Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Fei Yu, Tongji University School of Medicine, China

REVIEWED BY Tomomi W. Nobashi, Kyoto University, Japan Guozhu Hou, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Meng Liu louisa_liu@bjmu.edu.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Cancer Immunity and Immunotherapy, a section of the journal

Frontiers in Immunology

RECEIVED 20 September 2022 ACCEPTED 10 October 2022 PUBLISHED 20 October 2022

CITATION

Gao Y, Wu C, Chen X, Ma L, Zhang X, Chen J, Liao X and Liu M (2022) PET/ CT molecular imaging in the era of immune-checkpoint inhibitors therapy. *Front. Immunol.* 13:1049043. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.1049043

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Gao, Wu, Chen, Ma, Zhang, Chen, Liao and Liu. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

PET/CT molecular imaging in the era of immune-checkpoint inhibitors therapy

Yuan Gao[†], Caixia Wu[†], Xueqi Chen, Linlin Ma, Xi Zhang, Jinzhi Chen, Xuhe Liao and Meng Liu [®]*

Department of Nuclear Medicine, Peking University First Hospital, Beijing, China

Cancer immunotherapy, especially immune-checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs), has paved a new way for the treatment of many types of malignancies, particularly advanced-stage cancers. Accumulating evidence suggests that as a molecular imaging modality, positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT) can play a vital role in the management of ICIs therapy by using different molecular probes and metabolic parameters. In this review, we will provide a comprehensive overview of the clinical data to support the importance of ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose PET/CT (¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT) imaging in the treatment of ICIs, including the evaluation of the tumor microenvironment, discovery of immune-related adverse events, evaluation of therapeutic efficacy, and prediction of therapeutic prognosis. We also discuss perspectives on the development direction of ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT imaging, with a particular emphasis on possible challenges in the future. In addition, we summarize the researches on novel PET molecular probes that are expected to potentially promote the precise application of ICIs.

KEYWORDS

positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT), molecular imaging, immune-checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs), tumor microenvironment (TME), metabolic parameter, molecular probe

Introduction

Cancer immunotherapy has paved a new way for the treatment of many types of malignancies, particularly advanced-stage cancers, by intervening in the abnormal immune processes, reshaping the tumor microenvironment (TME), and restoring immune surveillance (1). Immune-checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs), such as the blocking antibodies of programmed cell death protein-1 (PD-1), programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1), and cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated protein 4 (CTLA-4), have brought considerable clinical benefits to cancer patients. However, only a subset of patients can benefit from ICIs therapies, and some might even experience severe immune-related

adverse events (irAEs) and detrimental hyperprogressive disease (2). Emerging preclinical and clinical evidence indicates that the reciprocity between ICIs and TME may play a complex and important influence on ICIs therapy, but the specific mechanism is still unclear. How to characterize TME noninvasively and effectively, so as to deeply elucidate its potential mechanisms in immunotherapy and precisely guide the use of ICIs, is continually attracting research interest worldwide.

It is well-known that positron emission tomography (PET)/ computed tomography (CT) can reflect the biological information of the living body noninvasively and dynamically by using different kinds of imaging agents. Fluorine-18 fluorodeoxyglucose (¹⁸F-FDG), the most commonly used PET/CT imaging agent, has been increasingly applied in the immunotherapeutic management. It can reflect the level of glucose accumulation in both primary tumor tissues and metastatic lesions by tracking glucose uptake through a single scan. The metabolic parameters obtained from ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT arguably provide useful indications of the tumor burden (3). Accumulating evidence suggests that ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT imaging can play a vital role in ICIs therapy, including TME characterization, irAEs assessment, efficacy evaluation, prognosis prediction, and so on.

In this review, we focus on the characteristics of TME associated with immunotherapy, and provide an overview of the clinical data associated with the application of ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT imaging in the treatment of ICIs. Furthermore, we discuss perspectives on the development direction of ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT imaging, with a particular emphasis on possible challenges in the future. We also summarize the researches on novel PET/CT molecular imaging, which may potentially promote the precise application of ICIs.

Characteristics and classifications of TME

Compared with traditional treatments, such as radiotherapy and chemotherapy, ICIs treatment is more closely related to TME. The efficacy of ICIs may be influenced by various mechanisms related to the tumor or the host, among which TME is being widely investigated as a critical factor. The characteristics of TME vary in different individuals and cancer types, which will affect the immune response to ICIs treatment.

Compositions and metabolism of TME

TME is composed of tumor cells, immune cells, stromal cells, extracellular matrix, and exosomes (4), thus forming a microenvironment with the characteristics of inflammation, hypoxia, acidity, and immunosuppression. Different types of cells in TME have their preferred metabolic phenotypes (Figure 1).

Tumor cells generally experience metabolic reprogramming, especially glucose metabolism, to adapt to immunosuppressive TME. Even under aerobic conditions, tumor cells are typically characterized by glycolysis, resulting in high rates of glucose intake with high lactate excretion, which is known as the Warburg effect (5). There are fundamental differences between the metabolic programs of cancer cells and immune cells, as well as between different immune cells (6).

Immune cells can be divided into immune-activating cells and immunosuppressive cells. The characteristics of TME might inhibit antitumor immune cells and lead to their exhaustion or senescence (7), but tumor-promoting immune cells mostly show tolerance (8). Immune-activating cells include CD8⁺ effector T (Teff) cells, CD8⁺ memory T (Tmem) cells, CD4⁺ T helper 1 (Th 1) cells, dendritic cells (DCs), natural killer (NK) cells, inflammatory tumor-associated macrophages (M1-TAM), B cells, and neutrophils. Immunosuppressive cells include regulatory T (Treg) cells, myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), and immunosuppressive macrophages (M2-TAM), which subvert antitumor immunity by secreting cytokines or interfering with metabolism (5).

Stromal cells include cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs), endothelial cells, and pericytes. Like immune cells, stromal cells could interact with tumor cells, modulate their metabolic behavior, and contribute to migration, invasion, and evasion of immune surveillance (9). CAFs can carry out aerobic glycolysis and secrete lactate and pyruvate as fuels for neighboring tumor cells. A metabolic cross-talk exists between tumor cells and CAFs, referred to as a reverse-Warburg effect. CAFs are also characterized by increased synthesis and secretion of glutamine, which is consumed by cancer cells, thus allowing them to sustain nucleotide generation and oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) to obtain high proliferation (10).

Classifications of TME associated with ICIs therapy

With the continuous understanding of the dynamic interaction (promote or hinder) between the status of TME and the treatment with ICIs, several TME classifications based on immunotherapeutic rationale have been proposed. These types are associated with therapy response and might be helpful in selecting the appropriate immunotherapy strategy and suitable patients.

Tumor immune microenvironment (TIME) refers to the immunological characteristics of TME, mainly including the cell types, infiltration degrees, and molecular expression levels of immune cells. Based on the degree and location of tumorinfiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) in TIME, tumors can be classified into "cold" or "hot" ones, or more precisely, divided into three types of immune-inflamed, immune-excluded, and immune-desert (11). In addition, PD-L1 expression and TILs



Tumor microenvironment (TME) is composed of tumor cells, immune cells, stromal cells, extracellular matrix, and exosomes, thus forming a microenvironment with the characteristics of inflammation, hypoxia, acidity, and immunosuppression. Different types of cells in TME have their preferred metabolic phenotypes. OXPHOS, oxidative phosphorylation; HBP, hexosamine biosynthesis pathway; PPP, pentose phosphate pathway; MDSC, myeloid-derived suppressor cell; Treg cell, regulatory T cell; M2-TAM, immunosuppressive macrophages; Tmem cell, CD8⁺ memory T cells; DC, dendritic cell; Teff cell, CD8⁺ effector T cells; NK cell, natural killer cell; M1-TAM, inflammatory tumor-associated macrophages; CAF, cancer-associated fibroblast; TGF- β , transforming growth factor- β ; TNF- α , tumor necrosis factor- α ; IL-2, Interleukin-2; IFN- γ , Interferon- γ ; ECM, extracellular matrix.

infiltration are essential features of TIME related to ICIs (12, 13). Hence, TIME can be divided into four tumor immune microenvironment types (TIMTs) according to these two characteristics, i.e., PD-L1-/TIL-, PD-L1+/TIL+, PD-L1-/TIL +, and PD-L1+/TIL- (14).

Considering that the metabolic and immune characteristics of TME are important theoretical bases for tumor immunotherapy, Siska et al. recommended the metabolictumor-stroma score (MeTS) to describe the characteristics of tumor metabolism and cell heterogeneity (15): (1) OXPHOS metabolic type and high T cell infiltration; (2) reverse Warburg type; (3) mixed type; and (4) Warburg type and low T cell infiltration.

