Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Kunwei Wu, National Institutes of Health, United States

REVIEWED BY Ping Sun, University of Pittsburgh, United States Can Li,

National Institutes of Health, United States *CORRESPONDENCE

Xuefang S. Ren ⊠ xuefang.ren@uth.tmc.edu RECEIVED 16 August 2023

ACCEPTED 09 October 2023 PUBLISHED 19 October 2023

CITATION

Payne CT, Tabassum S, Wu S, Hu H, Gusdon AM, Choi HA and Ren XS (2023) Role of microRNA-34a in blood-brain barrier permeability and mitochondrial function in ischemic stroke. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 17:1278334. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2023.1278334

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Payne, Tabassum, Wu, Hu, Gusdon, Choi and Ren. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Role of microRNA-34a in bloodbrain barrier permeability and mitochondrial function in ischemic stroke

Cole T. Payne, Sidra Tabassum, Silin Wu, Heng Hu, Aaron M. Gusdon, Huimahn A. Choi and Xuefang S. Ren*

Division of Neurocritical Care, Department of Neurosurgery, McGovern School of Medicine, University of Texas Health Science Center, Houston, TX, United States

Over the past decade, there has been an uptick in the number of studies conducting research on the role of microRNA (miRNA) molecules in stroke. Among these molecules, miR-34a has emerged as a significant player, as its levels have been observed to exhibit a substantial rise following ischemic events. Elevated levels of miR-34a have been found to have multiple effects, including the modulation of inflammatory molecules involved in the post-stroke recovery process, as well as negative effects on the blood-brain barrier (BBB) permeability. Interestingly, the increase of miR-34a appears to increase BBB permeability post stroke, through the negative effect on mitochondrial function. The strength of mitochondrial function is crucial for limiting para-cellular permeability and maintaining the structural integrity of the BBB. Furthermore, the activation of ischemic repair mechanisms and the reduction of ischemic event damage depend on healthy mitochondrial activity. This review aims to emphasize the involvement of miR-34a in ischemic stroke, specifically its interaction with mitochondrial genes in cerebrovascular endothelial cells, the effect on mitochondrial function, and lastly its regulatory role in BBB permeability. A comprehensive understanding of the role of miR-34a in maintaining BBB integrity and its contribution to the pathogenesis of stroke holds significant value in establishing a foundation for the development of future therapeutics and diagnostic markers.

KEYWORDS

miR-34a, ischemic stroke, mitochondria, BBB permeability, microvascular endothelial cells

1. Introduction

Stroke is the second leading cause of mortality worldwide and the fifth leading cause in the United States (George et al., 2017). In 2019, there were 101.5 million recorded cases worldwide, with 77.2 million classified as acute ischemic strokes (Virani et al., 2021). Ischemic strokes were responsible for nearly 87% of all stroke cases in the United States (Hasan et al., 2021). Ischemic stroke is a neurological disorder characterized by cessation of blood flow to the brain as a result of clot formation in cerebral blood vessels. This blockage results in the death of neurons due to insufficient oxygen and nutrient supply. Due to the brain being the most metabolically demanding organ in the human body, loss of blood flow to the brain causes significant cognitive impairment, global metabolic process issues, and potentially death. Key events leading to stroke pathology include inflammation, cytokine-mediated toxicity, complement activation, tissue

swelling, impairment of the blood-brain barrier (BBB), and free radical toxicity (Petraglia et al., 1987; Kuriakose and Xiao, 2020).

Ischemic stroke is closely associated with the disruption the BBB. Loss of the integrity of this barrier is responsible for neuronal tissue damage from influx of cytotoxic substances, brain edema, and oxidative damage. With a disrupted BBB, exogenous material is able to flood the brain via transcellular and paracellular routes. Due to the brains high rate of oxidative metabolism and elevated levels of polyunsaturated lipids, the brain is relatively vulnerable to oxidative damage (Kobeissy, 2015). Oxidative damage in stroke is multifaceted, involving the formation of reactive oxygen species (e.g., superoxide radicals) and increased production of nitric oxide following the initial BBB permeability increase. This damage persists even during reperfusion due to continued reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation. Extensive research has been dedicated to understanding BBB permeability in stroke outcomes, as it plays a significant role in stroke pathology. Hence, to investigate the BBB mechanisms in stroke is of critical importance since the post-ischemic increase in BBB permeability is what causes much of the damage associated with stroke.

Over the years, significant progress has been made in understanding the intricate relationship between stroke and the damage to the blood-brain barrier (BBB) (Geng et al., 2013). Stroke, particularly ischemic stroke, occurs when blood supply to a part of the brain is interrupted, leading to a shortage of oxygen and nutrients, which triggers a series of complex events. Oxidative damage is a multifaceted process in stroke (Kobeissy, 2015). It involves the generation of ROS like superoxide radicals, which cause oxidative stress and contribute to cellular injury (Niatsetskaya et al., 2012; Geng et al., 2013). The initial increase in BBB permeability triggers the release of nitric oxide, a signaling molecule, which further intensifies the oxidative stress. This oxidative insult leads to neuronal cell death, inflammation, and contributes to the expansion of brain injury during and after the stroke event (Nash and Ahmed, 2015). The ongoing research on BBB disruption in stroke is shedding light on novel therapeutic avenues. Strategies aimed at protecting and repairing the BBB, reducing oxidative stress, and modulating inflammation are being explored. Researchers are investigating various approaches, such as targeting specific molecular pathways involved in BBB integrity and developing innovative drug delivery methods that can selectively reach the brain. Furthermore, advancements in neuroimaging techniques have allowed for better visualization and understanding of BBB disruption in stroke patients (Kakkar et al., 2021; Wlodarczyk et al., 2022). Techniques like contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and computed tomography (CT) scans provide insights into the extent of BBB damage, aiding in diagnosis and treatment planning (Kakkar et al., 2021; Lim et al., 2021).

