Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Soheil Tavakolpour, Dana–Farber Cancer Institute, United States

REVIEWED BY Shiang-Jong Tzeng, National Taiwan University, Taiwan Venkat R. Reddy, University College London, United Kingdom

*CORRESPONDENCE Kazuhiro Komura komuraka@me.com

RECEIVED 25 June 2024 ACCEPTED 09 September 2024 PUBLISHED 03 October 2024

CITATION

Komura K (2024) CD19: a promising target for systemic sclerosis. Front. Immunol. 15:1454913. [doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1454913](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1454913)

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Komura. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

[CD19: a promising target](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1454913/full) [for systemic sclerosis](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1454913/full)

Kazuhiro Komura*

Department of Dermatology, Kanazawa Red Cross Hospital, Japanese Red Cross Society, Kanazawa, Ishikawa, Japan

Systemic sclerosis (SSc) is an autoimmune disease characterized by immune dysregulation, vascular damage, and fibrosis. B cells play a significant role in SSc through autoantibody production, cytokine secretion, and T cell regulation. Autoantibodies like anti-topoisomerase I and anti-RNA polymerase III are specific to SSc and linked to clinical features such as skin and lung involvement. B cell depletion therapies, particularly anti-CD20 antibodies like rituximab, have shown benefits in treating SSc, improving skin and lung disease symptoms. However, CD19, another B cell marker, is more widely expressed and has emerged as a promising target in autoimmune diseases. CD19-targeted therapies, such as CAR T cells and Uplizna® (inebilizumab), have demonstrated potential in treating refractory autoimmune diseases, including SSc. Uplizna® offers advantages over rituximab by targeting a broader range of B cells and showing higher efficacy in specific patient subsets. Clinical trials currently investigate Uplizna®'s effectiveness in SSc, particularly in severe cases. While these therapies offer hope, long-term safety and efficacy remain unknown. SSc is still a complex disease, but advancing B cell-targeted treatments could significantly improve patient outcomes and knowledge about the pathogenesis.

KEYWORDS

systemic sclerosis, fibrosis, CD19, CD20, therapy

Introduction

Systemic sclerosis (SSc) or scleroderma is characterized by immune dysregulation, obliterative microvasculopathy, and fibrosis, significant causes of mortality throughout the body [\(1,](#page-4-0) [2\)](#page-4-0).

B cells are implicated in the pathogenesis of SSc through several mechanisms, including autoantibody production, cytokine secretion, antigen presentation, and regulation of T cell responses [\(3,](#page-4-0) [4\)](#page-4-0). Autoantibodies are a hallmark of SSc and can be detected in more than 90% of patients [\(5](#page-4-0)–[9](#page-4-0)). They are associated with distinct clinical features, such as skin involvement, lung fibrosis, or pulmonary arterial hypertension ([8](#page-4-0)). Some autoantibodies, such as anti-topoisomerase I, anti-RNA polymerase III, and anti-centromere, are highly specific for SSc and can be used as diagnostic markers [\(8\)](#page-4-0). B cells also secrete proinflammatory and pro-fibrotic cytokines, such as interleukin-6 (IL-6), tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α), and transforming growth factor-beta (TGF- β), which contribute to the activation of fibroblasts and endothelial cells ([4](#page-4-0), [10](#page-4-0)). Moreover, B cells can act as antigen-presenting cells and stimulate T cell responses, further enhancing immune dysregulation and tissue damage in SSc ([10](#page-4-0)). Finally, B cells can modulate the immune system through regulatory B cells (Bregs), which produce antiinflammatory cytokines, such as IL-10 and IL-35, and suppress effector T cells ([11](#page-4-0)). However, the function and frequency of Bregs are impaired in SSc, leading to a loss of immune tolerance and homeostasis [\(12](#page-4-0)). In several studies, B cell depletion therapy with anti-CD20 antibodies has shown some efficacy in improving skin and lung manifestations of SSc. Other B cell targets, such as CD19, are also being explored as potential therapeutic options for SSc.

Anti-CD20 antibody therapy for SSc

CD20 is a transmembrane protein expressed on most B cells, except for stem cells and plasma cells. It is involved in the activation and differentiation of B cells and can modulate B cell receptor signaling and calcium flux [\(13](#page-4-0)). Anti-CD20 antibodies can bind to CD20 and induce B cell depletion through ADCC, CDC, or direct apoptosis [\(14](#page-4-0)–[16\)](#page-4-0). In experimental models of SSc, anti-CD20 antibodies have shown anti-fibrotic and anti-inflammatory effects by reducing collagen deposition, fibroblast activation, and cytokine production ([17\)](#page-4-0). They have also decreased the levels of autoantibodies in SSc mice ([17](#page-4-0)). In clinical trials, anti-CD20 antibodies have demonstrated some benefits for patients with SSc, especially for skin and lung involvement. Rituximab, a chimeric monoclonal antibody against CD20, was efficacious for both skin sclerosis and ILD in SSc in case reports ([18](#page-4-0), [19\)](#page-4-0), open-label trials ([20](#page-4-0)) and small-scale RCTs [\(21,](#page-4-0) [22\)](#page-4-0). In a case-control study of 25 patients with severe SSc (MRSS >16), rituximab treatment significantly improved skin sclerosis (mean ± SEM MRSS, from 26.6 ± 1.4 to 20.3 ± 1.8 ; P = 0.0001) after six months (range, 5–9) ([23\)](#page-4-0). Additionally, nine SSc patients with ILD and rituximab preserved %FVC (mean \pm SEM %FVC, from 60.6 \pm 2.4 to 61.3 \pm 4.1; $P = 0.5$) [\(20\)](#page-4-0). Consistently, rituximab significantly reduced MRSS while retaining pulmonary function at 12 months in an open-label, uncontrolled study of 20 patients [\(24\)](#page-4-0). A randomized controlled trial of rituximab for SSc was conducted with 56 patients ([21](#page-4-0)). They received either rituximab 375 mg/m2 or a placebo by infusion once a week for four weeks. The primary outcome was the difference in skin thickening measured by MRSS at 24 weeks after the treatment. Rituximab significantly reduced 6.30 MRSS points (2.14 increase in placebo; difference −8.44 [95% CI −11.00 to 5.88]; p < 0.00001). Thus, rituximab was the first drug for SSc to show efficacy in a double-blind, randomized, and placebo-controlled trial with skin sclerosis at the primary endpoint. Based on the results, rituximab was approved for SSc in Japan in 2021.