Application of ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT imaging in ICIs treatment

Due to the Warburg effect, tumor cells are usually characterized by high glucose metabolism, i.e., increased FDG uptake is often induced in the case of over-expression of glucose transporters (GLUT), such as GLUT1 and GLUT3. A set of studies have shown that GLUT1 expression is correlated with tumor size and hypoxia of TME, and the latter activates hypoxiainducible factor 1-alpha (HIF-1 α) to trigger the Warburg effect and upregulate GLUT expression (16). It has also been elucidated that HIF-1 α could directly bind to the hypoxia response element in the PD-L1 proximal promoter and control its expression under hypoxic conditions (17). Besides, activation of some immune cells, including CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells, is accompanied by increased metabolism, such as upregulated aerobic glycolysis, tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, and OXPHOS (6). The above mechanisms may provide a theoretical basis for the role of ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT imaging in ICIs therapy, including characterization of TIME, assessment of irAEs, evaluation of therapeutic efficacy, and prediction of prognosis (Figure 2).

Characterization of TIME

Delineation of TIME characteristics can help treatment formulation, efficacy evaluation, and prognosis prediction (18). Due to the complexity of TIME components and their dynamic changes during the treatment process of ICIs, traditional methods such as biopsy have limitations in reflecting TIME. As a non-invasive and functional whole-body imaging modality, ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT imaging has some potential advantages in characterizing the overall glucose metabolism of tumor cells, activated immune cells, and stromal cells in the TME of the primary lesions.



The majority of researches on the application of ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT imaging on TIME characterization focused on nonsmall-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) (Table 1). Zhao et al. carried out two studies with the largest sample sizes (419 cases and 428 cases) to investigate the relationship between PD-L1 expression and ¹⁸F-FDG uptake, using 22C3 and SP142 assays, respectively (19, 20). They both showed that maximum standardized uptake value (SUVmax) was significantly associated with PD-L1 expression in NSCLC. A meta-analysis across seven studies (473 patients) showed that the predictive sensitivity of the SUVmax for the expression of PD-L1 in NSCLC patients was 75%, and the specificity was 73% (41). Other metabolic parameters, such as mean standardized uptake value (SUVmean) and the ratio of metabolic to morphological lesion volumes (MMVR), have been reported to be correlated with PD-1 or PD-L1 expression (26, 27). ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT radiomics have also been used to explore predictive models based on images and clinical information for PD-L1 expression (28-30). Additionally, Mitchell et al. found that high SUVmax was associated with reduced CD57⁺ cell density and increased T cell exhaustion gene signature (21). Wang et al. revealed that high SUVmax was associated with high infiltration of CD8⁺ T cells, M2 macrophages, and Foxp3⁺ Treg cells (22).

In terms of TIMTs, Zhou et al. used dual-phase ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT imaging to reflect metabolic dynamics in NSCLC and constructed a model combined metabolic signature (Meta-Sig) and clinical factors to predict PD-L1⁺/TIL⁺ tumors (AUC: 0.869, sensitivity: 77.27%, specificity: 82.61%) (42). Wu et al. analyzed the correlation between SUVmax and TIMT classification in patients with cell clear renal cell carcinoma (ccRCC) and suggested that SUVmax might be used as an indicator for TIMTs and thus help guide the treatment with ICIs (32).

In addition, the correlation between ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT imaging and TIME in breast cancer, gastric cancer, colorectal cancer, bladder cancer, nasopharyngeal cancer, and oral squamous cell carcinoma has also been observed (Table 1).

Assessment of irAEs

The perturbation of ICIs on the balance of the immune system can lead to a loss of self-tolerance and excessive immune activation of normal tissues, resulting in irAEs (43). The irAEs can affect nearly all organ systems, such as the neurologic, pulmonary, cardiovascular, gastrointestinal, endocrine, genitourinary, integumentary, skeletal and joint systems, and

Histology	Parameters	Conclusions		
NSCLC	SUVmax	positively associated with PD-L1 expression	(19–25)	
		positively associated with CD8 $^+$ T cells, CD163 $^+$ TAMs and Foxp3+ Treg cells; negatively associated with CD57 $^+$ cells	(21, 22, 26)	
	SUVmean	positively associated with PD-1 expression	(26)	
	MMVR	negatively correlated with PD-L1 expression in TCs	(27)	
	radiomics	models based on radiomics and/or clinicopathological characteristics showed good accuracy in predicting PD-L1 expression level	(28-30)	
		showed good performance in predicting PD-L1 ⁺ /TIL ⁺ tumors	(31)	
ccRCC	SUVmax	positively associated with PD-L1 ⁺ /TIL ⁺ and PD-L1 ⁻ /TIL ⁺ tumors	(32)	
breast cancer	SUVmax	positively associated with TIL levels	(33-35)	
		positively associated with PD-L1 expression	(33)	
gastric cancer	SUVmax	positively correlated with CD3 ⁺ and Foxp3 ⁺ T cell counts	(36)	
colorectal cancer	SUVmax, MTV, TLG	positively associated with PD-L1 expression	(37)	
bladder cancer	SUVmax	positively associated with PD-L1 and PD-1 expression	(38)	
nasopharyngeal carcinoma	SUVmax	negatively correlated with PD-L1 expression in TIICs and positively associated with PD-L1 expression in TCs $$	(39)	
oral squamous cell carcinoma	SUVmax	negatively correlated with cold tumors (low tumoral PD-L1 and low stromal $\mathrm{CD8}^{+}\mathrm{TILs})$	(40)	

TABLE 1 Tumor microenvironment evaluation by ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT imaging.

NSCLC, non-small cell lung carcinoma; ccRCC, clear cell renal cell carcinoma; TCs, tumor cells; TIICs, tumor-infiltrating immune cells; TME, tumor microenvironment; TIMT, tumor immune microenvironment type; TIL, tumor-infiltrating lymphocyte; PD-L1, programmed death-ligand 1; PD-1, programmed cell death protein-1; SUVmax, maximum standardized uptake value; SUVmean, mean standardized uptake value; MTV, metabolic tumor volume; TLG, total lesion glycolysis; MMVR, ratio of metabolic to morphological lesion volumes.

so on (44, 45). The irAEs resulting from different ICIs may vary. A systematic review found that deaths from CTLA-4 inhibitors were mainly caused by the irAEs of colitis (70%), and deaths from PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors were mainly pneumonia (35%), hepatitis (22%), and neurotoxicity (15%) (46). For different types of tumors, the most commonly affected sites of irAEs are also different. For example, patients with NSCLC mainly show endocrine system and skin irAEs, and patients with melanoma mostly involve the skin and liver, while irAEs occur in patients with RCC are more common in the skin and gastrointestinal tract (47).

A number of current reports support that some irAEs seem to be associated with improved tumor response and better survival (48). This association may stay robust in certain cancer types (NSCLC, melanoma, RCC, and advanced urothelial cancer) and organ-specific irAEs (the skin and endocrine system) (49–52). But some reports pointed out that grade 3-5 irAEs (severe irAEs) are not associated with increased overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival (PFS) in NSCLC patients (53, 54). Oncologists should weigh the risk of irAEs against the benefit of ICIs before immunotherapy and take appropriate management once irAEs occur.

Therefore, it is necessary to judge the appearances of irAEs timely by noninvasive imaging methods. CT or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has been widely used to detect irAEs, especially in the lung, pancreas, liver, and nervous system (55). However, the utility of ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT imaging

in irAEs screening and monitoring is largely under-recognized currently (2).

¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT imaging may be a sensitive method to identify the development and severity of irAEs, which usually present as a new non-neoplastic lesion with increased FDG accumulation after ICI treatment (56). For instance, elevated thyroid SUVmax commonly suggests ICI-related thyroiditis (57), while a diffuse increase of FDG uptake in the pancreas is a characteristic manifestation of ICI-related pancreatitis (58). A study on patients with metastatic melanoma treated with ICIs found that novel quantitative imaging biomarkers, i.e. the SUV percentiles (SUV_{X%}) of 18 F-FDG uptake within the target organs, could be predictive of irAEs in the bowel, stomach, and thyroid (56). This study also demonstrated that some irAEs could be detected on ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT imaging before the onset of clinical symptoms, which showed increased ¹⁸F-FDG uptake in the affected organs. The typical ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT manifestations of irAEs can be seen in Figure 3.

Evaluation of therapeutic efficacy

ICIs treatment can achieve antitumor effects by eliminating immunosuppression and reinvigorating Tmem cells, which are good for the patient's long-term survival. However, ICIs can also lead to atypical response patterns, including pseudoprogression, hyperprogression, and mixed response.



FIGURE 3

Typical images of irAEs in patients with ICI treatment. (A), thyroiditis; (B), hypophysitis; (C), pneumonia; (D), pancreatitis; (E), enteritis. The sites of irAEs were marked with blue arrows on maximum intensity projection (MIP) and PET images.

In order to standardize the imaging evaluation of tumor treatment efficacy, a series of tumor treatment response evaluation criteria have been proposed. The CT-based evaluation criteria, i.e. Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors version 1.1 (RECIST 1.1) (59), were initially used directly. The typical cases evaluated by RECIST 1.1 were displayed in Figure 4. RECIST 1.1 was later adjusted for better ICI response evaluation. The modified RECIST 1.1 for immune-based therapeutics, i.e. iRECIST, classify the initial discovery of the suspected progression as initially unconfirmed progressive disease (iUPD) (60). Immune-modified RECIST (imRECIST) includes the measurable new lesions in the total tumor burden (61).

