### Check for updates

### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Yngvar Olsen, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway

\*CORRESPONDENCE Yen-Ju Pan Manail.ntou.edu.tw

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Marine Fisheries, Aquaculture and Living Resources, a section of the journal Frontiers in Marine Science

RECEIVED 03 December 2022 ACCEPTED 06 December 2022 PUBLISHED 21 December 2022

#### CITATION

Pan Y-J, Souissi S and Jepsen PM (2022) Editorial: Live feed for early ontogenetic development in marine fish larvae. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 9:1115275. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2022.1115275

### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Pan, Souissi and Jepsen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Editorial: Live feed for early ontogenetic development in marine fish larvae

### Yen-Ju Pan<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Sami Souissi<sup>3</sup> and Per Meyer Jepsen<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Aquaculture, National Taiwan Ocean University, Keelung, Taiwan, <sup>2</sup>Center of Excellence for the Oceans, National Taiwan Ocean University, Keelung, Taiwan, <sup>3</sup>Université de Lille, The French National Centre for Scientific Research, Université du Littoral Côte d'Opale, French National Research Institute for Sustainable Development, Mixed Research Unit 8187 Laboratory of Oceanology and Geosciences, Station Marine de Wimereux, Lille, France, <sup>4</sup>Department of Science and Environment, Roskilde University, Roskilde, Denmark

### KEYWORDS

aquaculture, live feed, microalgae, rotifer, Artemia, copepod, fish larvae

Editorial on the Research Topic: Live feed for early ontogenetic development in marine fish larvae

## 1 Preface

Live feeds hold the key to a stable and expanding marine aquaculture. In this editorial, we briefly review the history of live feed production for marine larviculture and summarize the latest contribution issued in the research topic – Live Feed for Early Ontogenetic Development in Marine Fish Larvae. With the current research that were submitted to this research topic, we see trends into many different aspects of live feed production. We are ensured that some of the remaining bottlenecks will be solved in a near future, providing a diverse and ecological sound marine aquaculture sector to flourish.

## 2 Larviculture of marine fish species

The marine aquacultures are expanding with an increasing diversity of fish species across different regions. Most commercial marine fish larvae require live feed as first feeding diet, such as European seabass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*), gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata*), turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*), Atlantic halibut (*Hippoglossus hippoglossus*) and cod (*Gadus* spp.) in Mediterranean and East Atlantic regions (Sweetman, 1992; Reitan et al., 1993; Moksness and Støle, 1997; Shields, 2001; Evjemo et al., 2003; Oie et al., 2017) milkfish (*Chanos chanos*), groupers (*Epinephelus* spp.), cobia (*Rachycentron canadum*), snappers (*Lutjanus* spp.), sea bass (*Lates calcarifer* and *Lateolabrax japonicus*), sea breams (*Acanthopagrus* spp. and *Pagrus* spp.) and pompano (*Trachinotus* spp.) in Asian Pacific and Oceanian regions (Chen and Long, 1991;

10.3389/fmars.2022.1115275

Fushimi, 2001; Liao et al., 2001; Marte, 2003; Le Moullac et al., 2003; Palmer et al., 2007); grey mullet (Mugil cephalus), Pacific threadfin (Polydactylus sexfilis), snook (Centropomus sp.) and red drum (Sciaenops ocellatus) in American regions (Lee and Ostrowski, 2001; Cerqueira and Tsuzuki, 2009). Besides the edible fish species, the larviculture of many ornamental reef fish are already or under commercialization stage, such as clownfish (Amphiprion spp.), tang fish (Paracanthurus spp. and Zebrasoma spp.) and angelfish (Centropyge spp. and Pomacanthus spp.). Furthermore, several emerging species with difficult early larval stages (i.e., very tiny mouth or perception toward mobile pattern of specific live feed) are targeted by specialised research institutions and conservation programs developed by aquariums, such as Rising Tide Conservation, Tropical Aquaculture Laboratory, University of Florida; Oceanic Institute of Pacific University in Hawaii (Degidio et al., 2017; Callan et al., 2018), James Cook University in Australia (Chen et al., 2020), National Museum of Marine Biology & Aquarium and National Dong Hwa University in Taiwan (Chiu and Leu, 2021; Leu et al., 2022). Private sectors, such as Bali Aquarich based in Indonesia, De Jong Marinelife in the Netherlands and Biota in the USA have also contributed extensively to the captive breeding of marine ornamental fish for the demand of the aquarium market.

Techniques of live feed production is a subject that is commonly interesting for larviculture industry worldwide, but the selection of live feed is related to what fish species and developmental stages they are targeted for. For warm water fish species, such as groupers (Epinephelus spp.), duration of larviculture (hatch to metamorphosis) is around 20-30 days. Live feeds selected for the three-stage larviculture are categorized as follows: (1) first feeding stage (3-10 day post hatch, dph): fertilized oyster egg (<70 µm), nauplii of small copepod species (<120 µm) and SS-type Brachionus rotifer (<150 µm); (2) secondary feeding stage (8-20 dph): S-type Brachionus rotifer (<200 µm), newly-hatched Artemia nauplii (<450 µm) and copepodites (200-600 µm); (3) pre-weaning stage (18-30 dph): *Artemia* metanauplii (<600 μm), adult copepods (800-1200 μm) and micro pellet diets (Marte, 2003; Zhang et al., 2015). This example indicates that the size and type of live feeds are primarily critical, and highlights that the establishments of live feed production should be diversified for various fish species. Most of the marine fish larvae are born with a small mouth gape and incomplete digestive system (Yúfera and Darias, 2007). Those larvae need tiny live feed with great bioavailable nutrients to sustain survival, growth and other metabolic functions. Indeed, live feeds are essential for larval ontogeny due to their superior nutritional value, palatability and mobilitytriggered predatory attraction. This research topic aims to establish a collection of articles that tackles different issues related to the recent progress of live feed production, and their implications in marine larviculture.

