Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Constantino López-Macías, Mexican Social Security Institute (IMSS), Mexico

REVIEWED BY Araceli Tepale Segura, Mexican Social Security Institute (IMSS), Mexico Malika Aid, Harvard Medical School, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Fang Gong gongfang2004@163.com

RECEIVED 15 August 2023 ACCEPTED 19 September 2023 PUBLISHED 02 October 2023

CITATION

Cao C, Jiang J, Liu M, Dai Y, Chang T, Ji T and Gong F (2023) Longitudinal evaluation of innate immune responses to three doses of CoronaVac vaccine. *Front. Immunol.* 14:1277831. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1277831

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Cao, Jiang, Liu, Dai, Chang, Ji and Gong. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Longitudinal evaluation of innate immune responses to three doses of CoronaVac vaccine

Cheng Cao^{1,2}, Junfeng Jiang^{1,3}, Min Liu⁴, Yaping Dai⁵, Tianzhi Chang^{1,3}, Tuo Ji¹ and Fang Gong^{1,3,4*}

¹Department of Laboratory Medicine, Jiangnan University Medical Center, Wuxi, Jiangsu, China, ²Department of Laboratory Medicine, Changzhou Jintan First People's Hospital, Changzhou, Jiangsu, China, ³Wuxi School of Medicine, Jiangnan University, Wuxi, Jiangsu, China, ⁴Department of Laboratory Medicine, Affiliated Hospital of Jiangnan University, Wuxi, Jiangsu, China, ⁵Department of Laboratory Medicine, The Fifth People's Hospital of Wuxi Affiliated Hospital of Jiangnan University, Wuxi, Jiangsu, China

The adaptive immune responses induced by inactivated COVID-19 vaccine has been extensively studied. However, few studies have analyzed the impact of COVID-19 vaccination on innate immune cells. Here in this study, we recruited 62 healthcare workers who received three doses of CoronaVac vaccine and longitudinally profiled the alterations of peripheral monocytes and NK cells during vaccination. The results showed that both the monocyte and NK cell subsets distribution were altered, although the frequencies of the total monocyte and NK cells remained stable during the vaccination. Additionally, we found that both the 2nd and 3rd dose of CoronaVac vaccination elicited robust IFN- γ producing NK cell response. Our data provided necessary insights on innate immune responses in the context of three homologous CoronaVac dose vaccination, and supplied immunological basis for the future design of inactivated vaccines against SARS-CoV-2 or other viruses.

KEYWORDS

CoronaVac, vaccination, innate immunity, monocytes, natural killer cells, IFN-y

Introduction

Highly contagious severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) caused global pandemics of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) that lead to a huge impact on human physical and mental health (1, 2). COVID-19 vaccination, such as mRNA, adenoviral-based and inactivated vaccines, has allowed the containment of SARS-CoV-2 infection and mortality (3). Owing to their widespread use, numerous scholars have conducted extensive research on the adaptive immune responses mediated by T and B cells after vaccination (4–10). By contrast, little is known about the innate immune responses affected by vaccination.

The innate immune system constitutes the first-line responder to virus invasion, in which NK cells and monocytes are two important innate immunocytes. Using a systems

vaccinology approach, Arunachalam et al. reported that, following a second dose of Pfizer/BioNTech BNT162b2 mRNA vaccination, a notably expansion of CD14⁺ monocytes in a group of 56 healthy volunteers (11). Moreover, the monocyte-related gene signatures were found to be associated with neutralizing antibody titers (11). Consistently, it was further discovered the convergence of monocyte and natural killer (NK) cell subsets during vaccine-induced responses. CD16⁺ NK cells, CD56^{high} NK cells, and non-classical monocytes inversely correlated for the magnitude of neutralizing antibody responses (12). In addition, multiple evidences have indicated that regulating NK cells may contribute to improve vaccine efficiency (13). However, a detailed map of the monocytes and NK cell landscape elicited by CoronaVac inactivated vaccine, following the second and third vaccine booster, has not yet been established.

In this research, we evaluated the CoronaVac vaccine-mediated long-term effects on innate immune responses by analyzing monocyte and natural killer subsets in peripheral blood, as well as IFN- γ production after SARS-CoV-2 spike peptide pool stimulation. Analyses were performed at pre-vaccination baseline (T1), 1 week post the primary dose (T2), 2 weeks post the 2nd dose (T3), 6-8 months post the 2nd dose (T4), and 2 weeks post the 3rd booster dose in a group of healthcare workers who had never been SARS-CoV-2-infected.