Meanwhile, the ¹⁸F-FDG PET (PET/CT)-based evaluation criteria have also been successively proposed (Table 2). The European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer 1999 criteria (EORTC) is the first metabolism criterion using SUVmax changes to determine antitumor treatment response (62). In 2009, Wahl et al. proposed the PET Response Criteria in Solid Tumors (PERCIST) (63), which has also been modified further. Immune PERCIST (iPERCIST) introduced the concept of unconfirmed progressive metabolic disease (UPMD) (64). Since immunotherapy may induce new inflammatory lesions that are detectable on ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT, immunotherapymodified response classification (imPERCIST5) was introduced. According to imPERCIST5, progressive metabolic disease (PMD) was defined only by an increase of the sum of peak standardized uptake values normalized for body lean body mass (SULpeak) by 30% (65). In 2018, Anwar et al. proposed PET Response Evaluation Criteria for Immunotherapy (PERCIMT) to evaluate clinical benefit based on the number

and size of new lesions (66). According to PERCIMT, 4 or more new lesions (regardless of size), or 3 or more new lesions (diameter > 1 cm), or 2 or more new lesions (diameter > 1.5 cm), are all defined as no-clinical benefit, while the other cases are considered clinically benefited.

The comparative studies of different response evaluation criteria are shown in Table 3. In short, the continuous adjustment of immunotherapy response evaluation criteria aims to guide immunotherapy management more precisely and effectively.

Prediction of prognosis

Since only a certain proportion of patients can benefit from ICIs therapy, how to conduct pretreatment assessments and identify eligible patients has important clinical significance. Up to now, some potentially prognostic biomarkers have been explored, including tumor PD-L1 expression, tumor mutation burden (TMB), microsatellite instability (MSI), gene expression profiles, gastrointestinal microbiome, and so on (73). Nevertheless, the values of these biomarkers remain controversial, and some biomarkers (such as TMB and MSI) require complex, expensive, and time-consuming analyses. Despite imperfection, PD-L1 expression is still the most commonly used biomarker in clinic, especially for NSCLC patients (74).

There have been a variety of studies committed to discovering the prognostic value of ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT imaging on ICI treatment, but the results are inconsistent. The researches mainly focus on patients with NSCLC and melanoma, and the



FIGURE 4

Typical cases evaluated by Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) 1.1 in patients with ICI treatment. (A), Immunotherapy response in a 64-year-old male patient with right lung adenocarcinoma. The baseline image shows intensive FDG uptake in the primary tumor, accompanied with multifocal intrapulmonary metastasis, lymphadenopathy, and the involvement of pleura. Follow-up images after 4 cycles and sequential 11 cycles of the combination of chemotherapy and ICI show partial response (PR). (B), Immunotherapy response in a 60-year-old female patient with right lung adenocarcinoma. Image after 7 cycles of the combination of chemotherapy and ICI shows partial response (PR). (B), Immunotherapy and ICI shows the enlargement and increased metabolism in the primary tumor, and the onset of multiple new lesions in the lung, pleura, lymph nodes, liver, and bone, indicating progressive disease (PD).

metabolic parameters include SUVmax, SUVmean, metabolic tumor volume (MTV), total MTV (tMTV), total lesion glycolysis (TLG), and so on (Table 4). Although SUVmax is the most commonly used metabolic parameter, its prognostic value may

be controversial (81, 87). Some researchers have also advocated that SUVmean may be suggestive (83). Other studies support the prognostic value of MTV and TLG for immunotherapy, indicating that high MTV and TLG are associated with poor

TABLE 2 Metabolism evaluation criteria for immunotherapy response.

Criterion	CMR	PMR	SMD	PMD
EORTC (1999) (62)	complete resolution of ¹⁸ F-FDG uptake within the tumor volume	SUV is reduced by at least $15\% \sim 25\%$ after 1 cycle of chemotherapy, and > 25% after more than one treatment cycle	not CMR, PMR, or PMD	SUV increase > 25%, visible increase in the extent of tumor $^{18}{\rm F}\text{-}{\rm FDG}$ uptake (> 20% in the longest dimension), or appearance of new $^{18}{\rm F}\text{-}{\rm FDG}$ uptake in metastatic lesions
PERCIST (2009) (63)	¹⁸ F-FDG uptake completely disappeared	SULpeak decrease by \geq 30% in the target lesions, and absolute drop in SUL by at least 0.8 SUL units	not CMR, PMR, or PMD	SULpeak in the target lesions increase \geq 30%, with \geq 0.8 SUL unit increase; 75% increase in TLG; new ¹⁸ F-FDG-avid lesions that are typical of cancer and not related to treatment effect or infection
iPERCIST (2019) (<mark>64</mark>)	¹⁸ F-FDG uptake completely disappeared	SULpeak decrease by ≥30% in the target lesions	not CMR, PMR, or PMD	SULpeak increase \geq 30% or new ¹⁸ F-FDG-avid lesions (UPMD) UPMD needs to be confirmed CPMD by a second PET after 4-8 weeks; if UPMD is followed by PMR or SMD, the bar is reset
imPERCIST5 (2019) (65)	¹⁸ F-FDG uptake completely disappeared	SULpeak decrease by ≥30% in the target lesions, and absolute drop in SUL by at least 0.8 SUL units	not CMR, PMR, or PMD	SULpeak in the target lesions increase \geq 30%, with \geq 0.8 SUL unit increase in tumor SUVpeak; New lesions were included in the sum of SULpeak if they showed higher uptake than existing target lesions or if fewer than 5 target lesions were detected on the baseline scan

EORTC, European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer 1999 criteria; PERCIST, PET Response Criteria in Solid Tumors; imPERCIST5, immunotherapy-modified PERCIST, 5-lesion analysis; iPERCIST, immune PERCIST; CMR, complete metabolic response; PMR, partial metabolic response; SMD, stable metabolic disease; PMD, progressive metabolic disease; UPMD, unconfirmed progressive metabolic disease; CPMD, confirmed progressive metabolic disease; SUV, standard uptake value; SULpeak, peak standardized uptake values normalized for body lean body mass; SUL, standardized uptake value of lean body mass; TLG, total lesion glycolysis; SUVpeak, peak standardized uptake value.

TABLE 3 Comparative studies on evaluation criteria of immune	otherapy response.
--------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------

Author/ Year	Study/ number	Histology	ICI Treatment	Criteria	Conclusion
Sachpekidis et al. (67). (2018)	prospective 41 patients	melanoma	ipilimumab	EORCT PERCIMT	The sensitivity of PERCIMT was significantly higher than EORTC, but the specificity was not significantly different.
Sachpekidis et al. (68). (2019)	prospective 16 patients	melanoma	ipilimumab	EORTC PERCIMT	PERCIMT shows more correct classification (15/16 patients) than EORTC (13/16 patients).
Goldfarb et al. (<mark>64</mark>). (2019)	retrospective 28 patients	NSCLC	nivolumab	iRECIST iPERCIST	iPERCIST can reclassify 39% of patients assessed by iRECIST.
Beer et al. (69). (2019)	prospective 42 patients	NSCLC	nivolumab, pembrolizumab or durvalumab	RECIST 1.1 iRECIST PERCIST	The three criteria are only moderately consistent, but there is no significant difference in the ability to assess PFS and OS after 12 months
Castello et al. (70). (2020)	prospective 35 patients	NSCLC	nivolumab or pembrolizumab	RECIST 1.1 imRECIST EORTC PERCIST imPERCIST PERCIMT	Fair agreement between imRECIST and EORTC, and PERCIST, and moderate for imRECIST and PERCIMT were detected. All criteria are significantly related to PFS, but only PERCIMT and imPERCIST are related to OS.
Dimitriou et al. (71). (2021)	retrospective 104 patients	melanoma	anti-PD-1 with or without anti- CTLA-4 treatment	RECIST EORTC	EORTC is better than RECIST in predicting progress, effectively assessing residual lesions on CT, and predicting long-term benefits.
Kitajima et al. (72). (2022)	retrospective 27 patients	melanoma	nivolumab or pembrolizumab	EORTC, PERCIST, imPERCIST	All the three FDG-PET criteria showed accuracy for response evaluation of ICI therapy and prediction of malignant melanoma patient prognosis.

RECIST, Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumor; iRECIST, a modified RECIST 1.1 for immune-based therapeutics; EORTC, European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer 1999 criteria; PERCIMT, PET Response Evaluation Criteria for Immunotherapy; PERCIST, PET Response Criteria in Solid Tumors; iPERCIST, immune PERCIST; imPERCIST, immunotherapy-modified PERCIST; PFS, progression-free survival; OS, overall survival; NSCLC, non-small-cell lung cancer; PD-L1, programmed death-ligand 1; PD-1, programmed cell death protein-1; CTLA-4, cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated protein 4.

prognosis (78, 80). However, the multivariate analysis of a prospective study on nivolumab found no significant correlation between TLG and OS (88). In addition, tMTV provides a good indication of the total cancer burden (3). Seban et al. demonstrated that tMTV > 75 cm³ was associated with shorter OS and the absence of clinical disease benefit. They proposed a metabolic scoring system based on the derived neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (dNLR) and tMTV, which stratified patients into three groups with different prognosis: poor prognosis (dNLR>3 and tMTV>75 cm³), moderate prognosis (dNLR>3 or tMTV>75 cm³) and good prognosis (dNLR \leq 3 and tMTV \leq 75 cm³). However, Vekens et al. discovered that tMTV and TLG did not have a predictive effect (87).

