#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jason Newton, University of Glasgow, United Kingdom

#### REVIEWED BY

Yik Hei Sung, Lingnan University, China André Sucena Afonso, Center for Marine and Environmental Sciences (MARE), Portugal

\*CORRESPONDENCE Calandra N. Turner Tomaszewicz cali.turner@noaa.gov

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Population, Community, and Ecosystem Dynamics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution

RECEIVED 30 June 2022 ACCEPTED 01 August 2022 PUBLISHED 24 August 2022

#### CITATION

Turner Tomaszewicz CN, Liles MJ, Avens L and Seminoff JA (2022) Tracking movements and growth of post-hatchling to adult hawksbill sea turtles using skeleto+iso. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* 10:983260. doi: [10.3389/fevo.2022.983260](https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2022.983260)

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Turner Tomaszewicz, Liles, Avens and Seminoff. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Commons](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Attribution](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [\(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Tracking movements and growth of post-hatchling to [adult hawksbill sea turtles using](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fevo.2022.983260/full) skeleto+iso

### Calandra N. Turner Tomaszewicz<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>, Michael J. Liles<sup>4</sup>, Larisa Avens<sup>5</sup> and Jeffrey A. Seminoff<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>National Research Council, Washington, DC, United States, <sup>2</sup>The Ocean Foundation, San Diego, CA, United States, <sup>3</sup>NOAA National Marine Fisheries Service, Southwest Fisheries Science Center, La Jolla, CA, United States, <sup>4</sup>Asociación ProCosta, Eastern Pacific Hawksbill Initiative (ICAPO), San Salvador, El Salvador, <sup>5</sup>NOAA National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Fisheries Science Center, Beaufort, CA, United States

In the eastern Pacific Ocean, hawksbill sea turtles (*Eretmochelys imbricata*) are adapted to use coastal habitats and ecosystems uncharacteristic of most other sea turtles. Once considered extirpated from this region, hawksbills had sought refuge in estuaries, nesting on muddy banks among the tangles of mangrove roots. This population is at high risk of bycatch during fishing efforts in the estuaries (blast fishing) and adjacent coastal rocky reefs (gillnets), and is further impacted by habitat degradation from coastal development and climate change. The conservation and population recovery of hawksbills in this region is highly dependent on management actions (e.g., nest relocation, habitat protection, bycatch mitigation), and a better understanding of how hawksbills use and move between distinct habitats will help prioritize conservation efforts. To identify multi-year habitat use and movement patterns, we used stable carbon ( $\delta^{13}$ C) and nitrogen ( $\delta^{15}$ N) isotope analysis of skin and bone growth layers to recreate movements between two isotopically distinct habitats, a nearshore rocky reef and a mangrove estuary, the latter distinguishable by low  $\delta^{13}C$  and  $\delta^{15}N$  values characteristic of a mangrove-based foodweb. We applied skeletochronology with sequential  $\delta^{13}C$  and  $\delta^{15}N$  analysis of annual growth layers, "skeleto+iso," to a dataset of 70 hawksbill humeri collected from coastal El Salvador. The results revealed at least two unique habitat-use patterns. All turtles, regardless of stranding location, spent time outside of the mangrove estuaries during their early juvenile years ( $<$  35 cm curved carapace length, CCL, age 0-5), showing that an oceanic juvenile stage is likely for this population. Juveniles *ca.* > 35 cm then began to recruit to nearshore areas, but showed divergent habitat-use as some of turtles occupied the coastal rocky reefs, while others settled into the mangrove estuaries. For turtles recruiting to the estuaries, settlement age and size ranged from 3 to 13 years and 35–65 cm CCL. For the adult turtles, age-at-sexual-maturity ranged from 16 to 26 years, and the

maximum reproductive longevity observed was 33 years. The skeleto+iso also showed that adult hawksbills have long-term habitat fidelity, and the results demonstrate the importance of both mangrove estuary and nearshore rocky reefs to the conservation of hawksbills in the eastern Pacific.

KEYWORDS

sea turtle, stable isotopes, post-hatchlings, habitat use, conservation

# Introduction

Hawksbill sea turtles (Eretmochelys imbricata) are a globally distributed species, considered critically endangered throughout their range [\(IUCN,](#page-15-0) [2018\)](#page-15-0). Their unique keratinized carapace shells have made them the target of harvesting for the nowillegal tortoiseshell or bekko trade, and several populations are still recovering from this past historical harvesting [\(Limpus](#page-15-1) [and Miller,](#page-15-1) [1990;](#page-15-1) [LaCasella et al.,](#page-15-2) [2021\)](#page-15-2). In the eastern Pacific Ocean, hawksbills were once considered extirpated from the region, but were scientifically "rediscovered" in 2007 [\(Vásquez](#page-16-0) [and Liles,](#page-16-0) [2008;](#page-16-0) [Gaos and Yañez,](#page-15-3) [2012\)](#page-15-3). This unique population of hawksbills in the eastern Pacific (EP) had sought refuge in dense mangrove estuaries, nesting in the sand banks dispersed among mangrove roots, an atypical behavior for sea turtles, in addition to more typical scattered nesting at contiguous, open-coast sandy beach sites [\(Bolten,](#page-14-0) [2003;](#page-14-0) [Gaos et al.,](#page-15-4) [2010,](#page-15-4) [2012a\)](#page-15-5). This population remains at high risk of bycatch during fishing efforts in the estuaries (blast fishing; [Liles et al.,](#page-15-6) [2011;](#page-15-6) [Wedemeyer-Strombel et al.,](#page-16-1) [2021\)](#page-16-1) and adjacent coastal rocky reefs (bottom-set gillnets; [Liles et al.,](#page-15-7) [2017\)](#page-15-7), and is further impacted by habitat degradation from coastal development and climate change. The conservation and population recovery of hawksbills in the EP is highly dependent on management actions (i.e., nest relocation, habitat protection, bycatch mitigation), and a better understanding of how hawksbills use and move between distinct habitats that will help prioritize conservation efforts [\(Liles et al.,](#page-15-8) [2015a,](#page-15-8)[b,](#page-15-9) [2019\)](#page-15-10).

Satellite tags and mark-recapture efforts using flipper or internal (PIT) tags are often the best methods for tracking sea turtle movements within and between habitats, as well as attempting to estimate residency duration in specific habitats [\(Plotkin,](#page-15-11) [2003;](#page-15-11) [Godley et al.,](#page-15-12) [2008;](#page-15-12) [Hays and Hawkes,](#page-15-13) [2018\)](#page-15-13). Yet these methods cannot easily provide sequential multi-year data on sea turtle location and movement because satellite tags rarely remain attached for more than ∼3 years (e.g., [Hawkes](#page-15-14) [et al.,](#page-15-14) [2012\)](#page-15-14), and are difficult to place on small and or rapidlygrowing juveniles [\(Mansfield et al.,](#page-15-15) [2021\)](#page-15-15). Moreover, flipper and PIT tags can only provide information after the turtle has been captured once and tagged, after which data generation is limited to moments in time when the turtle is actively recaptured during on-site monitoring or recovered dead. As a

result, these traditional methods leave gaps in our knowledge about the movements and life history patterns of these longlived animals. Alternative methods, however, are emerging to help generate and recreate sequential multi-year habitat use data, including photo-identification [\(Dunbar et al.,](#page-14-1) [2021\)](#page-14-1), often with the help of community scientists (e.g., [Hanna et al.,](#page-15-16) [2021\)](#page-15-16), and the combination of skeletochronology (the study of growth layers) with stable isotope analysis "skeleto+iso" [\(Snover et al.,](#page-16-2) [2010;](#page-16-2) [Avens et al.,](#page-14-2) [2013,](#page-14-2) [2021;](#page-14-3) [Ramirez et al.,](#page-16-3) [2015;](#page-16-3) [Turner](#page-16-4) [Tomaszewicz et al.,](#page-16-4) [2016,](#page-16-4) [2017a\)](#page-16-5).

Skeletochronology analysis produces time-series data on individual turtles that includes estimated age, body size, annual growth, and calendar year for each growth layer identified within a bone [\(Avens et al.,](#page-14-4) [2015;](#page-14-4) [Turner Tomaszewicz et al.,](#page-16-6) [2015b,](#page-16-6) [2017a;](#page-16-5) [Goshe et al.,](#page-15-17) [2020\)](#page-15-17). The onset of maturity can also be estimated during the analysis, producing key demographic parameters such as age- and size-at-sexual-maturation (ASM, SSM) and reproductive longevity [\(Avens et al.,](#page-14-5) [2020;](#page-14-5) [Turner](#page-16-7) [Tomaszewicz et al.,](#page-16-7) [2022\)](#page-16-7). When these data are used to guide precision sampling of individual bone annual growth layers for stable isotope analysis [\(Turner Tomaszewicz et al.,](#page-16-4) [2016\)](#page-16-4), biogeochemical data are added to the dataset and can inform habitat use and diet for each year as well. The result is a multiyear, sequential record for individual turtles on age- and sizespecific habitat location, diet, and growth for specific calendar years. For long-lived and migratory species like sea turtles, this skeleto+iso method can recreate movements of hard-to-study life stages, such as post-hatchlings and young juveniles, or longterm foraging patterns of adults, which are important pieces of information to guide habitat-specific conservation efforts.

The application of stable isotopes to track animal movement is especially useful when there are at least two isotopically distinct habitats being considered [\(Seminoff et al.,](#page-16-8) [2012;](#page-16-8) [Ramirez](#page-16-3) [et al.,](#page-16-3) [2015;](#page-16-3) [Vander Zanden et al.,](#page-16-9) [2015;](#page-16-9) [Turner Tomaszewicz](#page-16-5) [et al.,](#page-16-5) [2017a\)](#page-16-5). A variety of physical and biological factors affect the stable isotope values in animals. Following the "you are what you eat" analogy, diet is reflective in consumer tissues [\(Fry,](#page-15-18) [2006\)](#page-15-18), and differences across landscapes (and oceanscapes) also affect isotope patterns in animals [\(West et al.,](#page-16-10) [2006;](#page-16-10) [Hobson](#page-15-19) [and Wassenaar,](#page-15-19) [2018\)](#page-15-19). The isotopic values at the base of the foodweb in a particular location are largely dictated by the dominant nutrient cycling processes in each area [\(Montoya,](#page-15-20)

[2008;](#page-15-20) [McMahon et al.,](#page-15-21) [2013\)](#page-15-21). For example, in open ocean habitats where primary productivity is relatively low, stable carbon isotope values ( $\delta^{13}$ C) are typically lower in comparison to other high productivity regions such as coastal neritic zones [\(DeNiro and Epstein,](#page-14-6) [1978;](#page-14-6) [Oczkowski et al.,](#page-15-22) [2016;](#page-15-22) [Espinasse](#page-14-7) [et al.,](#page-14-7) [2019\)](#page-14-7). An exception to this low-to-high pattern moving from offshore-to-near shore occurs in some coastal areas where the  $\delta^{13}$ C values can be lower due to terrestrial inputs or C<sup>3</sup> plant species, such as mangroves [\(France,](#page-14-8) [1995;](#page-14-8) [Bouillon](#page-14-9) [et al.,](#page-14-9) [2008;](#page-14-9) [Seminoff et al.,](#page-16-11) [2021\)](#page-16-11). Similarly, in nutrientlimited open ocean habitats, the stable nitrogen isotope ( $\delta^{15}N$ ) values are typically lower than coastal areas due to nitrogen fixation by cyanobacteria being the dominant cycling regime in oligotrophic areas [\(Montoya et al.,](#page-15-23) [2004;](#page-15-23) [Deutsch et al.,](#page-14-10) [2011\)](#page-14-10). By contrast, in most neritic areas, denitrification is more present in foodwebs from the input of nutrient-cycling benthic prey (both flora and fauna), as well as the upwelling of cycled nitrogen into the pelagic water column foodweb, producing higher δ<sup>15</sup>N values [\(Deutsch et al.,](#page-14-10) [2011;](#page-14-10) [Seminoff et al.,](#page-16-8) [2012;](#page-16-8) [Fleming et al.,](#page-14-11) [2016;](#page-14-11) [Oczkowski et al.,](#page-15-22) [2016\)](#page-15-22). This pattern has been demonstrated for several sea turtle species such as North Pacific loggerheads (Caretta caretta) in the pelagic central North Pacific vs. in the neritic eastern North Pacific [\(Allen et al.,](#page-14-12) [2013;](#page-14-12) [Turner Tomaszewicz et al.,](#page-16-5) [2017a\)](#page-16-5), pelagic vs. benthic foraging loggerheads [\(McClellan et al.,](#page-15-24) [2010;](#page-15-24) [Ramirez et al.,](#page-16-3) [2015\)](#page-16-3) and green sea turtles (Chelonia mydas; [Turner Tomaszewicz et al.,](#page-16-12) [2018;](#page-16-12) [Seminoff et al.,](#page-16-11) [2021\)](#page-16-11), and turtle moving among ocean basins [\(Wallace et al.,](#page-16-13) [2006;](#page-16-13) [Pajuelo et al.,](#page-15-25) [2010;](#page-15-25) [Seminoff et al.,](#page-16-8) [2012\)](#page-16-8).

