



Commentary: Lessons from the Analysis of Non-human Primates for Understanding Human Aging and Neurodegenerative Diseases

Andre Menache^{1*} and Anne Beuter²

¹Antidote Europe, Perpignan, France, ²Bordeaux Polytechnic Institute, Bordeaux, France

Keywords: non-human primate, Parkinson's disease, animal model, prediction, neuromodulation

A commentary on

Lessons from the analysis of nonhuman primates for understanding human aging and neurodegenerative diseases

by Verdier J, Acquatella I, Lautier C, Devau G, Trouche S, Lasbleiz C, et al. *Front Neurosci* (2015) 9:64. doi:10.3389/fnins.2015.00064

We agree with Verdier et al. (2015) that the use of animals may be scientifically viable in some areas of research, but we feel that the animal model is not sufficiently evidence-based to be considered as a valid predictive modality for the study of human disease. Our view is supported by empirical evidence (the lack of predictive ability of preclinical animal tests in the field of regulatory toxicology), by complexity theory (the inability of one evolved complex system to predict the response of a different evolved complex system to a given substance or stimulus) and by evolutionary biology (humans and other mammals share many of the same genes, but gene regulation can vary widely between different mammalian species) (Shanks and Greek, 2009; Godlee, 2014).

Therefore, we view as somewhat contradictory some of the arguments presented in relation to the following concluding remark proposed by the authors: "NHPs should only be used when no other suitable method is available to fill the gap of our knowledge" (page 4). For example, in the section entitled "The MPTP (1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,5,6-tetrahydropyridine) NHP models of neurodegeneration and the renaissance of Parkinson's disease (PD) research," the authors write: "Importantly, the MPTP NHP models allowed the identification of the neural circuits affected in Parkinson's disease, specifically the role of excessive activity in the subthalamic nuclei (Bergman et al., 1990), leading to the development of ablative procedures (Baron et al., 2002) and of deep-brain stimulation (DBS) of these nuclei (DeLong and Benabid, 2014). These latter experiments (*sic*) would not have been developed without knowing the physiology of the basal ganglia in non-human primates (Baron et al., 2002)."

The MPTP primate model of PD proposed by Burns et al. (1983) followed the observation of a case of parkinsonism occurring after the self-administration by a 23-year-old man of an illicit synthetic drug [1-methyl-4-phenyl-4-propionoxy-piperidine (MPPP)]. In parallel, Langston et al. (1983) reported marked parkinsonism in four persons after using this drug intravenously. It was observed that this drug damaged the aminergic neurons of the substantia nigra.

Following this discovery, numerous studies based on the MPTP primate model were published over the last 25 years using various administration regimens. However, this model is not without limitations as indicated by Fox and Brotchie (2010) (a reference cited by the authors), who write that "Despite these obvious successes, limitations still exist in the model, particularly when considering underlying mechanisms of disease progression; thus, it appears difficult to reliably use acute toxin administration to replicate a chronic progressive disorder and provide consistent evidence

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Agustin Ibanez,
Universidad Diego Portales, Chile

Reviewed by:

Arjan Blokland,
Maastricht University, Netherlands
Carlos Barcia,
Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona,
Spain

*Correspondence:

Andre Menache
andre.menache@gmail.com

Received: 08 October 2015

Accepted: 19 January 2016

Published: 02 February 2016

Citation:

Menache A and Beuter A (2016)
Commentary: Lessons from the
Analysis of Non-human Primates for
Understanding Human Aging and
Neurodegenerative Diseases.
Front. Hum. Neurosci. 10:33.
doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2016.00033

of Lewy-like bodies.” Indeed as indicated by Halliday et al. (2009), inclusion of Lewy body-like formation is not prevalent in the MPTP monkey model. However, treatment of the NHP with L-DOPA induced involuntary movements resembling the phenomenology of human dyskinesias (Johnston and Fox, 2015).

Furthermore, long before the MPTP model existed, basic concepts of deep-brain stimulation had been developed in the 1960s. Jasper (1966) used microelectrodes to record electrical activity from single nerve fibers in the thalamus of patients with PD and showed that stimulation of the junction of the ventralis lateralis and the ventralis posterior nuclei of the thalamus stopped their tremor. Early surgical interventions for PD in human patients were attempted throughout the twentieth century by performing extirpations, clipping or lesions in multiple locations from the cortex to the spinal cord. Later, the number of surgeries decreased after L-DOPA became available at the end of the 60s. This number started to increase again after the presence of severe side effects associated with L-DOPA administration was reported. However, the proposed surgeries used reversible chronic electrical stimulation of various brain nuclei. Benabid et al. (1987) reported tremor reduction using deep-brain stimulation of the ventrointermediate nucleus of the thalamus in patients with PD. This report was preceded by the work of Bechtereva et al. (1975), and thus several years before the first MPTP study.

