Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Neveen Said, Wake Forest Baptist Medical Center, United States

REVIEWED BY Toshiki Kijima, Dokkyo Medical University, Japan Dechao Feng, Sichuan University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Cora N. Sternberg cns9006@med.cornell.edu

† These authors share senior authorship

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Cancer Molecular Targets and Therapeutics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Oncology

RECEIVED 28 July 2022 ACCEPTED 10 October 2022 PUBLISHED 31 October 2022

CITATION

Sternberg CN, Shin N, Chernyshov K, Calabro F, Cerbone L, Procopio G, Miheecheva N, Sagaradze G, Zaichikova A, Samarina N, Boyko A, Brown JH, Yunusova L, Guevara D, Manohar J, Sigouros M, Al Assaad M, Elemento O and Mosquera JM (2022) Case report: Metastatic urothelial cancer with an exceptional response to immunotherapy and comprehensive understanding of the tumor and the tumor microenvironment. Front. Oncol. 12:1006017. [doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.1006017](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2022.1006017)

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Sternberg, Shin, Chernyshov, Calabro, Cerbone, Procopio, Miheecheva, Sagaradze, Zaichikova, Samarina, Boyko, Brown, Yunusova, Guevara, Manohar, Sigouros, Al Assaad, Elemento and Mosquera. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Attribution License \(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

[Case report: Metastatic](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2022.1006017/full) [urothelial cancer with an](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2022.1006017/full) [exceptional response to](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2022.1006017/full) [immunotherapy and](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2022.1006017/full) [comprehensive understanding](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2022.1006017/full) [of the tumor and the](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2022.1006017/full) [tumor microenvironment](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2022.1006017/full)

Cora N. Sternberg^{1*†}, Nara Shin², Konstantin Chernyshov², Fabio Calabro³, Linda Cerbone³, Giuseppe Procopio⁴, Natalia Miheecheva², Georgy Sagaradze², Alisa Zaichikova², Naira Samarina², Alexandra Boyko², Jessica H. Brown², Leysan Yunusova², Daniela Guevara⁵, Jyothi Manohar⁵, Michael Sigouros⁵, Majd Al Assaad⁶, Olivier Elemento⁷ and Juan Miguel Mosquera^{6t}

1 Englander Institute for Precision Medicine, Sandra and Edward Meyer Cancer Center, Weill Cornell Medicine, Hematology and Oncology, New York-Presbyterian, New York, NY, United States, ²BostonGene, Corp, Waltham, MA, United States, ³Special Operative Unite (UOS) Oncologia Tumori Genito-urinari, Department of Medical Oncology, San Camillo Forlanini Hospital, Rome, Italy, 4Istituto Tumori, Department of Medical Oncology, Milan, Italy, ⁵Englander Institute for Precision Medicine, Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, NY, United States, ⁶Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Englander Institute for Precision Medicine, Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, NY, United States, ⁷ Englander Institute for Precision Medicine, Institute for Computational Biomedicine, Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, NY, United States

Although immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) are increasingly used as secondline treatments for urothelial cancer (UC), only a small proportion of patients respond. Therefore, understanding the mechanisms of response to ICIs is critical to improve clinical outcomes for UC patients. The tumor microenvironment (TME) is recognized as a key player in tumor progression and the response to certain anti-cancer treatments. This study aims to investigate the mechanism of response using integrated genomic and transcriptomic profiling of a UC patient who was part of the KEYNOTE-045 trial and showed an exceptional response to pembrolizumab. Diagnosed in 2014 and receiving first-line chemotherapy without success, the patient took part in the KEYNOTE-045 trial for 2 years. She showed dramatic improvement and has now been free of disease for over 6 years. Recently described by Bagaev et al., the Molecular Functional (MF) Portrait was utilized to dissect genomic and transcriptomic features of the patient's tumor and TME. The patient's tumor was characterized as Immune Desert, which is suggestive of a non-inflamed microenvironment. Integrated whole-exome sequencing (WES) and RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) analysis identified an ATM

mutation and high TMB level (33.9 mut/mb), which are both positive biomarkers for ICI response. Analysis further revealed the presence of the APOBEC complex, indicating the potential for use of APOBEC signatures as predictive biomarkers for immunotherapy response. Overall, comprehensive characterization of the patient's tumor and TME with the MF Portrait revealed important insights that could potentially be hypothesis generating to identify clinically useful biomarkers and improve treatment for UC patients.

