Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Nan-Shan Chang, China Medical University, Taiwan

REVIEWED BY

Taisuke Kondo, National Institutes of Health (NIH), United States Jose Luis Marin-Rubio, Newcastle University, United Kingdom Antons Martincuks, Beckman Research Institute of City of Hope, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE David A. Frank Mafran5@emory.edu

RECEIVED 23 July 2023 ACCEPTED 23 October 2023 PUBLISHED 08 November 2023

CITATION

Wang W, Lopez McDonald MC, Kim C, Ma M, Pan Z(T), Kaufmann C and Frank DA (2023) The complementary roles of STAT3 and STAT1 in cancer biology: insights into tumor pathogenesis and therapeutic strategies. *Front. Immunol.* 14:1265818. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1265818

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Wang, Lopez McDonald, Kim, Ma, Pan, Kaufmann and Frank. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

The complementary roles of STAT3 and STAT1 in cancer biology: insights into tumor pathogenesis and therapeutic strategies

Weiyuan Wang, Melanie Cristina Lopez McDonald, Christine Kim, Mirielle Ma, Zetao (Tommy) Pan, Charlotte Kaufmann and David A. Frank*

Department of Hematology and Medical Oncology, Winship Cancer Institute, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, United States

STATs are a family of transcription factors that regulate many critical cellular processes such as proliferation, apoptosis, and differentiation. Dysregulation of STATs is frequently observed in tumors and can directly drive cancer pathogenesis. STAT1 and STAT3 are generally viewed as mediating opposite roles in cancer development, with STAT1 suppressing tumorigenesis and STAT3 promoting oncogenesis. In this review, we investigate the specific roles of STAT1 and STAT3 in normal physiology and cancer biology, explore their interactions with each other, and offer insights into therapeutic strategies through modulating their transcriptional activity.

KEYWORDS

cancer, oncology, transcription factors, signal transduction, tumor immunology, protein phosphorylation

1 Introduction

Cellular phenotype is ultimately driven by the pattern of gene expression within a given cell. Genes regulating critical cellular functions such as survival, proliferation, and selfrenewal often code for transcripts with short half-lives, so that the initiation of transcription is a major control point in their expression. Thus, the activity of transcription factors, which ultimately coordinate gene expression, is highly regulated. Conversely, inappropriate or constitutive activation of transcription factors, due to overexpression, increased activity from post-translational modifications, or direct mutation, is a common event driving malignancy. A number of these so-called oncogenic transcription factors have been described, and have been the focus of basic, translational, and therapeutic studies. However, transcription factors often interact with each other either through direct protein-protein interactions, in higher order structures on chromatin, or through functional networks (1). Therefore, to understand the biology of oncogenic transcription factors in cancer pathogenesis or as targets for therapy, it is important to consider their activity in the context of other transcriptional regulators that may be co-expressed.

2 The STAT family of transcription factors

Signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) proteins are transcription factors that mediate many critical aspects of cellular function, including proliferation, apoptosis, and differentiation. There are seven members in the mammalian STAT family: STAT1, STAT2, STAT3, STAT4, STAT5 (STAT5A and STAT5B), and STAT6. These proteins share common structural motifs, including an N-terminal domain followed by a coiled-coil domain, DNA-binding domain, linker, Src homology 2 (SH2) domain, and a C-terminal transactivation domain.

The canonical transcriptional activity of STATs is triggered by the phosphorylation of a single tyrosine located towards the carboxy terminus of all STAT family members. While STATs are largely found as inactive dimers in the cytoplasm under basal conditions, this tyrosine phosphorylation leads to a conformational change in the STAT dimer mediated by reciprocal phosphotyrosine-SH2 interactions. This form of the dimer reveals a nuclear localization signal, triggering translocation to the nucleus. Most STATs then bind nine base pair DNA sequences of the general form TTCN₃GAA, from which they can trigger transcriptional activation (or, in some case, repression) of target genes. The tyrosine phosphorylation of STATs can be mediated by a number of kinases. Since STATs are commonly activated downstream of cytokines whose receptors are coupled to jak family kinases, the term "JAK-STAT" pathway is commonly used to refer generally to these downstream events. However, given the diversity of genes regulated by the seven STAT family members, and the wide array of biological processes mediated by the STATs, this over-simplification can mask the broad array of biological events mediated by these transcription factors. In addition, STATs can be phosphorylated by non-JAK tyrosine kinases, further reflecting the fact that it is worthwhile to specify the effects of specific STATs (including STAT heterodimers) rather than grouping these all together (Figure 1).

In fact, more than 35 polypeptide ligands have been identified to activate STATs, including hormones, interferons (IFN), interleukins (ILs), and colony-stimulating factors (CSFs), many of which trigger opposing biological functions in the same cell (2) (Table 1). At the same time, signaling through the jak-STAT pathway is tightly controlled by several distinct mechanisms Just as there are many kinases and other mediators that can activate STAT transcriptional function, there are a wide range of phosphatases and other negative regulators that can dephosphorylate and inactivate STAT dimers, thus limiting STAT-dependent transcription (10) (Figure 2; Table 2). Key negative regulators of this pathway include suppressor of cytokine signaling (SOCS) proteins, phosphotyrosine phosphatases (PTPs), and protein inhibitors of activated STAT (PIAS). These molecules regulate JAK-STAT signaling at various steps through distinct mechanism (3). SOCS proteins appear to be the primary negative



FIGURE 1

A wide variety of signaling pathways can lead to the activation of STAT1 or STAT3, individually and in combination. Cytokines interacting with receptors coupled to any jak family kinase can lead to the transcriptional activation of STAT1 homodimers, STAT3 homodimers, and/or STAT1-STAT3 heterodimers. In addition, polypeptide growth factor receptors and non-receptor tyrosine kinases can also mediate activating STAT3 phosphorylation.

TABLE 1 Positive regulators of STAT signaling.

Positive regulators of STAT Signaling	References
Serine kinases	(3, 4)
MAPK1	
MAPK8	
MAPK14	
PRKCD	
CAMK2B	
Cofactors	(5-7)
BRCA1	
CREBBP	
EP300	
Cooperating Transcription Factors	(8, 9)
FOS	
JUN	
NFKB1	
NFKB2	
SMAD1	

regulators of JAK and STAT signaling (14, 15). They negatively regulate these pathways by binding to phosphorylated tyrosine residues in receptor-kinase complexes to block the recruitment of STATs, inhibit JAK kinase activity, and target multiple components of the JAKs and their coupled receptors for ubiquitin-mediated proteasomal degradation (16-18). PTPs inhibit these pathways by

interacting with JAKs, STATs, or receptors to dephosphorylate the STAT dimer and related JAKs and receptor components (8). PIAS proteins mainly interact with STAT dimers to inhibit STAT binding to DNA, thereby blocking STAT-dependent gene expression (19).

It should also be noted that STATs may play other roles in cellular function beyond their canonical role as transcription factors. STAT3, for example, can be phosphorylated on a serine residue located towards the carboxy terminus, and this phosphorylation may be important for roles of STAT3 in the mitochondria, which can affect cellular metabolism and energy flux (20).

3 STAT3 as a mediator of the physiologic acute phase response

STAT3 was first described as an important transcription factor in acute inflammation (21), and was found to control many normal or physiologic acute phase responses (22). In fact, this protein was originally named "acute phase response factor" or APRF. The acute phase response reflects an organism's attempt to reestablish homeostasis after tissue injury, inflammation, or infection. Many cytokines released at sites of tissue injury, such as Interleukin 6 (IL-6), trigger STAT3 activation in viable cells in the microenvironment. STAT3 then activates transcription of genes regulating proliferation (such as cyclin D1), survival (such as BCL2 family members), and pluripotency (such as KLF4) as part of the physiologic response. Interestingly, many STAT3 target genes are themselves transcription factors, and thus STAT3 activation can trigger a cascade of biological programs (23) (Table 3). STAT3 activation also leads to the increased expression of immune



FIGURE 2

Three main families of proteins mediate negative regulation of STAT signaling. SOCS proteins, which includes CISH, are often transcriptionally induced by STATs, and suppress signaling at the level of the receptor-JAK complex. PIAS proteins interact with STAT dimers to inhibit STAT binding to DNA. PTPs (protein tyrosine phosphatases) dephosphorylate and inactivate JAK kinases and dephosphorylate and inactivate STAT dimers in both the cytoplasm and the nucleus

suppressive proteins, such as PD-L1, and downregulation of costimulatory proteins. This affords protection from killing by infiltrating immune cells. As noted, there are multiple levels of negative regulators of STATs. Thus, while STAT-mediated gene expression can be detected within minutes of a stimulus, it is also shut off very quickly. This allows for tight regulation of the critical genes controlled by STAT3 and other family members.

