Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Naoyuki Kataoka, The University of Tokyo, Japan

REVIEWED BY Jinhui Liu, Nanjing Medical University, China Giovanni Nigita, The Ohio State University, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Xianquan Zhan yjzhan2011@gmail.com Na Li qianshoulina@163.com

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Cancer Endocrinology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Endocrinology

RECEIVED 18 June 2022 ACCEPTED 18 November 2022 PUBLISHED 05 December 2022

CITATION

Zheng P, Li N and Zhan X (2022) Ovarian cancer subtypes based on the regulatory genes of RNA modifications: Novel prediction model of prognosis. *Front. Endocrinol.* 13:972341. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2022.972341

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Zheng, Li and Zhan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Ovarian cancer subtypes based on the regulatory genes of RNA modifications: Novel prediction model of prognosis

Peixian Zheng^{1,2}, Na Li^{1,2*} and Xianquan Zhan^{1,2*}

¹Shandong Key Laboratory of Radiation Oncology, Shandong Cancer Hospital and Institute, Shandong First Medical University, Jinan, Shandong, China, ²Medical Science and Technology Innovation Center, Shandong First Medical University, Jinan, Shandong, China

Background: Ovarian cancer (OC) is a female reproductive system tumor. RNA modifications play key roles in gene expression regulation. The growing evidence demonstrates that RNA methylation is critical for various biological functions, and that its dysregulation is related to the progression of cancer in human.

Method: OC samples were classified into different subtypes (Clusters 1 and 2) based on various RNA-modification regulatory genes (RRGs) in the process of RNA modifications (m1A, m6A, m6Am, m5C, m7G, ac4C, m3C, and Ψ) by nonnegative matrix factorization method (NMF). Based on differently expressed RRGs (DERRGs) between clusters, a pathologically specific RNA-modification regulatory gene signature was constructed with Lasso regression. Kaplan-Meier analysis and receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were used to evaluate the prognostic ability of the identified model. The correlations of clinicopathological features, immune subtypes, immune scores, immune cells, and tumor mutation burden (TMB) were also estimated between different NMF clusters and riskscore groups.

Results: In this study, 59 RRGs in the process of RNA modifications (m1A, m6A, m6Am, m5C, m7G, ac4C, m3C, and Ψ) were obtained from TCGA database. These RRGs were interactional, and sample clusters based on these regulators were significantly correlated with survival rate, clinical characteristics (involving survival status and pathologic stage), drug sensibility, and immune microenvironment. Furthermore, Lasso regression based on these 21 DERRGs between clusters 1 and 2 constructed a four-DERRG signature (ALYREF, ZC3H13, WTAP, and METTL1). Based on this signature, 307 OC patients were classified into high- and low-risk groups based on median value of riskscores from lasso regression. This identified signature was significantly associated with overall survival, radiation therapy, age, clinical stage, cancer status, and immune cells (involving CD4+ memory resting T cells, plasma cells, and Macrophages M1) of ovarian cancer patients. Further, GSEA revealed that multiple biological behaviors were significantly enriched in different groups.

Conclusions: OC patients were classified into two subtypes per these RRGs. This study identified four-DERRG signature (ALYREF, ZC3H13, WTAP, and METTL1) in OC, which was an independent prognostic model for patient stratification, prognostic evaluation, and prediction of response to immunotherapy in ovarian cancer by classifying OC patients into high- and low-risk groups.

KEYWORDS

ovarian cancer, RNA-modification regulatory gene (RRG), differentially expressed RRG (DERRG), RNA modification-related model, tumor immune microenvironment, risk score

Introduction

Ovarian cancer (OC) is a malignant gynecological disease in female reproductive system, which evolves as the eighth most frequent type of cancer and also the eighth most conventional death cause in women, accounting for 3.4% and 4.4%, respectively (1). OCs could be classified into epithelial and non-epithelial subtypes. According to histological characteristics of tumor cells, epithelial ovarian cancers are classified into mucinous, serous, endometrioid, or clear cell (2, 3). Different subtypes of OCs significantly influence its prognosis and should be treated in diverse therapy strategies (4). It is reported that 5-year relative survival is below 45% (5), which means there is a poor prognosis in OCs. Currently, surgical cytoreduction remains the main treatment of OCs, followed by neoadjuvant chemotherapy; targeted treatments such as poly ADP-ribose polymerase inhibitors and bevacizumab gradually become the maintenance treatment in the first line of clinical practice (6). Immunotherapy in OCs is getting increasing attention, and the predictiveness of response to immunotherapy may be improved by evaluating sensitive and resistant targeted therapy subpopulations on the basis of tumor biomarkers (7).

