



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY
Andrea Tinelli,
Xi'an Jiaotong University, China

REVIEWED BY
Yahya M. Naguib,
Arabian Gulf University, Bahrain

*CORRESPONDENCE
Mehmet Murat Seval
seval@ankara.edu.tr

SPECIALTY SECTION
This article was submitted to
Obstetrics and Gynecology,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Medicine

RECEIVED 18 October 2022
ACCEPTED 14 November 2022
PUBLISHED 02 December 2022

CITATION
Seval MM and Koyuncu K (2022)
Current status of stem cell treatments
and innovative approaches for stress
urinary incontinence.
Front. Med. 9:1073758.
doi: 10.3389/fmed.2022.1073758

COPYRIGHT
© 2022 Seval and Koyuncu. This is an
open-access article distributed under
the terms of the [Creative Commons
Attribution License \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use,
distribution or reproduction in other
forums is permitted, provided the
original author(s) and the copyright
owner(s) are credited and that the
original publication in this journal is
cited, in accordance with accepted
academic practice. No use, distribution
or reproduction is permitted which
does not comply with these terms.

Current status of stem cell treatments and innovative approaches for stress urinary incontinence

Mehmet Murat Seval^{1*} and Kazibe Koyuncu²

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Ankara University School of Medicine, Ankara, Turkey, ²Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Medicana Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey

Stem cells are capable of self-renewal, differentiation, and the promotion of the release of chemokines and progenitor cells essential for tissue regeneration. Stem cells have the potential to develop into specialized cells if given the right conditions, to self-renew and maintain themselves, to generate a large number of new differentiated cells if injured, and to either generate new tissues or repair existing ones. In the last decade, it has become clear that treating lower urinary tract dysfunction with the patient's own adult stem cells is an effective, root-cause method. Regenerative medicine is predicated on the idea that a damaged rhabdosphincter can be repaired, leading to enhanced blood flow and improved function of the sphincter's exterior (striated) and internal (smooth) muscles. Stem cell therapy has the potential to cure stress urinary incontinence according to preclinical models. In contrast, stem cell treatment has not been licensed for routine clinical usage. This article reviews the current state of stem cell for stress urinary incontinence research and recommends future avenues to facilitate practical uses of this potential therapy modality.

KEYWORDS

stem cell, stress urinary incontinence, urogynecology, urethra, sphincter

Introduction

It has been estimated that up to half of all adult women experience urinary incontinence at a certain point according to a recent research (1). Ten to 20% of all females are diagnosed with this disorder, and as many as 77% of women in nursing homes suffer from it (2–8). Data from primary care settings show that 37.5% of women between the ages of 30 and 50 suffer from stress incontinence (9). Urinary incontinence was predicted to be the primary diagnosis or chief complaint for 6.8 million women, according to the National Ambulatory and Hospital Medical Care Survey for 2009–2010; 15.3% of these women received treatment in a primary care setting (10). Incontinence is still underdiagnosed and neglected despite how common it is. Less than half of the affected women who seek medical attention—only 25%—are treated (11). It was discovered that untreated incontinence was linked to fractures, trouble sleeping, depression, and UTIs (12–14).

Urinary incontinence has found to affect up to 50% of adult women (1). This disorder affects 10–20% of all women and up to 77% of older women (2–8). Recent findings reveal that almost one third of young women in primary care have stress incontinence (9). It has been estimated 6.8 million women had urine incontinence as their primary diagnosis or chief complaint; 15.3% were treated in a practitioner (10). Incontinence continues to be underdiagnosed and untreated while it has been a very common problem. Only a quarter of affected women shown to seek medical attention, and of those, less than half are treated (11). Untreated incontinence was found to be related to fractures, sleep difficulties, depression, and urinary tract infections (12–14).