The main reasons for the inconsistent results may lie in the heterogeneity of patients included and the difference of treatment schemes in the study cohorts. The discrepancies between adopted end-point events and treatment response evaluation criteria may be other confounding issues. Further researches should be carried out to establish an ideal and universal method from the metabolism perspective for predicting the prognosis of pan-cancer, which would be validated in a large dataset. In recent years, the rapid development of artificial intelligence has further promoted the application of ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT radiomics, which extracts a large number of quantitative features from PET/CT images through automated and highthroughput methods, in the prognosis evaluation after surgery, chemoradiotherapy, targeted therapy or immunotherapy. Mu et al. (91) constructed a deep learning model based on PET/CT images, namely the EGFR-deep learning score (EGFR-DLS), to provide non-invasive decision support for targeted therapy or immunotherapy for patients with NSCLC. Moreover, Mu et al. (92) established a nomogram including multi-parameter PET/CT radiomic features, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) score, and distant metastasis to predict the prognosis of patients with stage IIIB-IV NSCLC receiving ICIs therapy.

Challenges and perspectives

With unprecedented advances in ICIs in cancer treatment, the value of ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT has been especially emphasized. Nevertheless, several challenges associated with ¹⁸F-FDG PET/ CT imaging need to be addressed to broaden its application in ICIs treatment. One major shortcoming is the lack of recognized TABLE 4 Prognosis predictive role of ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT in immunotherapy.

Author/ Year	Study/ number	Histology	ICI treatment	Conclusion
Seban et al. (75) (2019)	retrospective, 55 patients	melanoma	anti-PD-1 IgG	Higher tMTV and BLR correlated with shorter survival
Ito et al. (76) (2019)	retrospective, 142 patients	melanoma	ipilimumab	wMTV was negatively correlated with OS
Seban et al. (77). (2020)	retrospective, 80 patients	NSCLC	anti-PD-1/PD-L1	tMTV > 75 cm^3 was associated with shorter OS and absence of disease clinical benefit
Hashimoto et al. (78). (2020)	retrospective, 85 patients	NSCLC	pembrolizumab or nivolumab	TLG and MTV were negatively correlated with PFS and OS
Castello et al. (79). (2020)	prospective, 50 patients	NSCLC	nivolumab or pembrolizumab	High TLG and MTV were significantly associated with hyperprogression and MTV remained a negatively independent predictor for OS
Yamaguchi et al. (<mark>80</mark>). (2020)	retrospective, 48 patients	NSCLC	pembrolizumab	Higher MTV correlated with worse outcomes for patients with PD-L1 expression ${\geq}50\%$
Polverari et al. (81). (2020)	retrospective, 57 patients	NSCLC	pembrolizumab	Patients with higher MTV and TLG values were more likely to have disease progression and poor response to immunotherapy.
Chardin et al. (<mark>82</mark>) (2020)	prospective, 75 patients	NSCLC	pembrolizumab or nivolumab	MTV and TLG were negatively correlated with OS and could reliably predict early treatment discontinuation
Seban et al. (83). (2020)	retrospective, 63 patients	NSCLC	pembrolizumab	Both high tMTV and high SUVmean were independent predictors for decreased PFS, and tMTV was also negatively correlated with OS.
Wong et al. (84). (2020)	retrospective, 90 patients	melanoma	ipilimumab, pembrolizumab or nivolumab	High pre-treatment SLR was associated with short PFS and OS
Seban et al. (85). (2020)	retrospective, 56 patients	melanoma	ipilimumab and/ or pembrolizumab	In patients with mucosal melanoma, increased tumor SUVmax was correlated with shorter OS, while in patients with cutaneous melanoma, increased tMTV and BLR were independently correlated with shorter OS, PFS, and lower response
Dall' Olio et al. (<mark>86</mark>). (2021)	retrospective, 34 patients	NSCLC	pembrolizumab	tMTV $\geq 75 cm^3$ could be a prognostic predictor of inferior outcomes in patients with PD-L1 expression $\geq 50\%$
Vekens et al. (87). (2021)	retrospective, 30 patients	NSCLC	pembrolizumab	SUVmax was positively related to PFS. Clinical response and survival were independent of tMTV and TLG. Reduction of tMTV and TLG after 8 to 9 weeks of treatment was a better predictor of prolonged survival than RECIST 1.1.
Bauckneht et al. (<mark>88</mark>) (2021)	prospective, 45 patients	NSCLC	nivolumab	MTV was negatively related to OS
Awada et al. (<mark>89</mark>) (2021)	retrospective, 183 patients	melanoma	pembrolizumab	Elevated tMTV was associated with worse PFS and OS.
Gulturk et al. (<mark>90</mark>). (2021)	retrospective, 32 patients	RCC	nivolumab	Pre-treatment SUVmax was negatively related to PFS

SUVmax, maximum standardized uptake value; SUVmean, mean standardized uptake value; MTV, metabolic tumor volume; TLG, total lesion glycolysis; tMTV, total metabolic tumor volume; SLR, spleen-to-liver SUVmax ratio; BLR, bone marrow-to-liver SUVmax ratio; wMTV, whole-body metabolic tumor volume; PD-L1, programmed death-ligand 1; PD-1, programmed cell death protein-1; NSCLC, non-small-cell lung cancer; RCC, renal cell carcinoma; RECIST 1.1, Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors version 1.1; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival.

guidelines to instruct the application of ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT imaging in immunotherapy. On the other hand, although glucose metabolism parameters, such as SUVmax, have been shown to be significantly related to the immune characteristics

of TME or prognosis, related studies were mostly retrospective, single-center and small-sample size, and there are controversies between the results of different studies. So, further prospective and large-sample cohort studies are still needed. Moreover, current studies mainly focus on NSCLC and melanoma. With the extensive development of ICIs treatment and the accumulation of cases, researches concentrating on other cancers can be investigated. Besides, there remains a challenging area of investigations on biological mechanisms of the association between TME and ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT imaging, and basic and translational studies are encouraged to unravel the unknowns.

New PET molecular probe imaging for ICIs treatment

In addition to ¹⁸F-FDG, researchers are also committed to developing a series of new PET molecular probes targeting the compositions of TME, some of which have entered preclinical or clinical applications (Figure 5). The principles of these designs are mostly based on the specific binding of radiolabeled antibodies, peptides, or small molecules with the corresponding targets. The emergence of new molecular imaging agents is expected to provide more accurate means to obtain dynamic information about TME for promoting individualized treatment.

PD-1/PD-L1 targeting

PD-L1 or PD-1 expression is reported to be related with prognosis after immunotherapy, and the detection of the two

biomarkers mainly depends on immunohistochemistry (IHC) of biopsy or surgery materials. However, this invasive and snapshot approach has some limitations, including the inability to reflect the heterogeneity and spatio-temporal dynamic expression of PD-L1 or PD-1 in tumor tissues, different antibody detection platforms and different thresholds leading to different results, and difficulties in obtaining histological specimens for some patients. Targeted molecular imaging can detect PD-L1 or PD-1 expression noninvasively and dynamically *in vivo* to compensate for the above shortcomings.

PD-1 imaging agents used in clinical trials include ⁸⁹Zr-pembrolizumab, ⁶⁴Cu-pembrolizumab, and ⁸⁹Zrnivolumab. The first-in-humans study of ⁸⁹Zr-pembrolizumab in patients with advanced-stage NSCLC confirmed its safety and feasibility for immunotherapy. The findings indicated that patients with higher tumor uptake for ⁸⁹Zr-pembrolizumab showed a tendency for better response to pembrolizumab (93). A later study showed that ⁸⁹Zr-pembrolizumab uptake was positively associated with PFS and OS in melanoma and NSCLC patients (94).

PD-L1 targeting imaging agents mainly include ⁸⁹Zr-atezolizumab, ⁸⁹Zr-durvalumab, and ¹⁸F-BMS-986192, all of which have been in clinical trials (95). Researchers uncovered that ⁸⁹Zr-atezolizumab uptake performed better than IHC or RNA sequencing-based predictive biomarkers in evaluating clinical responses for ICIs (96). ⁸⁹Zr-atezolizumab is also reported to be helpful in identifying RCC patients who may benefit from anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapy (97). Meanwhile, a number of preclinical studies on PD-L1-targeting molecular



probes (including antibodies, peptides, and small molecules) using nuclear medicine, MRI, or optical imaging, have been documented (98, 99).

CTLA-4 targeting

CTLA-4 is another well-known immunosuppressive checkpoint. It is expressed on T cells and binds with CD80/86 ligands on DCs with a high affinity to prevent uncontrolled expansion of activated T cells. Accordingly, the blockade of CTLA-4 with antibodies has been used in clinic as a promising option for cancer patients. CTLA-4 targeting imaging agents include ⁶⁴Cu-NOTA-ipilimumab-F(ab')2, ⁶⁴Cu-NOTA-ipilimumab, and ⁶⁴Cu-DOTA-ipilimumab (100, 101), and all of them have not yet entered clinical trials.