KEYWORDS

urothelial cancer, bladder cancer, pembrolizumab, KEYNOTE-045 trial, tumor microenvironment, whole-exome sequencing, RNA sequencing, case report

Introduction

The current first-line standard-of-care treatment for patients with metastatic urothelial cancer (UC) is platinum-based combination chemotherapy, with disease control occurring in 65% to 85% of patients. However, progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) are often limited, as a median survival rate of 9 to 14 months is seen in patients who receive first-line platinum-based chemotherapy ([1](#page-6-0)). Although methotrexate, vinblastine, doxorubicin, cisplatin (M-VAC) chemotherapy was developed in 1989 [\(1\)](#page-6-0), few achievements have occurred in the past 30 years aside from gemcitabine (GC) and high-dose M-VAC (HD M-VAC) ([2,](#page-6-0) [3](#page-6-0)). Until recently, only 25% to 55% of patients received second-line treatment with less than optimal results. Five immunotherapeutic agents have been approved as systemic therapy for UC, including atezolizumab, durvalumab, avelumab, nivolumab, and pembrolizumab. A phase III study (KEYNOTE-045 trial) showed that compared to standard-of-care chemotherapy, pembrolizumab led to a 27% OS benefit after platinum-based chemotherapy ([4](#page-6-0)). Recent studies further demonstrated the potential for the use of immunotherapeutic agents in the maintenance setting for patients who respond to chemotherapy ([5\)](#page-6-0).

As the utilization of immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) continues to increase for UC, comprehensive characterization of the tumor and tumor microenvironment (TME) is critical to understand the molecular mechanisms responsible for ICI response, which can then lead to the development of clinically useful biomarkers that can determine the most effective treatment for a patient [\(6](#page-6-0)–[8\)](#page-6-0). Bagaev et al. previously reported the development and utilization of a Molecular Functional (MF) Portrait to dissect genomic and transcriptomic features of tumors, including bladder cancer ([9\)](#page-6-0). Herein, we report a case of an exceptional response to pembrolizumab in the context of the KEYNOTE-045 study and utilization of the MF Portrait [\(9\)](#page-6-0) to understand the underlying mechanisms of treatment response.

Case history

A 61-year-old woman presented with hematuria in July 2014. In November 2014, computed tomography (CT) scans and a transurethral resection of bladder tumor (TURBT) revealed the presence of a G3T1 bladder tumor. A second CT scan in January 2015 showed a large bladder tumor and metastases in the lung and liver [\(Figure 1A](#page-2-0); [Supplementary](#page-6-0) [Figure S1\)](#page-6-0). Another TURBT in January 2015 again revealed a G3T1 bladder tumor. After undergoing five cycles of GC and cisplatin chemotherapy as first-line treatment, CT scans in May 2015 revealed progressive disease (PD) in the liver, lung, and bladder. She was offered standard-of-care treatment, vinflunine, in her city, but elected to participate in an ongoing clinical study in Rome, Italy ([Supplementary Figure S1\)](#page-6-0).

The patient participated in the KEYNOTE-045 study, an international, randomized, and open-label phase III study, in which a total of 542 patients were enrolled and randomized between pembrolizumab and the physician's choice of chemotherapy (docetaxel, paclitaxel, or vinflunine). The patient was randomized to receive pembrolizumab 200mg (q3w) starting on June 30, 2015. Sequential CT scans revealed a rapid reduction of the tumors in all three regions ([Figure 1\)](#page-2-0).

