### Check for updates

### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Bertrand Duvillie, Institut Curie, France

REVIEWED BY Aldo Roccaro, Civil Hospital of Brescia, Italy Jesus Delgado-Calle, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE Michaela R. Reagan Michaela.reagan@mainehealth.org

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Cancer Endocrinology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Endocrinology

RECEIVED 07 December 2022 ACCEPTED 02 February 2023 PUBLISHED 23 February 2023

### CITATION

Marques-Mourlet C, Di Iorio R, Fairfield H and Reagan MR (2023) Obesity and myeloma: Clinical and mechanistic contributions to disease progression. *Front. Endocrinol.* 14:1118691. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2023.1118691

### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Marques-Mourlet, Di Iorio, Fairfield and Reagan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

## Obesity and myeloma: Clinical and mechanistic contributions to disease progression

## Constance Marques-Mourlet<sup>1,2†</sup>, Reagan Di Iorio<sup>1,3†</sup>, Heather Fairfield<sup>1,4,5</sup> and Michaela R. Reagan<sup>1,4,5\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>MaineHealth Institute for Research, Center for Molecular Medicine, Scarborough, ME, United States, <sup>2</sup>University of Strasbourg, Pharmacology Department, Strasbourg, France, <sup>3</sup>University of New England, College of Osteopathic Medicine, Biddeford, ME, United States, <sup>4</sup>University of Maine, Graduate School of Biomedical Science and Engineering, Orono, ME, United States, <sup>5</sup>Tufts University, School of Medicine, Boston, MA, United States

Obesity and obesogenic behaviors are positively associated with both monoclonal gammopathy of unknown significance (MGUS) and multiple myeloma (MM). As the only known modifiable risk factor, this association has emerged as a new potential target for MM prevention, but little is known about the mechanistic relationship of body weight with MM progression. Here we summarize epidemiological correlations between weight, body composition, and the various stages of myeloma disease progression and treatments, as well as the current understanding of the molecular contributions of obesity-induced changes in myeloma cell phenotype and signaling. Finally, we outline groundwork for the future characterization of the relationship between body weight patterns, the bone marrow microenvironment, and MM pathogenesis in animal models, which have the potential to impact our understanding of disease pathogenesis and inform MM prevention messages.

### KEYWORDS

obesity, multiple myeloma, diet, treatment, hematological malignancies

## 1 Introduction

Multiple Myeloma (MM) is a fatal plasma cell dyscrasia characterized by bone marrow (BM) infiltration and lytic bone lesions. MM is the second most common hematologic malignancy, with approximately 34,460 new cases diagnosed in 2022 in the USA alone (1). Advancements in MM treatments have dramatically improved the median survival time in patients over the past two decades; however, MM remains incurable. Existing evidence points to obesity or obesogenic behaviors as plausible targets for MM prevention. Obesity remains one of the few established risk factors for both MM and its premalignant precursor states of monoclonal gammopathy of unknown significance (MGUS) and smoldering MM (SMM), and is the only known modifiable risk factor. For individuals at risk for MM, further understanding of the relationship between disease risk and body weight are urgently needed.

Obesity, defined as a body mass index (BMI)  $\geq$  30, affects approximately 42% of adults in the US ( $\geq$ 18 years of age), and has been rising concurrently with the prevalence of cancer over the past two decades (2). Obesity-related conditions (eg. heart disease, stroke, type 2 diabetes and

10.3389/fendo.2023.1118691

certain types of cancer) led to medical costs of ~\$173 billion in 2019, and these conditions are among the leading causes of preventable, premature death in the US (2). Extremely high BMI has been associated with an increased risk of at least 13 cancers, including MM (3-6), and many studies have demonstrated a significant reduction in cancer risk with weight loss in women (7). Adipose tissue, commonly known for its role in energy storage, commonly increases with weight gain and increased BMI, and represents an endocrine organ that secretes bioactive compounds. It is composed of adipocytes, macrophages, lymphocytes, preadipocytes, fibroblasts, and endothelial cells. Adipose tissue composition depends on type, location, age, and degree of obesity, among other factors. Two types of adipose tissue most well studied are white adipose tissue (WAT) and brown adipose tissue (BAT) (8), but recent work has begun to characterize other adipose depots such as bone marrow adipose tissue (BMAT) (9), beige adipocytes (10, 11) and perivascular adipose tissue (PVAT) (12). The contributions of these depots to obesity/metabolic disease, and their responses to obesity, may suggest new anti-obesity treatments, or further illuminate how obesity initiates such devastating diseases. Specific to MM, most research currently investigates contributions of WAT or BMAT to MM, since the BM is the primary location of myeloma cell growth, and since both WAT and BMAT increase in obesity (13). As myeloma cells are known to interact closely with neighboring cells, their interaction with BM adipocytes represents an interesting new field of research, especially since BMAT also increases with age (14), another major risk factor for MM.

Some studies have attempted to understand the link between increased MM risk and obesity through biological mechanisms, as described below. Adipokines, such as leptin and IL-6, induce myeloma cell survival and proliferation, but targeting adipokines in MM or cancer generally has not had great translational success and new targets, based on a better understanding of the pathology, are needed. Very few studies specifically interrogate the mechanistic links between obesity and myeloma disease progression, perhaps due to technical challenges of preclinical models, and thus our overall understanding of the effects of obesity on MM and its microenvironment, is still nebulous. The aim of this review is to provide a summary of the current epidemiologic evidence associating obesity with myeloma incidence, the status of the field attempting to connect cellular mechanisms to this increased risk, and our recommendations for preclinical (animal) studies to address some of the remaining gaps in this field.

## 2 Obesity, diabetes, and multiple myeloma

## 2.1 Obesity and risk for MM incidence

Obesity has long been considered a major risk factor for cancer and is the only known modifiable risk factor for multiple myeloma. At every stage of the disease progression, obesity plays a part, but the greatest impact may happen before a diagnosis is made, in the precursor disease state. Monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS) is a premalignant condition defined by the presence of a monoclonal paraprotein in the blood, a proliferation of clonal plasma cells in the BM (less than 10%), and the absence of end organ damage (15). MGUS occurs in 3.2% of persons aged 50 and older and 5.3% of those over age 70 (16), and it has a persistent risk of progression to MM of about ~1% per year (17). A recent systemic review found that increased BMI and obesity are implicated in MGUS development, as well as the progression to overt MM (18). Many other studies agree: the International Agency for Research into Cancer (IARC) and the World Cancer Research Fund cite greater body fatness as a contributor to the risk for MM development (5). The risk ratio (RR) of myeloma incidence has been found to be significantly increased (RR=1.11, p<0.0001) in both women and men, analyzed separately, based on a meta-analysis of 6 or 7 studies, respectively (per 5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> increase in body weight) in a 2008 study (18). A retrospective study of PET/CT data found that patients who were recently diagnosed with MM had higher abdominal fat cross sectional area and higher fat metabolic activity compared to patients with MGUS (19).

The Age Gene/Environment Susceptibility-Reykjavik Study (AGES-RS) has since revealed that a high midlife BMI is associated with increased risk of progression from MGUS to MM and other lymphoproliferative diseases (20). Similarly, a retrospective study from the US Veterans Health Administration in 2017 showed weight status and obesity are both associated with increased risk of transformation of MGUS to MM (21). Most recently, a retrospective analysis in 2022 within the Prostate, Lung, Colorectal and Ovarian (PLCO) Cancer Screening Trial revealed a 35% increase in odds of progression from non-IgM MGUS to MM with each 5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> increase in BMI (22).

In 2018, data gathered from the Nurses' Health Study (NHS), Health Professionals Follow-Up Study (HPFS), and Women's Health Study (WHS), revealed a positive association of both cumulative average adult BMI and young adult BMI with MM risk (23). MM incidence was positively associated with adult BMI, with relative risks of 1.2 for overweight, 1.2 for class 1 obesity, and 1.5 for class 2 or 3 obesity (6). Over a twenty-year observational period, MM risk is increased with increased hip circumference and medium-increase body trajectory compared to lean-stable body trajectory. Interestingly, extreme weight cycling (with net weight gain and at least one episode of 20 pound or greater weight loss) was recently associated with increased risk for MM compared to maintained weight (24).

# 2.2 Obesity is a controversial factor in multiple myeloma progression and MM-induced mortality

A prospective study on the influence of excess body weight and risk of death from cancer found current patterns of obesity in the United States could account for 14% of all deaths from cancer in men and 20% in women (4). The relative risk of death from cancer for men was 1.52 and 1.62 for women, with BMI significantly associated with death due to MM specifically (4). Pooled analyses of prospective trials from 2011 and 2014 revealed a 9-15% and 52-54% increased risk of MM mortality for overweight (BMI  $\geq$ 25) and obese individuals, respectively (25, 26). In African American (AA) populations, mortality due to MM increases monotonically with BMI increase, with hazard ratios up to 1.43 for BMIs of 35 kg/m<sup>2</sup> or greater, using data from seven prospective cohorts tracking mortality among 239,597 adults in the AA BMI-Mortality Pooling Project (27).

Still, not all studies agree, and a recent study of 563 subjects showed BMI before (for both sexes) and at the time of MM diagnosis

(for males) are not associated with overall survival in MM, and, surprisingly, that a higher BMI at diagnosis is associated with *better* overall survival for females (28). Similarly, one abstract presented at the 16<sup>th</sup> International Myeloma Workshop in 2017 by a team from the Mayo Clinic found that, in patients with heavily pretreated MM, obesity (BMI >30) was associated with better outcomes compared to those who were not obese (BMI <30) (29). Interestingly, although the response rates for these groups to treatments were similar for the obese and non-obese groups, the progression free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) were significantly better in the obese group (29). The authors explain the that exact cause of this is unknown, but hope that future work will both confirm their findings and explore the mechanisms that physiological consequences of obesity may have on disease biology or drug metabolism (29).

Similarly, in a study of 1,087 MM patients, among those who received melphalan and total body irradiation (TBI), obese and severely obese patients had superior PFS and OS than did normal and overweight patients: PFS at 5 years was 23% in normal weight patients, 17% in overweight patients, 43% in obese patients, and 55% in severely obese patients (p=0.005) (30). This was not true for MM patients who received melphalan alone, suggesting that differences in how irradiation affects MM in obese vs lean individuals may drive the differences in patient responses (30). Overall, some studies support an associate between increased BMI or obesity, and increased mortality in MM, while other studies suggest that obesity can, surprisingly, have the opposite effect on MM patient outcomes. Thus, more research into obesity and response to MM treatments is needed and more work should be done to determine if weight loss strategies (diet, exercise, or drugs) are useful interventions in MM.