RNA modification is an addition of a chemical group on RNA nucleotide chains to regulate the functions of RNA biological behaviors with reference to post-transcriptional regulation (8, 9), which is also called epitranscriptome (10). Up to 170 types of chemical modifications have been discovered in RNAs (11), among which N1-methyladenosine (m1A), N6methyladenosine (m6A), 2-O-dimethyladenosine (m6Am), 5methylcytosine (m5C), N7-methyladenosine (m7G), N4acetylcytidine (ac4C), 3-methylcytidine (m3C), and pseudouridine (Ψ) were especially critical. The process of RNA modification was regulated by three distinct clusters of specific proteins called writers, readers, and erasers (9, 12). Writers catalyze the formation of a specific chemical modification on RNAs; erasers catalyze the elimination of a specific chemical modification from the modified RNAs; and

readers are RNA-binding proteins that could recognize and bind the modified RNAs (10). Previous studies identified different varieties of writers, erasers and readers of m1A, m6A, m6Am, m5C, m7G, ac4C, m3C, and Ψ . For m6A, its writer genes have KIAA1429, ZC3H13, METTL3, METTL14, WTAP, RBM15, and RBM15B, which catalyzed m6A methylation; Erasers included FTO and ALKBH5, which could reverse m6A modification through demethylation change; and readers contained YTHDC1, YTHDC2, YTHDF1, YTHDF2, HNRNPC, IGF2BP1, IGF2BP2, YTHDF3, IGF2BP3, HNRNPA2B1, and RBMX, which could recognize and bind the modified RNAs (13, 14). For m5C, writers (m5C methyltransferases) included TRDMT1, DNMT1, DNMT3A, DNMT3B, NSUN1, NSUN2, NSUN3, NSUN4, NSUN5, NSUN6, and NSUN7; erasers encompassed TET1, TET2, and TET3; and readers included YBX1 and ALYREF (15). For m1A, writers involved TRMT6, TRMT61A, TRMT61B, TRMT10C, and RRP8; erasers included ALKBH1 and ALKBH3; and readers included YTHDF1, YTHDF2, YTHDF3, and YTHDC1 (9, 16, 17). For ac4C, writers included NAT10 and THUMPD1; and erasers and readers remain unknown (18, 19). For m3C, only one writer METTL8 was discovered, and erasers and readers were still undetected (20). For m6Am, writers included PCIF1, METTL3, and METTL4; eraser involved FTO; and no readers were discovered (21-24). For m7G, writers included RNMT, METTL1, and WDR4; the only detected eraser was NUDT16, and readers were still unknown (25, 26). For Ψ , only writers were known, including PUS1, PUS3, PUS4, PUS7, PUS9, TRUB1, and TRUB2 (9, 10).

With the gradual in-depth studies of RNA modifications, an increasing number of RNA-modification regulatory genes (RRGs) were proved to play crucial roles in the occurrence and development of OCs. For instance, ALKBH3 affected the prognosis of OCs through inducing m1A demethylation to increase the CSF-1 stability (27). m6A demethylase ALKBH5 accelerated the process of ovarian carcinogenesis through NF- κ B pathway in a simulated tumor microenvironment (28).

DNMT3A/3B interacted with microRNA-29b in a doublenegative feedback way to result in OC progression (29). FTO played a role in the acceleration of cell proliferation, inhibition of apoptosis, and autophagy activation in OC cells (30). The upregulation of HNRNPA2B1 in OC tissue promotes the proliferation of OC cells, which suggests that the upregulation of HNRNPA2B1 was associated with poor prognosis of OCs (31). IGF2BP1 enhanced the invasion of OC cells through inhibiting miRNA impairment gene expression (32). Elevated levels of IGF2BP3 and RNA-binding protein Lin28B were related to platinum chemoresistance as well as poor prognosis of OCs (33). From these studies, it is obvious that different varieties of RRGs significantly influenced the tumorigenesis, tumor progression, tumor aggressiveness, tumor cell proliferation, and drug resistance.

