### Check for updates

### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Gaia Codolo, University of Padua, Italy

REVIEWED BY Bikesh Kumar Nirala, Emory University, United States Yang Wang, Sichuan Academy of Medical Sciences and Sichuan Provincial People's Hospital, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Dongsong Li Idongsong@jlu.edu.cn

RECEIVED 04 September 2024 ACCEPTED 21 October 2024 PUBLISHED 08 November 2024

### CITATION

Xia M, Han Y, Sun L, Li D, Zhu C and Li D (2024) The role of neutrophils in osteosarcoma: insights from laboratory to clinic. *Front. Immunol.* 15:1490712. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1490712

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Xia, Han, Sun, Li, Zhu and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

## The role of neutrophils in osteosarcoma: insights from laboratory to clinic

Ming Xia, Yu Han, Lihui Sun, Dongbo Li, Chunquan Zhu and Dongsong Li\*

Department of Orthopedics, The First Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, Jilin, China

Osteosarcoma, a highly aggressive malignant bone tumor, is significantly influenced by the intricate interactions within its tumor microenvironment (TME), particularly involving neutrophils. This review delineates the multifaceted roles of neutrophils, including tumor-associated neutrophils (TANs) and neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs), in osteosarcoma's pathogenesis. TANs exhibit both pro- and anti-tumor phenotypes, modulating tumor growth and immune evasion, while NETs facilitate tumor cell adhesion, migration, and immunosuppression. Clinically, neutrophil-related markers such as the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) predict patient outcomes, highlighting the potential for neutrophil-targeted therapies. Unraveling these complex interactions is crucial for developing novel treatment strategies that harness the TME to improve osteosarcoma management.

#### KEYWORDS

osteosarcoma, neutrophils, tumor microenvironment, neutrophil extracelluar traps, TANs

## Introduction

Osteosarcoma is a primary malignant bone tumor characterized by the uncontrolled proliferation of osteoblastic cells, predominantly affecting children and adolescents (1). This aggressive cancer is associated with significant morbidity and mortality, necessitating a deeper understanding of its pathogenesis and progression (2).

The tumor microenvironment (TME) plays a pivotal role in the development and progression of cancer. TME encompassing a complex milieu of cellular and molecular components that interact with tumor cells and influence their behavior (3). In TME, various cell types, including immune cells, fibroblasts, endothelial cells, and extracellular matrix components, dynamically interact with tumor cells to create a supportive niche for tumor growth and dissemination (4). In osteosarcoma, the tumor microenvironment is characterized by an immunosuppressive milieu, driven by the secretion of cytokines, chemokines, growth factors, and extracellular matrix remodeling enzymes (5). These factors not only promote tumor cell proliferation, survival, and invasion but also

modulate the immune response, angiogenesis, and metastatic potential of osteosarcoma (6).

Neutrophils are white blood cells that play a key role in the innate immune response to infection and inflammation (7). In recent years, evidence has highlighted the multifaceted roles of neutrophils in the TME of various cancers, including osteosarcoma (8). Neutrophils can be recruited to the TME in response to tumor-derived signals and inflammatory mediators, where they interact with tumor cells and other stromal components (9).

Neutrophils have long been recognized for their role in osteosarcoma. Initially, the prognostic significance of the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in osteosarcoma was identified (10). Subsequent research has further elucidated the involvement of neutrophils, particularly neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) and tumor-associated neutrophils (TANs), in the immune microenvironment and progression of osteosarcoma (8).

While neutrophils can promote tumor progression in various cancers, the specific mechanisms and the extent of their influence may vary. In osteosarcoma, neutrophils may contribute more significantly to the immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment and the promotion of metastasis due to the unique interactions between neutrophils and the bone matrix, as well as the high propensity of osteosarcoma cells to metastasize to the lung and the roles of neutrophils in the body after surgery (5). Previous findings underscore the intricate involvement of neutrophils in the complex interplay within TME of osteosarcoma, highlighting their potential as key modulators and therapeutic targets for improving clinical outcomes in this aggressive bone cancer (11). In the context of osteosarcoma, neutrophils have been shown to interact uniquely with the tumor microenvironment (12). The osteosarcoma microenvironment is a complex ecosystem that includes not only the tumor cells but also a variety of immune cells, bone cells, extracellular matrix components, and signaling molecules (1). Neutrophils in this context can be affected by the tumor to promote tumor growth, survival, and metastasis (13).

Understanding the interactions between TANs, NETs, and TME in osteosarcoma is essential for elucidating the mechanisms underlying tumor progression and identifying potential therapeutic targets. Targeting the immune cell components and inflammatory pathways within the tumor microenvironment may offer novel strategies for the treatment of osteosarcoma and improve patient outcomes. This review aims to provide insights into the multifaceted roles of neutrophils in osteosarcoma, spanning from fundamental laboratory research to potential clinical implications. By elucidating the intricate interactions between neutrophils and osteosarcoma, this review seeks to enhance our understanding of the complex tumor microenvironment and identify novel therapeutic strategies for the management of this aggressive bone cancer (Figure 1).

## TANs in the tumor

Neutrophils are the most abundant immune cells in the human body and constitute 50%-70% of all white blood cells (14). Due to the limited proliferation capacity and lifespan of neutrophils, the



#### FIGURE 1

Overall design of our study. The nuanced interactions between neutrophils, specifically tumor-associated neutrophils (TANs) and neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs), with key osteosarcoma microenvironment (TME) constituents. It emphasizes the reciprocal modulation between TANs and TME cellular components, such as cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) and regulatory T cells (Tregs), and molecular mediators including cytokines and chemokines, which orchestrate a pro-tumorigenic or tumor-suppressive milieu.

understanding of the roles of neutrophils in heterogeneous tumors has been lacking in recent decades (15). In recent years, owing to novel biotechnology, such as single-cell sequencing, there has been increasing attention on neutrophils in tumor-related research (16). Nowadays, the heterogeneity of TANs far exceeds the simple classification of several groups (17). According to their roles and functions in TME, TANs are classified into anti-tumor (N1) and pro-tumor (N2) phenotypes (Figure 2), and these two TANs phenotypes with opposing effects may regulate the initiation, proliferation, metastasis, and immune suppression (18).

Usually, neutrophils are continuously produced in the hematopoietic cords of the bone marrow and are regulated by transcription factors and proteins such as CCAAT-enhancer binding protein (CEBP/ $\alpha$ ) and colony-stimulating factors (19). In the tumor tissues, the function and polarization of neutrophils were mainly regulated by the factors in inflammatory TME. Different transcription factors and proteins may contribute to different neutrophil phenotypes (20). For example, interferon type 1 (IFN-1) may enhance the ability of adhesion and phagocytosis of neutrophils and force neutrophils to polarized towards N1 phenotype, and reversely, transforming growth factor- $\beta$  (TGF- $\beta$ ) is a driver for N2 phenotype (21, 22). Furthermore, many other factors were also identified as the drivers of the polarization of neutrophils, including adenosine triphosphate, S100A9, adenosine, and so on (23, 24).

In recent years, some downstream pathways related to TANs were identified. N1 cell phenotypes usually perform their antitumor effects via reactive oxygen species (ROS) related pathways (25). ROS may up-regulate the superoxide-dependent Ca2+ channel of the tumor cells, contribute to the disorder of the Ca2+ levels, and lastly inhibit the development of the cancer (26). Reversely, tumor development may be upregulated by the factors produced by N2 phenotypes, including neutrophil elastase (NE) and matrix metalloproteinases (15).

In addition to immune regulations, TANs were also regulated by metabolic factors: the factors produced in the glucose metabolism, lipid metabolism, tricarboxylic acid cycle, and amino acid metabolism were able to reprogram the metabolism of TANs (7, 27). The oxygen deprivation TME may contribute to the glycolytic effects of TANs (28), and the factors produced in the glycolysis may inhibit the proliferation of movement of T cells and play their immunosuppressive functions (29). The TANs after metabolism reprogramming may contribute to a higher level of hypoxia-inducible factor 1 alpha (HIF-1 $\alpha$ ) expression (30). HIF-1 $\alpha$ is a key transcription factor that plays a critical role in cellular responses to low oxygen levels, or hypoxia. In the context of tumors, HIF-1 $\alpha$  is known to be a master regulator of the adaptive mechanisms that cancer cells employ to survive and proliferate under hypoxic conditions (31). Activation of HIF-1 $\alpha$  in tumor cells leads to the upregulation of genes involved in angiogenesis, glycolysis, and cell survival, promoting tumor growth and metastasis (31, 32).

### NETs in tumor

In addition to neutrophils, neutrophil-related components have also attracted increasing attention. Neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) are web-like structures composed of chromatin, histones, and antimicrobial proteins released by activated neutrophils in response to various stimuli, including infection, inflammation, and cancer (33). In the context of tumors, emerging evidence



#### FIGURE 2

Function and roles of Tumor-Associated Neutrophils (TANs) in Osteosarcoma. N1 TANs, characterized by their anti-tumoral functions, are depicted engaging in ROS-mediated cytotoxicity and antigen presentation, while N2 TANs are portrayed as pro-tumorigenic, secreting factors such as MMP-9 and VEGF that facilitate angiogenesis and immunosuppression.

suggests that NETs play an important role in the tumor microenvironment (34). NETs have been implicated in promoting tumor progression by facilitating tumor cell adhesion, migration, and invasion, as well as inducing immunosuppression and angiogenesis (35).

The formation of NETs is not spontaneous but rather occurs abundantly in activated neutrophils (33). The formation of NETs is related to a distinct form of cell death, mediated by ROS and termed neutrophilic inflammatory cell death (NETosis), distinguishing it from traditional apoptosis and necrosis (36). Upon stimulation by extracellular physicochemical factors, the chromatin within the nucleus undergoes abnormal changes, and enzymes within cytoplasmic vesicles are activated, ultimately leading to the rupture of the cell membrane and release of the contents (37). In certain instances, neutrophils do not need to sacrifice themselves to release NETs, as non-lytic NETosis can occur during Staphylococcus aureus infection. In this scenario, neutrophils rapidly release chromatin extracellularly and undergo degranulation to release various enzymes, thereby forming extracellular NETs (33).

Initially, the roles of NETs in cancer metastasis, especially in the premetastatic niche, were recognized. NETs may facilitate tumor cell migration and invasion by releasing pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines that attract tumor cells to the site of NETs deposition, including IL-1, IL-6, IL-8, and so on, promoting their movement toward distant sites (38). Additionally, NETs induce an epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) in cancer cells, leading to the acquisition of a mesenchymal phenotype that enhances their migratory and invasive abilities (39). Furthermore, NETs interact with endothelial cells, causing a loss of cell-to-cell junctions and altering the morphology of the endothelium, facilitating tumor cell intravasation and extravasation (40). By capturing circulating tumor cells and creating a permissive environment in pre-metastatic and metastatic lesions (41).

Nowadays, studies have proven that NETs also contribute significantly to cancer progression. NETs may induce tumor cell proliferation by releasing factors that enhance the proliferative ability of cancer cells (42). They also contribute to the immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment by hindering the migration of cytotoxic immune cells and shielding tumor cells from immune-mediated killing (43). Furthermore, NETs play a role in awakening dormant cancer cells, promoting their proliferation and metastatic growth (44). By facilitating cell migration and metastatic microenvironment, NETs contribute to the overall progression of cancer (45).

The formation of NETs requires the presence of two essential proteins: integrin  $\alpha\nu\beta1$  and matrix metalloproteinase 9 (MMP-9), which can capture and activate TGF- $\beta$  (46). The activation of TGF- $\beta$  triggers EMT in cancer cells and is associated with the progression of tumor cells (46, 47). The DNA component of NETs also plays a crucial role in tumors by interacting with receptors on tumor cells, influencing their behavior and contributing to the complex interplay between the immune system and cancer cells in the tumor microenvironment (48). Additionally, the chemical composition of NETs may also be a key factor, for example, IL-17

found in NETs can interact with cytotoxic CD8 T cells and exclude them from the tumor tissue (49).

## Tumor microenvironment in osteosarcoma

The basis of understanding the roles of neutrophils in osteosarcoma is to decode the TME of osteosarcoma (Figure 3). The TME of osteosarcoma comprises a heterogeneous milieu of cellular components, including bone cells, stromal cells, vascular cells, immune cells, and the extracellular matrix (ECM) (5). Within the TME of osteosarcoma, interactions between tumor cells and stromal cells contribute to tumor growth, invasion, and metastasis (50). In this complex microenvironment, the immune system plays a paradoxical role: it may promote or suppress the progression of osteosarcoma, according to different TME and cellular phenotypes (51). Moreover, the ECM components in the TME of osteosarcoma provide structural support and signaling cues that influence tumor cell behavior, including migration, invasion, and drug resistance (52). Recent evidence also suggested that EVs, small membranebound vesicles released by cells into the ECM, may serve as a bridge of intercellular communication and metastasis (53).