The controversial finding that obesity, a major risk factor for many cancers, can be protective in terms of cancer patient survival has been observed in many other types of cancer as well, and is termed the "obesity paradox" (31). As reviewed by Arnold et al., there are perhaps biological explanations for this, such as protection from cachexia [which occurs in ~30% of MM or lymphoma patients during treatment and increases mortality risk (32)], or advantages of increased body weight during aging. However, there are also a many plausible methodological reasons for the "obesity paradox", such as residual confounding, reverse causality, and a selection bias known as "collider bias" (31). In fact, blocking browning in white adipose seems to be an effective way to protect from cachexia-induced weight loss and muscle wasting, and thus it is likely not the total amount of adipose, but it's metabolic state, that determines its role in cachexia (33, 34). Furthermore, cachexia, a metabolic syndrome that can be present even in the absence of weight loss, can in fact frequently be obscured by obesity, leading to under-diagnosis and excess mortality (35). Thus, we should not interpret the observations about obesity and survival in MM, or any cancer, to mean that high BMI will reduce the risk of death or cachexia for cancer patients, or that gaining weight could be beneficial (31).

## 2.3 The diabetes- MM connection

Many publications of epidemiological data have found or suggested that type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is a risk factor for developing MM or causing worse clinical outcomes (36). For example, recent work from Shah et al. found that patients with MGUS, MM, amyloidosis, as well as some other lymphoproliferative disorders (LPDs), were more likely to have a preceding diabetes mellitus (DM) diagnosis compared to matched controls (37). However, the story is complicated, as they found that patients with DM were no more likely to progress from MGUS to MM, WM, amyloidosis, or other LPDs than non-diabetic patients. However, they note that they could not control for the use of anti-diabetic drugs that may lower rates of MGUS progression (37). A recent systematic review and meta-analysis analyzed 13 studies and concluded that T2DM does not increase risk of MM (34), but again the authors hypothesize that the use of hypoglycemic drugs, such as metformin, could explain why these patients do not have an increased risk of MM (34). Another recent study from Japan of 131,701 cancer patients, 6,135 of which had coexisting diabetes, found, perhaps not surprisingly, that survival was better for cancer patients without (versus with) diabetes (38). More interestingly, patients who had diabetes also had a higher risk of developing second primary cancer, specifically of MM, as well as uterine, liver, and pancreatic cancer (38). The presence of diabetes was identified from the prescription records of antidiabetic drugs, which included an array of drugs (metformin, insulin and insulin analogs (pen-type injection device), glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonists, dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors, sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors, thiazolidines, glinides, alpha-glucosidase inhibitors and sulfonylureas) (38). Thus, even for patients on anti-diabetic drugs, diabetes was a risk factor for MM development (as a secondary cancer) (38). More on diabetes and MM specifically can be found in the reviews by Tentolouris et al. (38) and Fais et al. (39).

## 3 Treatment options for targeting obesity-related contributions to MM

The association between obesity and MM prompts a compelling argument for the use of metformin as a pharmacotherapy in patients with MGUS to reduce body weight, metabolic disease, or adiposity parameters, including BMI and waist circumference (40). Relatedly, metformin, a drug commonly used in diabetic patients, has promising anti-MM effects based on epidemiological data. Data from 2017 show a significant reduction in myeloma risk for patients with MGUS and cumulative metformin exposure >2 years and adjusted for the serum glucose level (41). Likewise, a cohort of US veterans with comorbid MGUS and diabetes mellitus (DM) treated with metformin displayed reduction in myeloma risk with metformin exposure >4 years (21). Similarly, in a recently study of 739,553 patients from Taiwan's National Health Insurance database, T2DM patients who were prescribed metformin within the first year of their diagnosis with T2DM had a lower risk of developing MM compared to T2DM patients who were not prescribed metformin (42).

Metformin also exhibits anti-myeloma effects *in vitro* and immunocompromised xenograft models (43), however this has not been tested in a diet-induced obesity (DIO) model. A recent study on *in vitro* and *in vivo* MM models demonstrates decreased proliferation of dexamethasone-resistant and -sensitive MM cell lines treated with metformin, with cell cycle assays showing arrest in the G0/G1 phase of MM.1S and H929 cells and arrest in the G2/M phase of RPMI8226 cells (44). Mouse survival was prolonged with metformin treatment,

with decreased U266 and H929 growth in BM (44). Moreover, metformin can reverse some of the negative effects on bone cause by DIO in mice (45), and has been shown to be beneficial to bone in other in vivo settings (46), which could make it useful in slowing osteolytic disease in MM. However, not all data on metformin concur; a recent study found mice pretreated with metformin for 4 weeks prior to inoculation of 5TGM1 MM cells had increased tumor burden, associated with increased osteolytic bone lesions and elevated osteopontin (OPN) expression in the bone marrow (47). In vitro, metformin increased MM cell attachment to osteoblasts, and increased OPN expression in preosteoblasts. This unexpected indirect pro-tumorigenic effect of metformin highlights the importance of fully elucidating the effects of metformin before using it as a treatment in MM (47). The implications of whether metformin could be repurposed in either MGUS as a preventative measure or in myeloma patients requires further investigation, as do other metabolically-focused therapies (40). A clinical trial is ongoing to assess whether metformin could be used in the future to help prevent MGUS or smoldering MM patients from progressing to MM (https:// clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04850846).

Statins, or HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors, are another class of lipid-lowering medications that hold promise in MM (48). An analysis of 5,922 patients diagnosed with MM within the study period, the use of statins was associated with 21% reduction in risk of death among all patients, and of only those patients treated with novel agents (n=3,603), statins reduced mortality by 10% (48).

There is also promise in lowering MM risk through lifestyle changes promoting lower BMI. Data from the Women's Health Initiative Observational Study (WHI-OS) demonstrates significantly lower obesity-related cancer risk in women with intentional weight loss (greater than 5%) over three years compared to women with stable weight. These results are independent of race and/or ethnicity, baseline BMI, smoking status, or prior hormone use, illustrating the modifiable nature of obesity as a risk factor (7). Likewise, a retrospective study from 2008-2017 revealed decreased incidence of obesity-related cancer in patients with comorbid nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and obesity who underwent bariatric surgery compared to patients with NAFLD and obesity who did not (49). Furthermore, high leisure-time physical activity is associated with a 7% lower risk of obesity-related cancer compared to low physical activity, and when combined with a BMI <25, the relative risk reduction is 27% for MM (50). Bariatric surgery would likely be beneficial for patients with morbid obesity, as lower overall cancer risk has been seen in obese women within the first 5 years after bariatric surgery (51), and based on the growing body of evidence to support the role of obesity as a dynamic influence on MM incidence. However, the fact that bariatric surgery, such as vertical sleeve gastrectomy and Roux-en-Y gastric bypass, cause bone loss (decreased bone mineral density), increased bone turnover, and increased risk of fracture cannot be overlooked for MM patients who already face bone loss and high fracture risk (52, 53). Overall, evidence for weight loss as an effective treatment or prevention method, especially when weighed against effects on bone loss, in MM is needed.

A greater understanding of how obesity contributes to drug resistance in MM is needed, as patients commonly relapse after therapies becomes ineffectual. The development of relapsed/ refractory MM is the most common cause of MM death. The treatment regimen for newly-diagnosed MM patients depends on

their risk as determined by genetic mutations within the myeloma cells themselves, as well as the patients' ability to tolerate autologous stem cell transplantation (ASCT), access to care, and other factors. In transplant eligible patients, frontline therapy typically includes bortezomib (or other proteasome inhibitors), lenalidomide (or other IMiDs [immunomodulatory drugs]), and dexamethasone (an anti-inflammatory, anti-myeloma steroid) for 3-4 cycles prior to ASCT. Patients who are not eligible for ASCT often receive similar treatments, but for 8-12 cycles (54). High-risk patients also may receive daratumumab (54), which binds to CD38, a cell surface marker overexpressed in myeloma cells, to recruit immune cells that target and kill MM cells. Maintenance therapy for patients includes lenalidomide, while bortezomib is added to this in the case of high risk patients. Many other therapies, such as melphalan (a chemotherapy) and newer, more targeted therapies are also used for front-line or maintenance therapy, or explored in the context of a clinical trial. How obesity affects the efficacy or long-term outcomes of these treatments, some of which (eg. dexamethasone) can lead to weight gain, remains a challenge in the field of precision medicine. Omega-3 fatty acids have been shown to enhance the killing effects of bortezomib and induce apoptosis in MM cell lines, but in vivo and clinical data are lacking (55). Overall, a better understanding of the effects of obesity on efficacy of current therapies could hold the potential to better tailor treatments to individual patients.

## 4 Biologic mechanisms linking obesity with MM

## 4.1 In vivo models

As with all cancer research, murine models of MM are a critical tool to understand the pathogenesis of the disease and in the development of novel therapeutic strategies. No model perfectly recapitulates the human tumor/tumor microenvironment, but many exist for MM and the best one(s) based on the hypothesis being tested should be identified. The choice of the system involves weighing the pros and cons of different model attributes, like the requirement of an intact host immune system, the use of human cell lines, and the host microenvironment. The inoculation of the myeloma cells can also be achieved with different methods such as tail vein injection to induce systemic disease, subcutaneous injection to induce solitary plasmacytomas, or intratibial injections to induce lytic bone lesions in the limb inoculated (56).

Many models simply do not show any effect of high fat diet (HFD) or obesity on MM disease progression, for various reasons. For example, many common immunodeficient strains (eg. severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID), non-obese diabetic/severe combined immunodeficiency (NOD/SCID), and NOD/SCIDIL2R $\gamma$  (NSG) mice), used for xenograft MM models, seem to be resistant to developing HFDinduced metabolic syndrome, and often do not become obese, due to a lack of adaptive immunity and defective innate immunity (55). In our lab, we found no significant differences in either HFD or weight cycling (high fat and low fat cycled dieting) in terms of survival compared to control diet, in a male SCID-beige MM.1S xenograft model (57).

However, some models can be used to investigate how obesity contributes to MM. In a 2015 study, Lwin et al., described the first DIO model useful to examine effects of obesity on MM development *in vivo* 

(58). C57BL/6 mice, which are not normally permissive hosts for 5TGM1 murine myeloma cells, were placed on HFD (42% fat) or control diet (CD) for 5 weeks (Figure 1A). A significant increase in body fat and body weight were observed among the HFD mice. The mice were then inoculated with 5TGM1 myeloma cells via tail vein and their diet was maintained during the experiment. C57BL/6 mice on HFD developed features of MM including a significant increase in myeloma specific IgG2<sub>bk</sub> paraprotein and an accumulation of GFPpositive myeloma cells in the BM and spleen, while the mice on CD did not exhibit these characteristics. MicroCT analysis of the tibial trabecular bone volume (TBV) also showed significant bone loss in the myeloma-bearing mice on HFD (58). In this same study, myeloma permissive C57BL/KaLwRij mice were placed on HFD for 5 weeks before inoculation with 5TGM1 cells (tail vein), which resulted in tumor growth and osteolytic bone disease (58). Despite an increase in body fat due to the HFD, no significant differences in serum paraprotein, tumor burden within the BM, or TBV were observed. This indicates that the obese host environment created by HFD may not be directly promoting tumor growth or survival, but may be creating a myeloma-permissive microenvironment. Also in that manuscript, mice with a mutation in the leptin gene (ob/ob) resulting in biologically inactive leptin, were used to analyze myeloma development in a genetic model of obesity. 5TGM1 myeloma cells were inoculated into 12-week-old ob/ob mice via tail vein injection. No increase in serum paraprotein was observed and no GFP positive myeloma cells were detected in the BM or the spleen, perhaps due in part to the fact that leptin itself is a pro-myeloma adipokine and thus pro-tumor effects of obesity may be negated by the anti-tumor effects of leptin removal in these mice (61). This shows that there are distinct differences created by diet-induced obesity and leptin deficiencyinduced obesity in terms of effects on tumors (58).