Other immune checkpoints targeting

Apart from PD-1, PD-L1, and CTLA-4, several novel immune checkpoint molecules, both the inhibitory and stimulatory ones, have been discovered over the past decade (43). The former molecules include lymphocyte activation gene-3 (LAG-3), T cell immunoglobulin and mucin-domain containing-3 (TIM-3), T cell immunoglobulin and ITIM domain (TIGIT), sialic acid-binding immunoglobulin-like lectin 15 (Siglec-15), and V-domain Ig suppressor of T cell activation (VISTA), which express on a variety of immune cells and exhibit inhibitory roles in the context of malignancy.

The positive immune regulators, such as glucocorticoidinduced TNFR-related gene (GITR) and tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily member 4 (OX40), are co-stimulatory molecules expressed on T cells. In addition, inducible T-cell co-stimulator (ICOS) is an indicator of T-cell-mediated immune response, and some animal experiments showed that ⁸⁹Zr-DFO-ICOS mAb targeting ICOS could monitor immunotherapy response (102, 103). With the discovery of new immune checkpoints, molecular probes targeting these targets are emerging.

T cell targeting

 $CD8^+$ TILs are an important feature reflecting TIME and significantly impact the tumorigenesis and development of tumors. Responders showed higher numbers of pre-existing $CD8^+$ Teff cells within the tumor and at tumor margins prior to ICIs therapy (104). Therefore, targeted imaging of $CD8^+$ T cells is of great significance for immunotherapy. ⁸⁹Zr-Df-IAB22M2C, a new molecular probe targeting CD8⁺ TILs, is used to assess CD8⁺ TILs in tumors accurately. The first human trial of ⁸⁹Zr-Df-IAB22M2C has proven its safety and validity in patients with solid malignancies (105). ⁸⁹Zr-PEGylated VHH-X118 has also been confirmed to have the potential for CD8⁺ TIL targeting imaging (106). Iravani et al. demonstrated that ¹⁸F-FDG and other new imaging agents, such as those targeting CD8⁺ TILs or T cell function, can be used for PET/CT imaging to guide ICI treatment in the future (107). However, due to the complexity of lymphocyte subsets, CD8⁺ TILs imaging agents can only reflect part of the overall immune effect (108). Another problem with T cell targeting imaging is to determine the optimal timing of evaluation to reasonably reflect the activation degree of T cells.

Secretory substance targeting

Secretory substances exist in the extracellular environment and participate in information transmission and effect exertion. Imaging targeting such substances, such as granzyme B and interferon-gamma (IFN- γ), has potential advantages in showing the immune treatment response. With regards to granzyme B targeted imaging, ¹⁸F-Ara-Gand and ⁶⁸Ga-NOTA-GZP can reflect the activation of CD8⁺ T cells and help to distinguish between pseudoprogression and true progression (107). Another study showed that the detection of granzyme B with ⁶⁸Ga-NOTA-GZP helps differentiate the responders from nonresponders to immunotherapy (109). ⁸⁹Zr-DFO-AN-18, a novel probe targeting IFN- γ , has been indicated to monitor the response to immunotherapy in mouse mammary tumors (110).

Conclusion

In summary, an in-depth understanding of the underlying mechanisms of cancer immunotherapy is an important cornerstone for expanding the benefits of ICIs treatment to a larger cancer population. Hence, diagnostic approaches, especially PET/CT molecular imaging, should be vigorously developed to identify patients who might benefit from ICIs treatment. Concurrently, under the guidance of PET/CT molecular imaging, clinicians can shift the paradigm to improve the outcome of cancer patients, and even facilitate the development of novel therapeutic strategies to enhance therapeutic effectiveness. It is believed that with the extensive availability of standardized protocols, various affordable imaging agents, and user-friendly analysis platforms, PET/ CT imaging will play a more important role in the era of immuno-oncology.

Author contributions

Conceptualization, ML. Methodology, ML, YG, and CW. Material preparation, YG, CW, XC, LM, XZ, JC, and XL. Writing-original draft preparation, YG and CW. Writing-review and editing, ML and XC. Funding acquisition, ML. Supervision, ML. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This study was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (82172052), Beijing Natural Science Foundation (Z210007), and National High-Level Hospital Clinical Research Funding (Interdepartmental Clinical Research Project of Peking University First Hospital) (2022CR34).

References

1. Zhang Y, Zhang Z. The history and advances in cancer immunotherapy: understanding the characteristics of tumor-infiltrating immune cells and their therapeutic implications. *Cell Mol Immunol* (2020) 17:807–21. doi: 10.1038/ s41423-020-0488-6

2. Iravani A, Hicks RJ. Imaging the cancer immune environment and its response to pharmacologic intervention, part 1: The role of (18)F-FDG PET/CT. *J Nucl Med* (2020) 61:943–50. doi: 10.2967/jnumed.119.234278

3. Dall'Olio FG, Marabelle A, Caramella C, Garcia C, Aldea M, Chaput N, et al. Tumour burden and efficacy of immune-checkpoint inhibitors. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* (2022) 19:75–90. doi: 10.1038/s41571-021-00564-3

 Park K, Veena MS, Shin DS. Key players of the immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment and emerging therapeutic strategies. *Front Cell Dev Biol* (2022) 10:830208. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2022.830208

5. Elia I, Haigis MC. Metabolites and the tumour microenvironment: from cellular mechanisms to systemic metabolism. *Nat Metab* (2021) 3:21-32. doi: 10.1038/s42255-020-00317-z

6. Leone RD, Powell JD. Metabolism of immune cells in cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* (2020) 20:516–31. doi: 10.1038/s41568-020-0273-y

7. Cascone T, McKenzie JA, Mbofung RM, Punt S, Wang Z, Xu C, et al. Increased tumor glycolysis characterizes immune resistance to adoptive T cell therapy. *Cell Metab* (2018) 27:977–987.e4. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2018.02.024

8. Angelin A, Gil-de-Gómez L, Dahiya S, Jiao J, Guo L, Levine MH, et al. Foxp3 reprograms T cell metabolism to function in low-glucose, high-lactate environments. *Cell Metab* (2017) 25:1282–1293.e7. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2016.12.018

9. Bussard KM, Mutkus L, Stumpf K, Gomez-Manzano C, Marini FC. Tumorassociated stromal cells as key contributors to the tumor microenvironment. *Breast Cancer Res* (2016) 18:84. doi: 10.1186/s13058-016-0740-2

10. Pavlides S, Whitaker-Menezes D, Castello-Cros R, Flomenberg N, Witkiewicz AK, Frank PG, et al. The reverse warburg effect: aerobic glycolysis in cancer associated fibroblasts and the tumor stroma. *Cell Cycle* (2009) 8:3984–4001. doi: 10.4161/cc.8.23.10238

11. Chen DS, Mellman I. Elements of cancer immunity and the cancer-immune set point. *Nature* (2017) 541:321-30. doi: 10.1038/nature21349

12. Hamada T, Soong TR, Masugi Y, Kosumi K, Nowak JA, da Silva A, et al. TIME (Tumor immunity in the MicroEnvironment) classification based on tumor CD274 (PD-L1) expression status and tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes in colorectal carcinomas. *Oncoimmunology* (2018) 7:e1442999. doi: 10.1080/2162402x. 2018.1442999

13. Taube JM, Anders RA, Young GD, Xu H, Sharma R, McMiller TL, et al. Colocalization of inflammatory response with B7-h1 expression in human melanocytic lesions supports an adaptive resistance mechanism of immune escape. *Sci Transl Med* (2012) 4:127ra37. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.3003689

14. Kim TK, Vandsemb EN, Herbst RS, Chen L. Adaptive immune resistance at the tumour site: mechanisms and therapeutic opportunities. *Nat Rev Drug Discovery* (2022) 21:529–40. doi: 10.1038/s41573-022-00493-5

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

15. Siska PJ, Singer K, Evert K, Renner K, Kreutz M. The immunological warburg effect: Can a metabolic-tumor-stroma score (MeTS) guide cancer immunotherapy? *Immunol Rev* (2020) 295:187–202. doi: 10.1111/imr.12846

16. Xie H, Simon MC. Oxygen availability and metabolic reprogramming in cancer. J Biol Chem (2017) 292:16825–32. doi: 10.1074/jbc.R117.799973

17. Noman MZ, Desantis G, Janji B, Hasmim M, Karray S, Dessen P, et al. PD-L1 is a novel direct target of HIF-1 α , and its blockade under hypoxia enhanced MDSC-mediated T cell activation. *J Exp Med* (2014) 211:781–90. doi: 10.1084/jem.20131916

 Riera-Domingo C, Audigé A, Granja S, Cheng WC, Ho PC, Baltazar F, et al. Immunity, hypoxia, and metabolism-the ménage à trois of cancer: Implications for immunotherapy. *Physiol Rev* (2020) 100:1–102. doi: 10.1152/ physrev.00018.2019

19. Zhao L, Liu J, Wang H, Shi J. Association between (18)F-FDG metabolic activity and programmed death ligand-1 (PD-L1) expression using 22C3 immunohistochemistry assays in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) resection specimens. *Br J Radiol* (2021) 94:20200397. doi: 10.1259/bjr.20200397