# 3 Live feed production for marine larviculture

In general, marine fish have poor capability to synthesize essential fatty acids, which indicates that a dietary supplement of these nutrients is crucial (Coutteau et al., 1997; Rainuzzo et al., 1997; Mejri et al.). The specific requirement on highly unsaturated fatty acids (HUFAs) could be a consequence of evolution in marine trophic relationships. In nature, phytoplankton capture solar energy via photosynthesis, and biosynthesize HUFAs and other organic nutrients. These components are ingested, sometimes bio-transformed and accumulated by zooplankton, and then by larvae of most marine fish. Indeed, phytoplankton and zooplankton are natural food items for marine larvae, thus they are also produced as live feed for larval nourishment in marine hatchery (Reitan et al., 1997). Based on the species availability and climate condition, applications of live feeds (species selection and production techniques) are diverse and regionspecific (Lee et al., 2008; Conceição et al., 2010; Nielsen et al., 2017; Pan et al.).

Phytoplankton has been long used as live feed for zooplankton and larvae of several marine organisms, and as water conditioning element (i.e., green water technique) (De Pauw et al., 1984; Borowitzka, 1997; Pan et al.). One of the focuses in research and development (R&D) for microalgae is the photobioreactor, which could facilitate an efficient and highdensity microalgae production. Many companies and institutions have well demonstrated the industrial scale photobioreactor system for marine hatcheries (Naumann et al., 2013; Tibbetts et al., 2020). Several studies have showed that manipulations in culture conditions and composition of fertilizer could affect microalgal productivity and nutritional quality (Harrison et al., 1990; Reitan et al., 1994; Reitan et al., 1997; Cañavate, 2019; Han et al., 2019; Cañavate and Fernández-Díaz, 2022). In this research topic, Latsos et al. revealed a significant increase of fatty acid levels in the microalga Rhodomonas sp. inducing by nitrogen starvation. The authors proposed a twophase culture system, which firstly accelerates the cell growth and subsequently induces PUFAs accumulation by nitrogen starvation in commercial scale cultivation.

Ciliates are a group of micrometre-sized protists that show great potential for feeding small-mouthed reef fish larvae, such as cleaner goby (*Gobiosoma evelynae*), purple fire-fish (*Nemateleotris decora*) and blue-striped angelfish (*Chaetodontoplus septentrionalis*) (Olivotto et al., 2005; Madhu and Madhu, 2014; Leu et al., 2015). Ciliate production relies on the suspending organic matters and bacteria as their dietary resources, which makes their cultivation relatively easy. In addition, the fact of ciliate's bacterivorous feature has facilitated their implication as bio-effector. In this research topic, Lin et al. revealed an innovative method of using the ciliate *Strombidium* sp. to reduce pathogenic *Vibrio* sp., which leads to a significant increase of the survival rate in juvenile grouper *Epinephelus coioides*.

Rotifers in aquaculture largely belongs to the genus Brachionus, and it has been extensively produced as live feed for marine larviculture since the 1960-70s in Japan and Norway (Watanabe et al., 1983; Pejler, 1998; Lubzens et al., 2001). Rotifer cultures are well established, and intensive culture systems are available in the industry worldwide (Lubzens et al., 1989; Fu et al., 1997; Odo et al., 2015; Pan et al.). One of the advantages of rotifer production is the feasibility of reaching ultra-high densities. For instance, Yoshimura et al. (2003) reported a rotifer density over 10<sup>5</sup> individuals ml<sup>-1</sup> in a culture equipped with a membrane filtration system. Research in rotifer enrichment and its effect on marine fish larviculture are still an area of interest. In this research topic Ghaderpour and Estevez shows a close relationship between the composition of the dominant phospholipids between Meager larvae (Argyrosomus regius) and its live feed, rotifers respectively. Another example from the research topic is the ongoing research in effects of novel enrichment protocols for rotifers. Safiin et al. showed promising results when incorporating palm oil into enrichment diets of rotifers that were fed to L. calcarifer larvae. Furthermore, Fu et al. also investigated the effects of different commercially available enrichment products on rotifers to reveal how the enrichment impact survival, growth, fatty acid composition and jaw deformities of Golden Pompano larvae (Trachinotus ovatus).