In El Salvador, the two high-use habitats for hawksbills, mangrove estuaries and nearshore rocky reefs, are known to be isotopically distinct because of the uniquely low  $\delta^{13}$ C values that characterize the mangrove-based foodweb [\(Seminoff](#page-16-11) [et al.,](#page-16-11) [2021;](#page-16-11) [Wedemeyer-Strombel et al.,](#page-16-1) [2021\)](#page-16-1). Like in other mangrove-based foodwebs, the low  $\delta^{13}C$  values are a result of the carbon cycle used by these marine angiosperms, which differ from other marine flora such as macroalgae and seagrasses that have higher  $\delta^{13}C$  values [\(Marshall et al.,](#page-15-26) [2007;](#page-15-26) [Bouillon et al.,](#page-14-9) [2008\)](#page-14-9). As a result, these mangrove habitats are isotopically distinct from open ocean and coastal reef areas [\(Fry and Ewel,](#page-15-27) [2003;](#page-15-27) [McMahon et al.,](#page-15-28) [2011;](#page-15-28) [Wedemeyer-](#page-16-1)[Strombel et al.,](#page-16-1) [2021\)](#page-16-1). These habitats in El Salvador—both estuaries and rocky reefs—are under increasing pressure from local fishing efforts, which have resulted in high levels of fishery-related bycatch and, subsequently, offer the opportunity to collect humeri samples from turtles stranded in each of these locations. Here, we applied skeleto+iso to the bones of these dead-stranded hawksbill turtles to characterize key demographic parameters such as age, growth rates, ASM, and SSM, and also establish multi-year habitat use, movement patterns and residency duration of each isotopically distinct habitat. These results will help inform habitat-specific risk assessments of hawksbills, where each type of spatially explicit threat requires a different approach to minimize bycatch and increase hawksbill survival rates.

# Materials and methods

### Study area

Our study was conducted at two primary nesting and foraging areas for hawksbills in the EP [\(Gaos et al.,](#page-15-5) [2012a,](#page-15-5) [2017;](#page-15-29) [Liles et al.,](#page-15-7) [2017\)](#page-15-7): Bahía de Jiquilisco (13°13'N, 88°32'W) and Punta Amapala (13°08'N, 87°55'W) in El Salvador ([Figure 1](#page-3-0)). Small-scale, nearshore fisheries provide important livelihood support for coastal residents at both sites. At the same time, these fisheries also constitute the greatest threat to hawksbills through bycatch mortality [\(Liles et al.,](#page-15-6) [2011,](#page-15-6) [2017\)](#page-15-7). Bahía de Jiquilisco and Punta Amapala are situated within the migration corridor of post-nesting hawksbills [\(Gaos et al.,](#page-15-30) [2012b\)](#page-15-30), further increasing the potential for fisheries interactions.

Bahía de Jiquilisco (635 km<sup>2</sup>) is a National Conservation Area, RAMSAR wetland, and UNESCO Biosphere Reserve [\(MARN,](#page-15-31) [2014\)](#page-15-31). It is the largest mangrove forest in El Salvador and includes numerous estuaries, channels, and islands. Bahía de Jiquilisco hosts ∼40% of known hawksbill nesting in the EP region, which primarily occurs along a series of inshore sand beaches. Blast fishing and bottom-set longlines are serious threats to hawksbills in the estuary, particularly during the nesting season (May–October) when reproductively active adults congregate near nesting beaches. Conversion of mangrove forests to shrimp farms and uncontrolled development of nesting habitat further threaten the species in Bahía de Jiquilisco.

Punta Amapala (26  $km<sup>2</sup>$ ), approximately 60 km east of Bahía de Jiquilisco, flanks the western border of the Gulf of Fonseca and is comprised primarily of submerged volcanic reef formations at depths ranging from 0 to 30 m. It hosts diverse marine communities, including corals, sponges, and fishes [\(Domínguez-Miranda,](#page-14-13) [2010\)](#page-14-13), and constitutes one of the most important open-coast nesting beaches for hawksbills in the eastern Pacific [\(Liles et al.,](#page-15-6) [2011;](#page-15-6) [Gaos et al.,](#page-15-29) [2017\)](#page-15-29). Lobster gillnet fishing along the rocky reef is a major threat to hawksbills at Punta Amapala and represents the greatest single source of human-induced, in-water mortality of hawksbills in the eastern Pacific [\(Liles et al.,](#page-15-7) [2017\)](#page-15-7).

### Sample collection and processing

During 2015–2019, tissue samples were collected from stranded and bycaught hawksbills from the two main study areas—Bahía de Jiquilisco and Punta Amapala (**[Figure 1](#page-3-0)**). Upon carcass recovery, turtles were searched for flipper and passive integrated PIT tags, body size was measured as curved



<span id="page-3-0"></span>carapace length (CCL) and sex was assigned if (1) the turtle was tagged from a previous nesting event (F) or (2) a necropsy was conducted and gonads identified. Skin samples were collected using a scalpel and stored in salt until processed. Bone samples were removed, cleaned, and air dried until processed. All samples were sent to San Diego, CA under CITES permits for processing at the NOAA NMFS Southwest Fisheries Science Center. In the lab, skin samples were rinsed, cut, lyophilized, and then weighed to 1.5 mg and packed in tin capsules for SIA processing.

Bone samples were first processed for skeletochronological analysis and then stable isotope analysis as described in [Turner](#page-16-4) [Tomaszewicz et al.](#page-16-4) [\(2016\)](#page-16-4) and [Goshe et al.](#page-15-17) [\(2020\)](#page-15-17). Briefly, we first cross-sectioned two 3-mm pieces from each humerus using an Isomet slow speed saw and diamond wafer blade. The proximal section was use for isotope analysis (see below) and the distal section was used for the skeletochronology aging analysis. The aging section was fixed in 10% buffered formalin and decalcified in a commercial "RDO" solution by Apex, before being sliced into  $25-\mu m$ -thin sections using a freezing-stage sliding microtome by Leica. Thin sections were then stained in a modified Ehrlich's stain, visually inspected for completeness, mounted to a slide, and digitally imaged to produce a high-resolution record of the bone revealing lines of arrested growth (LAGs) that denote the outer edges of individual skeletal growth marks. Imaging was conducted on an Olympus CX43 microscope using cellSens software. In hardshelled sea turtles, annual LAG formation has been validated in multiple species, including hawksbills, and was therefore also assumed true for this population (hawksbill, [Snover et al.,](#page-16-14) [2012;](#page-16-14) other species: loggerhead, Kemp's ridley, [Snover and Hohn,](#page-16-15) [2004;](#page-16-15) green, [Snover et al.,](#page-16-16) [2011\)](#page-16-16). The digitized images were then visually inspected by two independent readers (CTT, LA), following standard skeletochronology protocol, whereby each person marked the location and total count of LAGs observed in each bone [\(Avens and Snover,](#page-14-14) [2013;](#page-14-14) [Turner Tomaszewicz et al.,](#page-16-17) [2015a;](#page-16-17) [Goshe et al.,](#page-15-32) [2016\)](#page-15-32), and the marked reads were compiled, compared, and a final consensus was reached.

### Age, size, and growth estimation

For each consensus image, we determined the final LAG location and number for all bones and recorded the LAG diameters as measured along the antero-posterior axis [\(Zug et al.,](#page-16-18) [1986\)](#page-16-18). We used these data for age, size and growth estimation analyses as described below [\(Snover et al.,](#page-16-19) [2007\)](#page-16-19). First, any bone containing a distinctive diffuse mark, characteristic of the first-year annulus, marked the first year of a turtle's life [\(Snover and Hohn,](#page-16-15) [2004;](#page-16-15) [Goshe et al.,](#page-15-32) [2016;](#page-15-32) [Avens et al.,](#page-14-3) [2021\)](#page-14-3) and was directly aged ( $n = 43$ ). Bones without the first-year annulus mark ( $n = 27$ ) had resorbed some LAGs during bone growth, and required the application of a correction factor to estimate the number of LAGs lost as described in [Avens et al.](#page-14-15) [\(2012,](#page-14-15) [2015,](#page-14-4) [2021\)](#page-14-3). We established and applied correction factors for these bones, all of which had at least one LAG diameter smaller than the largest LAG from the directly aged bones (23.4 mm). The estimated age-at-stranding of each turtle was then calculated by summing together the total number of observed LAGs with the calculated number of LAGs lost.

Once the final age was estimated for each bone, we assigned an estimated age to each subsequent LAG by subtracting one for each LAG moving inward from the outermost LAG.

Once age was estimated, we back-calculated body size (CCL) at each measured LAG using the relationship between body size (CCL) and humerus (and LAG) diameter to produce estimated size and incremental growth, following standard skeletochronology analytical methods [\(Snover et al.,](#page-16-19) [2007;](#page-16-19) [Avens et al.,](#page-14-4) [2015;](#page-14-4) [Goshe et al.,](#page-15-32) [2016\)](#page-15-32). Here, we applied the body proportional hypothesis (BPH)-corrected [\(Francis,](#page-14-16) [1990\)](#page-14-16) allometric equation modified for application to sea turtles to yield a back-calculated CCL for each turtle at each measured LAG as recommended in [Snover et al.](#page-16-19) [\(2007\)](#page-16-19) and commonly applied in other sea turtle studies [\(Avens et al.,](#page-14-15) [2012;](#page-14-15) [Avens and Snover,](#page-14-14) [2013;](#page-14-14) [Turner Tomaszewicz et al.,](#page-16-12) [2018,](#page-16-12) [2022\)](#page-16-7). First, we characterized the relationship between CCL and humerus section diameter using the following allometric equation [\(Snover et al.,](#page-16-19) [2007;](#page-16-19) [Goshe et al.,](#page-15-33) [2010\)](#page-15-33):

$$
L = L_{op} + b(D - D_{op})^c \tag{1}
$$

Here,  $L$  is the estimated length (CCL),  $L_{op}$  is the minimum hatchling carapace length, D is the humerus section diameter,  $D_{\alpha}$  is the minimum hatchling humerus diameter, b is the slope of the relationship, and  $c$  is the proportional coefficient. To obtain values for east Pacific hawksbill hatchling CCL and humerus diameter we collected and measured these values for 20 hatchlings retrieved during nest exhumations from hatcheryrelocated nests at Bahía de Jiquilisco sites, and recorded the minimum humerus diameter (1.7 mm) and minimum carapace length (3.5 cm). Hatchling carapace lengths were measured as straight carapace length (SCL), and here we assumed the SCL and CCL differences were less than 1–4 mm (based on paired SCL:CCL measurements, and comparable to standard measuring error, ProCosta, unpub.) and were therefore equivalent measurements for the purposes of this analysis. Parameters b and c were optimized using the non-linear least squares function "nls" in the "stats" package in R version 4.0.1 [\(R Core Team,](#page-16-20) [2021\)](#page-16-20). Then, to apply this relationship to LAG diameters, we modified Equation 1 such that LAG diameter was used in place of humerus section diameter, to yield a backcalculated BPH-corrected body size (CCL) at time of LAG formation [\(Snover et al.,](#page-16-19) [2007\)](#page-16-19):

$$
L_{initial} = [L_{op} + b(D_{initial} - D_{op})^{c}] \times [L_{final}]
$$
  
 
$$
\times [L_{op} + b(D_{final} - D_{op})^{c}]^{-1}
$$
 (2)

We used these back-calculated CCL estimates to then calculate the incremental annual growth for sequential growth layers. For year<sup>-1</sup> growth, we used the difference between the size (CCL) at age 1 and the mean hatchling size (3.8 cm) used in this study.