The progress in stroke treatment has witnessed remarkable developments, transformed the landscape of care and improving outcomes for patients. While stroke remains a significant health challenge, particularly due to its potential for severe disability and mortality, advancements in various aspects of treatment have revolutionized the way strokes are managed. Despite many years of research, tissue plasminogen activator (tPA) remains the only FDA-approved therapy for stroke. This medicine works by dissolving clots in the brain, thereby mitigating the degree of oxygen deprivation during an ischemic event (Liu et al., 2018). Despite this, tPA is only effective in large artery occlusions and has a narrow window of

effectiveness, making it time sensitive. Furthermore, its therapeutic index is relatively low due to the associated risks of side effects, such as exacerbation of brain edema and hemorrhage (Zhao et al., 2017). Treatment modalities during the second injury phase of stroke, which occurs within hours and days following the ischemic event, remain debatable and subject to clinical considerations at individual trauma centers (Ghajar, 2000; Shlosberg et al., 2010). More recently mechanical removal of brain clots combined with tPA thrombolysis is becoming a more common approach to treating stroke (Sacco et al., 2007; Barreto, 2011). This groundbreaking procedure involves physically removing the clot causing the stroke (Barreto, 2011). It is highly effective for large vessel occlusions and has demonstrated superior outcomes when combined with tPA treatment. Mechanical thrombectomy is often performed using minimally invasive techniques, such as threading a catheter through blood vessels to the site of the clot. This procedure has extended the treatment window significantly, offering hope to patients who might have been previously ineligible for intervention (Sacco et al., 2007). Nonetheless, further research is necessary to develop viable, and safe treatments for individuals that have experiences an ischemic event.

Given the substantial body of research demonstrating that many of the detrimental effects of stroke are caused by increased BBB permeability, the BBB is being the focus of many labs as a source of future treatments and medicines. Numerous studies on this process points to the role microRNA (miR/miRNAs) in the loss of BBB integrity (Ma et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021). MiRNAs are small endogenous non-coding RNA molecules (20-25 nucleotides) that regulate gene expression by binding to a 3' untranslated region (UTR) on gene transcripts (Li et al., 2021). Studies have previously found associations between the levels of specific miRNA and disease processes, including stroke. This review is focused on one specific miRNA, miR-34a which is known to be associated with BBB disruption and the pathophysiology of the stroke (Ren et al., 2019; Hone et al., 2020). While miR-34a is being reviewed in this paper, a more comprehensive understanding of association between this miRNA and stroke is required because the clinical significance of these molecules due to their potential as diagnostic markers and therapeutic targets.

2. The miRNAs

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are important regulators of gene expression that are found throughout the body. MiRNAs usually bind to the 3' untranslated region of target mRNAs to suppress translation, and mRNA degradation. These molecules also have been found to bind to the 5' UTR as well as to upregulate the translation process (O'Brien et al., 2018; Li et al., 2021). The generation of these molecules is described in two mechanisms which are canonical and non-canonical pathways. The canonical pathway of miRNA generation involves pri-miRNA that is processed within the nucleus and exported as a pre-miRNA duplex which is bound to miRNA- induced silencing complex (miRISC). The noncanonical pathway involves small hairpin RNA (shRNA) that are cleaved and exported to the cytoplasm where they are further processed and cleaved to miRISC. Both pathways lead to a functional miRISC complex, which binds to target miRNAs to induce translational inhibition (Hayder et al., 2018; O'Brien et al., 2018). As such, the interaction of miRNA and its target genes are very

complex, resulting in a single miRNA having numerous potential effects throughout different portions of the body. These molecules have been estimated to control about 60% of protein coding genes in the human genome (Friedman et al., 2009) and an established function of these molecules has already been revealed through their role in cell differentiation, development and a variety of different metabolic processes (Barthels and Das, 2020). As Figure 1 depicts, the complexity of these molecules varies depending on where they bind to target mRNA, as well as the pathway in which they are generated, with the end result being a highly modifiable molecule. Due to the significant therapeutic potential and extensive involvement these molecules in a variety of disease processes, miRNA therapeutics is a major area of focus for many labs. Established relationships have been found with osteoporosis, diabetic neuropathy, cancer, Alzheimer's, diabetic lymphoma, Parkinson's, and stroke (Chakraborty et al., 2021). Table 1 depicts some of the most common pathologies involving miR-34a.

Since the beginning of this decade, researchers have been examining the function that miRNAs play in stroke, and they have revealed that several different miRNAs either increased or decreased in response to stroke (Sonoda et al., 2019). Researchers have even found that serum miRNA levels may be used to predict the risk of cerebrovascular disease prior to the occurrence of stroke (Sonoda et al., 2019; Kadir et al., 2022). Some of these includes the regulation of neurogenesis (miR-18a; -19a; -124), inflammation (miR-26; 34a; -145; -424a), post-stroke angiogenesis (miR-15a; -210), oxidative stress (miR-145; -424), apoptosis (miR-181a; -592), BBB disruption

(miR-155; -320; -34a), synaptic plasticity (miR-34a; -134; -193a; -326) and excitotoxicity (miR-223) (Bansal et al., 2019). Finding an association between specific miRNA molecules and ischemia events is a common objective for numerous labs studying these molecules in basic science, with the ultimate aim of developing a pharmacological compound that has the potential to inhibit the production of miRNA. Despite the fact that research is moving in the direction of employing these molecules as diagnostic and therapeutic markers for disease, more work has to be done to elucidate the complex processes behind the pathophysiology of stroke, and the even more convoluted role miRNA has in the disease process.

3. Association of miR-34a with ischemic stroke

Previously, it had been determined that miR-34a functions as a tumor suppressor gene by regulating cell expression via a positive feedback loop with p53 (Yamakuchi et al., 2008). The miR-34a gene has been abundantly found in the brain and is transcribed from chromosome 1p36, which is involved in apoptosis, differentiation, development, and cell cycle arrest (Lodygin et al., 2008). Numerous studies have identified a post-stroke increase in miR-34a levels, which may also play a role in the mitochondrial dysfunction seen in the stroke patients (Liang and Lou, 2016; Ren et al., 2019). Furthermore, increased levels of miR-34a have also been demonstrated to make the BBB more permeable by reducing blood cytochrome c (CYC) levels



Disease	Mechanism involving miR-34a	Significance	References
Cancer	miR-34a is closely related to p53, and suspected to act as a tumor suppressor in many different types of cancer	MiR-34a has frequent decreased expression in cancer. Due to its downregulation in various cancers. miR-34a is considered a	Kalfert et al. (2020)
	including breast cancer, thyroid cancer, etc.	potential microRNA therapeutic candidate in cancer treatment.	
Diabetes	miR-34a directly regulates SIRT1, which is a molecule	Upregulation of miR-34a found in the diabetic heart and in the	Fomison-Nurse et al.
	that regulates insulin release in the beta pancreatic cells	circulation from an early stage of the disease. SIRT-1 inhibition by	(2018), Kitada and Koya
	that are damaged in diabetes.	miR-34a increases insulin secretion and adds oxidative stress to	(2013)
		cells in diabetic heart.	
Hypertension	miR-34a levels increase in peripheral blood of patients	Upregulated miR-34a may promote vascular endothelial injury by	Hua et al. (2021)
	with hypertension.	affecting TIGF2 molecules.	
Myocardial	miR-34a plays a role in cardiac aging, and cardiac cell	miR-34a increases in the heart and results in cell death and	Boon et al. (2013)
infarction	damage	fibrosis following acute myocardial infarction. Decreasing	
		production could decrease severity of MI.	
Alzheimer's	miR-34a regulates inflammation and plaque formation in	By modulating M1//M2 macrophage pathway miR-34a regulates	Sarkar et al. (2019)
	individuals with Alzheimer's	inflammation which could contribute to the understanding of the	
		currently unknown role of inflammation has on Alzheimer's.	
Osteoporosis	miR-34a blocks osteoporosis and bone breakdown	By inhibiting osteoclast formation as well as interrupting the	Nobrega et al. (2020)
		TGIF2 pathway, increasing levels of miR-34a is beneficial in the	
		slowing of progression of osteoporosis	