In osteosarcoma, bone cells, including osteoblasts, osteoclasts, and osteocytes, play a crucial role in the TME (5). Osteoblast, a type of bone-forming cell, is originating from pluripotent mesenchymal stem cells. In the TME of osteosarcoma, osteoblasts may regulate the osteoclasts' metabolism and communicate with osteosarcoma cells via multiple pathways, such as OPG/RANK/RANKL and Fas/ FasL (54). Moreover, a recent study also reported that osteoblast may also regulate the TME by extracellular vesicles (55). Compared to osteoblasts, osteoclasts, cells derived from myeloid precursor cells and playing bone resorption effect, may play a more active area in osteosarcoma (50). From views of the population level, individuals with higher levels of osteoclast activity may have a lower risk of osteosarcoma and more satisfactory chemotherapy efficacy (56). Osteoclasts may activate CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells and play an antigen-presenting cells-like role (57). However, in the different stages of osteosarcoma, osteoclasts may have different effects: in the early stage, osteoclasts may establish a niche containing osteosarcoma and suppress the metastasis, while in the later stage, accumulated tumor cells may have a stronger metastatic (58). Osteoclasts may also regulate the TME of osteosarcoma by interacting with CD4+ Tregs (59). Lastly, osteocytes as mature bone cells were also reported to have contributions to TME of osteosarcoma: osteocytes may have communications with osteosarcoma via the CXCL12-CXCR4 axis and by secreting TGF- $\beta$  and VEGFA (60).

Another crucial cell in the TME of osteosarcoma is the mesenchymal stem cell (MSC), due to their potential roles as the precursors of osteosarcoma cells (61). The communications between MSCs and osteosarcoma cells have been reported in previous studies, and many factors, including CXCL12, IL-6, and VEGF, have been proven to be included (61). Moreover, extracellular vesicles may also mediate the interaction between MSCs and osteosarcoma cells by regulating the MALAT1/Wnt/ $\beta$ -



Cellular and Acellular Elements of the Osteosarcoma Tumor Microenvironment. Detailed representation of the osteosarcoma TME, delineates the interplay between osteoblasts, osteoclasts, MSCs, and immune cells, including T cells and macrophages.

catenin pathway and autophagy (62). Due to the characteristics and the roles of the extracellular vesicles from MSCs in the development of osteosarcoma, engineered extracellular vesicles may become a potential treatment for osteosarcoma by encapsulating drugs (63).

Recently, with the development of single-cell transcription, more understandings of immune TME of osteosarcoma are emerging. T cells play different roles in the TME of osteosarcoma due to the heterogeneity. Usually, CD8<sup>+</sup>T cells may directly attack tumor cells, and CD4 T cells may orchestrate the immunity, while Tregs act as an immune suppressor role (64). In the single-cell dynasty, the landscapes of T cells in osteosarcoma are more complex and diverse (13). T cells may also be regulated by TME. In TME, many chemokines, such as CXCL12, and many cell phenotypes, such as Tregs and myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), may regulate the migration of T cells and contribute to the progression of osteosarcoma (13). Compared to T cells, B cells may mainly play tumor-promotion roles in osteosarcoma by secreting immune suppressive cytokines and activating Tregs (65). Recent studies have reported the potential checkpoint molecules on B cells, and targeting these checkpoints may become a potential strategy for osteosarcoma treatment (66). Another lymphoid cell in TME of osteosarcoma is the NK cell, a type of cell featured by its strong cytotoxic activity on malignant cells (67). NK cells may directly regulate the TME and establish the antitumor microenvironment by releasing IFN- $\gamma$  (68). However, recent understandings from single-cell levels indicated that TME may also have an effect on NK cells and cause high levels of heterogeneity by regulating NK cell receptor signals and Tregs (13). Many studies have tried to develop potential methods based on NK cells to treat osteosarcoma, and these methods may enhance

the anti-tumor effect of NK cells by targeting IL-12, IL-15, and so on (69).

Another immune cell lineage in osteosarcoma TME is monocyte lineage, including monocytes, macrophages, and dendritic cells (DCs). Monocytes play roles of antigen-presenting cells and could further differentiate into macrophages or DCs (70). Monocytes may release chemokine monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1), and MCP-1 may regulate the growth, metastasis, and progression of osteosarcoma cells (71). The recruitment of monocytes in TME is mainly regulated by CCL2, and by inhibiting the CCL2 receptor, the monocyte recruitment in TME may significantly decrease (72).

Monocytes may further differentiate into macrophages, which are the most abundant immune cells in the TME of osteosarcoma (73). Polarizing into M1 or M2 phenotype, macrophages may have contrast effects on tumor progression (74). M1 macrophages release proinflammatory cytokines, including nitric oxide synthase (iNOS), and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- $\alpha$ ), and factors may lead to anti-tumor activity and induce the Th1 cells (75). Compared to M1 macrophages, M2 phenotype cells have contrasting activity: M2 macrophages exert their pro-tumor activities through various mechanisms in TME, including immune suppression, tissue remodeling, tumor progression, and angiogenesis (12). M2 macrophages promote osteosarcoma metastasis by secreting factors such as CCL18, MMP-12, COX-2, and IL-1 $\beta$ , and these factors may contribute to metastasis through the NF-κB/miR-181α-5p/RASSF1A/Wnt pathway (76, 77). M2 macrophages may also contribute to tumor angiogenesis by releasing vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and fibroblast growth factor (FGF) (78). Recent studies also reported that M2 macrophages may inhibit the

activity of T cells due to their expression of PD-1 (79). Repolarization of M2-like macrophages to M1-like macrophages is emerging as an innovative anticancer approach.

Another phenotype differentiated from monocytes is DCs, kinds of professional antigen-presenting cells (APCs) (68). By producing IFN-1 $\alpha$  and IFN-1 $\beta$ , DCs may regulate anti-tumor effects (80). High levels of DCs may relate to high levels of heat shock promoter 70 (HSP70) and high activity of T cells (81). In view of results from single-cell RNAseq, DCs may have different phenotypes, and some of them may play immunosuppressive roles (82).

### Roles of neutrophils in osteosarcoma

The recruitment of neutrophils from the circulatory system to TME may undergo several stages, including attachment, adhesion, crawling, and transmigration (83). Chemokine of the CXC family is the major system that regulates the attraction of neutrophils, including seven chemokines and two receptors. Usually, the CXC family chemokines secreted by tumor tissues may attract the neutrophils by interacting with CXC receptors CXCR1 and CXCR2 expressed on neutrophils (84). After the receptor binding, the G-protein and β-arrestin signaling pathways were activated, which may further regulate the activation of calcium channels, phospholipase C, MAP, and tyrosine kinase pathways (85). The activation of these pathways may promote neutrophil migration by remodeling dynamic actin (86). Previous studies have reported that many neutrophil-regulating factors, such as CSF and IL-17, may regulate neutrophil attraction by regulating the levels of CXC chemokines and the receptions (87, 88). Many factors that play significant roles in neutrophil migration have been explored in osteosarcoma, including CXCL1, IL-6, CCL2, and so on (89). CXCL1 has higher expression in the tumor tissues compared to normal tissues, and the levels of CXCL1 expression may increase with the tumor progression, many factors were found to relate to this phenomenon, including the extracellular vesicles secreted by osteosarcoma cells, pH levels, and other cytokines released by tumor-relating cells (90, 91).

The recruitment of neutrophils to other organs is also significantly related to the formation of a pre-metastatic niche (92). Previous studies have proven that CXCL1 releasing by human pulmonary artery endothelial cells may significantly increase osteosarcoma cell mobility, and this phenomenon was mediated by VCAM-1 (93). Moreover, it was also reported that ANGPTL2 may contribute to the recruitment of neutrophils to the lung and promote the formation of lung pre-metastatic (92). Moreover, evidence from transcriptomic and histological analysis of premetastatic lungs has identified the characteristics of prometastatic events including inflammatory-induced stromal fibroblast activation, neutrophil infiltration, and ECM remodeling (94).

Though the research on TANs in osteosarcoma is still limited, the neutrophils and their related phenotypes have also been proven to have effects on the development and progression of osteosarcoma (95). In osteosarcoma, the N1 subtype of TANs may be more prevalent in the early stages of the disease, correlating with a favorable response to treatment and a better prognosis, while the N2 subtype could foster an immunosuppressive microenvironment that hinders effective immunotherapy (96). The unique behavior of neutrophils in osteosarcoma may be attributed to the bone matrix components and the specific cytokine milieu present in the OS microenvironment, which can influence neutrophil polarization and function (97).

Furthermore, recent multi-omics analyses identified distinct molecular subtypes of osteosarcoma, each with varying prognoses and responses to treatment (98). These subtypes exhibit different patterns of neutrophil infiltration and activation, suggesting that the regulatory mechanisms controlling neutrophil behavior may vary between osteosarcoma subtypes (98). TANs may regulate the development of osteosarcoma via matrix metalloproteinases -9 (MMP-9): the high levels of MMP-9 mediated by TANs are correlated with poorer prognosis (99). MMP-9 secreted from TANs may interact with insulin receptor substrate 1 (IRS-1), and further regulate the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway to contribute to the proliferation of tumor cells (100). From the insights of singlecell sequencing, the expressions of PPP2R5C, PPP2R5E, YWHAG, and CREBBP on TANs were significantly related to the metastatic of osteosarcoma, and these genes may play their roles via HIF-1, PI3K-AKT, and JAK-STAT signaling pathways (101). Similar to MMP-9, the PPP2R5C, a subunit of protein phosphatase 2A, was expressed on TANs, and may also regulate the proliferation of osteosarcoma via PI3K/AKT pathway (101). By comprehensively analyzing the significant genes from osteosarcoma and neutrophils at the single-cell level, hundreds of genes were identified, and C3AR1 and FCER1G as two neutrophil-related genes were validated to play critical roles in the communication between neutrophils and osteosarcoma cells (102). C3AR1 and FCER1G were highly regulated in the osteosarcoma mice induced by K7M2, and these two genes were proven to have significant prognostic value in osteosarcoma (102).

TANs may also act by communicating with other immune cells (103). The most significant cell phenotypes that relate to TANs are myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), due to their shared origin (15). MDSCs, the immature myeloid cells, play significant roles in TME. In humans and mice, there are two major classes of myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), classified based on their origins from the granulocytic lineage and monocytic lineage, namely polymorphonuclear-MDSCs (PMN-MDSCs) and monocytic-MDSCs (M-MDSCs) (104). The common feature of MDSCs is their appearance in immunologically activated pathological states, due to sustained stimulation of myeloid cells in environments such as cancer, chronic infections or inflammation, and autoimmune diseases, as a result of prolonged presence of myeloid growth factors and inflammatory signals. The main characteristic of MDSCs is their ability to suppress immune responses, including those mediated by T cells, B cells, and natural killer (NK) cells (105). M-MDSCs and PMN-MDSCs possess key biochemical features that contribute to immune response suppression, including upregulation of signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3), induction of endoplasmic reticulum stress, expression of arginase 1, and expression of S100A8/A9 (106).

MDSCs may inhibit the migration of T cells and reduce T cell activity to protect osteosarcoma cells (107, 108). This function of MDSCs may be achieved by several pathways and factors, such as the production of nitric oxide (NO) and ROS, and the consumption of L-arginine (109). MDSCs may also contribute to the metastasis of osteosarcoma by forcing T-cell tolerance and releasing TGF- $\beta$  and hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) (110). Moreover, MDSCs may also regulate tumor angiogenesis by releasing VEGF and MMP-9 (111).

Another remarkable cell interaction with neutrophils is tumorassociated macrophages (15). The neutrophils may attract monocytes by secreting IL-37, CCL2, and CCL3, and these monocytes may differentiate into macrophages via IL-8 and TNF- $\alpha$  (112). It was also reported that in the development of sarcoma, TANs may regulate the IL-12 releasing of macrophages, and IL-12 may contribute to the activation of unconventional T cells due to their high levels of IL-12R expression, which further regulate the secreting of IFN- $\gamma$  and tumor suppression (113). During the nascent stages of oncogenesis, macrophages exhibit tumoricidal properties due to their activated state, generating reactive oxygen and nitrogen species that can induce DNA damage and genetic instability (114). The cytokines from neutrophils in the tumor microenvironment may significantly impact macrophage functions and phenotypes (115). Furthermore, macrophages may contribute to malignant transformation through the secretion of angiogenic factors, proteases, and growth factors (116). These factors stimulate cancer cell proliferation and support the epithelial-mesenchymal transition in tumor cells, thereby facilitating tumor growth and metastasis (117). Recent studies also reported that these recruited monocytes and macrophages may release CXCL8 to further attract neutrophils, which may become a feedback loop (118).