For any individual turtle where we observed compression of LAG spacing at the lateral edge of the bone (i.e., rapprochement), which is associated with sexual maturity, we determined estimated age and size at maturation (ASM, SSM) [\(Francillon-Vieillot et al.,](#page-14-17) [1990;](#page-14-17) [Avens et al.,](#page-14-15) [2012,](#page-14-15) [2015\)](#page-14-4).

To model the size-at-age relationship of these hawksbills, we applied a generalized additive mixed model (GAMM) and a von Bertalanffy growth curve using the paired size, age, and growth data, from each individual turtle bone [\(Avens et al.,](#page-14-4) [2015,](#page-14-4) [2017;](#page-14-18) [Turner Tomaszewicz et al.,](#page-16-12) [2018,](#page-16-12) [2022\)](#page-16-7). The GAMM accounted for individual variation and repeated observations by including individual identity as a random effect [\(Chaloupka](#page-14-19) [and Musick,](#page-14-19) [1997;](#page-14-19) [Avens et al.,](#page-14-2) [2013,](#page-14-2) [2015;](#page-14-4) [Turner Tomaszewicz](#page-16-12) [et al.,](#page-16-12) [2018,](#page-16-12) [2022\)](#page-16-7). We conducted the analysis using the "mgcv" package and the "gamm" function in R version 4.0.1 [\(Wood,](#page-16-21) [2017;](#page-16-21) [R Core Team,](#page-16-20) [2021\)](#page-16-20). After converting all measurable LAG diameters to back-calculated CCL estimates, we used the size-at-age data to fit a smoothing spline model to characterize size-at-age, and we generated the predicted fit for the same data, with 95% confidence intervals, using the "smooth.spline" function in the "stats" package in R [\(Hastie and Tibshirani,](#page-15-34) [1990;](#page-15-34) [R Core Team,](#page-16-20) [2021\)](#page-16-20). Next, we generated von Bertalanffy growth models using a bootstrapped method, as described in [Avens et al.](#page-14-4) [\(2015,](#page-14-4) [2017\)](#page-14-18) and [Turner Tomaszewicz et al.](#page-16-12) [\(2018,](#page-16-12) [2022\)](#page-16-7). To do this, we repeatedly sampled the backcalculated somatic growth rate data in the model to extract a single growth-at-length data point for each individual turtle in the sample and then used these non-parametric bootstrap samples to estimate the growth parameter, k, and estimate upper size limit,  $L_{inf}$ , for Fabens modification of the von Bertalanffy growth curve. Here we conducted randomized re-sampling of the growth rate data 1,000 times to describe uncertainty in the von Bertalanffy parameters [\(Avens et al.,](#page-14-4) [2015,](#page-14-4) [2017\)](#page-14-18). For all analysis, we evaluated significance as  $\alpha = 0.05$ , and present results as mean ± standard error unless otherwise noted.

### Stable isotope analysis

To extract samples from individual growth layers for stable isotope analysis (SIA), the paired digital aging image from skeletochronology processing was used as a guide to target sampling paths on the adjacent proximal bone section [\(Turner](#page-16-4) [Tomaszewicz et al.,](#page-16-4) [2016,](#page-16-4) [2017a\)](#page-16-5). Using one of two computerguided micromill units (CM-2 Carpenter micromill and an Elemental Scientific Lasers MicroMill2), we extracted 1.5 mg of bone powder from individual growth layers and weighed samples into 5  $\times$  9 mm<sup>2</sup> tin capsules for SIA. No additional treatment was necessary for lipid extraction or removal of inorganic carbonate [\(Turner Tomaszewicz et al.,](#page-16-6) [2015b,](#page-16-6) [2017b\)](#page-16-22).

All samples were sent to and processed at the University of Florida, Gainesville, FL Stable Isotope Laboratory. Samples already loaded into tin capsules were placed in a 50-position automated Zero Blank sample carousel on a N.C. Technologies ECS 8020 elemental analyzer. After combustion in a quartz

column at 1,000◦C in an oxygen-rich atmosphere, the sample gas was transported in a He carrier stream and passed through a hot reduction column (650◦C) consisting of elemental copper to remove oxygen. The effluent stream then passed through a chemical (magnesium perchlorate) trap to remove water followed by a 1.5-m gas chromatography (GC) column at 55◦C to separate  $N_2$  from  $CO_2$ . The sample gas next passed into a ConFlo IV interface and into the inlet of a Thermo Electron Delta V Advantage isotope ratio mass spectrometer running in continuous flow mode where the sample gas was measured relative to laboratory reference  $N_2$  and  $CO_2$  gases. All carbon isotopic results are expressed in standard delta notation relative to Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite (VPDB). All nitrogen isotopic results are expressed in standard delta notation relative to AIR. To account for the trace amount of carbonate in the cortical bone samples, the  $\delta^{13}$ C values of all bone samples were then mathematically corrected as recommended in [Turner](#page-16-6) [Tomaszewicz et al.](#page-16-6) [\(2015b\)](#page-16-6) using the following equation specific for sea turtles in the Pacific:

$$
\delta^{13}C_{cor} = (1.2 \times \delta^{13}C_{raw}) + 2.1 \tag{3}
$$

To isotopically distinguish two separate habitats, we referenced hawksbill skin stable isotope values previously published and from the current study to help characterize the mangrove estuary (Bahía de Jiquilisco, [Wedemeyer-Strombel](#page-16-1) [et al.,](#page-16-1) [2021\)](#page-16-1) and the rocky reef / ocean (Punta Amapala, current study) as two isotopically distinct locations (inside or outside estuary). In [Wedemeyer-Strombel et al.](#page-16-1) [\(2021\)](#page-16-1), skin from juvenile (<65 cm CCL) hawksbills captured in Bahía de Jiquilisco had stable carbon isotope values that ranged from ca. –22 to –16 $\%$  and were correlated with turtle body size; the smaller turtles (ca. 40 cm CCL) captured in the estuary had higher  $\delta^{13}$ C values (*ca.* -16‰) than the larger turtles (*ca.* 50 cm CCL,  $ca. -22\%$ <sup>0</sup>) also captured in the estuaries. The same study also sampled prey from mangrove estuaries, with a mean  $\delta^{13}$ C value of  $-24\%$  ( $-20\%$  without the  $4\%$  trophic offset), further supporting lower  $\delta^{13}C$  values to characterize a mangrove-based foodweb. We also analyzed skin samples in the current study (see "Results" below) from turtles recovered from the two distinct locations to further support the designation of a  $\delta^{13}$ C values to characterize each habitat. Specifically, the skin samples collected from turtles in Punta Amapala had  $\delta^{13}$ C values between -14.9 and -17.6\% ([Table 2](#page-9-0)). Based on these new and previously published data, we assigned  $-18\%$  as the threshold  $\delta^{13}$ C value for hawksbill skin indicating mangrove estuary habitat use and foraging. To ensure this threshold value from a skin tissue was directly comparable to stable isotope values from bone tissue (the samples used in the rest of the current study), we converted  $-18\%$  skin to a bone-equivalent value using the equation from [Turner Tomaszewicz et al.](#page-16-22) [\(2017b\)](#page-16-22) and applied in other studies, including [Turner Tomaszewicz et al.](#page-16-12) [\(2018\)](#page-16-12). The application of this conversion equation yielded a bone threshold  $\delta^{13}$ C value of -18.03‰ to indicate use of the mangrove estuary

habitat of Bahía de Jiquilisco; this minimal difference between the skin and bone  $\delta^{13}$ C value was expected given the findings of [Turner Tomaszewicz et al.](#page-16-22) [\(2017b\)](#page-16-22) which found a minimal difference between paired bone and skin tissues.

Finally, we combined all the skeleto+iso data and to each growth layer we assigned a corresponding estimated age, body size, annual growth, calendar year, and habitat. The habitat assignment was based on stable isotope values ( $\delta^{13}C$  < - $18\%$  = mangrove estuary and  $\delta^{13}$ C > -18 $\%$  = ocean/rocky reef), the final (outermost) growth layer was assigned to the habitat where the turtle stranded, regardless of SIA values. If the  $\delta^{13}$ C value did not match with the habitat in which the turtle was found, it was assumed to be newly recruited to that habitat. We then used these habitat-assignments to identify timing of habitat shifts (age and size), and to subsequently examine if there were any growth advantages associated with a particular habitat for a given size class (Wilcoxon Rank Sum, aka Mann–Whitney comparison, significance level at  $p < 0.05$ ).

### **Results**

### Skeletochronology size and age estimates

A total of 70 bones were processed for age and size analysis, 12 of which were from females, four from males, and the remaining 54 of unknown sex. We identified 599 individual LAGs within the 70 bones processed for skeletochronology, and body sizes at stranding ranged from 28.5 to 90.7 cm. The sizes of the turtle recovered in Bahía de Jiquilisco ( $n = 35$ ) ranged from 35.4 to 90.7 cm CCL [58.3  $\pm$  2.9 cm (mean  $\pm$  SE)], and the turtles recovered from Punta Amapala ( $n = 35$ ) ranged in size from 28.5 to 73.6 cm CCL (41.4  $\pm$  2.1 cm). The bones from the 70 turtles analyzed retained between 2 and 48 LAGs  $(8.3 \pm 0.95)$ , for a total of 580 identified and measured LAGs. At the time of carcass recovery, the CCL was recorded for 55 of the 70 turtles, and the following linear relationship between total humerus section diameter (THD) and turtle size (CCL) was used to estimate CCL for the remaining 15 turtles:

$$
CCL = 3.02 \times THD - 4.87
$$

 $(R<sup>2</sup> = 0.91,$  [Supplementary Figure 1](#page-14-20))(4)

A total of 43 bones retained the year-1 annulus and could therefore be directly aged, where 1 LAG equals 1 year. The final age-at-stranding for these 43 turtles ranged from 2 to 10 (mean  $\pm$  SE 5.0  $\pm$  0.3). Using the measurable internal LAG diameters ( $n = 210$ ) from these 43 directly-aged bones, we determined the best-fit correction factor equation (CF1) which was a linear equation:

$$
CF1: y = 1.52x + 7.01(R2 = 0.83, Supplementary Figure 2)
$$
\n(5)

where  $y$  is the LAG diameter and  $x$  is the LAG number. Using this CF1 equation, we estimated the number of LAGs lost due to resorption, where LAG diameter y was each bone's innermost LAG or resorption core diameter, for the remaining 27 bones (those without an annulus, and with at least one LAG diameter less than 23.4 mm, the max THD of the Group 1 bones). For the 27 bones with CF1 applied, we then summed this resulting LAG-lost number with the number of LAGs retained in each bone to obtain final estimated age at stranding. The number of estimated LAGs lost (resorbed) from these larger bones ranged from one to 10 (mean  $\pm$  SE 4.7  $\pm$  0.5) LAGs. Final estimated age (LAGs retained + LAGs resorbed, with all ages rounded to the nearest whole number) for all 70 turtles ranged from 2 to 54 years old (mean  $\pm$  SE 10.1  $\pm$  1.2 years; **[Figure 2](#page-7-0)**). The age at stranding for the turtles recovered in Bahía de Jiquilisco ( $n = 35$ ) ranged from 3 to 41 years (mean  $\pm$  SE 12.0  $\pm$  1.6) and the turtles recovered from Punta Amapala ( $n = 35$ ) ranged from 2 to 54 years (mean ± SE 8.2 ± 1.8; **[Figure 2](#page-7-0)**).