One of the main concerns about rituximab therapy for SSc is long-term safety, especially the risk of infections and malignancies. Although anti-CD20 antibodies have been used for over a decade in other autoimmune diseases, such as rheumatoid arthritis and systemic lupus erythematosus, the data on their long-term safety in SSc are still limited [\(25](#page-5-0), [26](#page-5-0)). A recent systematic review and metaanalysis of 14 studies with 597 SSc patients who received rituximab showed that the overall mean rate for rituximab-related severe adverse events rate was 12.1% (p=0.733, $I^2 = 0\%$) throughout treatment, which was acceptable and controllable for oncologist and rheumatologist to manage ([27](#page-5-0)).

Further limitations and challenges in using anti-CD20 antibodies for SSc are mode of actions during depleting B cells. An emerging strategy we can consider is the use of obinutuzumab in the treatment of SSc as well as SLE ([28](#page-5-0)). Obinutuzumab is a humanized type II monoclonal anti-CD20 antibody, known for its more effective B cell depletion than rituximab [\(29](#page-5-0), [30\)](#page-5-0). In the phase 2 randomized and placebo-controlled trial, obinutuzumab demonstrated efficacy in achieving both complete and overall renal responses at week 52 when combined with mycophenolate and corticosteroids in patients with lupus nephritis [\(31\)](#page-5-0). The difference between newly generated type II antibody obinutuzumab and rituximab depends on antibody internalization, Fc-Fc Receptor interactions, CDC, and homotypic adhesions/intracellular signaling within experimental studies in SLE and RA ([16](#page-4-0)). Obinutuzumab demonstrates efficacy in low complement and rituximab-resistant SLE, since obinutuzumab depletes B cells in a complement-independent manner [\(28\)](#page-5-0). Thus, more studies are needed to identify the best candidates, the most effective regimen, and the potential predictors of anti-CD20 therapy within SSc pathogenesis.

CD19 and CD20

CD19 and CD20 are molecules expressed on B cells' surface but have different functions and roles in immune regulation [\(13\)](#page-4-0). CD20 is a transmembrane protein that forms homodimers and acts as a calcium channel for B cell activation. CD20 is involved in the development and maturation of B cells, and its expression is relatively constant throughout the B cell lineage, starting from late pre-B lymphocytes (pro-B lymphocytes do not express it) and its expression is lost in terminally differentiated plasmablasts and plasma cells [\(32\)](#page-5-0). While CD20 is generally not expressed in mature plasmablasts, it is present in early plasmablasts under certain circumstances, although it remains uncertain in patients with SSc ([33\)](#page-5-0). CD20 is also expressed on a small subpopulation of T cells ([34\)](#page-5-0). CD20 is the target of several monoclonal antibodies, such as rituximab, that deplete B cells and modulate their functions in autoimmune diseases.

CD19, on the other hand, is a glycoprotein that forms a multimolecular cell surface signal-transduction complex, including CD21 and CD81 ([35](#page-5-0)). In contrast to a negative regulator of CD22, CD19 acts as a positive response regulator for BCR signaling ([36](#page-5-0), [37](#page-5-0)). CD19 regulates the threshold and intensity of BCR signaling and thus influences B cells' differentiation, antibody production, and memory formation ([38\)](#page-5-0). CD19 expression is variable and depends on the activation state and subtype of B cells, and it is downregulated upon plasma cell differentiation ([Table 1\)](#page-2-0) ([13,](#page-4-0) [38](#page-5-0)–[40](#page-5-0)). CD19 is expressed at high levels by all mature B cells, memory B cells, and plasmablasts, while

TABLE 1 Cell surface CD19 and CD20 expression during B-cell development.

Red and pink indicate positivity and partial positivity of CD20, respectively. Partial and high CD19 expression are indicated in light green and dark green, respectively.

some pre-B cells and plasma cells express CD19. Plasmablasts are transient antibody-secreting cells those are short-lived with high proliferation rate. Plasma cells, having a distinct morphology characterized by an eccentrically placed nucleus with coarse chromatin arranged in a clock face pattern and abundant cytoplasm immunoglobulin inclusion, can be long-lived secreting antibodies in the bone marrow and at the inflammatory sites [\(41](#page-5-0)– [43](#page-5-0)). Thus, CD19 is widely expressed on B cell linage, including CD20-negative pre-B cells, CD20-negative plasmablasts, and CD20-negative plasma cells, in addition to CD20-positive B cells.

When comparing the effects of two monoclonal antibodies, anti-CD19 and anti-CD20, on an animal model of multiple sclerosis, anti-CD19 is more effective than anti-CD20 in reducing autoantibodies and plasma cells that cause inflammation and damage in the nervous system. The result of the study identifies a subset of plasma cells that express CD19 but not CD20, which are resistant to anti-CD20 therapy and may be responsible for residual disease activity [\(41\)](#page-5-0).

Potential role of CD19 in SSc pathogenesis

CD19 expression and function are augmented in various autoimmune diseases, including SSc [\(44,](#page-5-0) [45\)](#page-5-0). On the other hand, genetic deficiency in CD19 reveals primary immunodeficiency syndrome [\(46](#page-5-0)). CD19 expression is increased in peripheral B cells from patients with SSc compared to healthy controls and correlates with disease activity, skin fibrosis, and autoantibody levels ([44](#page-5-0)). Genetic variants of CD19 have been associated with augmented CD19 expression levels, increased susceptibility, and different clinical phenotypes in SSc [\(47](#page-5-0), [48](#page-5-0)). In the experimental SSc model, CD19 ligation induced augmented tyrosine phosphorylation of Vav and intracellular Ca responses, major effector responses downstream of CD19 signaling in B cells [\(10,](#page-4-0) [49](#page-5-0)). Experimental SSc models also demonstrated CD19-associated skin sclerosis, pulmonary fibrosis, and autoimmunity, since these phenotypes were normalized in CD19 deficiency ([10,](#page-4-0) [50](#page-5-0)–[53](#page-5-0)). In addition to autoantibody production, up-regulated CD19 on B cells may also play a role in local inflammation since homing receptor CXCR3 was positive on autoreactive B cells at the inflammatory sites in SLE, RA joint, and experimental SSc-pulmonary fibrosis [\(52,](#page-5-0) [54](#page-5-0), [55](#page-5-0)). Thus, enhanced CD19 signaling induces hyperreactivity of B cell responses at the site of inflammation and enhances phenotypes of autoimmune diseases, while CD19 deficiency causes immunodeficiency and underscoring SSc phenotype.

