Check for updates

### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Ashish Jacob Mathew, Christian Medical College and Hospital, India

REVIEWED BY Stefano Gentileschi, Siena University Hospital, Italy Vibeke Strand, Stanford University, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE Juan José Alegre-Sancho ⊠ alegre\_juasan@gva.es

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 01 February 2023 ACCEPTED 18 April 2023 PUBLISHED 11 May 2023

### CITATION

Sivera F, Núñez-Monje V, Campos-Fernández C, Balaguer-Trull I, Robustillo-Villarino M, Aguilar-Zamora M, Garijo-Bufort M, López-Gómez JM, Peña-González C, de la Morena I, Bedoya-Sanchís D, Yankova-Komsalova L, Conesa-Mateos A, Martínez-Cristóbal A, Navarro-Blasco FJ, Senabre-Gallego JM and Alegre-Sancho JJ (2023) Real-world experience with secukinumab in the entire axial spondyloarthritis spectrum. *Front. Med.* 10:1156557. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2023.1156557

### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Sivera, Núñez-Monje, Campos-Fernández, Balaguer-Trull, Robustillo-Villarino, Aquilar-Zamora, Garijo-Bufort, López-Gómez, Peña-González, de la Morena, Bedoya-Sanchís, Yankova-Komsalova, Conesa-Mateos, Martinez-Cristóbal, Navarro-Blasco, Senabre-Gallego and Alegre-Sancho. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licens (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Real-world experience with secukinumab in the entire axial spondyloarthritis spectrum

Francisca Sivera<sup>1,2†</sup>, Victoria Núñez-Monje<sup>3</sup>, Cristina Campos-Fernández<sup>4</sup>, Isabel Balaguer-Trull<sup>4</sup>, Montserrat Robustillo-Villarino<sup>5</sup>, Marta Aguilar-Zamora<sup>6</sup>, Marta Garijo-Bufort<sup>6</sup>, Juan Miguel López-Gómez<sup>1</sup>, Carolina Peña-González<sup>7</sup>, Isabel de la Morena<sup>8</sup>, Diego Bedoya-Sanchís<sup>8</sup>, Liliya Yankova-Komsalova<sup>9</sup>, Arantxa Conesa-Mateos<sup>10</sup>, Anna Martínez-Cristóbal<sup>11</sup>, Francisco Javier Navarro-Blasco<sup>12</sup>, José Miguel Senabre-Gallego<sup>13</sup> and Juan José Alegre-Sancho<sup>3\*†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Rheumatology Department, Hospital General Universitario de Elda, Alicante, Spain, <sup>2</sup>Departament of Clinical Medicine, Universidad Miguel Hernandez, Elche, Spain, <sup>3</sup>Rheumatology Department, Hospital Universitario Dr Peset, Valencia, Spain, <sup>4</sup>Rheumatology Department, Hospital General Universitario, Valencia, Spain, <sup>5</sup>Reumatology Unit, Internal Medicine Department, Hospital Universitario de la Plana, Villarreal, Spain, <sup>6</sup>Rheumatology Department, Hospital Universitario de la Plana, Villarreal, Spain, <sup>6</sup>Rheumatology Department, Hospital Universitario de la Plana, Villarreal, Spain, <sup>6</sup>Rheumatology Department, Hospital de Sagunto, Sagunto, Spain, <sup>7</sup>Rheumatology Department, Hospital Clínico Universitario de Valencia, Valencia, Spain, <sup>9</sup>Rheumatology Department, Hospital Clínico Universitario de Valencia, Valencia, Spain, <sup>9</sup>Rheumatology Department, Hospital Marina Salud, Denia, Alicante, Spain, <sup>10</sup>Rheumatology Department, Hospital Universitario de La Ribera, Alzira, Spain, <sup>12</sup>Rheumatology Department, Hospital Universitario de Elche, Elche, Alicante, Spain, <sup>13</sup>Rheumatology Department, Hospital Valencia, Spain, <sup>13</sup>Rheumatology Department, Hospital Universitario de Elche, Zeitari, Spain, <sup>13</sup>Rheumatology Department, Hospital Valencia, Spain, <sup>14</sup>Rheumatology Department, Hospital Universitario de Ia Ribera, Alzira, Spain, <sup>12</sup>Rheumatology Department, Hospital Universitario de Ia Ribera, Alzira, Spain, <sup>13</sup>Rheumatology Department, Hospital Universitario de Iche, Spain, <sup>13</sup>Rheumatology Department, Hospital Universitario de Iche, Spain, <sup>13</sup>Rheumatology Department, Hospital Valencia, Spain, <sup>14</sup>Rheumatology Department, Hospital Vale

**Background:** Secukinumab is a biologic disease-modifying antirheumatic drug (bDMARD) that has demonstrated efficacy in the treatment of axial spondyloarthritis (axSpA, i.e., ankylosing spondylitis and non-radiographic axSpA) across various clinical trials. However, data of secukinumab in clinical practice is still limited. Here, we aimed to provide real-world data on secukinumab use, effectiveness, and persistence in axSpA.

Patients and methods: Retrospective, multicenter study of patients with a diagnosis of axSpA treated with secukinumab at 12 centers up to June 2021 in the Valencian Community (Spain). Information was gathered on BASDAI measurement, pain, patient and physician global assessment (ptGA, phGA) using a 100-mm visual analog scale (VAS), persistence and other secondary variables by treatment line (first, second, and≥third) for up to 24 months.