Back-calculated body size estimates corresponding to the measured LAG diameters ranged from 14.8 to 90.7 cm CCL (mean  $\pm$  SE 60.8  $\pm$  0.33). For all 70 bones, we applied east Pacific hawksbill-specific hatchling parameters (min CL: 3.5 cm, min hatchling THD: 1.7 mm, slope  $b = 2.526$ , proportionality coefficient  $c = 1.038$ ) to the BPH back-calculated CCL equation (Equation 2) at each measurable LAG. This yielded 573 CCLat-age estimates (**[Figures 3](#page-8-0)**, **[4](#page-8-1)**) and 541 annual somatic growth estimates (**[Supplementary Figure 3](#page-14-20)**).

### Growth and maturation timing

Of the 541 incremental growth estimates, annual growth ranged from 0 to 24.4 cm CCL (mean  $\pm$  SE 3.4  $\pm$  0.07). The annual growth was greatest for age zero to one, as expected (mean  $17.4$  cm; range =  $11.0$  to  $24.4$  cm), and for all other ages (ages 2+), annual growth ranged from 0 to 12.6 cm CCL (mean 2.9  $\pm$  0.04). Growth rates decreased with age and size, and are discussed in more detail below in context of habitat use (**[Figure 4](#page-8-1)**, **[Supplementary Figure 3](#page-14-20)**, and **[Supplementary Table 1](#page-14-20)**). The growth modeled by both the GAMM smoothing spline and the bootstrapped von Bertalanffy models showed close congruence, with turtles increasing in size steadily until age ∼15, when growth then began to slow and approach near-zero annual growth at ∼80 cm CCL between age 20–30, the presumed onset of maturity (**[Figure 4](#page-8-1)**).

A total of ten turtles showed LAG compaction indicating maturity, and the corresponding age and size at those growth layers represent the timing of maturity (**[Table 1](#page-9-1)**). For these ten turtles, the SSM ranged from 61.2 to 89.5 cm CCL (mean  $\pm$  SE  $76.9 \pm 2.76$ , coefficient of variation,  $CV = 0.11$ ) and ASM ranged from 16 to 26 years (mean  $\pm$  SE 19.5  $\pm$  1.01, CV = 0.16), with the reproductive longevity (estimated as the number of LAGs or years beyond the onset of maturity) observed at a maximum 33 years (**[Table 1](#page-9-1)**). Mean annual growth post-maturation was 0.24 cm/year ( $n = 108$  growth layers). Seven of the 10 mature turtles were female, and were all recovered from Bahía de Jiquilisco. Two turtles were male and one turtle was unknown sex, and all three of these were recovered from Punta Amapala (**[Figure 3](#page-8-0)**). The turtle with the largest SSM (89.5 cm CCL) was a female from Bahía de Jiquilisco with an estimated ASM of 16 years, and the turtle with the smallest SSM (61.2 cm CCL) was a male from Punta Amapala with ASM of 22 years (**[Table 1](#page-9-1)**).

The GAMM smoothing spline, fit to the length-at-age dataset of the 70 turtles, was significant ( $p < 0.0001$ , Edf = 7.457, adj.  $r^2 = 0.887$ ; **[Figure 4](#page-8-1)**). The mean estimated SSM obtained at rapprochement for the 10 mature bones analyzed in the current study (76.9 cm CCL) corresponded to a spline-predicted ASM of 20 years (95% CI: 18 to 23 years). The minimum SSM from rapprochement, 61.2 cm CCL, yielded a spline-predicted ASM of ∼11.5 years (**[Figure 4](#page-8-1)** and **[Table 1](#page-9-1)**), and the mean nesting size for hawksbills in this region (81.6 cm CCL, [Liles](#page-15-6) [et al.,](#page-15-6) [2011\)](#page-15-6) yielded a spline-estimated ASM of ∼35 years (the ASM estimated by skeletochronology for a turtle with SSM near this size was 21 years for a 81.7 cm CCL female from Bahía de Jiquilisco; **[Table 1](#page-9-1)**). The bootstrapped von Bertalanffy model, based on growth-at-length, estimated the ASM for the mean SSM (76.9 cm CCL) from rapprochement in this study at 22.5  $\pm$  0.21 years (95% CI: 22.1 to 22.9 years), the estimated upper size limit,  $L_{inf}$ , was 80.6  $\pm$  0.19 cm (95% CI: 80.2 to 81.0 cm) and the intrinsic growth rate parameter,  $k$ , was 0.142 ± 0.0008 (95% CI: 0.141 to 0.144; **[Figure 4](#page-8-1)**).

### Stable isotope analysis and habitat use

We processed a total of 58 skin samples for the current study, 15 from Bahía de Jiquilisco (six of these were hatchlings: CL mean 3.8 cm; and the rest juveniles and adults:  $n = 9$ , CCL 39.7 to 84.5, mean 55.5 cm), and 43 from Punta Amapala (all juveniles CCL 32.9 to 53.6, mean 40.0 cm). The stable carbon isotope  $(\delta^{13}C)$  values of the samples from turtles captured in the mangrove estuary (Bahía de Jiquilisco) were lower in comparison to the  $\delta^{13}$ C values of the skin from turtles captured outside the estuary (Punta Amapala), mean  $\pm$  SE –20.5  $\pm$  5.29 $\%$ vs.  $-16.2 \pm 2.47\%$ <sub>0</sub>, respectively, while the  $\delta^{15}$ N values were similar (**[Table 2](#page-9-0)**). All skin samples collected from turtles in Punta Amapala had  $\delta^{13}$ C values greater than the designated threshold value of  $-18\%$ , further supporting the use of this value as an indicator of movement into a mangrove estuary.

Of the 70 turtles analyzed for age and size using skeletochronology, a subset of 40 bones were analyzed for sequential stable isotope analysis (20 recovered from Bahía de Jiquilisco and 20 from Punta Amapala). The size of the 20 turtles sampled from Bahía de Jiquilisco ranged from 35.4 to 90.7 cm CCL, with very few (6 out of 20) large turtles > 70 cm CCL (median 59.2 cm CCL), while the turtles from Punta Amapala



<span id="page-7-0"></span>ranged from 28.5 to 73.6 cm CCL (median 47.0 cm CCL, 3 out of 20 were > 70 cm CCL); from each group, a total of 95 and 89 individual growth layers were subsampled for SIA, respectively. The stable carbon isotope ( $\delta^{13}$ C) values for all samples (*n* = 184) ranged from -27.1 to -11.9\% (mean  $\pm$  SE -16.9  $\pm$  1.25\% ) and the stable nitrogen isotope ( $\delta^{15}$ N) values ranged from 9.7 to 18.0\% (mean  $\pm$  SE 13.6  $\pm$  1.00\%; [Table 2](#page-9-0)). When separated by final stranding location, the  $\delta^{13}$ C values (*n* = 95) ranged from -27.1 to –14.4  $\%$  (mean  $\pm$  SE –18.7  $\pm$  1.92 $\%$  and the  $\delta^{15}N$ values ranged from 9.7 to 15.6\% (mean  $\pm$  SE 13.0  $\pm$  1.33\%) for the 20 turtles recovered in Bahía de Jiquilisco, while the samples from the 20 turtles recovered from Punta Amapala ( $n = 89$ ) had

 $δ$ <sup>13</sup>C values that ranged from -22.1 to -11.9‰ (mean  $±$  SE - $15.0 \pm 1.60\%$ <sub>0</sub>) and  $\delta^{15}$ N values that ranged from 11.1 to 18.0\%  $(\text{mean} \pm \text{SE} 14.3 \pm 1.52\% \text{°}, \text{Table 2}).$  $(\text{mean} \pm \text{SE} 14.3 \pm 1.52\% \text{°}, \text{Table 2}).$  $(\text{mean} \pm \text{SE} 14.3 \pm 1.52\% \text{°}, \text{Table 2}).$ 

Using the SIA-assigned habitats and corresponding age and size estimates for each growth layer, multiyear habitatuse patterns were observed. All turtles, regardless of final stranding location, had  $\delta^{13}$ C values above the  $-18\%$  threshold during years where body size was less than ca. 35 cm CCL (age range ca. 0–5 years), representative of spent time outside of the mangrove estuaries during these early juvenile years (mean  $\pm$  SE  $\delta^{13}$ C: -15.0  $\pm$  1.8\% and  $\delta^{15}$ N: 14.1  $\pm$  1.7\%,  $n = 30$  turtles, 71 growth layers; [Figure 5](#page-10-0)). The SIA values of



#### <span id="page-8-0"></span>FIGURE 3

Estimated body size (curved carapace length, CCL, cm) and corresponding estimated age (years) for the 70 hawksbill turtles aged using skeletochronology. Individuals with known sex are separated as female (F), male (M) and unknown (U), and colors indicate stranding location, red = mangrove estuary of Bahía de Jiquilisco, blue = rocky reef area of Punta Amapala.



<span id="page-8-1"></span>growth layers with associated body size  $ca. > 35$  cm showed divergent patterns, with some turtles'  $\delta^{13}$ C values higher than the  $-18\%$  threshold, associated with ocean and coastal rocky reef habitats (mean  $\pm$  SE –15.3  $\pm$  2.0%  $n = 22$  turtles, 61 growth layers), while other turtles had  $\delta^{13}$ C values lower than  $-18\%$ <sub>0</sub>, associated with the mangrove estuaries (mean  $\pm$  SE –22.0  $\pm$  3.2 <span id="page-9-1"></span>TABLE 1 Detailed information for the ten hawksbill turtles determined to reach maturity based on rapprochement observed during skeletochronology.



Stranding location, sex (if known), age-at-sexual-maturity (ASM), size-at-sexual-maturity (SSM), final CCL, final estimated age, and reproductive longevity provided. An additional four turtles with final CCL near maturation size, but not yet showing rapprochement, are also included.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>



Groups show the values for all bone growth layer samples together, and the bone and skin samples divided between the two stranding locations, Bahía de Jiquilisco (BJ) and Punta Amapala (PA).

 $\%$ <sub>0</sub>,  $n = 17$  turtles, 48 growth layers; **[Figure 5](#page-10-0)**). These same growth layer groups also had the same pattern for  $\delta^{15}N$  values, mean  $\pm$  SE 14.4  $\pm$  1.9\% (n = 22 turtles, 61 growth layers) for those with body size *ca.* > 35 cm, and mean  $\pm$  SE 11.8  $\pm$  1.7\% for the larger body sizes ( $n = 17$  turtles, 48 growth layers; **[Figure 5](#page-10-0)**).

By identifying the earliest (innermost) growth layer with  $\delta^{13}$ C values lower than the -18‰ threshold value, the timing of this habitat shift into the mangrove estuary was identified for 16 turtles recovered in Bahía de Jiquilisco (**[Figure 5](#page-10-0)** and **[Supplementary Figures 3A,B](#page-14-20)**), which ranged from age 3– 13 years old (mean  $\pm$  SE 7.0  $\pm$  0.69 years) and CCL from 34.8 to 64.8 cm (mean  $\pm$  SE 45.9  $\pm$  2.51 cm). The other four turtles sampled for SIA and recovered from Bahía de Jiquilisco had only stable isotope values associated with mangrove estuary habitat use, indicative of consistent, long-term habitat use (final ages: 20, 37, 18, 30 years; final CCLs: 72.8, 84.3, 89.5, 90.7 cm).

The residency duration in mangrove estuarine habitats (likely in Bahía de Jiquilisco) for these four turtles ranged from 8 to 21 years (**[Figure 5](#page-10-0)** and **[Supplementary Figures 3A,B](#page-14-20)**).

Of the 20 turtles recovered at Punta Amapala and sampled for SIA, 84 out of the 89 growth layer samples had  $\delta^{13}C$  values higher than the mangrove threshold value of  $-18\%$ , indicating near-exclusive use of habitats outside of mangrove estuaries for these turtles recovered at Punta Amapala's rocky reef (**[Figure 5](#page-10-0)** and **[Supplementary Figures 3C,D](#page-14-20)**). The five growth layer samples below the  $-18\%$  threshold (-22.1 to  $-18.5\%$ ) were collected from four different turtles, suggesting at least 1 year of previous mangrove estuary habitat use by these turtles during mid-juvenile years (ages: 7, 7, 8, 9, 14 years old; CCLs: 43.6, 50.0, 46.5, 48.6, 60.2 cm). Yet all four turtles showed several years of consistent habitat use and residency/fidelity outside of estuaries during their most recent years prior to stranding (**[Figure 5](#page-10-0)** and **[Supplementary Figures 3C,D](#page-14-20)**).