Chimeric antigen receptor T therapy in autoimmune diseases

CAR T therapy is a novel and promising approach to target malignant B cells that escape conventional B cell depletion [\(56\)](#page-5-0). CAR T cells are genetically engineered T cells that express a synthetic receptor that recognizes a specific antigen on the surface of B cells, such as CD19, CD20, or CD22, generated to treat B cell malignancy [\(57,](#page-5-0) [58](#page-5-0)). Upon binding to the antigen, CAR T cells activate and kill the malignant and activated B cells while sparing other immune cells that do not express the antigen. CAR T therapy has successfully treated B cell malignancies, such as acute lymphoblastic leukemia, chronic lymphocytic leukemia, and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma ([56](#page-5-0)). Recently, CAR T therapy has also been explored in treating autoimmune diseases, such as SLE, dermatomyositis, multiple sclerosis, and SSc ([59](#page-5-0)–[62\)](#page-5-0) ([Table 2](#page-3-0)). In these cases, CAR T cells were directed against CD19. The results showed that CAR T therapy induced a rapid and sustained depletion of circulating B cells and a complete clinical and serological remission of autoimmune diseases without causing severe adverse events or infections ([63](#page-5-0), [66](#page-5-0)). In a case series, four patients with refractory SSc were significantly improved by single CD19 CAR T cell infusion after preconditioning with fludarabine cyclophosphamide ([63\)](#page-5-0). All SSc patients had a decrease in MRSS (-9 points, ranging from -17 to -7) and the median change in the EUSTAR activity index (-4.2 points, ranging from -4.7 to -2.3) at least six months of follow-up. These responses looked greater than CD20-directed rituximab, as previously described. SSc-associated scl-70 autoantibody levels were also decreased in all patients with SSc after CD19 CAR T therapy. As a result, all patients successfully discontinued immunosuppressive medications during the followup after CD19 CAR. In other case series, CD19-targeted CAR-T therapy achieved 50% improvement in MRSS after six months in two patients with SSc [\(62](#page-5-0)). However, CAR T therapy's long-term effects and safety in autoimmune diseases remain unknown. In an experimental animal model (fos-related antigen-2 transgenic mouse), CD19 CAR therapy paradoxically worsens pulmonary fibrosis ([65](#page-5-0)). Therefore, careful discussion is needed about the indication of CAR-T therapy for SSc. Moreover, CAR T requires specific facilities for genomics and over 200,000\$ cost. There are still

TABLE 2 Human and animal studies of CD19 targeting therapies in SSc.

Study Type	Authors/ Year	Phase	Model	Therapy	Outcome
Human Study	Wang X et al., 2024 (62)	Case reports	Human (Systemic Sclerosis Patients)	Anti-CD19 CAR- T Cells	Reduction in skin score (-20 MRSS after 6 months) and lung fibrosis in 2 SSc patients.
Human Study	Muller F 2024 (63)	Case reports	Human (Systemic Sclerosis Patients)	Anti-CD19 CAR- T Cells	Decrease in B-cell activation and decreased skin score (-9 MRSS after 6 months) in 4 SSc patients
Human Study	Schiopu E et al., 2016 (64)	Phase I	Human (Systemic Sclerosis Patients)	Anti-CD19 MonoclonalAb (Inebilizumab- cdon)	A low-dose anti-CD19 ab decreased B-cell activation and skin score (-5.4) MRSS at day 85) in 24 SSc patients.
Animal Study	Avouac J et al., 2024 (65)	Animal study	Mouse (Fra-2 Tg mice)	Anti-CD19 CAR-T Cells $+A$ nti-CD20 Monoclonal Ab	Worsening lung fibrosis

challenges in making these therapies widely accessible for patients with autoimmune diseases.

Uplizna ® (inebilizumab) therapy in autoimmune diseases and SSc

Uplizna® is an afucosylated IgG1 monoclonal antibody that binds to CD19 and depletes B cells [\(67](#page-5-0)), which are involved in the immune response in autoimmune diseases, including neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder (NMOSD); a rare and severe neurological disease. A clinical trial showed that inebilizumab reduced the risk of NMOSD attacks by more than 70% compared to placebo without causing severe side effects. Uplizna® could be an effective treatment for NMOSD patients [\(68](#page-5-0)). Uplizna® was approved by the FDA in 2020 as the first drug for the treatment of NMOSD patients with anti-aquaporin 4 Ab. Of note, in a *post hoc* analysis, Uplizna[®] decreased ~10-fold attack rate in 13 NMOSD patients with prior rituximab use [\(69](#page-5-0)).

