Improving drug uptake and penetration into tumors: current and forthcoming opportunities

Fabrizio Marcucci^{1,2}*, Ronald Berenson³ and Angelo Corti⁴

¹ Centro Nazionale di Epidemiologia, Sorveglianza e Promozione della Salute, Istituto Superiore di Sanita', Roma, Italy

² Hepatology Association of Calabria, Reggio Calabria, Italy

³ ImmunoMod Consulting, Mercer Island, WA, USA

⁴ Tumor Biology and Vascular Targeting Unit, Division of Molecular Oncology, San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milano, Italy

*Correspondence: fabmarcu@gmail.com

Edited by:

Olivier Feron, University of Louvain, Belgium

The main scope of this topic is to give an update on approaches being studied and developed to improve tumor drug delivery through active targeting and other methods. Inadequate drug accumulation has emerged as one of the main problems underlying therapeutic failure and drug resistance in the treatment of solid tumors (Trédan et al., 2007; Marcucci and Corti, 2012a). It is causally related to the abnormal tumor architecture. Poor vascularization, increased resistance to blood flow and impaired blood supply represent a first obstacle to the delivery of antitumor drugs to tumor cells. Decreased or even inverted transvascular pressure gradients compromise convective transport of drugs. Eventually, an abnormal extracellular matrix offers increased frictional resistance to tumor drug penetration. The net result is reduced overall drug accumulation in tumors, and the propensity of drugs to accumulate in perivascular spaces without penetrating vessel-distant tumor areas. This promotes passive and active induction of drug resistance (Marcucci and Corti, 2012b).

Abnormal tumor architecture, inadequate drug accumulation and tumor drug resistance are tightly linked phenomena, suggesting that normalization of the tumor architecture, including tumor blood vessels, may result in increased drug delivery to tumors and improve the therapeutic efficacy of anticancer drugs. Indeed, several classes of drugs, that we have referred to as promoter drugs, (Marcucci and Corti, 2012a) have been reported to increase tumor uptake and penetration of antitumor drugs, including drugs that are: (1) vasoactive (Nagamitsu et al., 2009), (2) normalize tumor vessels (Jain, 2005), (3) modify the barrier function of tumor vessels (Corti and Marcucci, 1998; Curnis et al., 2000, 2002), (4) debulk tumor cells (Padera et al., 2004; Moschetta et al., 2012), (5) overcome intercellular (Beyer et al., 2011, 2012; Wang et al., 2011) and stromal barriers (Provenzano et al., 2012). In addition, non-pharmacologic approaches have been described that enhance tumor accumulation of effector drugs (e.g., convection-enhanced delivery, hyperthermia, ultrasound, etc.) (Sen et al., 2011; Watson et al., 2012).

Some drugs that have already received regulatory approval (e.g., the anti-vascular endothelial growth factor antibody bevacizumab) (Hurwitz et al., 2004) exert antitumor effects at least in part by normalizing the tumor vasculature and enhancing tumor accumulation of chemotherapeutic drugs (Willett et al., 2004). Bevacizumab, however, has a problematic side-effect profile, and the effective doses of the drug encompass a very narrow range beyond which it may even lead to a reduction in drug delivery (Van der Veldt

et al., 2012). Additional drugs, acting through other mechanisms of action, are now in clinical development (e.g., vascular targeted NGR-tumor necrosis factor, in phase II/III studies) (Sacchi et al., 2006) and others are about to enter clinical investigation (e.g., Junction Opener-1) (Beyer et al., 2011, 2012).