The management of adult female urine incontinence is an evolving practice. For some affected women, urine incontinence is bothersome and intrusive enough to justify therapy consideration. Options range from lifestyle modifications to more intrusive surgical procedures (15). Urinary incontinence may be treated with pelvic floor therapy, lifestyle modifications (including fluid optimization), pharmaceutical treatment, or surgery in women who are overall healthy. Instead, women with other major health concerns may view their urine incontinence as a chronic condition, with a focus on symptom reduction rather than complete remission.

Stem cells are self-renewing and capable of differentiating into progenitor cells to replace aged cells suffering apoptosis (16, 17). Existing urine incontinence treatments may be unsatisfactory regardless of the underlying reason, resulting in a considerable decrease in patients' quality of life. So, stem cell research has been risen to the forefront of regenerative medicine (18–20).

Although the studies comprised a very small number of patients, it is possible to consider stem cell injection safe, at least in the short term, because only mild adverse effects were observed. However, there is a great deal of variation in the effectiveness findings between research. Studies using adipose-derived stem cells showed only a slight or no benefit when looking at subjective or objective outcomes. Muscle-derived stem cells and human cord blood stem cells were found to have greater benefits in terms of patient satisfaction. In terms of the instrumental results, there was too much variation between trials to make any solid claims. In addition to using different cell lines, variations in sample size, cell injection volume, and follow-up period all contribute to non-comparable findings among research.

Diverse preclinical models were developed to test the therapeutic effects of stem cells for stress urinary incontinence, but clinical studies in human are scarce. This review examines the present and future directions of stem cell treatment research for stress urinary incontinence.

Stress urinary incontinence pathophysiology

Stress urinary incontinence (SUI) is caused by a variety of factors and typically be attributed to mechanical and functional factors. Myogenic, connective tissue, and hormonal alterations are significant variables. In addition, muscle cell density falls because of natural aging process and decreased muscle function in rhabdosphincter, with the overall volume reducing from 88% at birth to 34% in the 90th year of life (21). Female SUI is frequently caused by multiple factors, including dysfunctions of the sphincter and nerve injury. The mid-urethral sling has the benefit of requiring less intervention time. The rate of any re-operation was 5.5–6.9% in long term follow-up (22). However, several organizations has frequently issued warnings against the use of mesh materials in the treatment of female urinary incontinence as the result of many severe adverse events (23).

Preclinical studies have progressively used several stem cell types to treat SUI in recent years. Determine the best cell type for therapeutic usage by carefully weighing the benefits and drawbacks of each sort. The idea of regenerative medicine is based on the rehabilitation of a dysfunctional rhabdosphincter, with enhancements in the activity of the sphincter's (external and/or internal) muscles as well as its blood flow.

Stem cell types

Because a human embryo at the blastocyst stage can be viewed as an individual human, it is ethically unacceptable to isolate embryonic stem cells. Stem cells can be taken from bone marrow, muscle tissue, adipose tissue (24–28), and testicular tissue, among other sources (29). Adult stem cells could be derived from multiple sources like adipose tissue, bone marrow or muscle tissue etc. may provide a good option for regenerative therapy since they have a minimal chance of developing into cancer, may be transferred autologously without rejection risk, and ethical debate. The atrophied, damaged musculature is brought back to normal function by promoting muscle and nerve regeneration by injecting adult stem cells into the wounded rhabdosphincter. Stem cells regenerate the matrix and the muscle cells that maintain normal contraction function and continence. This action is made possible by the cells' prior development into neurones or striated muscle cells, which may repair damaged parts.

Muscle-derived stem cells (MDSCs) and adipose tissue-derived stem cells (ADSCs) have been examined more extensively than other cell types to date, however studies on urine-derived stem cells, bone marrow-derived stem cells, amniotic fluid-derived stemcells, and umbilical cord blood stem cells are increasing. Autologous cells that may be obtained with few invasive procedures, in high quantities, and for use in stem cell therapies are the ideal characteristics.