**Results:** 221 patients were included (69% men; mean age [standard deviation, SD]: 46.7 [12.1] years old). Secukinumab was used as a first-line bDMARD in 38% of patients, as a second-line in 34% and as a  $\geq$  hird-line in 28%. The percentage of patients achieving low disease activity (BASDAI<4) increased from 9% at baseline to 48% at month 6 and was maintained (49%) up to month 24. Improvements in BASDAI were observed across all treatment lines: in naïve patients (month 6: -2.6; month 24: -2.7), in second-line (month 6: -1.9; month 24: -3.1), and in patients on third lines (month 6: -1.3; month 24: -1.7). Reductions in mean pain VAS (-23.3; -31.9), ptGA (-25.1; -31.9) and phGA (-25.1; -31) were also observed at 6 and 24months. Secukinumab showed an overall 12-months persistence rate of 70% (95% confidence interval [CI]: 63-77%) and a 24-months persistence rate of

# 58% (95% CI, 51–66%). Patients receiving first-line secukinumab had the highest 24-months persistence rate (p=0.05).

**Conclusion:** Secukinumab improved disease activity in axSpA patients, especially in naive, and second-line patients, which was accompanied by high persistence rates up to 24 months.

KEYWORDS

secukinumab, effectiveness, axial spondyloarthritis, non-radiographic axial spondyloarthritis, ankylosing spondylitis, real-world evidence

# 1. Introduction

Axial spondyloarthritis (axSpA) is a chronic, inflammatory, rheumatic disease that affects the axial skeleton, usually starting in the third decade of life (1). AxSpA can be classified into classic ankylosing spondylitis (AS) or non-radiographic axSpA (nr-axSpA), based on the respective presence or absence of sacroiliitis on conventional radiographs (2). Approximately 10%–40% of nr-axSpA patients progress to AS over a period of 2–10 years (3); and nr-axSpA and AS are predominantly considered as part of the same disease spectrum (4). Signs of active inflammation, such as elevated C-reactive protein (CRP) levels or evidence of sacroiliitis on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) predispose to a more rapid progression of structural damage and can predict conversion of nr-axSpA to AS (3).

Axial pain, stiffness, and fatigue are among the most common complains in axSpA. Patients can also present peripheral manifestations (arthritis, enthesitis, or dactylitis), extramusculoskeletal manifestations (anterior uveitis or psoriasis) and significant comorbidities, including cardiovascular disease and depression (1, 5). These symptoms profoundly impact patients' healthrelated quality of life (HRQoL), and affect their professional, social, and family roles (6). The impact on HRQoL is independent of the radiographic status, as both AS and nr-axSpA patients show a similar degree of impairment (7).

The Assessment of SpondyloArthritis international Society (ASAS) and the European Alliance of Associations for Rheumatology (EULAR) recommend the use of biologic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (bDMARDs) in patients with persistently high disease activity despite conventional treatments (8). IL-17 inhibitors (IL-17i) or tumor necrosis factor inhibitors (TNFi) are now recommended as first-line bDMARD (8). Given that up to 50% of patients treated with TNFi do not achieve a clinically significant response (9), IL-17i have acquired a key role in axSpA treatment. Secukinumab, an IL-17Ai monoclonal antibody, has demonstrated efficacy and safety in the treatment of AS (10–16) and nr-axSpA (17), leading to its approval in the EU (in 2015 for AS and in 2020 for nr-axSpA). So far, more than 875,000 patients have been treated with secukinumab across its four approved therapeutic indications worldwide (data on file).

Randomized clinical trials (RCT) have showed the benefit of secukinumab in reducing signs and symptoms in patients with axSpA who were either bDMARD-naive or had a history of treatment with TNFi (11, 17, 18). However, real-world evidence (RWE) on secukinumab effectiveness and persistence in patients with axSpA is still limited (19–21). Establishing secukinumab effectiveness and

retention rates based on prior bDMARD is key for improving treatment decision-making. This study aims to describe the use of secukinumab in patients with axSpA in routine clinical practice and assesses its effectiveness and persistence per bDMARD treatment line.

# 2. Methods

# 2.1. Study design and population

This was a non-interventional, retrospective, and multicenter study in patients with axSpA treated with secukinumab at 12 centers in the Valencian Community (Spain). All adult patients with a diagnosis of axSpA as per their treating physician and who were receiving or had received treatment with secukinumab up to June 2021, were included in the study. Patients were excluded if they had received secukinumab in the context of a clinical trial or as off-label therapy. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the General University Hospital in Elda (Alicante). Written informed consent was not required for this study in accordance with the national legislation (Real Decreto 957/2020). The study was conducted in accordance with the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, Good Clinical Practice (GCP), and in compliance with European and local requirements.

# 2.2. Study endpoints

The primary endpoint was the percentage of patients who started secukinumab on each treatment line (first, second, or  $\geq$  third bDMARD line) and with either 150 mg or 300 mg in clinical practice. Secondary endpoints of effectiveness were assessed by BASDAI (Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; from 0 to 10, with higher scores indicating higher disease activity), presence of enthesitis and dactylitis, skin involvement, C reactive protein (CRP, mg/L), and pain, patient's global assessment (ptGA) and physician's global assessment (phGA) of the disease measured by a visual analogue scale (VAS; from 0 to 100, with higher scores indicating worse pain/disease activity) from baseline to 24 months after secukinumab initiation. The incidence of uveitis, secukinumab persistence at 24 months and reasons for discontinuation were also collected. All secondary endpoints are showed in all patients and per treatment line (first, second, or  $\geq$  third bDMARD line).