Materials and methods

Study population

In this study, we longitudinally collected the peripheral blood of 62 healthcare workers from Affiliated Hospital of Jiangnan University. According to the national vaccination scheme, all these participants received 2-3 doses (0.5 mL per dose) of the inactivated CoronaVac vaccine (Sinovac Life Sciences, Beijing, China) through intramuscular regimens from December 2020 to November 2021 (Table S1). EDTA blood was collected from all of the subjects at the following five time points: pre-vaccination baseline (T1), 1 week post the primary dose (T2), 2 weeks post the 2nd dose (T3), 6-8 months post the 2nd dose (T4), and 2 weeks post the 3rd booster dose (T5). These healthy subjects ranged in age from 28 to 67 (median age 47), including 33 males and 30 females. All the individuals have no SARS-CoV-2 exposure or other virus infection, with no autoimmune disease, immunodeficiency disease, allergic diseases or any other diseases during the vaccination. This study was approved by the medical ethical committee of the Affiliated Hospital of Jiangnan University (LS2021004). All individuals included in the study provided written informed consent.

Preparation and storage of peripheral blood mononuclear cells

The peripheral blood samples were collected in EDTA-2K tubes (BD Biosciences) and processed within 4 hours. As previously

reported (14), blood samples were diluted with phosphate buffer saline (PBS) (1:1), and then slowly added on the prepared Ficoll-Hypaque (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) for density gradient centrifugation (450 g, 25 min, 20°C, without brake). PBMC layers were carefully collected and washed twice with PBS. After centrifugation, the washed PBMCs were resuspended in the cell cryopreservation solution (fetal bovine serum with 10% dimethyl sulfoxide), and total living cells were counted. Aliquots of 2.5×10^6 cells were first transferred to a freezing container (Corning Incorporated) at -80°C overnight, then cryopreserved in liquid nitrogen until further use.

Immunophenotyping using flow cytometry

Surface staining and multiparametric flow cytometry analysis were performed under the same conditions. Briefly, cells were washed with FACS buffer (PBS with 2% FBS) and incubated with Fc-receptor block (Mitenyi Biotec) to block non-specific staining. Cells were then stained with a mixture of monoclonal antibodies, including CD3-AF532 (Clone: UCHT1), CD19-Super Bright 436 (Clone: HLB19), CD16-eFlour 450 (Clone: CB16), CD56-BV711 (Clone: HCD56), HLA-DR-APC/Fire 750 (Clone: L243), CD14-PE-Cy5 (Clone: 61D3), CD38-PerCP-eFluor 710 (Clone: HB7), CD161-Brilliant Violet 785 (Clone: HP-3G10) (Table S2). After incubation in the dark at 4°C for 30 minutes, cells were resuspended in FACS buffer and kept on ice until acquisition by CytekTM Northern Lights. Dead cells were routinely excluded from the analysis by staining with 7-AAD (eBioscience).

Monocytes were identified as CD3⁻CD19⁻CD14⁺ cells. Monocyte subpopulations were analyzed by CD14 and CD16 (12, 15): classical (CD14⁺/CD16⁻), intermediate (CD14⁺/CD16⁺), and non-classical (CD14^{low}/CD16⁺) monocytes. The expression of HLA-DR on CD14⁺ total monocytes was determined using the median fluorescence intensity (MFI) for protein expression. For NK cells, we selected CD3⁻CD19⁻ live lymphocyte population according to 7-AAD. NK cells were divided into 6 subsets according to the intensity of CD56 and CD16 (16–18): (1) CD56^{bright}CD16^{neg}; (2) CD56^{bright}CD16^{dim/bright}; (3) CD56^{dim}CD16^{neg}; (4) CD56^{dim}CD16^{dim}; (5) CD56^{dim}CD16^{bright}; (6) CD56^{neg}CD16^{bright}.