To investigate these differences between the models further, serum concentrations in DKK1 and adiponectin, as well as IL-6 and insulin growth factor (IGF)-1, which are connected to both obesity and myeloma, were measured (58). HFD was found to have no significant impact on DKK1 and total adiponectin concentrations compared to ob/ob mice, suggesting that these factors are not responsible for the myeloma-

permissive environment generated by HFD. However, diet-induced obesity was found to significantly increase serum IGF-1, while no significant difference in IGF-1 concentration was observed in *ob/ob* mice. This suggests that the increase in IGF-1 is specific to diet-induced obesity and may be contributing to the development of myeloma. IL-6 was not found to be altered in either ob/ob mice or HFD mice, but was significantly increased in tumor bearing mice on HFD, suggesting that it came from myeloma cells, or that MM cells stimulated its increase in neighboring cells (58).

Increased myeloma incidence and development under obese conditions was also observed using a second diet-induced obesity (DIO) C57BL/6J mouse model (Figure 1B) (59). In this study, mice were injected with murine myeloma Vk12598 cells (which express high levels of Myc) into the femurs (intrafemoral). Tumor burden assessed with the serum levels of M-proteins was significantly higher in DIO mice compared with control diet mice. DIO mice also exhibited increased numbers of marrow and spleen-infiltrating CD138+ myeloma cells, as well as enlarged spleens (59). In a third model, within this same publication, Yang et al. used luciferase-labeled ARP-1 human myeloma cells (Figure 1C), mixed with purified mature adipocytes obtained from normal BM-derived mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs) (59). Those were then injected subcutaneously into NOD-scid IL2RG<sup>null</sup> (NSG) mice fed with irradiated rodent diet. They found that adding human or mouse adipocytes to myeloma cells increased the levels of bioluminescent activity and tumor weights. Similar results were observed with intrafemoral injections of MM.1S or ARP-1 in SCID mice (Figure 1D). In addition, whether the murine adipocytes came from normal diet mice or HFD mice differentially affected tumor growth; higher bioluminescent activity was observed in the flanks of the mice injected with adipocytes isolated from HFD vs control diet mice (59).

## 4.2 Bone marrow adipose tissue

Obesity is known to cause an increase in BMAT in mice and humans (Figure 2), highlighting the potential for increased or altered BMAT to be a major link between obesity and MM (62-64). One of



Murine models of multiple myeloma: (A) I.V. injection of 5TGM1 MM cells in C57BL/6 mice on a high fat diet (a diet-induced obesity model) (58). (B) Intrafemoral injection of Vk12598 MM cells in C57BL/6J mice on a high fat diet (a diet-induced obesity model) (59). (C) Subcutaneous inoculation of ARP-1 MM cells and adipocytes in NSG mice (59). (D) SCID mouse femurs injected with MM cells (ARP-1 or MM.1S) or co-injected with MM cells and GFP-labeled mature human adipocytes (60). Figure includes modified templates from Servier Medical Art.



the first studies to investigate a potential link between BM adipocytes and MM cells was from Caers et al., where 5T33MM murine myeloma cells and the human MM5.1 cell line were used (65). In this work, normal and concentrated conditioned medium (CM) from BM fibroblasts, BM adipocytes and peripheral adipocytes were added to 5T33MM cells, and their DNA synthesis was measured. A significant increase in DNA synthesis in MM cells was detected in response to BM adipocyte media compared to the control. Direct cell-cell contact between MM cells and adipocytic cells was also found to increase DNA synthesis significantly in murine 5T33MM cells. Fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis of caspase-3 activity also showed that adipocytic cells protect MM cells from apoptosis. The migration assay revealed an enhanced migration of murine MM cells towards concentrated CM of BM adipocytes. Therefore, BM adipocytes affect proliferation, apoptosis and migration of MM cells (65). However, as MM cells invade the BM, BM adipocytes tend to disappear and change (eg. display a SASP, senescence-associated secretory phenotype, or become reprogrammed in other ways to induce bone resorption) during the disease development (66-71). This suggests that a bi-directional relationship exists between adipocytes and MM cells, and that the main role of adipocytes may be in the initial stages of the disease.

A combination of both *in vitro* and *in vivo* methods have been used to test the hypothesis that an increase in adipocyte quantity promotes

the progression to MM. In 2016 Trotter et al. (72) were among the first to show that the BM from patients having MM contained more preadipocytes and larger mature adipocytes than normal BM. They was also found that preadipocytes and mature adipocytes secrete many molecules that support the growth of MM cells in the BM and recruit MM cells via both stromal cell-derived factor-1-a and monocyte chemotactic protein-1. In addition, CM from mature adipocytes augmented MM growth, and co-culture with preadipocytes resulted in increased MM cell chemotaxis in vitro. This supported the importance of adipocytes on MM progression and suggested they represent a specific target in the BM microenvironment (72). In 2019, using the C57BL/KaLwRij murine model of myeloma, BM adiposity was found to be increased in early stage myeloma, while bone marrow adipocytes (BMAds) were localized primarily along the tumor-bone interface at later stages of disease (69). Myeloma cells were found to uptake BMAd-derived lipids in vitro and in vivo, although lipid uptake was not associated with the ability of BMAds to promote myeloma cell growth and survival (69). The Yang laboratory has shown that adipocytes activate autophagy in myeloma cells, via the STAT3 signaling pathway, leading to chemoresistance and reduced apoptosis using eloquent in vivo and in vitro studies (60). Our work has also found that BMAT induces drug resistance in MM cells (70). New, tissue engineered 3D models of BMAT have also shown that BM adipocytes shrink with MM cell co-culture; these models may be useful to

recapitulate tumor-host interactions in more physiologically relevant conditions than can be achieved with 2D cultures, but with more control than possible *in vivo* (73, 74).

MM cells use mitochondrial-based metabolism as well as glycolysis in the BM (75). It was recently reported that intercellular mitochondrial transfer from neighboring nonmalignant BM stromal cells to MM cells *via* tumor-derived tunneling nanotubes (TNT) can change cellular reliance on oxidative phosphorylation, and hence BMAds could not only provide fatty acids, adipokines, and other fuel, but also, potentially mitochondria, which could affect MM cell survival and growth (75). This is an area open for interrogation.

## 4.3 Lipid mediators and metabolism-related enzymes

The roles of lipids and other metabolites in patient serum is also under investigation as a mechanism by which obesity may affect MM. For example, PI resistance may be partially mediated by oxidized LDL, a central mediator of atherosclerosis that is elevated in obesity (76). Oxidized LDL suppressed the boronic-acid based PIs (bortezomib and ixazomib) mediated killing of human MM cell lines through both proteasome inhibition and pro-apoptotic signaling (76). This implies that patients with metabolic syndrome (obesity, insulin resistance, dyslipidemia, and hypertension) could see deepened clinical response to these PIs when supplemented with cholesterol-lowering therapy. However, this is not supported by a 2008 study that found total cholesterol (TC), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) in patients with MM were significantly lower than the healthy controls (77). Similarly, a new 6-prognostic factor model was constructed based on Lasso regression to assess the following serum lipids in MM patients: triglyceride (TG), low-density lipoprotein (LDL), high-density lipoprotein (HDL), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), Apolipoprotein B (Apo B) and Apo B/Apolipoprotein A1 (Apo A1) ratio (78). Together, these created a prognostic model, identified through univariate and multivariate Cox analysis, which exhibited better accuracy than International Staging System (ISS) and Durie and Salmon (DS) stage for 5- and 10-year OS. Data from the model support ApoB and the ApoB/ApoA1 ratio as being associated with shorter OS, but total cholesterol and HDL-C levels with being associated with longer OS (78). Still, the direction of the causal relationships between tumor development and changes in serum lipid levels is not known from the current data, and compensation mechanisms may be at work. Interestingly, in vitro, accumulation of lipids in MM cells was induced by PI treatment, and lipid-lowering drugs combined with a PI exerted a synergistic killing effect on myeloma cells (79).

Differences in circulating lipids or other metabolites may also affect tumor cell metabolism, and lipid metabolism within MM cells, in normal or high BMI patients, is of great interest. In a study analyzing myeloma cells isolated from obese patients (59), the involvement of the acetyl coenzyme A (Acetyl-CoA) metabolic process in obesity-associated myeloma growth was observed. The production of Acetyl-CoA from acetate is primarily dependent on Acetyl-CoA synthetase 2 (ACSS2), the enzyme which catalyzes the synthesis of Acetyl-CoA from short chain fatty acids. It was found

that ACSS2 was highly expressed in malignant plasma cells from MM patients. This expression was even higher in obese patients, and a correlation between ACSS2 expression and patient BMI was established. In subsequent experiments, adipocyte-secreted angiotensin II was identified as the direct cause of this increased expression of ACSS2. ACSS2 interacts with the oncoprotein interferon regulatory factor 4 (IRF4), and enhances IRF4 stability and IRF4mediated gene transcription through activation of acetylation. IRF4 plays a main role in B-lymphocyte development and acts as a transcription factor, regulating the expression of genes supporting myeloma growth and survival. As the use of an ACSS2 inhibitor reduced myeloma growth both in vitro and in a diet-induced obese mouse model, the critical role of ACSS2 in MM was verified (59). Another fatty acid metabolism gene, fatty acid elongase 6 (ELOVL6), was recently shown to regulate PI resistance in MM (80). We have found that the ACSL and FABP (fatty acid binding proteins) families of are other, novel potential targets in MM (81-83).

Bioactive lipid mediators may also be new targets in MM. Recent work from the Lynch lab has shown that knockdown of the acid ceramidase enzyme, ASAH1, which typically breaks down lipids of the ceramide class, led to reduced conversion of ceramide to sphingosine 1-phosphate (S1P) and decreased expression or activity of the anti-apoptotic proteins (MCL-1, BCL2 and BCL-xL) along with increases in pro-apoptotic proteins (BIM and NOXA) (84). Notably, ASAH1 knockdown also significantly sensitized the MM cells to PI treatment (84). In further support of this, S1P activation has been shown to contribute to proliferation and survival of MM cells (85). More information on targeting lipid metabolism in MM cells can be found here (86), and a review of metabolic changes in the BM microenvironment to relate to MM progression can be found here (87).