# 2.3. Data analysis

Measures of central tendency and dispersion were presented [mean (standard deviation; SD) for continuous variables and frequencies and percentages for categorical variables] for data on patient characteristics and secukinumab effectiveness; 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated for secukinumab use and persistence. Percentages reported in the Results section have been rounded up (if the tenths digit  $\geq$ 5) or down (if <5).

The incidence rate of uveitis was calculated as the ratio of the number of patients with uveitis during secukinumab treatment to the sum of secukinumab treatment periods (time from initiation of secukinumab treatment to discontinuation or data collection) for all patients during the period of data collection.

Secukinumab persistence was analyzed by using the Kaplan Meier method. The persistence of secukinumab was quantified as the time from the start of secukinumab until the end of treatment (definitive discontinuation) or until the end of data collection in those patients who continued on treatment. Differences between groups (naïve, second line, third or posterior lines) in secukinumab persistence were analyzed using the log rank test.

# 3. Results

# 3.1. Patient profile

A total of 221 patients diagnosed with axSpA met the selection criteria and were included in the study. Table 1 shows the demographic and clinical characteristics of these patients and Supplementary Table S1 displays this information based on diagnosis of AS or nr-axSpA. Briefly, mean (SD) age was 46.7 (12.1) years old and 152 (69%) patients were men. The mean (SD) delay from first symptoms to axSpA diagnosis was 4.2 (6) years. Seventy-four percent of patients were HLA-B27 positive. The percentage of patients with at least one comorbidity was 45%. Regarding baseline disease activity, the mean (SD) scores were: BASDAI 6.4 (1.8), pain VAS 66.7 (23.4), ptGA 64.9 (24.3), and phGA 55.1 (22.7).

Most patients (77%) had received at least one DMARD prior to starting secukinumab (62% had received a bDMARD, and 48% a csDMARD) (Supplementary Table S2). Secukinumab was initiated a mean (SD) of 11 (9) years after first symptoms.

# 3.2. Secukinumab Use

As shown in Figure 1A, 38% (95% CI: 32–45%) of the patients received secukinumab as a first-line bDMARD (bDMARD-naive), 34% (95% CI: 28–41%) as a second-line, and 28% (95% CI: 22–34%) as a third or subsequent line. Most (86%) patients initiated treatment with the 150 mg dose; nonetheless, 20% and 23% of bDMARD-experienced patients initiated treatment with the 300 mg dose when secukinumab was initiated as second-line and as third or subsequent line, respectively (Figure 1B). Uptitration to 300 mg during the course of treatment occurred in 19% of patients initiating treatment with secukinumab 150 mg. Doses were increased to 300 mg in 15%, 20%, and 28% of naive, second-line, and third or subsequent-line patients, respectively. Also, most patients initiated treatment in monotherapy (79%) (Table 2). Patients were treated with secukinumab a mean (SD)

	axSpA (n =221)
Gender (male), <i>n</i> (%) [ <i>N</i> ]	152 (69) [221]
Ethnicity (Caucasian), $n$ (%) $[N]$	205 (93) [221]
Age, mean (SD) [N]	46.7 12.1 [221]
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ), mean (SD) [N]	27.4 (5.3) [127]
Obese (BMI $\geq$ 30), $n$ (%) [ $N$ ]	40 (31.5) [127]
Current smokers, <i>n</i> (%) [ <i>N</i> ]	64 (35) [182]
Age at symptoms initiation, mean (SD) [N]	35.7 (11.7) [179]
Age at diagnosis, mean (SD) [N]	39.4 (11.7) [210]
Years from first symptoms to diagnosis, mean (SD) $[N]$	4.2 (6) [179]
Nr-axSpA, <i>n</i> (%) [ <i>N</i> ]	39 (17.7) [220]
Peripheral arthritis, $n$ (%) $[N]$	62 (28) [221]
Enthesitis (yes), n (%) [N]	30 (17) [174]
Number at baseline assessment, mean (SD) [N]	2.5 (1.8) [26]
Dactylitis (yes), n (%) [N]	2 (1) [176]
Skin manifestation (yes), n (%) [N]	12 (9) [202]
Nail manifestation (yes), n (%) [N]	2 (1) [202]
Uveitis (yes), n (%) [N]	12 (6) [203]
Prior number of flares, mean (SD) [N]	2 (0.9) [10]
BASDAI, mean (SD) [N]	6.4 (1.8) [170]
Pain VAS, mean (SD) [N]	66.7 (23.4) [139]
PtGA, mean (SD) [N]	64.9 (24.3) [101]
PhGA, mean (SD) [N]	55.1 (22.7) [89]
CRP (mg/dL), mean (SD) [N]	6.6 (13) [207]
ESR (mm/h), mean (SD) [N]	20.8 (20.5) [199]
HLA-B27 (positive), n (%) [N]	156 (73) [212]
Prior anti-TNF $\alpha$ , <i>n</i> (%) [ <i>N</i> ]	137 (80) [171]
Comorbidities (any), n (%) [N]	100 (45) [221]
Hypertension (yes), n (%) [N]	50 (50) [100]
Dyslipidemia (yes), n (%) [N]	38 (38) [100]
Depression (yes), <i>n</i> (%) [ <i>N</i> ]	28 (28) [100]
Diabetes (yes), <i>n</i> (%) [ <i>N</i> ]	12 (12) [100]
Tuberculosis/latent tuberculosis infection (yes), n (%) [N]	24 (24) [100]
Fatty liver disease (yes), $n$ (%) $[N]$	13 (13) [100]
Cardiovascular events (yes), n (%) [N]	10 (10) [100]
Neoplasms (yes), <i>n</i> (%) [ <i>N</i> ]	11 (11) [100]