To search for novel cancer treatment strategies, tumor immune microenvironment (TIM) and immunotherapy became a new research hotspot. A study found that EZH2-mediated H3K27me3 along with DNMT1-mediated DNA methylation inhibited generation of Th1 chemokines, including CXCL9 and CXCL10, which helped effector T cells migrate to microenvironment in tumor. In that study, epigenetic modulators were used to eliminate the inhibition in tumor-bearing mice, increasing tumor infiltration of T cells, retarding progression of tumor, and promoting response to PD-L1 checkpoint blockade along with adoptive T cell transfusion. Further, EZH2 in combination with DNMT1 had a negative correlation with CD8+ T cells tumor infiltration and prognosis of OC patients (34). Another study certified the strong physical relationship between RNA-binding ubiquitin ligase MEX3A and IGF2BP2, PABPC1, LAMTOR2, and KHDRBS2, indicating the intense correlation of MEX3A expression level and infiltration of neutrophils, macrophages, dendritic cells, B cells, and CD8+ T cells. Activation of immune cells and immune modulators was related to decrease of mortality rate in OC patients. Additionally, the relevance of MEX3A and lymphocytes (neutrophils, macrophages, dendritic cells, B cells, and CD8+ T cells), immune stimulators, immune inhibitors, and MHC molecules was detected (35). Thereby, RRGs could affect TIM and play crucial roles in prediction of immunotherapy outcomes of OC treatment.

Our study classified 307 OC patients into 2 subtypes based on the expressions of 59 RRGs and identified 21 differentially expressed RRGs (DERRGs) between 2 subtypes. In previous studies, OC subtypes were clustered based on different types of genes using non-negative matrix factorization (NMF) method. According to 426 immune lncRNA pair data, OC samples could be classified into 2 molecular subtypes (36). Similarly, based on the expression profiles of 177 metabolism-related genes after a filtration of prognostic association, 3 different molecular subtypes of OC were obtained (37). Based on the immune cell infiltration in OC tumor microenvironment (TME), all OC samples were

defined into 4 TME clusters, after which 2 genomic OC subtypes were identified according to differential expression genes among TME clusters (38). Another study reported that no more than 3 molecular subtypes should be classified for highgrade serous OC based on cross-population analysis (39). All these obtained subtype classifications were well effective, validated by their clinical feature correlation. Compared to them, this present study classified OC samples into 2 subtypes based on 59 RRGs expression profile, and also got a good consistency with clinical features. Then, lasso regression was used to construct a four-DERRG signature (ALYREF, ZC3H13, WTAP, and METTL1) model, which found the most valuable and critical regulators in m1A, m6A, m6Am, m5C, m7G, ac4C, m3C, and Ψ RNA modification processes. We conducted a comprehensive study of different types of RNA-modification regulators rather than only one specific RNA modification, which was more generally applicable to the evaluation of OC patients. The four-DERRG signature acted as an independent risk factor, which could be used in patient stratification, prediction, prevention, and immunotherapy targets of OCs. The research flow chart was presented for this study (Figure 1).

Methods

Data processing

In total, 307 OC patients were enrolled in this study, which contains both complete clinical information and expression data. The corresponding clinical features, including survival status, survival time, and progression-free-survival (PFS) time, were also downloaded from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) website (Supplementary Table 1). The mRNA expression level of 59 RRGs for different RNA modifications (m1A, m6A, m6Am, m5C, m7G, ac4C, m3C, and Ψ) was obtained from TCGA website (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/) (Supplementary Table 2), and those data were transformed with FPKM. The 59 RRGs included m6A regulators (KIAA1429, ZC3H13, METTL3, METTL14, WTAP, RBM15, RBM15B, FTO, ALKBH5, YTHDC1, YTHDC2, YTHDF1, YTHDF2, HNRNPC, IGF2BP1, IGF2BP2, YTHDF3, IGF2BP3, HNRNPA2B1, and RBMX), m5C regulators (TRDMT1, DNMT1, DNMT3A, DNMT3B, NSUN1, NSUN2, NSUN3, NSUN4, NSUN5, NSUN6, NSUN7, TET1, TET2, TET3, YBX1, and ALYREF), m1A regulators (TRMT6, TRMT61A, TRMT61B, TRMT10C, RRP8, ALKBH1, ALKBH3, YTHDF1, YTHDF2, YTHDF3, and YTHDC1), ac4C regulators (NAT10, and THUMPD1), m3C regulator METTL8, m6Am regulators (PCIF1, FTO, METTL3, and METTL4), m7G regulators (RNMT, METTL1, WDR4, and NUDT16), and Ψ regulators (PUS1, PUS3, PUS4, PUS7, PUS9, TRUB1, and TRUB2).