TANs may also interact with lymphoid cells and have paradoxical effects on the functions of lymphoid cells (119). TANs may release ROS, reactive nitrogen intermediates (RNI), and prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), and these factors may directly inhibit the functions of T cells and NK cells (120, 121). The release function of TANs may relate to their metabolism status. Facing limited glucose supply, neutrophils may have high levels of mitochondrial fatty acid oxidation and high ROS production (120). Moreover, TANs with endoplasmic reticulum stress and altered lipid metabolism may also have higher levels of ROS production (122). In addition to the release of mediate factors, TANs may also interact with lymphocytes by disturbing the immune checkpoints, due to the expression of PD-L1 and VISTA on neutrophils, which may result in the dysfunction of T cells and NK cells by interacting with their ligands (123). It was also reported that some types of neutrophils may directly contact CD4+ T cells physically to inhibit the functions of the cells (124). TANs may regulate the activity of T cells by attracting Tregs and formatting the TME. Interestingly, TANs may also have positive effects on lymphoid cell activation. TANs may activate T cells by showing their APC-like features, and these APC-like features in TANs are activated by TMEderived CSF and IFN- $\gamma$  (125). Furthermore, the activation of T cells may contribute to the expression of CD54 and CD86 on TANs, which may further strengthen the APC-like features of TNAs and construct a positive feedback loop (126). By secreting IL-1 $\beta$  and IL-18, neutrophils also directly attract and activate NK cells (127).

Within the context of the tumor microenvironment, the intricate interplay between neutrophils and B cells holds substantial implications for cancer progression and therapeutic strategies (124). Neutrophils have been shown to facilitate the migration of B cells through the release of TNF- $\alpha$ , with this effect being notably enhanced by the presence of specific chemokines, including CXCL13 and CXCL12 (128). While the precise nature of the interaction between neutrophils and follicular B cells remains to be fully elucidated, it is observed that neutrophils tend to concentrate in areas rich in B cells and secrete B-cell-activating factor (BAFF) under the influence of G-CSF, which in turn, bolsters the rapid production of plasma cells (129). Furthermore, neutrophils are known to regulate immunoglobulin production by interacting with the BAFF receptor on B cells, a pivotal mechanism in the modulation of the humoral immune response (130). This capability of neutrophils to influence B cell activity is particularly significant when considering the diverse functions of B cells in countering tumorigenesis and their ability to stimulate other immune cells, including T and NK cells (131).

The roles of NETs in osteosarcoma were also reported in previous studies. In the osteosarcoma gene profiles, more than 90 NETs genes were identified, and these genes were related to immune cell infiltration, including NK cells and CD8+ T cells (8). Previous studies tried to establish a prognostic signature based on NETs-related genes to predict the overall prognosis of osteosarcoma and proved the strong performance of this signature (11). Similarly, the TME between groups with different levels of NETs-related signatures may have different types of immune cell infiltration.

Though few functional and experimental studies tried to explore the specific mechanism of NETs in osteosarcoma, the normal function and features of NETs in general tumors may provide us with a potential hypothesis. In the context of tumor development, NETs may serve to limit tumor spread in the early stages by directly entrapping and killing cancer cells (132). Moreover, NETs can enhance the local immune response by promoting the recruitment and activation of immune cells such as T cells and natural killer (NK) cells. This can lead to the secretion of cytokines and chemokines that reinforce the inflammatory response and potentially contribute to the elimination of cancer cells (133). Generally, some NETs-related factors, such as IL-8, G-CSF, and CXC chemokine receptor family, have been also proven to relate to the progression of osteosarcoma (134). Similarly, MMP-9, mentioned many times in this review, as a critical protein of NETs, is also proven as a key factor in osteosarcoma (135). In addition, the roles of NETs in blocking immune cells and protecting cancer cells physically may also exist in the TME of osteosarcoma. The overall roles of neutrophils in osteosarcoma are summarized in Figure 3.

## Clinical evidence of neutrophils in osteosarcoma

Previous studies reported the neutrophil count was an independent risk factor for the metastasis of osteosarcoma (136).

However, the most widely used predictive parameter related to neutrophils in osteosarcoma is the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), which may predict many kinds of prognoses, including overall survival, progression-free survival (PFS), disease-free survival (DFS), metastasis, and so on (137-139). In a cohort enrolling 359 individuals after surgeries for osteosarcoma, pretreatment NLR may independently predict the overall survival and PFS: the individuals with higher NLR may have lower 5-year overall survival (HR = 1.80, 95% CI = 1.35-2.41, P < 0.001) and PFS (HR = 1.65, 95% CI = 1.26-2.15, P < 0.001) compared with those with low levels of NLR (137). Similar results were also reported in a study that included 100 children with osteosarcoma, rhabdomyosarcoma, and Ewing sarcoma: the NLR > 2 may independently predict the overall survival (HR = 2.27, 95% CI = 1.07-5.30, P = 0.046) for children with osteosarcoma (10). Compared to other hemogram parameters, such as platelet-tolymphocyte ratio (PLR) (AUC = 0.668 and AUC = 0.600) and lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio (LMR) (AUC = 0.609 and AUC = 0.407), NLR (AUC = 0.749 and AUC = 0.663) has the highest predictive value for overall survival (140, 141). Pre-treatment NLR may also predict the efficacy of neoadjuvant chemotherapy in osteosarcoma, and the results from multicenter cohorts showed that the patients with lower NLR may be more likely to achieve pathological complete response (OR = 2.82, 95% CI = 1.36-5.17, P = 0.020) compared with patients with high NLR (142). Similarly, a cohort from Iran with 186 individuals also reported that the pretreatment NLR may effectively predict the response after neoadjuvant chemotherapy and overall survival: the patients with high NLR have significantly low overall survival (20.7 months vs. 34.6 months, P = 0.003) and DFS (20.4 months vs. 32.7 months, P = 0.020) compared with individuals with normal NLR (143). The prognostic abilities of increased NLR for overall survival (HR = 1.30, 95% CI = 1.10-1.50, P = 0.002) were also reported in individuals with osteosarcoma and treated with high-dose methotrexate and etoposide/ifosfamide chemotherapy (144). Beyond the pre-treatment NLR, a recent study also reported the prognostic value of dynamic changes of NLR during the treatment: by combining the baseline NLR and Delta NLR, the NLR staging system (HR = 2.46, 95% CI = 1.63-3.71, P < 0.001) may have better predictive values (145).

In addition to the simple immune inflammation index such as NLR, many immune indices related to neutrophils were also reported to be used to predict the outcome of osteosarcoma. For example, the systemic immune inflammation index (SII), defined as platelet × neutrophil/lymphocyte counts, was reported to relate to tumor size, histological type, Enneking stage, and neoadjuvant chemotherapy, and high SII (HR = 1.22, 95% CI = 1.10-1.45, P = 0.029 and HR = 1.01, 95% CI = 1.00-1.02, P = 0.015) may independently predict the overall survival (146, 147). A multicenter study also reported the prognostic values of preoperative SII in the overall survival of both young ( $\leq$ 20 years) individuals (HR = 2.42, 95% CI = 1.03-5.68, P = 0.043) with osteosarcoma (148). In addition to SII, the lung immune prognostic index (LIPI), calculated by serum lactate dehydrogenase

(LDH) and neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (NLR), was also proven to predict the metastasis (HR = 1.864, 95% CI = 1.11-3.13, P = 0.018) of osteosarcoma (149). Moreover, studies included 133 individuals with osteosarcoma reported that the pre-treatment Naples prognostic score (NPS), composed of serum albumin level, serum total cholesterol (TC), lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio (LMR), and neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), was able to predict the overall survival (HR = 5.87, 95% CI = 1.03-6.43, P < 0.001; HR = 6.55, 95% CI = 1.15-13.62, P < 0.001) and PFS (HR = 5.27, 95% CI = 1.02-11.49, P < 0.001; HR = 6.78, 95% CI = 1.23-10.58, P < 0.001), and was significantly related to tumor location (P = 0.009), Enneking stage (P < 0.001), pathological fracture (P = 0.005), local recurrence (P < 0.001), and metastasis (P = 0.003) (150). The studies related to the clinical roles of neutrophils are summarized in Table 1.

## Neutrophils in the surgery of osteosarcoma

In the treatment of osteosarcoma, surgical resection is the most critical treatment strategy. However, even after the surgical procedure, patients with osteosarcoma may also face a high risk of postoperative metastasis (151). Recent studies reported that the postoperative metastasis was partly driven by the immune response caused by infection, tissue damage, and cell injury, and the surgical procedure of osteosarcoma is the major cause, due to the extensive tissue resection and reconstruction (152). Even after surgery, the tissue healing process also activates systemic inflammatory reaction, which establishes a favorable microenvironment for tumor growth and metastasis (153). Neutrophils may play critical roles in this kind of acute inflammatory response (Figure 4).

After the surgical procedures of osteosarcoma, the extensive trauma caused by surgery may result in high levels of release of intracellular factors and cytokines, which may initiate the immune response and naturally increase the circulation neutrophil counts (154). The damaged cells after surgery expressed increased levels of damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs), groups of cellular components including ATP, DNA, cytokines, and so on (155). DAMPs in the local tissues may attract the circulation of neutrophils and contribute to the activation of neutrophils (116). A recent study reported that with the cell destruction, mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) was released into circulation, and attracted neutrophils to format the pre-metastatic niche, which indicated the roles of neutrophils in the surgery-induced osteosarcoma metastasis (156).

Facing the extensive simulation, the NETosis of neutrophils would be activated. Previous studies have proven that after major surgeries, especially the large removal and reconstruction in tumor surgeries, the NETs markers in circulation may significantly increase (157, 158). Additionally, the intraoperative surgical vascular occlusion and hypoxia in the surgery procedures may also contribute to NETosis (159). The extensive release of NETs may finally promote the metastasis of tumors and result in the failure of radical surgery.

### TABLE 1 Studies focusing on the clinical roles of neutrophils in osteosarcoma.

Index	Sample size	Location	Population Characteristics	Study type	Outcome	Conclusion	Ref
Pretreatment Neutrophil count	65	Japan	First visit osteosarcoma patients without metastasis	Single-center retrospective study	Metastasis	Low neutrophil count as a risk factor for metastasis of osteosarcoma	(122)
Pretreatment NLR	359	China	Patients who underwent curative surgery for osteosarcoma	Single-center retrospective study	5-years OS/PFS	High levels of NLR as risk factors for survival of osteosarcoma	(123)
NLR	2087	_	Patients with osteosarcoma	Meta-analysis	OS/DFS	High levels of NLR as risk factors for survival of osteosarcoma	(124)
NLR	2162	_	Patients with osteosarcoma	Meta-analysis	OS/DPS	High levels of NLR as risk factors for survival of osteosarcoma	(125)
Pretreatment NLR	172	Turkey	Pretreatment patients with osteosarcoma	Single-center retrospective study	OS	High levels of NLR as risk factors for survival of osteosarcoma	(126)
Pretreatment NLR	162	China	Pretreatment patients with osteosarcoma	Single-center retrospective study	OS	High levels of NLR as risk factors for survival of osteosarcoma	(127)
NLR at the first cycle of chemotherapy	96	China	Patients who underwent NACT for osteosarcoma	Multi-center retrospective study	pCR	High levels of NLR as risk factors for the effect of NACT	(128)
NLR at the first cycle of chemotherapy	186	Iran	Patients who underwent NACT for osteosarcoma	Multi-center prospective study	OS/DFS	High levels of NLR as risk factors for survival of osteosarcoma	(129)
NLR during chemotherapy	164	France	Patients with osteosarcoma and treated with M- EI chemotherapy	Multi-center prospective study	OS/EFS	High levels of NLR at 4 weeks as risk factors for survival of osteosarcoma	(130)
NLR (baseline, during treatment, delta)	251	China	Pretreatment patients with osteosarcoma	Single-center retrospective study	OS	High levels of baseline NLR and delta NLR as risk factors for survival of osteosarcoma	(131)
Pretreatment SII	126	China	Pretreatment patients with osteosarcoma	Single-center retrospective study	OS	High levels of SII as risk factors for survival of osteosarcoma	(132)
Pretreatment SII	86	China	Pretreatment patients with osteosarcoma	Single-center retrospective study	EFS/CSS	High levels of SII as risk factors for survival of osteosarcoma	(133)
Pretreatment SII	125	China	Pretreatment patients with osteosarcoma	Single-center retrospective study	OS	High levels of SII as risk factors for survival of osteosarcoma	(134)
Pretreatment LIPI	184	China	Pretreatment patients with osteosarcoma	Single-center retrospective study	Metastasis	Low levels of LIPI as risk factors for metastasis of osteosarcoma	(135)
Pretreatment NPS	133	China	Pretreatment patients with osteosarcoma	Single-center retrospective study	OS/PFS	High levels of NPS as risk factors for survival of osteosarcoma	(136)

# Potential treatments based on neutrophils

How to benefit the patients more by targeting neutrophils? Many researchers have begun their explorations in the engineering and targeting of neutrophil strategies. Due to the limited recognition of the neutrophils in the cancer, especially in osteosarcoma, few studies about the neutrophil treatment in osteosarcoma have been reported. Here, we reviewed the progress and explorations in targeting and engineering neutrophils for cancer treatment to provide potential ways to treat osteosarcoma (Figure 5).