Valid percentages (i.e., percentage of patients with data in the variable) are showed here. AS, ankylosing spondylitis; BASDAI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; BMI body mass index; CRP, C-Reactive Protein; ESR, Erythrocyte sedimentation rate; HLA, Human leukocyte antigen; *N*, total number of patients in the study population; ptGA, patient global assessment; phGA, physician global assessment; SD, standard deviation.

of 7.3 (7.5) years after diagnosis. Mean (SD) duration of secukinumab treatment was 20.9 (15.5) months (Table 2).

# 3.3. Effectiveness

### 3.3.1. All patients

Under secukinumab treatment, disease activity improved. Mean (SD) BASDAI scores decreased from 6.4 (1.8) at baseline (n = 170) to 4.3 (2.2) after 6 months (n = 111) and to 3.9 (2.3) after 24 months of follow-up (n = 39) (Supplementary Table S3). The



### TABLE 2 Secukinumab treatment.

	axSpA ( <i>n</i> =221)
Years from first symptoms to secukinumab initiation, mean (SD) $[N]$	11 (9) [179]
Years from diagnosis to secukinumab initiation, mean (SD) [N]	7.3 (7.5) [210]
Initial dose: 150 mg, <i>n</i> (%) [ <i>N</i> ]	190 (86) [221]
Monotherapy, n (%) [N]	174 (79) [221]
Duration of secukinumab (months), mean (SD) [N]	20.9 (16) [221]



percentage of patients achieving low disease activity (BASDAI<4) increased from 9% at baseline to 48% at month 6 and was maintained up to month 24 (48%). Moreover, a quarter (26%) of patients achieved remission (BASDAI<2) by month 24 (Figure 2). Conversely, the percentage of patients with high disease activity (BASDAI≥4) at baseline (91%) decreased at month 6 (52%) and was maintained at 24 months (51%).

Additional assessments such as pain VAS, ptGA and phGA, the presence of enthesitis and CRP also showed similar improvements (Figure 3). At 6 months, mean pain scores improved by 23 points and mean ptGA and phGA by 25 points; the improvements were sustained at 24 months.

The number of patients with at least one enthesitis gradually decreased during secukinumab treatment (17% at baseline, 12% at month 6, and 7% at month 24). Meanwhile, the number of patients with dactylitis remained low and stable, with less than 2% of patients ever presenting dactylitis (0.7% at month 6, 0% at month 12, 1.5% at month 18, and 1.8% at month 24). The percentage of patients without skin manifestations steadily increased from baseline to month 24 months, where 100% of patients had no skin manifestation. The mean (SD) CRP levels decreased from baseline (6.6 [13] mg/L; n = 207) to month 6 (5.0 [10.0] mg/L; n = 161) and remained low at month 24 (4.8 [13.0] mg/L, n = 58). The incidence rate of uveitis was 1.75 per 100 patient-years.





### 3.3.2. Per treatment line

As shown in Figure 4, even though mean BASDAI improved across all lines of treatment, naïve patients and second-line patients showed the greatest BASDAI improvement, whereas patients on third or subsequent lines of therapy showed the least improvement. In first line therapy patients, BASDAI decreased 2.6 points at month 6. By month 24, mean BASDAI were under 4 for naïve and second-line, but not for subsequent lines of therapy.

Changes in pain VAS, ptGA, and phGA according to treatment line are shown in Figure 5 and Supplementary Table S4. Overall, VAS scores improved to a higher extent in naive patients than in patients previously treated with another bDMARD. Nonetheless, every group showed clear improvements from baseline to month 6 that were maintained or further improved up to month 24.

# 3.4. Persistence

As observed in Figure 6, secukinumab showed an overall 12-months persistence rate of 70% (95%; CI: 64–77%) and a 24-months persistence rate of 58% (95%; CI: 51–66%). Naive patients had the highest 24-months persistence rate (67%; 95% IC: 56–78%), followed by patients receiving secukinumab as a second (56%; 95% CI: 44–69%), and third or posterior (50%; 95% CI: 36–63%) line of therapy (p=0.05).

Among patients withdrawing treatment, reasons for discontinuation were primary non-response (44%), loss of effectiveness (30%), adverse events (10%), loss of follow-up (5%), other medical reasons (5%), intolerance (2%), patient's decision (1%), contraindication (1%), and death (1%).



