, Ribas A, Richtig E, et al. Outcomes by line of therapy and programmed death ligand 1 expression in patients with advanced melanoma treated with pembrolizumab or ipilimumab in keynote-006: A randomised clinical trial. *Eur J Cancer* (2018) 101:236–43. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2018.06.034

14. Doroshow DB, Bhalla S, Beasley MB, Sholl LM, Kerr KM, Gnjatic S, et al. Pd-L1 as a biomarker of response to immune-checkpoint inhibitors. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* (2021) 18(6):345–62. doi: 10.1038/s41571-021-00473-5

15. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. The hallmarks of cancer. *Cell* (2000) 100(1):57–70. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81683-9

16. Lee J, Lee SJ, Kim K, Kim ST, Jang K-T, Lee J. Comprehensive molecular and clinical characterization of Asian melanoma patients treated with anti-Pd-1 antibody. *BMC Cancer* (2019) 19(1):805. doi: 10.1186/s12885-019-6030-5

17. Zaragoza J, Caille A, Beneton N, Bens G, Christiann F, Maillard H, et al. High neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio measured before starting ipilimumab treatment is associated with reduced overall survival in patients with melanoma. *Br J Dermatol* (2016) 174(1):146–51. doi: 10.1111/bjd.14155

18. Ferrucci PF, Gandini S, Battaglia A, Alfieri S, Di Giacomo AM, Giannarelli D, et al. Baseline neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte ratio is associated with outcome of ipilimumab-treated metastatic melanoma patients. *Br J Cancer* (2015) 112(12):1904–10. doi: 10.1038/bjc.2015.180

19. Jung M, Lee J, Kim TM, Lee DH, Kang JH, Oh SY, et al. Ipilimumab real-world efficacy and safety in Korean melanoma patients from the Korean named-patient program cohort. *Cancer Res Treat* (2017) 49(1):44–53. doi: 10.4143/crt.2016.024

20. Bartlett EK, Flynn JR, Panageas KS, Ferraro RA, Sta Cruz JM, Postow MA, et al. High neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte ratio (NIr) is associated with treatment failure and death in patients who have melanoma treated with pd-1 inhibitor monotherapy. *Cancer* (2020) 126(1):76–85. doi: 10.1002/cncr.32506 organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

21. Guida M, Bartolomeo N, Quaresmini D, Quaglino P, Madonna G, Pigozzo J, et al. Basal and one-month differed neutrophil, lymphocyte and platelet values and their ratios strongly predict the efficacy of checkpoint inhibitors immunotherapy in patients with advanced braf wild-type melanoma. *J Transl Med* (2022) 20(1):159. doi: 10.1186/s12967-022-03359-x

22. Cassidy MR, Wolchok RE, Zheng J, Panageas KS, Wolchok JD, Coit D, et al. Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio is associated with outcome during ipilimumab treatment. *EBioMedicine* (2017) 18:56–61. doi: 10.1016/j.ebiom.2017.03.029

23. Hayward NK, Wilmott JS, Waddell N, Johansson PA, Field MA, Nones K, et al. Whole-genome landscapes of major melanoma subtypes. *Nature* (2017) 545 (7653):175–80. doi: 10.1038/nature22071

24. Qi Y, Liao D, Mei D, Zhang Y, Liu Y. Elevated neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte ratio is associated with poor outcomes for melanoma patients treated with pd-1 inhibitor or chemotherapy in a Chinese population. *Front In Oncol* (2020) 10:1752. doi: 10.3389/ fonc.2020.01752

25. Jung S, Johnson DB. Management of acral and mucosal melanoma: Medical oncology perspective. *Oncologist* (2022) 27(8):703–10. doi: 10.1093/oncolo/oyac091

26. Capone M, Giannarelli D, Mallardo D, Madonna G, Festino L, Grimaldi AM, et al. Baseline neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte ratio (Nlr) and derived nlr could predict overall survival in patients with advanced melanoma treated with nivolumab. *J Immunother Cancer* (2018) 6(1):74. doi: 10.1186/s40425-018-0383-1

27. Trujillo JA, Sweis RF, Bao R, Luke JJ. T Cell-inflamed versus non-T cell-inflamed tumors: A conceptual framework for cancer immunotherapy drug development and combination therapy selection. *Cancer Immunol Res* (2018) 6(9):990–1000. doi: 10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-18-0277

28. Gibney GT, Weiner LM, Atkins MB. Predictive biomarkers for checkpoint inhibitor-based immunotherapy. *Lancet Oncol* (2016) 17(12):e542-e51. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(16)30406-5