The recruitment of neutrophils in local tissues plays a significant role in tumor development and metastasis, so many studies tried to inhibit this process to achieve the goals of cancer therapy. Targeting CXCL/CXCR2 signaling has been reported as a potential treatment in cancer: by regulating neutrophil infiltration, CXCR2 inhibition, and interference may significantly suppress the tumor growth and prolong the survival of mice with tumor, as well



### FIGURE 4

Post-surgical Neutrophil Activation and Its Implications in Osteosarcoma Metastasis. The post-operative surge in neutrophil activity following osteosarcoma surgery, showcasing the release of damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) and subsequent neutrophil chemotaxis. It suggests a model where surgical stress-induced NETosis and the formation of pre-metastatic niches in distal organs are potential drivers of tumor cell dissemination.



### FIGURE 5

Therapeutic Interventions Targeting Neutrophils in Osteosarcoma. These include neutralizing chemokine gradients that recruit neutrophils to the TME, pharmacological repolarization of TAN phenotypes using TGF- $\beta$  inhibitors, and the innovative use of engineered neutrophils for precision drug delivery, highlighting the potential of these approaches to disrupt osteosarcoma progression and enhance therapeutic responses.

as improve the quality of chemotherapy (160, 161). In addition to the direct effects on tumor development, anti-CXCR2 may also improve the effectiveness of PD-1 strategies, suppress the inflammatory microenvironment caused by neutrophils, and inhibit the formation of NETs (162). Similarly to CXCR2-related strategies, targeting CXCR4 may also have effects on tumor development by disturbing the recruitment of neutrophils (163). Additionally, many cytokines, proteins, and novel nanomaterial drugs, such as CEMIP (cell migration-inducing protein), IL-17, G-CSF-inhibiting antibodies, and colchicine, were reported to have a

potential suppressive effect on neutrophil recruitment and have

potential to become a treatment of cancers (164-166). Due to the different phenotypes of TANs, researchers also tried to develop methods to repolarize pro-tumor TANs to anti-tumor TANs. As the TGF-β/Smad pathway is critical to the polarization of N2 TANs, some studies explored the potential strategies that may inhibit this signal, and usage of TGF-B receptor inhibitor and Smad3 inhibitor, as well as knockdown of Smad3, can effectively contribute to the repolarization to N1 phenotypes and enhance the anti-tumor effect of neutrophils (167). Similarly, enhancing the pathways that contribute to the polarization of TANs to anti-tumor phenotypes may also provide a potential effect on tumor suppression, such as interferon therapy (22). Recently, many new factors that may affect the repolarization of TANs were also reported, including Interleukin-1 receptor-associated kinase M (IRAK-M), nicotinamide phosphoribosyltransferase (NAMPT), novel TGF-B inhibitor, and proteins for inflammation resolution (168 - 170).

Trying to eliminate the effects caused by pro-tumor TANs may also be a potential intervention in targeting neutrophils for cancer treatments. Studies reported that by using STAT3 inhibitors, the activation of neutrophils was suppressed and turned into an antitumor phenotype (171). Targeting the angiogenesis effect of neutrophils may also inhibit the development and metastasis of tumors (172). A recent study also proved that inhibiting the FGF pathway could eliminate the neutrophil-activated effect on tumor cells (173). Moreover, many factors and proteins were found to have a regulatory impact on neutrophil activity by inhibiting specific pathways, such as fatty acid transport protein 2 (FATP2) inhibitor, HDAC inhibitor, leukotriene-generating enzyme arachidonate 5lipoxygenase (Alox5) inhibitor, and so on (174, 175).

Targeting NETs is also a potential strategy. Targeting the protein structures of NETs, previous studies tried to use DNase I and (protein-arginine deaminase 4) PAD4 inhibitors, as well as laminin antibodies, to inhibit the protective roles of NETs in tumor progress (176). Due to the unstable nature of these inhibitor proteins, some nanomaterials were designed to precisely release the protein inhibitors at the accurate tumor location, which has achieved satisfactory effects (177). Similarly, studies also reported the exploration of targeting NETs-DNA. By designing cationic materials that may inhibit the interaction between NETs-DNA and CCDC25, researchers significantly reduced the infiltration of NETs-DNA and suppressed the metastasis of tumors (178).

Based on the characteristics of neutrophils that neutrophils may release cargo in certain microenvironments, engineering neutrophils were also considered as a potential treatment for tumors. Recent studies have reported that neutrophils may be engineered as cell drug delivery systems to transport chemotherapy drugs, photosensitizers, photothermal agents, and so on to tumor tissues efficiently and safely (179, 180). Neutrophil membranes have also been engineered for novel therapy strategies for tumors. The cell membranes derived from different cells have similar structures and functions to their derived cells, which were considered to have the potential to interact with tumor cells and to deliver drugs accurately (181). Recent studies designed the neutrophil membranes loaded celastrol (CLT), paclitaxel (PTX), and so on to treat cancer and achieved significant anti-tumor efficacy (182, 183). It was also proven that irreversible electroporation may induce the attraction of neutrophils and then improve the drug delivery effectiveness mediated by neutrophils (184). Additionally, neutrophil-derived extracellular vesicles (EVs) were also considered as potential platforms for drug delivery. Due to the inflammatory chemotaxis of neutrophil-derived EVs, EVs may automatically migrate to the inflammatory site, as well as tumor location (185). Engineered neutrophil-derived EVs may directly kill tumor cells and regulate TME by carrying drugs, miRNAs, and cytotoxic factors such as doxorubicin, granzyme, perforin, and so on (186, 187).

In addition to normal engineered neutrophil systems, many other engineered strategies based on neutrophils have also been developed. A recent study developed a two-pronged delivery system to inhibit the effect of neutrophils in TME by both eliminating NETs and reducing mitochondrial biogenesis (188). The design of this strategy was based on the positive feedback loop that hypoxia caused by exceeding mitochondrial activity may promote the formation of NETs and NETs may positively contribute to the mitochondrial metabolism. It was also reported that a drug delivery system based on a platelet-neutrophil hybrid Membrane may achieve efficient drug delivery guided by neutrophil-related inflammatory microenvironment and enhance the anti-tumor effect of macrophage (189).

However, the challenges and potential side effects associated with neutrophil-related therapies should also be noticed. Usually, chemokine inhibitors and chemokine receptor inhibitors were usually employed to achieve the strategies targeting on the recruitment, repolarization, pro-tumor effect, and NETosis (162). The human chemokine system is characterized by its intricate and diverse nature. The inhibition of a pivotal chemokine receptor could potentially result in significant adverse effects (72). Moreover, the redundancy inherent in chemokines and their receptors necessitates the use of appropriate initiating doses and metabolic stabilizers for the antagonists to be effective. This requirement significantly constrains the development of chemokine receptor antagonists and their clinical efficacy (190). Consequently, there is a pressing need to optimize chemokine receptor antagonists in future research and development endeavors. Moreover, we have to further explore the effects of neutrophil subtypes. As neutrophils play a critical role in innate immunity, broad targeting of these cells can increase the risk of infections and other diseases (98). Therefore, therapies must be tailored to minimize off-target effects on normal neutrophil functions. Engineered neutrophil systems may achieve more satisfactory effect due to their ability in precision targeting, immunomodulation, and rapid response (187). They can be designed to deliver drugs directly to the tumor site, increasing the

efficacy of chemotherapy and reducing systemic side effects (173). Despite these advantages, their stability within the complex *in vivo* environment can be a concern, potentially affecting their therapeutic efficacy. Ensuring biocompatibility to avoid adverse immune responses is also a significant hurdle (173).

In summary, while neutrophil-based therapeutic strategies show promise in the fight against cancer, they also present significant scientific and technical challenges. Future research must focus on overcoming these hurdles, refining these therapies to maximize their efficacy and minimize adverse effects, and identifying biomarkers that can predict treatment response. This will be crucial in translating these innovative approaches into clinical practice, offering new hope for cancer patients.

## Challenges and perspectives

With the development of single-cell sequencing, the roles of neutrophils in tumor development and metastasis are gradually recognized and understood. However, their involvement in bone cancer, specifically osteosarcoma, remains relatively understudied compared to other types of tumors. Recent research has partly demonstrated the roles of neutrophils in osteosarcoma, but the specific roles of neutrophils in osteosarcoma, including the interaction with bone cells (osteoclasts and osteoblasts), the communication with immune cells, and the effects of NETs, were still not fully understood. Moreover, further engineering and targeting therapies based on neutrophils were still limited.

In the future, further research is needed to elucidate the complex interplay between neutrophils and tumor cells in osteosarcoma, as well as to identify novel therapeutic targets to modulate neutrophil function and improve patient outcomes. Single-cell transcriptomics allows for a detailed analysis of the transcriptomic profiles of neutrophils in different osteosarcoma subtypes (191). By comparing the gene expression profiles of N1 and N2 neutrophils in various osteosarcoma subtypes, we can gain insights into the molecular mechanisms underlying their polarization and function. This can be optimized by using advanced computational methods to analyze the single-cell data and by integrating the data with proteomic and metabolomic profiles. Moreover, given the role of exosomes and other extracellular vesicles in cell-cell communication, future research should focus on their role in neutrophil-bone cell interactions (187). Isolation and characterization of these vesicles from osteosarcoma subtypes can reveal novel biomarkers and therapeutic targets. Additionally, the development of preclinical models that accurately recapitulate the bone tumor microenvironment will be essential for advancing our understanding of neutrophil biology in osteosarcoma and translating these findings into clinical practice. Many models in bone metabolism research may achieve the regulation of different bone cells, such as B-hRANKL mice, BhSOST mice, B-hRSPO1 mice, and so on (192). Integrating the bone-related diseases models, orthotopic osteosarcoma models and neutrophil models, we may further explore the potential mechanism of the neutrophils in osteosarcoma.

## Conclusion

In this review, we tried to summarize the roles of neutrophils in osteosarcoma from various dimensions, including the NETs, TANs in immune TME, interaction between neutrophils and immune cells, clinical evidence of neutrophils in osteosarcoma, the roles of neutrophils in surgery, and the potential therapy based on neutrophils. Though the studies on neutrophils in osteosarcoma were still limited, taking inspiration from studies on neutrophils in other types of cancer can also provide valuable insights for future research on their role in osteosarcoma development.

### Author contributions

MX: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. YH: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. LS: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. DBL: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. CZ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. DSL: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing.

## Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. Jilin Provincial Scientific and Technological Development Program (20230204077YY).