# 4. Discussion

So far, more than 875,000 patients have been treated with secukinumab across its four approved therapeutic indications worldwide (data on file), and data pooled from RCTs and postmarketing safety surveillance support its long-term use (22, 23). The present study provides real-world experience of secukinumab in 221 patients with axSpA (AS and nr-axSpA) treated at 12 sites in Spain (Valencian Community). The study shows that secukinumab provides a rapid and sustained effectiveness up to 24 months of treatment as demonstrated by improvements in BASDAI, pain VAS, ptGA and phGA of disease, CRP levels, and enthesitis. These results confirm and expand on data obtained from secukinumab RCTs and other RWE studies (21, 24, 25). We observed that after 6 months of treatment the percentage of patients achieving at least low disease activity (BASDAI<4) increased from 9% to 48%, which was then maintained for up to 24 months. Furthermore, a quarter of patients were in remission (BASDAI<2) at month 24. These results are similar to recent data from the Spanish BIOBADASER (two of the 28 participating centers overlapped with the present study) and the European EuroSpA registries (20, 21) in which half of patients achieved a BASDAI<4 after 1 year of secukinumab treatment.

In general, BASDAI has been the most widely used activity measure both in clinical practice and research. However, European and Spanish recommendations guidelines place ASDAS-CRP as the preferred index to assess disease activity in axSpA, as it combines patient-reported outcomes and CRP (8, 26, 27). In the present study, ASDAS-CRP data was unavailable at most of the participating sites but mean CRP was within normal parameters from month 6 of treatment, reflecting an objective control of inflammation.

Patients with axSpA have reported pain as the most important feature of their disease (26), highlighting pain improvement as an essential treatment goal for patients. As with BASDAI, we observed that secukinumab improved pain VAS, as well as ptGA and phGA with a substantial early improvement—at month 6—across all three outcomes. In a prior real-world study a similar effectiveness on PtGA was seen (20) with patients attaining a 2-year mean score of 2.5 on a VAS (0–10).

When analyzing the effect of secukinumab on BASDAI per line of treatment, we observed that all patients benefited regardless of prior treatment, although the benefit was more pronounced in naïve patients and second-line patients. In naïve patients, after 6 months of treatment, mean disease activity was low (mean BASDAI<4) and this was maintained throughout the study period, underscoring an early and sustained response. In the second-line group, the benefit was evident at 6 months, but numerically smaller than in the naive group. At month 24, the mean BASDAI and change from baseline were similar in both lines of therapy, reflecting a slower but steady response. On the other hand, although patients previously treated with three or more bDMARDs showed improvements in BASDAI, the improvement was to smaller extent than the other two groups and did not reach a mean BASDAI<4. Similar to our data, a German prospective study (AQUILA) also demonstrated that naive and second-line patients were able to attain a mean BASDAI<4 at 52 weeks whereas third or posterior line patients were not (28). This observation is in line with the EuroSpA study in which the effectiveness of secukinumab was lower when the number of previous b/tsDMARD was higher (21). We also observed higher improvements in pain VAS, ptGA and phGA when secukinumab was used as first and second bDMARD, with both groups presenting a reduction of more than 50% from baseline to month 24; and, similar to BASDAI, the improvement was mostly evident during the first 6 months of treatment. In the AQUILA and BIOBADASER studies (20, 28) secukinumab also showed comparable effectiveness in naive and second-line patients, supporting the preferential use of secukinumab as an early treatment option.

Our findings also demonstrated that persistence with secukinumab was high, confirming reports from prior RWE studies (20, 21, 24, 29–31). The 24-month persistence rate (58%) was within the range (43–66%) reported by other observational studies conducted in patients with SpA in Spain (29, 31). Persistence was higher in patients receiving secukinumab as first bDMARD line than as second or posterior lines, in accordance with the abovementioned effectiveness outcomes. This trend was also observed in the study from the BIOBADASER Spanish Registry (20).

Secukinumab can be up-titrated to 300 mg (32), based on clinical response to treatment, which allows physicians flexibility in terms of dosing. In approximately 20% of the patients who started with 150 mg,



the dose was increased to 300 mg; this percentage was highest in patients on a third or subsequent line of therapy. Interestingly, 20% and 23% of patients receiving secukinumab as second- or third-posterior lines, respectively, started treatment directly with the 300 mg dose, despite this strategy being off-label. Results from the clinical trial MEASURE 3 showed that even if improvements in signs and symptoms of AS patients were observed with both the 150-mg and 300-mg doses, improvements were numerically higher with the latter, especially in patients who were unresponsive to TNFi (33). Thus, uptitration in patients treated with the 150-mg dose is a viable option and can be considered before switching to another bDMARD.

Following the growing number of studies providing evidence of secukinumab efficacy and safety in the entire axSpA spectrum published in the last few years (12, 18, 20, 24, 25, 29, 31, 34–39), the recently updated ASAS/EULAR recommendations for the management of axSpA, recommend starting biologic treatment with either TNFi or IL-17i as current practice, and indicate that an IL-17i may be preferred in patients with significant psoriasis (8). Recent and ongoing RCTs designed to compare the efficacy of secukinumab as a first line bDMARD compared to TNFi (40, 41) in axSpA will shed light on whether using secukinumab as first-line bDMARD results in superior benefits compared with TNFi.