Methods

We looked at the outcome in terms of UI reduction and continence restoration following treatment. PRISMA standards were used to guide the literature search that was conducted. Only data obtained from clinical studies involving humans, in female patients with SUI, were eligible for inclusion in the study. No institutional review board permission was needed. The literature stem cell therapy for stress urinary incontinence patients published up through November 2022 was combed using four internet databases (PubMed, Cochrane Library and Scopus). The search method was modified for each database, but the overarching keywords were (Stress urinary incontinence) and (Regenerative medicine OR Cell- and Tissue-Based Therapy OR Stem Cell Transplantation OR Stem cell).

Clinical trials with stem cells for stress urinary incontinence

In stem cell clinical trials, SUI has received the most attention (30–44). Different types of stem cells have been shown to be therapeutically effective and safe when used to treat SUI in the literature (45). ADSCs are now the most prevalent kind of stem cell utilized in plastic transplantation. A large quantity of adipose tissue is retrievable after liposuction, and repeated sampling is possible. ADSCs differentiate *via* adipogenesis, osteogenesis, chondrogenesis, and myogenesis (43, 46). Kuismanen et al. reported that after autologous ADSC injections into the human urethra, a cough test was negative for all patients. The total UI ratings increased considerably (40). This study also demonstrated that the use of stem cells to treat SUI is safe and tolerated; nevertheless, more research is required. Arjmand et al. enrolled 10 female patients with SUI and demonstrated a substantial improvement at 2–24 weeks following ADSC injection (47). Kuismanen et al. enrolled five female SUI patients treated with ADSCs. Three patients passed the cough test at the 1-year follow-up, whereas the other two did not. The surgery has a 60% success rate (40). The majority of prior research was limited by small sample size and gathering of primarily short-term outcomes. Success rates varied between 30 and 100%.

MDSCs have been widely researched as a means of SUI therapy (48). Muscle biopsies taken under local anesthesia may result in low morbidity (49) when MDSCs are cultured. The extracted muscle tissue must be enlarged *in vitro* and then reinjected into the paraurethral area (50). MDSCs have been proven to have a high regenerative capacity (32). MDSCs can be administered transurethrally or periurethrally into the rhabdosphincter to enhance sphincter function and as blocking agents (48, 50). After unsuccessfully trying several treatments for SUI, Carr et al. injected autologous, MDSCs into the urethral sphincters of eight women. Six women improved in

pad tests, urination diaries, and quality of life questionnaires after a year, and one of these women reached perfect continence (32). Thirty-eight patients and a range of stem cell doses were added to the trial in 2013. Compared to those given lower dosages, patients treated with larger doses saw greater symptom relief (33). After myoblast and fibroblast injection, 123 female patients by Mitterberger et al. shown a significant improvement in SUI (follow-up at 62.9 months), 79% ($n = 94$) of the patients were stable at the 1-year check-up, while 13% ($n = 16$) showed significant improvement (41). Stangel-Wojcikiewicz et al. enrolled 16 women and noted an improvement in 25% of women based on clinical and urodynamic outcomes (36). Sharifiaghdas et al. conducted a prospective cohort research involving 10 women receiving MDSCs for the treatment of SUI (51). Three patients regained full continence after 3 years of follow-up, assessed with a cough stress test, a 1-h pad test, and questionnaires. Three patients did not respond to the medication, whereas four individuals shown great improvement. Gerullis et al. included 222 patients who had had a urological procedure and were given autologous MDSCs (52). After a 6- to 12-month follow-up, 12% of patients were continent, 42% improved, and 46% had chronic urinary incontinence. In another study 123 women with SUI were recruited treated with MDSC injections (53). At the 1-year follow-up, 79% of the women were totally continent, whereas 13 and 8% improved significantly. In another study, Mitterberger et al. selected 20 women with SUI and gave them 1–3 10^7 MDSCs (41). At the 1-year follow-up, 18 patients had been cured, and the SUI of two patients had improved. The therapeutic effect was constant during a 2-year follow-up and quality of life scores significantly improved. In another study, 38 patients with SUI were treated with MDSCs (53). The improvement in SUI was examined using objective outcomes and patient and clinician perceptions after a 2-year follow-up, and all indicated a substantial improvement. They found that MDSC injection is possible and safe in patients with SUI, and that the patients' quality of life improved dramatically. Sebe et al. enrolled 12 female SUI patients and treated them with MDSCs (30). At 12 months, three of the twelve patients (25%) were dry on the pad test, while seven (58.3%) of the other patients improved. Six of the twelve (50%) patients reported an improvement in their quality of life.