Interestingly, STAT3 (and other STATs) can also participate in a positive feedback loop, since STAT promoters contain binding sites for their own dimers (40). This allows STATs to mediate amplified signals with repeated stimulation, and presumably evolved to accelerate and enhance the recovery from tissue injury.

4 STAT3 as an oncogenic transcription factor

Given that STAT3 target genes control processes that are known to underlie oncogenesis, such as proliferation, differentiation, survival, pluripotency, angiogenesis, invasion, and immune escape, it had been conjectured that inappropriate of constitutive activation of STAT3 might underlie cancer pathogenesis. In fact, it has been found that STAT3 (and other STAT family members, including STAT5) is activated commonly in a wide spectrum of human cancers. This can be detected by both direct detection of the activated,

TABLE 2 Negative regulators of STAT signaling.

Negative regulators of STAT Signaling	References
PIAS	(11)
PIAS1	
PIAS2	
PIAS3	
PIAS4	
PTPs	(12)
PTPRC	
PTPRE	
PTPN1	
PTPN2	
PTPN6	
PTPN11	
SOCS	(13)
SOCS1	
SOCS2	
SOCS3	
SOCS4	
SOCS5	
SOCS6	
SOCS7	

tyrosine-phosphorylated form of these proteins or by detection specific STAT-driven gene signatures (23). Furthermore, in the appropriate cellular contexts, STAT3 activation alone is sufficient to drive malignant cellular transformation (41). Reflecting the necessity of STAT3 in cancer pathogenesis, inhibition of STAT3, by genetic or pharmacologic means, inhibits the survival and proliferation of malignant cells in many experimental systems.

STAT3 can become activated constitutively through a variety of mechanisms that either drive increased phosphorylation of STAT3 or decreased inactivation. Among the factors driving increased STAT3 phosphorylation are mutations activating upstream tyrosine kinases or the increased presence of cytokines in a tumor microenvironment that can activate STAT3, produced through

TABLE 3 Downstream target genes of STAT3.

Gene	Function	Status	References
BATF	Differentiation	Upregulated	(24)
BCL6	Proliferation	Upregulated	(25)
c-MYC	Proliferation	Upregulated	(26)
CCL5	Immune Escape	Downregulated	(27)
CD80	Immune Escape	Downregulated	(28)
CD86	Immune Escape	Downregulated	(28)
CXCL10	Angiogenesis, Immune Escape	Downregulated	(29)
CCND1	Proliferation	Upregulated	(30)
FOSL2	Differentiation	Upregulated	(24)
GATA3	Differentiation	Upregulated	(24)
HIF1A	Angiogenesis	Upregulated	(31)
IFNB1	Angiogenesis, Immune Escape	Downregulated	(32)
IL10	Immune Escape	Upregulated	(33)
IL12	Angiogenesis, Immune Escape	Downregulated	(34)
IL6	Immune Escape	Upregulated	(27)
MMP2	Immune Escape	Upregulated	(35)
MMP9	Immune Escape	Upregulated	(36)
TP53	Proliferation	Downregulated	
RBPJ	Differentiation	Upregulated	(24)
RORA	Differentiation	Upregulated	(24)
STAT1	Differentiation	Downregulated	(24)
STAT3	Differentiation	Upregulated	(24)
BIRC5	Proliferation	Upregulated	
TGFB1	Immune Escape	Upregulated	(27)
TWIST1	Immune Escape	Upregulated	(37)
VEGF	Angiogenesis, Immune Escape	Upregulated	(38)
VIM	Immune Escape	Upregulated	(39)

either autocrine or paracrine mechanisms (Table 4). Among such cytokines are IL-6, oncostatin M, and leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF). There is also evidence for a pathogenic role of a loss of negative regulators through genetic or epigenetic means, such as the silencing of the negative regulator of STAT3, suppressor of cytokine signaling 3 (SOCS3) (45).

Although STAT3 is an oncogenic transcription factor, it is largely dispensable for normal cell functions (10). This suggests that STAT3 may be an attractive cancer therapeutic target, with a large therapeutic index (46).

5 STAT1 as a mediator of interferon signaling

In the late 1980s and early 1990s, as the role of STAT3 as a mediator of the acute phase response was being defined, parallel research was trying to elucidate the biological and transcriptional mediators of interferons. Interferons (IFNs) are a group of cytokines that activate a signal transduction cascade leading to the induction of hundreds of genes involved in antiviral defense, antiproliferative activities, and stimulation of adaptive immunity (47). There are three major types of IFNs: α , β , γ . Interferon α and β belong to the type I class of interferons, whereas interferon γ (IFN- γ) belongs to the type II class of interferons that is named "immune interferon." IFN- γ is produced by cells of the immune system, including innate-like lymphocyte populations and adaptive immune cells (48). Type I interferons (IFN- α , IFN- β) stimulate

TABLE 4 Identified mutations of STAT3.

the activity of TYK2 and JAK1, leading to the phosphorylation of STAT2. Then STAT2 forms a heterodimer with STAT1, which can enter the nucleus and activate transcription from target genes containing Interferon Stimulation Response Element (49). Signaling by the IFN- γ receptor induces receptor tyrosine phosphorylation by JAK1 and JAK2 proteins, producing a recruitment site for STAT1 (48). Activated STAT1 forms a homodimer, which then translocates to the nucleus and activates transcription from target genes containing Gamma-Activated Sequences (GAS) (50). Reflecting its critical role in mediating the effects of interferons on immune function, inherited STAT1 mutations have been shown to be associated with increased susceptibility to mycobacterial and fungal infections (Table 5).

6 STAT1 as a tumor suppressor

Reflecting its role in immunity, particularly anti-viral immunity, STAT1 generally acts as a tumor suppressor (Figure 3). This is true within tumor cells themselves, in immune cells, and in other cells in the tumor microenvironment, including endothelial cells. In tumor cells, STAT1 directs cytostatic and cytotoxic effects as well as immune stimulatory effects such as increased Major histocompatibility complex (MHC) expression. For example, in breast cancer cells, STAT1 signaling activated by IFN- γ and poly(I:C) can induce an increase in oxidative stress, potentiating the anti-tumor efficacy of the mitochondrial complex I inhibitor phenformin (56). In colon cancer cells, higher STAT1

Class	Genetic mutations	STAT3 protein expression	STAT3 phos- phorylation	Disease	References
Autosomal dominant STAT3 loss-of-function	Point mutation (c.1282-89C>T)	Decrease	Decrease	STAT3 Hyper IgE Syndrome	(42)
Autosomal dominant STAT3 gain-of-function	Point mutation (c.1973A > T)	Increase	Increase	STAT3 gain-of- function syndrome	(43)
Acquired STAT3 gain-of- function	Frameshift insertion (exon1:c.264dupC), missense (exon13:c.C2210T)	Increase	Increase	Mature T-cell lymphomas	(44)

TABLE 5 Identified mutations of STAT1.