IFN- γ secretion assay

The levels of IFN- γ secretion by NK cells were measured by the following procedures. Around 1×10^6 cells/well thawed PBMCs were plated in U-bottom 96 well plate containing complete RPMI-1640 medium (Gibco) with 5% heat inactivated FBS (Gibco). Cells were cultured in medium alone (unstimulated) or stimulated with SARS-CoV-2 spike peptide pools (S1 + S2, 2 µg/mL respectively, SinoBiological) for 24h at 37°C and 5% CO₂. After 24 hours of culture, Brefeldin A (1:1000, eBioscience) was added to the culture for an additional 4 hours. Next, cells were harvested and stained with a mixture of surface antibodies, including CD3-AF532, CD19-Super Bright 436, CD16-eFlour 450 and CD56-BV711. Fc-receptor block (Miltenyi Biotec) was added simultaneously to incubate in the

dark at 4°C for 30 minutes. After the surface staining, cells were fixed and permeabilized using BD Cytofix/Cytoperm Fixation and Permeabilization Solution Kit, and stained with intracellular antibody IFN- γ -PE-Cy7 for 30 minutes at room temperature in the dark. Stained cells were resuspended in FACS buffer and flow cytometry was performed using a CytekTM Northern Lights instrument.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using FlowJo 10.6.2. Statistical analyses were performed in GraphPad Prism 9.2.0 software. Mann-Whitney U test was used for the comparison of the frequencies of monocytes and NK cell subsets between five time points. Wilcoxon matchedpairs signed-ranks test was applied for paired comparisons of IFN- γ^+ total NK and IFN- γ^+ NK subsets frequencies between different time points. *P* values and all tests are two-sided. A *P* value of 0.05 was used to determine significance of differences. **P* < 0.05; ***P* < 0.01; *** *P* < 0.001; **** *P* < 0.001.

Results

Alteration of monocyte frequencies and subsets during CoronaVac vaccination

In this study, we longitudinally followed 62 healthcare workers vaccinated with CoronaVac for over 300 days. This cohort has also been previously described the longitudinal magnitude of antibody response, as well as $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T cell responses after vaccination (9, 19, 20). Blood samples were collected at the following five time points: pre-vaccination baseline (T1), 1 week post the primary dose (T2), 2 weeks post the 2nd dose (T3), 6-8 months post the 2nd dose (T4), and 2 weeks post a 3rd booster dose (T5). 260 PBMC samples were collected at these five time points following three doses of CoronaVac vaccination (Figure 1A).

We first assess the effect of the CoronaVac vaccine on the frequency of the total monocyte population. However, different from the results from the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine (21), we observed that the frequency of total monocytes (CD14⁺) remained stable during the vaccination (Figure S1A). Monocyte



FIGURE 1

Characterization of monocyte frequencies and subsets during CoronaVac vaccination. (A) Study design. T1: pre-vaccination baseline, T2: 1 week post the primary dose, T3: 2 weeks post the 2^{nd} dose, T4: 6-8 months post the 2^{nd} dose, T5: 2 weeks post a 3^{rd} booster dose. (B) Statistical analysis of the frequency of polyclonal classical, intermediate and non-classical monocytes at five time points. (C) The median fluorescence intensity (MFI) of HLA-DR expression on total monocytes at five time points. Histogram showing the HLA-DR expression on total monocytes at T1, T2, T3, and T5. Each dot represents an individual subject. Bars in the figure represent the mean values with SEM. Mann-Whitney U test was used for the comparison between time points (B, C). *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ****, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.001; not significant.

subpopulations can be identified as CD14⁺CD16⁻ classical monocytes, CD14⁺CD16⁺ intermediate monocytes and CD14^{dim}CD16⁺ non-classical monocytes (Figure S1B). When evaluating the functional monocyte subpopulations, we found that the CoronaVac vaccine induced an increased frequency of CD14⁺CD16⁺ intermediate monocytes and CD14⁺CD16⁻ classical monocytes, together with a decreased frequency of CD14^{dim}CD16⁺ non-classical monocytes (Figure 1B).

Expression of antigen presentation molecule HLA-DR on monocytes during CoronaVac vaccination

Antigen presentation by APCs such as monocytes to activate effective T cell responses, which eventually leading to the production of antibodies or long-term immunological memory, is a crucial event in the vaccination process. There is evidence indicating that high levels of HLA-DR expression on the surface of monocytes associated with enhanced antigen presenting capacity and immune activation, whereas the low levels associated with immune suppression (22, 23). Therefore, we sought to evaluate the effect of the CoronaVac vaccination on antigen presentation capacity of monocytes by assessing the level of HLA-DR expression. Expression of HLA-DR on CD14⁺ total monocyte was significantly increased at all time points after vaccination (T2, T3, T4, T5), compared with the pre-vaccination baseline (T1). Of note, the expression of HLA-DR remained the relatively high level 6-8 months post the 2nd dose of vaccine (T4), with a continued increase post the 3rd vaccination (T5) (Figure 1C). In addition, we found that the expression of HLA-DR on classical, intermediate, and nonclassical monocytes also increased after vaccination, showing a similar trend to that of total monocytes (Figure S1C). These data suggested that the CoronaVac vaccine likely enhanced the antigenpresenting ability of monocytes effectively.