The study has several limitations that deserve to be discussed. First, given that data were gathered from a real-world setting, some study outcomes had missing data. This limitation is inherent to the observational and retrospective design of the study; however, all efforts were done to gather available data and most patients had available data for all the study variables. Second, radiographic assessments were not collected, and safety information was limited; however, the number of patients who withdrew secukinumab due to adverse events was low. Regarding effectiveness data, the lack of ASDAS-CRP assessment could also be considered limitations of the study. Third, our results can be generalized to the axSpA patients in the Valencian Community (due to the large and heterogeneous sample size and the high number of participating centers), and in all probability Spain. Further generalization of the results should be performed with caution. Future studies assessing the use of secukinumab in axSpA in the clinical setting of different regions and countries are warranted.

In conclusion, this real-world study broadens the current understanding of the real-life effectiveness and persistence of secukinumab in axSpA patients. We have shown that secukinumab rapidly improves disease activity in axSpA patients, especially in naive and second-line patients, which results in high persistence rates at 24 months of follow-up. Finally, our study shows that secukinumab use in the clinical practice is in line with the last ASAS/EULAR recommendations for the management of axSpA, which positions IL-17i as one of the first bDMARDs option as per current practice.

# Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

# Ethics statement

The study involved human participants and was reviewed and approved by Ethics Committee of the General University Hospital in Elda, Alicante, Spain. Written informed consent for participation was not required for this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

# Author contributions

FS, VN-M, CC-F, IB-T, MR-V, MA-Z, MG-B, JL-G, CP-G, IM, DB-S, LY-K, AC-M, AM-C, FN-B, JS-G, and JA-S contributed to data collection. FS and JA-S designed the study, analysed the data, interpreted the data, and wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study received funding from Novartis Farmacéutica, S.A.

# Acknowledgments

Medical writing assistance was provided by Laura Prieto del Val from Evidenze Health Spain during the preparation of the study protocol and this manuscript, funded by Novartis, according to Good Publication Practice guidelines.

# **Conflict of interest**

FS received honoraria as a consultant from AbbVie, Pfizer, and AstraZeneca. Grant/Research support from AbbVie, Novartis, Eli Lilly, Roche, and BMS. JS-G reports personal fees and non-financial support from Abbvie, non-financial support from BMS, personal fees from Celgene, personal fees from Janssen, non-financial support from Lilly, non-financial support from MSD, personal fees from Novartis, non-financial support from Pfizer, non-financial support from Roche, non-financial support from UCB, outside the submitted work. This study received funding from Novartis Farmacéutica, S.A. The funder

# References

1. Sieper J, Braun J, Dougados M, Baeten D. Axial Spondyloarthritis. Nat Rev Dis Primers. (2015) 1:15013. doi: 10.1038/nrdp.2015.13

2. Rudwaleit M, van der Heijde D, Landewe R, Listing J, Akkoc N, Brandt J, et al. The development of assessment of Spondyloarthritis international society classification criteria for axial Spondyloarthritis (part ii): validation and final selection. *Ann Rheum Dis.* (2009) 68:777–83. doi: 10.1136/ard.2009.108233

3. Protopopov M, Poddubnyy D. Radiographic progression in non-radiographic axial Spondyloarthritis. *Expert Rev Clin Immunol.* (2018) 14:525–33. doi: 10.1080/1744666X.2018.1477591

4. Baraliakos X, Braun J. Non-radiographic axial Spondyloarthritis and ankylosing spondylitis: what are the similarities and differences? *RMD Open.* (2015) 1:e000053. doi: 10.1136/rmdopen-2015-000053

5. Zhao SS, Radner H, Siebert S, Duffield SJ, Thong D, Hughes DM, et al. Comorbidity burden in axial Spondyloarthritis: a cluster analysis. *Rheumatology*. (2019) 58:1746–54. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/kez119

6. Strand V, Singh JA. Patient burden of axial Spondyloarthritis. J Clin Rheumatol. (2017) 23:383–91. doi: 10.1097/RHU.00000000000589

7. López-Medina C, Ramiro S, van der Heijde D, Sieper J, Dougados M, Molto A. Characteristics and burden of disease in patients with radiographic and non-radiographic axial Spondyloarthritis: a comparison by systematic literature review and meta-analysis. *RMD Open*. (2019) 5:e001108. doi: 10.1136/rmdopen-2019-001108

8. Ramiro S, Nikiphorou E, Sepriano A, Ortolan A, Webers C, Baraliakos X, et al. Asas-Eular recommendations for the management of axial Spondyloarthritis: 2022 update. *Ann Rheum Dis.* (2022) 82:19–34. doi: 10.1136/ard-2022-223296

9. Cheung PP. Anti-Il17a in axial Spondyloarthritis-where are we at? Front Med (Lausanne). (2017) 4:1. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2017.00001

10. Baeten D, Sieper J, Braun J, Baraliakos X, Dougados M, Emery P, et al. Secukinumab, an interleukin-17a inhibitor, in ankylosing spondylitis. *N Engl J Med.* (2015) 373:2534–48. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1505066

11. Sieper J, Deodhar A, Marzo-Ortega H, Aelion JA, Blanco R, Jui-Cheng T, et al. Secukinumab efficacy in anti-Tnf-naive and anti-Tnf-experienced subjects with active ankylosing spondylitis: results from the measure 2 study. *Ann Rheum Dis.* (2017) 76:571–92. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2016-210023

12. Baraliakos X, Braun J, Deodhar A, Poddubnyy D, Kivitz A, Tahir H, et al. Longterm efficacy and safety of Secukinumab 150 mg in ankylosing spondylitis: 5-year results from the phase iii measure 1 extension study. *RMD Open*. (2019) 5:e001005. doi: 10.1136/rmdopen-2019-001005