Mutation	Genetic mutations	STAT1 protein expression	STAT1 phos- phorylation	Disease	References
Autosomal recessive complete STAT1 deficiency	Deletion (1757–1758delAG), Substitution (T→C in exon 20), Frameshift (p.Val339ProfsTer18)	Null	Null	Lethal bacterial and viral diseases	(51, 52)
Autosomal recessive partial STAT1 deficiency	Missense (g.C2086T (P696S))	Decrease	Decrease	Curable bacterial and viral diseases	(53)
Autosomal dominant STAT1 deficiency	Substitution (L706S)	Normal	Decrease	Mycobacterial disease	(54)
Autosomal dominant STAT1 gain-of-function	Substitution (c.820C \rightarrow T)	Increase	Increase	Chronic mucocutaneous candidiasis disease	(55)



expression is related to a significantly higher expression levels of MHC class I and PD-L1, which indicates a highly immunogenic microenvironment (57). Reduced tumor cell expression of STAT1 has been observed in many cancer types such as melanoma and chronic myeloid leukemia (58, 59).

In immune cells, STAT1 also acts to suppress tumor development and mediates anti-tumor effects. For example, in antigen presenting cells such as macrophages, dendritic cells, or B cells, STAT1 induced by IFN- γ is required for effective peptide recognition to increase the cell surface expression of MHC class II (60). In CD4 T-helper cells, signaling initiated by IFN- γ through STAT1 induces T-bet, which in turn stimulates further IFN- γ production to promote a feed-forward loop (61). In cytotoxic CD8 T cells, STAT1 mediates the upregulation of the pro-survival gene BCL2A1, which impairs the function of myeloid derived suppressor cells (62).

Furthermore, in endothelial cells, STAT1 induced by IFN- α mediates an anti-angiogenic effect in a Pml knock-out mouse model (63). Similarly, in isolated endothelial cells, STAT1 mediates antiangiogenic effects (64).

Reflecting the context-dependence in transcription factor function, it should be noted that in certain conditions STAT1 may function as a tumor driver. For example, it has been found that STAT1 signaling stimulated by CD95/Fas is associated with an increase in cancer stemness in breast cancer cell lines (65).

Recognizing that STAT1 generally exerts anti-cancer effects, efforts have been made to identify small molecules that can amplify the transcriptional effect of STAT1. Using a high throughput screen of compounds that could enhance STAT1-dependent gene expression, a compound called 2-NP was identified as an enhancer of the inhibitory effect of IFN- γ on proliferation of tumor cells. As would be expected by its mechanism, 2-NP does not affect tumor cells lacking STAT1 (66).

7 Co-activation of STAT1 and STAT3 occurs commonly with cytokine stimulation

Although STAT3 and STAT1 mediate somewhat opposing effects in isolation, many cytokines activate both of these proteins simultaneously as part of their physiologic intracellular signaling. In particular, cytokines whose receptors share the common signaling protein gp130 can lead to co-activation of STAT1 and STAT3 (Figure 1). Gp130 (also known as glycoprotein 130, IL-6ST, IL-6R- β or CD130) is a transmembrane protein. It is composed of five fibronectin type-III domains and one immunoglobulin-like C2-type domain in its extracellular portion. Gp130 is ubiquitously expressed in mammalian cells. A wide variety of cytokines, many of which have been implicated in cancer pathogenesis, are known to signal through receptors that include gp130, such as IL-6, IL-11, LIF, and OSM. IL-6 and IL-11 initiate signaling via homodimerization of gp130, while LIF and OSM initiates signaling by heterodimerizing gp130 along with LIFR (67).

Gp130 can associate with any one of three of the four Jak family kinases, Jak1, Jak2, and Tyk2. Following cytokine engagement, gp130 associated with the cognate receptor undergoes a conformational change to bring the associated Jak kinases into juxtaposition, leading to activation of their tyrosine kinase activity. Gp130 then becomes phosphorylated on specific tyrosine residues, which can be recognized by the SH2 domain of both STAT1 and STAT3 (68). These STATs then become phosphorylated on their carboxy terminal activation tyrosine residues (Tyr 701 for STAT1 and Tyr 705 for STAT3) resulting in the formation of STAT1 homodimers, STAT3 homodimers, and STAT1:STAT3 heterodimers.

8 STAT1 and STAT3 have complex transcriptional dynamics

The cognate binding sites of STAT1 homodimers, STAT3 homodimers, and STAT1: STAT3 heterodimers are essentially identical in purified DNA, though there may be differences in genomic binding sites in the context of chromatin (69). Biologically, these dimers can oppose each other's function and activation by a variety of mechanisms (70). For example, when coactivated by IL-6, STAT3 negatively regulates STAT1 by competing for common receptor docking sites and activating SOCS3 expression in mouse macrophages (71, 72). SOCS3 is a protein that can bind to STAT docking sites to regulate IL-6 signaling (73). Its deficiency results in prolonged activation of both STAT1 and STAT3 (74). In lung adenocarcinoma cells, OSM induces the inhibitory effect of the STAT1-dependent pathway and suppresses the activating effect of STAT3-dependent signaling, which, in combination, suppresses the expression of genes that regulate epithelial-mesenchymal transition and tumor metastasis (75).

In addition to gp130 family cytokines, other cytokines can also co-activate STAT1 and STAT3. In myeloid cells, IFN- α -activated STAT3 sequesters activated STAT1 to form heterodimers and prevents STAT1 from forming functional homodimers to transactivate downstream genes such as CXCL9 and CXCL10 (76). In some cellular systems, IFN- γ weakly activates STAT3, which suppresses formation of DNA-binding STAT1 homodimers and opposes the biological functions of STAT1 (76).

The final outcome of cytokine co-transactivation of STAT1 and STAT3 is distinct in different contexts, ranging from survival to apoptotic cell death or from inflammatory to anti-inflammatory responses (77). This partly reflects how specific cell types can integrate and interpret the complex and often contrasting signals they receive (78).

9 Targeting cytokines or Jaks has limited anti-cancer efficacy

STAT3 is frequently activated inappropriately in cancer cells, and this is often driven, at least in part, by the presence of cytokines in the tumor microenvironment that can activate this protein, Consequently, there has been an interest in developing cancer therapeutics that block cytokines (either targeting the cytokines themselves or their cognate receptors) or Jak family kinases that are associated with cytokine receptors (Figure 4) (8).

The cytokine most often implicated in STAT3-driven cancer pathogenesis is IL-6. IL-6 has been found to be elevated in both the



FIGURE 4

Among strategies to inhibit STAT3 function in cancer cells, STAT3 degraders and transcriptional inhibitors hold great potential to mediate anti-cancer effects without impairing the anti-tumor immune response or other cytokine-regulated processes. Antibodies to cytokines like IL-6 or to its receptor can block activation of STAT3 via this specific mechanism. However, in the tumor microenvironment, multiple pathways may contribute to STAT3 activation. Kinase inhibitors targeting jak family members can decrease cytokine-driven STAT3 activation, but they will not affect STAT3 activation mediated by other kinases. Furthermore, JAK inhibitors will block anti-tumor immune effects and the physiologic actions of other cytokines and growth factors. STAT3 degraders and STAT3 transcriptional inhibitors hold the promise of blocking the oncogenic effects of STAT3 without causing off-target or undesirable effects.

tumor microenvironment and systemically in many cancer models and in patients with a number of different forms of cancer. Furthermore, elevated IL-6 is associated with a worse prognosis in several cancers. It should be noted that IL-6 can be generated by a number of cells, and elevated systemic levels may reflect increased physiologic stress on an organism. This may be distinct from the role of IL-6 as a direct driver of STAT3 activation in the tumor microenvironment. Thus, elevated IL-6 may be a negative prognostic marker because it is reflecting the presence of a more advanced or aggressive tumor (79).

Interestingly, both IL-6 and another cytokine that signals through a gp130 receptor, OSM, have been associated with cancer cachexia, due to catabolic effects these cytokines have on muscle protein. These effects may reflect the role of these cytokines in generating the building blocks for tissue repair as part of the physiologic acute phase response following tissue injury, infection, and inflammation. A third cytokine that signals through gp130, LIF, also has been shown to play a role in the pathogenesis of cancers such as pancreatic cancer.

Perhaps reflecting the diversity of cytokines that can activate STAT3, therapies targeting individual cytokines have provided relatively little benefit in clinical trials. Antibodies both to the cytokine IL-6 and to the IL-6 receptor are FDA-approved for non-neoplastic indications, and have been tested in a number of clinical trials, generally in combination with other agents (80, 81). One potential reason for their limited efficacy is that multiple cytokines that can activate STAT3 (including OSM and LIF) may be present both in the tumor microenvironment and systemically, and blocking only one may have limited effects.