Alteration of NK cell frequencies and subsets during CoronaVac vaccination

The proportion of total NK cells in PBMCs remained rather stable throughout the three-dose CoronaVac vaccination regimen, resembling previous findings in mRNA vaccine (24) (Figure 2A). NK cells can be further divided into six subsets featured by the differential expression of CD56 and CD16 (16–18): (1) CD56^{bright}CD16^{neg}; (2) CD56^{bright}CD16^{dim/bright}; (3) CD56^{dim}CD16^{neg}; (4) CD56^{dim}CD16^{dim}; (5) CD56^{dim}CD16^{bright}; (6) CD56^{neg}CD16^{bright} (Figure S2A, Figure 2B). We thus wondered whether the frequencies of NK cell subsets were altered during the study period. To address this issue, we analyzed the blood NK subsets distribution by multicolor flow cytometry. As expected, CD56^{dim}CD16^{bright} NK cells accounted for the majority of total NK cells (Figure 2C). However, we discovered the alteration in the proportion of NK cell subsets, resulting in a preferential stimulation of CD56^{dim}CD16^{dim} NK cells and CD56^{dim}CD16^{neg} NK cells, together with a decreased frequency of CD56^{dim}CD16^{bright} NK cells. Specifically, the frequency of CD56^{dim}CD16^{dim} NK cells exhibited with a marked increase shortly after the 1st and 2nd dose of vaccination (T2, T3), and with a further increase post 3rd dose of vaccine (T5), as compared to baseline (T1), whereas the frequency of CD56^{dim}CD16^{bright} NK cells showed the opposite trend (Figure 2D). Additionally, we did not find any significant changes in the frequency of other NK cell subsets (Figure S2B). Post 1st and a 2nd dose of CoronaVac, we observed comparable CD56^{dim}CD16^{neg} NK cells in vaccinees, while this frequency of CD56^{dim}CD16^{neg} NK cells were significantly increased after 6-8 months and maintained at similar level upon a 3rd boost of CoronaVac vaccine (Figure 2D). The kinetics and the rapid alterations of CD56^{dim}CD16^{neg}, CD56^{dim}CD16^{dim} NK and CD56^{dim}CD16^{bright} NK cells following each vaccine administration support that NK cells are initiated effectively soon after vaccination and may trigger the subsequent vaccine responses. Next, we analyzed the expression of activation markers on NK cells, including CD38, CD161, and HLA-DR. The results showed that compared to baseline (T1), the proportion of NK cells expressing CD38, CD161, and HLA-DR significantly increased after vaccination (Figure 2E), indicating that the inactivated CoronaVac vaccine has the ability to induce NK cell activation.

IFN-γ production by spike-stimulated NK cells

Several findings support IFN-y production as the key response that governs neutralizing antibody responses elicited by mRNA vaccines (11, 12, 25). Given that NK cells are both robust and early source of IFN- γ producers during an immune response, we evaluated IFN-y-producing NK cells after stimulating PBMC with SARS-CoV-2 spike peptides. The frequency of IFN-\gammaproducing NK cells increased significantly post 1st dose of vaccine (T2), with a further increase post 2nd dose of vaccine (T3). However, 6-8 months after the 2nd vaccine dose (T4), the frequency of IFN-γ-producing NK cells exhibited a deceased trend comparing to that of 2 weeks after the 2nd vaccination (T3). Notwithstanding, the IFN-y-producing NK cells still retained at a relative high level and higher than that of in the baseline (Figure 3A). With that being observed, a 3rd booster of CoronaVac reinvigorated the IFN-y-producing NK cells efficiently. The average frequency of IFN-y-producing NK cells reached 6.488 at 2 weeks post dose 3 (T5), a 4.1-fold higher than that at T4 and 11.3-fold higher than that at the baseline T1 (Figure 3A). Next, through the analysis of NK cell subpopulations, we further found that CD56^{bright}CD16^{neg} NK cells, CD56^{dim}CD16^{neg} NK cells and CD56^{dim}CD16^{dim} NK cells constitute the main subsets that produce IFN-y cytokines (Figure 3B). The dynamic trends of these three NK cell subsets producing IFN-y at different time points after CoronaVac vaccination resembled the total IFN- γ^+ NK cells (Figure 3C). Collectively, these results indicate robust induction of IFN-yproducing NK cells cell responses after vaccination.