<span id="page-10-0"></span>Finally, when we compared the incremental growth rate data associated with the same size class groups but assigned to different habitats (mangrove estuary vs. ocean/rocky reef), we found slight growth advantages for turtles in the mangrove estuary of Bahía de Jiquilisco for two size class groups, 60–70 cm and 70–80 cm CCL ( $p \le 0.0001$ , Wilcoxon Rank Sum; [Figure 6](#page-11-0)).

# **Discussion**

Here we present useful demographic and population ecology parameters for hawksbills in the EP using skeletochronology, in addition to multi-year movement and habitat residency patterns using complementary stable isotope analysis (skeleto+iso). Our empirical estimates on the maturation timing for this population show maturity occurring between 16 and 26 years old, with potential differences between nesting and foraging location (mangrove estuary vs. open coast), dietary preference and between sexes (males vs. females) that are worth future investigations. The non-estuary stable isotope signal that was found consistently in the age 0–3 growth layers strongly suggests that this hawksbill population does not immediately settle into the mangrove estuary, but instead has an offshore, if not oceanic, juvenile stage.

### Maturation

The maturation age range of 16–26 years, based on rapprochement, came from only 10 adult individuals, yet was similar to what has been estimated for other hawksbill populations in previous studies. [Snover et al.](#page-16-14) [\(2012\)](#page-16-14) and [Avens](#page-14-3) [et al.](#page-14-3) [\(2021\)](#page-14-3) both used skeletochronology and reported ASM between 15 and 25 years and 17–22 for hawksbills in the western North Atlantic and Hawaii, respectively. Various markrecapture studies have estimated hawksbill ASM ranging from 13 to 20 years (e.g., Yucatan region, [Garduño-Andrade et al.,](#page-15-35) [1999;](#page-15-35) Brazil, [Bellini et al.,](#page-14-21) [2019\)](#page-14-21), and 14–24 years based on



<span id="page-11-0"></span>genetic inference for hawksbills nesting in Antigua [\[Levasseur](#page-15-36) [et al.,](#page-15-36) [2021;](#page-15-36) and see [Avens et al.](#page-14-5) [\(2020\)](#page-14-5) for further insight on ASM estimates]. These estimates are also substantially lower than the estimated average ASM reported for hawksbills in the Indo-Pacific region based on somatic growth rates (31–36 years; [Limpus and Miller,](#page-15-37) [2000\)](#page-15-37). The empirical results from the current study provide a baseline for this east Pacific population, and ideally the ASM estimate will be further refined in the future with increased adult samples for skeletochronology and validated using genetic fingerprinting (i.e., when a genetically sampled hatchling returns to nest as a genetically identified neophyte nester). Continued monitoring of both mangrove and open beach nesting sites will also help refine the demographic parameters estimated here, including reproductive longevity.

Based on the number of LAGs observed beyond rapprochement, estimated reproductive longevity ranged from 2 to 33 years (**[Table 1](#page-9-1)**), and this estimated maximum longevity beyond maturation is in the mid-range of what has been found for several other sea turtle species [\(Avens and](#page-14-14) [Snover,](#page-14-14) [2013;](#page-14-14) Kemp's ridleys Lepidochelys kempii, 10 years, [Avens et al.,](#page-14-18) [2017;](#page-14-18) flatbacks Natator depressus, 31 years, Turner Tomaszewicz et al. in press; leatherbacks Dermochelys coriacea, 18–22 years, max 31 years, [Avens et al.,](#page-14-5) [2020;](#page-14-5) green turtles, > 40 years, [Limpus and Chaloupka,](#page-15-38) [1997,](#page-15-38) 27 years, [Turner Tomaszewicz et al.,](#page-16-7) [2022;](#page-16-7) and loggerheads, 46 years, [Avens et al.,](#page-14-4) [2015\)](#page-14-4). To date, nesting site monitoring at Bahía de Jiquilisco, which began in 2008, has recorded at least one turtle nesting for 14 years ( $n = 177$  turtles tagged), and of the 27 turtles tagged while nesting at Punta Amapala since 2015, seven is the maximum number of years a turtle has been observed returning to nest (M. Liles, ProCosta, unpub.).

Given the range of ASM and SSM observed in the current study, future work should also focus on examining potential difference in growth rates and maturation timing between sexes and foraging location (see section below). The seven mature females in the current study were all recovered from the mangrove estuary (Bahía de Jiquilisco) and had estimated ASM ranging from 16 to 26 years (mean  $\pm$  SE 19.3  $\pm$  1.27 years), and SSM ranging from 72.2 to 89.5 cm CCL (mean  $\pm$  SE 81.1  $\pm$  2.14 cm). Unfortunately, there were no mature male turtles recovered from Bahía de Jiquilisco, only two males that were 65.1 and 84.5 cm CCL and 10 and 19 years old, respectively. Yet the larger, older male turtle was likely nearing maturity given that its body size and age was within the range observed for mature females from Bahía de Jiquilisco (**[Figure 3](#page-8-0)**). This suggests similar sizes for adult males and females within the estuary (**[Figure 3](#page-8-0)**).

At Punta Amapala, the two mature males recovered (71.1 and 72 cm CCL, and age 21 and 54 years old, respectively) were smaller than most of the mature turtles recovered at Bahía de Jiquilisco, and unfortunately there were no known females recovered at this location. The 54-year-old male was the oldest turtle aged in the current study, and suggests that at least some adult male turtles at Punta Amapala are smaller than those found in the mangrove habitat. This potential pattern is also observed for nesting females at the different

sites. On-site monitoring of turtle body sizes at these nesting sites do show some potential size differences, with nesters at Bahía de Jiquilisco typically being larger (range: 68.2– 98 cm, mean 83.5 cm CCL,  $n = 177$ ) than those nesting at Punta Amapala (62.2–91 cm, mean 76.7 cm CCL,  $n = 27$ ) and another open coast (non-estuary) site slightly north (Los Cóbanos: 63–97 cm, mean 80.5 cm CCL, n = 67; M. Liles, ProCosta, unpubl.). We acknowledge that the skeletochronology sample sizes are small, but together with the nesting size data, there is reason to encourage further research to explore the possibility of size difference by foraging and/or nesting site and to more fully characterize these important sex- and locationspecific demographics.

### Habitat use and movement patterns

The combination of sequential stable isotope analysis with skeletochronology facilitated the recreation of multi-year movement and habitat use patterns for 40 hawksbills. From this skeleto+iso analysis, three key habitat use patterns were revealed: (1) All post-hatchlings have a non-estuary juvenile stage where they likely occupy oceanic habitats for a minimum 1–3 years; (2) Juvenile turtles (∼35 cm CCL) then recruit to neritic habitats, but in at least two divergent ways—some settling into the nearshore rocky reefs and some settling into the mangrove estuaries; and (3) Turtles appear to have high fidelity to their selected habitat, with very little movement between the two habitats observed.

First, the non-estuary stable isotope signal, characterized by  $\delta^{13}$ C values greater than -18‰, was found consistently in all the age 0–3 growth layers, regardless of where the turtle eventually stranded. Previous speculation on post-hatchling movement has questioned if some hatchlings from mangrove nests might have short-dispersal oceanic developmental periods [\(Gaos et al.,](#page-15-29) [2017\)](#page-15-29) or perhaps even remain within the estuary, growing rapidly and never undertaking an oceanic juvenile stage characteristic of most hard-shelled sea turtle species [\(Bolten,](#page-14-0) [2003\)](#page-14-0). However, findings here strongly suggest that this is not the case—that hawksbills in this population do not immediately settle into the mangrove estuary, but instead spend at least a few years in an offshore, if not oceanic, habitat during their post-hatchling juvenile stage. These results are also supported by the SIA of hawksbill scute layers by [Wedemeyer-Strombel et al.](#page-16-1) [\(2021\)](#page-16-1) which also found higher  $\delta^{13}$ C values in the earliest scute layers. This offshore movement pattern is further supported when the growth rates estimated in the current study are related to the length-frequency distribution of turtles found in both the mangrove estuary and the rocky reef habitats. In both Bahía de Jiquilisco and the rocky reefs at Punta Amapala, other than newly emerged hatchlings, no turtles < 14 cm CCL have ever been observed since more regular community-based monitoring in the area around 2007 [\(Gaos et al.,](#page-15-4) [2010\)](#page-15-4), and very few turtles < 30 cm CCL have been encountered [\(Liles et al.,](#page-15-7) [2017\)](#page-15-7).

In Bahía de Jiquilisco, the smallest turtle encountered was 35.4 cm CCL; in Punta Amapala, the average size retrieved from gillnets during [Liles et al.](#page-15-7) [\(2017\)](#page-15-7) was 31.6 cm CCL  $\pm$  14.7 S.D.  $(n = 20)$ , and the smallest turtle used in the current study was 28.5 cm CCL and recovered at Punta Amapala. Using skeletochronology, the back calculated body sizes at age 1 for turtles found across both sites ( $n = 38$ ) ranged in size from 14.8 to 28.2 cm CCL (mean  $21.2 \pm 3.4$  cm), and at age 2 ( $n = 44$ ) CCL ranged from 19.0 to 32.7 cm (mean  $26.3 \pm 4.0$  cm). These sizes are similar to the size achieved at 1-year for three captive raised hawksbills collected from relocated nests in Bahía de Jiquilisco (364 days, straight carapace length, SCLs: 18.9, 23.7, 26.0 cm CCL; M. Liles, ProCosta, unpubl.). Together, these findings suggest that turtles are at least a year old when they recruit to neritic habitats after having spent time in oceanic regions.

Upon recruitment to a nearshore habitat, the turtles then appear to diverge in their habitat use patterns, with turtles of similar size, ca. 35 cm CCL, selecting different habitats in which to settle—in this case, either a mangrove estuary or rocky reef habitat. The mechanisms driving this divergent behavior are not clear and should be explored further. The selection of settlement habitat (estuary or reef) may be influenced by a variety of factors including physical environmental conditions (e.g., currents, water temperature, food availability), biological predisposition (e.g., genetics) or perhaps habitat-type is selected by chance (e.g., [Bolten,](#page-14-0) [2003;](#page-14-0) [Chevis et al.,](#page-14-22) [2017;](#page-14-22) [Griffin et al.,](#page-15-39) [2020\)](#page-15-39). Once turtles do settle into one of these neritic habitats, it is likely that they remain as foragers in that habitat-type through the rest of their lives, given that the adult turtles showed consistent habitat use with long term (10-30 years) residency durations. The four adult turtles from Bahía de Jiquilisco all showed constant low  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N values indicating mangrove estuary residency, and the two adult turtles from Punta Amapala that had constant high  $\delta^{13}C$  and  $\delta^{15}N$  values indicating residency outside of mangrove estuaries, likely at the rocky reefs along the open coast (**[Figure 5](#page-10-0)** and **[Supplementary Figure 4](#page-14-20)**). In addition to the large mangrove estuary at Bahía de Jiquilisco, there are other similar estuaries in the region, also known to have foraging and nesting hawksbills, including Barra de Santiago to the north [\(Massey and McCord,](#page-15-40) [2017\)](#page-15-40) and Estero Padre Ramos in Nicaragua to the south [\(Liles et al.,](#page-15-6) [2011;](#page-15-6) [Gaos et al.,](#page-15-30) [2012b\)](#page-15-30), and it is possible there is movement of foraging turtles between these different estuaries. Turtles inhabiting these nearby mangrove habitats are known to have stable carbon isotope values similar to those in Bahía de Jiquilisco [\(Wedemeyer-Strombel et al.,](#page-16-1) [2021\)](#page-16-1), so it is possible that some mangrove-foraging turtles do move between different mangrove estuaries. However, currently, there is more evidence that hawksbills have fidelity to just one mangrove estuary, as demonstrated by genetic studies, longterm recapture, and nesting histories showing high levels of natal foraging philopatry [\(Gaos et al.,](#page-15-29) [2017,](#page-15-29) [2018\)](#page-15-41).