Histologically, a micropapillary variant was observed, which is rare (0.6 - 8.2%) and has been shown to have poor prognosis in UC [\(10\)](#page-6-0). Immunohistochemistry (IHC) demonstrated 15% of PD-L1 expression (DAKO 22C3) [\(Figure 2\)](#page-2-0). The patient responded dramatically and continued pembrolizumab treatment for 2 years per the protocol. Serial CT scans showed that she has been free of all disease and off of therapy for over 6 years [\(Figure 1](#page-2-0); [Supplementary Figure S1\)](#page-6-0).

The BostonGene Tumor Portrait™ Test, a comprehensive genomic and transcriptomic analysis using whole-exome sequencing (WES) and RNA sequencing (RNA-seq), was performed to understand the mechanisms of response to immunotherapy. Based on transcriptomic profiling and the

immunotherapy with a complete response in the bladder. (B) Multiple lung metastases from bladder cancer that obtained a complete response with pembrolizumab immunotherapy. (C) Multiple hepatic metastases from bladder cancer that obtained a complete response with pembrolizumab immunotherapy.

TME subtype represented as the MF Portrait in this test, the patient's tumor can be described as an "Immune Desert" ([9](#page-6-0)), which is usually characterized by a non-inflamed TME and a high tumor proliferation rate ([Figure 3](#page-3-0)). All components of the patient's tumor, including malignant cells, tumor stroma, angiogenesis, and an immune active and suppressive microenvironment were ranked at a medium level (Data Supplement) in comparison to the cohort with a similar

FIGURE 2

Histopathological assessment of the patient's bladder urothelial carcinoma. (A) Micropapillary histopathology was observed by H&E staining. (B) PD-L1 expression was assessed by immunohistochemistry.

diagnosis, as well as T cells, B cells, NK cells, neutrophils, monocytes (including macrophages), and stromal cells. The tumor purity was 73%. The patient's tumor was characterized by a high TMB level (33.9 mut/mb), which corresponds with a good response to immunotherapy [\(11\)](#page-6-0). APOBEC-related mutagenesis is a major source of mutations in bladder cancer ([12](#page-6-0)); therefore, the presence of a large number of mutations may be due to the activation of the APOBEC complex, which was confirmed by the presence of a pronounced mutational signature. The tumor's microsatellite status was stable.

Further characterization of the tumor showed the onset of at least one clone with a PIK3CA gain of function E545K missense mutation, ARID1A loss offunction S634* nonsense mutation, and a HER2 E265Q (VAF 26%) and S728F (VAF 25%) missense mutation. It has been previously documented that micropapillary UC exhibits a high frequency of HER2 activating mutations [\(13\)](#page-6-0). The ATM missense mutation E2272K was also observed in the TME. Mutations in PIK3CA, ARID1A, and ATM are recurrent genetic alterations in bladder cancer [\(14](#page-6-0)), possessing the ability to influence response to several treatments, such as ICIs [\(15](#page-6-0)–[17\)](#page-6-0). The RAD51C mutation was observed along with the ATM mutation in homologous recombination genes. A summary of the patient's findings is shown in Figure 3. Informed consent was obtained from the patient in this report for the collection and publication of her clinical and molecular data, and de-identified images.

Discussion

Herein, we report the case of a patient with metastatic UC who exhibited an exceptional response to pembrolizumab, and subsequently describe the use of the MF Portrait to characterize

the tumor and TME. Several ICIs have been provisionally FDAapproved as second-line therapies after platinum failure based on phase I-III trials, with treatment responses around 20%. While pembrolizumab is approved in patients who are platinum ineligible and PD-L1 positive and in patients with non-muscle invasive bladder cancer with carcinoma in situ who have failed Bacille Calmette-Guérin^(BCG) treatment, atezolizumab and durvalumab have withdrawn their indication after large phase III trials in combination with immunotherapy, or compared to immunotherapy, failed to meet their primary endpoints [\(18](#page-6-0), [19\)](#page-6-0). The KEYNOTE-045 study was the only phase III randomized trial in this setting that enrolled patients with predominantly transitional cell UC of the renal pelvis, ureter, bladder, or urethra, with progressive disease after receiving two or fewer lines of platinumbased chemotherapy or with recurrence less than 12 months after perioperative platinum-based chemotherapy. Updates of the KEYNOTE-045 study have consistently shown the benefit of pembrolizumab in the second-line setting, irrespective of PD-L1 status ([4,](#page-6-0) [20](#page-6-0)).