FIGURE 2

PBMCs at five time points. (B) Representative FACS plots of six NK subsets defined by the density of CD56 and CD16. (C) The proportion of six NK subsets (1: CD56^{bright}CD16^{neg}, 2: CD56^{bright}CD16^{dim/bright}, 3: CD56^{dim}CD16^{neg}, 4: CD56^{dim}CD16^{dim}, 5: CD56^{dim}CD16^{bright}, 6: CD56^{neg}CD16^{bright}) in total NK cells at five time points. (D) Longitudinal frequencies of polyclonal CD56^{dim}CD16^{dim}CD16^{bright} and CD56^{dim}CD16^{bright} and CD56^{dim}CD16^{neg} NK cells measured by flow cytometry at five time points. (E) Statistical analysis of the frequency of CD38⁺, CD161⁺ and HLA-DR⁺ NK cells at five time points. Each dot represents an individual subject. Bars in the figure represent the mean values with SEM. Mann-Whitney U test was applied for comparison between time points (A, D, E). *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.0001; ns, not significant.

Discussion

Induction of effective adaptive immune responses including the pathogen specific neutralizing antibodies and long-lived memory T cell responses is the main goal of vaccine design, regardless the platforms on which COVID-19 vaccines are based (26). A growing body of evidence now indicating that the innate immune response is critical to the orchestration of protective adaptive immune responses after vaccination (21, 27). It is reported that after vaccination with a single dose of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19, monocytes exhibited enhanced antigen presentation function and cytokine/ chemokine profile, which is likely to facilitate adaptive memory responses development (21). In addition, mRNA vaccines can also stimulate modest innate immune responses after primary immunization, while increase notably after the secondary immunization (11, 17, 25). Together, these findings imply that both adenoviral-based and mRNA-based vaccines have heterologous immunological effects on innate responses. However, how would vaccine-induced innate immune response evolve longitudinally has been, and remains, a major unknown for inactivated vaccines. Given that monocytes and NK cells are two main innate cell populations, both of which as important first-line responders to viral infections, we here performed a longitudinal assessment of the monocytes and NK cell responses, elicited by CoronaVac inactivated vaccine in the circulation.

Monocytes can be phenotypically and functionally characterized as classical (CD14⁺/CD16⁻), intermediate (CD14⁺/ CD16⁺), and non-classical (CD14^{low}/CD16⁺) monocytes (28). They played specific roles in the control and development of immune processes. Classical monocytes participate in tissue repair, inflammatory response, and induce adaptive immune response (29, 30). In contrast, intermediate monocytes have been shown to stimulate T cell proliferation and highly express MHC-II restricted antigen presentation related genes (31, 32). Consistent with the relevant results of the BNT162b1 mRNA vaccine research (17), we found that CoronaVac vaccination significantly increased the frequency of classical and intermediate monocytes. The enhancement of these two subpopulations may imply that



vaccination can induce strong antiviral responses and further stimulate adaptive immune responses. According to the report, CD14⁺ monocytes were increased up to 3 months after vaccination with ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (21). By contrast, the vaccination of three doses of CoronaVac had little effect on the frequency of CD14⁺ monocytes. Next, we further discovered that, consistent with the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine (21), the inactivated vaccine also markedly increased the expression level of HLA-DR in CD14⁺ monocytes, indicating that the immune activation and antigen presentation abilities of monocytes were effectively enhanced over a long period of time after CoronaVac vaccination.

NK cells are conventionally subdivided into the two wellcharacterized functional CD56^{bright}CD16⁻ and CD56^{dim}CD16⁺ subsets (33). The former subset is considered to be the cytokineproducing NK-cell subset, while the latter subset is considered to be the cytolytic NK-cell subset (33). However, this dichotomy has been ended up with three additional different NK cell populations: CD56^{bright}CD16^{dim/bright}, CD56^{dim}CD16^{neg} and CD56^{dim}CD16^{dim} (18). CD56^{dim}CD16^{dim} NK subsets with lower maturity were considered as the precursor cells of CD56^{dim}CD16^{bright} cells and were functionally in the intermediate position between CD56^{dim}CD16^{neg} cells and CD56^{dim}CD16^{bright} cells (16).