The protein-protein interaction network and drug sensibility of RRGs

In order to evaluate the interactive associations of 59 RRGs for eight types of RNA modifications(m1A, m6A, m6Am, m5C, m7G, ac4C, m3C, and Ψ), their protein–protein interaction (PPI) network was mapped in the STRING database (https://string-db.org/) with combined score>0.9 (Supplementary Table 3).

The CellMiner (https://discover.nci.nih.gov/cellminer/) was used to evaluate the association between RRG expressions and drug sensibility. CellMiner is a genomic and drug analysis tool for exploring transcripts and drug paradigms of NCI-60 cell line set. NCI-60 cell line set, explored by National Cancer Institute's (NCI) Developmental Therapeutics Program (DTP) in US, was used for anti-cancer drug screening and efficacy evaluation. Their correlation was validated in Corrplot R package with Spearman method (p < 0.05, and |Cor|>=0.4) on the basis of the relevant data obtained from CellMiner in OCs (Supplementary Table 4).

Identification of OC subclasses

Based on these 59 RRGs, non-negative matrix factorization (NMF) clustering was analyzed subsequently. NMF clustering method was generally used to identify cancer molecular subtypes. Extracting biological correlation coefficient of data in gene expression matrix, NMF clustering could capture internal structural features to cluster cancer samples by organizing genes and samples. Target dataset was obtained *via* merging gene data

and expression matrix after reading in them. During NMF clustering operation, different numbers of NMF subtypes were obtained. According to cophenetic value, the optimum clustering number was determined, based on which final grouping situation was settled. A filtration process was performed prior to NMF to exclude candidate genes whose median absolute deviation (MAD) values were low (MAD ≤ 0.5) in OC patients. The NMF R package (https://www. rdocumentation.org/packages/NMF/versions/0.23.0) was used to perform unsupervised NMF clustering (R version 4.1.1) on the metadata set, and the optimal cluster number 2 was selected as the coexistence correlation coefficient K value (Supplementary Table 5). Based on Kaplan-Meier method, the overall survival (OS) and PFS curves of OC subgroups were obtained using "survival" package in R (R version 4.1.1) software (https://www. bioconductor.org/packages/devel/bioc/vignettes/survtype/inst/ doc/survtype.html).

The correlation of clinical features in OC subclasses

The corresponding clinical features, including survival time, survival status, age at initial pathologic diagnosis, clinical stage, anatomic subdivision, radiation therapy, primary therapy outcome, histologic grade, lymphatic invasion, cancer status, and tumor residual disease, were also downloaded from TCGA website (Supplementary Table 1). Chi-square test (X^2) was used to analyze the correlation of clinical characteristics between NMF clusters 1 and 2, with statistical significance level of p



<0.05. The clinical heatmap of 59 RRGs was performed with "pheatmap" R package (https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/ pheatmap/index.html) between different OC subclasses.

The different immune subtype, and immune score between different NMF subtypes in OCs

OC samples were classified into four clusters, involving wound healing (Immune C1), IFN-gamma dominant (Immune C2), inflammatory (Immune C3), and lymphocyte depleted (Immune C4) based on immune model subtypes (Supplementary Table 1). Different immune subtype distribution between NFM clusters was analyzed with ggalluvial R package (https://www.rdocumentation.org/ packages/ggalluvial/versions/0.12.3/topics/ggalluvial-package).

Based on expression data, stromal cells and immune cells in tissues of malignant tumor were estimated using an ESTIMATE algorithm. Based on specific biomarkers associated with stromal and immune cells infiltration in tumor samples, immune scores were estimated with ESTIMATE algorithm, which was derived from the public source website (https://sourceforge.net/projects/ estimateproject/). The immune scores were calculated for each sample (Supplementary Table 6), and compared between different NFM subtypes in OCs. The violin-plots of ESTIMATE algorithm between different NFM subtypes were drawn with ggpubr R package (https://www.rdocumentation.org/packages/ggpubr/ versions/0.4.0) with statistical significance level of p<0.05.

Determination of DERRGs between different clusters in OCs

Unpaired student *t*-tests were used to calculate DERRGs of 59 RRGs between the NMF clusters 1 and 2 in OC patients, whose difference was statistically significant with adjusted p-value <0.05. Subsequently, Spearman method was used to perform the association between DERRGs by means of Corrplot R package (https://www.rdocumentation.org/packages/corrplot/versions/0.92) (p < 0.05).