## 4.4 Adipokines

In addition to angiotensin II, as mentioned above, adipose tissue expresses and secretes multiple bioactive peptides called adipokines that act both on the local and systemic level. Adipokines regulate a broad range of activities including angiogenesis, oxidation, and cellular signaling. During metabolic diseases, including obesity, increases in adipose tissue result in subsequent increased production and secretion of many adipokines, with adiponectin a notable exception (88, 89). Leptin, an important regulator of caloric intake and metabolic function, is elevated in obese humans (89). It has been shown to be highly correlated with BMI in mice, and weight loss due to food restriction causes a decrease in the leptin levels (90). Caers and colleagues confirmed that adipocytes were the only cells within the MM microenvironment to secrete leptin, by RT-PCR and ELISA (65). Leptin also appears have proliferative and anti-apoptotic effects in myeloma cells (61, 91). Leptin has been shown to be responsible for reducing the anti-tumor effects of chemotherapy via activation of AKT and STAT3 pathways, as well as upregulating Bcl-2 expression and inhibiting caspase-3 activation in MM cells in vitro (61).

Adiponectin is one of most highly expressed substances in adipocytes, and was found to be decreased in obese patients (92). In a case-control study, the role of serum adiponectin in MM was investigated by analyzing blood samples collected from 73 patients with histologically confirmed MM and 73 non-tumor bearing controls. Lower serum adiponectin levels were associated with higher risk of MM by bivariate analysis (93). While investigating whether circulating levels of total adiponectin and high molecular weight (HMW) adiponectin were associated with MM risk among 174 MM patients, an inverse relationship between total and HMW adiponectin levels and subsequent risk of MM was shown (94).

Much work from the Edwards lab has supported the antimyeloma role of adiponectin in MM (95). Recently, from the Edwards lab, myeloma cells were found to downregulate adiponectin specifically in BMAds but not in white adipocytes (69). They found the ability of myeloma cells to downregulate adiponectin was dependent in part on TNF- $\alpha$  which was significantly correlated with tumor burden in the BM plasma of myeloma-bearing mice. To investigate how TNF-a downregulates BMAd-derived adiponectin, transwell co-culture of myeloma cells with BMAds was used, and it revealed an increase in activation of JNK, p38MAPK and ERK1/2, pathways that are implicated in TNF- $\alpha$  mediated suppression of adiponectin in WAT (69). Mouse recombinant TNF- $\alpha$  induced a significant decrease in Adipoq expression and in secreted adiponectin in ST2-derived mouse BMAds. Conversely, the addition of a neutralizing antibody to TNF-a in myeloma/BMAd co-cultures blocked the suppression of adiponectin and prevented the reduction in BMAd number. This work demonstrated that myeloma cells downregulate adiponectin in BMAds via TNF- $\alpha$  (69). Adiponectin signaling may also help reduce bone pain, through a TNF- $\alpha$ -NF $k\beta$ -adiponectin axis that regulated nerve growth factor (NGF) and pain signaling in MM (96). A recent study also found a correlation between adiponectin and markers of MM bone disease and further investigated a potential mechanism of action of adiponectin on the differentiation and maturation of osteoclasts in MM (97). Flow cytometry was used to detect the expression of adiponectin receptor 1 (AdipoR1) and the phosphorylation of the mechanistic target of rapamycin kinase (mTOR) and eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E-binding protein (4EBP1). It was found that adiponectin inhibits the differentiation and maturation of osteoclasts by increasing the expression of AdipoR1 and reducing the phosphorylation levels of mTOR and 4EBP1 in patients with MM (97).

Data on the role of resistin do not clearly define the role of this adipokine in MM. In 2017, Pang et al. showed that resistin contributes to muti-drug resistance in MM cells by inhibiting cell death and upregulating ATP-binding cassette transporter expression (98). However, lower serum resistin levels correlated with higher risk of MM in one clinical study, adjusted for BMI and other factors, but it is possible that resistin levels were decreased in a compensatory/ response mechanism in this case (93). Thus, more research into the roles of resistin, and other adipokines, in MM would be valuable.

## 4.5 Hormones and inflammatory cytokines

Obesity is often characterized as a hyperinsulinemic state. Insulin and IGF-I are potent growth and survival factor for MM cells (96). MM cell lines express IGF-I, IGF-II, and insulin receptors; insulin and IGFs can protect MM cells from dexamethasone-induced apoptosis and thus play a role in maintenance of the malignant clone (99). Work from the Rudikoff laboratory studied the IGF-I signaling cascade in 8 MM cell line; in addition to inhibiting apoptosis, IGF-I was found to activate the MAPK pathway, resulting in proliferation. Moreover, *in vivo* administration of IGF-I in SCID mice inoculated with the OPM-2 cell line led to a tumor growth rate twice as high as in the controls (100, 101). Inhibition of the IGF-I pathway was not found to change the proliferative effect of IL-6, and IL-6 and IGF-I were found to activate different downstream signaling molecules. Thus, IGF-I was found to act as a survival and proliferation factor for MM cells by stimulating an IL-6 independent signaling cascade (102).

Free serum sex hormones, eg. estrogen and testosterone, are is increased in obesity in part due to a decrease in the sex hormonebinding globulin (SHBG) (103, 104). These hormones interact with numerous pathways throughout the body that likely affect MM. For example, estrogens have been shown to promote MM by enhancing the immunosuppressive activity of myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) (105). The estrogen-responsive gene microtubuleassociated serine/threonine kinase family member 4 (MAST4) was also recently found to be a critical factor in MM-induced bone disease (106). Still, the relationship between estrogens and MM is not completely clear, because another study found that activation of estrogen receptors, which are highly expressed by MM cells, blocked interleukin-6-inducible cell growth of human MM cells (107).

The state of chronic inflammation associated with obesity is related to high levels of inflammatory cytokines such as IL-6, C-reactive protein (CRP), and TNF-a. IL-6 has been long known to be involved in inhibition of MM cell apoptosis and promotion of their survival (108). Interactions of IL-6 with adhesion molecules, cytokines, such as transforming growth factor beta-1, tumor suppressor genes and oncogenes, lead to the survival of malignant plasma cells (109). In addition, IL-6 is also suggested to cause drug resistance using epigenetic modulation proteins. IL-6 also enhances DNA methyltransferase-1, and thus promotes methylation and deactivation of p53, enabling MM cells to avoid apoptosis (110). CRP is a polypeptide protein secreted by hepatocytes in response to IL-6 and represents an indicator of IL-6 production. TNF-a induces expression of adhesion molecules on MM cell lines and on BM stromal cells leading to increased binding of MM cells to BM stromal cells and increased IL-6 secretion (99). The direct and indirect roles of other immunomodulatory interleukins, such as IL-10 (111), and the IL-12 family cytokines (112, 113), the gut microbiome (known to change based on diets and obesity) and adipocyte-derived chemoattractants such as MCP1 (also known to change in obesity (112),) are multifactorial and would benefit from further investigation (114).

## 4.6 Nutrient uptake

Metabolic transformations are one main aspect of cancer, and targeting these transformations is one critical lead for cancer therapy. Cancer metabolism and phenotype rely on cell-intrinsic factors, such as metabolite availability in the tumor microenvironment (TME). A large range of cell types contribute to the metabolite composition of the TME, and are involved in tumor cell metabolism, interactions between cancer and non-cancerous cells, and whole body metabolic homeostasis (115).

In work from the Raje laboratory using murine MM cells and BM adipocyte coculture assays, MM-induced lipolysis in adipocytes *via* 

activation of the lipolysis pathway was investigated (71). In this work, MM cells were shown to induce lipolysis in adipocytes using a glycerol secretion assay. The observation of an upregulation of the genes involved in fatty acid (FA) lipolysis, FA synthesis, and FA desaturation lead to the conclusion that an altered FA metabolism is induced in MM cells (71). In this study, murine 5TGM1 and humanderived OPM2 MM cells were exposed to fluorescently labeled FA and analyzed. Results indicated that the cellular machinery for FA transport are present in MM cells, and lipolysis-induced free fatty acids (FFAs) are transferred intracellularly into the MM cells, potentially altering FA metabolism. Upregulation of fatty acid transporters 1 and 4 on MM cells mediated the uptake of secreted FFAs by adjacent MM cells. The effect of FFAs on MM cells was studied by peritumoral delivery of arachidonic acid on a plasmacytoma MM.1S model in SCID mice. This experiment revealed an increased proliferation at lower concentrations and the induction of lipotoxicity, via ferroptosis, at higher concentrations of FFAs. The authors rightly concluded that the prevention of FFA uptake by MM cells could represent a potential target for myeloma therapeutics (71). A deeper understanding of the metabolic flexibility and requirements of tumor cells, and downstream tumor cell changes resulting from different metabolic pathways, would enable novel therapy development in MM and many other cancers.

## 4.7 The immune system

Currently, no studies have specifically examined a three-way link between obesity, the immune system, and myeloma progression, despite the fact that all of the above biological effects or consequences of obesity also have effects on immune cells. However, different pairs of this triad have been studied in depth in MM as we have described above (obesity and MM) and as others have [immune cells and MM (116)]. Fortunately, there are potentially relevant findings in other malignancies that may translate to MM. Here we discuss some of the most recent studies investigating effects of obesity on T cells and macrophages in the TME, which represents a promising direction of research. To better understand the impact of obesity on MM and design novel interventions, the roles of other immune cells should also be investigated, especially now that immunotherapies are becoming so prevalent in MM treatment clinically.

## 4.7.1 HFD induced obesity impairs CD8+ T cell function in the murine TME

One main feature of tumor cell metabolism is increased nutrient consumption to meet energetic, anabolic and pro-survival demands. As activated T cells are highly proliferative and rely on metabolic pathways to function, tumor cell metabolism and anti-tumor immunity are tightly related. In 2020, Ringel et al. investigated how obesity shifts the metabolic landscape of the tumor microenvironment to inhibit T cell function and promote tumor growth (117), and though they did not use myeloma cells in this work, the possibility of their findings to apply to MM as well remains.

In the Ringel et al. work, to model human obesity in mice, C57BL/ 6J mice were put on either CD or HFD at 5 weeks of age, and for 8-10 weeks. They were injected with syngeneic MC38 colorectal adenocarcinoma cells, which grew more quickly in the HFD mice

than in the CD mice. Flow cytometry was used to profile tumorinfiltrating immune cell populations 10-14 days after inoculation. HFD was found to reduce the number and functionality of intratumoral CD8+ T cells (117). Single-cell profiling revealed that immune cells in the TME go through metabolic changes in response to HFD, and the differences are distinctive in the T cells. Although CD8+ T cells are found within HFD tumors, it seems that HFD changes metabolic niche interactions within tumors and impacts local T cell infiltration patterns. These metabolic adaptations differ from the ones affecting tumor cells, which lead to altered fatty acid partitioning in HFD tumors, impairing CD8+ T cell infiltration and function. HFD MC38 tumor cells rewire metabolism to increase fatty acid uptake and oxidation. Indeed, HFD decreased expression of prolyl hydroxylase 3 (PHD3), a critical metabolic regulator, in MC38 cells. Restoring PHD3 expression in tumor cells was found to be sufficient to alter nutrient availability in the TME. Investigating the effect of PHD3 overexpression on tumor growth in vivo, data showed that maintaining high PHD3 expression in MC38 tumor cells improved the anti-tumor T cell response in HFD mice. Analysis of other human cancers revealed similar changes in CD8+ T cell markers, suggesting interventions that exploit metabolism may improve cancer immunotherapy (117).