Understanding the evolution of NK cell subsets during vaccination is helpful to fully understand the immune mechanism of how the inactivated vaccine prevent virus infection. Our results here revealed that the proportion of total NK (CD16⁺ CD56⁺) cell frequencies remained stable at all timepoints, similar to the results observed in BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine (24). However, our data showed an altered composition of NK subpopulations with an increased frequency of CD56^{dim}CD16^{dim} NK cells and a decreased frequency of CD56^{dim}CD16^{bright} NK cells after the vaccination. The alteration of CD56^{dim}CD16^{dim} and CD56^{dim}CD16^{bright} NK cells was similar to that reported in BNT162b1 mRNA vaccine (17). A study on COVID-19 convalescent patients revealed that the SARS-CoV-2 antigen peptide pools-activated IFN-y-producing NK cell counts were correlated with the development of neutralizing antibodies, and that synergistic humoral and cellular immune response could help the effective elimination of the virus (34). In order to further investigate the functional characteristics of CoronaVac-induced CD56^{dim}CD16^{dim} NK and CD56^{dim}CD16^{neg} NK cells, we detected the IFN- γ produced by NK cell subsets. We found that the frequency of IFN-y-producing NK cells increased after the 1st and 2nd vaccination, with an even more significantly increase post the 3rd vaccination, indicating that the 3rd booster dose of inactivated vaccine induced an enhanced innate immune response. Due to the fact that CD56^{dim}CD16^{dim} NK cells can produce more cytokines compared to CD56^{dim}CD16^{bright} NK cells, we thus speculated that CD56^{dim}CD16^{bright} NK cells may transform into the CD56^{dim}CD16^{dim} NK cells with higher levels of IFN-y production after CoronaVac vaccination. These data, together, indicates that the three-dose inactivated CoronaVac vaccination elicited robust IFN-y-producing NK cell response.

This study has some limitations, including the relatively small number of recruited individuals due to the difficulty of long-term longitudinal follow-up. Additionally, we acknowledge that this work was limited in the study of the very early innate immune responses, since the samples were collected 1-2 weeks after each vaccine dose. Nonetheless, our study revealed the alterations in the frequency and function of monocytes and NK cell subpopulations at different time points after inactivated vaccine administration, providing insights for the evaluation of innate immune response during inactivated CoronaVac vaccination. The advantage of this study is that, based on the time points of sample collection, we can dynamically monitor the activation of monocytes and NK cell subsets after each dose of the inactivated vaccine to evaluate the effectiveness, robustness and persistence of innate immune response after vaccination. In general, the results of this study would provide necessary insights on innate immune cells in the context of three dose inactivated CoronaVac vaccination and supply immunological basis for the designment of future vaccines against SARS-CoV-2 and other viruses.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the medical ethical committee of the Affiliated Hospital of Jiangnan University (LS2021004). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

CC: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Software, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. JJ: Methodology, Writing – review & editing. ML: Investigation, Writing – review & editing. YD: Investigation, Writing – review & editing. TC:

References

1. Wang C, Horby PW, Hayden FG, Gao GF. A novel coronavirus outbreak of global health concern. *Lancet* (2020) 395(10223):470–3. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30185-9

2. Qin J, Ding Y, Gao J, Wu Y, Lv H, Wu J. Effects of COVID-19 on mental health and anxiety of adolescents aged 13-16 years: A comparative analysis of longitudinal data from China. *Front Psychiatry* (2021) 12. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2021.695556

3. Akpolat T, Uzun O. Reduced mortality rate after coronavac vaccine among healthcare workers. J Infection. (2021) 83(2):E20–E1. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2021.06.005

4. Herzberg J, Fischer B, Becher H, Becker AK, Honarpisheh H, Guraya SY, et al. Cellular and humoral immune response to a third dose of BNT162b2 COVID-19 vaccine - A prospective observational study. *Front Immunol* (2022) 13. doi: 10.3389/ fimmu.2022.896151

5. Chen YX, Chen L, Yin SX, Tao Y, Zhu LG, Tong X, et al. The Third dose of CoronVac vaccination induces broad and potent adaptive immune responses that

Writing – review & editing. TJ: Writing – review & editing. FG: Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

This study was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (82270034), Jiangsu Province "333" Project (BRA2019152), and Top Talent Support Program for young and middle-aged people of Wuxi Health Committee (BJ2020059).