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

## References

1. Bian J, Liu Y, Zhao X, Meng C, Zhang Y, Duan Y, et al. Research progress in the mechanism and treatment of osteosarcoma. *Chin Med J (Engl).* (2023) 136:2412–20. doi: 10.1097/CM9.00000000002800

2. Nirala BK, Yamamichi T, Yustein JT. Deciphering the signaling mechanisms of osteosarcoma tumorigenesis. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2023) 24:11367. doi: 10.3390/ ijms241411367

3. Acharya SS, Kundu CN. Havoc in harmony: Unravelling the intricacies of angiogenesis orchestrated by the tumor microenvironment. *Cancer Treat Rev.* (2024) 127:102749. doi: 10.1016/j.ctrv.2024.102749

4. Lv D, Fei Y, Chen H, Wang J, Han W, Cui B, et al. Crosstalk between T lymphocyte and extracellular matrix in tumor microenvironment. *Front Immunol.* (2024) 15:1340702. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1340702

5. Nirala BK, Yamamichi T, Petrescu DI, Shafin TN, Yustein JT. Decoding the impact of tumor microenvironment in osteosarcoma progression and metastasis. *Cancers (Basel).* (2023) 15:5108. doi: 10.3390/cancers15205108

6. Cheng S, Wang H, Kang X, Zhang H. Immunotherapy innovations in the fight against osteosarcoma: emerging strategies and promising progress. *Pharmaceutics*. (2024) 16:251. doi: 10.3390/pharmaceutics16020251

7. Thind MK, Uhlig HH, Glogauer M, Palaniyar N, Bourdon C, Gwela A, et al. A metabolic perspective of the neutrophil life cycle: new avenues in immunometabolism. *Front Immunol.* (2023) 14:1334205. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1334205

8. Tang H, Xie J, Du YX, Tan ZJ, Liang ZT. Osteosarcoma neutrophil extracellular trap network-associated gene recurrence and metastasis model. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol.* (2024) 150:48. doi: 10.1007/s00432-023-05577-2

9. Li X, Hu L, Naeem A, Xiao S, Yang M, Shang H, et al. Neutrophil extracellular traps in tumors and potential use of traditional herbal medicine formulations for its regulation. *Int J Nanomed.* (2024) 19:2851–77. doi: 10.2147/IJN.S449181

10. Vasquez L, Leon E, Beltran B, Maza I, Oscanoa M, Geronimo J. Pretreatment neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and lymphocyte recovery: independent prognostic factors for survival in pediatric sarcomas. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol.* (2017) 39:538–46. doi: 10.1097/MPH.000000000000911

11. Lin Y, Tang H, Teng H, Feng W, Li F, Liu S, et al. Development and validation of neutrophil extracellular traps-derived signature to predict the prognosis for osteosarcoma patients. *Int Immunopharmacol.* (2024) 127:111364. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2023.111364

12. Cersosimo F, Lonardi S, Bernardini G, Telfer B, Mandelli GE, Santucci A, et al. Tumor-associated macrophages in osteosarcoma: from mechanisms to therapy. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2020) 21:5207. doi: 10.3390/ijms21155207

13. Wu CC, Beird HC, Andrew Livingston J, Advani S, Mitra A, Cao S, et al. Immuno-genomic landscape of osteosarcoma. *Nat Commun.* (2020) 11:1008. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-14646-w

14. Coffelt SB, Wellenstein MD, de Visser KE. Neutrophils in cancer: neutral no more. *Nat Rev Cancer*. (2016) 16:431–46. doi: 10.1038/nrc.2016.52

15. Yang S, Jia J, Wang F, Wang Y, Fang Y, Yang Y, et al. Targeting neutrophils: Mechanism and advances in cancer therapy. *Clin Transl Med.* (2024) 14:e1599. doi: 10.1002/ctm2.v14.3

16. Hedrick CC, Malanchi I. Neutrophils in cancer: heterogeneous and multifaceted. Nat Rev Immunol. (2022) 22:173–87. doi: 10.1038/s41577-021-00571-6

17. Xie X, Shi Q, Wu P, Zhang X, Kambara H, Su J, et al. Single-cell transcriptome profiling reveals neutrophil heterogeneity in homeostasis and infection. *Nat Immunol.* (2020) 21:1119–33. doi: 10.1038/s41590-020-0736-z

18. Mollinedo F. Neutrophil degranulation, plasticity, and cancer metastasis. *Trends Immunol.* (2019) 40:228-42. doi: 10.1016/j.it.2019.01.006

19. Mistry P, Nakabo S, O'Neil L, Goel RR, Jiang K, Carmona-Rivera C, et al. Transcriptomic, epigenetic, and functional analyses implicate neutrophil diversity in the pathogenesis of systemic lupus erythematosus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (2019) 116:25222–8. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1908576116

20. Rosmarin AG, Yang Z, Resendes KK. Transcriptional regulation in myelopoiesis: Hematopoietic fate choice, myeloid differentiation, and leukemogenesis. *Exp Hematol.* (2005) 33:131–43. doi: 10.1016/j.exphem.2004.08.015

21. Fridlender ZG, Sun J, Kim S, Kapoor V, Cheng G, Ling L, et al. Polarization of tumor-associated neutrophil phenotype by TGF-beta: "N1" versus "N2" TAN. *Cancer Cell.* (2009) 16:183–94. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2009.06.017

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

22. Andzinski L, Kasnitz N, Stahnke S, Wu CF, Gereke M, von Kockritz-Blickwede M, et al. Type I IFNs induce anti-tumor polarization of tumor associated neutrophils in mice and human. *Int J Cancer*. (2016) 138:1982–93. doi: 10.1002/ijc.v138.8

23. Mihaila AC, Ciortan L, Macarie RD, Vadana M, Cecoltan S, Preda MB, et al. Transcriptional profiling and functional analysis of N1/N2 neutrophils reveal an immunomodulatory effect of \$100A9-blockade on the pro-inflammatory N1 subpopulation. *Front Immunol.* (2021) 12:708770. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.708770

24. Lovaszi M, Nemeth ZH, Pacher P, Gause WC, Wagener G, Hasko G. A(2A) adenosine receptor activation prevents neutrophil aging and promotes polarization from N1 towards N2 phenotype. *Purinergic Signal.* (2022) 18:345–58. doi: 10.1007/s11302-022-09884-0

25. Granot Z, Henke E, Comen EA, King TA, Norton L, Benezra R. Tumor entrained neutrophils inhibit seeding in the premetastatic lung. *Cancer Cell.* (2011) 20:300-14. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2011.08.012

26. Gershkovitz M, Caspi Y, Fainsod-Levi T, Katz B, Michaeli J, Khawaled S, et al. TRPM2 mediates neutrophil killing of disseminated tumor cells. *Cancer Res.* (2018) 78:2680–90. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-3614

27. Ralph SJ, Reynolds MJ. Intratumoral pro-oxidants promote cancer immunotherapy by recruiting and reprogramming neutrophils to eliminate tumors. *Cancer Immunol Immunother.* (2023) 72:527–42. doi: 10.1007/s00262-022-03248-8

28. Pelletier M, Billingham LK, Ramaswamy M, Siegel RM. Extracellular flux analysis to monitor glycolytic rates and mitochondrial oxygen consumption. *Methods Enzymol.* (2014) 542:125–49. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-416618-9.00007-8

29. Leone RD, Powell JD. Fueling the revolution: targeting metabolism to enhance immunotherapy. *Cancer Immunol Res.* (2021) 9:255–60. doi: 10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-20-0791

30. Korbecki J, Siminska D, Gassowska-Dobrowolska M, Listos J, Gutowska I, Chlubek D, et al. Chronic and cycling hypoxia: drivers of cancer chronic inflammation through HIF-1 and NF-kappaB activation: A review of the molecular mechanisms. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2021) 22:10701. doi: 10.3390/ijms221910701

31. Magar AG, Morya VK, Kwak MK, Oh JU, Noh KC. A molecular perspective on HIF-1alpha and angiogenic stimulator networks and their role in solid tumors: an update. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2024) 25:3313. doi: 10.3390/ijms25063313

32. Bae T, Hallis SP, Kwak MK. Hypoxia, oxidative stress, and the interplay of HIFs and NRF2 signaling in cancer. *Exp Mol Med.* (2024) 56:501–14. doi: 10.1038/s12276-024-01180-8

33. Papayannopoulos V. Neutrophil extracellular traps in immunity and disease. Nat Rev Immunol. (2018) 18:134-47. doi: 10.1038/nri.2017.105

34. Yan M, Gu Y, Sun H, Ge Q. Neutrophil extracellular traps in tumor progression and immunotherapy. *Front Immunol.* (2023) 14:1135086. doi: 10.3389/ fimmu.2023.1135086

35. Shang B, Cui H, Xie R, Wu J, Shi H, Bi X, et al. Neutrophil extracellular traps primed intercellular communication in cancer progression as a promising therapeutic target. *biomark Res.* (2023) 11:24. doi: 10.1186/s40364-023-00463-y

36. Fuchs TA, Abed U, Goosmann C, Hurwitz R, Schulze I, Wahn V, et al. Novel cell death program leads to neutrophil extracellular traps. *J Cell Biol.* (2007) 176:231–41. doi: 10.1083/jcb.200606027

37. Chen T, Li Y, Sun R, Hu H, Liu Y, Herrmann M, et al. Receptor-mediated NETosis on neutrophils. *Front Immunol.* (2021) 12:775267. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.775267

38. De Meo ML, Spicer JD. The role of neutrophil extracellular traps in cancer progression and metastasis. *Semin Immunol.* (2021) 57:101595. doi: 10.1016/j.smim.2022.101595

39. Kaltenmeier C, Simmons RL, Tohme S, Yazdani HO. Neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) in cancer metastasis. *Cancers (Basel).* (2021) 13:6131. doi: 10.3390/cancers13236131

40. McDowell SAC, Luo RBE, Arabzadeh A, Dore S, Bennett NC, Breton V, et al. Neutrophil oxidative stress mediates obesity-associated vascular dysfunction and metastatic transmigration. *Nat Cancer.* (2021) 2:545–62. doi: 10.1038/s43018-021-00194-9

41. Cools-Lartigue J, Spicer J, McDonald B, Gowing S, Chow S, Giannias B, et al. Neutrophil extracellular traps sequester circulating tumor cells and promote metastasis. *J Clin Invest.* (2013) 123:3446–58. doi: 10.1172/JCI67484

42. Schedel F, Mayer-Hain S, Pappelbaum KI, Metze D, Stock M, Goerge T, et al. Evidence and impact of neutrophil extracellular traps in Malignant melanoma. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res.* (2020) 33:63–73. doi: 10.1111/pcmr.12818

43. Kaltenmeier C, Yazdani HO, Morder K, Geller DA, Simmons RL, Tohme S. Neutrophil extracellular traps promote T cell exhaustion in the tumor microenvironment. *Front Immunol.* (2021) 12:785222. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.785222

44. Albrengues J, Shields MA, Ng D, Park CG, Ambrico A, Poindexter ME, et al. Neutrophil extracellular traps produced during inflammation awaken dormant cancer cells in mice. *Science*. (2018) 361:eaao4227. doi: 10.1126/science.aao4227

45. He XY, Gao Y, Ng D, Michalopoulou E, George S, Adrover JM, et al. Chronic stress increases metastasis via neutrophil-mediated changes to the microenvironment. *Cancer Cell.* (2024) 42:474–86 e12. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2024.01.013

46. Zhang F, Yan Y, Cao X, Guo C, Wang K, Lv S. TGF-beta-driven LIF expression influences neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) and contributes to peritoneal metastasis in gastric cancer. *Cell Death Dis.* (2024) 15:218. doi: 10.1038/s41419-024-06594-w

47. Mousset A, Lecorgne E, Bourget I, Lopez P, Jenovai K, Cherfils-Vicini J, et al. Neutrophil extracellular traps formed during chemotherapy confer treatment resistance via TGF-beta activation. *Cancer Cell.* (2023) 41:757–75 e10. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2023.03.008

48. Yang L, Liu Q, Zhang X, Liu X, Zhou B, Chen J, et al. DNA of neutrophil extracellular traps promotes cancer metastasis via CCDC25. *Nature*. (2020) 583:133–8. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2394-6

49. Zhang Y, Chandra V, Riquelme Sanchez E, Dutta P, Quesada PR, Rakoski A, et al. Interleukin-17-induced neutrophil extracellular traps mediate resistance to checkpoint blockade in pancreatic cancer. *J Exp Med.* (2020) 217:e20190354. doi: 10.1084/jem.20190354

50. Chen F, Liu J, Yang T, Sun J, He X, Fu X, et al. Analysis of intercellular communication in the osteosarcoma microenvironment based on single cell sequencing data. *J Bone Oncol.* (2023) 41:100493. doi: 10.1016/j.jbo.2023.100493

51. Zhu T, Han J, Yang L, Cai Z, Sun W, Hua Y, et al. Immune microenvironment in osteosarcoma: components, therapeutic strategies and clinical applications. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:907550. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.907550

52. Cortini M, Macchi F, Reggiani F, Vitale E, Lipreri MV, Perut F, et al. Endogenous extracellular matrix regulates the response of osteosarcoma 3D spheroids to doxorubicin. *Cancers (Basel).* (2023) 15:1221. doi: 10.3390/cancers15041221

53. Deng C, Xu Y, Chen H, Zhu X, Huang L, Chen Z, et al. Extracellular-vesiclepackaged \$100A11 from osteosarcoma cells mediates lung premetastatic niche formation by recruiting gMDSCs. *Cell Rep.* (2024) 43:113751. doi: 10.1016/ j.celrep.2024.113751

54. Chen X, Wang Z, Duan N, Zhu G, Schwarz EM, Xie C. Osteoblast-osteoclast interactions. *Connect Tissue Res.* (2018) 59:99-107. doi: 10.1080/03008207.2017.1290085