TABLE 1 Summary of diseases associated with miR-34a.

(Bukeirat et al., 2016; Hone et al., 2020). Decreased levels of cytochrome care additionally associated with mitochondrial dysfunction and an increase in reactive oxygen species (ROS) in the area around the stroke. Fan et al. demonstrated that higher levels of miR-34a were associated with lower expression of three electron transport chain (ETC) genes, NDUFS8, COX5b, and ATP5a1 (Fan et al., 2017). These findings suggest increased miR-34a disrupts the ETC which results in increased formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), further contributing to oxidative damage.

Antagonism of miR-34a using gentamycin in an established in vitro stroke cell line showed increased cell viability and protected cells against oxidative damage (Izadi et al., 2023). Increased miR-34a has also been correlated with a decrease in brain derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) (Zhu et al., 2021). BDNF has been shown to improve the ability of the brain to repair after a stroke (Liu et al., 2020). The inverse relationship that miR-34a has with BDNF contributes to the finding that miR-34a increases post-stroke are deleterious to the healing process (Abdolahi et al., 2022). MiR-34a also interacts with NF-KB, STAT1, and CREB transcription factors, all of which have previously been correlated positively with inflammation (Li et al., 2012; Sarkar et al., 2016; Ren et al., 2019). It has been shown that miR-34a also induces complement activation, disrupts innate immune signaling and dysregulates the expression of several key phosphoproteins involved in neurotropism and synaptic signaling (Chua and Tang, 2019; Mancha et al., 2020). MiR-34a knockdown was shown to reduce infarct size, and alleviated neurons in mice that were exposed to cerebral ischemia reperfusion (Wang et al., 2019), suggesting that miR-34a plays a negative role post ischemia reperfusion process. MiR-34a may exert its effects by controlling the regulation of myeloblastosis transcription factor (MYB) gene that is activated by apoptotic stimuli (Zauli et al., 2011; Choi et al., 2016). More research is warranted to explore the exact mechanism since marked increase in apoptosis near cerebral vascular events including stroke is a hallmark of the disease. Levels of miRNA-34a were found to be elevated in acute ischemic stroke patient samples and a negative association was found with miRNA-34a levels and NIHSS scores (Liang and Lou, 2016). A growing body of evidence also points to antagonism of miR-34a levels *in vivo* as a factor to improve stroke outcomes. The studies focus on miR-34a in disease models have been summarized in Table 2. In summary, miRNA-34a has a wide range of diverse effects that all contribute to the pathogenesis of stroke. Although, there are various proposed theories, as discussed above, it seems evident that there are still certain components in the pathway between miR-34a and stroke that remains to be clarified. Further solidifying the importance of the role miR-34a has in stroke.

3.1. miR-34a increases BBB permeability

Despite multiple circulating theories on the mechanism of miR-34a to worsen stroke outcomes, one of the most supported is its interaction with the BBB. The BBB maintains brain homeostasis by providing a tight, highly controlled barrier of entry via cerebrovascular endothelial cells (CECs). This provides the brain immune privilege of the CNS, sequestering it off from the rest of the body's circulation (Banks, 2015). Stroke disrupts this barrier and increases vascular permeability in a two-step- fashion. First, in early reperfusion, endothelial transcytosis is the leading factor, and in the second phase, tight endothelial junctions start to give way (Bernardo-Castro et al., 2020; Dong et al., 2020). Tight junction protein complexes are dynamic complexes that can change in response to events such as stroke (Abdullahi et al., 2018). The tight junctions are made up of occludin and claudin proteins as well as adhesion junctions and accessory proteins. Tight junctions are regulated physiologically by protein modification, relocation, and degradation (Jiang et al., 2018).

Stroke-related studies have described that BBB opens at 6 h posttMCAO and closed at 24 h (Hone et al., 2018), while miR-34a levels also shown significantly elevated in brain ischemic hemispheres at 6 h and 24 h post-stroke reperfusion (Ren et al., 2019). This shows strong

TABLE 2 Role of miR-34a in stroke pathogenesis.

Experimental model	Expression levels of miR-34a	Study type	Mechanism	Significance	References
Cerebrovascular endothelial cells (CECs)	Increased	In-vitro	 Increased BBB permeability. Disrupted tight junction protein ZO-1 Decreased mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation Reduced ATP production Reduced ATP production Decreased cytochrome c levels 	- Foundational study for the role of miR-34a in stroke pathogenesis.	Bukeirat et al. (2016)
Rat model (MCAO)	Increased	in vivo	 Brain tissue injury Decreased Nissl's bodies Increased infarction level Increased apoptosis Decreased platelet-derived neurotrophic factor (PDNF) Decreased Notch1/hypoxia-inducible factor-1α (HIF-1α) signaling pathway 	miR-34a knockdown could alleviate brain tissue injury and neuronal apoptosis by activating the Notch1/ HIF-1α signaling pathway	Wang et al. (2019)
Murine model (tMCAO)	Increased	In-vivo In- vitro	 Increased miR-34a levels in pCECs at the time of BBB opening Knockout of miR-34a Reduced BBB permeability Alleviated tight junction disruption Improved stroke outcomes Purified pCECs from miR34a^{-/-} shows interaction with cytochrome c 	Knockout of miR-34a improved BBB disruption and stroke outcomes.	Hone et al., (2020)
Rat PC12 cells with miR-34a antagonist (Gentamycin)	Decreased	in vitro	 Decreased levels of miR-34a, Increased levels of caspase 3, SIRT1 p53. Reduced apoptosis 	 Gentamycin can inhibit miRNA biogenesis pathway Gentamycin enhanced cell viability and ameliorated cell death in PC12 model of stroke 	Izadi et al. (2023)
Human ischemic stroke patients Rat model (MCAO)	Increased	Clinical in-vivo	 Increased expression of miRNA-34a-5p in plasma Negative correlation between miRNA-34a-5p and NIHSS scores and infarct volume Increased expression of miR-34a both in blood and brain 	Potential regulatory role of miRNA-34a-5p in acute ischemic stroke	Liang and Lou (2016)

association of miR-34a with BBB permeability post- stroke. This phenomenon was further verified by assessing miR-34a levels in purified pCECs of experimental stroke mice. Surprisingly, elevated miR-34a was most prominent in pCECs from ipsilateral hemispheres at 6h post-tMCAO, consistent with the BBB opening time point (Hone et al., 2020). This data reveals the potentially important regulatory effects of miR-34a in BBB disruption during the early phase of stroke reperfusion.