Acknowledgments

All the authors are sincerely grateful to all volunteers who participated in this longitudinal study.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2023.1277831/ full#supplementary-material

recognize SARS-CoV-2 Delta and Omicron variants. *Emerg Microbes Infect* (2022) 11 (1):1524–36. doi: 10.1080/22221751.2022.2081614

6. Chen YX, Yin SX, Tong X, Tao Y, Ni J, Pan J, et al. Dynamic SARS-CoV-2specific B-cell and T-cell responses following immunization with an inactivated COVID-19 vaccine. *Clin Microbiol Infect* (2022) 28(3):410-8. doi: 10.1016/ j.cmi.2021.10.006

7. Mateus J, Dan JM, Zhang ZL, Moderbacher CR, Lammers M, Goodwin B, et al. Low-dose mRNA-1273 COVID-19 vaccine generates durable memory enhanced by cross-reactive T cells. *Science* (2021) 374(6566):420-+. doi: 10.1126/ science.abj9853

8. Zhang ZL, Mateus J, Coelho CH, Dan JM, Moderbacher CR, Galvez RI, et al. Humoral and cellular immune memory to four COVID-19 vaccines. *Cell* (2022) 185 (14):2434-+. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2022.05.022 9. Zhou P, Cao C, Ji T, Zheng T, Dai Y, Liu M, et al. Longitudinal analysis of memory Tfh cells and antibody response following CoronaVac vaccination. *JCI Insight* (2023) 8 (15):e168437. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.168437

10. Mudd PA, Minervina AA, Pogorelyy MV, Turner JS, Kim W, Kalaidina E, et al. SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccination elicits a robust and persistent T follicular helper cell response in humans. *Cell* (2022) 185(4):603-+. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2021.12.026

11. Arunachalam PS, Scott MKD, Hagan T, Li C, Feng Y, Wimmers F, et al. Systems vaccinology of the BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine in humans. *Nature* (2021) 596 (7872):410-+. doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-03791-x

12. Takano T, Morikawa M, Adachi Y, Kabasawa K, Sax N, Moriyama S, et al. Distinct immune cell dynamics correlate with the immunogenicity and reactogenicity of SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccine. *Cell Rep Med* (2022) 3(5):100631. doi: 10.1016/j.xcrm.2022.100631

13. Witkowski JM, Larbi A, Le Page A, Fulop T. Natural killer cells, aging, and vaccination. Interdiscip Top Gerontol Geriatr (2020) 43:18–35. doi: 10.1159/000504493

14. Gong F, Dai Y, Zheng T, Cheng L, Zhao D, Wang H, et al. Peripheral CD4(+) T cell subsets and antibody response in COVID-19 convalescent individuals. *J Clin Invest* (2020) 130(12):6588–99. doi: 10.1172/JCI141054

15. Boyette LB, Macedo C, Hadi K, Elinoff BD, Walters JT, Ramaswamil B, et al. Phenotype, function, and differentiation potential of human monocyte subsets. *PloS One* (2017) 12(4):e0176460. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0176460

16. Amand M, Iserentant G, Poli A, Sleiman M, Fievez V, Pilar Sanchez I, et al. Human CD56(dim)CD16(dim) cells as an individualized natural killer cell subset. *Front Immunol* (2017) 8. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2017.00699

17. Saresella M, Piancone F, Marventano I, Hernis A, Trabattoni D, Invernizzi M, et al. Innate immune responses to three doses of the BNT162b2 mRNA SARS-CoV-2 vaccine. *Front Immunol* (2022) 13. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.947320

18. Zimmer J. CD56(dim)CD16(dim) natural killer (NK) cells: the forgotten population. *Hemasphere* (2020) 4(2):e348. doi: 10.1097/HS9.0000000000348

19. Zhou P, Gong F, Ji T, Cao C, Zheng T. Enriched CXCR3(+) CXCR5(+) CD8(+) T cells in SARS-CoV-2 infected and vaccinated individuals effectively respond to the antigen in recall. *J Infection.* (2023) 86(5):497–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2023.02.022