Uplizna® appeals advantages in comparison to rituximab ([67\)](#page-5-0). First, Uplizna[®] targets CD19 in contrast to CD20-targeted rituximab [\(Table 1\)](#page-2-0). CD19 is expressed on pro-B cells, autoreactive plasmablasts, plasma cells, and CD20+B cells. Targeting immature cells, Uplizna[®] enables B cell depletion with fewer injections and avoids B cell recovery. Autoreactive plasmablasts and plasma cell depletion reduce autoantibody production and other pathological B cell activities. Secondly, removing fucose from the Fc region results in approximately tenfold increased affinity for the activating Fc gamma receptor IIIA. It significantly enhances natural killer cell-mediated depletion of B cells via ADCC and antibody-dependent cellular phagocytosis mechanisms ([70](#page-5-0), [71\)](#page-5-0). The relevance of ADCC and antibody-mediated phagocytosis is now well established in contrast to CDC and apoptosis [\(72\)](#page-5-0). Thus, Uplizna[®] demonstrates maximum ADCC activities, while rituximab showed modest CDC and limited ADCC activities. This results in Uplizna® efficiently depleting B cells even in approximately 40% of the homozygous population for the genetic risk factor of antibody therapy resistance [\(73](#page-6-0), [74](#page-6-0)). Thirdly, Uplizna® has a humanized structure. Humanized structures are associated with reduced immunogenicity, improved tolerability, and decreased potential for infusion-related reactions compared with chimeric structure rituximab. Accordingly, the anti-drug antibodies positive rate was low (9.8%) in Uplizna-treated MNOSD [\(75\)](#page-6-0). Thus, Uplizna[®] is an efficient and safe CD19-target drug.

On the other hand, Uplizna® may activate the Hepatitis B virus and latent tuberculosis, similar to other immunosuppressive therapies. Therefore, target patients require screening for these infections before starting the drug infusion. In addition, with continued Uplizna® effect, there may be progressive and prolonged hypogammaglobulinemia or a decline in total and individual immunoglobulin levels. In NMOSD patients treated with Uplizna® for ≥ 4 years, 46.7% of patients had normal IgG levels (≥ 700 mg/dL), 29.3% had mild hypogammaglobulinaemia (500 to < 700 mg/dL), 20% had moderate (300 to < 500 mg/dL) and 4% had severe (< 300 mg/dL). Low IgG titres were not associated with severe infection, although the number of patients was small ([76\)](#page-6-0). The therapy requires monitoring the level of immunoglobulins at the beginning, during, and after treatment discontinuation with Uplizna® until B-cell repletion, especially in patients with infections and initial lower IgM levels. Because hypogammaglobulinemia after rituximab treatment was largely restricted to the IgM class and associated with low baseline levels ([77\)](#page-6-0).

MITIGATE [\(78](#page-6-0)), a placebo-controlled phase 3 trial testing Uplizna® efficacy and safety on IgG4-related disease (IgG4-RD; NCT04540497), met its primary endpoint, showing a statistically significant 87% reduction in the risk of IgG4-RD flare compared to placebo (Hazard Ratio 0.13, p<0.0001) during the 52-week placebocontrolled period. All critical secondary endpoints were also met. The overall safety results during the placebo-controlled trial period were consistent with Uplizna®'s known safety profile.

Uplizna® has also been investigated in other autoimmune diseases like myasthenia gravis (NCT04524273). However, its role in SSc has not yet been fully explored. Given the similarities between NMOSD and SSc regarding B cell involvement, vascular damage, and autoimmunity, Uplizna® may have therapeutic potential for SSc patients, precisely in the CD19-overexpressing SSc subset ([64,](#page-5-0) [79](#page-6-0), [80](#page-6-0)). Furthermore, Uplizna® has advantages in B cell-directed therapy over rituximab, which has been approved for SSc treatment in Japan [\(81\)](#page-6-0). Therefore, clinical trials are initiated to evaluate the safety and efficacy of Uplizna[®] in SSc, especially in those with severe skin and lung involvement.

Conclusion

SSc is a heterogeneous and complex disease that affects multiple organs and systems. However, CD19 on B cells plays a vital role in the pathogenesis of SSc, and B cell-targeted therapies have shown promising results in some patients. Uplizna® is a novel B celldepleting agent approved for NMOSD with some similarities to SSc. The potential of Uplizna® for treating SSc has been initiated in clinical trials.

Author contributions

KK: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

1. Campbell PM, LeRoy EC. Pathogenesis of systemic sclerosis: A vascular hypothesis. Semin Arthritis Rheu. (1975) 4:351–68. doi: [10.1016/0049-0172\(75\)90017-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0049-0172(75)90017-7)

2. Kahalefp MB, Leroy EC. Autoimmunity and vascular involvement in systemic sclerosis (SSc). Autoimmunity. (2009) 31:195–214. doi: [10.3109/08916939908994064](https://doi.org/10.3109/08916939908994064)

3. Bosello S, Luca GD, Tolusso B, Lama G, Angelucci C, Sica G, et al. B cells in systemic sclerosis: a possible target for therapy. Autoimmun Rev. (2011) 10:624–30. doi: [10.1016/j.autrev.2011.04.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autrev.2011.04.013)

4. Famularo G, Giacomelli R, Alesse E, Cifone MG, Morrone S, Boirivant M, et al. Polyclonal B lymphocyte activation in progressive systemic sclerosis. J Clin Lab Immunol. (1989) 29:59–63.

5. Sato S, Fujimoto M, Hasegawa M, Takehara K. Altered blood B lymphocyte homeostasis in systemic sclerosis: Expanded naive B cells and diminished but activated memory B cells. Arthritis Rheumatol. (2004) 50:1918–27. doi: [10.1002/art.20274](https://doi.org/10.1002/art.20274)

6. Avouac J, Riemekasten G, Meune C, Ruiz B, Kahan A, Allanore Y. Autoantibodies against endothelin 1 type A receptor are strong predictors of digital ulcers in systemic sclerosis. J Rheumatol. (2015) 42:1801–7. doi: [10.3899/jrheum.150061](https://doi.org/10.3899/jrheum.150061)

7. Koenig M, Joyal F, Fritzler M, Roussin A, Abrahamowicz M, Boire G. Autoantibodies and microvascular damage are independent predictive factors for the progression of Raynaud's phenomenon to systemic sclerosis: $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$ twenty-year prospective study of 586 patients, with validation of proposed criteria for early systemic sclerosis. Arthritis Rheum. (2008) 58:3902–12. doi: [10.1002/art.24038](https://doi.org/10.1002/art.24038).