If targeting individual cytokines has limited therapeutic benefit in cancer, it is reasonable to consider whether targeting the next common downstream signaling protein, Jak family kinases, might provide a greater benefit. Small molecule JAK inhibitors that block the tyrosine kinase activity of jak family members may block the effect of multiple cytokines that play key roles in promoting cell proliferation, survival, and invasion (82). Several JAK inhibitors have been approved for clinical use, such as tofacitinib for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis, ruxolitinib for atopic dermatitis, and pacritinib for myelofibrosis. Several of these drugs are in clinical trials for cancer treatment. For example, ruxolitinib (in conjunction with the PI3-kinase inhibitor parsaclisib) is being evaluated in patients with myelofibrosis (the LIMBER-313 trial). Ruxolitinib, in conjunction with the PI3 kinase inhibitor duvelisib, is being evaluated for the treatment of relapsed or refractory T- or NK-cell lymphoma (83). However, thus far there has been very little evidence for therapeutic benefit, and concerns have been raised that JAK inhibitors may be detrimental to cancer therapy. For example, a JAK inhibitor has been found to enhance metastatic burden in preclinical models of breast cancer by decreasing NKcell-mediated anti-tumor immunity (84). A clinical trial evaluating ruxolitinib in advanced breast cancer also failed to show benefit (NCT01562873).

Although STAT3 activation in tumor cells is often (though not always) catalyzed by Jak family members, and Jak inhibitors may be effective at suppressing the activating tyrosine phosphorylation of STAT3, there are several reasons why Jak inhibitors may not provide much therapeutic benefit and may even have detrimental effects when used for cancer therapy. First, as noted, many of the cytokines that may be activating STAT3 in the tumor microenvironment are also activating STAT1. A JAK inhibitor will shut off both of these pathways. The net effect may be to remove the anti-proliferative and pro-apoptotic effects of STAT1. Furthermore, as noted earlier, STAT1 activation can be a key component of enhancing the immunogenicity of cancer cells by upregulating cell surface expression of histocompatibility proteins and other co-stimulatory molecules. STAT1 can enhance the antitumor effect of immune cells and it mediates anti-angiogenic effects in endothelial cells. Thus, it would be very important to understand how STAT1 signaling is influenced by anti-tumor treatment with JAK inhibitors (78, 85).

Since almost all cytokines, including those that enhance hematopoiesis and the immune response utilize subsets of the four Jak family members, even relatively selective Jak inhibitors tend to suppress both blood cell production and immune functioning. This is also likely a significant contributing factor to the limited efficacy, and potentially counterproductive effects of JAK inhibitors as components of anti-cancer regimens.

10 Novel therapeutic considerations

As discussed above, STAT1 and STAT3 generally play opposing roles in tumorigenesis, with STAT1 inhibiting tumorigenesis and STAT3 promoting tumorigenesis. Thus, therapeutic approaches that specifically downregulate STAT3 transcriptional activity and/ or upregulate STAT1 transcriptional activity may be promising in cancer treatment (86) (Tables 6, 7). One such example is trichothecin, a novel STAT3 inhibitor that was found to inhibit STAT3 activation and dimerization, but not affect phosphorylation

TABLE 6 Therapeutic Strategies to targeting STAT3.

Туре	Agent	Indication	References
Small molecules	BP-1-102	NIH3T3/v-Src fibroblasts	(87)
	Trichothecin	Colorectal Cancer	(88)
	SF-1-066	NIH3T3/v-Src fibroblasts	(87)
	Stattic	Breast cancer	(89)
	S3I-201	Breast cancer	(90)
	STA-21	Breast cancer	(91)
Peptides	PS-acetSTAT3 peptide	melanoma	(92)
Oligonucleotides	STAT3 double- stranded minicircles	Breast cancer	(93)
	TL13-112	Anaplastic Large Cell Lymphoma	(94)
	S3I-201	Gastric cancer	(<mark>95</mark>)

(Continued)

TABLE 6 Continued

Туре	Agent	Indication	References
	SD-91	Megakaryoblastic leukemia	(96)
	TSM-1	head and neck squamous cell carcinoma and colorectal cancer	(97)
	C- STAT3DPROTAC	lymphoma	(98)
Combination therapy	Small-molecule STAT3 inhibitor (Napabucasin) and chemotherapy (FOLFIRI)	Metastatic Colorectal Cancer	(99)
	Small-molecule STAT3 inhibitor (Napabucasin) and chemotherapy (paclitaxel)	Advanced Gastric or Gastroesophageal Junction Adenocarcinoma	(100)
	STAT3 inhibitory peptide (APTSTAT3-9R) and immunotherapy (anti-PD-1 antibody)	vemurafenib- resistant melanoma	(101)

levels of STAT1 (88). Tissue transglutaminase, an enzyme that crosslinks proteins between an ϵ -amino group of a lysine residue and a γ -carboxamide group of glutamine residue, is overexpressed in many cancer cells. The absence of this enzyme has been found to increase cytokine-induced STAT1 and attenuate STAT3 phosphorylation. This may promote T cell activation as a novel immunomodulatory target, and may also have direct anti-cancer or immune-sensitizing effects (110).

STAT1 and STAT3 are also being explored for their tumor immunity-specific functions due to the increasing importance of immunotherapy, which refers to treatments that use the body's own immune system to combat diseases. One form of cancer immunotherapy is checkpoint inhibitor therapy, which targets key

10.3389/fimmu.2023.1265818

regulators of the immune system. Programmed cell death-ligand 1 (CD274, PD-L1), which can be expressed on the surface of cancer cells binds to programmed cell death protein 1 (PDCD1, PD-1) on an immune cell surface, which inhibits immune cell activity (111, 112). While this PD-1/PD-L1 pathway has been targeted with some success in cancer therapy, many cancers fail to respond to PD-1 pathway blocking drugs or eventually develop resistance (113). It has been found that both INF-β-STAT3 and IFN-γ-STAT1 signaling pathways regulate PD-L1 expression (114). STAT1 or STAT3 knockdown by siRNA reduces cytokine-induced expression, but not constitutive PD-L1 expression in human monocytes and tumor cells (115). Selective inhibition of STAT1 and STAT3 by the JAK inhibitor ruxolitinib may improve the efficacy of anti-PD-1 immunotherapy (116). Other strategies are also being explored to modulate STAT1 and STAT3 transcriptional activity to enhance the efficacy of immune-based therapy. These approaches hold the promise of decreasing local tumor-induced immune suppression, and also making the cancer cells themselves more sensitive to immune-based killing.

A number of novel pharmacologic approaches have been taken to try to shift the STAT3-STAT1 equilibrium in a favorable direction for cancer therapy (Figure 3). One very direct approach to attenuate STAT3 signaling is to use targeted degraders of STAT3 to remove this protein from cells. In many systems, depletion of STAT3 by pharmacologic or genetic approaches enhances STAT1 activation. This may be from a direct stoichiometric effect, as STAT1 and STAT3 can bind to identical phosphorylated tyrosine residues in signaling proteins such as gp130, and the decreased competition for these sites from STAT3 allows a greater number of STAT1 proteins to become phosphorylated.

While most drug development efforts in this area have focused on inhibiting STAT3 transcriptional activity, as noted earlier, transcriptional screens have also identified molecules that can enhance STAT1-dependent transcription (66). It may be that a combination of these approaches will have the greatest therapeutic impact.