Another study using *in vivo* miR-34a^{-/-} mice model suggest that the increases in levels of miR-34a increases the BBB permeability by decreasing expression of occludin, claudin-5 and zonula occludens-1 (Hone et al., 2020). Whereas overexpression of miR-34a increases BBB permeability and compromises BBB tight junctions (Bukeirat et al., 2016; Sarvari et al., 2020). However, bioinformatic analysis suggest that none of previously mentioned tight junctions are the direct targets of miR-34a. The levels of miR-34a increase most significantly 6 h after stroke which corresponds with the well documented BBB opening time of 6 h that has been noted across the literature on stroke (Merali et al., 2017). Despite the finding that tight junction permeability increases with increased levels of miR-34a the exact mechanism is yet to be revealed.

Multiple investigations have demonstrated that reduced intracellular calcium downregulates mitochondrial metabolism, which in turn results in decreased mitochondrial function (Song et al., 2022). Additionally, it has also been shown that increased intracellular calcium is one of the primary early events in ischemic stroke (Chung et al., 2015). During ischemic stroke, cells experience intracellular calcium overload due to depletion of ATP and subsequent ion pump dysfunction. BBB permeability increase has been seen with increased tight junction malfunction (Stuart et al., 1996), as well as decreased calcium levels causing changes in cellular localization of occluding and zonula occluden actin binding (Ye et al., 1999). Both findings indicate that preserving a healthy calcium concentration balance is crucial for maintaining the integrity of BBB. Figure 2 shows the current understanding of the role miR-34a has in BBB permeability post-stroke. MiR-34a appears to also operate as a regulator of storeoperated calcium entry (SOCE) and calcineurin signaling. Furthermore, increased levels of miR-34a caused a depletion of endoplasmic reticulum calcium content and a decreased calcium influx through calcium mediated release calcium channels (Diener et al., 2018), however, it remains to be determined if miR-34a regulates calcium transport pathway in stroke. The knowledge of miR-34a and its involvement in stroke stops at the interaction the molecule has with the BBB more specifically endothelial cells and tight junctions. As BBB is also composed by astroglia, pericytes, and junctional complexes Therefore, more in-depth research is needed to elucidate miR-34a interaction with other cells. This will provide a more conclusive understanding of the role miR-34a has with stroke.

Brain capillary endothelial cells have a mitochondrial density that is two times denser than systemic capillaries (Banks, 2015). This high mitochondrial density supplies the brain's high energy demand, which consumes around 20% of the total oxygen consumption in the body at any point in time (Fantin et al., 2010). Cytochrome c (CYC) is a small heme protein that is found on the inner membrane of mitochondria whose function is to transfer electrons between the third and fourth enzyme complexes for energy generation. CYC also play a crucial role in apoptosis and when a cell receives an apoptotic stimulus, it is released into the cytosol. It allosterically activates apoptosis-protease activating factor 1 which is required for the maturation of caspase-9 and caspase-3 (Benchoua et al., 2001; Jemmerson et al., 2005). These caspase proteins both have been identified as key molecules in modulation of ischemic stroke. The reports from our group showed that miR-34a not only increases the BBB permeability but also reduces the mitochondrial functions by targeting CYC and negatively impacts the ischemic stroke outcome (Bukeirat et al., 2016). In vitro study shows that overexpression of increasing concentration of miR-34a correlates with reduction of mitochondrial respiration and level of ATP production in CECs (Bukeirat et al., 2016). Due to the double effect that CYC has in oxidative phosphorylation and apoptosis we believe that miR-34a mediated decrease of CYC is a crucial step in the progression of ischemic stroke. Therefore, reducing the amount of miR-34a and subsequent BBB damage would be a significant milestone in the treatment of stroke.

It is believed that miR-34a has a plethora of oxidative phosphorylation and glycolysis genes as its target. Some of the oxidative phosphorylation genes involved with miR-34a produce proteins such as NDUFC2, SDHC, and COX 10. Examples of glycolysis genes involved produce proteins such as H6PD, PFK1, and LDH. These target genes are components of the electron transport chain, and miR-34a acts to decrease protein expression, which has been correlated with severely reduced mitochondrial function (Sarkar et al., 2016). In conclusion, increased levels of miR-34a have been reported post ischemic stroke, and the current hypothesis explaining this increase point toward decreased mitochondrial function and therefore increased BBB permeability that stems from this increase in miR-34a. The exact molecular pathway for this decreased mitochondrial function is not known completely, however, a large list of target genes has been identified, and therefore more work needs to be done to establish the exact mechanism in which miR-34a works by.

3.2. Factors affecting miR-34a levels

While not directly correlated with the disease process of stroke, in individuals that suffer from hypertension, miR-34a also was significantly upregulated due to its target of TIGF2 in vascular endothelial cells. This is still significant when looking at the function of miR-34a because hypertension is a common precursor to stroke. Another factor to look at when discussing miRNA is the effect aging has on the amount of molecule in the body. Research has shown that levels of miRNA change as an individual ages (Noren Hooten et al., 2013). As for miR-34a it has been hypothesized that age dependent changes could have an impact on one's outcome for stroke, however, research has yet to be done on the age-related increase in stroke. Studies have shown that the levels of miR-34a increase in the kidney as one ages (Bai et al., 2011), and that levels of miR-34a also increase in individuals with Alzheimer's (Sarkar et al., 2019). Despite this trend between the two due to the variability of function miRNA has in the body in different cell types and regions of the body, no conclusions can be made on the factor age plays in miR-34a levels, but nonetheless gives researchers a sense of what to look for going forward.