20. Zhao L, Liu J, Shi J, Wang H. Relationship between SP142 PD-L1 expression and (18)F-FDG uptake in non-Small-Cell lung cancer. *Contrast Media Mol Imaging* (2020) 2020:2010924. doi: 10.1155/2020/2010924

21. Mitchell KG, Amini B, Wang Y, Carter BW, Godoy MCB, Parra ER, et al. (18)F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography correlates with tumor immunometabolic phenotypes in resected lung cancer. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* (2020) 69:1519–34. doi: 10.1007/s00262-020-02560-5

22. Wang Y, Zhao N, Wu Z, Pan N, Shen X, Liu T, et al. New insight on the correlation of metabolic status on (18)F-FDG PET/CT with immune marker expression in patients with non-small cell lung cancer. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* (2020) 47:1127–36. doi: 10.1007/s00259-019-04500-7

23. Miyazawa T, Otsubo K, Sakai H, Kimura H, Chosokabe M, Morikawa K, et al. Combining PD-L1 expression and standardized uptake values in FDG-PET/ CT can predict prognosis in patients with resectable non-Small-Cell lung cancer. *Cancer Control* (2021) 28:10732748211038314. doi: 10.1177/10732748211038314

24. Wu X, Huang Y, Zhao Q, Wang L, Song X, Li Y, et al. PD-L1 expression correlation with metabolic parameters of FDG PET/CT and clinicopathological characteristics in non-small cell lung cancer. *EJNMMI Res* (2020) 10:51. doi: 10.1186/s13550-020-00639-9

25. Wang L, Ruan M, Lei B, Yan H, Sun X, Chang C, et al. The potential of (18) F-FDG PET/CT in predicting PDL1 expression status in pulmonary lesions of untreated stage IIIB-IV non-small-cell lung cancer. *Lung Cancer* (2020) 150:44–52. doi: 10.1016/j.lungcan.2020.10.004

26. Lopci E, Toschi L, Grizzi F, Rahal D, Olivari L, Castino GF, et al. Correlation of metabolic information on FDG-PET with tissue expression of immune markers in patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) who are candidates for upfront surgery. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* (2016) 43:1954–61. doi: 10.1007/ s00259-016-3425-2

27. Jreige M, Letovanec I, Chaba K, Renaud S, Rusakiewicz S, Cristina V, et al. (18)F-FDG PET metabolic-to-morphological volume ratio predicts PD-L1 tumour expression and response to PD-1 blockade in non-small-cell lung cancer. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* (2019) 46:1859–68. doi: 10.1007/s00259-019-04348-x

28. Li J, Ge S, Sang S, Hu C, Deng S. Evaluation of PD-L1 expression level in patients with non-small cell lung cancer by (18)F-FDG PET/CT radiomics and clinicopathological characteristics. *Front Oncol* (2021) 11:789014. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2021.789014

29. Mu W, Jiang L, Shi Y, Tunali I, Gray JE, Katsoulakis E, et al. Non-invasive measurement of PD-L1 status and prediction of immunotherapy response using deep learning of PET/CT images. *J Immunother Cancer* (2021) 9(6):e002118. doi: 10.1136/jitc-2020-002118

30. Monaco L, De Bernardi E, Bono F, Cortinovis D, Crivellaro C, Elisei F, et al. The "digital biopsy" in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): a pilot study to predict the PD-L1 status from radiomics features of [18F]FDG PET/CT. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* (2022) 49(10):3401–11. doi: 10.1007/s00259-022-05783-z

31. Zhou J, Zou S, Kuang D, Yan J, Zhao J, Zhu X. A novel approach using FDG-PET/CT-Based radiomics to assess tumor immune phenotypes in patients with non-small cell lung cancer. *Front Oncol* (2021) 11:769272. doi: 10.3389/ fonc.2021.769272

32. Wu C, Cui Y, Liu J, Ma L, Xiong Y, Gong Y, et al. Noninvasive evaluation of tumor immune microenvironment in patients with clear cell renal cell carcinoma using metabolic parameter from preoperative 2-[(18)F]FDG PET/CT. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* (2021) 48:4054–66. doi: 10.1007/s00259-021-05399-9

33. Hirakata T, Fujii T, Kurozumi S, Katayama A, Honda C, Yanai K, et al. FDG uptake reflects breast cancer immunological features: the PD-L1 expression and degree of TILs in primary breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2020) 181:331–8. doi: 10.1007/s10549-020-05619-0

34. Park S, Min EK, Bae SJ, Cha C, Kim D, Lee J, et al. Relationship of the standard uptake value of (18)F-FDG-PET-CT with tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes in breast tumors measuring \geq 1 cm. *Sci Rep* (2021) 11:12046. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-91404-y

35. Sasada S, Shiroma N, Goda N, Kajitani K, Emi A, Masumoto N, et al. The relationship between ring-type dedicated breast PET and immune microenvironment in early breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2019) 177:651-7. doi: 10.1007/s10549-019-05339-0

36. Lee S, Choi S, Kim SY, Yun MJ, Kim HI. Potential utility of FDG PET-CT as a non-invasive tool for monitoring local immune responses. *J Gastric Cancer* (2017) 17:384–93. doi: 10.5230/jgc.2017.17.e43

37. Jiang H, Zhang R, Jiang H, Zhang M, Guo W, Zhang J, et al. Retrospective analysis of the prognostic value of PD-L1 expression and (18)F-FDG PET/CT metabolic parameters in colorectal cancer. *J Cancer* (2020) 11:2864–73. doi: 10.7150/jca.38689

38. Chen R, Zhou X, Liu J, Huang G. Relationship between the expression of PD-1/PD-L1 and (18)F-FDG uptake in bladder cancer. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* (2019) 46:848–54. doi: 10.1007/s00259-018-4208-8

39. Zhao L, Zhuang Y, Fu K, Chen P, Wang Y, Zhuo J, et al. Usefulness of [(18) F]fluorodeoxyglucose PET/CT for evaluating the PD-L1 status in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* (2020) 47:1065–74. doi: 10.1007/s00259-019-04654-4

40. Togo M, Yokobori T, Shimizu K, Handa T, Kaira K, Sano T, et al. Diagnostic value of (18)F-FDG-PET to predict the tumour immune status defined by tumoural PD-L1 and CD8(+)tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes in oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Br J Cancer* (2020) 122:1686–94. doi: 10.1038/ s41416-020-0820-z

41. Seol HY, Kim YS, Kim SJ. Diagnostic test accuracy of (18)F-FDG PET/CT for prediction of programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1) expression in solid tumours: a meta-analysis. *Clin Radiol* (2021) 76:863.e19–863.e25. doi: 10.1016/j.crad.2021.06.012

42. Zhou J, Zou S, Cheng S, Kuang D, Li D, Chen L, et al. Correlation between dual-Time-Point FDG PET and tumor microenvironment immune types in nonsmall cell lung cancer. *Front Oncol* (2021) 11:559623. doi: 10.3389/ fonc.2021.559623

43. Morad G, Helmink BA, Sharma P, Wargo JA. Hallmarks of response, resistance, and toxicity to immune checkpoint blockade. *Cell* (2021) 184:5309–37. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2021.09.020

44. Darnell EP, Mooradian MJ, Baruch EN, Yilmaz M, Reynolds KL. Immunerelated adverse events (irAEs): Diagnosis, management, and clinical pearls. *Curr Oncol Rep* (2020) 22:39. doi: 10.1007/s11912-020-0897-9

45. Nobashi T, Mittra E. PD-1 blockade-induced inflammatory arthritis. Radiology (2018) 289:616. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2018181532

46. Wang DY, Salem JE, Cohen JV, Chandra S, Menzer C, Ye F, et al. Fatal toxic effects associated with immune checkpoint inhibitors: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Oncol* (2018) 4:1721-8. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2018.3923

47. Das S, Johnson DB. Immune-related adverse events and anti-tumor efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitors. *J Immunother Cancer* (2019) 7:306. doi: 10.1186/s40425-019-0805-8

48. Fan Y, Xie W, Huang H, Wang Y, Li G, Geng Y, et al. Association of immune related adverse events with efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitors and overall survival in cancers: A systemic review and meta-analysis. *Front Oncol* (2021) 11:633032. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2021.633032

49. Haratani K, Hayashi H, Chiba Y, Kudo K, Yonesaka K, Kato R, et al. Association of immune-related adverse events with nivolumab efficacy in non-Small-Cell lung cancer. *JAMA Oncol* (2018) 4:374-8. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2017.2925

50. Paderi A, Giorgione R, Giommoni E, Mela MM, Rossi V, Doni L, et al. Association between immune related adverse events and outcome in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors. *Cancers (Basel)* (2021) 13(4):860. doi: 10.3390/cancers13040860

51. Kijima T, Fukushima H, Kusuhara S, Tanaka H, Yoshida S, Yokoyama M, et al. Association between the occurrence and spectrum of immune-related adverse events and efficacy of pembrolizumab in Asian patients with advanced urothelial cancer: Multicenter retrospective analyses and systematic literature review. *Clin Genitourin Cancer* (2021) 19:208–216.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.clgc.2020.07.003