To date, the focus has been primarily on the identification of novel promoter drugs that improve tumor drug delivery. This has led to a considerable number of promoter drugs and devices that are effective in preclinical studies, and some of which have proceeded into clinical investigation or are about to do so. Regarding the types of drugs to be delivered, chemotherapeutics have been the obvious first choice, because they are the antitumor drugs in most widespread use (Curnis et al., 2002; Beyer et al., 2012). Another area of interest is antitumor monoclonal antibodies or related compounds (e.g., immunocytokines) (Beyer et al., 2011; Moschetta et al., 2012), which have become an important component of the antitumor drug armamentarium over the last 15 years. Preclinical investigations have produced promising results when these therapeutic agents are combined with drugs that enhance their penetration into tumors, and it is reasonable to predict that clinical studies will follow in the forthcoming years. So far, so good, but what next? Have we looked at all possible applications for promoter drugs, or are there further applications that we can envisage? We believe that there is still an important field of application for promoter drugs that has been relatively unexplored so far, i.e., the possibility to improve delivery of anticancer cells, in particular immune cells to the tumor (Marcucci et al., 2013), an area of increasing clinical interest.

Enhancing penetration of immune cells into tumors may have two main therapeutic applications. The first is to improve the efficacy of immune-regulatory antibodies, such as the anti-cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen-4 antibody ipilimumab, and the antiprogramed death-1 antibody nivolumab. These antibodies yield impressive, and often long-lasting therapeutic responses in a limited fraction (10–20% depending on the antibody) of heavily pretreated patients with metastatic melanoma and other solid tumors (Hodi et al., 2010; Topalian et al., 2012). There is a relationship between the number of tumor-infiltrating immune cells and responsiveness to ipilimumab (Lynch et al., 2012). In this setting, promoter drugs could be of value at two levels: first, to improve tumor delivery of the antibody itself, and second, improve penetration of immune cells into the tumor. This has the potential to increase the fraction of patients that become responders to these antibodies. A second

possible field of application are antitumor vaccines. Antitumor vaccines are often active only when administered in a prophylactic setting. With growing tumors, vaccination becomes progressively less effective. One reason might be that tumor-specific lymphocytes become sensitized in draining lymph nodes but are then unable to enter tumors and eliminate tumor cells (Ganss and Hanahan, 1998). Promoter drugs that improve infiltration of immune cells into tumors may prove useful in increasing the effectiveness of cancer vaccines. However, infiltration of immune cells into tumors has requirements that go beyond those of antitumor drugs. Physiological pathways of immune cell extravasation depend on a multistep cascade of events involving tethering, rolling, firm adhesion, and migration. These steps are mediated by distinct adhesion molecules and activation pathways (Springer, 1994); however, adhesion molecules are often downregulated on tumor endothelial cells, a phenomenon defined as endothelial cell anergy (Piali et al., 1995). This impairs the entry of immune cells into tumor sites. In order to enhance tumor infiltration of immune cells, promoter

REFERENCES

- Beyer, I., Cao, H., Persson, J., Song, H., Richter, M., Feng, Q., et al. (2012). Co-administration of epithelial junction opener JO-1 improves the efficacy and safety of chemotherapeutic drugs. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 18, 3340–3351. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-11-3213
- Beyer, I., van Rensburg, R., Strauss, R., Li, Z. Y., Wang, H., Persson, J., et al. (2011). Epithelial junction opener JO-1 improves monoclonal antibody therapy of cancer. *Cancer Res.* 71, 7080–7090. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472. CAN-11-2009
- Calcinotto, A., Grioni, M., Jachetti, E., Curnis, F., Mondino, A., Parmiani, G., et al. (2012). Targeting TNF-α to neoangiogenic vessels enhances lymphocyte infiltration in tumors and increases the therapeutic potential of immunotherapy. *J. Immunol.* 188, 2687– 2694. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1101877
- Corti, A., and Marcucci, F. (1998). Tumour necrosis factor: strategies for improving the therapeutic index. *J. Drug Target.* 5, 403–413. doi: 10.3109/10611869808997869
- Curnis, F., Sacchi, A., Borgna, L., Magni, F., Gasparri, A., and Corti, A. (2000). Enhancement of tumor necrosis factor α antitumor immunotherapeutic properties by targeted delivery to aminopeptidase N (CD13). *Nat. Biotechnol.* 18, 1185–1190. doi: 10.1038/81183
- Curnis, F., Sacchi, A., and Corti, A. (2002). Improving chemotherapeutic drug penetration in tumors by vascular targeting and barrier alteration. *J. Clin. Invest.* 110, 475–482. doi: 10.1172/ JCI200215223
- Ganss, R., and Hanahan, D. (1998). Tumor microenvironment can restrict the effectiveness of activated

antitumor lymphocytes. *Cancer Res.* 58, 4673–4681.