8. Hamaguchi Y. Autoantibody profiles in systemic sclerosis: Predictive value for clinical evaluation and prognosis. \hat{J} Dermatol. (2010) 37:42-53. doi: [10.1111/j.1346-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1346-8138.2009.00762.x) [8138.2009.00762.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1346-8138.2009.00762.x)

9. Smeets RL, Kersten BE, Joosten I, Kaffa C, Alkema W, Koenen HJPM, et al. Diagnostic profiles for precision medicine in systemic sclerosis; stepping forward from single biomarkers towards pathophysiological panels. Autoimmun Rev. (2020) 19:102515. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autrev.2020.102515) [j.autrev.2020.102515](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autrev.2020.102515)

10. Fujimoto M, Sato S. B lymphocytes and systemic sclerosis. Curr Opin Rheumatol. (2005) 17:746–51. doi: [10.1097/01.bor.0000179945.73518.28](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.bor.0000179945.73518.28)

11. Sakkas LI, Daoussis D, Mavropoulos A, Liossis S-N, Bogdanos DP. Regulatory B cells: New players in inflammatory and autoimmune rheumatic diseases. Semin Arthritis Rheumatol. (2019) 48:1133–41. doi: [10.1016/j.semarthrit.2018.10.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semarthrit.2018.10.007)

12. Matsushita T, Kobayashi T, Mizumaki K, Kano M, Sawada T, Tennichi M, et al. BAFF inhibition attenuates fibrosis in scleroderma by modulating the regulatory and effector B cell balance. Sci Adv. (2018) 4:eaas9944. doi: [10.1126/sciadv.aas9944](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aas9944)

13. LeBien TW, Tedder TF. B lymphocytes: how they develop and function. Blood. (2008) 112:1570–80. doi: [10.1182/blood-2008-02-078071](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2008-02-078071)

Acknowledgments

The author expresses gratitude to all lab members. There is no financial interest in preparing this paper. Microsoft 365 Copilot generated the draft of the summary section, which summarized the other part of the paper.

Conflict of interest

The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

14. Reddy V, Cambridge G, Isenberg DA, Glennie MJ, Cragg MS, Leandro M. Internalization of rituximab and the efficiency of B Cell depletion in rheumatoid arthritis and systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheumatol (Hoboken NJ). (2014) 67:2046–55. doi: [10.1002/art.39167](https://doi.org/10.1002/art.39167)

15. Reddy V, Klein C, Isenberg DA, Glennie MJ, Cambridge G, Cragg MS, et al. Obinutuzumab induces superior B-cell cytotoxicity to rituximab in rheumatoid arthritis and systemic lupus erythematosus patient samples. Rheumatol (Oxf Engl). (2017) 56:1227– 37. doi: [10.1093/rheumatology/kex067](https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/kex067)

16. Reddy V, Dahal LN, Cragg MS, Leandro M. Optimising B-cell depletion in autoimmune disease: is obinutuzumab the answer? Drug Discovery Today. (2016) 21:1330–8. doi: [10.1016/j.drudis.2016.06.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drudis.2016.06.009)

17. Hasegawa M, Hamaguchi Y, Yanaba K, Bouaziz J-DD, Uchida J, Fujimoto M, et al. B-lymphocyte depletion reduces skin fibrosis and autoimmunity in the tight-skin mouse model for systemic sclerosis. The American journal of pathology (2006) 169:954– 66. doi: [10.2353/ajpath.2006.060205](https://doi.org/10.2353/ajpath.2006.060205)

18. Daoussis D, Liossis S-NC, Tsamandas AC, Kalogeropoulou C, Kazantzi A, Korfiatis P, et al. Is there a role for B-cell depletion as therapy for scleroderma? A case report and review of the literature. Semin Arthritis Rheumatol. (2010) 40:127–36. doi: [10.1016/j.semarthrit.2009.09.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semarthrit.2009.09.003)

19. Sumida H, Asano Y, Tamaki Z, Aozasa N, Taniguchi T, Takahashi T, et al. Successful experience of rituximab therapy for systemic sclerosis-associated interstitial lung disease with concomitant systemic lupus erythematosus. J Dermatol. (2014) 41:418–20. doi: [10.1111/1346-8138.12461](https://doi.org/10.1111/1346-8138.12461)

20. Jordan S, Distler JHW, Maurer B, Huscher D, van Laar JM, Allanore Y, et al. Effects and safety of rituximab in systemic sclerosis: an analysis from the European Scleroderma Trial and Research (EUSTAR) group. Ann Rheum Dis. (2015) 74:1188. doi: [10.1136/annrheumdis-2013-204522](https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2013-204522)

21. Ebata S, Yoshizaki A, Oba K, Kashiwabara K, Ueda K, Uemura Y, et al. Safety and efficacy of rituximab in systemic sclerosis (DESIRES): a double-blind, investigator-initiated, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet Rheumatol. (2021) 3:e489–97. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2665-9913(21)00107-7) [s2665-9913\(21\)00107-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2665-9913(21)00107-7)

22. Daoussis D, Liossis S-NC, Tsamandas AC, Kalogeropoulou C, Kazantzi A, Sirinian C, et al. Experience with rituximab in scleroderma: results from a 1-year, proof-ofprinciple study. Rheumatology. (2010) 49:271–80. doi: [10.1093/rheumatology/kep093](https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/kep093)

23. Jordan S, Distler JHW, Maurer B, Huscher D, van Laar JM, Allanore Y, et al. Effects and safety of rituximab in systemic sclerosis: an analysis from the European Scleroderma Trial and Research (EUSTAR) group. (2015)

24. Bosello SL, Luca GD, Rucco M, Berardi G, Falcione M, Danza FM, et al. Longterm efficacy of B cell depletion therapy on lung and skin involvement in diffuse systemic sclerosis. Semin Arthritis Rheumatol. (2015) 44:428–36. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semarthrit.2014.09.002) [j.semarthrit.2014.09.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semarthrit.2014.09.002)

25. Luca GD, Lorenzis ED, Campochiaro C, Cacciapaglia F, Papa ND, Zanatta E, et al. Rituximab retention rate in systemic sclerosis: a long term real-life multicenter study. Rheumatology. (2024), keae280. doi: [10.1093/rheumatology/keae280](https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/keae280)