Umbilical cord blood stem cells (USCs) may be extracted from human umbilical cords (54). USCs are regarded to be more capable of differentiating than adult stem cells (54). The collection of USCs does not entail any intrusive procedures, which is an additional benefit. Additionally, there is a low risk of graft-vs.-host disease and virus contamination with USCs. Matching HLA types might be less rigorous. In addition, USCs are available *via* donor-based banking systems (55). Lee et al. recruited and implanted USCs into 39 women with urinary incontinence (55). The submucosal area of the proximal urethra was injected with USCs with $4.3 \pm 1.9 \times 10^8$ cells per 2 mL

of media at the 4 and 8 o'clock positions. At the 1-year follow-up, 36% of patients were completely continent, and 36% had markedly improved urinary incontinence. Nonetheless, 27% of patients did not improve.

Conclusion

Although the clinical research on stem cell therapy for the treatment of SUI reveal promising outcomes with significant promise, these short-term results must be viewed with caution. The outcomes of numerous clinical trials are debatable. The endurance of stem cells is a challenge. Rapid reabsorption of body fat ensues. Suction damages cell membranes, and only 10–30% of fat cells are detectable 6 months after application (56). In all documented urinary incontinence clinical studies, autologous stem cells were injected transurethrally, periurethrally or transperineal. The results of various injection methods have been rarely compared (57–59). A recent Cochrane review of studies comparing urethral injection for the treatment of female SUI found no evidence for a significantly better application type (60). Jaeger et al. reported a unique methodology for delivering MSCs into the external urethral sphincter that utilized a method without needle using waterjet technology (61). The number of transplanted cells varied considerably. The range of injected cells was between 1.8×10^6 and 50×10^6 cells. The greatest number of cells was injected while using MDSCs (62). In each study, the volume of injected cells was <10 ml. Due to a lack of clarity surrounding stem cell-based therapy, different cell dosages are utilized. However, it is undeniable that the concept of regenerative medicine leads to regeneration of the injured rhabdosphincter, as well as an improvement in the function of the external and internal sphincters and the blood supply of sphincter muscle. Stem cell therapies have become appealing tools as they are biocompatible and

not causing adverse inflammatory reactions. However, the existing data are quite varied, making comparisons between cell types or cell coating processes problematic. In addition, few clinically relevant animal models have been utilized, resulting in inconsistent findings. Lastly, a thorough evaluation of the basic mechanisms of stem cells linked with the host reaction is essential.

Author contributions

MS and KK have made substantial contributions to the conception or design of the work and drafting the work or revising it critically for important intellectual content. All authors provide approval for publication of the content and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