- Hodi, F. S., O'Day, S. J., McDermott, D. F., Weber, R. W., Sosman, J. A., Haanen, J. B., et al. (2010). Improved survival with ipilimumab in patients with metastatic melanoma. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 363, 711–723. doi: 10.1056/ NEJMoa1003466
- Hurwitz, H., Fehrenbacher, L., Novotny, W., Cartwright, T., Hainsworth, J., Heim, W., et al. (2004). Bevacizumab plus irinotecan, fluorouracil, and leucovorin for metastatic colorectal cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 350, 2335–2342. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa032691
- Jain, R. K. (2005). Normalization of tumor vasculature: an emerging concept in antiangiogenic therapy. *Science* 307, 58–62. doi: 10.1126/science.1104819
- Lynch, T. J., Bondarenko, I., Luft, A., Serwatowski, P., Barlesi, F., Chacko, R., et al. (2012). Ipilimumab in combination with paclitaxel and carboplatin as first-line treatment in stage IIIB/ IV non-small-cell lung cancer: results from a randomized, double-blind, multicenter phase II study. J. Clin. Oncol. 30, 2046–2054. doi: 10.1200/ JCO.2011.38.4032
- Marcucci, F., Bellone, M., Rumio, C., and Corti, A. (2013). Approaches to improve tumor accumulation and interactions between monoclonal antibodies and immune cells. *MAbs* 5, 36–46. doi: 10.4161/mabs.22775
- Marcucci, F., and Corti, A. (2012a). How to improve exposure of tumor cells to drugs – promoter drugs increase tumor uptake and penetration of effector drugs. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 64, 53–68. doi: 10.1016/j.addr.2011.09.007
- Marcucci, F., and Corti, A. (2012b). Improving drug penetration to curb tumor drug resistance. *Drug Discov*.

drugs may be required that induce a local inflammatory reaction. This leads to up-regulation of adhesion receptors that are able to attach immune cells to vessel walls and enable their penetration into tumors. Preliminary studies suggest that certain promoter drugs may achieve this goal (Calcinotto et al., 2012).

Promoter drugs that improve tumor delivery of chemotherapeutics and antitumor antibodies are likely to become a clinical reality in forthcoming years. In addition, new possibilities are emerging to enhance the entrance of therapeutic agents into tumors. For example, recent results suggest that promoter drugs may be useful also for improving infiltration of immune cells into tumors. This may increase the antitumor effects of a broad range of immune-based therapeutics, including immune-regulatory antibodies, antibodies that engage cytotoxic immune cells, and cancer vaccines.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by Associazione Italiana per la Ricerca sul Cancro (AIRC 9965 and 9180) of Italy.