26. Moazedi-Fuerst FC, Lackner A, Kreuzer SM, Eller K, Odler B, Kovacs G, et al. Successful long-term systemic sclerosis treatment by high-frequent low-dose B celldepleting therapy. J Autoimmun. (2024) 147:103246. doi: [10.1016/j.jaut.2024.103246](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaut.2024.103246)

27. Tang R, Yu J, Shi Y, Zou P, Zeng Z, Tang B, et al. Safety and efficacy of rituximab in systemic sclerosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int Immunopharmacol. (2019) 83:106389. doi: [10.21203/rs.2.18603/v1.](https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.18603/v1)

28. Arnold J, Dass S, Twigg S, Jones CH, Rhodes B, Hewins P, et al. Efficacy and safety of obinutuzumab in systemic lupus erythematosus patients with secondary non-response to rituximab. Rheumatology. (2022) 61:4905–9. doi: [10.1093/rheumatology/keac150](https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/keac150)

29. Meyer S, Evers M, Jansen JHM, Buijs J, Broek B, Reitsma SE, et al. New insights in Type I and II CD20 antibody mechanisms-of-action with a panel of novel CD20 antibodies. Br J Haematol. (2018) 180:808–20. doi: [10.1111/bjh.15132](https://doi.org/10.1111/bjh.15132)

30. Marinov AD, Wang H, Bastacky SI, van Puijenbroek E, Schindler T, Speziale D, et al. The type II anti-CD20 antibody obinutuzumab (GA101) is more effective than rituximab at depleting B cells and treating disease in a murine lupus model. Arthritis Rheumatol. (2021) 73:826–36. doi: [10.1002/art.41608](https://doi.org/10.1002/art.41608)

31. Furie RA, Aroca G, Cascino MD, Garg JP, Rovin BH, Alvarez A, et al. B-cell depletion with obinutuzumab for the treatment of proliferative lupus nephritis: a randomised, double-
blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Ann Rheum Dis*. (2022) 81:100–7. doi: [10.1136/annrheumdis-](https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-220920)[2021-220920](https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-220920)

32. Pavlasova G, Mraz M. The regulation and function of CD20: an "enigma" of Bcell biology and targeted therapy. Haematologica. (2020) 105:1494–506. doi: [10.3324/](https://doi.org/10.3324/haematol.2019.243543) [haematol.2019.243543](https://doi.org/10.3324/haematol.2019.243543)

33. Forsthuber TG, Cimbora DM, Ratchford JN, Katz E, Stüve O. B cell-based therapies in CNS autoimmunity: differentiating CD19 and CD20 as therapeutic targets. Ther Adv Neurol Disord. (2018) 11:1756286418761697. doi: [10.1177/](https://doi.org/10.1177/1756286418761697) [1756286418761697](https://doi.org/10.1177/1756286418761697)

34. Wilk E, Witte T, Marquardt N, Horvath T, Kalippke K, Scholz K, et al. Depletion of functionally active CD20+ T cells by rituximab treatment. Arthritis Rheumatol. (2009) 60:3563–71. doi: [10.1002/art.24998](https://doi.org/10.1002/art.24998)

35. Fearon DT, Carroll MC. Regulation of B lymphocyte responses to foreign and self-antigens by the CD19/CD21 complex. Annu Rev Immunol. (2000) 18:393–422. doi: [10.1146/annurev.immunol.18.1.393](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.immunol.18.1.393)

36. Levy S, Kuo C-C, Sagi Y, Chen H, Kela-Madar N, van Zelm M, et al. Regulation of CD19 surface expression in human B cells by CD81 (P3323). J Immunol. (2013) 190:175. doi: [10.4049/jimmunol.190.supp.175.9](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.190.supp.175.9)

37. van Zelm MC, Smet J, Adams B, Mascart F, Schandené L, Janssen F, et al. CD81 gene defect in humans disrupts CD19 complex formation and leads to antibody deficiency. J Clin Investig. (2010) 120:1265–74. doi: [10.1172/jci39748](https://doi.org/10.1172/jci39748)

38. Tedder TF, Zhou L-J, Engel P. The CD19/CD21 signal transduction complex of B lymphocytes. Immunol Today. (1994) 15:437–42. doi: [10.1016/0167-5699\(94\)90274-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-5699(94)90274-7)

39. Harada H, Kawano MM, Huang N, Harada Y, Iwato K, Tanabe O, et al. Phenotypic difference of normal plasma cells from mature myeloma cells. Blood. (1993) 81:2658–63. doi: [10.1182/blood.v81.10.2658.2658](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.v81.10.2658.2658)

40. Herbst R, Rajan B, Morehouse CA, Rayanki R, Yao Y, Ettinger R, et al. THU0034 CD19 distinguishes memory and pre-memory antibody secreting cells. Ann Rheum Dis. (2013) 72:A175. doi: [10.1136/annrheumdis-2013-eular.562](https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2013-eular.562)

41. Chen D, Ireland SJ, Davis LS, Kong X, Stowe AM, Wang Y, et al. Autoreactive CD19+CD20– plasma cells contribute to disease severity of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. J Immunol. (2016) 196:1541–9. doi: [10.4049/jimmunol.1501376](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1501376)

42. Nutt SL, Hodgkin PD, Tarlinton DM, Corcoran LM. The generation of antibody-secreting plasma cells. Nat Rev Immunol. (2015) 15:160–71. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nri3795) [nri3795](https://doi.org/10.1038/nri3795)

43. Mossuto MF, Ami D, Anelli T, Fagioli C, Doglia SM, Sitia R. Biochemical nature of Russell bodies. Sci Rep. (2015) 5:12585. doi: [10.1038/srep12585](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep12585)

44. Sato S, Hasegawa M, Fujimoto M, Tedder TF, Takehara K. Quantitative genetic variation in CD19 expression correlates with autoimmunity. J Immunol. (2000) 165:6635–43. doi: [10.4049/jimmunol.165.11.6635](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.165.11.6635)

45. Akatani R, Chihara N, Hara A, Tsuji A, Koto S, Kobayashi K, et al. Interleukin-6 signaling blockade induces regulatory plasmablasts in neuromyelitis optica spectrum
disorder. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflammation*. (2 [nxi.0000000000200266](https://doi.org/10.1212/nxi.0000000000200266)

46. van Zelm MC, Reisli I, van der Burg M, Castaño D, van Noesel CJ, van Tol MJ, et al. An antibody-deficiency syndrome due to mutations in the CD19 gene. N Engl J Med. (2006) 354:1901–12. doi: [10.1056/nejmoa051568](https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa051568)

47. Dawidowicz K, DieudéP, Avouac J, Wipff J, Hachulla E, Diot E, et al. Association study of B-cell marker gene polymorphisms in European Caucasian patients with systemic sclerosis. Clin Exp Rheumatol. (2010) 29:839–42.