13. Pavelka K, Kivitz A, Dokoupilova E, Blanco R, Maradiaga M, Tahir H, et al. Efficacy, safety, and tolerability of Secukinumab in patients with active ankylosing spondylitis: a randomized, double-blind phase 3 study, measure 3. *Arthritis Res Ther.* (2017) 19:285. doi: 10.1186/s13075-017-1490-y

14. Kivitz AJ, Wagner U, Dokoupilova E, Supronik J, Martin R, Talloczy Z, et al. Efficacy and safety of Secukinumab 150 mg with and without loading regimen in ankylosing spondylitis: 104-week results from measure 4 study. *Rheumatol Ther*. (2018) 5:447–62. doi: 10.1007/s40744-018-0123-5

had the following involvement in the study: study design, interpretation of data, the writing of this article, and the decision to submit it for publication.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmed.2023.1156557/ full#supplementary-material

15. Marzo-Ortega H, Sieper J, Kivitz A, Blanco R, Cohen M, Delicha EM, et al. Secukinumab provides sustained improvements in the signs and symptoms of active ankylosing spondylitis with high retention rate: 3-year results from the phase iii trial, measure 2. *RMD Open.* (2017) 3:e000592. doi: 10.1136/rmdopen-2017-000592

16. Marzo-Ortega H, Sieper J, Kivitz A, Blanco R, Cohen M, Martin R, et al. Secukinumab and sustained improvement in signs and symptoms of patients with active ankylosing spondylitis through two years: results from a phase iii study. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. (2017) 69:1020–9. doi: 10.1002/acr.23233

17. Deodhar A, Blanco R, Dokoupilová E, Hall S, Kameda H, Kivitz AJ, et al. Improvement of signs and symptoms of nonradiographic axial Spondyloarthritis in patients treated with Secukinumab: primary results of a randomized, placebo-controlled phase iii study. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* (2021) 73:110–20. doi: 10.1002/art.41477

18. Baraliakos X, Kivitz AJ, Deodhar AA, Braun J, Wei JC, Delicha EM, et al. Longterm effects of interleukin-17a inhibition with Secukinumab in active ankylosing spondylitis: 3-year efficacy and safety results from an extension of the phase 3 measure 1 trial. *Clin Exp Rheumatol.* (2018) 36:50–5.

19. Michelsen B, Georgiadis S, Di Giuseppe D, Loft AG, Nissen MJ, Iannone F, et al. Real-world 6 and 12-month drug retention, remission and response rates of Secukinumab in 2,017 psoriatic arthritis patients in 13 European countries. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. (2021) 74:1205–18. doi: 10.1002/acr.24560

20. Moreno-Ramos MJ, Sanchez-Piedra C, Martínez-González O, Rodríguez-Lozano C, Pérez-Garcia C, Freire M, et al. Real-world effectiveness and treatment retention of Secukinumab in patients with psoriatic arthritis and axial Spondyloarthritis: a descriptive observational analysis of the Spanish Biobadaser registry. *Rheumatol Ther.* (2022) 9:1031–47. doi: 10.1007/s40744-022-00446-9

21. Michelsen B, Lindström U, Codreanu C, Ciurea A, Zavada J, Loft AG, et al. Drug retention, inactive disease and response rates in 1860 patients with axial Spondyloarthritis initiating Secukinumab treatment: routine care data from 13 registries in the Eurospa collaboration. *RMD Open.* (2020) 6:e001280. doi: 10.1136/rmdopen-2020-001280

22. Gottlieb AB, Deodhar A, McInnes IB, Baraliakos X, Reich K, Schreiber S, et al. Long-term safety of Secukinumab over five years in patients with moderate-to-severe plaque psoriasis, psoriatic arthritis and ankylosing spondylitis: update on integrated pooled clinical trial and post-marketing surveillance data. *Acta Derm Venereol.* (2022) 102:adv00698. doi: 10.2340/actadv.v102.563

23. Deodhar A, Mease PJ, McInnes IB, Baraliakos X, Reich K, Blauvelt A, et al. Longterm safety of Secukinumab in patients with moderate-to-severe plaque psoriasis, psoriatic arthritis, and ankylosing spondylitis: integrated pooled clinical trial and postmarketing surveillance data. *Arthritis Res Ther.* (2019) 21:111. doi: 10.1186/ s13075-019-1882-2

24. Gentileschi S, Rigante D, Sota J, Lopalco G, Giannotta MG, Emmi G, et al. Longterm effectiveness of Secukinumab in patients with axial Spondyloarthritis. *Mediat Inflamm.* (2020) 2020:6983272–5. doi: 10.1155/2020/6983272

25. Gentileschi S, Vitale A, Rigante D, Lopalco G, Emmi G, Orlando I, et al. Prompt clinical response to Secukinumab in patients with axial Spondyloarthritis: real life observational data from three Italian referral centers. *Isr Med Assoc J.* (2018) 20:438–41.