In summary, biological systems have complex homeostatic mechanisms that promote physiologic equilibrium. The common co-activation of STAT3 and STAT1, and their complex interactions likely evolved to promote the restoration of equilibrium in the setting of tissue injury and inflammation. While constitutive or inappropriate STAT3 activation is clearly a common pathogenic event in cancer development, and targeting this transcription factor

Туре	Agent	Indication	STAT1 expression	References
MicroRNA	microRNA-370	Asthma	Increase	(102)
	microRNA-139-3p	Myocardial infarction	Increase	(103)
	lncRNA Sros1	Listeria monocytogenes	Increase	(104)
	miR-155	breast cancer	Increase	(105)
	miR130b	Lymphoma	Increase	(106)
	miR-146a-5p	Diabetic nephropathy	Increase	(107)
	miR-21-5p and miR-200a	Colorectal cancer	Decrease	(108)
Chemotherapy	Fludarabine	Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma	Decrease	(109)
	2NP	breast cancer and fibrosarcoma	Increase	(66)

TABLE 7 Therapeutic strategies to targeting STAT1.

can mediate therapeutic benefit, an understanding of these complex dynamics will likely allow a more refined and successful approach to cancer therapy.

Author contributions

WW: Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. ML, CK, MM, ZP, and CK: Visualization, Writing – review & editing. DF: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by research funding from Stephen P. Koster, Esq. to DF. The funder had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

References

1. Yeh JE, Frank DA. STAT3-interacting proteins as modulators of transcription factor function: implications to targeted cancer therapy. *ChemMedChem* (2016) 11 (8):795–801. doi: 10.1002/cmdc.201500482

2. Darnell JE. STATs and gene regulation. Science (1997) 277(5332):1630-5. doi: 10.1126/science.277.5332.1630

3. Shuai K, Liu B. Regulation of JAK-STAT signalling in the immune system. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2003) 3(11):900-11. doi: 10.1038/nri1226

4. Ibrahim SSA, Huttunen KM. Orchestrated modulation of rheumatoid arthritis via crosstalking intracellular signaling pathways. *Inflammopharmacology* (2021) 29 (4):965–74. doi: 10.1007/s10787-021-00800-3

5. Gao B, Shen X, Kunos G, Meng Q, Goldberg ID, Rosen EM, et al. Constitutive activation of JAK-STAT3 signaling by BRCA1 in human prostate cancer cells. *FEBS Lett* (2001) 488(3):179–84. doi: 10.1016/S0014-5793(00)02430-3

6. Nairismägi ML, Tan J, Lim JQ, Nagarajan S, Ng CCY, Rajasegaran V, et al. JAK-STAT and G-protein-coupled receptor signaling pathways are frequently altered in epitheliotropic intestinal T-cell lymphoma. *Leukemia* (2016) 30(6):1311–9. doi: 10.1038/leu.2016.13

7. Horvai AE, Xu L, Korzus E, Brard G, Kalafus D, Mullen TM, et al. Nuclear integration of JAK/STAT and Ras/AP-1 signaling by CBP and p300. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (1997) 94(4):1074–9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.94.4.1074

8. Hu X, Li J, Fu M, Zhao X, Wang W. The JAK/STAT signaling pathway: from bench to clinic. *Sig Transduct Target Ther* (2021) 6(1):1–33. doi: 10.1038/s41392-021-00791-1

9. Positive Regulators of the Jak/STAT Pathway Research Areas: R&D Systems. Available at: https://www.rndsystems.com/research-area/positive-regulators-of-thejak-stat-pathway.

10. Heppler LN, Frank DA. Inhibit versus destroy: are PROTAC degraders the solution to targeting STAT3? *Cancer Cell* (2019) 36(5):459-61. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2019.10.010

11. Sarais F, Kummerow S, Montero R, Rebl H, Köllner B, Goldammer T, et al. PIAS factors from rainbow trout control NF- κ B- and STAT-dependent gene expression. *Int J Mol Sci* (2021) 22(23):12815. doi: 10.3390/ijms222312815

12. Böhmer FD, Friedrich K. Protein tyrosine phosphatases as wardens of STAT signaling. *JAKSTAT* (2014) 3(1):e28087. doi: 10.4161/jkst.28087

13. Morales JK, Falanga YT, Depcrynski A, Fernando J, Ryan JJ. Mast cell homeostasis and the JAK-STAT pathway. *Genes Immun* (2010) 11(8):599-608. doi: 10.1038/gene.2010.35

14. Negative Regulators of the Jak/STAT Pathway Research Areas: R&D Systems. Available at: https://www.rndsystems.com/research-area/negative-regulators-of-thejak-stat-pathway.

15. Hilton DJ, Richardson RT, Alexander WS, Viney EM, Willson TA, Sprigg NS, et al. Twenty proteins containing a C-terminal SOCS box form five structural classes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (1998) 95(1):114–9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.95.1.114

Acknowledgments

Figures 1-4 were created with BioRender.com.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

16. Gao Y, Zhao H, Wang P, Wang J, Zou L. The roles of SOCS3 and STAT3 in bacterial infection and inflammatory diseases. *Scand J Immunol* (2018) 88(6):e12727. doi: 10.1111/sji.12727

17. Nicholas C, Lesinski G. The Jak-STAT signal transduction pathway in melanoma. *Breakthroughs in Melanoma Res* (2011), 283–306. doi: 10.5772/18876

18. Gossing W, Radke L, Biering H, Diederich S, Mai K, Frohme M. The ElonginB/ C-cullin5-SOCS-box-complex is a potential biomarker for growth hormone disorders. *Biomedicines* (2021) 9(2):201. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines9020201

19. Tussié-Luna MI, Bayarsaihan D, Seto E, Ruddle FH, Roy AL. Physical and functional interactions of histone deacetylase 3 with TFII-I family proteins and PIASxβ. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2002) 99(20):12807–12. doi: 10.1073/pnas.192464499

20. Chun KS, Jang JH, Kim DH. Perspectives regarding the intersections between STAT3 and oxidative metabolism in ancer. *Cells* (2020) 9(10):2202. doi: 10.3390/ cells9102202

21. Akira S, Nishio Y, Inoue M, Wang XJ, We S, Matsusaka T, et al. Molecular cloning of APRF, a novel IFN-stimulated gene factor 3 p91-related transcription factor involved in the gp130-mediated signaling pathway. *Cell* (1994) 77(1):63–71. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(94)90235-6

22. Bowman T, Garcia R, Turkson J, Jove R. STATs in oncogenesis. Oncogene (2000) 19(21):2474–88. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1203527

23. Alvarez JV, Febbo PG, Ramaswamy S, Loda M, Richardson A, Frank DA. Identification of a genetic signature of activated signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 in human tumors. *Cancer Res* (2005) 65(12):5054–62. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-04-4281

24. Tripathi SK, Chen Z, Larjo A, Kanduri K, Nousiainen K, Äijo T, et al. Genomewide analysis of STAT3-mediated transcription during early human Th17 cell differentiation. *Cell Rep* (2017) 19(9):1888–901. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2017.05.013

25. Catlett-Falcone R, Landowski TH, Oshiro MM, Turkson J, Levitzki A, Savino R, et al. Constitutive activation of Stat3 signaling confers resistance to apoptosis in human U266 myeloma cells. *Immunity* (1999) 10(1):105–15. doi: 10.1016/S1074-7613(00) 80011-4

26. Odajima J, Matsumura I, Sonoyama J, Daino H, Kawasaki A, Tanaka H, et al. Full oncogenic activities of v-Src are mediated by multiple signaling pathways. Ras as an essential mediator for cell survival. *J Biol Chem* (2000) 275(31):24096–105. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M001606200

27. Buckland J. STAT3 - a potential target for tumour immunotherapy. Nat Rev Immunol (2004) 4(2):82–2. doi: 10.1038/nri1294

28. Kortylewski M, Kujawski M, Wang T, Wei S, Zhang S, Pilon-Thomas S, et al. Inhibiting Stat3 signaling in the hematopoietic system elicits multicomponent antitumor immunity. *Nat Med* (2005) 11(12):1314–21. doi: 10.1038/nm1325

29. Yue C, Shen S, Deng J, Priceman SJ, Li W, Huang A, et al. STAT3 in CD8+ T cells inhibits their tumor accumulation by down-regulating CXCR3/CXCL10 axis. *Cancer Immunol Res* (2015) 3(8):864–70. doi: 10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-15-0014

30. Luo J, Yan R, He X, He J. Constitutive activation of STAT3 and cyclin D1 overexpression contribute to proliferation, migration and invasion in gastric cancer cells. *Am J Transl Res* (2017) 9(12):5671–7.