55. Ponzetti M, Ucci A, Puri C, Giacchi L, Flati I, Capece D, et al. Effects of osteoblast-derived extracellular vesicles on aggressiveness, redox status and mitochondrial bioenergetics of MNNG/HOS osteosarcoma cells. *Front Oncol.* (2022) 12:983254. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.983254

56. Araki Y, Yamamoto N, Hayashi K, Takeuchi A, Miwa S, Igarashi K, et al. The number of osteoclasts in a biopsy specimen can predict the efficacy of neoadjuvant chemotherapy for primary osteosarcoma. *Sci Rep.* (2021) 11:1989. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-80504-w

57. Li H, Hong S, Qian J, Zheng Y, Yang J, Yi Q. Cross talk between the bone and immune systems: osteoclasts function as antigen-presenting cells and activate CD4+ and CD8+ T cells. *Blood.* (2010) 116:210–7. doi: 10.1182/blood-2009-11-255026

58. Endo-Munoz L, Evdokiou A, Saunders NA. The role of osteoclasts and tumourassociated macrophages in osteosarcoma metastasis. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. (2012) 1826:434–42. doi: 10.1016/j.bbcan.2012.07.003

59. Li F, Tang H, Luo X, Li X, Luo K, Liu S, et al. Interaction gene set between osteoclasts and regulatory CD4(+) T cells can accurately predict the prognosis of patients with osteosarcoma. *Cancer Sci.* (2023) 114:3014–26. doi: 10.1111/cas.v114.7

60. Anloague A, Delgado-Calle J. Osteocytes: new kids on the block for cancer in bone therapy. *Cancers (Basel).* (2023) 15:2645. doi: 10.3390/cancers15092645

61. Zheng Y, Wang G, Chen R, Hua Y, Cai Z. Mesenchymal stem cells in the osteosarcoma microenvironment: their biological properties, influence on tumor growth, and therapeutic implications. *Stem Cell Res Ther.* (2018) 9:22. doi: 10.1186/s13287-018-0780-x

62. Li F, Chen X, Shang C, Ying Q, Zhou X, Zhu R, et al. Bone Marrow Mesenchymal Stem Cells-Derived Extracellular Vesicles Promote Proliferation, Invasion and Migration of Osteosarcoma Cells via the lncRNA MALAT1/miR-143/NRSN2/Wnt/ beta-Catenin Axis. Onco Targets Ther. (2021) 14:737–49. doi: 10.2147/OTT.S283459

63. Wei H, Chen J, Wang S, Fu F, Zhu X, Wu C, et al. A nanodrug consisting of doxorubicin and exosome derived from mesenchymal stem cells for osteosarcoma treatment *in vitro*. Int J Nanomed. (2019) 14:8603–10. doi: 10.2147/IJN.S218988

64. Mani N, Andrews D, Obeng RC. Modulation of T cell function and survival by the tumor microenvironment. *Front Cell Dev Biol.* (2023) 11:1191774. doi: 10.3389/ fcell.2023.1191774

65. Kendal JK, Shehata MS, Lofftus SY, Crompton JG. Cancer-associated B cells in sarcoma. *Cancers (Basel).* (2023) 15:622. doi: 10.3390/cancers15030622

66. Bod L, Kye YC, Shi J, Torlai Triglia E, Schnell A, Fessler J, et al. B-cell-specific checkpoint molecules that regulate anti-tumour immunity. *Nature*. (2023) 619:348–56. doi: 10.1038/s41586-023-06231-0

67. Quamine AE, Olsen MR, Cho MM, Capitini CM. Approaches to enhance natural killer cell-based immunotherapy for pediatric solid tumors. *Cancers (Basel).* (2021) 13:2796. doi: 10.3390/cancers13112796

68. Marchais A, Marques da Costa ME, Job B, Abbas R, Drubay D, Piperno-Neumann S, et al. Immune Infiltrate and Tumor Microenvironment Transcriptional Programs Stratify Pediatric Osteosarcoma into Prognostic Groups at Diagnosis. *Cancer Res.* (2022) 82:974–85. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-20-4189

69. Omer N, Nicholls W, Ruegg B, Souza-Fonseca-Guimaraes F, Rossi GR. Enhancing natural killer cell targeting of pediatric sarcoma. *Front Immunol.* (2021) 12:791206. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.791206

70. Pratt HG, Justin EM, Lindsey BA. Applying osteosarcoma immunology to understand disease progression and assess immunotherapeutic response. *Adv Exp Med Biol.* (2020) 1258:91-109. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-43085-6\_6

71. Liu JF, Chen PC, Chang TM, Hou CH. Monocyte Chemoattractant Protein-1 promotes cancer cell migration via c-Raf/MAPK/AP-1 pathway and MMP-9 production in osteosarcoma. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res.* (2020) 39:254. doi: 10.1186/s13046-020-01756-y

72. Regan DP, Coy JW, Chahal KK, Chow L, Kurihara JN, Guth AM, et al. The angiotensin receptor blocker losartan suppresses growth of pulmonary metastases via AT1R-independent inhibition of CCR2 signaling and monocyte recruitment. *J Immunol.* (2019) 202:3087–102. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1800619

73. He J, Shan S, Jiang T, Zhou S, Qin J, Li Q, et al. Mechanical stretch preconditioned adipose-derived stem cells elicit polarization of anti-inflammatory M2-like macrophages and improve chronic wound healing. *FASEB J.* (2024) 38: e23626. doi: 10.1096/fj.202300586R

74. Luo ZW, Liu PP, Wang ZX, Chen CY, Xie H. Macrophages in osteosarcoma immune microenvironment: implications for immunotherapy. *Front Oncol.* (2020) 10:586580. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2020.586580

75. Mao X, Song F, Jin J, Zou B, Dai P, Sun M, et al. Prognostic and immunological significance of an M1 macrophage-related gene signature in osteosarcoma. *Front Immunol.* (2023) 14:1202725. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1202725

76. Zhou Q, Xian M, Xiang S, Xiang D, Shao X, Wang J, et al. All-trans retinoic acid prevents osteosarcoma metastasis by inhibiting M2 polarization of tumor-associated macrophages. *Cancer Immunol Res.* (2017) 5:547–59. doi: 10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-16-0259

77. Han Y, Guo W, Ren T, Huang Y, Wang S, Liu K, et al. Tumor-associated macrophages promote lung metastasis and induce epithelial-mesenchymal transition in osteosarcoma by activating the COX-2/STAT3 axis. *Cancer Lett.* (2019) 440-441:116–25. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2018.10.011

78. Huang R, Kang T, Chen S. The role of tumor-associated macrophages in tumor immune evasion. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol.* (2024) 150:238. doi: 10.1007/s00432-024-05777-4

79. Cassetta L, Pollard JW. Targeting macrophages: therapeutic approaches in cancer. Nat Rev Drug Discovery. (2018) 17:887–904. doi: 10.1038/nrd.2018.169

80. Soto JA, Galvez NMS, Andrade CA, Pacheco GA, Bohmwald K, Berrios RV, et al. The role of dendritic cells during infections caused by highly prevalent viruses. *Front Immunol.* (2020) 11:1513. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.01513

81. Zhang F, Zhu Y, Fan G, Hu S. Photodynamic therapy reduces the inhibitory effect of osteosarcoma cells on dendritic cells by upregulating HSP70. *Oncol Lett.* (2018) 16:5034–40. doi: 10.3892/ol.2018.9322

82. Pan R, Pan F, Zeng Z, Lei S, Yang Y, Yang Y, et al. A novel immune cell signature for predicting osteosarcoma prognosis and guiding therapy. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:1017120. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.1017120

83. Shafqat A, Khan JA, Alkachem AY, Sabur H, Alkattan K, Yaqinuddin A, et al. How neutrophils shape the immune response: reassessing their multifaceted role in health and disease. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2023) 24:17583. doi: 10.3390/ijms242417583

84. Cambier S, Gouwy M, Proost P. The chemokines CXCL8 and CXCL12: molecular and functional properties, role in disease and efforts towards pharmacological intervention. *Cell Mol Immunol.* (2023) 20:217–51. doi: 10.1038/ s41423-023-00974-6

85. Bhattacharya M, Anborgh PH, Babwah AV, Dale LB, Dobransky T, Benovic JL, et al. Beta-arrestins regulate a Ral-GDS Ral effector pathway that mediates cytoskeletal reorganization. *Nat Cell Biol.* (2002) 4:547–55. doi: 10.1038/ncb821

86. Alon R, Shulman Z. Chemokine triggered integrin activation and actin remodeling events guiding lymphocyte migration across vascular barriers. *Exp Cell Res.* (2011) 317:632–41. doi: 10.1016/j.yexcr.2010.12.007

87. Awane M, Andres PG, Li DJ, Reinecker HC. NF-kappa B-inducing kinase is a common mediator of IL-17-, TNF-alpha-, and IL-1 beta-induced chemokine promoter activation in intestinal epithelial cells. *J Immunol.* (1999) 162:5337–44. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.162.9.5337

88. Kim HK, de la Luz Sierra M, Williams CK, Gulino AV, Tosato G. G-CSF downregulation of CXCR4 expression identified as a mechanism for mobilization of myeloid cells. *Blood.* (2006) 108:812–20. doi: 10.1182/blood-2005-10-4162

89. Ucci A, Cappariello A, Ponzetti M, Tennant F, Loftus AEP, Shefferd K, et al. Anti-osteoblastogenic, pro-inflammatory and pro-angiogenic effect of extracellular vesicles isolated from the human osteosarcoma cell line MNNG/HOS. Bone. (2021) 153:116130. doi: 10.1016/j.bone.2021.116130

90. Avnet S, Di Pompo G, Chano T, Errani C, Ibrahim-Hashim A, Gillies RJ, et al. Cancer-associated mesenchymal stroma fosters the stemness of osteosarcoma cells in response to intratumoral acidosis via NF-kappaB activation. *Int J Cancer.* (2017) 140:1331–45. doi: 10.1002/ijc.30540

91. Tieken C, Verboom MC, Ruf W, Gelderblom H, Bovee JV, Reitsma PH, et al. Tissue factor associates with survival and regulates tumour progression in osteosarcoma. *Thromb Haemost*. (2016) 115:1025–33. doi: 10.1160/TH15-07-0541

92. Charan M, Dravid P, Cam M, Setty B, Roberts RD, Houghton PJ, et al. Tumor secreted ANGPTL2 facilitates recruitment of neutrophils to the lung to promote lung pre-metastatic niche formation and targeting ANGPTL2 signaling affects metastatic disease. *Oncotarget.* (2020) 11:510–22. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.27433

93. Chao CC, Lee CW, Chang TM, Chen PC, Liu JF. CXCL1/CXCR2 paracrine axis contributes to lung metastasis in osteosarcoma. *Cancers (Basel)*. (2020) 12:459. doi: 10.3390/cancers12020459

94. Almeida SFF, Santos L, Sampaio-Ribeiro G, Ferreira HRS, Lima N, Caetano R, et al. Unveiling the role of osteosarcoma-derived secretome in premetastatic lung remodelling. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res.* (2023) 42:328. doi: 10.1186/s13046-023-02886-9

95. Ji Y, Lin Z, Li G, Tian X, Wu Y, Wan J, et al. Identification and validation of novel biomarkers associated with immune infiltration for the diagnosis of osteosarcoma based on machine learning. *Front Genet.* (2023) 14:1136783. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2023.1136783

96. Jaillon S, Ponzetta A, Di Mitri D, Santoni A, Bonecchi R, Mantovani A. Neutrophil diversity and plasticity in tumour progression and therapy. *Nat Rev Cancer*. (2020) 20:485–503. doi: 10.1038/s41568-020-0281-y

97. Misaghi A, Goldin A, Awad M, Kulidjian AA. Osteosarcoma: a comprehensive review. *SICOT J.* (2018) 4:12. doi: 10.1051/sicotj/2017028

98. Jiang Y, Wang J, Sun M, Zuo D, Wang H, Shen J, et al. Multi-omics analysis identifies osteosarcoma subtypes with distinct prognosis indicating stratified treatment. *Nat Commun.* (2022) 13:7207. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-34689-5

99. Zhou J, Liu T, Wang W. Prognostic significance of matrix metalloproteinase 9 expression in osteosarcoma: A meta-analysis of 16 studies. *Med (Baltimore)*. (2018) 97: e13051. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000013051

100. Bonecchi R, Mantovani A, Jaillon S. Chemokines as regulators of neutrophils: focus on tumors, therapeutic targeting, and immunotherapy. *Cancers (Basel)*. (2022) 14:680. doi: 10.3390/cancers14030680