The harvest of brine shrimp Artemia dormant cysts or biomass was first commercialized in San Francisco Bay, California and the Great Salt Lake, Utah, USA during 1950s for the aquarium market, and further exploited for the increased demands from the marine larviculture industries in 1970s (Lavens and Sorgeloos, 2000). Until now, Artemia cyst production relies mainly on natural resources, thus new harvest sites (e.g., southern Siberia and central Asia) have been investigated and established for cyst production industry in the recent decades (Litvinenko et al., 2015; Le et al., 2019; Camara, 2020; Pan et al.). Another manner of brine shrimp cyst production is the cultivation in inland saltworks or hypersaline ponds (e.g., China, Kenya and Vietnam), which facilitates a better biological and environmental controls to achieve sustainable cyst production (Baert et al., 1997; Van Stappen et al., 2020). Owning the significant role of Artemia in the industry, two UN-recognized Artemia Reference Centres has been established at Ghent University, Belgium and Tianjin University of Science and Technology, China, where scientists are working on the pioneer aspects of genomic sequencing, genetic and microbial regulations, nutrition and exploitation of new cyst resources (Sorgeloos, 1980; FAO, 2017; Sorgeloos and Roubach, 2021; Duan et al., 2022). Based on the great scientific contributions, the supply chain of Artemia cysts and their relevant products are successfully marketed by several

companies, such as INVE Aquaculture Co. in Belgium and Ocean Star International Co. in the USA. Research in *Artemia* is still very relevant when used as starter diets for fish larvae of some species. In this research topic, Planas et al. and Planas et al.) studies different breeder and pre-breeding diets, with *Artemia*, and their effect on new-born seahorses.

Copepods are natural food items for marine organisms, and are either harvested from field or intentionally cultivated for marine larviculture (Støttrup, 2000; Drillet et al., 2011; Hansen, 2017). The capacity of PUFA bioconversion or accumulation in copepod determines their nutritional benefit for larval feeding (Nielsen et al., 2019). Research protocols addressing nutritional manipulations of copepods are issued in this research topic (Camus et al.; Dayras et al.; Matsui et al.; Wang et al.). Focuses on other cultivation parameters are also included in the research topic, such as salinity, temperature, and photoperiod (Choi et al.; Wang et al.; Yoshino et al.). On the other hand, the risk of epibionts on copepods in the indoor intensive culture system was reported by Pan et. al. This study revealed a significant decline of egg production in diatom-infested Acartia tonsa, suggesting the prevention of epibiosis should be carried out in copepod cultures.

The intensive mono-species production of copepods is still in its' pre-industrial stage, but the commercial production is estimated economically feasible (Abate et al., 2015; Abate et al., 2016). Some temperate species, in particular the calanoid Acartia tonsa (strain DFH.AT1) has been intensively studied for aquaculture purposes (Støttrup et al., 1986; Drillet et al., 2006; Jepsen et al., 2007; Hagemann et al., 2016; Pan et al., 2020). Its capacity to easily produce resting eggs that can be stored at low temperature encouraged the R&D projects (e.g., IMPAQ in Denmark, COPREST and STARTRENS in Norway) and the industrialisation initiatives. Since few years, the C-FEED company based in Norway, produces resting eggs of A. tonsa that are easily shipped for larviculture. On the other hand, many local suppliers in Asia that provide live copepods (e.g., Apocyclops royi and Pseudodiaptomus annandalei) cultivated in outdoor ponds for commercial fish hatcheries (Su et al., 1997; Blanda et al., 2015; Grønning et al., 2019). The optimal mass culture protocols of the listed copepod species have been long developed and reviewed in several projects and publications (Drillet et al., 2011; Rasdi and Qin, 2016; Hansen, 2022). Although these millimetre-sized copepod species (adult size at around 1-2 mm) are commercially used, the research and development of novel and micrometre-sized copepod species (adult size  $< 800 \ \mu m$ ) are needed. Based on the articles collected in our research topic, there are trends in that the search for the desirable copepod candidates are micrometre-sized calanoid (Camus et al.; Choi et al.; Yoshino et al.; Wang et al.) and cyclopoid copepods (Dayras et al.). These successful accomplishments, coupled with the previous contributions from Australia, Hawaii and South Korea (McKinnon et al., 2003; Kline and Laidley, 2015; Lee and Choi, 2016), have implicated the potential breakthrough of using micrometresized copepods e.g. *Bestiolina* sp., *Parvocalanus* sp., and *Paracyclopina* sp. as first feeding diets for the emerging marine aquaculture fish species with particularly tiny mouth gapes.

# 4 Enrichment techniques in rotifer and *Artemia* for marine fish larviculture

One of the focuses addressed in this research topic is nutritional enrichment protocols for fish larval feeding (Fu et al.; Matsui et al.; Planas et al.; Planas et al.; Safiin et al.; Vo et al.). This is especially relevant for live feed organisms with poor capacity in bioconversion and accumulation of nutrients e.g., Artemia and rotifers. High availability and easy maintenance make these animals commercial live feeds, even though none of them appear naturally in the marine food web. As unnatural food items, Artemia and rotifer are often poor in essential fatty acids. Fortunately, these organisms demonstrate non-selective feeding behaviour which makes them excellent vectors of nutritious elements for farmed larvae (Léger et al., 1987; Fernández-Reiriz et al., 1993; Ghaderpour and Estevez). In this research topic, processing of alternative ingredients and enrichment protocols are reported for the larval feeding of many emerging fish species, such as Asian Seabass (L. calcarifer), Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua), Golden Pompano (T. ovatus), Long-snouted seahorse (H. guttulatus), Slender seahorse (Hippocampus reidi), Meager (A. regius), and Red Sea Bream (Pagrus major) (Fu et al.; Matsui et al.; Planas et al.; Planas et al.; Safiin et al.; Vo et al.). The choice of live feeds, enrichment products and protocols differ among these studies, and the suitability of various designs are highly correlated to the nutritional requirement of the farmed species. Another research focus is to replace fish oil in the enrichment emulsion for sustainability and cost-down management. Indeed, the alternative ingredients (e.g., palm oil) could reduce overreliance in fish oil and open a new avenue in regional-specific industry of live feed enrichment products (Safiin et al.).