Today 17, 1139–1147. doi: 10.1016/j. drudis.2012.06.004

- Moschetta, M., Pretto, F., Berndt, A., Galler, K., Richter, P., Bassi, A., et al. (2012). Paclitaxel enhances therapeutic efficacy of the F8-IL2 immunocytokine to EDA-fibronectin-positive metastatic human melanoma xenografts. *Cancer Res.* 72, 1814–1824. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-11-1919
- Nagamitsu, A., Greish, K., and Maeda, H. (2009). Elevating blood pressure as a strategy to increase tumor-targeted delivery of macromolecular drug SMANCS: cases of advanced solid tumors. Jpn. J. Clin. Oncol. 39, 756– 766. doi: 10.1093/jjco/hyp074
- Padera, T. P., Stoll, B. R., Tooredman, J. B., Capen, D., di Tomaso, E., and Jain, R. K. (2004). Pathology: cancer cells compress intratumour vessels. *Nature* 427, 695. doi: 10.1038/427695a
- Piali, L., Fichtel, A., Terpe, H.-J., Imhof, B. A., and Gisler, R. H. (1995). Endothelial vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 expression is suppressed by melanoma and carcinoma. *J. Exp. Med.* 181, 811–816. doi: 10.1084/ jem.181.2.811
- Provenzano, P. P., Cuevas, C., Chang, A. E., Goel, V. K., Von Hoff, D. D., and Hingorani, S. R. (2012). Enzymatic targeting of the stroma ablates physical barriers to treatment of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Cell* 21, 418–429. doi:10.1016/j. ccr.2012.01.007
- Sacchi, A., Gasparri, A., Gallo-Stampino, C., Toma, S., Curnis, F., and Corti, A. (2006). Synergistic antitumor activity of cisplatin, paclitaxel, and gemcitabine with tumor vasculature-targeted tumor necrosis factor-*α. Clin. Cancer Res.* 2, 175–182. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-05-1147

- Sen, A., Capitano, M. L., Spernyak, J. A., Schueckler, J. T., Thomas, S., Singh, A. K., et al. (2011). Mild elevation of body temperature reduces tumor interstitial fluid pressure and hypoxia and enhances efficacy of radiotherapy in murine tumor models. *Cancer Res.* 71, 3872–3880. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472. CAN-10-4482
- Springer, T. A. (1994). Traffic signals for lymphocyte recirculation and leukocyte emigration: the multistep paradigm. *Cell* 76, 301–314. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(94)90337-9
- Topalian, S. L., Hodi, F. S., Brahmer, J. R., Gettinger, S. N., Smith, D. C., McDermott, D. F., et al. (2012). Safety, activity, and immune correlates of anti-PD-1 antibody in cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 366, 2443–2454. doi: 10.1056/ NEJMoa1200690
- Trédan, O., Galmarini, C. M., Patel, K., and Tannock, I. F. (2007). Drug resistance and the solid tumor microenvironment. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 99, 1441– 1454. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djm135
- Van der Veldt, A. A. M., Lubberink, M., Bahce, I., Walraven, M., de Boer, M. P., Greuter, H. N. J. M., et al. (2012). Rapid decrease in delivery of chemotherapy to tumors after anti-VEGF therapy: implications for scheduling of antiangiogenic drugs. *Cancer Cell* 21, 82–91. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2011.11.023
- Wang, H., Li, Z.-Y., Liu, Y., Persson, J., Beyer, I., Möller, T., et al. (2011). Desmoglein 2 is a receptor for adenovirus serotypes 3, 7, 11 and 14. *Nat. Med.* 17, 96–105. doi: 10.1038/ nm.2270
- Watson, K. D., Lai, C.-Y., Qin, S., Kruse, D. E., Lin, Y.-C., Seo, J. W., et al. (2012). Ultrasound increases nanoparticle delivery by reducing intratumoral pressure and increasing transport in

epithelial and epithelial-mesenchymal transition tumors. *Cancer Res.* 72, 1485–1493. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472. CAN-11-3232

Willett, C. G., Boucher, Y., di Tomaso, E., Duda, D. G., Munn, L. L., Tong, R. T., et al. (2004). Direct evidence that the VEGF-specific antibody bevacizumab has antivascular effects in human rectal cancer. *Nat. Med.* 10, 145–147. doi: 10.1038/nm988

Received: 22 May 2013; accepted: 05 June 2013; published online: 18 June 2013. Citation: Marcucci F, Berenson R and Corti A (2013) Improving drug uptake and penetration into tumors: current and forthcoming opportunities. Front. Oncol. **3**:161. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2013.00161 This article was submitted to Frontiers in Pharmacology of Anti-Cancer Drugs, a specialty of Frontiers in Oncology. Copyright © 2013 Marcucci, Berenson and Corti. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in other forums, provided the original authors and source are credited and subject to any copyright notices concerning any third-party graphics etc.