4. miR-34a as a progressive and diagnostic biomarker for ischemic stroke

Traditionally, the diagnosis of stroke mainly depended upon examination by a clinical care provider, and various neuron-imaging techniques (Kisialiou et al., 2012; Whiteley et al., 2012). However, these diagnostic methods are only able to confirm the disease status of patients and do not serve to predict disease. Therefore, there is great need for a reliable and easily detectable circulating biomarker for acute ischemic stroke risk and/or outcome prediction.

With few exceptions, the miRNAs assessed to date increase after stroke. Whether the post-stroke increase in miRNAs is detrimental or beneficial is open to debate. Analyses of the interaction between ischemic pathology and miRNAs has yielded valuable insights into the brain injury progression and toward the development of biomarker panels and novel therapeutic targets. MiR-34a is of great interest because it has essential role in regulating mitochondrial function (Duarte et al., 2014). In neurons, miR-34a targets and reduces numerous glycolysis and OxPhos proteins (Sarkar et al., 2016); whereas in CECs, a more selective suppression of CYC C is seen, but is associated with substantial OxPhos reductions (Bukeirat et al., 2016). Patient-based studies have already reported some alterations in circulatory miR-34a-5p expression was increased in patients that persist for several weeks to months beyond the acute recovery period (Liang and Lou, 2016). The changing expression levels in blood samples was also correlated with brain samples obtained from rat models, which demonstrates the ability of miR-34a-5p as a potential biomarker for acute ischemic stroke (Liang and Lou, 2016). Similarly, we also observed circulatory increases in miR-34a expression in the blood of stroke patients and both plasma and CSF of experimental model of stroke, that further worsen stroke associated preclinical outcomes (Ren et al., 2019). In vitro study suggested that these changes may be driven at least in part by disruptions to blood brain barrier integrity and



post stroke which contributes to weakened BBB function

mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation in endothelial cells. However, methodical genetic manipulation of miR-34a expression substantially impacted stroke-associated preclinical outcomes and lay the groundwork for future investigation of miR-34a as a progressive and diagnostic biomarker and a novel therapeutic target for ischemic stroke.

5. Perspectives and conclusion

The aforementioned research articles have demonstrated a positive correlation between elevated levels of miR-34a and contribution to the development of adverse effects associated with ischemic stroke. These findings strongly indicate that miR-34a not only diminishes expression of multiple tight junction proteins but also compromises the integrity of the BBB, thereby resulting in impaired mitochondrial energy metabolism and cell death. Collectively, these mechanisms exert negative regulation on the outcomes of ischemic events, such as stroke. Stroke affects over fifteen million individuals aged 65 and above annually. This review firstly dove into the biological processes governing miRNA generation and explores the significant impact these molecules exert on the pathogenesis of numerous diseases including stroke. Subsequently, the pertinent data concerning the role of miR-34a in stroke and various proposed mechanisms underlying its involvement were discussed. This section was followed by an in-depth analysis of the specific relationship between miR-34a and BBB permeability, lastly, factors influencing levels of miR-34a were examined.

Due to the substantial surge of research dedicated to miRNAs in recent years, there has been an exponential increase in our understanding of the underlying molecular mechanisms governing the diverse functions of thousands of different miRNAs. These functions are not only unique to each miRNA but are also intricately intertwined with the specific organs or tissues in which these miRNAs are expressed throughout the human body, with a single miRNA potentially having completely different functions in different scenarios. The complexity inherent to these molecules poses a formidable challenge in attaining a comprehensive understanding, sufficient for the development of clinically useful diagnostic tools and therapeutic interventions. Despite these barriers, miR-34a has a robust background of foundational basic science information to continue building upon. Although miR-34a levels are consistently associated with certain brain pathology and has been verified in cellular and animal disease models, it is yet unclear if an assessment of its levels in human samples could become a part of a profiling panel that would serve diagnostic and/ or prognostic purposes in neurological disorders, particularly stroke. MiR-34a's usefulness in this regard should improve with further testing and analyses, particularly when probed in conjunction with a panel of other miRNAs. Additionally, the intimate role of miR-34a in the BBB function, presents an exciting avenue for therapeutic development. Coupled with advancements in nanoparticlebased pharmaceutical delivery methods, there emerges a potential solution to one of the longstanding issues plaguing clinical stroke treatment. These issues include a litany of clinical trials that showed no clinical beneficence, despite large amounts of basic science research suggesting beneficence of such treatments. Many of which were primarily rooted in the ineffective delivery of medications across the BBB. Similarly, miR-34a-based therapy also need efficient methods of delivery that bypasses the BBB. Furthermore, as we discussed earlier that the knockout of miR-34a improve stroke outcomes, suppression or inhibition of miR-34a might be crucial in the treatment of stroke. However, introducing

miRNA antagomirs into the CNS is the main challenge in this field, suggesting that much more remains to be investigated.

In conclusion, the findings presented in this review greatly contribute to the knowledge base for researchers interested in further understanding of miR-34a. While the role of miR-34a in compromising BBB integrity and exacerbating the adverse effects of stroke is becoming clearer, there remains a need to delve deeper into the precise mechanisms that govern its action. Additionally, exploring the potential cross-talk between miR-34a and other molecular players involved in stroke pathogenesis could unveil novel therapeutic targets. Incorporating advanced techniques like single-cell sequencing and gene editing may provide a more comprehensive understanding of miR-34a's influence on cellular responses post-stroke. Furthermore, assessing the temporal dynamics of miR-34a expression and its correlation with different stages of stroke progression could offer valuable insights into its potential as a prognostic biomarker.

Author contributions

CP: Writing – original draft. ST: Writing – review & editing. SW: Writing – review & editing. HH: Writing – review & editing. AG: Writing – review & editing. HC: Writing – review & editing. XR: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. HC also has a role in funding acquisition.