29. Karantanos T, Karanika S, Seth B, Gignac G. The absolute lymphocyte count can predict the overall survival of patients with non-small cell lung cancer on nivolumab: A clinical study. *Clin Transl Oncol* (2019) 21(2):206–12. doi: 10.1007/s12094-018-1908-2

30. Ueda K, Suekane S, Kurose H, Ogasawara N, Hiroshige T, Chikui K, et al. Absolute lymphocyte count is an independent predictor of survival in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma treated with nivolumab. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* (2022) 52 (2):179–86. doi: 10.1093/jjco/hyab157

31. Postow MA, Chasalow SD, Kuk D, Panageas KS, Cheng ML, Yuan J, et al. Absolute lymphocyte count as a prognostic biomarker for overall survival in patients with advanced melanoma treated with ipilimumab. *Melanoma Res* (2020) 30(1):71–5. doi: 10.1097/CMR.000000000000633

32. Antonio N, Bønnelykke-Behrndtz ML, Ward LC, Collin J, Christensen IJ, Steiniche T, et al. The wound inflammatory response exacerbates growth of pre-neoplastic cells and progression to cancer. *EMBO J* (2015) 34(17):2219–36. doi: 10.15252/embj.201490147

33. Xiong S, Dong L, Cheng L. Neutrophils in cancer carcinogenesis and metastasis. *J Hematol Oncol* (2021) 14(1):173. doi: 10.1186/s13045-021-01187-y

34. Houghton AM, Rzymkiewicz DM, Ji H, Gregory AD, Egea EE, Metz HE, et al. Neutrophil elastase-mediated degradation of irs-1 accelerates lung tumor growth. *Nat Med* (2010) 16(2):219–23. doi: 10.1038/nm.2084

35. Romano A, Parrinello NL, Vetro C, Tibullo D, Giallongo C, La Cava P, et al. The prognostic value of the myeloid-mediated immunosuppression marker arginase-1 in classic Hodgkin lymphoma. *Oncotarget* (2016) 7(41):67333–46. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.12024

 Christoffersson G, Vågesjö E, Vandooren J, Lidén M, Massena S, Reinert RB, et al. Vegf-a recruits a proangiogenic mmp-9-Delivering neutrophil subset that induces angiogenesis in transplanted hypoxic tissue. *Blood* (2012) 120(23):4653–62. doi: 10.1182/blood-2012-04-421040

37. Cools-Lartigue J, Spicer J, McDonald B, Gowing S, Chow S, Giannias B, et al. Neutrophil extracellular traps sequester circulating tumor cells and promote metastasis. *J Clin Invest* (2013) 123(8):3446–58. doi: 10.1172/jci67484

38. Albrengues J, Shields MA, Ng D, Park CG, Ambrico A, Poindexter ME, et al. Neutrophil extracellular traps produced during inflammation awaken dormant cancer cells in mice. *Science* (2018) 361(6409). doi: 10.1126/science.aao4227

39. Tang B, Chi Z, Chen Y, Liu X, Wu D, Chen J, et al. Safety, efficacy, and biomarker analysis of toripalimab in previously treated advanced melanoma: Results of the polaris-01 multicenter phase ii trial. *Clin Cancer Res* (2020) 26(16):4250–9. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-19-3922

40. Zhou L, Wu X, Chi Z, Si L, Sheng X, Kong Y, et al. Safety, activity, and pharmacokinetics of camrelizumab in advanced Asian melanoma patients: A phase I study. *BMC Cancer* (2022) 22(1):565. doi: 10.1186/s12885-022-09663-5

4. Tang B, Yan X, Sheng X, Si L, Cui C, Kong Y, et al. Safety and clinical activity with an anti-Pd-1 antibody Js001 in advanced melanoma or urologic cancer patients. *J Hematol Oncol* (2019) 12(1):7. doi: 10.1186/s13045-018-0693-2

42. Shen L, Guo J, Zhang Q, Pan H, Yuan Y, Bai Y, et al. Tislelizumab in Chinese patients with advanced solid tumors: An open-label, non-comparative, phase 1/2 study. J Immunother Cancer (2020) 8(1). doi: 10.1136/jitc-2019-000437

43. Si I., Zhang X, Shu Y, Pan H, Wu D, Liu J, et al. A phase ib study of pembrolizumab as second-line therapy for Chinese patients with advanced or metastatic melanoma (Keynote-151). *Transl Oncol* (2019) 12(6):828–35. doi: 10.1016/j.tranon.2019.02.007