The patient described here exhibited a rapid, long-lasting exceptional response to pembrolizumab immunotherapy, since 2015 and remains in CR, to our knowledge as of May 2022. Integrated genomic and transcriptomic analysis was utilized to understand the characteristics of the tumor and investigate its possible associations with the patient's response (Data Supplement). The MF Portrait classified the patient's tumor as an Immune Desert, and further analysis detected a high TMB and APOBEC signature in the patient's bladder tumor sample. According to the most recent UC classifier described by Kamoun et al. ([21\)](#page-6-0), the patient's molecular profile is categorized as a Luminal Unstable subtype, which is distinguished by an APOBEC signature and a high TMB. Patients classified as

FIGURE 3

Summary of the patient's major findings using the MF Portrait⁹ and integrative genomic and transcriptomic analysis (Data Supplement). (A) A planetary schematic representation of the molecular and functional characteristics of the patient's tumor. Blue represents anti-tumor activity (immune active microenvironment) and red represents pro-tumor activity (immune suppressive microenvironment, angiogenesis, tumor stroma). The size of the dot corresponds to the strength of the presented gene signatures. (B) List of key findings using WES and RNA-seq analysis represented as NGS and RNA-seq, respectively.

Luminal Unstable have a good prognosis, as the survival rate of this subtype is better than in other subtypes, with the exception of the Luminal Papillary subtype [\(21](#page-6-0)).

Positive biomarkers for ICI exist, such as high TMB and the presence of mutations in ARID1A, ATM, and PIK3CA. Therefore, connecting the tumor characteristics with the patient's response may enable therapeutic decision-making for other patients who exhibit similar features. While sequencing technologies were not available before or during therapy for the patient presented here, these results suggest that performing comprehensive molecular profiling before first-line treatment can lead to the discovery and establishment of biomarker-driven therapies for UC patients in the future. Furthermore, this case further demonstrates the utility of performing integrated genomic and transcriptomic analysis before second-line ICI treatment to identify biomarkers that can help stratify patients for immunotherapy or clinical trial enrollment. For instance, particularly in this case, detection of the APOBEC signature suggests that the mutation might serve as a predictive biomarker for better response to ICI [\(22\)](#page-6-0). Similarly, ATM and ARID1A mutations might also be associated with a higher mutational load and better response to immunotherapy ([15\)](#page-6-0). Of note, the ATM mutation can also indicate the use of PARP inhibitors such as olaparib (NCT03375307), neratinib (NCT03830918), and rucaparib in other tumor types. ATM is one of the DNA damage response mechanism regulators, and tumor cells cannot repair DNA damage in the absence of PARP proteins ([23](#page-6-0)). E545K, which is commonly found in UC, is a gain of function missense mutation in the helical domain of PIK3CA ([24](#page-6-0)). Knockdown of the PIK3CA mutant variant inhibits cell proliferation and migration, and hence, tumor growth ([25](#page-6-0)). Consequently, it is appropriate to use PIK3CA inhibitors alone or in combination (NCT04317105, NCT02465060) when the E545K mutation is detected.

Importantly, response to ICI does not prevent the acquisition of multiple resistance mechanisms ([26\)](#page-7-0), including TME-driven resistance [\(27](#page-7-0)). Therefore, combination strategies that increase ICI efficacy are of great interest to clinicians and scientists ([28](#page-7-0)). Here, another advantage of the MF Portrait is revealed. Particularly, characterization of the tumor and TME using the MF Portrait provides easy access to the proportion of potentially actionable TME components, such as endothelial, stromal, and immune active/suppressive cells. Our results suggest that the MF Portrait has the potential to be used as a predictive biomarker for UC. Future prospective clinical studies will be critical to further validate the predictive ability of the MF Portrait for response to immunotherapy.