48. Tsuchiya N, Kuroki K, Fujimoto M, Murakami Y, Tedder TF, Tokunaga K, et al. Association of a functional CD19 polymorphism with susceptibility to systemic sclerosis. Arthritis Rheumatol. (2004) 50:4002–7. doi: [10.1002/art.20674](https://doi.org/10.1002/art.20674)

49. Sato S, Fujimoto M, Hasegawa M, Takehara K, Tedder TF. Altered B lymphocyte function induces systemic autoimmunity in systemic sclerosis. Mol Immunol. (2004) 41:1123–33. doi: [10.1016/j.molimm.2004.06.025](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2004.06.025)

50. Yoshizaki A, Iwata Y, Komura K, Ogawa F, Hara T, Muroi E, et al. CD19 regulates skin and lung fibrosis via toll-like receptor signaling in a model of bleomycininduced scleroderma. Am J Pathol. (2008) 172:1650–63. doi: [10.2353/](https://doi.org/10.2353/ajpath.2008.071049) [ajpath.2008.071049](https://doi.org/10.2353/ajpath.2008.071049)

51. Saito E, Fujimoto M, Hasegawa M, Komura K, Hamaguchi Y, Kaburagi Y, et al. CD19-dependent B lymphocyte signaling thresholds influence skin fibrosis and autoimmunity in the tight-skin mouse. J Clin Investig. (2002) 109:1453–62. doi: [10.1172/jci15078](https://doi.org/10.1172/jci15078)

52. Komura K, Yanaba K, Horikawa M, Ogawa F, Fujimoto M, Tedder TF, et al. CD19 regulates the development of bleomycin-induced pulmonary fibrosis in a mouse model. Arthritis Rheumatol. (2008) 58:3574–84. doi: [10.1002/art.23995](https://doi.org/10.1002/art.23995)

53. Tedder TF, Poe JC, Fujimoto M, Haas KM, Sato S. The CD19-CD21 signal transduction complex of B lymphocytes regulates the balance between health and autoimmune disease: systemic sclerosis as a model system. Curr Dir Autoimmun. (2004) 8:55–90. doi: [10.1159/000082087](https://doi.org/10.1159/000082087)

54. Reijm S, Kwekkeboom JC, Blomberg NJ, Suurmond J, van der Woude D, Toes REM, et al. Autoreactive B cells in rheumatoid arthritis include mainly activated CXCR3+ memory B cells and plasmablasts. JCI Insight. (2023) 8:e172006. doi: [10.1172/](https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.172006) [jci.insight.172006](https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.172006)

55. Nicholas MW, Dooley MA, Hogan SL, Anolik J, Looney J, Sanz I, et al. A novel subset of memory B cells is enriched in autoreactivity and correlates with adverse outcomes in SLE. Clin Immunol. (2008) 126:189–201. doi: [10.1016/j.clim.2007.10.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clim.2007.10.004)

56. Sterner RC, Sterner RM. CAR-T cell therapy: current limitations and potential strategies. Blood Cancer J. (2021) 11:69. doi: [10.1038/s41408-021-00459-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41408-021-00459-7)

57. Milone MC, Xu J, Chen S-J, Collins MA, Zhou J, Powell DJ, et al. Engineering enhanced CAR T-cells for improved cancer therapy. Nat Cancer. (2021) 2:780–93. doi: [10.1038/s43018-021-00241-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s43018-021-00241-5)

58. Sadelain M. Chimeric antigen receptors: A paradigm shift in immunotherapy. Annu Rev Cancer Biol. (2017) 1:447–66. doi: [10.1146/annurev-cancerbio-050216-034351](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-cancerbio-050216-034351)

59. Ohno R, Nakamura A. Advancing autoimmune Rheumatic disease treatment: CAR-T Cell Therapies - Evidence, Safety, and future directions. Semin Arthritis Rheumatol. (2024) 67:152479. doi: [10.1016/j.semarthrit.2024.152479](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semarthrit.2024.152479)

60. Daamen AR, Lipsky PE. Potential and pitfalls of repurposing the CAR-T cell regimen for the treatment of autoimmune disease. Ann Rheum Dis. (2024) 83:696–9. doi: [10.1136/ard-2024-225638](https://doi.org/10.1136/ard-2024-225638)

61. Fischbach F, Richter J, Pfeffer LK, Fehse B, Berger SC, Reinhardt S, et al. CD19 targeted chimeric antigen receptor T cell therapy in two patients with multiple sclerosis. Med. (2024) 5:550–558.e2. doi: [10.1016/j.medj.2024.03.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.medj.2024.03.002)

62. Wang X, Wu X, Tan B, Zhu L, Zhang Y, Lin L, et al. Allogeneic CD19-targeted CAR-T therapy in patients with severe myositis and systemic sclerosis. Cell. (2024). doi: [10.1016/j.cell.2024.06.027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2024.06.027)

63. Müller F, Taubmann J, Bucci L, Wilhelm A, Bergmann C, Völkl S, et al. CD19 CAR T-cell therapy in autoimmune disease — A case series with follow-up. N Engl J Med. (2024) 390:687–700. doi: [10.1056/nejmoa2308917](https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa2308917)

64. Schiopu E, Chatterjee S, Hsu V, Flor A, Cimbora D, Patra K, et al. Safety and tolerability of an anti-CD19 monoclonal antibody, MEDI-551, in subjects with systemic sclerosis: a phase I, randomized, placebo-controlled, escalating single-dose study. Arthritis Res Ther. (2016) 18:131. doi: [10.1186/s13075-016-1021-2](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13075-016-1021-2)