# **5** Perspectivation

Industrial production for micrometre-sized copepod is particularly worth to be invested for the supplement or replacement of the rotifer and the price-increasing *Artemia*. Selective breeding on preferable aquaculture traits (e.g., high productivity, nutritional value) could further improve the economic feasibility of the available live feed strains (Souissi et al., 2016; Pan et al., 2017). Genomic or genetic studies of live feed organisms should be addressed to clarify the effects of culture managements on their physiology (e.g., nutrient synthesis, stress tolerance) (Nielsen et al., 2019; Lee et al., 2022). The production of other live feed candidates, such as the recent successful larviculture project of ballan wrasse (Labrus bergylta) using cryo-preserved barnacle nauplii called "CryoPlankton" from Planktonic Co., Norway (Malzahn et al., 2022), and gelatinous zooplankton (e.g., flame jellyfish Rhopilema esculentum for feeding larval silver pomfret Pampus argenteus and larvacean for eel larvae) should be developed to support the successful larviculture of emerging aquaculture species (MochiokaIwamizu, 1996; Liu et al., 2015). Overall, the optimization of live feed production, conservation for shipment (e.g., cryopreservation or artificially-induced resting stages) and the improvement of enrichment techniques are still hot topics. Commercialization of the relevant research contributions are encouraged to be accomplished under collaborations between academia and industry (Hansen et al., 2017). Another important step is continuous meetings between academia and industry at international levels in relevant forums e.g., LARVI-conference and the European Aquaculture Society to further support the development of the marine aquaculture (Hansen and Møller, 2021).

# Author contributions

All authors were responsible for the idea of this special volume, wrote, and reviewed this editorial topic. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

## Funding

PMJ was funded by Innovation fund Denmark, InnoExplorer grant APOLYPSO (1046-00005B), SS received funding from the Région Hauts-de-France in the framework of the STIMULE project COPEFISH as well as the CPER projects MARCO 2014-2020 and IDEAL 2021-2026. This work is a contribution to the research projects supported by Taiwanese National Science and Technology Council; Council of Agriculture, Executive Yuan; and Ministry of Education (110-2636-M-019-001 and 111-2636-M-019-001; 110AS-1.3.2-ST-aO and 111AS-1.3.2-ST-aU; Higher Education Sprout Project to the CEO, NTOU) to Y-JP.

## Acknowledgments

We are grateful to numerous reviewers and editors that has helped reviewing the research papers submitted to this research topic. We also thank Prof. Benni Winding Hansen for providing comments and editing the English language of this editorial. SS acknowledge the French CNRS delegation program providing him one sabbatical semester in 2022-2023.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### References

Abate, T. G., Nielsen, R., Nielsen, M., Drillet, G., Jepsen, P. M., and Hansen, B. W. (2015). Economic feasibility of copepod production for commercial use: result from a prototype production facility. *Aquaculture* 436, 72–79. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2014.10.012

Abate, T. G., Nielsen, R., Nielsen, M., Jepsen, P. M., and Hansen, B. W. (2016). A cost-effectiveness analysis of live feeds in juvenile turbot *Scophthalmus maximus* (Linnaeu) farming: copepods versus *Artemia*. *Aquaculture Nutr.* 22 (4), 899–910. doi: 10.1111/anu.12307

Baert, P., Anh, N. T. N., Quynh, V. D., Hoa, N. V., and Sorgeloos, P. (1997). Increasing cyst yields in *Artemia* culture ponds in Vietnam: the multi-cycle system. *Aquaculture Res.* 28 (10), 809–814. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2109.1997.tb01005.x

Blanda, E., Drillet, G., Huang, C. C., Hwang, J. S., Jakobsen, H. H., Rayner, T. A., et al. (2015). Trophic interactions and productivity of copepods as live feed from tropical Taiwanese outdoor aquaculture ponds. *Aquaculture*. 445, 11–21. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2015.04.003

Borowitzka, M. A. (1997). Microalgae for aquaculture: opportunities and constraints. J. Appl. Phycology 9 (5), 393-401. doi: 10.1023/A:1007921728300

Callan, C. K., Burgess, A. I., Rothe, C. R., and Touse, R. (2018). Development of improved feeding methods in the culture of yellow tang, *Zebrasoma flavescens. J. World Aquaculture Soc.* 49 (3), 493–503. doi: 10.1111/jwas.12496

Camara, M. R. (2020). After the gold rush: A review of Artemia cyst production in northeastern Brazil. Aquaculture Rep. 17, 100359. doi: 10.1016/j.aqrep.2020.100359