Conclusions

This paper describes a patient with micropapillary advanced UC with bladder, liver, and lung metastases. After failing cisplatin-based combination chemotherapy, the patient was treated with pembrolizumab immunotherapy on the KEYNOTE-045 randomized Phase III trial for two years. The patient is currently disease free and has been off all therapy for over 6 years. Her case is that of an exceptional responder. The patient's molecular profile is consistent with her response to immunotherapy and we have performed tumor profiling to further describe her molecular characteristics.

Materials and methods

Tumor tissue collection

A transurethral resection of bladder tumor (TURBT) was performed on a 61-year-old female in 2015 in Milan, Italy before first-line cisplatin-based chemotherapy treatment. Tumor tissue from the surgery was used for histological assessment and molecular profiling at Weill Cornell Medicine in New York City, New York and at BostonGene in Waltham, Massachusetts. No other metastatic biopsies were obtained prior to pembrolizumab.

Histopathological assessment

Hematoxylin and eosin-stained (H&E) slides were reviewed at Weill Cornell Medicine by a pathologist with expertise in uropathology. The combined positive score (CPS) was determined to measure immunohistochemistry of PD-L1 expression in both tumor and inflammatory cells.

Molecular and bioinformatics analysis

DNA and RNA of the tumor were extracted from formalinfixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue using the FFPE AllPrep DNA/RNA kit (Quiagen). Normal DNA was extracted from blood using the QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit (Qiagen).Library preparation from extracted DNA was performed with the Agilent SureSelect XT HS2 DNA kit for library construction and the Agilent SureSelect Human All Exon V7 exome for hybridization and capture. Library preparation from RNA was

performed with the Agilent SureSelect XT HS2 RNA kit for library construction and the Agilent SureSelect Human All Exon V7+UTR exome for hybridization and capture. RNA-seq and whole exome sequencing (WES) were performed on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 system. FastQC v0.11.9, FastQ Screen v0.14.0, and MultiQC v1.4 were used to conduct next-generation sequencing quality control analysis.

Genomic alterations

Low-quality reads for WES were filtered with FilterByTile/ BBMap v37.90 and then aligned to the human reference genome GRCh38 (GRCh38.d1.vd1 assembly) using BWA v0.7.17. Picard's (v2.6.0) Mark Duplicates was used to remove duplicate reads. Indels were realigned by IndelRealigner and recalibrated using BaseRecalibrator (GATK v4.1.2.0). Strelka v2.9.10 and Variant Effect Predictor v92.1 were used to detect and annotate, respectively, germline and somatic single-nucleotide variations, small insertions, and deletions. CNA evaluation was performed by a customized version of Sequenza v2.1.2.

Microsatellite status was evaluated by MSI sensor v0.6.

Expression analysis

RNA-seq reads were processed using a custom BostonGene pipeline. Briefly, reads were aligned using Kallisto v0.43.0 to GENCODE v23 transcripts 69. Noncoding, histone- and mitochondrial-related transcripts were removed, and protein coding, IGH/K/L- and TCR-related transcripts were retained, resulting in 20,062 analyzed genes. Gene expression was quantified as transcripts per million (TPM) and log2 transformed.

Expression biomarkers were calculated based on RNA-seq data from BostonGene's internal diagnosis-stratified patient cohort. Gene expression levels (high, medium, or low) were calculated and shown relative to their expression level in patients with a similar diagnosis ("low" corresponds to expressions below the 17th percentile, "high" corresponds to above the 83rd percentile, and what remains corresponds to "medium"). The cut-off value for positive expression was defined as 1TPM.

Fusions were detected using STAR-Fusion v.1.8.1.