101. Tan S, Chao R. An exploration of osteosarcoma metastasis diagnostic markers based on tumor-associated neutrophils. *Discovery Med.* (2023) 35:300-11. doi: 10.24976/Discov.Med.202335176.31

102. Lu J, Rui J, Xu XY, Shen JK. Exploring the role of neutrophil-related genes in osteosarcoma via an integrative analysis of single-cell and bulk transcriptome. *Biomedicines.* (2024) 12:1513. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines12071513

103. Segal BH, Giridharan T, Suzuki S, Khan ANH, Zsiros E, Emmons TR, et al. Neutrophil interactions with T cells, platelets, endothelial cells, and of course tumor cells. *Immunol Rev.* (2023) 314:13–35. doi: 10.1111/imr.v314.1

104. Veglia F, Sanseviero E, Gabrilovich DI. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells in the era of increasing myeloid cell diversity. *Nat Rev Immunol.* (2021) 21:485–98. doi: 10.1038/s41577-020-00490-y

105. Joshi S, Sharabi A. Targeting myeloid-derived suppressor cells to enhance natural killer cell-based immunotherapy. *Pharmacol Ther.* (2022) 235:108114. doi: 10.1016/j.pharmthera.2022.108114

106. Marvel D, Gabrilovich DI. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells in the tumor microenvironment: expect the unexpected. *J Clin Invest.* (2015) 125:3356–64. doi: 10.1172/JCI80005

107. Ling Z, Yang C, Tan J, Dou C, Chen Y. Beyond immunosuppressive effects: dual roles of myeloid-derived suppressor cells in bone-related diseases. *Cell Mol Life Sci.* (2021) 78:7161–83. doi: 10.1007/s00018-021-03966-9

108. Tumino N, Fiore PF, Pelosi A, Moretta L, Vacca P. Myeloid derived suppressor cells in tumor microenvironment: Interaction with innate lymphoid cells. *Semin Immunol.* (2022) 61-64:101668. doi: 10.1016/j.smim.2022.101668

109. Siret C, Collignon A, Silvy F, Robert S, Cheyrol T, Andre P, et al. Deciphering the crosstalk between myeloid-derived suppressor cells and regulatory T cells in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Front Immunol.* (2019) 10:3070. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.03070

110. Umansky V, Blattner C, Gebhardt C, Utikal J. The role of myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSC) in cancer progression. *Vaccines (Basel).* (2016) 4:36. doi: 10.3390/vaccines4040036

111. Ran S, Wilber A. Novel role of immature myeloid cells in formation of new lymphatic vessels associated with inflammation and tumors. *J Leukoc Biol.* (2017) 102:253–63. doi: 10.1189/jlb.1MR1016-434RR

112. Soehnlein O, Lindbom L, Weber C. Mechanisms underlying neutrophilmediated monocyte recruitment. *Blood.* (2009) 114:4613–23. doi: 10.1182/blood-2009-06-221630

113. Ponzetta A, Carriero R, Carnevale S, Barbagallo M, Molgora M, Perucchini C, et al. Neutrophils driving unconventional T cells mediate resistance against murine sarcomas and selected human tumors. *Cell.* (2019) 178:346–60 e24. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2019.05.047

114. Canli O, Nicolas AM, Gupta J, Finkelmeier F, Goncharova O, Pesic M, et al. Myeloid cell-derived reactive oxygen species induce epithelial mutagenesis. *Cancer Cell.* (2017) 32:869–83 e5. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2017.11.004

115. Russo M, Nastasi C. Targeting the tumor microenvironment: A close up of tumor-associated macrophages and neutrophils. *Front Oncol.* (2022) 12:871513. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.871513

116. Hanahan D, Coussens LM. Accessories to the crime: functions of cells recruited to the tumor microenvironment. *Cancer Cell.* (2012) 21:309–22. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2012.02.022

117. Pollard JW. Trophic macrophages in development and disease. Nat Rev Immunol. (2009) 9:259-70. doi: 10.1038/nri2528

118. Di Ceglie I, Carnevale S, Rigatelli A, Grieco G, Molisso P, Jaillon S. Immune cell networking in solid tumors: focus on macrophages and neutrophils. *Front Immunol.* (2024) 15:1341390. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1341390

119. Carnevale S, Ghasemi S, Rigatelli A, Jaillon S. The complexity of neutrophils in health and disease: Focus on cancer. *Semin Immunol.* (2020) 48:101409. doi: 10.1016/j.smim.2020.101409

120. Rice CM, Davies LC, Subleski JJ, Maio N, Gonzalez-Cotto M, Andrews C, et al. Tumour-elicited neutrophils engage mitochondrial metabolism to circumvent nutrient limitations and maintain immune suppression. *Nat Commun.* (2018) 9:5099. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-07505-2

121. Li P, Lu M, Shi J, Hua L, Gong Z, Li Q, et al. Dual roles of neutrophils in metastatic colonization are governed by the host NK cell status. *Nat Commun.* (2020) 11:4387. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-18125-0

122. Condamine T, Kumar V, Ramachandran IR, Youn JI, Celis E, Finnberg N, et al. ER stress regulates myeloid-derived suppressor cell fate through TRAIL-R-mediated apoptosis. J Clin Invest. (2014) 124:2626–39. doi: 10.1172/JCI74056

123. Cheng Y, Li H, Deng Y, Tai Y, Zeng K, Zhang Y, et al. Cancer-associated fibroblasts induce PDL1+ neutrophils through the IL6-STAT3 pathway that foster immune suppression in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cell Death Dis.* (2018) 9:422. doi: 10.1038/s41419-018-0458-4

124. Zhang M, Qin H, Wu Y, Gao Q. Complex role of neutrophils in the tumor microenvironment: an avenue for novel immunotherapies. *Cancer Biol Med.* (2024) 21:20240192. doi: 10.20892/j.issn.2095-3941.2024.0192

125. Singhal S, Bhojnagarwala PS, O'Brien S, Moon EK, Garfall AL, Rao AS, et al. Origin and role of a subset of tumor-associated neutrophils with antigen-presenting cell features in early-stage human lung cancer. *Cancer Cell*. (2016) 30:120–35. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2016.06.001

126. Eruslanov EB, Bhojnagarwala PS, Quatromoni JG, Stephen TL, Ranganathan A, Deshpande C, et al. Tumor-associated neutrophils stimulate T cell responses in earlystage human lung cancer. *J Clin Invest.* (2014) 124:5466–80. doi: 10.1172/JCI77053

127. Riise RE, Bernson E, Aurelius J, Martner A, Pesce S, Della Chiesa M, et al. TLRstimulated neutrophils instruct NK cells to trigger dendritic cell maturation and promote adaptive T cell responses. *J Immunol.* (2015) 195:1121–8. doi: 10.4049/ jimmunol.1500709

128. Shaul ME, Zlotnik A, Tidhar E, Schwartz A, Arpinati L, Kaisar-Iluz N, et al. Tumor-associated neutrophils drive B-cell recruitment and their differentiation to plasma cells. *Cancer Immunol Res.* (2021) 9:811–24. doi: 10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-20-0839

129. Hampton HR, Chtanova T. The lymph node neutrophil. Semin Immunol. (2016) 28:129-36. doi: 10.1016/j.smim.2016.03.008

130. Tomay F, Wells K, Duong L, Tsu JW, Dye DE, Radley-Crabb HG, et al. Aged neutrophils accumulate in lymphoid tissues from healthy elderly mice and infiltrate T- and B-cell zones. *Immunol Cell Biol.* (2018) 96:831–40. doi: 10.1111/imcb.2018.96.issue-8

131. Parsa R, Lund H, Georgoudaki AM, Zhang XM, Ortlieb Guerreiro-Cacais A, Grommisch D, et al. BAFF-secreting neutrophils drive plasma cell responses during emergency granulopoiesis. *J Exp Med.* (2016) 213:1537–53. doi: 10.1084/jem.20150577

132. Guan X, Guan X, Zhao Z, Yan H. NETs: Important players in cancer progression and therapeutic resistance. *Exp Cell Res.* (2024) 441:114191. doi: 10.1016/j.yexcr.2024.114191

133. Wang H, Kim SJ, Lei Y, Wang S, Wang H, Huang H, et al. Neutrophil extracellular traps in homeostasis and disease. *Signal Transduct Target Ther.* (2024) 9:235. doi: 10.1038/s41392-024-01933-x

134. Tatsuno R, Ichikawa J, Komohara Y, Pan C, Kawasaki T, Enomoto A, et al. Pivotal role of IL-8 derived from the interaction between osteosarcoma and tumorassociated macrophages in osteosarcoma growth and metastasis via the FAK pathway. *Cell Death Dis.* (2024) 15:108. doi: 10.1038/s41419-024-06487-y

135. Tajvar Nasab N, Jalili-Nik M, Afshari AR, Rezaei Farimani A, Soukhtanloo M. Urolithin B inhibits proliferation and migration and promotes apoptosis and necrosis by inducing G2/M arrest and targeting MMP-2/-9 expression in osteosarcoma cells. *J Biochem Mol Toxicol.* (2023) 37:e23486. doi: 10.1002/jbt.23486

136. Araki Y, Yamamoto N, Hayashi K, Takeuchi A, Miwa S, Igarashi K, et al. Pretreatment neutrophil count and platelet-lymphocyte ratio as predictors of metastasis in patients with osteosarcoma. *Anticancer Res.* (2022) 42:1081–9. doi: 10.21873/anticanres.15570

137. Xia WK, Liu ZL, Shen D, Lin QF, Su J, Mao WD. Prognostic performance of pre-treatment NLR and PLR in patients suffering from osteosarcoma. *World J Surg Oncol.* (2016) 14:127. doi: 10.1186/s12957-016-0889-2

138. Peng LP, Li J, Li XF. Prognostic value of neutrophil/lymphocyte, platelet/ lymphocyte, lymphocyte/monocyte ratios and Glasgow prognostic score in osteosarcoma: A meta-analysis. *World J Clin Cases.* (2022) 10:2194–205. doi: 10.12998/wjcc.v10.i7.2194

139. Song X, Zhang H, Yin F, Guo P, Yang X, Liu J, et al. Systemic inflammatory markers for predicting overall survival in patients with osteosarcoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Mediators Inflamm.* (2021) 2021:3456629. doi: 10.1155/2021/3456629

140. Yapar A, Tokgoz MA, Yapar D, Atalay IB, Ulucakoy C, Gungor BS. Diagnostic and prognostic role of neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio, platelet/lymphocyte ratio, and lymphocyte/monocyte ratio in patients with osteosarcoma. *Jt Dis Relat Surg.* (2021) 32:489–96. doi: 10.52312/jdrs

141. Liu B, Huang Y, Sun Y, Zhang J, Yao Y, Shen Z, et al. Prognostic value of inflammation-based scores in patients with osteosarcoma. *Sci Rep.* (2016) 6:39862. doi: 10.1038/srep39862

142. Tang H, Liu D, Lu J, He J, Ji S, Liao S, et al. Significance of the neutrophil-tolymphocyte ratio in predicting the response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in extremity osteosarcoma: a multicentre retrospective study. *BMC Cancer*. (2022) 22:33. doi: 10.1186/s12885-021-09130-7

143. Arefpour AM, Garousi M, Foroughi A, Hosseini S, Shahin M, Javadinia SA. Significance of the pretreatment neutrophil-to-lymphocyte and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratios in predicting the response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy and survival rates in extremity osteosarcoma: A multicentre prospective study. *Cancer Invest.* (2023) 41:816–20. doi: 10.1080/07357907.2023.2283456

144. Bastard P, Cozic N, Brion R, Gaspar N, Piperno-Neumann S, Cordero C, et al. Prognostic value of hemogram parameters in osteosarcoma: The French OS2006 experience. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. (2024) 71:e31029. doi: 10.1002/pbc.31029

145. Li L, Li Y, Lu M, Wang Y, Li Z, Hu X, et al. The combination of baseline neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio and dynamic changes during treatment can better predict the survival of osteosarcoma patients. *Front Oncol.* (2023) 13:1235158. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2023.1235158

146. Huang X, Hu H, Zhang W, Shao Z. Prognostic value of prognostic nutritional index and systemic immune-inflammation index in patients with osteosarcoma. *J Cell Physiol*. (2019) 234:18408–14. doi: 10.1002/jcp.v234.10

147. Ouyang H, Wang Z. Predictive value of the systemic immune-inflammation index for cancer-specific survival of osteosarcoma in children. *Front Public Health.* (2022) 10:879523. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.879523