Establishment of DERRG signature in OCs with lasso regression

Lasso regression was a data processing tool, with the help of which prediction accuracy and rationality of the statistical model were enhanced *via* selection and regularization of variates. To obtain better performance parameters, variates were selectively put into the constructed model. Further, overfitting could be avoided *via* regularization of model complexity. The

regularization degree of lasso regression was controlled by parameter lambda, and the penalty intensity of linear model with more variates was positively correlated with the value of lambda, based on which a model with fewer variates could be obtained. The prognostic model was constructed through identifying the relationship between the optimal selection of subset and lasso coefficient estimation. Lasso regression was performed based on DERRGs to identify the DERRG signature related to high risk of OCs, which was validated with the glmnet R package (https://www.rdocumentation.org/packages/glmnet/ versions/4.1-4). The DERRG signature model identified by lasso regression calculated a riskscore associated with pathologically related clinical features for each OC tissue sample. Accordingly, 307 OC patients were randomly assigned to training and test groups, with each group assigned to high-risk and low-risk groups based on the median value of all riskscores (Supplementary Table 7). Further, measurements of riskscorebased classification were tested with receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve and principal component analysis (PCA). The validity of the prognostic model was evaluated with Kaplan-Meier method in training and test groups.

To eliminate the over-fitting effect, the prognostic value of the DERRG signature was verified with two independent external validation cohorts, including cohorts imvigor210 (Supplementary Tables 8, 9) and GSE140082 (Supplementary Table 10). Imvigor210 study is a phase II clinical study using PD-L1 monoclonal antibody atezolizumab in one arm of locally progressive or metastatic tumor after platinum chemotherapy failure. Objective response rate (ORR) is primary end point, whereas PFS and OS are secondary end points. The response to immunotherapy based on imvigor210 cohort involved stable disease (SD), progressive disease (PD), complete response (CR), and partial response (PR) (Supplementary Table 9). GSE140082 cohort included 380 OC samples and corresponding integrated clinical follow-up information. The validity of the prognostic model in imvigor210 validation cohort and GSE140082 validation cohort was evaluated with Kaplan-Meier method.

Moreover, Cox regression was used to analyze clinical features related to OS in OC patients with univariate model. The clinical relevance of high-risk and low-risk groups was detected with pheatmap R package (http://bioconductor.org/packages/3.8/bioc/html/heatmaps.html). This riskscore evaluation nomogram was performed to assess the prognosis of OC patients including 1-, 3-, and 5-year survival rates, and then verified with decision-making tree method.

Gene-set enrichment analysis (GSEA) is a gratis software for analyzing genomic microarray data containing various functional gene sets. A total of 307 patients were classified into high-risk and low-risk groups based on OC riskscores. GSEA analysis was performed on TCGA data of the two groups to search for the significantly enriched gene sets in high-risk and low-risk groups (Supplementary Table 11).

The correlations between riskscore or TMB or CNV and immune cells

CIBERSORT algorithm and LM22 gene signature were used to determine the proportions of different immune cells in OCs, which allow for identifying 22 types of human immune cell phenotypes with high sensitivity and specificity. Preparation of gene expression profiles was performed with standard annotation files, and corresponding data were submitted to the CIBERSORT website (http://cibersort.stanford.edu/), in which LM22 signature and 1,000 permutations were used to run the algorithm (Supplementary Table 12). Corrplot R package was used to analyze the correlation between immune cells and riskscore (https://www.rdocumentation. org/packages/corrplot/versions/0.92) with Spearman method (p < 0.05), encompassing monocytes, macrophages M1, macrophages M2, macrophages M0, eosinophils, neutrophils, mast cells activated, mast cells resting, dendritic cells activated, dendritic cells resting, NK cells activated, NK cells resting, T cells regulatory (Tregs), T cells follicular helper, T cells gamma delta, B cells naïve, plasma cells, B cells memory, T cells CD4 naïve, T cells CD4 memory activated, T cells CD4 memory resting, and T cells CD8.

Apart from this, the Level 3 RNA-seq data of immune checkpoints were selected from TCGA database (https://portal. gdc.cancer.gov/). Different levels of immune checkpoints were analyzed between different methylation subtypes in OCs with unpaired student *t*-tests, including VTCN1, PDCD1, CTLA4, CD276, CD80, CD274, PDCD1LG2, and CD86.