Yet inspection of the SIA values of individual turtles also reveals more intricate patterns, including the potential for some individuals to undertake movements between the estuary and reef habitats. For instance, one of the Punta Amapala adult turtles that showed long term rocky reef (non-estuary) habitat use from the age of ca. 17 to death at 54 years old, had apparently spent time in the mangrove estuaries between the age of ca. 10 to ca. 17 (ca. 45–55 cm CCL; **[Figure 5](#page-10-0)** and **[Supplementary Figure 4](#page-14-20)**). It is therefore likely that some flexibility exists within this population with regard to habitat use. Continuing research employing tagging (e.g., flipper, PIT, satellite) will help to further elucidate these complex movement patterns for east Pacific hawksbills in this region and to begin to identify the drivers and implications of these variable habitat use strategies.

### Growth rates among different habitats

Overall, the annual growth rates were highest for the youngest ( $<$ 5 years) and smallest turtles ( $<$ 30 cm CCL), then gradually slowed as turtles grew and eventually approached maturity, a growth pattern typical for sea turtles (**[Figures 4](#page-8-1)**, **[6](#page-11-0)**). Sea turtle growth is known to be affected by environmental conditions such as temperature and food availability and quality [\(Chaloupka and Musick,](#page-14-19) [1997;](#page-14-19) [Balazs and Chaloupka,](#page-14-23) [2004;](#page-14-23) [Eguchi et al.,](#page-14-24) [2012\)](#page-14-24), and has also been shown to be density dependent [\(Bjorndal et al.,](#page-14-25) [2000\)](#page-14-25). Stable isotopes are a useful tool to help better examine the potential effects some of these factors—particularly food and habitat—may have on growth. In the current study, the incremental growth data of the mid-size classes (50–80 cm CCL) suggests that habitat selection may affect growth, with a potential growth-advantage for those turtles settling into mangrove estuaries (**[Figure 6](#page-11-0)**). It is unknown how or if this difference in growth rates may impact the ultimate fecundity of turtles once they become adults, but the pattern should be examined further to determine if there are somatic growth consequences of habitat choice.

Based on other trends documented for sea turtles, increased growth, for example, could result in (1) earlier maturation (lower ASM) and/or (2) larger size of maturation (larger SSM), both of which could translate to higher reproductive output if reproductive longevity is longer (given the lower ASM), or if clutch size is larger (given the larger SSM). These potential age- and size-related life history characteristics should be the focus of future work, as these differences have implications for population growth and ecology of this recovering stock.

### Conservation implications

These long-term habitat use patterns and estimated demographic parameters are important in helping to

understand the life history of this population and in guiding conservation efforts to prioritize critical habitat. Offshore and coastal rocky reef habitats are likely used by all hawksbills in this population during an early juvenile stage, and fishing and other anthropogenic activities that take place in these waters should be monitored to minimize impact to these turtles. Similarly, the age- and size-specific habitat use patterns showed that mangrove estuaries are very important, not only for providing long term habitats, but also by potentially facilitating rapid growth, which may positively affect the population's recovery rate. Continued community engagement that is focused on monitoring hawksbills in these nearshore habitats while also working to minimize mortality (fishing-related bycatch, entanglement, blast fishing; and egg harvesting) has already made incredible progress in the past 15 years and is essential to the continuing recovery of this population.

### Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**[Supplementary material](#page-14-20)**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

### Ethics statement

Ethical review and approval was not required for the animal study because all tissue samples used in this study were collected from already dead (stranded) sea turtles, with all appropriate collection and export/import permits in place.

# Author contributions

CTT, JS, and ML conceived of the study. ML coordinated the sample collection in the field. CTT conducted the lab work, data analysis, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. LA contributed to the skeletochronology data analysis. All authors revised and helped to edit the final manuscript.

# Funding

This work was supported by a NOAA—NMFS Sea Turtle Stock Assessment Award 18–19, which supported CTT during a National Research Council Research Associateship.

# Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the dedicated members of ProCosta in El Salvador for collecting, cleaning, and drying the hundreds of samples necessary for this study, especially Sofia Chavarria, Ani Henriquez, Carlos Pacheco, Marivn Pineda, Neftaly Sanchez, and Melissa Valle. At the Southwest Fisheries Science Center, we thank Allison Johnson and Toni Lohroff for assistance in processing the bone and skin samples, and Erin LaCasella for guiding the CITES sample import process.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## <span id="page-14-20"></span>Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: [https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fevo.2022.983260/full#supplementary-material) [fevo.2022.983260/full#supplementary-material](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fevo.2022.983260/full#supplementary-material)

# References

<span id="page-14-12"></span>Allen, C. D., Lemons, G. E., Eguchi, T., LeRoux, R. A., Fahy, C. C., Dutton, P. H., et al. (2013). Stable isotope analysis reveals migratory origin of loggerhead turtles in the Southern California Bight. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 472, 275–285. [doi:](https://doi.org/10.3354/meps10023) 10[.3354/meps10023](https://doi.org/10.3354/meps10023)

<span id="page-14-18"></span>Avens, L., Goshe, L. R., Coggins, L., Shaver, D. J., Higgins, B., Landry, A. M., et al. (2017). Variability in age and size at maturation, reproductive longevity, and long-term growth dynamics for Kemp's ridley sea turtles in the Gulf of Mexico. PLoS One 12:173999. [doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0173999](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0173999)

<span id="page-14-15"></span>Avens, L., Goshe, L. R., Harms, C. A., Anderson, E. T., Hall, A. G., Cluse, W. M., et al. (2012). Population characteristics, age structure, and growth dynamics of neritic juvenile green turtles in the northeastern Gulf of Mexico. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 458, 213–229. [doi: 10.3354/meps09720](https://doi.org/10.3354/meps09720)

<span id="page-14-2"></span>Avens, L., Goshe, L. R., Pajuelo, M., Bjorndal, K. A., MacDonald, B. D., Lemons, G. E., et al. (2013). Complementary skeletochronology and stable isotope analyses offer new insight into juvenile loggerhead sea turtle oceanic stage duration and growth dynamics. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 491, 235–251. [doi: 10.3354/meps10454](https://doi.org/10.3354/meps10454)

<span id="page-14-5"></span>Avens, L., Goshe, L. R., Zug, G. R., Balazs, G. H., Benson, S. R., and Harris, H. (2020). Regional comparison of leatherback sea turtle maturation attributes and reproductive longevity. Mar. Biol. 167, 1–12. [doi: 10.1007/s00227-019-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-019-3617-y) 36[17-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-019-3617-y)

<span id="page-14-3"></span>Avens, L., Ramirez, M. D., Goshe, L. R., Clark, J. M., Meylan, A. B., Teas, M., et al. (2021). Hawksbill sea turtle life-stage durations, somatic growth patterns, and age at maturation. Endang Species Res. 45, 127–145. [doi: 10.3354/esr01123](https://doi.org/10.3354/esr01123)

<span id="page-14-14"></span>Avens, L., and Snover, M. (2013). "Age and age estimation in sea turtles," in Biology of Sea Turtles Volume III, eds J. Wyneken, K. J. Lohmann, and J. A. Musick (Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press), 97–133.

<span id="page-14-4"></span>Avens, L. A., Goshe, L. R., Coggins, L., Snover, M. L., Pajuelo, M., Bjorndal, K. A., et al. (2015). Age and size at maturation- and adult-stage duration for loggerhead sea turtles in the western North Atlantic. Mar. Biol. 162, 1749–1767. [doi: 10.1007/s00227-015-2705-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-015-2705-x)

<span id="page-14-23"></span>Balazs, G. H., and Chaloupka, M. (2004). Spatial and temporal variability in somatic growth of green sea turtles (Chelonia mydas) resident in the Hawaiian Archipelago. Mar. Biol. 145, 1043–1059. [doi: 10.1007/s00227-004-1387-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-004-1387-6)

<span id="page-14-21"></span>Bellini, C., Santos, A. J. B., Patrício, A. R., Bortolon, L. F. W., Godley, B. J., Marcovaldi, M. A., et al., (2019). Distribution and growth rates of immature hawksbill turtles Eretmochelys imbricata in Fernando de Noronha, Brazil. Endang. Species Res. 40, 41–52. [doi: 10.3354/esr00979](https://doi.org/10.3354/esr00979)

<span id="page-14-25"></span>Bjorndal, K. A., Bolten, A. B., and Chaloupka, M. Y. (2000). Green turtle somatic growth model: evidence for density-dependence. Ecol. Appl. 10, 269–282. [doi: 10.1890/1051-0761\(2000\)010\[0269:GTSGME\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1890/1051-0761(2000)010[0269:GTSGME]2.0.CO;2)

<span id="page-14-0"></span>Bolten, A. B. (2003). "Variation in sea turtle life history patterns: neritic vs.<br>oceanic developmental stages," in The Biology of Sea Turtles, Vol. II, eds P. L. Lutz, J. Musick, and J. Wyneken (Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press), 243–257. [doi:](https://doi.org/10.1201/9781420040807.ch9) 10[.1201/9781420040807.ch9](https://doi.org/10.1201/9781420040807.ch9)

<span id="page-14-9"></span>Bouillon, S., Connolly, R. M., and Lee, S. Y. (2008). Organic matter exchange and cycling in mangrove ecosystems: recent insights from stable isotope studies. J. Sea Res. 59, 44–58. [doi: 10.1016/j.seares.2007.05.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seares.2007.05.001)

<span id="page-14-19"></span>Chaloupka, M. Y., and Musick, J. A. (1997). "Age, growth and population dynamics," in The Biology of Sea Turtles, Chap. 9, eds P. L. Lutz and J. A. Musick (Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press), 233–276.

<span id="page-14-22"></span>Chevis, M. G., Godley, B. J., Lewis, J. P., Lewis, J. J., Scales, K. L., and Graham, R. T. (2017). Movement patterns of juvenile hawksbill turtles Eretmochelys imbricata at a Caribbean coral atoll: long-term tracking using passive acoustic telemetry. Endang. Species Res. 32, 309–319. [doi: 10.3354/esr00812](https://doi.org/10.3354/esr00812)

<span id="page-14-6"></span>DeNiro, M. J., and Epstein, S. (1978). Influence of diet on the distribution of carbon isotopes in animals. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 42, 495–506. [doi: 10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(78)90199-0) 00[16-7037\(78\)90199-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(78)90199-0)

<span id="page-14-10"></span>Deutsch, C. A., Gruber, N. P., Key, R. M., Sarmiento, J. L., and Ganachaud, A. (2011). Denitrification and N2 fixation in the Pacific Ocean. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 15, 483–506. [doi: 10.1029/2000GB001291](https://doi.org/10.1029/2000GB001291)

<span id="page-14-13"></span>Domínguez-Miranda, J. P. (2010). Caracterización Biofísca del área marina frente a Playa Las Tunas, Playas Negras, Playas Blancas, Playa Maculís, y las Mueludas, Municipio de Conchagua, Departamento de La Unión, El Salvador. San Salvador: USAID, 83.