References

Abdolahi, S., Zare-Chahoki, A., Noorbakhsh, F., and Gorji, A. (2022). A review of molecular interplay between neurotrophins and miRNAs in neuropsychological disorders. *Mol. Neurobiol.* 59, 6260–6280. doi: 10.1007/s12035-022-02966-5

Abdullahi, W., Tripathi, D., and Ronaldson, P. T. (2018). Blood-brain barrier dysfunction in ischemic stroke: targeting tight junctions and transporters for vascular protection. *Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol.* 315, C343–C356. doi: 10.1152/ajpcell.00095.2018

Bai, X. Y., Ma, Y., Ding, R., Fu, B., Shi, S., and Chen, X. M. (2011). miR-335 and miR-34a promote renal senescence by suppressing mitochondrial antioxidative enzymes. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* 22, 1252–1261. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2010040367

Banks, W. A. (2015). The blood-brain barrier in neuroimmunology: tales of separation and assimilation. *Brain Behav. Immun.* 44, 1–8. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2014.08.007

Bansal, A., Prathap, R., Gupta, S., Chaurasia, A., and Chaudhary, P. (2019). Role of microRNAs in stroke recovery. *J. Family Med. Prim. Care* 8, 1850–1854. doi: 10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_296_19

Barreto, A. D. (2011). Intravenous thrombolytics for ischemic stroke. *Neurotherapeutics* 8, 388–399. doi: 10.1007/s13311-011-0049-x

Barthels, D., and Das, H. (2020). Current advances in ischemic stroke research and therapies. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. basis Dis.* 1866:165260. doi: 10.1016/j. bbadis.2018.09.012

Benchoua, A., Guegan, C., Couriaud, C., Hosseini, H., Sampaio, N., Morin, D., et al. (2001). Specific caspase pathways are activated in the two stages of cerebral infarction. *J. Neurosci.* 21, 7127–7134. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.21-18-07127.2001

Bernardo-Castro, S., Sousa, J. A., Bras, A., Cecilia, C., Rodrigues, B., Almendra, L., et al. (2020). Pathophysiology of blood-brain barrier permeability throughout the different stages of ischemic stroke and its implication on hemorrhagic transformation and recovery. *Front. Neurol.* 11:594672. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2020.594672

Boon, R. A., Iekushi, K., Lechner, S., Seeger, T., Fischer, A., Heydt, S., et al. (2013). MicroRNA-34a regulates cardiac ageing and function. *Nature* 495, 107–110. doi: 10.1038/nature11919

Bukeirat, M., Sarkar, S. N., Hu, H., Quintana, D. D., Simpkins, J. W., and Ren, X. (2016). MiR-34a regulates blood-brain barrier permeability and mitochondrial function by targeting cytochrome c. *J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab.* 36, 387–392. doi: 10.1177/0271678X15606147

Chakraborty, C., Sharma, A. R., Sharma, G., and Lee, S. S. (2021). Therapeutic advances of miRNAs: a preclinical and clinical update. *J. Adv. Res.* 28, 127–138. doi: 10.1016/j.jare.2020.08.012

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. The study was supported by the NIH (1R01NS117606-01A1), NSF (1916894), and new faculty start-up funds from the University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston (PI: XR). Professional Development Fund from the Department of Neurosurgery University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston (PI: HC).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Choi, G. H., Ko, K. H., Kim, J. O., Kim, J., Oh, S. H., Han, I. B., et al. (2016). Association of miR-34a, miR-130a, miR-150 and miR-155 polymorphisms with the risk of ischemic stroke. *Int. J. Mol. Med.* 38, 345–356. doi: 10.3892/ijmm.2016.2609

Chua, C. E. L., and Tang, B. L. (2019). miR-34a in neurophysiology and neuropathology. J. Mol. Neurosci. 67, 235-246. doi: 10.1007/s12031-018-1231-y

Chung, J. W., Ryu, W. S., Kim, B. J., and Yoon, B. W. (2015). Elevated calcium after acute ischemic stroke: association with a poor short-term outcome and long-term mortality. *J. Stroke* 17, 54–59. doi: 10.5853/jos.2015.17.1.54

Diener, C., Hart, M., Alansary, D., Poth, V., Walch-Ruckheim, B., Menegatti, J., et al. (2018). Modulation of intracellular calcium signaling by microRNA-34a-5p. *Cell Death Dis.* 9:1008. doi: 10.1038/s41419-018-1050-7

Dong, X., Gao, J., Su, Y., and Wang, Z. (2020). Nanomedicine for ischemic stroke. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21:7600. doi: 10.3390/ijms21207600

Duarte, F. V., Palmeira, C. M., and Rolo, A. P. (2014). The role of microRNAs in mitochondria: small players acting wide. *Genes (Basel)* 5, 865–886. doi: 10.3390/genes5040865

Fan, F., Zhuang, J., Zhou, P., Liu, X., and Luo, Y. (2017). MicroRNA-34a promotes mitochondrial dysfunction-induced apoptosis in human lens epithelial cells by targeting Notch2. *Oncotarget* 8, 110209–110220. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.22597

Fantin, A., Vieira, J. M., Gestri, G., Denti, L., Schwarz, Q., Prykhozhij, S., et al. (2010). Tissue macrophages act as cellular chaperones for vascular anastomosis downstream of VEGF-mediated endothelial tip cell induction. *Blood* 116, 829–840. doi: 10.1182/ blood-2009-12-257832

Fomison-Nurse, I., Saw, E. E. L., Gandhi, S., Munasinghe, P. E., Van Hout, I., Williams, M. J. A., et al. (2018). Diabetes induces the activation of pro-ageing miR-34a in the heart, but has differential effects on cardiomyocytes and cardiac progenitor cells. *Cell Death Differ.* 25, 1336–1349. doi: 10.1038/s41418-017-0047-6

Friedman, R. C., Farh, K. K., Burge, C. B., and Bartel, D. P. (2009). Most mammalian mRNAs are conserved targets of microRNAs. *Genome Res.* 19, 92–105. doi: 10.1101/gr.082701.108

Geng, X., Fu, P., Ji, X., Peng, C., Fredrickson, V., Sy, C., et al. (2013). Synergetic neuroprotection of normobaric oxygenation and ethanol in ischemic stroke through improved oxidative mechanism. *Stroke* 44, 1418–1425. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.111.000315

George, M. G., Fischer, L., Koroshetz, W., Bushnell, C., Frankel, M., Foltz, J., et al. (2017). CDC grand rounds: public health strategies to prevent and treat strokes. *MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly Rep.* 66, 479–481. doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm6618a5 Ghajar, J. (2000). Traumatic brain injury. Lancet 356, 923-929. doi: 10.1016/ S0140-6736(00)02689-1

Hasan, T. F., Hasan, H., and Kelley, R. E. (2021). Overview of acute ischemic stroke evaluation and management. *Biomedicine* 9:1486. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines9101486

Hayder, H., O'Brien, J., Nadeem, U., and Peng, C. (2018). MicroRNAs: crucial regulators of placental development. *Reproduction* 155, R259–R271. doi: 10.1530/REP-17-0603

Hone, H., Hone, E. A., Provencher, E. A. P., Sprowls, S. A., Farooqi, I., Corbin, D. R., et al. (2020). MiR-34a interacts with cytochrome c and shapes stroke outcomes. *Sci. Rep.* 10:3233. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-59997-y

Hone, E. A., Hu, H., Sprowls, S. A., Farooqi, I., Grasmick, K., and Lockman, P. R. (2018). Biphasic blood-brain barrier openings after stroke. *Neurol. Disorder. Stroke. Int.* 1:1011.