TMB scores were generated with Maftools R package (Supplementary Table 13). The relevance of TMB and immune cells was validated with Corrplot R package (https://www.rdocumentation.org/packages/corrplot/versions/0.92) with Spearman method (p < 0.05).

Copy number variant (CNV) data were based on UCSC Xena datasets (https://xenabrowser.net/datapages/) in Supplementary Table 14. The correlation between identified gene expression in LASSO model and CNV was calculated with Kruskal test (p < 0.05), and boxplots were plotted with barplot R package (https://www.rdocumentation.org/packages/graphics/versions/3.6.2/topics/barplot).

Statistical analysis

For variables following a normal distribution, unpaired student *t*-test was used to calculate the p value, and p < 0.05 was set as the level of statistical significance. Survival curves were generated with the Kaplan-Meier method, and statistical significance of differences was evaluated through the Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test, in which p < 0.05 represented differences were statistically significant. The hazard ratio of univariate Cox proportional hazard regression model was established with statistical significance of p<0.05. We also shared the code that

was used for this study in a public repository-GitHub (https://github.com/peixianzheng/OCmodel.git).

Results

Ovarian cancer subtypes based on RRGs with NMF

In total, 59 RRGs for RNA modifications (m1A, m6A, m6Am, m5C, m7G, ac4C, m3C, and Ψ) were obtained from TCGA website (Supplementary Table 2), including m6A regulators (KIAA1429, ZC3H13, METTL3, METTL14, WTAP, RBM15, RBM15B, FTO, ALKBH5, YTHDC1, YTHDC2, YTHDF1, YTHDF2, HNRNPC, IGF2BP1, IGF2BP2, YTHDF3, IGF2BP3, HNRNPA2B1, and RBMX), m5C regulators (TRDMT1, DNMT1, DNMT3A, DNMT3B, NSUN1, NSUN2, NSUN3, NSUN4, NSUN5, NSUN6, NSUN7, TET1, TET2, TET3, YBX1, and ALYREF), m1A regulators (TRMT6, TRMT61A, TRMT61B, TRMT10C, RRP8, ALKBH1, ALKBH3, YTHDF1, YTHDF2, YTHDF3, and YTHDC1), ac4C regulators (NAT10, and THUMPD1), m3C regulator METTL8, m6Am regulators (PCIF1, FTO, METTL3, and METTL4), m7G regulators (RNMT, METTL1, WDR4, and NUDT16), and Ψ regulators (PUS1, PUS3, PUS4, PUS7, PUS9, TRUB1, and TRUB2).

NMF method is an efficient tool for dimensionality reduction of cancer subtype identification. In this study, the best value of clusters number (K) was obtained using factoextra package. When K was equal to 2, the OC samples (n=307) were classified into two distinct subtypes (Cluster 1: n=110; Cluster 2: n=197) by NFM method (Figure 2A; Supplementary Table 5), showing a favourable match between OC samples and their identified subtypes. It is worth noting that OC patients in Cluster 1 showed fine OS status, whereas Cluster 2 patients displayed poor prognosis (Figure 2B). Meanwhile, OC patients in Cluster 1 showed good PFS rate, whereas Cluster 2 had poor prognosis (Figure 2C; Supplementary Table 1).

The significant PPI network of RRGs and the drug sensibility

Protein-protein interaction analysis was performed on 59 RRGs with STRING. The spectrum of nodes combined scores was from 0.900 to 0.999 (Figure 2D; Supplementary Table 3). Some protein-protein interactions showed high combined scores (>0.999), such as ZC3H13 and KIAA1429, WTAP and KIAA1429, METTL14 and KIAA1429, KIAA1429 and METTL3, METTL1 and WDR4, KIAA1429 and METTL14, METTL14 and METTL3, WTAP and METTL14, METTL13 and KIAA1429, WTAP and METTL3, WTAP and METTL14, METTL14 and WTAP, TRMT6 and TRMT61A, TRMT61A and TRMT6, WDR4 and METTL1, ZC3H13 and WTAP, KIAA1429 and



RNA-modification regulatory genes.

WTAP, WTAP and METTL3, WTAP and RBM15, METTL14 and WTAP, KIAA1429 and ZC3H13, and ZC3H13 and WTAP.