52. Nobashi T, Baratto L, Reddy SA, Srinivas S, Toriihara A, Hatami N, et al. Predicting response to immunotherapy by evaluating tumors, lymphoid cell-rich organs, and immune-related adverse events using FDG-PET/CT. *Clin Nucl Med* (2019) 44:e272–9. doi: 10.1097/rlu.00000000002453

53. Wang D, Chen C, Gu Y, Lu W, Zhan P, Liu H, et al. Immune-related adverse events predict the efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitors in lung cancer patients: A meta-analysis. *Front Oncol* (2021) 11:631949. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2021.631949

54. Morimoto K, Yamada T, Takumi C, Ogura Y, Takeda T, Onoi K, et al. Immune-related adverse events are associated with clinical benefit in patients with non-Small-Cell lung cancer treated with immunotherapy plus chemotherapy: A retrospective study. *Front Oncol* (2021) 11:630136. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2021.630136

55. Tang YZ, Szabados B, Leung C, Sahdev A. Adverse effects and radiological manifestations of new immunotherapy agents. *Br J Radiol* (2019) 92:20180164. doi: 10.1259/bjr.20180164

56. Hribernik N, Huff DT, Studen A, Zevnik K, Klaneček Ž, Emamekhoo H, et al. Quantitative imaging biomarkers of immune-related adverse events in immune-checkpoint blockade-treated metastatic melanoma patients: a pilot study. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging (2022) 49:1857–69. doi: 10.1007/s00259-021-05650-3

57. Eshghi N, Garland LL, Nia E, Betancourt R, Krupinski E, Kuo PH. (18)F-FDG PET/CT can predict development of thyroiditis due to immunotherapy for lung cancer. J Nucl Med Technol (2018) 46:260–4. doi: 10.2967/jnmt.117.204933

58. Das JP, Postow MA, Friedman CF, Do RK, Halpenny DF. Imaging findings of immune checkpoint inhibitor associated pancreatitis. *Eur J Radiol* (2020) 131:109250. doi: 10.1016/j.ejrad.2020.109250

59. Eisenhauer EA, Therasse P, Bogaerts J, Schwartz LH, Sargent D, Ford R, et al. New response evaluation criteria in solid tumours: revised RECIST guideline (version 1.1). *Eur J Cancer* (2009) 45:228–47. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2008.10.026

60. Seymour L, Bogaerts J, Perrone A, Ford R, Schwartz LH, Mandrekar S, et al. iRECIST: guidelines for response criteria for use in trials testing immunotherapeutics. *Lancet Oncol* (2017) 18:e143-52. doi: 10.1016/s1470-2045 (17)30074-8

61. Hodi FS, Ballinger M, Lyons B, Soria JC, Nishino M, Tabernero J, et al. Immune-modified response evaluation criteria in solid tumors (imRECIST): Refining guidelines to assess the clinical benefit of cancer immunotherapy. J Clin Oncol (2018) 36:850–8. doi: 10.1200/jco.2017.75.1644

62. Young H, Baum R, Cremerius U, Herholz K, Hoekstra O, Lammertsma AA, et al. Measurement of clinical and subclinical tumour response using [18F]-fluorodeoxyglucose and positron emission tomography: review and 1999 EORTC recommendations. European organization for research and treatment of cancer (EORTC) PET study group. *Eur J Cancer* (1999) 35:1773–82. doi: 10.1016/s0959-8049(99)00229-4

63. Wahl RL, Jacene H, Kasamon Y, Lodge MA. From RECIST to PERCIST: Evolving considerations for PET response criteria in solid tumors. *J Nucl Med* (2009) 50 Suppl 1:122s-50s. doi: 10.2967/jnumed.108.057307

64. Goldfarb L, Duchemann B, Chouahnia K, Zelek L, Soussan M. Monitoring anti-PD-1-based immunotherapy in non-small cell lung cancer with FDG PET: introduction of iPERCIST. *EJNMMI Res* (2019) 9:8. doi: 10.1186/s13550-019-0473-1

65. Ito K, Teng R, Schöder H, Humm JL, Ni A, Michaud L, et al. (18)F-FDG PET/CT for monitoring of ipilimumab therapy in patients with metastatic melanoma. *J Nucl Med* (2019) 60:335–41. doi: 10.2967/jnumed.118.213652

66. Anwar H, Sachpekidis C, Winkler J, Kopp-Schneider A, Haberkorn U, Hassel JC, et al. Absolute number of new lesions on (18)F-FDG PET/CT is more predictive of clinical response than SUV changes in metastatic melanoma patients

receiving ipilimumab. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging (2018) 45:376-83. doi: 10.1007/s00259-017-3870-6

67. Sachpekidis C, Anwar H, Winkler J, Kopp-Schneider A, Larribere L, Haberkorn U, et al. The role of interim (18)F-FDG PET/CT in prediction of response to ipilimumab treatment in metastatic melanoma. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* (2018) 45:1289–96. doi: 10.1007/s00259-018-3972-9

68. Sachpekidis C, Kopp-Schneider A, Hakim-Meibodi L, Dimitrakopoulou-Strauss A, Hassel JC. ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT longitudinal studies in patients with advanced metastatic melanoma for response evaluation of combination treatment with vemurafenib and ipilimumab. *Melanoma Res* (2019) 29:178–86. doi: 10.1097/cmr.000000000000541

69. Beer L, Hochmair M, Haug AR, Schwabel B, Kifjak D, Wadsak W, et al. Comparison of RECIST, iRECIST, and PERCIST for the evaluation of response to PD-1/PD-L1 blockade therapy in patients with non-small cell lung cancer. *Clin Nucl Med* (2019) 44:535–43. doi: 10.1097/rlu.000000000002603

70. Castello A, Rossi S, Toschi L, Lopci E. Comparison of metabolic and morphological response criteria for early prediction of response and survival in NSCLC patients treated with anti-PD-1/PD-L1. *Front Oncol* (2020) 10:1090. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2020.01090

71. Dimitriou F, Lo SN, Tan AC, Emmett L, Kapoor R, Carlino MS, et al. FDG-PET to predict long-term outcome from anti-PD-1 therapy in metastatic melanoma. *Ann Oncol* (2021) 33(1):99–106. doi: 10.1016/j.annonc.2021.10.003

72. Kitajima K, Watabe T, Nakajo M, Ishibashi M, Daisaki H, Soeda F, et al. Tumor response evaluation in patients with malignant melanoma undergoing immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy and prognosis prediction using (18)F-FDG PET/CT: multicenter study for comparison of EORTC, PERCIST, and imPERCIST. Jpn J Radiol (2022) 40:75-85. doi: 10.1007/s11604-021-01174-w

73. Zhu J, Armstrong AJ, Friedlander TW, Kim W, Pal SK, George DJ, et al. Biomarkers of immunotherapy in urothelial and renal cell carcinoma: PD-L1, tumor mutational burden, and beyond. *J Immunother Cancer* (2018) 6:4. doi: 10.1186/s40425-018-0314-1

74. Brody R, Zhang Y, Ballas M, Siddiqui MK, Gupta P, Barker C, et al. PD-L1 expression in advanced NSCLC: Insights into risk stratification and treatment selection from a systematic literature review. *Lung Cancer* (2017) 112:200–15. doi: 10.1016/j.lungcan.2017.08.005

75. Seban RD, Nemer JS, Marabelle A, Yeh R, Deutsch E, Ammari S, et al. Prognostic and theranostic ¹⁸F-FDG PET biomarkers for anti-PD1 immunotherapy in metastatic melanoma: association with outcome and transcriptomics. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* (2019) 46:2298–310. doi: 10.1007/s00259-019-04411-7

76. Ito K, Schöder H, Teng R, Humm JL, Ni A, Wolchok JD, et al. Prognostic value of baseline metabolic tumor volume measured on (18)F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/computed tomography in melanoma patients treated with ipilimumab therapy. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* (2019) 46:930–9. doi: 10.1007/s00259-018-4211-0

77. Seban RD, Mezquita L, Berenbaum A, Dercle L, Botticella A, Le Pechoux C, et al. Baseline metabolic tumor burden on FDG PET/CT scans predicts outcome in advanced NSCLC patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* (2020) 47:1147–57. doi: 10.1007/s00259-019-04615-x

78. Hashimoto K, Kaira K, Yamaguchi O, Mouri A, Shiono A, Miura Y, et al. Potential of FDG-PET as prognostic significance after anti-PD-1 antibody against patients with previously treated non-small cell lung cancer. *J Clin Med* (2020) 9 (3):725. doi: 10.3390/jcm9030725

79. Castello A, Rossi S, Mazziotti E, Toschi L, Lopci E. Hyperprogressive disease in patients with non-small cell lung cancer treated with checkpoint inhibitors: The role of (18)F-FDG PET/CT. *J Nucl Med* (2020) 61:821–6. doi: 10.2967/jnumed.119.237768

80. Yamaguchi O, Kaira K, Hashimoto K, Mouri A, Shiono A, Miura Y, et al. Tumor metabolic volume by (18)F-FDG-PET as a prognostic predictor of first-line pembrolizumab for NSCLC patients with PD-L1 \geq 50. *Sci Rep* (2020) 10:14990. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-71735-y