20. Cao C, Guo Q, Dai Y, Jiang J, Liu M, Ji T, et al. Neutralizing activity of a third dose of CoronaVac against Omicron subvariants within a 20-month follow-up study. *Hum Vaccines Immunother* (2023) 19(2):2242217-. doi: 10.1080/21645515.2023.2242217

21. Murphy DM, Cox DJ, Connolly SA, Breen EP, Brugman AA, Phelan JJ, et al. Trained immunity is induced in humans after immunization with an adenoviral vector COVID-19 vaccine. *J Clin Invest* (2023) 133(2):e162581. doi: 10.1172/JCI162581

22. Liu S, Luo W, Szatmary P, Zhang X, Lin J-W, Chen L, et al. Monocytic HLA-DR expression in immune responses of acute pancreatitis and COVID-19. *Int J Mol Sci* (2023) 24(4):3246. doi: 10.3390/ijms24043246

23. Monneret G, Finck ME, Venet F, Debard AL, Bohe J, Bienvenu J, et al. The antiinflammatory response dominates after septic shock: association of low monocyte HLA-DR expression and high interleukin-10 concentration. *Immunol Letters*. (2004) 95(2):193–8. doi: 10.1016/j.imlet.2004.07.009

24. Cuapio A, Boulouis C, Filipovic I, Wullimann D, Kammann T, Parrot T, et al. NK cell frequencies, function and correlates to vaccine outcome in BNT162b2 mRNA anti-SARS-CoV-2 vaccinated healthy and immunocompromised individuals. *Mol Med* (2022) 28(1):20. doi: 10.1186/s10020-022-00443-2

25. Bergamaschi C, Terpos E, Rosati M, Angel M, Bear J, Stellas D, et al. Systemic IL-15, IFN-gamma, and IP-10/CXCL10 signature associated with effective immune response to SARS-CoV-2 in BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine recipients. *Cell Rep* (2021) 36(6):109504. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2021.109504

26. Jeyanathan M, Afkhami S, Smaill F, Miller MS, Lichty BD, Xing Z. Immunological considerations for COVID-19 vaccine strategies. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2020) 20(10):615–32. doi: 10.1038/s41577-020-00434-6

27. Li C, Lee A, Grigoryan L, Arunachalam PS, Scott MKD, Trisal M, et al. Mechanisms of innate and adaptive immunity to the Pfizer-BioNTech BNT162b2 vaccine. *Nat Immunol* (2022) 23(4):543-+. doi: 10.1038/s41590-022-01163-9

28. Ozanska A, Szymczak D, Rybka J. Pattern of human monocyte subpopulations in health and disease. *Scandinavian J Immunol* (2020) 92(1):e12883. doi: 10.1111/ sji.12883

29. Wong KL, Tai JJY, Wong WC, Han H, Sem X, Yeap WH, et al. Gene expression profiling reveals the defining features of the classical, intermediate, and nonclassical human monocyte subsets. *Blood* (2011) 118(5):E15–30. doi: 10.1182/blood-2010-12-326355

30. Williams H, Mack C, Baraz R, Marimuthu R, Naralashetty S, Li S, et al. Monocyte differentiation and heterogeneity: inter-subset and interindividual differences. *Int J Mol Sci* (2023) 24(10):8757. doi: 10.3390/ijms24108757

31. Zawada AM, Rogacev KS, Rotter B, Winter P, Marell R-R, Fliser D, et al. SuperSAGE evidence for CD14(++)CD16(+) monocytes as a third monocyte subset. *Blood* (2011) 118(12):E50–61. doi: 10.1182/blood-2011-01-326827

32. Cormican S, Griffin MD. Human monocyte subset distinctions and function: insights from gene expression analysis. *Front Immunol* (2020) 11. doi: 10.3389/fmmnu.2020.01070

33. Cooper MA, Fehniger TA, Caligiuri MA. The biology of human natural killercell subsets. *Trends Immunol* (2001) 22(11):633-40. doi: 10.1016/S1471-4906(01) 02060-9

34. Pan Y, Jiang X, Yang L, Chen L, Zeng X, Liu G, et al. SARS-CoV-2-specific immune response in COVID-19 convalescent individuals. *Signal Transduct Targeted Ther* (2021) 6(1):256. doi: 10.1038/s41392-021-00686-1