The effects of obesity on CD8+ T cells were also investigated by Dyck et al. in mouse models and patients with endometrial cancer (118). It was also found that obesity enhances tumor growth and reduces CD8 T cell infiltration, proliferation, and function in the tumor. Suppression of CD8+ T cell infiltration in obesity was associated with a decrease in chemokine production such as IFN-y. Tumor-resident CD8+ T cells were also found to be functionally suppressed in obese mice, due to a suppression of amino acid metabolism. In fact, CD8+ T cell activation requires the activity of the amino acid transporters SLC7A5, and their activity in MC38 tumors in obese mice was found to be significantly reduced. Glutamine levels were also decreased in mice on HFD, and as it is essential for CD8+ T cell function and is a substrate for SLC7A5, low levels of glutamine could impair CD8+ T cell function in obesity. The immune checkpoint PD-1, which is frequently up-regulated on dysfunctional T cells in tumors, was also found to be more highly expressed in MC38 tumors. Immunotherapy using anti-PD-1 partially restored CD8 metabolism and anti-tumor immunity (118).

## 4.7.2 Macrophages and tumor cells

Tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) possess distinct phenotypes. While M1 macrophages have a pro-inflammatory (anti-tumoral) function, M2 macrophages have an antiinflammatory (pro-tumoral) function. Studies have shown that the polarization of TAMs towards an M1 or M2 phenotype is driven by environmental factors such as cytokines, chemokines and other soluble factors secreted by the neighboring cells. Polarization towards the M2 state has been found to be correlated with a lack in p53 (115). The discoveries regarding the metabolic profile of macrophages represent an interesting therapeutic target: promoting a switch to an M1, anti-tumoral phenotype.

Like T cells, TAMs also compete with their neighboring cells for glucose. Glycolytic activity in TAMs has mainly been associated with tumor regression (115). Moreover, hypoxic TAMs have increased expression of the mTOR negative regulator REDD1 and consequently display decreased glycolysis. Lactate produced by tumor cells also has a critical function in signaling and TAM polarization as it induces a pro-tumoral M2 phenotype (115). Glutamine metabolism in TAMs has also been linked to a pro-tumoral phenotype (115). Monocytes have also recently been shown to respond to HFD in mice, in work from the Klip laboratory: this group found that short-term HFD changed BM cellularity, resulting in local adipocyte whitening, driving a gradual increase and activation of invasive Ly6C<sup>high</sup> monocytes (119). These monocyte changes preceded a rise in adipose tissue macrophages during HFD in the mice. Moreover, skewing of the BM towards a preponderance of  $\mbox{Ly6C}^{\rm high}$  monocytes was preceded by monocyte metabolic reprogramming towards glycolysis, reduced oxidative potential and increased mitochondrial fission. Thus, obesity, or general metabolic state, likely also affects tumor progression through influences on monocyte or macrophage metabolism.

In a recent study by Micallef et al. the C1q/TNF-related protein family member C1qtnf3 was identified as one of the most upregulated genes responsible for secreted proteins in tumor-associated adipose tissue, especially in diet-induced obese mice (120). Macrophage accumulation in tumor-associated inguinal adipose tissue was found to be inhibited by the administration of C1QTNF3 neutralizing antibodies, but tumor growth was unaffected. Moreover, C1QTNF3 treatment of M2 macrophages stimulated the ERK and Akt pathway, increasing the polarization towards the M1 state. These results suggest that macrophages could be recruited to adipose tissue with increased C1QTNF3 production (120). In sum, aiming to redirect a polarization of TAMs towards an M1 phenotype by affecting metabolism, or other signaling pathways, represents a promising therapeutic target.

## **5** Discussion

As evidenced by the scant publications available in the myeloma field, modeling the contributions of obesity to MM progression in mice has been difficult (57). These challenges are compounded by the fact that many myeloma studies utilize human MM cells, which require the use of immunocompromised (eg. NOD/SCID, SCIDbeige, or nude) mice, which do not exhibit robust responses to DIO regimens, and are lacking a full immune cell repertoire. Indeed, a thorough investigation of changes in tumor cells and BM immune cell milieu induced by obesity that support MM disease progression has not been executed. Most studies of obesity on MM rely on direct injection of mouse tumor cells into the bones (59) of mice on a HFD, due to the unpredictability of mouse tumor cell engraftment when injected systemically, and often result in growth in locations other than the bone.

The Vk\*MYC mouse myeloma cell line Vk12598, which grows in C57BL/6 mice, does induce osteolysis when injected systemically into mice (121), which is even more pronounced when the cells are injected intrafemorally (34). So far, as we described above, this model has only shown a response to DIO when the Vk12598 cells are injected intrafemorally, which is useful but does not recapitulate the series of steps MM cells take in disease progression (ie. extravsation, survival through the circulation, intravasation, homing to the bone marrow) (59). However, murine eGFP+/5TGM1 cells,

originally derived from the C57BL/KaLwRij mice (122), can spread to the bone from circulation and cause extensive osteolysis, as we have shown (123). However, they can also spread to other locations, such as the spleen, which poorly models MM clinically (59). Still, these cells have proven useful in a MM DIO model using IV injection (58, 59), and hopefully, using this or a similar immunocompentent, DIO model, the mechanisms of obesity's effects on MM can be better elucidated. We now know obesity alters the composition of the BM (124), as well as the genes expression profiles of the cells within the niche (125). Obesity is correlated with an increase in BMAds in mice (13) and humans (126), which are known contributors to systemic adipokines such as leptin (pro-myeloma) (94) and adiponectin (antimyeloma) (95), however the relationship between MM and BMAds has only begun to be investigated. Recent studies by our lab and others have demonstrated significant effects of MM cells on BMAds including decreased lipid content (66, 68-70), increased expression of inflammatory cytokines (66, 70), and decreased adiponectin (69, 70), with myriad implications for direct interactions with MM cells, as well as the vicious cycle of MM-induced bone disease. Whether these myeloma-associated adipocytes are involved in homing, engraftment, or in vivo drug resistance should be further investigated in animal models going forward, since we and others have shown that adipocytes promote drug resistance in myeloma cells in vitro (60, 70, 98).

Obesity, like aging (127), is now being linked to senescence in the BM microenvironment (125) and cellular senescence and cancer susceptibility are correlated in a number of conditions (128), begging the question of whether aging and obesity-induced senescence in the marrow might actually drive myelomagenesis. Recent data from the Weivoda laboratory support this idea, based on clinical data and mouse models showing that MGUS and smoldering MM plasma cells are in a senescent-like state, and data that targeting senescence in these early MM diseases can reduce plasma cell numbers in the MGUS model (129). Moreover, in vitro studies suggest that myeloma cells might induce senescence in the marrow in mesenchymal stromal cells (14, 20, 21) and BMAds (13), however the relationship between senescent cells and myeloma is still not completely understood. Promising preclinical studies in both aged (127) and obese (130) mice, and preliminary reports from a clinical trial (131), demonstrate efficacy of senolytic therapies to target and clear senescent cells in obesity models and aging. Future studies should investigate a role for senolytics in combination with traditional myeloma chemotherapies and treatments, particularly since MM therapies often induce senescence.

## 6 Conclusion

Obesity has been implicated in myeloma risk and transformation from MGUS to MM, as well as MM mortality. Direct mechanisms tying obesitydriven increases in adipose tissue to tumor cell proliferation and survival through secretion of cytokines such as leptin and IL-6, or to myeloma cell drug resistance are being unveiled. The three-way relationship between myeloma cells, adipocytes, and the immune system, and the potential for metabolic- or senescence-focused therapies should be examined going forward. Preclinical studies, including the establishment of a reliable, bonehoming, immunocompetent DIO model are critical to understanding the complex molecular mechanisms at play in the obesitymyeloma relationship.

## Author contributions

CM-M and RI researched and wrote the article. HF and MR researched, wrote, reviewed and edited the article. All identified the theme of the review. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

## Funding

This work was supported by the American Cancer Society (Research Grant RSG-19-037-01-LIB), the NIH (R50CA265331, R37CA245330, R24 DK092759-01, U54GM115516, R01AR049069, and P20GM121301), and the Kane Foundation.

## References

1. Key statistics for multiple myeloma. Available at: https://www.cancer.org/cancer/ multiple-myeloma/about/key-statistics.html (Accessed November 29, 2022).

2. Adult obesity facts | overweight & obesity. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/obesity/ data/adult.html (Accessed November 29, 2022).

3. Obesity and cancer fact sheet - NCI. Available at: https://www.cancer.gov/about-cancer/causes-prevention/risk/obesity/obesity-fact-sheet# (Accessed November 29, 2022).

4. Calle EE, Rodriguez C, Walker-Thurmond K, Thun MJ. Overweight, obesity, and mortality from cancer in a prospectively studied cohort of U.S. adults. *N Engl J Med* (2003) 348:1625–38. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa021423

5. De Pergola G, Silvestris F. Obesity as a major risk factor for cancer. J Obes (2013) 2013:291546. doi: 10.1155/2013/291546

6. Lauby-Secretan B, Scoccianti C, Loomis D, Grosse Y, Bianchini F, Straif K. Body fatness and cancer — viewpoint of the IARC working group. *N Engl J Med* (2016) 375:794–8. doi: 10.1056/nejmsr1606602

7. Luo J, Hendryx M, Manson JE, Figueiredo JC, LeBlanc ES, Barrington W, et al. Intentional weight loss and obesity-related cancer risk. *JNCI Cancer Spectr* (2019) 3: pkz054. doi: 10.1093/jncics/pkz054

8. Krupa-Kotara K, Dakowska D. Impact of obesity on risk of cancer. Cent Eur J Public Health (2021) 29:38–44. doi: 10.21101/cejph.a5913

9. Suchacki KJ, Cawthorn WP. Molecular interaction of bone marrow adipose tissue with energy metabolism. *Curr Mol Biol Rep* (2018) 4:41–9. doi: 10.1007/S40610-018-0096-8

10. Lynes MD, Leiria LO, Lundh M, Bartelt A, Shamsi F, Huang TL, et al. The coldinduced lipokine 12,13-diHOME promotes fatty acid transport into brown adipose tissue. *Nat Med* (2017) 23:631–7. doi: 10.1038/NM.4297

11. Hoang AC, Sasi-Szabó L, Pál T, Szabó T, Diedrich V, Herwig A, et al. Mitochondrial RNA stimulates beige adipocyte development in young mice. *Nat Metab* (2022) 4(12):1684–96. doi: 10.1038/s42255-022-00683-w

12. Stieber C, Malka K, Boucher J, Liaw L. Human perivascular adipose tissue as a regulator of the vascular microenvironment and diseases of the coronary artery and aorta. *J Cardiol Cardiovasc Sci* (2019) 3:10–5. doi: 10.29245/2578-3025/2019/4.1174