- Minassian VA, Stewart WF, Wood GC. Urinary incontinence in women: variation in prevalence estimates and risk factors. *Obstet Gynecol.* (2008) 111 (2 pt 1):324–31. doi: 10.1097/01.AOG.0000267220.48987.17
- Tennstedt SL, Link CL, Steers WD, McKinlay JB. Prevalence of and risk factors for urine leakage in a racially and ethnically diverse population of adults: the Boston Area Community Health (BACH) Survey. *Am J Epidemiol.* (2008) 167:390–9. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwm356
- Lawrence JM, Lukacz ES, Nager CW, Hsu JW, Lubner KM. Prevalence and co-occurrence of pelvic floor disorders in community-dwelling women. *Obstet Gynecol.* (2008) 111:678–85. doi: 10.1097/AOG.0b013e3181660c1b
- Nygaard I, Barber MD, Burgio KL, Kenton K, Meikle S, Schaffer J, et al. Prevalence of symptomatic pelvic floor disorders in US women. *JAMA.* (2008) 300:1311–6. doi: 10.1001/jama.300.11.1311
- Wu JM, Vaughan CP, Goode PS, Redden DT, Burgio KL, Richter HE, et al. Prevalence and trends of symptomatic pelvic floor disorders in US women. *Obstet Gynecol.* (2014) 123:141–8. doi: 10.1097/AOG.0000000000000057
- Offermans MP, Du Moulin MF, Hamers JP, Dassen T, Halfens RJ. Prevalence of urinary incontinence and associated risk factors in nursing home residents: a systematic review. *NeuroUrol Urodyn.* (2009) 28:288–94. doi: 10.1002/nau.20668
- Anger JT, Saigal CS, Litwin M, Urologic Diseases of America Project. The prevalence of urinary incontinence among community dwelling adult women: results from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *J Urol.* (2006) 175:601–4. doi: 10.1016/S0022-5347(05)00242-9
- Thom D. Variation in estimates of urinary incontinence prevalence in the community: effects of differences in definition, population characteristics, and study type. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* (1998) 46:473–80. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.1998.tb02469.x
- Ng SF, Lok MK, Pang SM, Wun YT. Stress urinary incontinence in younger women in primary care: prevalence and opportunistic intervention. *J Womens Health.* (2014) 23:65–8. doi: 10.1089/jwh.2013.4382
- Forde JC, Chughtai B, Cea M, Stone BV, Te A, Bishop TF. Trends in ambulatory management of urinary incontinence in women