65. Avouac J, Cauvet A, Orvain C, Boulch M, Tilotta F, Tu L, et al. Effects of B cell depletion by CD19-targeted chimeric antigen receptor T cells in a murine model of
systemic sclerosis. *Arthritis Rheumatol*. (2024) 76:268–78. doi: [10.1002/art.42677](https://doi.org/10.1002/art.42677)

66. Merkt W, Freitag M, Claus M, Kolb P, Falcone V, Röhrich M, et al. Thirdgeneration CD19.CAR-T cell-containing combination therapy in Scl70+ systemic sclerosis. Ann Rheum Dis. (2024) 83:543–6. doi: [10.1136/ard-2023-225174](https://doi.org/10.1136/ard-2023-225174)

67. Herbst R, Wang Y, Gallagher S, Mittereder N, Kuta E, Damschroder M, et al. B-cell depletion in vitro and in vivo with an afucosylated anti-CD19 antibody. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. (2010) 335:213–22. doi: [10.1124/jpet.110.168062](https://doi.org/10.1124/jpet.110.168062)

68. Cree BAC, Bennett JL, Kim HJ, Weinshenker BG, Pittock SJ, Wingerchuk DM, et al. Inebilizumab for the treatment of neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder (N-MOmentum): a double-blind, randomised placebo-controlled phase 2/3 trial. Lancet. (2019) 394:1352–63. doi: [10.1016/s0140-6736\(19\)31817-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(19)31817-3)

69. Flanagan EP, Levy M, Katz E, Cimbora D, Drappa J, Mealy MA, et al. Inebilizumab for treatment of neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder in patients with prior rituximab use from the N-MOmentum Study. Mult Scler Relat Disord. (2022) 57:103352. doi: [10.1016/j.msard.2021.103352](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msard.2021.103352)

70. Shields RL, Lai J, Keck R, O'Connell LY, Hong K, Meng YG, et al. Lack of fucose on human igG1 N-linked oligosaccharide improves binding to human fcyRIII and antibody-dependent cellular toxicity*. J Biol Chem. (2002) 277:26733–40. doi: [10.1074/](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.m202069200) [jbc.m202069200](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.m202069200)

71. Nimmerjahn F, Ravetch JV. Fcgamma receptors as regulators of immune responses. Nat Rev Immunol. (2007) 8:34–47. doi: [10.1038/nri2206](https://doi.org/10.1038/nri2206)

72. Glennie MJ, French RR, Cragg MS, Taylor RP. Mechanisms of killing by anti-CD20 monoclonal antibodies. Mol Immunol. (2007) 44:3823–37. doi: [10.1016/j.molimm.2007.06.151](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2007.06.151)

73. Desjarlais JR, Lazar GA, Zhukovsky EA, Chu SY. Optimizing engagement of the immune system by anti-tumor antibodies: an engineer's perspective. Drug Discovery Today. (2007) 12:898–910. doi: [10.1016/j.drudis.2007.08.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drudis.2007.08.009)

74. Kim S-H, Jeong IH, Hyun J-W, Joung A, Jo H-J, Hwang S-H, et al. Treatment outcomes with rituximab in 100 patients with neuromyelitis optica: influence of FCGR3A polymorphisms on the therapeutic response to rituximab. JAMA Neurol. (2015) 72:989–95. doi: [10.1001/jamaneurol.2015.1276](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2015.1276)

75. Yan L, Kimko H, Wang B, Cimbora D, Katz E, Rees WA. Population pharmacokinetic modeling of inebilizumab in subjects with neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders, systemic sclerosis, or relapsing multiple sclerosis. Clin Pharmacokinet. (2022) 61:387–400. doi: [10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40262-021-01071-5) [s40262-021-01071-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40262-021-01071-5)

76. Rensel M, Zabeti A, Mealy MA, Cimbora D, She D, Drappa J, et al. Long-term efficacy and safety of inebilizumab in neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder: Analysis of aquaporin-4–immunoglobulin G–seropositive participants taking inebilizumab for
≩4 years in the N-MOmentum trial. *Mult Scler J.* (2021) 28:925–32. doi: [10.1177/](https://doi.org/10.1177/13524585211047223) [13524585211047223](https://doi.org/10.1177/13524585211047223)

77. Reddy V, Martinez L, Isenberg DA, Leandro MJ, Cambridge G. Pragmatic treatment of patients with systemic lupus erythematosus with rituximab: long-term effects on serum immunoglobulins. Arthritis Care Res. (2017) 69:857–66. doi: [10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/acr.22993) [acr.22993](https://doi.org/10.1002/acr.22993)

78. Perugino C, Culver EL, Khosroshahi A, Zhang W, Della-Torre E, Okazaki K, et al. Efficacy and safety of inebilizumab in igG4-related disease: protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Rheumatol Ther. (2023) 10:1795–808. doi: [10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40744-023-00593-7) [s40744-023-00593-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40744-023-00593-7)

79. Streicher K, Sridhar S, Kuziora M, Morehouse CA, Higgs BW, Sebastian Y, et al. Baseline plasma cell gene signature predicts improvement in systemic sclerosis skin scores following treatment with inebilizumab (MEDI-551) and correlates with disease activity in systemic lupus erythematosus and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Arthritis Rheumatol. (2018) 70:2087–95. doi: [10.1002/art.40656](https://doi.org/10.1002/art.40656)

80. Komura K, Yanaba K, Bouaziz J-D, Yoshizaki A, Hasegawa M, Varga J, et al. Perspective to precision medicine in scleroderma. Front Immunol. (2024) 14:1298665. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2023.1298665](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2023.1298665)

81. Lou C-Y, Wang Y, Xing J-Y, Ma T, Tao L, Wang X-T, et al. Comparison of inebilizumab or rituximab in addition to glucocorticoid therapy for neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders. Int J Ophthalmol. (2024) 17:1073–8. doi: [10.18240/](https://doi.org/10.18240/ijo.2024.06.12) [ijo.2024.06.12](https://doi.org/10.18240/ijo.2024.06.12)