<span id="page-14-1"></span>Dunbar, S. G., Anger, E. C., Parham, J. R., Kingen, C., Wright, M. K., Hayes, C. T., et al. (2021). HotSpotter: using a computer-driven photo-id application to identify sea turtles. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 535, 151490. [doi: 10.1016/j.jembe.2020.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2020.151490) 15[1490](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2020.151490)

<span id="page-14-24"></span>Eguchi, T., Seminoff, J. A., Leroux, R. A., Dutton, D. L., and Dutton, P. H. (2012). Morphology and growth rates of the green sea turtle (Chelonia mydas) in a northern-most temperate foraging ground. Herpetologica 68, 76–87. [doi:](https://doi.org/10.1655/HERPETOLOGICA-D-11-00050.1) 10[.1655/HERPETOLOGICA-D-11-00050.1](https://doi.org/10.1655/HERPETOLOGICA-D-11-00050.1)

<span id="page-14-7"></span>Espinasse, B., Hunt, B. P. V., Batten, S. D., and Pakhomov, E. A. (2019). Defining isoscapes in the Northeast Pacific as an index of ocean productivity. Global Ecol. Biogeogr. 29, 246–261. [doi: 10.1111/geb.13022](https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.13022)

<span id="page-14-11"></span>Fleming, A. H., Clark, C. T., Calambokidis, J., and Barlow, J. (2016). Humpback whale diets respond to variance in ocean climate and ecosystem conditions in the California Current. Glob. Change Biol. 22, 1214–1224. [doi: 10.1111/gcb.13171](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13171)

<span id="page-14-8"></span>France, R. L. (1995). Carbon-13 enrichment in benthic compared to planktonic algae: foodweb implications. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 124, 307–312. [doi: 10.3354/](https://doi.org/10.3354/meps124307) m[eps124307](https://doi.org/10.3354/meps124307)

<span id="page-14-17"></span>Francillon-Vieillot, H., Arntzen, J. W., and Géraudie, J. (1990). Age, growth and longevity of sympatric Triturus cristatus T. marmoratus and their hybrids (Amphibia, Urodela): A Skeletochronological Comparison. J. Herpetol. 24, 13–22. [doi: 10.2307/1564284](https://doi.org/10.2307/1564284)

<span id="page-14-16"></span>Francis, R. I. C. C. (1990). Back-calculation of fish length: A critical review. J. Fish Biol. 36, 883–902. [doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8649.1990.tb05636.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.1990.tb05636.x)

<span id="page-15-18"></span>Fry, B. (2006). Stable Isotope Ecology. New York, NY: Springer New York, 308. [doi: 10.1007/0-387-33745-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-33745-8)

<span id="page-15-27"></span>Fry, B., and Ewel, K. C. (2003). Using stable isotopes in mangrove fisheries research - a review and outlook. Isotopes Environ. Health Stud. 39, 191–196. [doi: 10.1080/10256010310001601067](https://doi.org/10.1080/10256010310001601067)

<span id="page-15-4"></span>Gaos, A. R., Abreu-Grobois, F. A., Alfaro-Shigueto, J., Amorocho, D., Arauz, R., Baquero, A., et al. (2010). Signs of hope in the eastern Pacific: international collaboration reveals encouraging status for a severely depleted population of hawksbill turtles Eretmochelys imbricata. Oryx 44, 595–601. [doi: 10.1017/](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0030605310000773) S0[030605310000773](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0030605310000773)

<span id="page-15-29"></span>Gaos, A. R., Lewison, R. L., Jensen, M. P., Liles, M. J., Henriquez, A., Chavarria, S., et al. (2017). Natal foraging philopatry in eastern Pacific hawksbill turtles. R. Soc. Open Sci. 4:170153. [doi: 10.1098/rsos.170153](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.170153)

<span id="page-15-41"></span>Gaos, A. R., Lewison, R. L., Jensen, M. P., Liles, M. J., Henriquez, A., Chavarria, S., et al. (2018). Rookery contributions, movements and conservation needs of hawksbill turtles at foraging grounds in the eastern Pacific Ocean. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 586, 203–2016. [doi: 10.3354/meps12391](https://doi.org/10.3354/meps12391)

<span id="page-15-5"></span>Gaos, A. R., Lewison, R. L., Yañez, I. L., Wallace, B. P., Liles, M. J., Nichols, W. J., et al. (2012a). Shifting the life-history paradigm: discovery of novel habitat use by hawksbill turtles. Biol. Lett. 8, 54–56. [doi: 10.1098/rsbl.2011.0603](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2011.0603)

<span id="page-15-30"></span>Gaos, A. R., Lewison, R. L., Wallace, B. P., Yañez, I. L., Liles, M. J., Nichols, W. J., et al. (2012b). Spatial ecology of critically endangered hawksbill turtles Eretmochelys imbricata: implications for management and conservation. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 450, 181–194. [doi: 10.3354/meps09591](https://doi.org/10.3354/meps09591)

<span id="page-15-3"></span>Gaos, A. R., and Yañez, I. L. (2012). "Saving the eastern Pacific hawksbill from extinction: Last chance or chance lost?," in Sea Turtles of the Eastern Pacific: Advances in Research and Conservation, eds J. A. Seminoff and B. P. Wallace (Tucson: University of Arizona Press), 244–262. [doi: 10.2307/j.ctv21hrddc.14](https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctv21hrddc.14)

<span id="page-15-35"></span>Garduño-Andrade, M., Guzman, V., Miranda, E., Briseño-Dueñas, R., and Abreu-Grobois, F. A. (1999). Increases in hawksbill turtle (Eretmochelys imbricata) nestings in the Yucatan Peninsula, Mexico, 1977-1996: data in support of successful conservation? Chelonian Conserv. Biol. 3, 286–295.

<span id="page-15-12"></span>Godley, B. J., Blumenthal, J. M., Broderick, A. C., Coyne, M. S., Godfrey, M. H., Hawkes, L. A., et al. (2008). Satellite tracking of sea turtles: where have we been and where do we go next? Endanger. Species Res. 4, 3–22. [doi: 10.3354/esr00060](https://doi.org/10.3354/esr00060)

<span id="page-15-33"></span>Goshe, L. R., Avens, L., Scharf, F. S., and Southwood, A. L. (2010). Estimation of age at maturation and growth of Atlantic green turtles (Chelonia mydas) using skeletochronology. Mar. Biol. 157, 1725–1740. [doi: 10.1007/s00227-010-1446-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-010-1446-0)

<span id="page-15-17"></span>Goshe, L. R., Avens, L., Snover, M. L., and Hohn, A. A. (2020). "Protocol for processing sea turtle bones for age estimation," in *Proceedings of the NOAA* Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC, 43. (Washington, DC: NOAA),

<span id="page-15-32"></span>Goshe, L. R., Snover, M. L., Hohn, A. A., and Balazs, G. H. (2016). Validation of back-calculated body lengths and timing of growth mark deposition in Hawaiian green sea turtles. Ecol. Evol. 6, 3208–3215. [doi: 10.1002/ece3.2108](https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.2108)

<span id="page-15-39"></span>Griffin, L. P., Smith, B. J., Cherkiss, M. S., Crowder, A. G., Pollock, C. G., Hillis-Starr, Z., et al. (2020). Space use and relative habitat selection for immature<br>green turtles within a Caribbean marine protected area. Anim. Biotelemetry 8:22. [doi: 10.1186/s40317-020-00209-9](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40317-020-00209-9)

<span id="page-15-16"></span>Hanna, M. E., Chandler, E. M., Semmens, B. X., Eguchi, T., Lemons, G. E., and Seminoff, J. A. (2021). Citizen-sourced sightings and underwater photography reveal novel insights about green sea turtle distribution and ecology in Southern California. Front. Mar. Sci. 8:671061. [doi: 10.3389/fmars.2021.671061](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2021.671061)

<span id="page-15-34"></span>Hastie, T. J., and Tibshirani, R. J. (1990). "Generalized additive models," in Monographs on Statistics and Applied Probability, Ed. G. Wetherill (London: Chapman and Hall), 43.

<span id="page-15-14"></span>Hawkes, L. A., Tomas, J., Revuelta, O., Leon, Y. M., Blumenthal, J. M., Broderick, A. C., et al. (2012). Migratory patterns in hawksbill turtles described by satellite tracking. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 461, 223–232. [doi: 10.3354/meps09778](https://doi.org/10.3354/meps09778)

<span id="page-15-13"></span>Hays, G. C., and Hawkes, L. A. (2018). Satellite tracking sea turtles: opportunities and challenges to address key questions. Front. Mar. Sci. 5:432. [doi: 10.3389/fmars.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2018.00432) 20[18.00432](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2018.00432)

<span id="page-15-19"></span>Hobson, K. A., and Wassenaar, L. I. (eds) (2018). Tracking Animal Migration with Stable Isotopes. Cambridge, MA: Academic Press. [doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-814723-8.00001-5) 81[4723-8.00001-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-814723-8.00001-5)

IUCN (2018). 2018 IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Gland: IUCN-SSC.

<span id="page-15-2"></span><span id="page-15-0"></span>LaCasella, E. L., Jensen, M. P., Madden, H., Bell, I. P., Frey, A., and Dutton, P. H. (2021). Mitochondrial DNA profiling to combat the illegal trade in tortoiseshell products. Front. Mar. Sci. 7:595853. [doi: 10.3389/fmars.2020.595853](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.595853)

<span id="page-15-36"></span>Levasseur, K. E., Stapleton, S. P., and Quattro, J. M. (2021). Precise natal homing and an estimate of age at sexual maturity in hawksbill turtles. Anim. Conserv. 24, 523–535. [doi: 10.1111/acv.12657](https://doi.org/10.1111/acv.12657)

<span id="page-15-7"></span>Liles, M. J., Gaos, A. R., Bolanos, A. D., Lopez, W. A., Arauz, R., Gadea, V., et al. (2017). Survival on the rocks: high bycatch in lobster gillnet fisheries threatens hawksbill turtles on rocky reefs along the Eastern Pacific coast of Central America. Latin Am. J. Aquat. Res. 45, 521–539. [doi: 10.3856/vol45-issue3-fulltext-3](https://doi.org/10.3856/vol45-issue3-fulltext-3)

<span id="page-15-6"></span>Liles, M. J., Jandres, M. V., Lopez, W. A., Mariona, G. I., Hasbun, C. R., and Seminoff, J. A. (2011). Hawksbill turtles Eretmochelys imbricata in El Salvador: nesting distribution and mortality at the largest remaining nesting aggregation in the eastern Pacific Ocean. Endanger. Species Res. 14, 23–30. [doi: 10.3354/esr00338](https://doi.org/10.3354/esr00338)

<span id="page-15-8"></span>Liles, M. J., Peterson, M. J., Lincoln, Y. S., Seminoff, J. A., Gaos, A. R., and Peterson, T. R. (2015a). Connecting international priorities with human wellbeing in low-income regions: lessons from hawksbill turtle conservation in El Salvador. Local Environ. 20, 1383–1404. [doi: 10.1080/13549839.2014.905516](https://doi.org/10.1080/13549839.2014.905516)

<span id="page-15-9"></span>Liles, M. J., Peterson, M. J., Seminoff, J. A., Altamirano, E., Henríquez, A. V., Gaos, A. R., et al. (2015b). One size does not fit all: importance of adjusting conservation practices for endangered hawksbill turtles to address local nesting habitat needs in the eastern Pacific Ocean. Biol. Conservat. 184, 405-413. [doi:](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2015.02.017) 10[.1016/j.biocon.2015.02.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2015.02.017)

<span id="page-15-10"></span>Liles, M. J., Peterson, T. R., Seminoff, J. A., Gaos, A. R., Altamirano, E., Henríquez, A. V., et al. (2019). Potential limitations of behavioral plasticity and the role of egg relocation in climate change mitigation for a thermally sensitive endangered species. Ecol. Evol. 9, 1603-1622. [doi: 10.1002/ece3.4774](https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.4774)

<span id="page-15-38"></span>Limpus, C. J., and Chaloupka, M. (1997). Nonparametric regression modeling of green sea turtle growth rates (southern Great Barrier Reef). Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 149, 23–34. [doi: 10.3354/meps149023](https://doi.org/10.3354/meps149023)

<span id="page-15-1"></span>Limpus, C. J., and Miller, J. D. (1990). The use of measured scutes of hawksbill turtles. Eretmochelys imbricata, in the management of the tortoiseshell (bekko) trade. Aust. Wildl. Res. 17, 633–639. [doi: 10.1071/WR9900633](https://doi.org/10.1071/WR9900633)

<span id="page-15-37"></span>Limpus, C. J., and Miller, J. D. (2000). Final Report for Australian Hawksbill Turtle Population Dynamics Project. Unpublished Report to the Japan Bekko Association. Brisbane: Queensland Parks and Wildlife Services.