31. Xu Q, Briggs J, Park S, Niu G, Kortylewski M, Zhang S, et al. Targeting Stat3 blocks both HIF-1 and VEGF expression induced by multiple oncogenic growth signaling pathways. *Oncogene* (2005) 24(36):5552–60. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1208719

32. Kong LY, Gelbard A, Wei J, Reina-Ortiz C, Wang Y, Yang EC, et al. Inhibition of p-STAT3 enhances IFN- α Efficacy against metastatic melanoma in a murine model. Clin Cancer Res (2010) 16(9):2550–61. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-10-0279

33. Herbeuval JP, Lelievre E, Lambert C, Dy M, Genin C. Recruitment of STAT3 for production of IL-10 by colon carcinoma cells induced by macrophage-derived IL-6. *J Immunol* (2004) 172(7):4630–6. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.172.7.4630

34. Hoentjen F, Sartor RB, Ozaki M, Jobin C. STAT3 regulates NF-kappaB recruitment to the IL-12p40 promoter in dendritic cells. *Blood* (2005) 105(2):689–96. doi: 10.1182/blood-2004-04-1309

35. Xie TX, Wei D, Liu M, Gao AC, Ali-Osman F, Sawaya R, et al. Stat3 activation regulates the expression of matrix metalloproteinase-2 and tumor invasion and metastasis. *Oncogene* (2004) 23(20):3550–60. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1207383

36. Dechow TN, Pedranzini L, Leitch A, Leslie K, Gerald WL, Linkov I, et al. Requirement of matrix metalloproteinase-9 for the transformation of human mammary epithelial cells by Stat3-*C. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2004) 101(29):10602-7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0404100101

37. Lo HW, Hsu SC, Xia W, Cao X, Shih JY, Wei Y, et al. Epidermal growth factor receptor cooperates with signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 to induce epithelial-mesenchymal transition in cancer cells via up-regulation of TWIST gene expression. *Cancer Res* (2007) 67(19):9066–76. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-0575

38. Wei D, Le X, Zheng L, Wang L, Frey JA, Gao AC, et al. Stat3 activation regulates the expression of vascular endothelial growth factor and human pancreatic cancer angiogenesis and metastasis. *Oncogene* (2003) 22(3):319–29. doi: 10.1038/ sj.onc.1206122

39. Wu Y, Diab I, Zhang X, Izmailova ES, Zehner ZE. Stat3 enhances vimentin gene expression by binding to the antisilencer element and interacting with the repressor protein, ZBP-89. *Oncogene* (2004) 23(1):168–78. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1207003

40. Narimatsu M, Maeda H, Itoh S, Atsumi T, Ohtani T, Nishida K, et al. Tissuespecific autoregulation of the stat3 gene and its role in interleukin-6-induced survival signals in T cells. *Mol Cell Biol* (2001) 21(19):6615–25. doi: 10.1128/MCB.21.19.6615-6625.2001

41. Bromberg JF, Wrzeszczynska MH, Devgan G, Zhao Y, Pestell RG, Albanese C, et al. Stat3 as an oncogene. *Cell* (1999) 98(3):295–303. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(00) 81959-5

42. Khourieh J, Rao G, Habib T, Avery DT, Lefèvre-Utile A, Chandesris MO, et al. A deep intronic splice mutation of STAT3 underlies hyper IgE syndrome by negative dominance. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2019) 116(33):16463–72. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1901409116

43. Sarfati E, Hadjadj J, Fusaro M, Klifa R, Grimaud M, Berteloot L, et al. Life-saving, dose-adjusted, targeted therapy in a patient with a STAT3 gain-of-function mutation. *J Clin Immunol* (2021) 41(4):807–10. doi: 10.1007/s10875-020-00914-3

44. Song TL, Nairismägi ML, Laurensia Y, Lim JQ, Tan J, Li ZM, et al. Oncogenic activation of the STAT3 pathway drives PD-L1 expression in natural killer/T-cell lymphoma. *Blood* (2018) 132(11):1146–58. doi: 10.1182/blood-2018-01-829424

45. Heppler LN, Frank DA. Targeting oncogenic transcription factors: therapeutic implications of endogenous STAT inhibitors. *Trends Cancer* (2017) 3(12):816–27. doi: 10.1016/j.trecan.2017.10.004

46. Wong ALA, Hirpara JL, Pervaiz S, Eu JQ, Sethi G, Goh BC. Do STAT3 inhibitors have potential in the future for cancer therapy? *Expert Opin Investigational Drugs* (2017) 26(8):883–7. doi: 10.1080/13543784.2017.1351941

47. Ivashkiv LB, Donlin LT. Regulation of type I interferon responses. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2014) 14(1):36–49. doi: 10.1038/nri3581

48. Ivashkiv LB. IFNY: signalling, epigenetics and roles in immunity, metabolism, disease and cancer immunotherapy. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2018) 18(9):545-58. doi: 10.1038/s41577-018-0029-z

49. Aaronson DS, Horvath CM. A road map for those who don't know JAK-STAT. *Science* (2002) 296(5573):1653–5. doi: 10.1126/science.1071545

50. Schroder K, Hertzog PJ, Ravasi T, Hume DA. Interferon-γ: an overview of signals, mechanisms and functions. *J Leukocyte Biol* (2004) 75(2):163–89. doi: 10.1189/ jlb.0603252

51. Dupuis S, Jouanguy E, Al-Hajjar S, Fieschi C, Al-Mohsen IZ, Al-Jumaah S, et al. Impaired response to interferon-alpha/beta and lethal viral disease in human STAT1 deficiency. *Nat Genet* (2003) 33(3):388–91. doi: 10.1038/ng1097

52. Boehmer DFR, Koehler LM, Magg T, Metzger P, Rohlfs M, Ahlfeld J, et al. A novel complete autosomal-recessive STAT1 LOF variant causes immunodeficiency with hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis-like hyperinflammation. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* (2020) 8(9):3102–11. doi: 10.1016/j.jaip.2020.06.034

53. Chapgier A, Kong XF, Boisson-Dupuis S, Jouanguy E, Averbuch D, Feinberg J, et al. A partial form of recessive STAT1 deficiency in humans. *J Clin Invest* (2009) 119 (6):1502–14. doi: 10.1172/JCI37083

54. Dupuis S, Dargemont C, Fieschi C, Thomassin N, Rosenzweig S, Harris J, et al. Impairment of mycobacterial but not viral immunity by a germline human STAT1 mutation. *Science* (2001) 293(5528):300–3. doi: 10.1126/science.1061154 55. Liu L, Okada S, Kong XF, Kreins AY, Cypowyj S, Abhyankar A, et al. Gain-offunction human STAT1 mutations impair IL-17 immunity and underlie chronic mucocutaneous candidiasis. *J Exp Med* (2011) 208(8):1635–48. doi: 10.1084/jem.20110958

56. Totten SP, Im YK, Cepeda Cañedo E, Najyb O, Nguyen A, Hébert S, et al. STAT1 potentiates oxidative stress revealing a targetable vulnerability that increases phenformin efficacy in breast cancer. *Nat Commun* (2021) 12(1):3299. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-23396-2

57. Liang YH, Chen KH, Tsai JH, Cheng YM, Lee CC, Kao CH, et al. Proteasome inhibitors restore the STAT1 pathway and enhance the expression of MHC class I on human colon cancer cells. *J BioMed Sci* (2021) 28(1):75. doi: 10.1186/s12929-021-00769-9

58. Landolfo S, Guarini A, Riera L, Gariglio M, Gribaudo G, Cignetti A, et al. Chronic myeloid leukemia cells resistant to interferon-alpha lack STAT1 expression. Hematol J (2000) 1(1):7–14. doi: 10.1038/sj.thj.6200004

59. Wong LH, Krauer KG, Hatzinisiriou I, Estcourt MJ, Hersey P, Tam ND, et al. Interferon-resistant human melanoma cells are deficient in ISGF3 components, STAT1, STAT2, and p48-ISGF3gamma. *J Biol Chem* (1997) 272(45):28779–85. doi: 10.1074/jbc.272.45.28779