Hua, C. C., Liu, X. M., Liang, L. R., Wang, L. F., and Zhong, J. C. (2021). Targeting the microRNA-34a as a novel therapeutic strategy for cardiovascular diseases. *Front. Cardiovasc. Med.* 8:784044. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2021.784044

Izadi, Z., Barzegari, E., Iranpanah, A., Sajadimajd, S., and Derakhshankhah, H. (2023). Gentamycin rationally repositioned to inhibit miR-34a ameliorates oxidative injury to PC12 cells. *ACS Omega* 8, 771–781. doi: 10.1021/acsomega.2c06112

Jemmerson, R., Dubinsky, J. M., and Brustovetsky, N. (2005). Cytochrome C release from CNS mitochondria and potential for clinical intervention in apoptosis-mediated CNS diseases. *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* 7, 1158–1172. doi: 10.1089/ars.2005.7.1158

Jiang, X., Andjelkovic, A. V., Zhu, L., Yang, T., Bennett, M. V. L., Chen, J., et al. (2018). Blood-brain barrier dysfunction and recovery after ischemic stroke. *Prog. Neurobiol.* 164, 144–171. doi: 10.1016/j.pneurobio.2017.10.001

Kadir, R. R. A., Alwjwaj, M., and Bayraktutan, U. (2022). MicroRNA: an emerging predictive, diagnostic, prognostic and therapeutic strategy in ischaemic stroke. *Cell. Mol. Neurobiol.* 42, 1301–1319. doi: 10.1007/s10571-020-01028-5

Kakkar, P., Kakkar, T., Patankar, T., and Saha, S. (2021). Current approaches and advances in the imaging of stroke. *Dis. Model. Mech.* 14:dmm048785. doi: 10.1242/dmm.048785

Kalfert, D., Ludvikova, M., Pesta, M., Ludvik, J., Dostalova, L., and Kholova, I. (2020). Multifunctional roles of miR-34a in cancer: a review with the emphasis on head and neck squamous cell carcinoma and thyroid cancer with clinical implications. *Diagnostics* 10:563. doi: 10.3390/diagnostics10080563

Kisialiou, A., Pelone, G., Carrizzo, A., Grillea, G., Trimarco, V., Marino, M., et al. (2012). Blood biomarkers role in acute ischemic stroke patients: higher is worse or better? *Immun. Ageing* 9:22. doi: 10.1186/1742-4933-9-22

Kitada, M., and Koya, D. (2013). SIRT1 in type 2 diabetes: mechanisms and therapeutic potential. *Diabetes Metab. J.* 37, 315–325. doi: 10.4093/dmj.2013.37.5.315

Kobeissy, F.H., (Ed.), Brain Neurotrauma: Molecular, neuropsychological, and rehabilitation aspects, (2015), Boca Raton (FL): CRC Press.

Kuriakose, D., and Xiao, Z. (2020). Pathophysiology and treatment of stroke: present status and future perspectives. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 21:7609. doi: 10.3390/ijms21207609

Li, J., Wang, K., Chen, X., Meng, H., Song, M., Wang, Y., et al. (2012). Transcriptional activation of microRNA-34a by NF-kappa B in human esophageal cancer cells. *BMC Mol. Biol.* 13:4. doi: 10.1186/1471-2199-13-4

Li, W. J., Wang, Y., Liu, R., Kasinski, A. L., Shen, H., Slack, F. J., et al. (2021). MicroRNA-34a: potent tumor suppressor, cancer stem cell inhibitor, and potential anticancer therapeutic. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* 9:640587. doi: 10.3389/ fcell.2021.640587

Liang, T. Y., and Lou, J. Y. (2016). Increased expression of mir-34a-5p and clinical association in acute ischemic stroke patients and in a rat model. *Med. Sci. Monit.* 22, 2950–2955. doi: 10.12659/MSM.900237

Lim, J. S., Lee, J. J., and Woo, C. W. (2021). Post-stroke cognitive impairment: pathophysiological insights into brain disconnectome from advanced neuroimaging analysis techniques. *J. Stroke* 23, 297–311. doi: 10.5853/jos.2021.02376

Liu, S., Feng, X., Jin, R., and Li, G. (2018). Tissue plasminogen activator-based nanothrombolysis for ischemic stroke. *Expert Opin. Drug Deliv.* 15, 173–184. doi: 10.1080/17425247.2018.1384464

Liu, W., Wang, X., O'Connor, M., Wang, G., and Han, F. (2020). Brain-derived neurotrophic factor and its potential therapeutic role in stroke comorbidities. *Neural Plast.* 2020:1969482. doi: 10.1155/2020/1969482

Lodygin, D., Tarasov, V., Epanchintsev, A., Berking, C., Knyazeva, T., Korner, H., et al. (2008). Inactivation of miR-34a by aberrant CpG methylation in multiple types of cancer. *Cell Cycle* 7, 2591–2600. doi: 10.4161/cc.7.16.6533

Ma, F., Zhang, X., and Yin, K. J. (2020). MicroRNAs in central nervous system diseases: a prospective role in regulating blood-brain barrier integrity. *Exp. Neurol.* 323:113094. doi: 10.1016/j.expneurol.2019.113094

Mancha, F., Escudero-Martinez, I., Zapata-Arriaza, E., Vega-Salvatierra, A., Cabezas, J. A., Lebrato, L., et al. (2020). Circulating microRNA after autologous bone marrow mononuclear cell (BM-MNC) injection in patients with ischemic stroke. *J. Investig. Med.* 68, 807–810. doi: 10.1136/jim-2019-001161

Merali, Z., Huang, K., Mikulis, D., Silver, F., and Kassner, A. (2017). Evolution of blood-brain-barrier permeability after acute ischemic stroke. *PLoS One* 12:e0171558. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0171558

Nash, K. M., and Ahmed, S. (2015). Nanomedicine in the ROS-mediated pathophysiology: applications and clinical advances. *Nanomedicine* 11, 2033–2040. doi: 10.1016/j.nano.2015.07.003

Niatsetskaya, Z. V., Sosunov, S. A., Matsiukevich, D., Utkina-Sosunova, I. V., Ratner, V. I., Starkov, A. A., et al. (2012). The oxygen free radicals originating from mitochondrial complex I contribute to oxidative brain injury following hypoxiaischemia in neonatal mice. *J. Neurosci.* 32, 3235–3244. doi: 10.1523/ JNEUROSCI.6303-11.2012