- in the United States. *Female Pelvic Med Reconstr Surg.* (2017) 23:250–5. doi: 10.1097/SPV.0000000000000365
11. Minassian VA, Yan X, Lichtenfeld MJ, Sun H, Stewart WF. The iceberg of health care utilization in women with urinary incontinence. *Int Urogynecol J.* (2012) 23:1087–93. doi: 10.1007/s00192-012-1743-x
 12. Brown JS, McGhan WF, Chokroverty S. Comorbidities associated with overactive bladder. *Am J Manag Care.* (2000) 6(Suppl):S574–9.
 13. Wagner TH, Hu TW, Bentkover J, LeBlanc K, Stewart W, Corey R, et al. Health-related consequences of overactive bladder. *Am J Manag Care.* (2002) 8(Suppl):S598–607.
 14. Jeong EM, Yoon JH, Lim J, Shin JW, Cho AY, Heo J, et al. Real-Time Monitoring of Glutathione in Living Cells Reveals that High Glutathione Levels Are Required to Maintain Stem Cell Function. *Stem Cell Reports.* (2018) 10:600–14. doi: 10.1016/j.stemcr.2017.12.007
 15. Burgio KL. Update on behavioral and physical therapies for incontinence and overactive bladder: the role of pelvic floor muscle training. *Curr Urol Rep.* (2013) 14:457–64. doi: 10.1007/s11934-013-0358-1
 16. Ratajczak MZ, Ratajczak J, Suszynska M, Miller DM, Kucia M, Shin DM, et al. novel view of the adult stem cell compartment from the perspective of a quiescent population of very small embryonic-like stem cells. *Circ Res.* (2017) 120:166–78. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.309362
 17. Heo J, Lim J, Lee S, Jeong J, Kang H, Kim Y, et al. Sirt1 regulates DNA methylation and differentiation potential of embryonic stem cells by antagonizing Dnmt3l. *Cell Rep.* (2017) 18:1930–45. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2017.01.074
 18. Jeong H, Yim HW, Park HJ, Cho Y, Hong H, Kim NJ, et al. Mesenchymal stem cell therapy for ischemic heart disease: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Stem Cells.* (2018) 11:1–12. doi: 10.15283/ijsc17061
 19. Kim Y, Jin HJ, Heo J, Ju H, Lee HY, Kim S, et al. Small hypoxia-primed mesenchymal stem cells attenuate graft-versus-host disease. *Leukemia.* (2018) 32:2672–84. doi: 10.1038/s41375-018-0151-8
 20. Jeong EM, Yoon JH, Lim J, Shin JW, Cho AY, Heo J, et al. Real-time monitoring of glutathione in living cells reveals that high glutathione levels are required to maintain stem cell function. *Stem Cell Reports.* (2018) 10:600–14.
 21. Strasser H, Tiefenthaler M, Steinlechner M, Bartsch G, Konwalinka G. Urinary incontinence in the elderly and age-dependent apoptosis of rhabdosphincter cells. *Lancet.* (1999) 354:918–9. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(99)02588-X
 22. Ashok K, Wang A. Recurrent urinary stress incontinence: an overview. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res.* (2010) 36:467–73. doi: 10.1111/j.1447-0756.2010.01232.x
 23. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). *FDA Public Health Notification: Serious Complications Associated With Transvaginal Placement of Surgical Mesh in Repair of Pelvic Organ Prolapse and Stress Urinary Incontinence.* (2008). Available from: <https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/urogynecologic-surgical-mesh-implants/fdas-activities-urogynecologic-surgical-mesh> (accessed February 2020).
 24. Weissman IL. Translating stem and progenitor cell biology to the clinic: barriers and opportunities. *Science.* (2000) 287:1442–6. doi: 10.1126/science.287.5457.1442
 25. Watt FM. Epidermal stem cells: markers, patterning and the control of stem cell fate. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.* (1998) 353:831–7. doi: 10.1098/rstb.1998.0247
 26. Gage FH. Mammalian neural stem cells. *Science.* (2000) 287:1433–8. doi: 10.1126/science.287.5457.1433
 27. Caplan AI. The mesengenic process. *Clin Plast Surg.* (1994) 21:429–35. doi: 10.1016/S0094-1298(20)31020-8
 28. Park SR, Oreffo RO, Triffitt JT. Interconversion potential of cloned human marrow adipocytes *in vitro.* *Bone.* (1999) 24:549–54. doi: 10.1016/S8756-3282(99)00084-8
 29. Guan K. Pluripotency of spermatogonial stem cells from adult mouse testis. *Nature.* (2006) 440:1199–203. doi: 10.1038/nature04697
 30. Sebe P, Doucet C, Cornu JN, Ciofu C, Costa P, de Medina SG, et al. Intrasphincteric injections of autologous muscular cells in women with refractory stress urinary incontinence: a prospective study. *Int Urogynecol J.* (2011) 22:183–9. doi: 10.1007/s00192-010-1255-5
 31. Blaganje M, Lukanovic A. Intrasphincteric autologous myoblast injections with electrical stimulation for stress urinary incontinence. *Int J Gynaecol Obstetr.* (2012) 117:164–7. doi: 10.1016/j.ijgo.2011.11.029
 32. Carr LK, Steele D, Steele S, Wagner D, Pruchnic R, Jankowski R, et al. 1-year follow-up of autologous muscle-derived stem cell injection pilot study to treat stress urinary incontinence. *Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct.* (2008) 19:881–3. doi: 10.1007/s00192-007-0553-z