Cañavate, J. P. (2019). Advancing assessment of marine phytoplankton community structure and nutritional value from fatty acid profiles of cultured microalgae. *Rev. Aquaculture* 11 (3), 527–549. doi: 10.1111/raq.12244

Cañavate, J. P., and Fernández-Díaz, C. (2022). An appraisal of the variable response of microalgal lipids to culture salinity. *Rev. Aquaculture* 14 (1), 192–212. doi: 10.1111/raq.12592

Cerqueira, V. R., and Tsuzuki, M. Y. (2009). A review of spawning induction, larviculture, and juvenile rearing of the fat snook, *Centropomus parallelus. Fish Physiol. Biochem.* 35 (1), 17–28. doi: 10.1007/s10695-008-9245-y

Chen, X. K., and Long, L. J. (1991). "Research and production of live feeds in China," in *Rotifer and microalgae culture systems, proceedings of a U.S.-Asia workshop*. Eds. W. Fulks and K. L. Main (Honolulu, Hawaii: The Oceanic Institute), 187–202.

Chen, J. Y., Zeng, C., Jerry, D. R., and Cobcroft, J. M. (2020). Recent advances of marine ornamental fish larviculture: broodstock reproduction, live prey and feeding regimes, and comparison between demersal and pelagic spawners. *Rev. Aquaculture* 12 (3), 1518–1541. doi: 10.1111/raq.12394

Chiu, P. S., and Leu, M. Y. (2021). Captive spawning, early development and larviculture of the dwarf hawkfish, *Cirrhitichthys falco* (Randal) with experimental evaluation of the effects of temperature, salinity and initial prey on hatching success and first feeding. *Aquaculture* 542, 736866. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2021.736866

Conceição, L. E., Yúfera, M., Makridis, P., Morais, S., and Dinis, M. T. (2010). Live feeds for early stages of fish rearing. *Aquaculture Res.* 41 (5), 613–640. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2109.2009.02242.x

Coutteau, P., Geurden, I., Camara, M. R., Bergot, P., and Sorgeloos, P. (1997). Review on the dietary effects of phospholipids in fish and crustacean larviculture. *Aquaculture* 155 (1-4), 149–164. doi: 10.1016/S0044-8486(97)00125-7

Degidio, J. M. L., Yanong, R. P., Watson, C. A., Ohs, C. L., Cassiano, E. J., and Barden, K. (2017). Spawning, embryology, and larval development of the milletseed butterflyfish *Chaetodon miliaris* in the laboratory. *North Am. J. Aquaculture* 79 (3), 205–215. doi: 10.1080/15222055.2017.1302025

De Pauw, N., Morales, J., and Persoone, G. (1984). Mass culture of microalgae in aquaculture systems: progress and constraints. *Hydrobiologia* 116 (1), 121–134. doi: 10.1007/BF00027650

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Drillet, G., Frouël, S., Sichlau, M. H., Jepsen, P. M., Højgaard, J. K., Joarder, A. K., et al. (2011). Status and recommendations on marine copepod cultivation for use as live feed. *Aquaculture* 315 (3-4), 155–166. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2011.02.027

Drillet, G., Iversen, M. H., Sørensen, T. F., Ramløv, H., Lund, T., and Hansen, B. W. (2006). Effect of cold storage upon eggs of a calanoid copepod, *Acartia tonsa* (Dana) and their offspring. *Aquaculture* 254 (1-4), 714–729. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2005.11.018

Duan, H., Jin, Y., Shao, X., Sun, P., Wang, X., and Sui, L. (2022). Stable primary embryonic cells of *Artemia* are suitable for tracing the process of *V. anguillarum* and *V. parahaemolyticus* infection. *Aquaculture* 560, 738598. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2022.738598

Evjemo, J. O., Reitan, K. I., and Olsen, Y. (2003). Copepods as live food organisms in the larval rearing of halibut larvae (*Hippoglossus hippoglossus l.*) with special emphasis on the nutritional value. *Aquaculture* 227 (1-4), 191–210. doi: 10.1016/S0044-8486(03)00503-9

FAO (2017). "Report of the FAO expert workshop on sustainable use and management of artemia resources in Asia," in *FAO fisheries and aquaculture report no. 1198.* (Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations).

Fernández-Reiriz, M. J., Labarta, U., and Ferreiro, M. J. (1993). Effects of commercial enrichment diets on the nutritional value of the rotifer (*Brachionus plicatilis*). Aquaculture 112 (2-3), 195–206. doi: 10.1016/0044-8486(93)90445-5

Fu, Y., Hada, A., Yamashita, T., Yoshida, Y., and Hino, A. (1997). Development of a continuous culture system for stable mass production of the marine rotifer *Brachionus. Hydrobiologia* 358 (1), 145–151. doi: 10.1023/A:1003117430926

Fushimi, H. (2001). Production of juvenile marine finfish for stock enhancement in Japan. *Aquaculture* 200 (1-2), 33–53. doi: 10.1016/S0044-8486(01)00693-7

Grønning, J., Doan, N. X., Dinh, N. T., Dinh, K. V., and Nielsen, T. G. (2019). Ecology of *Pseudodiaptomus annandalei* in tropical aquaculture ponds with emphasis on the limitation of production. *J. Plankton Res.* 41 (5), 741–758. doi: 10.1093/plankt/fbz053