60. Giroux M, Schmidt M, Descoteaux A. IFN- γ -induced MHC class II expression: transactivation of class II transactivator promoter IV by IFN regulatory factor-1 is regulated by protein kinase C- α 1. *J Immunol* (2003) 171(8):4187–94. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.171.8.4187

61. Hu X, Ivashkiv LB. Cross-regulation of signaling pathways by interferongamma: implications for immune responses and autoimmune diseases. *Immunity* (2009) 31(4):539–50. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2009.09.002

62. Medina-Echeverz J, Haile LA, Zhao F, Gamrekelashvili J, Ma C, Métais JY, et al. IFN-γ regulates survival and function of tumor-induced CD11b+Gr-1high myeloid derived suppressor cells by modulating the anti-apoptotic molecule Bcl2a1. *Eur J Immunol* (2014) 44(8):2457–67. doi: 10.1002/eji.201444497

63. Hsu KS, Zhao X, Cheng X, Guan D, Mahabeleshwar GH, Liu Y, et al. Dual regulation of Stat1 and Stat3 by the tumor suppressor protein PML contributes to interferon α-mediated inhibition of angiogenesis. J Biol Chem (2017) 292(24):10048–60. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M116.771071

64. Battle TE, Lynch RA, Frank DA. Signal transducer and activator of transcription 1 activation in endothelial cells is a negative regulator of angiogenesis. *Cancer Res* (2006) 66(7):3649–57. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-05-3612

65. Qadir AS, Ceppi P, Brockway S, Law C, Mu L, Khodarev NN, et al. CD95/Fas increases stemness in cancer cells by inducing a STAT1-dependent type I interferon response. *Cell Rep* (2017) 18(10):2373–86. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2017.02.037

66. Lynch RA, Etchin J, Battle TE, Frank DA. A small-molecule enhancer of signal transducer and activator of transcription 1 transcriptional activity accentuates the antiproliferative effects of IFN-gamma in human cancer cells. *Cancer Res* (2007) 67 (3):1254–61. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-2439

67. Boulton TG, Zhong Z, Wen Z, Darnell JE, Stahl N, Yancopoulos GD. STAT3 activation by cytokines utilizing gp130 and related transducers involves a secondary modification requiring an H7-sensitive kinase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (1995) 92 (15):6915–9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.92.15.6915

68. Rose-John S, Winthrop K, Calabrese L. The role of IL-6 in host defence against infections: immunobiology and clinical implications. *Nat Rev Rheumatol* (2017) 13 (7):399–409. doi: 10.1038/nrrheum.2017.83

69. Regis G, Pensa S, Boselli D, Novelli F, Poli V. Ups and downs: the STAT1:STAT3 seesaw of Interferon and gp130 receptor signalling. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* (2008) 19 (4):351–9. doi: 10.1016/j.semcdb.2008.06.004

70. Costa-Pereira AP, Tininini S, Strobl B, Alonzi T, Schlaak JF, Is'harc H, et al. Mutational switch of an IL-6 response to an interferon-gamma-like response. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2002) 99(12):8043–7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.122236099

71. Lang R, Pauleau AL, Parganas E, Takahashi Y, Mages J, Ihle JN, et al. SOCS3 regulates the plasticity of gp130 signaling. *Nat Immunol* (2003) 4(6):546–50. doi: 10.1038/ni932

72. Qing Y, Stark GR. Alternative activation of STAT1 and STAT3 in response to interferon-gamma. J Biol Chem (2004) 279(40):41679–85. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M406413200

73. Croker BA, Krebs DL, Zhang JG, Wormald S, Willson TA, Stanley EG, et al. SOCS3 negatively regulates IL-6 signaling in vivo. *Nat Immunol* (2003) 4(6):540–5. doi: 10.1038/ni931

74. Babon JJ, Varghese LN, Nicola NA. Inhibition of IL-6 family cytokines by SOCS3. Semin Immunol (2014) 26(1):13-9. doi: 10.1016/j.smim.2013.12.004

75. Pan CM, Wang ML, Chiou SH, Chen HY, Wu CW. Oncostatin M suppresses metastasis of lung adenocarcinoma by inhibiting SLUG expression through coordination of STATs and PIASs signalings. *Oncotarget* (2016) 7(37):60395–406. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.10939

76. Ho HH, Ivashkiv LB. Role of STAT3 in type I interferon responses: NEGATIVE REGULATION OF STAT1-DEPENDENT INFLAMMATORY GENE ACTIVATION *. *J Biol Chem* (2006) 281(20):14111–8. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M511797200

77. Butturini E, Carcereri de Prati A, Mariotto S. Redox regulation of STAT1 and STAT3 signaling. *Int J Mol Sci* (2020) 21(19):7034. doi: 10.3390/ijms21197034

78. Pensa S, Regis G, Boselli D, Novelli F, Poli V. *STAT1 and STAT3 in Tumorigenesis: Two Sides of the Same Coin?* (2013). Available at: https://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK6568/.

79. Frank DA. Transcription factor STAT3 as a prognostic marker and therapeutic target in cancer. *JCO* (2013) 31(36):4560–1. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2013.52.8414

80. Tanaka T, Narazaki M, Kishimoto T. Interleukin (IL-6) Immunotherapy. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol (2018) 10(8):a028456.

81. Arnaldez FI, O'Day SJ, Drake CG, Fox BA, Fu B, Urba WJ, et al. The society for immunotherapy of ancer perspective on regulation of interleukin-6 signaling in COVID-19-related systemic inflammatory response. *J Immunother Cancer* (2020) 8 (1):e000930.

82. Kontzias A, Kotlyar A, Laurence A, Changelian P, O'Shea JJ. Jakinibs: A new class of kinase inhibitors in cancer and autoimmune disease. *Curr Opin Pharmacol* (2012) 12(4):464–70. doi: 10.1016/j.coph.2012.06.008

83. Clinical Trials Using JAK Inhibitor - NCI (2017). Available at: https://www.cancer.gov/about-cancer/treatment/clinical-trials/intervention/jak-inhibitor.

84. Bottos A, Gotthardt D, Gill JW, Gattelli A, Frei A, Tzankov A, et al. Decreased NK-cell tumour immunosurveillance consequent to JAK inhibition enhances metastasis in breast cancer models. *Nat Commun* (2016) 7(1):12258. doi: 10.1038/ncomms12258

85. Avalle L, Pensa S, Regis G, Novelli F, Poli V. STAT1 and STAT3 in tumorigenesis. JAKSTAT (2012) 1(2):65-72. doi: 10.4161/jkst.20045

86. Liu Z, Zhang Y, Chen Y, Lin Y, Lin Z, Wang H. STAT1 inhibits STAT3 activation in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer Manag Res* (2018) 10:6517–23. doi: 10.2147/CMAR.S182105

87. Resetca D, Haftchenary S, Gunning PT, Wilson DJ. Changes in signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) dynamics induced by complexation with pharmacological inhibitors of Src homology 2 (SH2) domain dimerization. *J Biol Chem* (2014) 289(47):32538–47. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M114.595454

88. Qi X, Li M, Zhang XM, Dai XF, Cui J, Li DH, et al. Trichothecin inhibits cancerrelated features in colorectal cancer development by targeting STAT3. *Molecules* (2020) 25(10):2306. doi: 10.3390/molecules25102306

89. Schust J, Sperl B, Hollis A, Mayer TU, Berg T. Stattic: a small-molecule inhibitor of STAT3 activation and dimerization. *Chem Biol* (2006) 13(11):1235–42. doi: 10.1016/j.chembiol.2006.09.018

 Siddiquee K, Zhang S, Guida WC, Blaskovich MA, Greedy B, Lawrence HR, et al. Selective chemical probe inhibitor of Stat3, identified through structure-based virtual screening, induces antitumor activity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2007) 104(18):7391–6. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0609757104