148. Ma C, Yu R, Li J, Guo J, Xu J, Wang X, et al. Preoperative prognostic nutritional index and systemic immune-inflammation index predict survival outcomes in osteosarcoma: A comparison between young and elderly patients. *J Surg Oncol.* (2022) 125:754–65. doi: 10.1002/jso.v125.4

149. He X, Wang Y, Ye Q, Wang Y, Min L, Luo Y, et al. Lung immune prognostic index could predict metastasis in patients with osteosarcoma. *Front Surg.* (2022) 9:923427. doi: 10.3389/fsurg.2022.923427

150. Yang Q, Chen T, Yao Z, Zhang X. Prognostic value of pre-treatment Naples prognostic score (NPS) in patients with osteosarcoma. *World J Surg Oncol.* (2020) 18:24. doi: 10.1186/s12957-020-1789-z

151. Smeland S, Bielack SS, Whelan J, Bernstein M, Hogendoorn P, Krailo MD, et al. Survival and prognosis with osteosarcoma: outcomes in more than 2000 patients in the EURAMOS-1 (European and American Osteosarcoma Study) cohort. *Eur J Cancer*. (2019) 109:36–50. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2018.11.027

152. Huber-Lang M, Lambris JD, Ward PA. Innate immune responses to trauma. Nat Immunol. (2018) 19:327-41. doi: 10.1038/s41590-018-0064-8

153. Krall JA, Reinhardt F, Mercury OA, Pattabiraman DR, Brooks MW, Dougan M, et al. The systemic response to surgery triggers the outgrowth of distant immunecontrolled tumors in mouse models of dormancy. *Sci Transl Med.* (2018) 10:eaan3464. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aan3464

154. Chen GY, Nunez G. Sterile inflammation: sensing and reacting to damage. Nat Rev Immunol. (2010) 10:826–37. doi: 10.1038/nri2873

155. Wheeler DS, Chase MA, Senft AP, Poynter SE, Wong HR, Page K. Extracellular Hsp72, an endogenous DAMP, is released by virally infected airway epithelial cells and activates neutrophils via Toll-like receptor (TLR)-4. *Respir Res.* (2009) 10:31. doi: 10.1186/1465-9921-10-31

156. Tang F, Tie Y, Lan TX, Yang JY, Hong WQ, Chen SY, et al. Surgical treatment of osteosarcoma induced distant pre-metastatic niche in lung to facilitate the colonization of circulating tumor cells. *Adv Sci (Weinh).* (2023) 10:e2207518. doi: 10.1002/advs.202207518

157. von Meijenfeldt FA, Burlage LC, Bos S, Adelmeijer J, Porte RJ, Lisman T. Elevated plasma levels of cell-free DNA during liver transplantation are associated with activation of coagulation. *Liver Transpl.* (2018) 24:1716–25. doi: 10.1002/lt.25329

158. Banki F, Mason RJ, Oh D, Hagen JA, DeMeester SR, Lipham JC, et al. Plasma DNA as a molecular marker for completeness of resection and recurrent disease in patients with esophageal cancer. *Arch Surg.* (2007) 142:533–8; discussion 8-9. doi: 10.1001/archsurg.142.6.533

159. Tohme S, Yazdani HO, Al-Khafaji AB, Chidi AP, Loughran P, Mowen K, et al. Neutrophil extracellular traps promote the development and progression of liver metastases after surgical stress. *Cancer Res.* (2016) 76:1367–80. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-15-1591

160. Raccosta L, Fontana R, Maggioni D, Lanterna C, Villablanca EJ, Paniccia A, et al. The oxysterol-CXCR2 axis plays a key role in the recruitment of tumor-promoting neutrophils. *J Exp Med.* (2013) 210:1711–28. doi: 10.1084/jem.20130440

161. Cheng Y, Mo F, Li Q, Han X, Shi H, Chen S, et al. Targeting CXCR2 inhibits the progression of lung cancer and promotes therapeutic effect of cisplatin. *Mol Cancer*. (2021) 20:62. doi: 10.1186/s12943-021-01355-1

162. Teijeira A, Garasa S, Gato M, Alfaro C, Migueliz I, Cirella A, et al. CXCR1 and CXCR2 chemokine receptor agonists produced by tumors induce neutrophil extracellular traps that interfere with immune cytotoxicity. *Immunity*. (2020) 52:856–71 e8. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2020.03.001

163. Tulotta C, Stefanescu C, Chen Q, Torraca V, Meijer AH, Snaar-Jagalska BE. CXCR4 signaling regulates metastatic onset by controlling neutrophil motility and response to Malignant cells. *Sci Rep.* (2019) 9:2399. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-38643-2

164. Wang PF, Zhang YX, Su J, Yao K, Li SW, Huang GR, et al. Neutrophil depletion enhances the therapeutic effect of PD-1 antibody on glioma. *Aging (Albany NY)*. (2020) 12:15290–301. doi: 10.18632/aging.103428

165. Zhang L, Yao J, Wei Y, Zhou Z, Li P, Qu J, et al. Blocking immunosuppressive neutrophils deters pY696-EZH2-driven brain metastases. *Sci Transl Med.* (2020) 12: eaaz5387. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aaz5387

166. Coffelt SB, Kersten K, Doornebal CW, Weiden J, Vrijland K, Hau CS, et al. IL-17-producing gammadelta T cells and neutrophils conspire to promote breast cancer metastasis. *Nature*. (2015) 522:345–8. doi: 10.1038/nature14282

167. Chung JY, Tang PC, Chan MK, Xue VW, Huang XR, Ng CS, et al. Smad3 is essential for polarization of tumor-associated neutrophils in non-small cell lung carcinoma. *Nat Commun.* (2023) 14:1794. doi: 10.1038/s41467-023-37515-8

168. Mattoscio D, Isopi E, Lamolinara A, Patruno S, Medda A, De Cecco F, et al. Resolvin D1 reduces cancer growth stimulating a protective neutrophil-dependent recruitment of anti-tumor monocytes. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res.* (2021) 40:129. doi: 10.1186/s13046-021-01937-3

169. Zhang Y, Diao N, Lee CK, Chu HW, Bai L, Li L. Neutrophils deficient in innate suppressor IRAK-M enhances anti-tumor immune responses. *Mol Ther.* (2020) 28:89–99. doi: 10.1016/j.ymthe.2019.09.019

170. Pylaeva E, Harati MD, Spyra I, Bordbari S, Strachan S, Thakur BK, et al. NAMPT signaling is critical for the proangiogenic activity of tumor-associated neutrophils. *Int J Cancer*. (2019) 144:136–49. doi: 10.1002/ijc.v144.1

171. Tyagi A, Wu SY, Sharma S, Wu K, Zhao D, Deshpande R, et al. Exosomal miR-4466 from nicotine-activated neutrophils promotes tumor cell stemness and metabolism in lung cancer metastasis. *Oncogene*. (2022) 41:3079–92. doi: 10.1038/ s41388-022-02322-w

172. Itatani Y, Yamamoto T, Zhong C, Molinolo AA, Ruppel J, Hegde P, et al. Suppressing neutrophil-dependent angiogenesis abrogates resistance to anti-VEGF antibody in a genetic model of colorectal cancer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (2020) 117:21598–608. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2008112117

173. Zhang J, Ji C, Zhang H, Shi H, Mao F, Qian H, et al. Engineered neutrophilderived exosome-like vesicles for targeted cancer therapy. *Sci Adv.* (2022) 8:eabj8207. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.abj8207

174. Perego M, Tyurin VA, Tyurina YY, Yellets J, Nacarelli T, Lin C, et al. Reactivation of dormant tumor cells by modified lipids derived from stress-activated neutrophils. *Sci Transl Med.* (2020) 12:eabb5817. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.abb5817

175. Veglia F, Tyurin VA, Blasi M, De Leo A, Kossenkov AV, Donthireddy L, et al. Fatty acid transport protein 2 reprograms neutrophils in cancer. *Nature*. (2019) 569:73–8. doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1118-2

176. Park J, Wysocki RW, Amoozgar Z, Maiorino L, Fein MR, Jorns J, et al. Cancer cells induce metastasis-supporting neutrophil extracellular DNA traps. *Sci Transl Med.* (2016) 8:361ra138. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aag1711

177. Chen J, Hou S, Liang Q, He W, Li R, Wang H, et al. Localized degradation of neutrophil extracellular traps by photoregulated enzyme delivery for cancer immunotherapy and metastasis suppression. *ACS Nano*. (2022) 16:2585–97. doi: 10.1021/acsnano.1c09318

178. Liang H, Du Y, Zhu C, Zhang Z, Liao G, Liu L, et al. Nanoparticulate cationic poly(amino acid)s block cancer metastases by destructing neutrophil extracellular traps. *ACS Nano*. (2023) 17:2868–80. doi: 10.1021/acsnano.2c11280

179. Mu Q, Yao K, Syeda MZ, Zhang M, Cheng Q, Zhang Y, et al. Ligustrazine nanoparticle hitchhiking on neutrophils for enhanced therapy of cerebral ischemia-reperfusion injury. Adv Sci (Weinh). (2023) 10:e2301348. doi: 10.1002/advs.202301348

180. Chen K, Zhou A, Zhou X, He J, Xu Y, Ning X. Cellular Trojan Horse initiates bimetallic Fe-Cu MOF-mediated synergistic cuproptosis and ferroptosis against Malignancies. *Sci Adv.* (2024) 10:eadk3201. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.adk3201

181. Yu X, Xing G, Sheng S, Jin L, Zhang Y, Zhu D, et al. Neutrophil camouflaged stealth nanovehicle for photothermal-induced tumor immunotherapy by triggering pyroptosis. *Adv Sci (Weinh)*. (2023) 10:e2207456. doi: 10.1002/advs.202207456

182. Zhou X, Yu R, Cao X, Zhang ZR, Deng L. Bio-mimicking nanoparticles for targeted therapy of Malignant melanoma. *J BioMed Nanotechnol.* (2019) 15:993–1004. doi: 10.1166/jbn.2019.2739

183. Chen S, Chen E, Guan X, Li J, Qin A, Wang C, et al. Magnetically controlled nanorobots induced oriented and rapid clearance of the cytokine storm for acute lung injury therapy. *Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces*. (2024) 234:113731. doi: 10.1016/j.colsurfb.2023.113731

184. Huang T, Wen X, Liang Y, Liu X, Zhao J, Long X. Irreversible electroporationinduced inflammation facilitates neutrophil-mediated drug delivery to enhance pancreatic cancer therapy. *Mol Pharm.* (2024) 21:1998–2011. doi: 10.1021/ acs.molpharmaceut.4c00006

185. Wang H, Zang J, Zhao Z, Zhang Q, Chen S. The advances of neutrophil-derived effective drug delivery systems: A key review of managing tumors and inflammation. *Int J Nanomed.* (2021) 16:7663–81. doi: 10.2147/IJN.S328705

186. Yu D, Zhang J, Wang M, Ji R, Qian H, Xu W, et al. Exosomal miRNAs from neutrophils act as accurate biomarkers for gastric cancer diagnosis. *Clin Chim Acta.* (2024) 554:117773. doi: 10.1016/j.cca.2024.117773

187. Zhang J, Gu J, Wang X, Ji C, Yu D, Wang M, et al. Engineering and targeting neutrophils for cancer therapy. Adv Mater. (2024) 36:e2310318. doi: 10.1002/adma.202310318

188. Kong J, Deng Y, Xu Y, Zhang P, Li L, Huang Y. A two-pronged delivery strategy disrupting positive feedback loop of neutrophil extracellular traps for

metastasis suppression. ACS Nano. (2024) 18:15432-51. doi: 10.1021/acsnano.3c09165

189. Sheng S, Jin L, Zhang Y, Sun W, Mei L, Zhu D, et al. A twindrive precise delivery system of platelet-neutrophil hybrid membrane regulates macrophage combined with CD47 blocking for postoperative immunotherapy. *ACS Nano.* (2024) 18:4981–92. doi: 10.1021/acsnano.3c10862

190. Hawash M. Advances in cancer therapy: A comprehensive review of CDK and EGFR inhibitors. *Cells.* (2024) 13:1656. doi: 10.3390/cells13191656

191. Zhou W, Cao X, Xu Q, Qu J, Sun Y. The double-edged role of neutrophil heterogeneity in inflammatory diseases and cancers. *MedComm (2020)*. (2023) 4:e325. doi: 10.1002/mco2.v4.4

192. Koh NYY, Miszkiewicz JJ, Fac ML, Wee NKY, Sims NA. Preclinical rodent models for human bone disease, including a focus on cortical bone. *Endocr Rev.* (2024) 45:493–520. doi: 10.1210/endrev/bnae004