13. Doucette CR, Horowitz MC, Berry R, MacDougald OA, Anunciado-Koza R, Koza RA, et al. A high fat diet increases bone marrow adipose tissue (MAT) but does not alter trabecular or cortical bone mass in C57BL/6J mice. *J Cell Physiol* (2015) 230:2032–7. doi: 10.1002/jcp.24954

14. Li Z, Hardij J, Bagchi DP, Scheller EL, MacDougald OA. Development, regulation, metabolism and function of bone marrow adipose tissues. *Bone* (2018) 110:134–40. doi: 10.1016/j.bone.2018.01.008

15. Chng WJ, Dispenzieri A, Chim CS, Fonseca R, Goldschmidt H, Lentzsch S, et al. IMWG consensus on risk stratification in multiple myeloma. *Leukemia* (2014) 28:269–77. doi: 10.1038/LEU.2013.247

16. Kyle RA, Jacobus S, Friedenberg WR, Slabber CF, Rajkumar SV, Greipp PR. The treatment of multiple myeloma using vincristine, carmustine, melphalan, cyclophosphamide, and prednisone (VBMCP) alternating with high-dose cyclophosphamide and alpha(2)beta interferon versus VBMCP: results of a phase III Eastern cooperative oncology. *Cancer* (2009) 115:2155–64. doi: 10.1002/cncr.24221

17. Kyle RA, Larson DR, Therneau TM, Dispenzieri A, Kumar S, Cerhan JR, et al. Long-term follow-up of monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance. *N Engl J Med* (2018) 378:241–9. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1709974

## Conflict of interest

Author MR has research funding for the lab from SynDevRx Inc. and Oncopeptides Inc. for research projects that do not affect the research described herein.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

18. Renehan AG, Tyson M, Egger M, Heller RF, Zwahlen M. Body-mass index and incidence of cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective observational studies. *Lancet (London England)* (2008) 371:569–78. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(08) 60269-X

19. Veld J, O'Donnell EK, Reagan MR, Yee AJ, Torriani M, Rosen CJ, et al. Abdominal adipose tissue in MGUS and multiple myeloma. *Skeletal Radiol* (2016) 45:1277–83. doi: 10.1007/s00256-016-2425-4

20. Thordardottir M, Lindqvist EK, Lund SH, Costello R, Burton D, Korde N, et al. Obesity and risk of monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance and progression to multiple myeloma: a population-based study. *Blood Adv* (2017) 1:2186–92. doi: 10.1182/bloodadvances.2017007609

21. Chang S-H, Luo S, Thomas TS, O'Brian KK, Colditz GA, Carlsson NP, et al. Obesity and the transformation of monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance to multiple myeloma: A population-based cohort study. *J Natl Cancer Inst* (2017) 109. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djw264

22. Chang VC, Khan AA, Huang WY, Katki HA, Purdue MP, Landgren O, et al. Body mass index and risk of progression from monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance to multiple myeloma: Results from the prostate, lung, colorectal and ovarian cancer screening trial. *Blood Cancer J* (2022) 12:51–1. doi: 10.1038/S41408-022-00642-4

23. Marinac CR, Birmann BM, Lee I-M, Rosner BA, Townsend MK, Giovannucci E, et al. Body mass index throughout adulthood, physical activity, and risk of multiple myeloma: a prospective analysis in three large cohorts. *Br J Cancer* (2018) 118(7):1013–19. doi: 10.1038/s41416-018-0010-4

24. Marinac CR, Suppan CA, Giovannucci E, Song M, Kvaerner AS, Townsend MK, et al. Elucidating under-studied aspects of the link between obesity and multiple myeloma: Weight pattern, body shape trajectory, and body fat distribution. *J Natl Cancer Inst* (2019) 3. doi: 10.1093/jncics/pkz044

25. Teras LR, Kitahara CM, Birmann BM, Hartge PA, Wang SS, Robien K, et al. Body size and multiple myeloma mortality: a pooled analysis of 20 prospective studies. *Br J Haematol* (2014) 166:667–76. doi: 10.1111/bjh.12935

26. Wallin A, Larsson SC. Body mass index and risk of multiple myeloma: A metaanalysis of prospective studies. *Eur J Cancer* (2011) 47:1606–15. doi: 10.1016/ j.ejca.2011.01.020

27. Sonderman JS, Bethea TN, Kitahara CM, Patel AV, Harvey C, Knutsen SF, et al. Multiple myeloma mortality in relation to obesity among African americans. *J Natl Cancer Inst* (2016) 108. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djw120

28. Wang B, Derman BA, Langerman SS, Johnson J, Zhang W, Jakubowiak A, et al. Body mass index and overall survival of patients with newly diagnosed multiple myeloma. *Cancers (Basel)* (2022) 14:5331. doi: 10.3390/CANCERS14215331/S1

29. Roy V, Swaika A, Kumar S, Mikhael J, Chanan-Khan A, Lacy M, et al. Influence of obesity on outcomes of patients with relapsed refractory multiple myeloma. *Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk* (2017) 17:e139–40. doi: 10.1016/J.CLML.2017.03.252

30. Vogl DT, Wang T, Pérez WS, Stadtmauer EA, Heitjan DF, Lazarus HM, et al. Effect of obesity on outcomes after autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for multiple myeloma. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* (2011) 17:1765–74. doi: 10.1016/j.bbmt.2011.05.005

31. Arnold M, Leitzmann M, Freisling H, Bray F, Romieu I, Renehan A, et al. Obesity and cancer: An update of the global impact. *Cancer Epidemiol* (2016) 41:8–15. doi: 10.1016/J.CANEP.2016.01.003

32. Mallard J, Gagez A-L, Baudinet C, Herbinet A, Maury J, Bernard PL, et al. C-reactive protein level: A key predictive marker of cachexia in lymphoma and myeloma patients. *J Hematol* (2019) 8:55. doi: 10.14740/JH536

33. Kir S, White JP, Kleiner S, Kazak L, Cohen P, Baracos VE, et al. Tumour-derived PTH-related protein triggers adipose tissue browning and cancer cachexia. *Nature* (2014) 513:100–4. doi: 10.1038/NATURE13528

34. Zhang C, Sha Y, Liu H, Guo D, Jiang Y, Hong L, et al. Type 2 diabetes mellitus does not increase the risk of multiple myeloma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Transl Cancer Res* (2020) 9:2884–94. doi: 10.21037/TCR.2020.03.36

35. Fearon K, Arends J, Baracos V. Understanding the mechanisms and treatment options in cancer cachexia. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* (2013) 10:90–9. doi: 10.1038/ NRCLINONC.2012.209

36. Wu W, Merriman K, Nabaah A, Seval N, Seval D, Lin H, et al. The association of diabetes and anti-diabetic medications with clinical outcomes in multiple myeloma. *Br J Cancer* (2014) 111:628–36. doi: 10.1038/bjc.2014.307

37. Shah UA, Rögnvaldsson S, Derkach A, Björkholm M, Turesson I, David Y, et al. Diabetes mellitus and risk of plasma cell and lymphoproliferative disorders in 94,579 cases and 368,348 matched controls. *Haematologica* (2022) 107:284–6. doi: 10.3324/ HAEMATOL.2021.278772

38. Kuwabara Y, Morishima T, Odani S, Kudo H, Ma C, Kato M, et al. Impact of coexisting diabetes on survival and risk of developing second primary cancer in diabetes patients receiving drug therapy: A multicenter retrospective cohort study of patients with cancer in Japan. *J Diabetes Investig* (2023) 14(2):329–38. doi: 10.1111/JDI.13940

39. Fais S, Marunaka Y. The acidic microenvironment: Is it a phenotype of all cancers? a focus on multiple myeloma and some analogies with diabetes mellitus. *Cancers (Basel)* (2020) 12:1–14. doi: 10.3390/CANCERS12113226

40. da Cunha Júnior AD, Zanette DL, Pericole FV, Saad STO, Carvalheira JBC. Obesity as a possible risk factor for progression from monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance progression into multiple myeloma: Could myeloma be prevented with metformin treatment? *Adv Hematol* (2021) 2021:1–7. doi: 10.1155/2021/6615684

41. Boursi B, Mamtani R, Yang YX, Weiss BM. Impact of metformin on the progression of MGUS to multiple myeloma. *Leuk Lymphoma* (2017) 58:1265-7. doi: 10.1080/10428194.2016.1236375

42. Tseng C-H. The risk of multiple myeloma is reduced in metformin initiators: A retrospective cohort study in Taiwanese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Cancers* (*Basel*) (2022) 14(22):5637. doi: 10.3390/CANCERS14225637

43. Wang Y, Xu W, Yan Z, Zhao W, Mi J, Li J, et al. Metformin induces autophagy and G0/G1 phase cell cycle arrest in myeloma by targeting the AMPK/mTORC1 and mTORC2 pathways. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res* (2018) 37. doi: 10.1186/S13046-018-0731-5

44. Wu Z, Wu L, Zou L, Wang M, Liu X. Metformin induces myeloma cells necrosis and apoptosis and it is considered for therapeutic use. *J Chemother* (2022), 1–11. doi: 10.1080/1120009X.2022.2062895

45. Bornstein S, Moschetta M, Kawano Y, Sacco A, Huynh D, Brooks D, et al. Metformin affects cortical bone mass and marrow adiposity in diet-induced obesity in male mice. *Endocrinology* (2017) 158:3369–85. doi: 10.1210/en.2017-00299

46. Chen B, He Q, Yang J, Pan Z, Xiao J, Chen W, et al. Metformin suppresses oxidative stress induced by high glucose *via* activation of the Nrf2/HO-1 signaling pathway in type 2 diabetic osteoporosis. *Life Sci* (2023) 312:121092. doi: 10.1016/J.LFS.2022.121092

47. Gámez B, Morris EV, Olechnowicz SWZ, Webb S, Edwards JR, Sowman A, et al. The antidiabetic drug metformin acts on the bone microenvironment to promote myeloma cell adhesion to preosteoblasts and increase myeloma tumour burden. *Vivo Transl Oncol* (2022) 15. doi: 10.1016/J.TRANON.2021.101301

48. Afzal A, Fiala MA, Gage BF, Wildes TM, Sanfilippo K. Statins reduce mortality in multiple myeloma: A population-based US study. *Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk* (2020) 20:e937–43. doi: 10.1016/J.CLML.2020.07.003

49. Rustgi VK, Li Y, Gupta K, Minacapelli CD, Bhurwal A, Catalano C, et al. Bariatric surgery reduces cancer risk in adults with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and severe obesity. *Gastroenterology* (2021) 161:171–184.e10. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2021.03.021

50. Sun M, Bjørge T, Teleka S, Engeland A, Wennberg P, Häggström C, et al. Interaction of leisure-time physical activity with body mass index on the risk of obesity-related cancers: A pooled study. *Int J Cancer* (2022) 151:859–68. doi: 10.1002/IJC.34011