TME processes activity estimation

To estimate the activity of specific genes we used methodology described by Bagaev et. al ([9](#page-6-0)). Using functional gene expression signatures (Fges), we analyzed genes associated with distinct cell types (e.g., macrophages, tumor infiltrating lymphocytes), non-cellular components of the TME (e.g., immunosuppressive cytokines, extracellular matrix), malignant

cell biological processes (e.g., proliferation), and canonical signaling pathway activation (e.g., TGFb, TP53). The ssGSEA algorithm was used to estimate Fges activity [\(29](#page-7-0)). The Fges activity level (high, medium, or low) was calculated and shown relative to its Fges activity level in patients with similar diagnosis ("low" corresponds to Fges scores below the 17th percentile; "high" corresponds to scores above the 83rd percentile; and the remainder corresponds to "medium").

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/[Supplementary Material](#page-6-0), further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Weill Cornell Medicine IRB. The patients/ participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

Author contributions

CS and JMM contributed equally to this work and share senior authorship. CS and JMM conceived of the paper. The patient was treated by CS, FC, LC, and GP. KC, NM, GS, AZ, and NaiS conducted the overall data analyses and sequencing data processing. JMM and MA performed histological assessment of the tissue slides. CS, NarS, KC, AZ, AB, JB, LY, DG, and JMM wrote and revised the manuscript and prepared the Figures. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted versions.

Funding

The Keynote study was funded by Merck, Sharp and Dohme, LLC. and data analysis was funded by BostonGene. The study was supported by the Caryl and Israel Englander Institute for Precision Medicine at Weill Cornell Medicine.

Conflict of interest

Authors NaiS, KC, NM, GS, AZ, NarS, AB, JB and LY were employed by BostonGene, Corp. CNS has received honoraria

from MERCK and participated as an author in the Keynote-045 trial.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The authors declare that this study received funding from BostonGene, Merck and Sharp and Dohme, LLC. Corp. BostonGene had the following involvement with the study: analysis, interpretation of data, and the writing of this article. Merck, Sharp and Dohme, LLC had the following involvement with the study: study design of Keynote 045, sample collection of tissue from San Camillo Hospital, and the decision to submit this article for publication.

References

1. Sternberg CN, Yagoda A, Scher HI, Watson RC, Geller N, Herr HW, et al. Methotrexate, vinblastine, doxorubicin, and cisplatin for advanced transitional cell carcinoma of the urothelium. efficacy and patterns of response and relapse. Cancer (1989) 64:2448–58. doi: [10.1002/1097-0142\(19891215\)64:12<2448::aid](https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0142(19891215)64:12%3C2448::aid-cncr2820641209%3E3.0.co;2-7)[cncr2820641209>3.0.co;2-7](https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0142(19891215)64:12%3C2448::aid-cncr2820641209%3E3.0.co;2-7)

2. Sternberg CN, de Mulder P, Schornagel JH, Theodore C, Fossa SD, van Oosterom AT, et al. Seven year update of an EORTC phase III trial of high-dose intensity m-VAC chemotherapy and G-CSF versus classic m-VAC in advanced urothelial tract tumours. Eur J Cancer Oxf Engl 1990 (2006) 42:50–4. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2005.08.032) [j.ejca.2005.08.032](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2005.08.032)

3. von der Maase H, Hansen SW, Roberts JT, Dogliotti L, Oliver T, Moore MJ, et al. Gemcitabine and cisplatin versus methotrexate, vinblastine, doxorubicin, and cisplatin in advanced or metastatic bladder cancer: results of a large, randomized, multinational, multicenter, phase III study. J Clin Oncol Off J Am Soc Clin Oncol (2000) 18:3068–77. doi: [10.1200/JCO.2000.18.17.3068](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2000.18.17.3068)

4. Bellmunt J, de Wit R, Vaughn DJ, Fradet Y, Lee J-L, Fong L, et al. Pembrolizumab as second-line therapy for advanced urothelial carcinoma. N Engl J Med (2017) 376:1015–26. doi: [10.1056/NEJMoa1613683](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1613683)