81. Polverari G, Ceci F, Bertaglia V, Reale ML, Rampado O, Gallio E, et al. (18) F-FDG pet parameters and radiomics features analysis in advanced nsclc treated with immunotherapy as predictors of therapy response and survival. *Cancers* (*Basel*) (2020) 12(5):1163. doi: 10.3390/cancers12051163

82. Chardin D, Paquet M, Schiappa R, Darcourt J, Bailleux C, Poudenx M, et al. Baseline metabolic tumor volume as a strong predictive and prognostic biomarker in patients with non-small cell lung cancer treated with PD1 inhibitors: a prospective study. *J Immunother Cancer* (2020) 8(2):e000645. doi: 10.1136/jitc-2020-000645

83. Seban RD, Assie JB, Giroux-Leprieur E, Massiani MA, Soussan M, Bonardel G, et al. FDG-PET biomarkers associated with long-term benefit from first-line immunotherapy in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *Ann Nucl Med* (2020) 34:968-74. doi: 10.1007/s12149-020-01539-7

84. Wong A, Callahan J, Keyaerts M, Neyns B, Mangana J, Aberle S, et al. (18)F-FDG PET/CT based spleen to liver ratio associates with clinical outcome to ipilimumab in patients with metastatic melanoma. Cancer Imaging (2020) 20:36. doi: 10.1186/s40644-020-00313-2

85. Seban RD, Moya-Plana A, Antonios L, Yeh R, Marabelle A, Deutsch E, et al. Prognostic ¹⁸F-FDG PET biomarkers in metastatic mucosal and cutaneous melanoma treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors targeting PD-1 and CTLA-4. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* (2020) 47:2301–12. doi: 10.1007/s00259-020-04757-3

86. Dall'Olio FG, Calabrò D, Conci N, Argalia G, Marchese PV, Fabbri F, et al. Baseline total metabolic tumour volume on 2-deoxy-2-[18F]fluoro-d-glucose positron emission tomography-computed tomography as a promising biomarker in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer treated with first-line pembrolizumab. *Eur J Cancer* (2021) 150:99–107. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2021.03.020

87. Vekens K, Everaert H, Neyns B, Ilsen B, Decoster L. The value of (18)F-FDG PET/CT in predicting the response to PD-1 blocking immunotherapy in advanced NSCLC patients with high-level PD-L1 expression. *Clin Lung Cancer* (2021) 22:432–40. doi: 10.1016/j.cllc.2021.03.001

88. Bauckneht M, Genova C, Rossi G, Rijavec E, Dal Bello MG, Ferrarazzo G, et al. The role of the immune metabolic prognostic index in patients with nonsmall cell lung cancer (NSCLC) in radiological progression during treatment with nivolumab. *Cancers (Basel)* (2021) 13(13):3117. doi: 10.3390/cancers13133117

89. Awada G, Jansen Y, Schwarze JK, Tijtgat J, Hellinckx L, Gondry O, et al. A comprehensive analysis of baseline clinical characteristics and biomarkers associated with outcome in advanced melanoma patients treated with pembrolizumab. *Cancers (Basel)* (2021) 13(2):168. doi: 10.3390/cancers13020168

90. Gulturk I, Yilmaz M, Yildiz Tacar S, Tural D. Prognostic importance of SUV-max values evaluated by ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT before nivolumab treatment in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma. *Q J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* (2021). doi: 10.23736/s1824-4785.21.03395-1

91. Mu W, Jiang L, Zhang J, Shi Y, Gray JE, Tunali I, et al. Non-invasive decision support for NSCLC treatment using PET/CT radiomics. *Nat Commun* (2020) 11:5228. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-19116-x

92. Mu W, Tunali I, Gray JE, Qi J, Schabath MB, Gillies RJ. Radiomics of (18)F-FDG PET/CT images predicts clinical benefit of advanced NSCLC patients to checkpoint blockade immunotherapy. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* (2020) 47:1168–82. doi: 10.1007/s00259-019-04625-9

93. Niemeijer AN, Oprea-Lager DE, Huisman MC, Hoekstra OS, Boellaard R, de Wit-van der Veen BJ, et al. Study of (89)Zr-pembrolizumab PET/CT in patients with advanced-stage non-small cell lung cancer. *J Nucl Med* (2022) 63:362–7. doi: 10.2967/jnumed.121.261926

94. Kok IC, Hooiveld JS, van de Donk PP, Giesen D, van der Veen EL, Lub-de Hooge MN, et al. (89)Zr-pembrolizumab imaging as a non-invasive approach to assess clinical response to PD-1 blockade in cancer. *Ann Oncol* (2022) 33:80–8. doi: 10.1016/j.annonc.2021.10.213

95. Rakhshandehroo T, Smith BR, Glockner HJ, Rashidian M, Pandit-Taskar N. Molecular immune targeted imaging of tumor microenvironment. *Nanotheranostics* (2022) 6:286–305. doi: 10.7150/ntno.66556

96. Bensch F, van der Veen EL, Lub-de Hooge MN, Jorritsma-Smit A, Boellaard R, Kok IC, et al. (89)Zr-atezolizumab imaging as a non-invasive approach to assess clinical response to PD-L1 blockade in cancer. *Nat Med* (2018) 24:1852–8. doi: 10.1038/s41591-018-0255-8

97. Vento J, Mulgaonkar A, Woolford L, Nham K, Christie A, Bagrodia A, et al. PD-L1 detection using (89)Zr-atezolizumab immuno-PET in renal cell carcinoma tumorgrafts from a patient with favorable nivolumab response. *J Immunother Cancer* (2019) 7:144. doi: 10.1186/s40425-019-0607-z

98. Gao H, Wu Y, Shi J, Zhang X, Liu T, Hu B, et al. Nuclear imaging-guided PD-L1 blockade therapy increases effectiveness of cancer immunotherapy. *J Immunother Cancer* (2020) 8(2):e001156. doi: 10.1136/jitc-2020-001156

99. Du Y, Jin Y, Sun W, Fang J, Zheng J, Tian J. Advances in molecular imaging of immune checkpoint targets in malignancies: current and future prospect. *Eur Radiol* (2019) 29:4294–302. doi: 10.1007/s00330-018-5814-3

100. Ehlerding EB, Lee HJ, Jiang D, Ferreira CA, Zahm CD, Huang P, et al. Antibody and fragment-based PET imaging of CTLA-4+ T-cells in humanized mouse models. *Am J Cancer Res* (2019) 9:53–63.

101. Ehlerding EB, England CG, Majewski RL, Valdovinos HF, Jiang D, Liu G, et al. ImmunoPET imaging of CTLA-4 expression in mouse models of non-small cell lung cancer. *Mol Pharm* (2017) 14:1782–9. doi: 10.1021/acs.molpharmaceut.7b00056

102. Xiao Z, Mayer AT, Nobashi TW, Gambhir SS. ICOS is an indicator of T-cell-Mediated response to cancer immunotherapy. *Cancer Res* (2020) 80:3023–32. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.Can-19-3265

103. Simonetta F, Alam IS, Lohmeyer JK, Sahaf B, Good Z, Chen W, et al. Molecular imaging of chimeric antigen receptor T cells by ICOS-ImmunoPET. *Clin Cancer Res* (2021) 27:1058–68. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.Ccr-20-2770

104. Tumeh PC, Harview CL, Yearley JH, Shintaku IP, Taylor EJ, Robert L, et al. PD-1 blockade induces responses by inhibiting adaptive immune resistance. *Nature* (2014) 515:568–71. doi: 10.1038/nature13954

105. Pandit-Taskar N, Postow MA, Hellmann MD, Harding JJ, Barker CA, O'Donoghue JA, et al. First-in-Humans imaging with (89)Zr-Df-IAB22M2C anti-CD8 minibody in patients with solid malignancies: Preliminary pharmacokinetics, biodistribution, and lesion targeting. *J Nucl Med* (2020) 61:512–9. doi: 10.2967/ jnumed.119.229781

. 106. Rashidian M, Ingram JR, Dougan M, Dongre A, Whang KA, LeGall C, et al. Predicting the response to CTLA-4 blockade by longitudinal noninvasive monitoring of CD8 T cells. *J Exp Med* (2017) 214:2243–55. doi: 10.1084/ jem.20161950

107. Iravani A, Hicks RJ. Imaging the cancer immune environment and its response to pharmacologic intervention, part 2: The role of novel PET agents. J Nucl Med (2020) 61:1553–9. doi: 10.2967/jnumed.120.248823

108. van de Donk PP, Kist de Ruijter L, Lub-de Hooge MN, Brouwers AH, van der Wekken AJ, Oosting SF, et al. Molecular imaging biomarkers for immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy. *Theranostics* (2020) 10:1708–18. doi: 10.7150/thno.38339

109. Larimer BM, Wehrenberg-Klee E, Dubois F, Mehta A, Kalomeris T, Flaherty K, et al. Granzyme b PET imaging as a predictive biomarker of immunotherapy response. *Cancer Res* (2017) 77:2318–27. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.Can-16-3346

110. Gibson HM, McKnight BN, Malysa A, Dyson G, Wiesend WN, McCarthy CE, et al. IFN γ PET imaging as a predictive tool for monitoring response to tumor immunotherapy. *Cancer Res* (2018) 78:5706–17. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.Can-18-0253