51. Argyrakopoulou G, Dalamaga M, Spyrou N, Kokkinos A. Gender differences in obesity-related cancers. *Curr Obes Rep* (2021) 10:100–15. doi: 10.1007/S13679-021-00426-0/FIGURES/1

52. Li Z, Qiu K, Zhao J, Granger K, Yu H, Lewis AG, et al. Antibodies to sclerostin or G-CSF receptor partially eliminate bone or marrow adipocyte loss, respectively, following vertical sleeve gastrectomy. *Bone* (2023) 169:116682. doi: 10.1016/J.BONE.2023.116682

53. Zahedi B, Daley EJ, Brooks DJ, Bruce M, Townsend RL, Berthoud H-R, et al. The PYY/Y2R-deficient male mouse is not protected from bone loss due to roux-en-Y gastric bypass. *Bone* (2023) 167:116608. doi: 10.1016/J.BONE.2022.116608

54. Rajkumar SV. Multiple myeloma: 2022 update on diagnosis, risk stratification, and management. *Am J Hematol* (2022) 97:1086–107. doi: 10.1002/AJH.26590

55. Abdi J, Garssen J, Faber J, Redegeld FA. Omega-3 fatty acids, EPA and DHA induce apoptosis and enhance drug sensitivity in multiple myeloma cells but not in normal peripheral mononuclear cells. *J Nutr Biochem* (2014) 25:1254–62. doi: 10.1016/J.JNUTBIO.2014.06.013

56. Lwin ST, Edwards CM, Silbermann R. Preclinical animal models of multiple myeloma. *Bonekey Rep* (2016) 5:1-9. doi: 10.1038/bonekey.2015.142

57. Campbell HF, Marinac CR, Masarwi M, Birmann B, Reagan M. Investigation of the relationship between obesity, weight cycling, and tumor progression in a myeloma xenograft model. *Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk* (2019) 19:e88. doi: 10.1016/j.clml.2019.09.142

58. Lwin ST, Olechnowicz SWZ, Fowler JA, Edwards CM. Diet-induced obesity promotes a myeloma-like condition. *Vivo Leukemia* (2015) 29:507–10. doi: 10.1038/leu.2014.295

59. Li Z, Liu H, He J, Wang Z, Yin Z, You G, et al. Acetyl-CoA synthetase 2: A critical linkage in obesity-induced tumorigenesis in myeloma. *Cell Metab* (2021) 33:78–93.e7. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2020.12.011

60. Liu Z, Xu J, He J, Liu H, Lin P, Wan X, et al. Mature adipocytes in bone marrow protect myeloma cells against chemotherapy through autophagy activation. *Oncotarget* (2015) 6:34329–41. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.6020

61. Yu W, Cao D-D, Li Q, Mei H, Hu Y, Guo T. Adipocytes secreted leptin is a protumor factor for survival of multiple myeloma under chemotherapy. *Oncotarget* (2016) 7:86075–86. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.13342

62. Tencerova M, Figeac F, Ditzel N, Taipaleenmäki H, Nielsen TK, Kassem M. Highfat diet–induced obesity promotes expansion of bone marrow adipose tissue and impairs skeletal stem cell functions in mice. *J Bone Miner Res* (2018) 33:1154–65. doi: 10.1002/ jbmr.3408

63. Reagan MR, Fairfield H, Rosen CJ. Bone marrow adipocytes: A link between obesity and bone cancer. *Cancers (Basel)* (2021) 13:364. doi: 10.3390/cancers13030364

64. Tratwal J, Rojas-Sutterlin S, Bataclan C, Blum S, Naveiras O. Bone marrow adiposity and the hematopoietic niche: A historical perspective of reciprocity, heterogeneity, and lineage commitment. *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab* (2021) 35. doi: 10.1016/J.BEEM.2021.101564

65. Caers J, Deleu S, Belaid Z, De Raeve H, Van Valckenborgh E, De Bruyne E, et al. Neighboring adipocytes participate in the bone marrow microenvironment of multiple myeloma cells. *Leukemia* (2007) 21:1580–4. doi: 10.1038/sj.leu.2404658

66. Fairfield H, Costa S, Falank C, Farrell M, Murphy C, D'Amico A, et al. Multiple myeloma cells alter adipogenesis, increase senescence-related and inflammatory gene transcript expression, and alter metabolism in preadipocytes. *Front Oncol* (2021) 10:584683. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2020.584683

67. Falank C, Fairfield H, Reagan MR. Signaling interplay between bone marrow adipose tissue and multiple myeloma cells. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* (2016) 7:67. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2016.00067

68. Liu H, He J, Koh SP, Zhong Y, Liu Z, Wang Z, et al. Reprogrammed marrow adipocytes contribute to myeloma-induced bone disease. *Sci Transl Med* (2019) 11: eaau9087. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aau9087

69. Morris EV, Suchacki KJ, Hocking J, Cartwright R, Sowman A, Gamez B, et al. Myeloma cells down-regulate adiponectin in bone marrow adipocytes *Via* TNF-alpha. *J Bone Miner Res* (2019) 35:942–55. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.3951

70. Fairfield H, Dudakovic A, Khatib CM, Farrell M, Costa S, Falank C, et al. Myeloma-modified adipocytes exhibit metabolic dysfunction and a senescence-associated secretory phenotype. *Cancer Res* (2021) 81(3):634–47. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-20-1088

71. Panaroni C, Fulzele K, Mori T, Siu KT, Onyewadume C, Maebius A, et al. Multiple myeloma cells induce lipolysis in adipocytes and uptake fatty acids through fatty acid transporter proteins. *Blood* (2022) 139:876–88. doi: 10.1182/BLOOD.2021013832

72. Trotter TN, Gibson JT, Sherpa TL, Gowda PS, Peker D, Yang Y. Adipocyte-lineage cells support growth and dissemination of multiple myeloma in bone. *Am J Pathol* (2016) 186:3054–63. doi: 10.1016/j.ajpath.2016.07.012

73. Fairfield H, Condruti R, Farrell M, Di Iorio R, Gartner CA, Vary C, et al. Development and characterization of three cell culture systems to investigate the relationship between primary bone marrow adipocytes and myeloma cells. *Front Oncol* (2022) 12:912834. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.912834

74. Fairfield H, Falank C, Farrell M, Vary C, Boucher J, Driscoll H, et al. Development of a 3D bone marrow adipose tissue model. *Bone* (2018) 118:77-88. doi: 10.1016/j.bone.2018.01.023

75. Marlein CR, Piddock RE, Mistry JJ, Zaitseva L, Hellmich C, Horton RH, et al. CD38-driven mitochondrial trafficking promotes bioenergetic plasticity in multiple myeloma. *Cancer Res* (2019) 79:2285–97. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-18-0773

76. Polusani SR, Cortez V, Esparza J, Nguyen HN, Fan H, Velagaleti GVN, et al. Oxidatively modified low-density lipoproteins are potential mediators of proteasome inhibitor resistance in multiple myeloma. *Int J Cancer* (2021) 148:3032–40. doi: 10.1002/ ijc.33497

77. Yavasoglu I, Tombuloglu M, Kadikoylu G, Donmez A, Cagirgan S, Bolaman Z. Cholesterol levels in patients with multiple myeloma. *Ann Hematol* (2008) 87:223–8. doi: 10.1007/S00277-007-0375-6

78. Wang H, Chen B, Shao R, Liu W, Xiong L, Li L, et al. A new prediction model integrated serum lipid profile for patients with multiple myeloma. *J Cancer* (2022) 13:1796-807. doi: 10.7150/JCA.69321

79. Xu G, Huang S, Peng J, Gao X, Li M, Yu S, et al. Targeting lipid metabolism in multiple myeloma cells: Rational development of a synergistic strategy with proteasome inhibitors. *Br J Pharmacol* (2021) 178:4741–57. doi: 10.1111/BPH.15653

80. Lipchick BC, Utley A, Han Z, Moparthy S, Yun DH, Bianchi-Smiraglia A, et al. The fatty acid elongase ELOVL6 regulates bortezomib resistance in multiple myeloma. Blood Adv (2021) 5:1933. doi: 10.1182/BLOODADVANCES.2020002578

81. Murphy CS, Fairfield H, Farrell M, DeMambro V, Costa S, Falank C, et al. Acyl-CoA synthetase long chain family inhibition with triacsin c inhibits multiple myeloma cell proliferation and survival. *Blood* (2020) 136:10–1. doi: 10.1182/BLOOD-2020-141994

82. Farrell M, Fairfield H, Murphy CS, D'Amico A. Targeting bone marrow adipose tissue and the FABP family increases efficacy of dexamethasone in multiple myeloma. *Blood* (2020) 136(Supplement 1):13–4. doi: 10.1182/blood-2020-142512

83. Farrell M, Fairfield H, Karam M, D'Amico A, Murphy CS, Falank C, et al. The fatty acid binding protein family represents a novel target in multiple myeloma. *bioRxiv* (2022). doi: 10.1101/2022.07.01.498411

84. Bishop RT, Li T, Alugubelli RR, Hampton O, Siqueira Silva A, Grant S, et al. Acid ceramidase (ASAH1) mediates intrinsic and intercellular transfer of proteasome inhibitor resistance in multiple myeloma. *Blood* (2021) 138:1206. doi: 10.1182/BLOOD-2021-152686

85. Tanaka Y, Okabe S, Ohyashiki K, Gotoh A. Potential of a sphingosine 1-phosphate receptor antagonist and sphingosine kinase inhibitors as targets for multiple myeloma treatment. *Oncol Lett* (2022) 23(4):111. doi: 10.3892/OL.2022.13231

86. Masarwi M, DeSchiffart A, Ham J, Reagan MR. Multiple myeloma and fatty acid metabolism. *JBMR Plus* (2019) 3:e10173. doi: 10.1002/jbm4.10173

87. Oudaert I, van der Vreken A, Maes A, De Bruyne E, De Veirman K, Vanderkerken K, et al. Metabolic cross-talk within the bone marrow milieu: focus on multiple myeloma. *Exp Hematol Oncol* (2022) 11:49. doi: 10.1186/S40164-022-00303-Z

88. Kershaw EE, Flier JS. Adipose tissue as an endocrine organ. J Clin Endocrinol Metab (2004) 89(6):2548–56. doi: 10.1210/jc.2004-0395

89. Fain JN, Madan AK, Hiler ML, Cheema P, Bahouth SW. Comparison of the release of adipokines by adipose tissue, adipose tissue matrix, and adipocytes from visceral and subcutaneous abdominal adipose tissues of obese humans. *Endocrinology* (2004) 145:2273–82. doi: 10.1210/en.2003-1336

90. Maffei M, Halaas J, Ravussin E, Pratley RE, Lee GH, Zhang Y, et al. Leptin levels in human and rodent: Measurement of plasma leptin and ob RNA in obese and weight-reduced subjects. *Nat Med* (1995) 1:1155–61. doi: 10.1038/nm1195-1155

91. Reseland JE, Reppe S, Olstad OK, Hjorth-Hansen H, Brenne AT, Syversen U, et al. Abnormal adipokine levels and leptin-induced changes in gene expression profiles in multiple myeloma. *Eur J Haematol* (2009) 83:460–70. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0609.2009.01311.x