5. Powles T, Park SH, Voog E, Caserta C, Valderrama BP, Gurney H, et al. Avelumab maintenance therapy for advanced or metastatic urothelial carcinoma. N Engl J Med (2020) 383:1218–30. doi: [10.1056/NEJMoa2002788](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2002788)

6. Lenis AT, Lec PM, Chamie K, MSHS M. Bladder cancer: A review. JAMA (2020) 324:1980–91. doi: [10.1001/jama.2020.17598](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.17598)

7. Aggen DH, Drake CG. Biomarkers for immunotherapy in bladder cancer: a moving target. J Immunother Cancer (2017) 5:94. doi: [10.1186/s40425-017-0299-1](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40425-017-0299-1)

8. Zang J, Ye K, Fei Y, Zhang R, Chen H, Zhuang G. Immunotherapy in the treatment of urothelial bladder cancer: Insights from single-cell analysis. Front Oncol (2021) 11:696716. doi: [10.3389/fonc.2021.696716](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2021.696716)

9. Bagaev A, Kotlov N, Nomie K, Svekolkin V, Gafurov A, Isaeva O, et al. Conserved pan-cancer microenvironment subtypes predict response to immunotherapy. Cancer Cell (2021) 39:845–865.e7. doi: [10.1016/j.ccell.2021.04.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2021.04.014)

10. Schneider SA, Sukov WR, Frank I, Boorjian SA, Costello BA, Tarrell RF, et al. Outcome of patients with micropapillary urothelial carcinoma following radical cystectomy: ERBB2 (HER2) amplification identifies patients with poor outcome. Mod Pathol Off J U S Can Acad Pathol Inc (2014) 27:758–64. doi: [10.1038/modpathol.2013.201](https://doi.org/10.1038/modpathol.2013.201)

11. Samstein RM, Lee C-H, Shoushtari AN, Hellmann MD, Shen R, Janjigian YY, et al. Tumor mutational load predicts survival after immunotherapy across multiple cancer types. Nat Genet (2019) 51:202–6. doi: [10.1038/s41588-018-0312-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0312-8)

12. Glaser AP, Fantini D, Wang Y, Yu Y, Rimar KJ, Podojil JR, et al. APOBECmediated mutagenesis in urothelial carcinoma is associated with improved survival, mutations in DNA damage response genes, and immune response. Oncotarget (2017) 9:4537–48. doi: [10.18632/oncotarget.23344](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.23344)

13. Ross JS, Wang K, Gay LM, Al-Rohil RN, Nazeer T, Sheehan CE, et al. A high frequency of activating extracellular domain ERBB2 (HER2) mutation in
micropapillary urothelial carcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res Off J Am Assoc Cancer Res* (2014) 20:68–75. doi: [10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-13-1992](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-13-1992)

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: [https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2022.1006017/full#supplementary-material) [fonc.2022.1006017/full#supplementary-material](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2022.1006017/full#supplementary-material)

14. Robertson AG, Kim J, Al-Ahmadie H, Bellmunt J, Guo G, Cherniack AD, et al. Comprehensive molecular characterization of muscle-invasive bladder cancer. Cell (2017) 171:540–556.e25. doi: [10.1016/j.cell.2017.09.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.09.007)

15. Yi R, Lin A, Cao M, Xu A, Luo P, Zhang J. ATM Mutations benefit bladder cancer patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors by acting on the tumor immune microenvironment. Front Genet (2020) 11:933. doi: [10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2020.00933) [fgene.2020.00933](https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2020.00933)

16. Miao D, Margolis CA, Vokes NI, Liu D, Taylor-Weiner A, Wankowicz SM, et al. Genomic correlates of response to immune checkpoint blockade in microsatellite-stable solid tumors. Nat Genet (2018) 50:1271–81. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0200-2) [s41588-018-0200-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0200-2)

17. Wang L, Qu J, Zhou N, Hou H, Jiang M, Zhang X. Effect and biomarker of immune checkpoint blockade therapy for ARID1A deficiency cancers. BioMed Pharmacother Biomedecine Pharmacother (2020) 130:110626. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2020.110626) [j.biopha.2020.110626](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2020.110626)