Hagemann, A., Øie, G., Evjemo, J. O., and Olsen, Y. (2016). Effects of light and shortterm temperature elevation on the 48-h hatching success of cold-stored Acartia tonsa Dana eggs. Aquaculture Int. 24 (1), 57–68. doi: 10.1007/s10499-015-9908-5

Han, P., Lu, Q., Fan, L., and Zhou, W. (2019). A review on the use of microalgae for sustainable aquaculture. *Appl. Sci.* 9 (11), 2377. doi: 10.3390/app9112377

Hansen, B. W. (2017). Advances using copepods in aquaculture. J. Plankton Res. 39 (6), 972–974. doi: 10.1093/plankt/fbx057

Hansen, B. W. (2022). Two tropical marine copepods demonstrate physiological properties needed for mass production. *Rev. Fisheries Sci. Aquaculture*, 1–19. doi: 10.1080/23308249.2022.2095198

Hansen, B. W., Jepsen, P. M., and Drillet, G. (2017). Applied and fundamental plankton research would benefit from more joint efforts: examples from *Acartia tonsa*. *J. Plankton Res.* 39 (6), 975–983. doi: 10.1093/plankt/fbx013

Hansen, B. W., and Møller, S. (2021). A bibliometric survey of live feed for marine finfish and shrimp larval production. *Aquaculture Res.* 52 (11), 5124–5135. doi: 10.1111/are.15460

Harrison, P. J., Thompson, P. A., and Calderwood, G. S. (1990). Effects of nutrient and light limitation on the biochemical composition of phytoplankton. *J. Appl. Phycology* 2 (1), 45–56. doi: 10.1007/BF02179768

Jepsen, P. M., Andersen, N., Holm, T., Jørgensen, A. T., Højgaard, J. K., and Hansen, B. W. (2007). Effects of adult stocking density on egg production and viability in cultures of the calanoid copepod *Acartia tonsa* (Dana). *Aquaculture Res.* 38 (7), 764–772. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2109.2007.01730.x

Kline, M. D., and Laidley, C. W. (2015). Development of intensive copepod culture technology for *Parvocalanus crassirostris*: Optimizing adult density. *Aquaculture* 435, 128–136. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2014.09.022

Lavens, P., and Sorgeloos, P. (2000). The history, present status and prospects of the availability of *Artemia* cysts for aquaculture. *Aquaculture* 181 (3-4), 397–403. doi: 10.1016/S0044-8486(99)00233-1

Lee, K. W., and Choi, Y. U. (2016). Mass culture of the brackish water cyclopoid copepod *Paracyclopina nana* smirnov. J. Korea Academia-Industrial Cooperation Soc. 17 (8), 262–266. doi: 10.5762/KAIS.2016.17.8.262

Lee, C. S., O'Bryen, P. J., and Marcus, N. H. (2008). *Copepods in aquaculture* (Oxford, UK: Blackwell Publishing), 269pp.

Lee, C. S., and Ostrowski, A. C. (2001). Current status of marine finfish larviculture in the united states. *Aquaculture* 200 (1-2), 89-109. doi: 10.1016/S0044-8486(01)00695-0

Lee, M. C., Yoon, D. S., Park, J. C., Choi, H., Shin, K. H., Hagiwara, A., et al. (2022). Effects of salinity and temperature on reproductivity and fatty acid synthesis in the marine rotifer *Brachionus rotundiformis. Aquaculture* 546, 737282. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2021.737282

Léger, P., Bengtson, D. A., Sorgeloos, P., Simpson, K. L., and Beck, A. D. (1987). The nutritional value of *Artemia*: a review. *Artemia Res. Appl.* 3, 357–372.

Le, T. H., Hoa, N. V., Sorgeloos, P., and Van Stappen, G. (2019). Artemia feeds: a review of brine shrimp production in the Mekong delta, Vietnam. *Rev. Aquaculture* 11 (4), 1169–1175. doi: 10.1111/raq.12285

Le Moullac, G., Goyard, E., Saulnier, D., Haffner, P., Thouard, E., Nedelec, G., et al. (2003). Recent improvements in broodstock management and larviculture in marine species in Polynesia and new Caledonia: genetic and health approaches. *Aquaculture* 227 (1-4), 89–106. doi: 10.1016/S0044-8486(03)00497-6

Leu, M. Y., Hsu, Y. C., Tu, Y. H., Chiu, P. S., Yu, B. H., Wang, J. B., et al. (2022). Natural spawning, early development and first successful hatchery production of the bluestreak cleaner wrasse, *Labroides dimidiatus* (Valencienne), with application of an inorganic fertilization method in larviculture. *Aquaculture* 553, 738056. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2022.738056

Leu, M. Y., Sune, Y. H., and Meng, P. J. (2015). First results of larval rearing and development of the bluestriped angelfish *Chaetodontoplus septentrionalis* (Temminck & schlegel) from hatching through juvenile stage with notes on its potential for aquaculture. *Aquaculture Res.* 46 (5), 1087–1100. doi: 10.1111/are.12265

Liao, I. C., Su, H. M., and Chang, E. Y. (2001). Techniques in finfish larviculture in Taiwan. Aquaculture 200 (1-2), 1–31. doi: 10.1016/S0044-8486(01)00692-5