 33. Carr LK, Robert M, Kultgen PL, Herschorn S, Birch C, Murphy M, et al. Autologous muscle derived cell therapy for stress urinary incontinence: a prospective, dose ranging study. *J Urol.* (2013) 189:595–601. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2012.09.028
 34. Gotoh M, Yamamoto T, Shimizu S, Matsukawa Y, Kato M, Majima T, et al. Treatment of male stress urinary incontinence using autologous adipose-derived regenerative cells: Long-term efficacy and safety. *Int J Urol.* (2019) 26:400–5. doi: 10.1111/iju.13886
 35. Peters KM, Dmochowski RR, Carr LK, Robert M, Kaufman MR, Siris LT, et al. Autologous muscle derived cells for treatment of stress urinary incontinence in women. *J Urol.* (2014) 192:469–76. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2014.02.047
 36. Stangel-Wojcikiewicz K, Jarocho D, Piwowar M, Jach R, Uhl T, Basta A, et al. Autologous muscle-derived cells for the treatment of female stress urinary incontinence: a 2-year follow-up of a polish investigation. *Neurourol Urodyn.* (2014) 33:324–30. doi: 10.1002/nau.22404
 37. Stangel-Wojcikiewicz K, Piwowar M, Jach R, Majka M, Basta A. Quality of life assessment in female patients 2 and 4 years after muscle-derived cell transplants for stress urinary incontinence treatment. *Ginekol Pol.* (2016) 87:183–9. doi: 10.17772/gp/61330
 38. Keshvari Shirvan M, Roham P, Rahimi HR, Soltani S, Alamdari DH. A novel cell therapy for stress urinary incontinence, midterm outcome. *Neurourol Urodyn.* (2017) 36:1214–6. doi: 10.1002/nau.23068
 39. Shirvan MK, Alamdari DH, Mahboub MD, Ghanadi A, Rahimi HR, Seifalian AM, et al. novel cell therapy for stress urinary incontinence, short-term outcome. *Neurourol Urodyn.* (2013) 32:377–82. doi: 10.1002/nau.22301
 40. Kuismannen K, Sartoneva R, Haimi S, Mannerstrom B, Tomas E, Miettinen S, et al. Autologous adipose stem cells in treatment of female stress urinary incontinence: results of a pilot study. *Stem Cells Transl Med.* (2014) 3:936–41. doi: 10.5966/sctm.2013-0197
 41. Mitterberger M, Marksteiner R, Margreiter E, Pinggera GM, Frauscher F, Ulmer H, et al. Myoblast and fibroblast therapy for post-prostatectomy urinary incontinence: 1-year followup of 63 patients. *J Urol.* (2008) 179:226–31. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2007.08.154
 42. Gerullis H, Eimer C, Georgas E, Homburger M, El-Baz AG, Wishahi M, et al. Muscle-derived cells for treatment of iatrogenic sphincter damage and urinary incontinence in men. *Sci World J.* (2012) 2012:898535. doi: 10.1100/2012/898535
 43. Yamamoto T, Gotoh M, Kato M, Majima T, Toriyama K, Kamei Y, et al. Periurethral injection of autologous adipose-derived regenerative cells for the treatment of male stress urinary incontinence: Report of three initial cases. *Int J Urol.* (2012) 19:652–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1442-2042.2012.02999.x
 44. Choi JY, Kim TH, Yang JD, Suh JS, Kwon TG. Adipose-derived regenerative cell injection therapy for postprostatectomy incontinence: a phase I clinical study. *Yonsei Med J.* (2016) 57:1152–8. doi: 10.3349/ymj.2016.57.5.1152
 45. Aragon IM, Imbroda BH, Lara MF. Cell therapy clinical trials for stress urinary incontinence: current status and perspectives. *Int J Med Sci.* (2018) 15:195–204. doi: 10.7150/ijms.22130
 46. Ding DC, Wu KC, Chou HL, Hung WT, Liu HW, Chu TY. Human infrapatellar fat pad-derived stromal cells have more potent differentiation capacity than other mesenchymal cells and can be enhanced by hyaluronan. *Cell Transplant.* (2015) 24:1221–32. doi: 10.3727/096368914X681937
 47. Arjmand B, Safavi M, Heidari R, Aghayan H, Bazargani S, Dehghani S, et al. Concomitant transurethral and transvaginal-periurethral injection of autologous adipose derived stem cells for treatment of female stress urinary incontinence: a phase one clinical trial. *Acta Med Iran.* (2017) 55:368–74.
 48. Barakat B, Franke K, Schakaki S, Hijazi S, Hasselhof V, Vögeli TA. Stem cell applications in regenerative medicine for stress urinary incontinence: a review of effectiveness based on clinical trials. *Arab J Urol.* (2020) 18:194–205. doi: 10.1080/2090598X.2020.1750864
 49. Usas A, Huard J. Muscle-derived stem cells for tissue engineering and regenerative therapy. *Biomaterials.* (2007) 28:5401–6. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2007.09.008
 50. Zhou S, Zhang K, Atala A, Khoury O, Murphy SV, Zhao W, et al. Stem cell therapy for treatment of stress urinary incontinence: the current status and challenges. *Stem Cells Int.* (2016) 2016:7060975. doi: 10.1155/2016/7060975
 51. Sharifiaghdas F, Tajalli F, Taheri M, Naji M, Moghadasali R, Aghdami N, et al. Effect of autologous muscle-derived cells in the treatment of urinary incontinence in female patients with intrinsic sphincter deficiency and epispatias: a prospective study. *Int J Urol.* (2016) 23:581–6. doi: 10.1111/iju.13097