26. Kiltz U, Essers I, Hiligsmann M, Braun J, Maksymowych WP, Taylor WJ, et al. Which aspects of health are most important for patients with Spondyloarthritis? A best worst scaling based on the Asas health index. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. (2016) 55:1771–6. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/kew238

27. Kiltz U, Sfikakis PP, Gaffney K, Sator P-G, von Kiedrowski R, Bounas A, et al. Secukinumab use in patients with moderate to severe psoriasis, psoriatic arthritis and ankylosing spondylitis in real-world setting in Europe: baseline data from Serena study. *Adv Ther.* (2020) 37:2865–83. doi: 10.1007/s12325-020-01352-8

28. Kiltz U, Brandt-Juergens J, Kästner P, Riechers E, Peterlik D, Tony HP. Thu0399 how do Tnf-alpha-inhibitors in medical history affect patient reported outcomes and retention in ankylosing spondylitis patients treated with Secukinumab in real world? German Aquila Study. *Ann Rheum Dis.* (2020) 79:436–7. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-eular.220

29. Alonso S, Villa I, Fernández S, Martín JL, Charca L, Pino M, et al. Multicenter study of Secukinumab survival and safety in Spondyloarthritis and psoriatic arthritis: SEcukinumab in Cantabria and ASTURias study. *Front Med (Lausanne).* (2021) 8:679009. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2021.679009

30. Conesa-Nicolás E, García-Lagunar MH, Núñez-Bracamonte S, García-Simón MS, Mira-Sirvent MC. Persistence of Secukinumab in patients with psoriasis, psoriatic arthritis, and ankylosing spondylitis. *Farm Hosp.* (2020) 45:16–21. doi: 10.7399/fh.11465

31. García-Dorta A, León-Suarez P, Peña S, Hernández-Díaz M, Rodríguez-Lozano C, González-Dávila E, et al. Association of gender, diagnosis, and obesity with retention rate of Secukinumab in Spondyloarthropathies: results form a multicenter real-world study. *Front Med (Lausanne)*. (2022) 8:815881. doi: 10.3389/ fmed.2021.815881

32. European Medicines Agency. Cosentyx, inn-Secukinumab – summary of product characteristics (Smpc).

33. Pavelka K, Kivitz AJ, Dokoupilova E, Blanco R, Maradiaga M, Tahir H, et al. Secukinumab 150/300 mg provides sustained improvements in the signs and symptoms of active ankylosing spondylitis: 3-year results from the phase 3 measure 3 study. *ACR Open Rheumatol.* (2020) 2:119–27. doi: 10.1002/acr2.11102

34. Braun J, Baraliakos X, Deodhar A, Baeten D, Sieper J, Emery P, et al. Effect of Secukinumab on clinical and radiographic outcomes in ankylosing spondylitis: 2-year results from the randomised phase iii measure 1 study. Ann Rheum Dis. (2017) 76:1070–7. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2016-209730

35. Braun J, Kiltz U, Bühring B, Baraliakos X. Secukinumab in axial Spondyloarthritis: a narrative review of clinical evidence. *Ther Adv Musculoskelet Dis.* (2021) 13:1759720x211041854. doi: 10.1177/1759720x211041854

36. Chimenti MS, Fonti GL, Conigliaro P, Sunzini F, Scrivo R, Navarini L, et al. Oneyear effectiveness, retention rate, and safety of Secukinumab in ankylosing spondylitis and psoriatic arthritis: a real-life multicenter study. *Expert Opin Biol Ther.* (2020) 20:813–21. doi: 10.1080/14712598.2020.1761957

37. Deodhar A, Boonen A, Ferraccioli G, Fvd B, Martinez D, Porter B, et al. Fri0388 Secukinumab improves health-related quality of life in patients with ankylosing spondylitis, irrespective of time since first diagnosis: pooled results from the Secukinumab phase 3 trial program. *Ann Rheum Dis.* (2019) 78:878–9. doi: 10.1136/ annrheumdis-2019-eular.2829

38. Emperiale V, Esteban JC, Argumánez CM, Bachiller-Corral J, Valero M, Joven-Ibáñez B, et al. Ab0701 real-world experience of Secukinumab for axial Spondyloarthritis: specific population characteristics. *Ann Rheum Dis.* (2019) 78:1812–3. doi: 10.1136/ annrheumdis-2019-eular.284

39. Favalli E, Marchesoni ABS, Montecucco C, Lomater C, Crepaldi G, Tamanini S. Effectiveness and retention rate of Secukinumab for psoriatic arthritis and axial Spondyloarthritis: real-life data from the Italian Lorhen registry [abstract]. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* (2019) Available at: https://acrabstracts.org/abstract/effectiveness-and-retention-rate-of-secukinumab-for-psoriatic-arthritis-and-axial-spondyloarthritis-real-life-data-from-the-italian-lorhen-registry/

40. Baraliakos X, Østergaard M, Gensler LS, Poddubnyy D, Lee EY, Kiltz U, et al. Comparison of the effects of Secukinumab and adalimumab biosimilar on radiographic progression in patients with ankylosing spondylitis: Design of a Randomized, phase Iiib study (Surpass). *Clin Drug Investig.* (2020) 40:269–78. doi: 10.1007/s40261-020-00886-7

41. Poddubnyy D, Hammel L, Heyne M, Veit J, Jentzsch C, Baraliakos X. Treat-totarget strategy with Secukinumab as a first-line biological disease modifying antirheumatic drug compared to standard-of-care treatment in patients with active axial Spondyloarthritis: protocol for a randomised open-label phase iii study, Ascalate. *BMJ Open.* (2020) 10:e039059. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-039059