91. Song H, Wang R, Wang S, Lin J. A low-molecular-weight compound discovered through virtual database screening inhibits Stat3 function in breast cancer cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2005) 102(13):4700–5. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0409894102

92. Aftabizadeh M, Li YJ, Zhao Q, Zhang C, Ambaye N, Song J, et al. Potent antitumor effects of cell-penetrating peptides targeting STAT3 axis. *JCI Insight* (2021) 6 (2):e136176. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.136176

93. Casas G, Perche F, Midoux P, Pichon C, Malinge JM. DNA minicircles as novel STAT3 decoy oligodeoxynucleotides endowed with anticancer activity in triplenegative breast cancer. *Mol Ther Nucleic Acids* (2022), 29:162–75. doi: 10.1016/ j.omtn.2022.06.012

94. Mura G, Karaca Atabay E, Menotti M, Martinengo C, Ambrogio C, Giacomello G, et al. Regulation of CD45 phosphatase by oncogenic ALK in anaplastic large cell lymphoma. *Front Oncol* (2022) 12:1085672. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.1085672

95. Li H, Wang L, Cao F, Yu D, Yang J, Yu X, et al. Design, synthesis, and biological characterization of a potent STAT3 degrader for the treatment of gastric cancer. *Front Pharmacol* (2022) 13:944455. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2022.944455

96. Zhou H, Bai L, Xu R, McEachern D, Chinnaswamy K, Li R, et al. SD-91 as A potent and selective STAT3 degrader capable of achieving complete and long-lasting tumor regression. ACS Med Chem Lett (2021) 12(6):996–1004. doi: 10.1021/acsmedchemlett.1c00155

97. Jin J, Wu Y, Zhao Z, Wu Y, Zhou Yd, Liu S, et al. Small-molecule PROTAC mediates targeted protein degradation to treat STAT3-dependent epithelial cancer. *JCI Insight* (2022) 7(22):e160606. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.160606

98. Hall J, Zhang Z, Wang D, Bhattacharya S, Alcantara M, Liang Y, et al. Oligo-PROTAC strategy for cell-selective and targeted degradation of activated STAT3. *bioRxiv* (2021) 12(6):996–1004. doi: 10.1101/2023.08.01.551552v1

99. Shah MA, Yoshino T, Tebbutt NC, Grothey A, Tabernero J, Xu RH, et al. Napabucasin plus FOLFIRI in patients with previously treated metastatic colorectal cancer: results from the open-label, randomized phase III canStem303C study. *Clin Colorectal Cancer* (2023) 22(1):100–10. doi: 10.1016/j.clcc.2022.11.002

100. Shah MA, Shitara K, Lordick F, Bang YJ, Tebbutt NC, Metges JP, et al. Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase III study of paclitaxel \pm Napabucasin in pretreated advanced gastric or gastroesophageal junction adenocarcinoma. Clin Cancer Res (2022) 28(17):OF1–9. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-21-4021

101. Kim TW, Kim Y, Keum H, Jung W, Kang M, Jon S. Combination of a STAT3 inhibitor with anti-PD-1 immunotherapy is an effective treatment regimen for a vemurafenib-resistant melanoma. *Mol Ther - Oncolytics* (2022), 26:1–14. doi: 10.1016/j.omto.2022.06.001

102. Li C, Deng C, Zhou T, Hu J, Dai B, Yi F, et al. MicroRNA-370 carried by M2 macrophage-derived exosomes alleviates asthma progression through inhibiting the FGF1/MAPK/STAT1 axis. *Int J Biol Sci* (2021) 17(7):1795–807. doi: 10.7150/ijbs.59715

103. Ning Y, Huang P, Chen G, Xiong Y, Gong Z, Wu C, et al. Atorvastatin-pretreated mesenchymal stem cell-derived extracellular vesicles promote cardiac repair after myocardial infarction via shifting macrophage polarization by targeting microRNA-139-3p/Stat1 pathway. *BMC Med* (2023) 21(1):96. doi: 10.1186/s12916-023-02778-x

104. Xu H, Jiang Y, Xu X, Su X, Liu Y, Ma Y, et al. Inducible degradation of lncRNA Sros1 promotes IFN- γ -mediated activation of innate immune responses by stabilizing Stat1 mRNA. *Nat Immunol* (2019) 20(12):1621–30. doi: 10.1038/s41590-019-0542-7

105. Wang J, Wang Q, Guan Y, Sun Y, Wang X, Lively K, et al. Breast cancer cellderived microRNA-155 suppresses tumor progression via enhancing immune cell recruitment and antitumor function. *J Clin Invest* (2022) 132(19):e157248. doi: 10.1172/JCI157248

106. Sun R, Zhang PP, Weng XQ, Gao XD, Huang CX, Wang L, et al. Therapeutic targeting miR130b counteracts diffuse large B-cell lymphoma progression via OX40/ OX40L-mediated interaction with Th17 cells. *Sig Transduct Target Ther* (2022) 7(1):1–14. doi: 10.1038/s41392-022-00895-2

107. Zhang Y, Le X, Zheng S, Zhang K, He J, Liu M, et al. MicroRNA-146a-5pmodified human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells enhance protection against diabetic nephropathy in rats through facilitating M2 macrophage polarization. *Stem Cell Res Ther* (2022) 13:171. doi: 10.1186/s13287-022-02855-7

108. Yin Y, Liu B, Cao Y, Yao S, Liu Y, Jin G, et al. Colorectal cancer-derived small extracellular vesicles promote tumor immune evasion by upregulating PD-L1 expression in tumor-associated macrophages. *Adv Sci (Weinh)* (2022) 9(9):2102620. doi: 10.1002/advs.202102620

109. Liu W, Miao C, Zhang S, Liu Y, Niu X, Xi Y, et al. VAV2 is required for DNA repair and implicated in cancer radiotherapy resistance. *Signal Transduct Target Ther* (2021) 6(1):322. doi: 10.1038/s41392-021-00735-9

110. Sima LE, Chen S, Cardenas H, Zhao G, Wang Y, Ivan C, et al. Loss of host tissue transglutaminase boosts antitumor T cell immunity by altering STAT1/STAT3 phosphorylation in ovarian cancer. *J Immunother Cancer* (2021) 9(9):e002682. doi: 10.1136/jitc-2021-002682

111. Butte MJ, Keir ME, Phamduy TB, Sharpe AH, Freeman GJ. Programmed death-1 ligand 1 interacts specifically with the B7-1 costimulatory molecule to inhibit T cell responses. *Immunity* (2007) 27(1):111–22. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2007.05.016

112. Karwacz K, Bricogne C, MacDonald D, Arce F, Bennett CL, Collins M, et al. PD-L1 co-stimulation contributes to ligand-induced T cell receptor down-modulation on CD8+ T cells. *EMBO Mol Med* (2011) 3(10):581–92. doi: 10.1002/emmm.201100165

113. Alsaab HO, Sau S, Alzhrani R, Tatiparti K, Bhise K, Kashaw SK, et al. PD-1 and PD-L1 checkpoint signaling inhibition for cancer immunotherapy: mechanism, combinations, and clinical outcome. *Front Pharmacol* (2017) 8:561. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2017.00561. cited 2023 Sep 27.

114. Garcia-Diaz A, Shin DS, Moreno BH, Saco J, Escuin-Ordinas H, Rodriguez GA, et al. Interferon receptor signaling pathways regulating PD-L1 and PD-L2 expression. *Cell Rep* (2017) 19(6):1189–201. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2017.04.031

115. Chen S, Crabill GA, Pritchard TS, McMiller TL, Wei P, Pardoll DM, et al. Mechanisms regulating PD-L1 expression on tumor and immune cells. *J Immunother Cancer* (2019) 7:305. doi: 10.1186/s40425-019-0770-2

116. Lu C, Talukder A, Savage NM, Singh N, Liu K. JAK-STAT-mediated chronic inflammation impairs cytotoxic T lymphocyte activation to decrease anti-PD-1 immunotherapy efficacy in pancreatic cancer. *OncoImmunology* (2017) 6(3): e1291106. doi: 10.1080/2162402X.2017.1291106