Litvinenko, L. I., Litvinenko, A. I., Boiko, E. G., and Kutsanov, K. (2015). *Artemia* cyst production in Russia. *Chin. J. Oceanology Limnology* 33, 1436–1450. doi: 10.1007/s00343-015-4381-6

Liu, C. S., Chen, S. Q., Zhuang, Z. M., Yan, J. P., Liu, C. L., and Cui, H. T. (2015). Potential of utilizing jellyfish as food in culturing *Pampus argenteus* juveniles. *Hydrobiologia* 754 (1), 189–200. doi: 10.1007/s10750-014-1869-6

Lubzens, E., Tandler, A., and Minkoff, G. (1989). Rotifers as food in aquaculture. *Hydrobiologia* 186 (1), 387–400. doi: 10.1007/BF00048937

Lubzens, E., Zmora, O., and Barr, Y. (2001). Biotechnology and aquaculture of rotifers. *Hydrobiologia* 446/447, 337–353. doi: 10.1023/A:1017563125103

Madhu, K., and Madhu, R. (2014). Captive spawning and embryonic development of marine ornamental purple firefish *Nemateleotris decora* (Randall & Allen, 1973). *Aquaculture* 424, 1–9. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2013.12.027

Malzahn, A. M., Ribičić, D., Hansen, B. H., Sarno, A., Kjørsvik, E., Aase, A. S. N., et al. (2022). First feed matters: The first diet of larval fish programmes growth, survival, and metabolism of larval ballan wrasse (*Labrus bergylta*). Aquaculture 561, 738586. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2022.738586

Marte, C. L. (2003). Larviculture of marine species in southeast Asia: current research and industry prospects. *Aquaculture* 227 (1-4), 293–304. doi: 10.1016/S0044-8486(03)00510-6

McKinnon, A. D., Duggan, S., Nichols, P. D., Rimmer, M. A., Semmens, G., and Robino, B. (2003). The potential of tropical paracalanid copepods as live feeds in aquaculture. *Aquaculture* 223 (1-4), 89–106. doi: 10.1016/S0044-8486(03)00161-3

Mochioka, N., and Iwamizu, M. (1996). Diet of anguilloid larvae: leptocephali feed selectively on larvacean houses and fecal pellets. *Mar. Biol.* 125 (3), 447–452. doi: 10.1007/BF00353257

Moksness, E., and Støle, R. (1997). Larviculture of marine fish for sea ranching purposes: is it profitable? *Aquaculture* 155 (1-4), 341–353. doi: 10.1016/S0044-8486(97)00102-6

Naumann, T., Çebi, Z., Podola, B., and Melkonian, M. (2013). Growing microalgae as aquaculture feeds on twin-layers: a novel solid-state photobioreactor. *J. Appl. Phycology* 25 (5), 1413–1420. doi: 10.1007/s10811-012-9962-6

Nielsen, B. L. H., Gøtterup, L., Jørgensen, T. S., Hansen, B. W., Hansen, L. H., Mortensen, J., et al. (2019). n-3 PUFA biosynthesis by the copepod *Apocyclops royi*  documented using fatty acid profile analysis and gene expression analysis. *Biol. Open 8* (2), bio038331. doi: 10.1242/bio.038331

Nielsen, R., Nielsen, M., Abate, T. G., Hansen, B. W., Jepsen, P. M., Nielsen, S. L., et al. (2017). The importance of live-feed traps-farming marine fish species. *Aquaculture Res.* 48 (6), 2623–2641. doi: 10.1111/are.13281

Odo, G. E., Agwu, J. E., Iyaji, F. O., Madu, J. C., Ossai, N. I., and Allison, L. N. (2015). Mass production of rotifer (*Branchionus calyciflorus*) for aquaculture in south-eastern Nigeria. *Int. J. Fisheries Aquaculture* 7 (9), 151–159. doi: 10.5897/ IJFA15.0497

Olivotto, I., Zenobi, A., Rollo, A., Migliarini, B., Avella, M., and Carnevali, O. (2005). Breeding, rearing and feeding studies in the cleaner goby *Gobiosoma* evelynae. Aquaculture 250 (1-2), 175–182. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2005.02.057

Palmer, P. J., Burke, M. J., Palmer, C. J., and Burke, J. B. (2007). Developments in controlled green-water larval culture technologies for estuarine fishes in Queensland, Australia and elsewhere. *Aquaculture* 272 (1-4), 1–21. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2007.06.018

Pan, Y. J., Déposé, E., Souissi, A., Hénard, S., Schaadt, M., Mastro, E., et al. (2020). Assessments of first feeding protocols on the larviculture of California grunion *Leuresthes tenuis* (Osteichthyes: Atherinopsidae). *Aquaculture Res.* 51 (7), 3054–3058. doi: 10.1111/are.14637

Pan, Y. J., Souissi, A., Sadovskaya, I., Hansen, B. W., Hwang, J. S., and Souissi, S. (2017). Effects of cold selective breeding on the body length, fatty acid content, and productivity of the tropical copepod *Apocyclops royi* (Cyclopoida, copepoda). *J. Plankton Res.* 39 (6), 994–1003. doi: 10.1093/plankt/fbx041

Pejler, B. (1998). History of rotifer research in northern Europe. *Hydrobiologia* 387, 1–8. doi: 10.1023/A:1017001501827