<span id="page-15-15"></span>Mansfield, K. L., Wyneken, J., and Luo, J. (2021). First Atlantic satellite tracks of 'lost years' green turtles support the importance of the Sargasso Sea as a sea turtle nursery. Proc. R. Soc. B Biolog. Sci. 288:20210057. [doi: 10.1098/rspb.2021.0057](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2021.0057)

<span id="page-15-31"></span>MARN (2014). MARN y líderes locales del Bajo Lempa unen esfuerzos para construer Plan Ambiental. Riyadh: MARN.

<span id="page-15-26"></span>Marshall, J. D., Brookes, J. R., and Lajtha, K. (2007). "Sources of variation in the stable isotopic composition of plants," in *Stable Isotopes in Ecology and* Environmental Sciences, eds R. Michener and K. Lajtha (Oxford: Blackwell Publishing), 22–50. [doi: 10.1002/9780470691854.ch2](https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470691854.ch2)

<span id="page-15-40"></span>Massey, L., and McCord, P. (2017). AMBAS in Action: How and all-women's group is leading sea turtle conservation efforts in El Salvador. Capstone Thesis. San Diego, CA: University of California, San Diego.

<span id="page-15-24"></span>McClellan, C. M., Braun-McNeill, J., Avens, L., Wallace, B. P., and Read, A. J. (2010). Stable isotopes confirm a foraging dichotomy in juvenile loggerhead sea turtles. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 387, 44–51. [doi: 10.1016/j.jembe.2010.02.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2010.02.020)

<span id="page-15-28"></span>McMahon, K. W., Berumen, M. L., Mateo, I., Elsdon, T. S., and Thorrold, S. R. (2011). Carbon isotopes in otolith amino acids identify residency of juvenile snapper (Family: Lutjanidae) in coastal nurseries. Coral Reefs 30, 1135–1145. [doi:](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-011-0816-5) 10[.1007/s00338-011-0816-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-011-0816-5)

<span id="page-15-21"></span>McMahon, K. W., Hamady, L., and Thorrold, S. R. (2013). A review of ecogeochemistry approaches to estimating movements of marine animals. *Limnol. Oceanog.* 58:697. [doi: 10.4319/lo.2013.58.2.](https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2013.58.2.0697) 06[97](https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2013.58.2.0697)

<span id="page-15-20"></span>Montoya, J. (2008). "Nitrogen stable isotopes in marine environments," in Nitrogen in the Marine Environment, Chap. 29, eds D. G. Capone, D. A. Bronk, M. R. Mullholland, and E. J. Carpenter (Burlington, MA: Academic Press, Elsevier), 1277–1302. [doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-372522-6.00029-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-372522-6.00029-3)

<span id="page-15-23"></span>Montoya, J., Holl, C., Zehr, J., Hansen, A., Villareal, T., and Capone, D. G. (2004). High rates of N2 fixation by unicellular diazotrophs in the oligotrophic Pacific Ocean. Nature 430, 1027–1032. [doi: 10.1038/nature02824](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02824)

<span id="page-15-22"></span>Oczkowski, A., Kreakie, B., McKinney, R. A., and Prezioso, J. (2016). Patterns in stable isotope values of nitrogen and carbon in particulate matter from the Northwest Atlantic continental shelf, from the Gulf of Maine to Cape Hatteras. Front. Mar. Sci. 3:252[.doi: 10.3389/fmars.2016.00252](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2016.00252)

<span id="page-15-25"></span>Pajuelo, M., Bjorndal, K. A., Alfaro-Shigueto, J., Seminoff, J. A., Mangel, J., and Bolten, A. B. (2010). Stable isotope dichotomy in loggerhead turtles reveals Pacific-Atlantic oceanographic differences. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 417, 277–285. [doi: 10.3354/meps08804](https://doi.org/10.3354/meps08804)

<span id="page-15-11"></span>Plotkin, P. T. (2003). "Adult migrations and habitat use," in The Biology of Sea Turtles: II, eds P. Lutz, J. Musick, and J. Wyneken (Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press), 225–241. [doi: 10.1201/9781420040807.ch8](https://doi.org/10.1201/9781420040807.ch8)

<span id="page-16-20"></span>R Core Team (2021). A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.

<span id="page-16-3"></span>Ramirez, M. D., Avens, L., Seminoff, J. A., Goshe, L. R., and Heppell, S. S. (2015). Patterns of loggerhead turtle ontogenetic shifts revealed through isotopic analysis of annual skeletal growth increments. Ecosphere 6:244. [doi: 10.1890/ES15-00255.1](https://doi.org/10.1890/ES15-00255.1)

<span id="page-16-8"></span>Seminoff, J. A., Benson, S. R., Arthur, K. E., Dutton, P. H., Tapilatu, R., and Popp, B. N. (2012). Stable isotope tracking of endangered sea turtles: validation with satellite telemetry and δ15N analysis of amino acids. PLoS One 7:e37403. [doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0037403](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0037403)

<span id="page-16-11"></span>Seminoff, J. A., Komoroske, L. M., Amorocho, D., Arauz, R., Chacón-Chaverrí, D., de Paz, N., et al. (2021). Large-scale patterns of green turtle trophic ecology in the eastern Pacific Ocean. Ecosphere 12:e03479. [doi: 10.1002/ecs2.3479](https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.3479)

<span id="page-16-19"></span>Snover, M., Avens, L., and Hohn, A. (2007). Back-calculating length from skeletal growth marks in loggerhead sea turtles *Caretta caretta. Endanger. Species<br>Res.* 3, 95–104. [doi: 10.3354/esr003095](https://doi.org/10.3354/esr003095)

<span id="page-16-14"></span>Snover, M. L., Balazs, G. H., Murakawa, S. K. K., Hargrove, S. K., Rice, M. R., and Seitz, W. A. (2012). Age and growth rates of Hawaiian hawksbill turtles (Eretmochelys imbricata) using skeletochronology. Mar. Biol. 160, 37–46. [doi:](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-012-2058-7) 10[.1007/s00227-012-2058-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-012-2058-7)

<span id="page-16-15"></span>Snover, M. L., and Hohn, A. A. (2004). Validation and interpretation of annual skeletal marks in loggerhead (*Caretta caretta*) and Kemp's ridley (L*epidochelys*<br>*kempii*) sea turtles. Fi*sh. Bull.* 102, 682–692.

<span id="page-16-2"></span>Snover, M. L., Hohn, A. A., Crowder, L. B., and Macko, S. A. (2010). Combining stable isotopes and skeletal growth marks to detect habitat shifts in juvenile loggerhead sea turtles Caretta caretta. Endanger. Species Res. 13, 25-31. [doi: 10.](https://doi.org/10.3354/esr00311) 33[54/esr00311](https://doi.org/10.3354/esr00311)

<span id="page-16-16"></span>Snover, M. L., Hohn, A. A., Goshe, L. R., and Balazs, G. H. (2011). Validation of annual skeletal marks in green sea turtles Chelonia mydas using tetracycline labeling. Aquat. Biol. 12, 197–204. [doi: 10.3354/ab00337](https://doi.org/10.3354/ab00337)

<span id="page-16-7"></span>Turner Tomaszewicz, C. N., Avens, L., LaCasella, E. L., Eguchi, T., Dutton, P. H., LeRoux, R. A., et al. (2022). Mixed-stock aging analysis reveals variable sea turtle maturity rates in a recovering population. J. Wildl. Manag. 2022:e22217. [doi: 10.1002/jwmg.22217](https://doi.org/10.1002/jwmg.22217)

<span id="page-16-4"></span>Turner Tomaszewicz, C. N., Seminoff, J. A., Avens, L., and Kurle, C. M. (2016). Methods for sampling sequential annual bone growth layers for stable isotope analysis. Methods Ecol. Evol. 7, 556–564. [doi: 10.1111/2041-210X.12522](https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12522)

<span id="page-16-12"></span>Turner Tomaszewicz, C. N., Seminoff, J. A., Avens, L. A., Goshe, L. R., Rodriguez-Baron, J. M., Peckham, S. H., et al. (2018). Expanding the coastal forager paradigm: Long-term pelagic habitat use by green turtles (Chelonia mydas)<br>in the eastern Pacific Ocean. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 587, 217–234. [doi: 10.3354/](https://doi.org/10.3354/meps12372) m[eps12372](https://doi.org/10.3354/meps12372)

<span id="page-16-6"></span>Turner Tomaszewicz, C. N., Seminoff, J. A., Ramirez, M. D., and Kurle, C. M. (2015b). Effects of demineralization on the stable isotope analysis of bone samples. Rapid Commu. Mass Spectrom. 29, 1879–1888. [doi: 10.1002/rcm.7295](https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.7295)

<span id="page-16-17"></span>Turner Tomaszewicz, C. N., Seminoff, J. A., Peckham, S. H., Avens, L., Goshe, L., Bickerman, K., et al. (2015a). Age and residency duration of loggerhead turtles at a North Pacific bycatch hotspot using skeletochronology. Biol. Conserv. 186, 134–142. [doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2015.03.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2015.03.015)

<span id="page-16-5"></span>Turner Tomaszewicz, C. N., Seminoff, J. A., Peckham, S. H., Avens, L., and Kurle, C. M. (2017a). Intrapopulation variability in the timing of ontogenetic habitat shifts in sea turtles revealed using 815N values from bone growth rings.<br>J. A*nim. Ecol.* 86, 694–704. [doi: 10.1111/1365-2656.12618](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12618)

<span id="page-16-22"></span>Turner Tomaszewicz, C. N., Seminoff, J. A., Price, M., and Kurle, C. M. (2017b). Stable isotope discrimination factors and between-tissue isotope comparisons for bone and skin from captive and wild green sea turtles (Chelonia mydas). Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 231, 1903-1914. [doi: 10.1002/rcm.7974](https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.7974)

<span id="page-16-9"></span>Vander Zanden, H. B., Tucker, A. D., Hart, K. M., Lamont, M. M., Fujisaki, I., Addison, D. S., et al. (2015). Determining foraging area origin in a migratory marine vertebrate by integrating stable isotope analysis and satellite tracking: a novel approach. Ecol. Appl. 25, 320–335. [doi: 10.1890/14-0581.1](https://doi.org/10.1890/14-0581.1)

<span id="page-16-0"></span>Vásquez, M., and Liles, M. J. (2008). "Estado actual de las tortugas marinas en El Salvador, con énfasis en la tortuga carey," in Proceedings of the XII Congreso de la Sociedad Mesoamericana para la Biología y la Conservación, San Salvador.

<span id="page-16-13"></span>Wallace, B. P., Seminoff, J. A., Kilham, S. S., Spotila, J. R., and Dutton, P. H. (2006). Leatherback turtles as oceanographic indicators: Stable isotope analyses reveal a trophic dichotomy between ocean basins. Mar. Biol. 149, 953–960. [doi:](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-006-0247-y) 10[.1007/s00227-006-0247-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-006-0247-y)

<span id="page-16-1"></span>Wedemeyer-Strombel, K. R., Seminoff, J. A., Liles, M. J., Sánchez, R. N., Chavarría, S., Valle, M., et al. (2021). Fishers' ecological knowledge and stable isotope analysis reveal mangrove estuaries as key developmental habitats for critically endangered sea turtles. Front. Conserv. Sci. 2:796868. [doi: 10.3389/fcosc.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcosc.2021.796868) 20[21.796868](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcosc.2021.796868)

<span id="page-16-10"></span>West, J. B., Bowen, G. J., Cerling, T. E., and Ehleringer, J. R. (2006). Stable isotopes as one of nature's ecological recorders. Trends Ecol. Evol. 21, 408–414. [doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2006.04.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2006.04.002)

<span id="page-16-21"></span>Wood, S. N. (2017). Generalized Additive Models: An Introduction With R, Generalized Additive Models: An Introduction with R, 2nd Edn. (Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press), [doi: 10.1201/9781315370279](https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315370279)

<span id="page-16-18"></span>Zug, G. R., Wynn, A. H., and Ruckdeschel, C. (1986). Age determination of loggerhead sea turtles, Caretta caretta, by incremental growth marks in the Skeleton. Smithson. Contrib. to Zool. 427, 1–34. [doi: 10.5479/si.00810282.](https://doi.org/10.5479/si.00810282.427) 42[7](https://doi.org/10.5479/si.00810282.427)