18. Galsky MD, Arija JÁA, Bamias A, Davis ID, De Santis M, Kikuchi E, et al. Atezolizumab with or without chemotherapy in metastatic urothelial cancer (IMvigor130): a multicentre, randomised, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial. Lancet Lond Engl (2020) 395:1547–57. doi: [10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30230-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30230-0)

19. Powles T, van der Heijden MS, Castellano D, Galsky MD, Loriot Y, Petrylak DP, et al. Durvalumab alone and durvalumab plus tremelimumab versus chemotherapy in previously untreated patients with unresectable, locally advanced or metastatic urothelial carcinoma (DANUBE): a randomised, openlabel, multicentre, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol (2020) 21:1574–88. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30541-6) [S1470-2045\(20\)30541-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30541-6)

20. Fradet Y, Bellmunt J, Vaughn DJ, Lee JL, Fong L, Vogelzang NJ, et al. Randomized phase III KEYNOTE-045 trial of pembrolizumab versus paclitaxel, docetaxel, or vinflunine in recurrent advanced urothelial cancer: results of >2 years of follow-up. Ann Oncol Off J Eur Soc Med Oncol (2019) 30:970–6. doi: [10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdz127) [annonc/mdz127](https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdz127)

21. Kamoun A, de Reyniès A, Allory Y, Sjödahl G, Robertson AG, Seiler R, et al. A consensus molecular classification of muscle-invasive bladder cancer. Eur Urol (2020) 77:420–33. doi: [10.1016/j.eururo.2019.09.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2019.09.006)

22. Wang T, Lu R, Kapur P, Jaiswal BS, Hannan R, Zhang Z, et al. An empirical approach leveraging tumorgrafts to dissect the tumor microenvironment in renal cell carcinoma identifies missing link to prognostic inflammatory factors. Cancer Discovery (2018) 8:1142–55. doi: [10.1158/2159-8290.CD-17-1246](https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-17-1246)

23. Jette NR, Kumar M, Radhamani S, Arthur G, Goutam S, Yip S, et al. ATM-Deficient cancers provide new opportunities for precision oncology. Cancers (2020) 12:E687. doi: [10.3390/cancers12030687](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers12030687)

24. Zeng S-X, Zhu Y, Ma A-H, Yu W, Zhang H, Lin T-Y, et al. The phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase pathway as a potential therapeutic target in bladder cancer. *Clin Cancer Res Off J Am Assoc Cancer Res* (2017) 23:6580–91. doi: [10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-17-0033](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-17-0033)

25. Ross RL, McPherson HR, Kettlewell L, Shnyder SD, Hurst CD, Alder O, et al. PIK3CA dependence and sensitivity to therapeutic targeting in urothelial carcinoma. BMC Cancer (2016) 16:553. doi: [10.1186/s12885-016-2570-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-016-2570-0)

26. Kalbasi A, Ribas A. Tumour-intrinsic resistance to immune checkpoint blockade. Nat Rev Immunol (2020) 20:25–39. doi: [10.1038/s41577-019-0218-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41577-019-0218-4)

27. Russell BL, Sooklal SA, Malindisa ST, Daka LJ, Ntwasa M. The tumor microenvironment factors that promote resistance to immune checkpoint blockade therapy. Front Oncol (2021) 11:641428. doi: [10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2021.641428) [fonc.2021.641428](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2021.641428)

28. Varayathu H, Sarathy V, Thomas BE, Mufti SS, Naik R. Combination
strategies to augment immune check point inhibitors efficacy - implications for
translational research. Front Oncol (2021) 11:559161. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2 29. Barbie DA, Tamayo P, Boehm JS, Kim SY, Moody SE, Dunn IF, et al. Systematic RNA interference reveals that oncogenic KRAS-driven cancers require TBK1. Nature (2009) 462:108–12. doi: [10.1038/nature08460](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08460)