Rainuzzo, J. R., Reitan, K. I., and Olsen, Y. (1997). The significance of lipids at early stages of marine fish: a review. *Aquaculture* 155 (1-4), 103–115. doi: 10.1016/S0044-8486(97)00121-X

Rasdi, N. W., and Qin, J. G. (2016). Improvement of copepod nutritional quality as live food for aquaculture: a review. *Aquaculture Res.* 47 (1), 1–20. doi: 10.1111/ are.12471

Reitan, K. I., Rainuzzo, J. R., Øie, G., and Olsen, Y. (1993). Nutritional effects of algal addition in first-feeding of turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus* L.) larvae. *Aquaculture* 118 (3-4), 257–275. doi: 10.1016/0044-8486(93)90461-7

Reitan, K. I., Rainuzzo, J. R., Øie, G., and Olsen, Y. (1997). A review of the nutritional effects of algae in marine fish larvae. *Aquaculture* 155 (1-4), 207–221. doi: 10.1016/S0044-8486(97)00118-X

Reitan, K. I., Rainuzzo, J. R., and Olsen, Y. (1994). Effect of nutrient limitation on fatty acid and lipid content of marine microalgae. *J. Phycology* 30 (6), 972–979. doi: 10.1111/j.0022-3646.1994.00972.x

Shields, R. J. (2001). Larviculture of marine finfish in Europe. Aquaculture 200 (1-2), 55-88. doi: 10.1016/S0044-8486(01)00694-9

Sorgeloos, P. (1980). Availability of reference Artemia cysts. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 3, 363–364. doi: 10.3354/meps003363

Sorgeloos, P., and Roubach, R. (2021). Past, present and future scenarios for SDG-aligned brine shrimp *Artemia* aquaculture. *FAO Aquaculture Newslett.* 63, 55–56.

Souissi, A., Souissi, S., and Hansen, B. W. (2016). Physiological improvement in the copepod *Eurytemora affinis* through thermal and multi-generational selection. *Aquaculture Res.* 47 (7), 2227–2242. doi: 10.1111/are.12675

Støttrup, J. G. (2000). The elusive copepods: their production and suitability in marine aquaculture. *Aquaculture Res.* 31 (8-9), 703–711. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2109.2000.318488.x

Støttrup, J. G., Richardson, K., Kirkegaard, E., and Pihl, N. J. (1986). The cultivation of *Acartia tonsa* Dana for use as a live food source for marine fish larvae. *Aquaculture* 52 (2), 87–96. doi: 10.1016/0044-8486(86)90028-1

Su, H. M., Su, M. S., and Liao, I. C. (1997). Collection and culture of live foods for aquaculture in Taiwan. *Hydrobiologia* 358 (1), 37-40. doi: 10.1023/A:1003107701367

Sweetman, J. W. (1992). Larviculture of Mediterranean marine fish species: current status and future trends. *J. World Aquaculture Soc.* 23 (4), 330–337. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-7345.1992.tb00796.x

Tibbetts, S. M., Patelakis, S. J., Whitney-Lalonde, C. G., Garrison, L. L., Wall, C. L., and MacQuarrie, S. P. (2020). Nutrient composition and protein quality of microalgae meals produced from the marine prymnesiophyte *Pavlova* sp. 459 mass-cultivated in enclosed photobioreactors for potential use in salmonid aquafeeds. *J. Appl. Phycology* 32 (1), 299–318. doi: 10.1007/s10811-019-01942-2

Van Stappen, G., Sui, L., Hoa, V. N., Tamtin, M., Nyonje, B., de Medeiros Rocha, R., et al. (2020). Review on integrated production of the brine shrimp *Artemia* in solar salt ponds. *Rev. Aquaculture* 12 (2), 1054–1071. doi: 10.1111/raq.12371

Watanabe, T., Kitajima, C., and Fujita, S. (1983). Nutritional values of live organisms used in Japan for mass propagation of fish: a review. *Aquaculture* 34 (1-2), 115–143. doi: 10.1016/0044-8486(83)90296-X

Yoshimura, K., Tanaka, K., and Yoshimatsu, T. (2003). A novel culture system for the ultra-high-density production of the rotifer, *Brachionus rotundiformis*-a preliminary report. *Aquaculture* 227 (1-4), 165–172. doi: 10.1016/S0044-8486(03)00501-5

Yúfera, M., and Darias, M. J. (2007). The onset of exogenous feeding in marine fish larvae. *Aquaculture* 268 (1-4), 53–63. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2007.04.050

Zhang, J., Guo, H., Ma, Z., Jiang, S., Wu, K., Li, Y., et al. (2015). Effects of prey color, wall color and water color on food ingestion of larval orange-spotted grouper *Epinephelus coioides* (Hamilto). *Aquaculture Int.* 23 (6), 1377–1386. doi: 10.1007/s10499-015-9890-y

Øie, G., Galloway, T., Sørøy, M., Holmvaag Hansen, M., Norheim, I. A., Halseth, C. K., et al. (2017). Effect of cultivated copepods (*Acartia tonsa*) in first-feeding of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) and ballan wrasse (*Labrus bergylta*) larvae. Aquaculture Nutr. 23 (1), 3–17. doi: 10.1111/anu.12352