92. Chandran M, Phillips SA, Ciaraldi T, Henry RR. Adiponectin: More than just another fat cell hormone? *Diabetes Care* (2003) 26:2442-50. doi: 10.2337/diacare.26.8.2442

93. Dalamaga M, Karmaniolas K, Panagiotou A, Hsi A, Chamberland J, Dimas C, et al. Low circulating adiponectin and resistin, but not leptin, levels are associated with multiple myeloma risk: a case-control study. *Cancer Causes Control* (2009) 20:193–9. doi: 10.1007/s10552-008-9233-7

94. Hofmann JN, Liao LM, Pollak MN, Wang YY, Pfeiffer RM, Baris D, et al. A prospective study of circulating adipokine levels and risk of multiple myeloma. *Blood* (2012) 120:4418–20. doi: 10.1182/blood-2012-06-438606

95. Fowler JA, Lwin ST, Drake MT, Edwards JR, Kyle RA, Mundy GR, et al. Hostderived adiponectin is tumor-suppressive and a novel therapeutic target for multiple myeloma and the associated bone disease. *Blood* (2011) 118:5872–82. doi: 10.1182/blood-2011-01-330407

96. Olechnowicz SWZ, Weivoda MM, Lwin ST, Leung SK, Gooding S, Nador G, et al. Multiple myeloma increases nerve growth factor and other pain-related markers through interactions with the bone microenvironment. *Sci Rep* (2019) 9:1–11. doi: 10.1038/ s41598-019-50591-5

97. Liu Z, Liu H, Li Y, Wang Y, Xing R, Mi F, et al. Adiponectin inhibits the differentiation and maturation of osteoclasts *via* the mTOR pathway in multiple myeloma. *Int J Mol Med* (2020) 45(4):1112–20. doi: 10.3892/ijmm.2020.4475

98. Pang J, Shi Q, Liu Z, He J, Liu H, Lin P, et al. Resistin induces multidrug resistance in myeloma by inhibiting cell death and upregulating ABC transporter expression. *Haematologica* (2017) 102:1273–80. doi: 10.3324/haematol.2016.154062

99. Parikh R, Tariq SM, Marinac CR, Shah UA. A comprehensive review of the impact of obesity on plasma cell disorders. *Leukemia* (2022) 36:301–14. doi: 10.1038/s41375-021-01443-7

100. Qiang Y-W, Yao L, Tosato G, Rudikoff S. Insulin-like growth factor I induces migration and invasion of human multiple myeloma cells. *Blood* (2004) 103:301–8. doi: 10.1182/blood-2003-06-2066

101. Ge NL, Rudikoff S. Insulin-like growth factor I is a dual effector of multiple myeloma cell growth. *Blood* (2000) 96:2856–61. doi: 10.1182/blood.v96.8.2856.h8002856\_2856\_2861

102. Ferlin M, Noraz N, Hertogh C, Brochier J, Taylor N, Klein B. Insulin-like growth factor induces the survival and proliferation of myeloma cells through an interleukin-6-independent transduction pathway. *Br J Haematol* (2000) 111:626–34. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2141.2000.02364.x

103. Sayln S, Kutlu R, Kulakslzoğlu M. The relationship between sex steroids, insulin resistance and body compositions in obese women: A case-control study. *J Med Biochem* (2020) 39:25. doi: 10.2478/JOMB-2019-0009

104. Pasquali R. Obesity and androgens: facts and perspectives. *Fertil Steril* (2006) 85:1319–40. doi: 10.1016/J.FERTNSTERT.2005.10.054

105. Ozerova M, Nefedova Y. Estrogen promotes multiple myeloma through enhancing the immunosuppressive activity of MDSC. *Leuk Lymphoma* (2019) 60:1557–62. doi: 10.1080/10428194.2018.1538511

106. Cui Y, Wang F, Zhang D, Huang J, Yang Y, Xu J, et al. Estrogen-responsive gene MAST4 regulates myeloma bone disease. *J Bone Miner Res* (2022) 37:711–23. doi: 10.1002/JBMR.4507

107. Wang LH, Yang XY, Mihalic K, Xiao W, Li D, Farrar WL. Activation of estrogen receptor blocks interleukin-6-inducible cell growth of human multiple myeloma involving

molecular cross-talk between estrogen receptor and STAT3 mediated by co-regulator PIAS3. J Biol Chem (2001) 276:31839–44. doi: 10.1074/JBC.M105185200

108. Kawano M, Hirano T, Matsuda T, Taga T, Horii Y, Iwato K, et al. Autocrine generation and requirement of BSF-2/IL-6 for human multiple myelomas. *Nature* (1988) 332:83–5. doi: 10.1038/332083a0

109. Gadó K. ROLE OF INTERLEUKIN-6 IN THE PATHOGENESIS OF MULTIPLE MYELOMA. *Cell Biol Int* (2000) 24:195–209. doi: 10.1006/cbir.2000.0497

110. Harmer D, Falank C, Reagan MR. Interleukin-6 interweaves the bone marrow microenvironment, bone loss, and multiple myeloma. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* (2018) 9:788. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2018.00788

111. Liu CD, Chang CC, Huang WH. The perspectives of interleukin-10 in the pathogenesis and therapeutics of multiple myeloma. *Tzu-Chi Med J* (2021) 33:257. doi: 10.4103/TCMJ\_TCMJ\_141\_20

112. Nam H, Ferguson BS, Stephens JM, Morrison RF. Impact of obesity on IL-12 family gene expression in insulin responsive tissues. *Biochim Biophys Acta* (2013) 1832:11-9. doi: 10.1016/J.BBADIS.2012.08.011

113. Wang X, Feng X, Wang J, Shao N, Ji C, Ma D, et al. Bortezomib and IL-12 produce synergetic anti-multiple myeloma effects with reduced toxicity to natural killer cells. *Anticancer Drugs* (2014) 25:282–8. doi: 10.1097/CAD.00000000000058

114. Avgerinos KI, Spyrou N, Mantzoros CS, Dalamaga M. Obesity and cancer risk: Emerging biological mechanisms and perspectives. *Metabolism* (2019) 92:121-35. doi: 10.1016/J.METABOL.2018.11.001

115. Elia I, Haigis MC. Metabolites and the tumour microenvironment: from cellular mechanisms to systemic metabolism. *Nat Metab* (2021) 3:21–32. doi: 10.1038/s42255-020-00317-z

116. Kawano Y, Roccaro A, Azzi J, Ghobrial I. Multiple myeloma and the immune microenvironment. *Curr Cancer Drug Targets* (2017) 17:1-1. doi: 10.2174/1568009617666170214102301

117. Ringel AE, Drijvers JM, Baker GJ, Catozzi A, García-Cañaveras JC, Gassaway BM, et al. Obesity shapes metabolism in the tumor microenvironment to suppress anti-tumor immunity. *Cell* (2020) 183:1848–1866.e26. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.11.009

118. Dyck L, Prendeville H, Raverdeau M, Wilk MM, Loftus RM, Douglas A, et al. Suppressive effects of the obese tumor microenvironment on CD8 T cell infiltration and effector function. *J Exp Med* (2022) 219. doi: 10.1084/jem.20210042

119. Boroumand P, Prescott DC, Mukherjee T, Bilan PJ, Wong M, Shen J, et al. Bone marrow adipocytes drive the development of tissue invasive Ly6Chigh monocytes during obesity. *Elife* (2022) 11. doi: 10.7554/ELIFE.65553

120. Micallef P, Vujičić M, Wu Y, Peris E, Wang Y, Chanclón B, et al. C1QTNF3 is upregulated during subcutaneous adipose tissue remodeling and stimulates macrophage chemotaxis and M1-like polarization. *Front Immunol* (2022) 13:914956. doi: 10.3389/ fimmu.2022.914956

121. Chesi M, Matthews GM, Garbitt VM, Palmer SE, Shortt J, Lefebure M, et al. Drug response in a genetically engineered mouse model of multiple myeloma is predictive of clinical efficacy. *Blood* (2012) 120:376–85. doi: 10.1182/blood-2012-02-412783

122. Amend SR, Wilson WC, Chu L, Lu L, Liu P, Serie D, et al. Whole genome sequence of multiple myeloma-prone C57BL/KaLwRij mouse strain suggests the origin of disease involves multiple cell types. *PloS One* (2015) 10:e0127828. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0127828

123. McDonald MM, Reagan MR, Youlten SE, Mohanty ST, Seckinger A, Terry RL, et al. Inhibiting the osteocyte-specific protein sclerostin increases bone mass and fracture resistance in multiple myeloma. *Blood* (2017) 129:3452–64. doi: 10.1182/blood-2017-03-773341

124. Benova A, Tencerova M. Obesity-induced changes in bone marrow homeostasis. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* (2020) 11:294. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2020.00294

125. Tencerova M, Frost M, Figeac F, Nielsen TK, Ali D, Lauterlein JL, et al. Obesityassociated hypermetabolism and accelerated senescence of bone marrow stromal stem cells suggest a potential mechanism for bone fragility. *Cell Rep* (2019) 27:2050–2062.e6. doi: 10.1016/J.CELREP.2019.04.066

126. Bredella MA, Torriani M, Ghomi RH, Thomas BJ, Brick DJ, Gerweck AV, et al. Vertebral bone marrow fat is positively associated with visceral fat and inversely associated with IGF-1 in obese women. *Obesity* (2011) 19:49–53. doi: 10.1038/ oby.2010.106

127. Farr JN, Xu M, Weivoda MM, Monroe DG, Fraser DG, Onken JL, et al. Targeting cellular senescence prevents age-related bone loss in mice. *Nat Med* (2017) 23:1072–9. doi: 10.1038/nm.4385

128. Groarke EM, Calado RT, Liu JM. Cell senescence and malignant transformation in the inherited bone marrow failure syndromes: Overlapping pathophysiology with therapeutic implications. *Semin Hematol* (2022) 59(1):30–7. doi: 10.1053/j.seminhematol.2022.01.003

129. Alvares Borges G, Guilatco AJ, Hachfeld CM, Ruan M, Royzenblat S, Xu M, et al. MGUS and SMM plasma cells exhibit a senescence-like phenotype and accumulation of transposable elements that may contribute to disease progression. *Blood* (2022) 140:9966–7. doi: 10.1182/BLOOD-2022-170571

130. Palmer AK, Xu M, Zhu Y, Pirtskhalava T, Weivoda MM, Hachfeld CM, et al. Targeting senescent cells alleviates obesity-induced metabolic dysfunction. *Aging Cell* (2019) 18. doi: 10.1111/ACEL.12950

131. Hickson LJ, Langhi Prata LGP, Bobart SA, Evans TK, Giorgadze N, Hashmi SK, et al. Senolytics decrease senescent cells in humans: Preliminary report from a clinical trial of dasatinib plus quercetin in individuals with diabetic kidney disease. *EBioMedicine* (2019) 47:446–56. doi: 10.1016/j.ebiom.2019.08.069