Nobrega, O. T., Morais-Junior, G. S., Viana, N. I., Reis, S. T., Perez, D. I. V., Freitas, W. M., et al. (2020). Circulating miR-34a and bone mineral density of Brazilian very-old adults. *J. Aging Res.* 2020:3431828. doi: 10.1155/2020/3431828

Noren Hooten, N., Fitzpatrick, M., Wood, W. H. 3rd, De, S., Ejiogu, N., Zhang, Y., et al. (2013). Age-related changes in microRNA levels in serum. *Aging* 5, 725–740. doi: 10.18632/aging.100603

O'Brien, J., Hayder, H., Zayed, Y., and Peng, C. (2018). Overview of MicroRNA biogenesis, mechanisms of actions, and circulation. *Front. Endocrinol.* 9:402. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2018.00402

Petraglia, F., Di Meo, G., Storchi, R., Segre, A., Facchinetti, F., Szalay, S., et al. (1987). Proopiomelanocortin-related peptides and methionine enkephalin in human follicular fluid: changes during the menstrual cycle. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 157, 142–146. doi: 10.1016/S0002-9378(87)80366-6

Ren, X., Engler-Chiurazzi, E. B., Russell, A. E., Sarkar, S. N., Rellick, S. L., Lewis, S., et al. (2019). MiR-34a and stroke: assessment of non-modifiable biological risk factors in cerebral ischemia. *Neurochem. Int.* 127, 73–79. doi: 10.1016/j.neuint.2018.10.019

Sacco, R. L., Chong, J. Y., Prabhakaran, S., and Elkind, M. S. (2007). Experimental treatments for acute ischaemic stroke. *Lancet* 369, 331–341. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(07)60155-X

Sarkar, S., Engler-Chiurazzi, E. B., Cavendish, J. Z., Povroznik, J. M., Russell, A. E., Quintana, D. D., et al. (2019). Over-expression of miR-34a induces rapid cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease-like pathology. *Brain Res.* 1721:146327. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2019.146327

Sarkar, S., Jun, S., Rellick, S., Quintana, D. D., Cavendish, J. Z., and Simpkins, J. W. (2016). Expression of microRNA-34a in Alzheimer's disease brain targets genes linked to synaptic plasticity, energy metabolism, and resting state network activity. *Brain Res.* 1646, 139–151. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2016.05.026

Sarvari, S., Moakedi, F., Hone, E., Simpkins, J. W., and Ren, X. (2020). Mechanisms in blood-brain barrier opening and metabolism-challenged cerebrovascular ischemia with emphasis on ischemic stroke. *Metab. Brain Dis.* 35, 851–868. doi: 10.1007/s11011-020-00573-8

Shlosberg, D., Benifla, M., Kaufer, D., and Friedman, A. (2010). Blood-brain barrier breakdown as a therapeutic target in traumatic brain injury. *Nat. Rev. Neurol.* 6, 393–403. doi: 10.1038/nrneurol.2010.74

Song, R., Du, Y., Li, P., Zhou, L., Zheng, H., Lu, X., et al. (2022). Deletion of Letmd1 leads to the disruption of mitochondrial function in brown adipose tissue. *Biochimie* 201, 100–115. doi: 10.1016/j.biochi.2022.07.002

Sonoda, T., Matsuzaki, J., Yamamoto, Y., Sakurai, T., Aoki, Y., Takizawa, S., et al. (2019). Serum MicroRNA-based risk prediction for stroke. *Stroke* 50, 1510–1518. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.118.023648

Stuart, R. O., Sun, A., Bush, K. T., and Nigam, S. K. (1996). Dependence of epithelial intercellular junction biogenesis on thapsigargin-sensitive intracellular calcium stores. *J. Biol. Chem.* 271, 13636–13641. doi: 10.1074/jbc.271.23.13636

Virani, S. S., Alonso, A., Aparicio, H. J., Benjamin, E. J., Bittencourt, M. S., Callaway, C. W., et al. (2021). Heart disease and stroke statistics-2021 update: a report from the American heart association. *Circulation* 143, e254–e743. doi: 10.1161/ CIR.0000000000000550

Wang, S. P., Wang, D., Li, H. X., Liu, L., and Duan, X. H. (2019). Influence of miR-34a on cerebral neuronal apoptosis in rats with cerebral ischemia reperfusion through the Notch1 signaling pathway. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci.* 23, 8049–8057. doi: 10.26355/eurrev_201909_19021

Wang, J., Xu, F., Zhu, X., Li, X., Li, Y., and Li, J. (2021). Targeting microRNAs to regulate the integrity of the blood-brain barrier. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 9:673415. doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2021.673415

Whiteley, W., Tian, Y., and Jickling, G. C. (2012). Blood biomarkers in stroke: research and clinical practice. *Int. J. Stroke* 7, 435–439. doi: 10.1111/j.1747-4949.2012.00784.x

Wlodarczyk, L., Cichon, N., Saluk-Bijak, J., Bijak, M., Majos, A., and Miller, E. (2022). Neuroimaging techniques as potential tools for assessment of angiogenesis and neuroplasticity processes after stroke and their clinical implications for rehabilitation and stroke recovery prognosis. *J. Clin. Med.* 11:2473. doi: 10.3390/jcm11092473

Yamakuchi, M., Ferlito, M., and Lowenstein, C. J. (2008). miR-34a repression of SIRT1 regulates apoptosis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 105, 13421–13426. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0801613105

Ye, J., Tsukamoto, T., Sun, A., and Nigam, S. K. (1999). A role for intracellular calcium in tight junction reassembly after ATP depletion-repletion. *Am. J. Phys.* 277, F524–F532. doi: 10.1152/ajprenal.1999.277.4.F524

Zauli, G., Voltan, R., di Iasio, M. G., Bosco, R., Melloni, E., Sana, M. E., et al. (2011). miR-34a induces the downregulation of both E2F1 and B-Myb oncogenes in leukemic cells. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 17, 2712–2724. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-10-3244 Zhao, X. J., Larkin, T. M., Lauver, M. A., Ahmad, S., and Ducruet, A. F. (2017). Tissue plasminogen activator mediates deleterious complement cascade activation in stroke. *PLoS One* 12:e0180822. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0180822

Zhu, S., Tang, J., Lan, L., and Su, F. (2021). Inhibition of miR-34a ameliorates cerebral ischemia/reperfusion injury by targeting brain-derived neurotrophic factor. *Arch. Med. Sci.* doi: 10.5114/aoms/143303