52. Jankowski RJ, Tu LM, Carlson C, Robert M, Carlson K, Quinlan D, et al. A double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial evaluating the safety and efficacy of autologous muscle derived cells in female subjects with stress urinary incontinence. *Int Urol Nephrol.* (2018) 50:2153–65. doi: 10.1007/s11255-018-2005-8
53. Blaganje M, Lukanović A. The effect of skeletal muscle-derived cells implantation on stress urinary incontinence and functional urethral properties in female patients. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet.* (2022) 157:444–51. doi: 10.1002/ijgo.13853
54. Ding DC, Chang YH, Shyu WC, Lin SZ. Human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells: a new era for stem cell therapy. *Cell Transplant.* (2015) 24:339–47. doi: 10.3727/096368915X686841
55. Lee CN, Jang JB, Kim JY, Koh C, Baek JY, Lee KJ. Human cord blood stem cell therapy for treatment of stress urinary incontinence. *J Korean Med Sci.* (2010) 25:813–6. doi: 10.3346/jkms.2010.25.6.813
56. Qin D, Long T, Deng J, Zhang Y. Urine-derived stem cells for potential use in bladder repair. *Stem Cell Res Ther.* (2014) 5:69. doi: 10.1186/s13045-014-0145-8
57. Burdzinska A, Dybowski B, Zarychta-Wisniewska W, Kulesza A, Hawryluk J, Graczyk-Jarzynka A, et al. Limited accuracy of transurethral and periurethral intrasphincteric injections of cellular suspension. *Neurourol. Urodyn.* (2018) 37:1612–22. doi: 10.1002/nau.23522
58. Faerber GJ, Belville WD, Ohl DA, Plata A. Comparison of transurethral versus periurethral collagen injection in women with intrinsic sphincter deficiency. *Tech. Urol.* (1998) 4:124–7.
59. Schulz JA, Nager CW, Stanton SL, Baessler K. Bulking agents for stress urinary incontinence: short-term results and complications in a randomized comparison of periurethral and transurethral injections. *Int. Urogynecol. J.* (2004) 15:261–5. doi: 10.1007/s00192-004-1148-6
60. Kirchin V, Page T, Keegan PE, Atiemo KO, Cody JD, McClinton S, et al. Urethral injection therapy for urinary incontinence in women. *Cochr Database Syst Rev.* (2017). doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD003881.pub4
61. Jager L, Linzenbold W, Feh A, Enderle M, Abruzzese T, Stenzl A, et al. A novel waterjet technology for transurethral cystoscopic injection of viable cells in the urethral sphincter complex. *Neurourol Urodyn.* (2020) 39:594–602. doi: 10.1002/nau.24261
62. Delo DM, Eberli D, Williams JK, Andersson KE, Atala A, Soker S. Angiogenic gene modification of skeletal muscle cells to compensate for ageing-induced decline in bioengineered functional muscle tissue. *BJU Int.* (2008) 102:878–84. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-410X.2008.07750.x