More recently, Drouot et al. (2004) observed clinical improvements in akinesia and bradykinesia following electrical stimulation of the motor cortex of baboons, along with a normalization of firing rates and synchronization in the subthalamic nucleus and internal globus pallidus. The following clinical trial in patients with PD (<http://clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT00159172>) did not demonstrate similar improvements and was interrupted. So, it is not clear to us what the authors are referring to when they refer to a “renaissance of Parkinson’s disease research.”

REFERENCES

- Baron, M. S., Wichmann, T., Ma, D., and DeLong, M. R. (2002). Effects of transient focal inactivation of the basal ganglia in Parkinsonian primates. *J. Neurosci.* 22, 592–599.
- Bechtereva, N. P., Bondartchuk, A. N., Smirnov, V. M., Meliutcheva, L. A., and Shandurina, A. N. (1975). Method of electrostimulation of the deep brain structures in treatment of some chronic diseases. *Confin. Neurol.* 37, 136–140. doi:10.1159/000102727
- Benabid, A. L., Pollak, P., Louveau, A., Henry, S., and de Rougement, J. (1987). Combined (thalamotomy and stimulation) stereotactic surgery of the Vim thalamic nucleus for bilateral Parkinson’s disease. *Appl. Neurophysiol.* 50, 344–346.
- Bergman, H., Wichmann, T., and DeLong, M. R. (1990). Reversal of experimental parkinsonism by lesions of the subthalamic nucleus. *Science* 249, 1436–1438.
- Burns, R. S., Chiueh, C. C., Markey, S. P., Ebert, M. H., Jakobowitz, D. M., and Kopin, I. J. (1983). A primate model of parkinsonism: selective destruction of dopaminergic neurons in the pars compacta of the substantia nigra. *PNAS* 80, 4546. doi:10.1073/pnas.80.14.4546
- DeLong, M. R., and Benabid, A.-L. (2014). Discovery of high-frequency deep brain stimulation for treatment of Parkinson disease: 2014 lasker award. *J. Am. Med. Assoc.* 312, 1093–1094.
- Drouot, X., Oshino, S., Jarraya, B., Besret, L., Kishima, H., Remy, P., et al. (2004). Functional recovery in a primate model of Parkinson’s disease following motor cortex stimulation. *Neuron* 44, 769–778. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2004.11.023
- Fox, S. H., and Brotchie, J. M. (2010). The MPTP-lesioned non-human models of Parkinson’s disease. Past, present and future. *Prog. Brain Res.* 184, 133–157. doi:10.1016/S0079-6123(10)84007-5
- Godlee, F. (2014). How predictive and productive is animal research? *BMJ* 2014, g3719. doi:10.1136/bmj.g3719
- Halliday, G., Herrero, M. T., Murphy, K., McCann, H., Ros-Bernal, F., Barcia, C., et al. (2009). No Lewy pathology in monkeys with over 10 years of severe MPTP parkinsonism. *Mov. Disord.* 24, 1519–1523. doi:10.1002/mds.22481
- Jasper, H. H. (1966). Recording from microelectrodes in stereotactic surgery for Parkinson’s disease. *J. Neurosurg.* 24, 219–221.
- Johnston, T. M., and Fox, S. H. (2015). Symptomatic models of Parkinson’s disease and L-DOPA-induced dyskinesia in non-human primates. *Curr. Top. Behav. Neurosci.* 22, 221–235. doi:10.1007/7854_2014_352
- Langston, J. W., Ballard, P., Tetrud, J. W., and Irwin, I. (1983). Chronic parkinsonism in humans due to a product of meperidine-analog synthesis. *Science* 219, 979–980. doi:10.1126/science.6823561
- Little, S., Pogossyan, A., Neal, S., Zavala, B., Zrinzo, L., Hariz, M., et al. (2013). Adaptive deep brain stimulation in advanced Parkinson disease. *Ann. Neurol.* 74, 449–457. doi:10.1002/ana.23951
- McIntyre, C. C., Chaturvedi, A., Shamir, R. R., and Lempka, S. F. (2015). Engineering the next generation of clinical deep brain stimulation technology. *Brain Stimulat.* 8, 21–26. doi:10.1016/j.brs.2014.07.039
- Modolo, J., Legros, A., Thomas, A. W., and Beuter, A. (2010). Model-driven therapeutic treatment of neurological disorders: reshaping brain rhythms with neuromodulation. *Interface Focus* 1, 61–74. doi:10.1098/refs.2010.0509
- Shanks, N., and Greek, R. (2009). *Animal Models in Light of Evolution*. Boca Raton, FL: Brown Walker.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AM and AB contributed equally to the commentary.

Verdier, J., Acquatella, I., Lautier, C., Devau, G., Trouche, S., Lasbleiz, C., et al. (2015). Lessons from the analysis of nonhuman primates for understanding human aging and neurodegenerative diseases. *Front. Neurosci.* 9:64. doi:10.3389/fnins.2015.00064

Conflict of Interest Statement: Andre Menache receives remuneration from Antidote Europe. Anne Beuter has no conflict of interest to declare.

Copyright © 2016 Menache and Beuter. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.