Some RRGs showed significant associations with drug sensibility, with |correlation coefficient|>0.5 and p<0.05 (Supplementary Table 4), such as PUS1 and triethylenemelamine, PUS1 and thiotepa, ZC3H13 and dabrafenib, PUS1 and 5-fluoro deoxy uridine 10mer, NSUN5 and vorinostat, YTHDC2 and nelarabine, ZC3H13 and selumetinib, ALYREF and floxuridine, RBMX and nelarabine, PUS1 and cytarabine, PUS1 and cladribine, TRUB2 and vorinostat, NSUN6 and nelarabine, RBMX and chelerythrine, ALYREF and 5-fluoro deoxy uridine 10mer, DNMT3A and nelarabine, IGF2BP2 and dexrazoxane, IGF2BP2 and SR16157. Some of them were plotted (Figure 3A).

DERRGs between clusters 1 and 2 of OCs

The DERRGs of 59 RRGs were calculated with adjusted p-value <0.05, from which 21 DERRGs were identified, including

PUS9, ALYREF, TRDMT1, ZC3H13, YTHDF2, YBX1, WTAP, TRUB2, TET2, RNMT, RBM15B, PUS7, NSUN5, NSUN2, METTL3, METTL4, METTL14, METTL1, IGF2BP3, HNRNPC, and FTO (Figure 3B). Subsequently, the association between DERRGs was evaluated using Corrplot with Spearman method (p < 0.05). Some of them showed high correlation coefficient, including METTL14 and TET2, METTL1 and TET2, HNRNPC and METTL1, HNRNPC and METTL3, HNRNPC and ALYREF, ALYREF and TRUB2, METTL4 and RNMT (Figure 3C).

Correlation between OC subtypes and clinical characteristics or immune

Clinical information was obtained from TCGA database, including age (from 30 to 84 years), survival status (alive and dead), anatomic subdivision (left, bilateral and right), follow-up outcome (complete remission/response, partial remission/ response, stable disease, and progressive disease), pathologic



stage (stages I, II, III, and IV), cancer status (with tumor or tumorfree), lymph node metastasis (yes/no), radiation therapy(yes/no), histologic grade (G1-G3), and tumor residual disease (No macroscopic disease, 1-10 mm, 11-20 mm, and >20 mm) (Supplementary Table 1). Further, the correlation between clinical characteristics and OC subtypes was explored. Some clinical characteristics, such as pathologic stage and cancer status, were significantly associated with OC subtypes (Figure 4A).

Additionally, the correlation between OC subtypes and immune was also analyzed, including immune type (Supplementary Table 1) and immune-related scores (Supplementary Table 6). Immune type correlation analysis showed that, in Cluster 1, 11 samples were enriched in immune type C1, 52 samples in immune type C2, 3 samples in immune type C3, and 27 samples in immune type C4; whereas, in Cluster 2, 35 samples were enriched in immune type C1, 104 samples in immune type C2, and 34 samples in immune type C4. Clusters 1 and 2 showed significant different distribution among different immune types (Figure 4B). In terms of immune-related scores, Cluster 1 showed higher StromalScore and ESTIMATEScore, and lower TumorPurity compared to Cluster 2 (Figures 4C–E).

Construction of riskscore model based on four DERRGs

The OC samples were randomly divided into training (n=155) and test groups (n=152). Training and test groups were divided into high-risk and low-risk score groups according to the riskscores based on 21 DERRGs (Supplementary Table 7). A set of four DERRGs (ALYREF, ZC3H13, WTAP, and METTL1) were found to increase the



risk of poor prognosis in OCs based on Lasso regression analysis (Figures 5A, B; Supplementary Table 7), when log (lambda) was set between -2 and -3. Thus, the obtained risk scoring formula was as follows: risk score = -0.116404020427426*ALYREF + 0.0203573242796506*ZC3H13 + 0.186320163255671*WTAP + -0.0528745603956501*METTL1. Per the ROC curve, area under the curve (AUC) was equal to 0.835 in training group, and ROC curve showed AUC= 0.872 in test group (Figures 5C, D). OS analysis was performed with Kaplan-Meier method between high-risk and low-risk score groups in the training and test clusters, respectively. Overall survival rate was significantly different (Figures 5E, F). Validated by PCA, it is observed that the whole OC samples were well classified into high-risk and low-risk groups based on riskscores (Figure 5G). Among the identified DERRGs in prognosis model, ALYREF and WTAP individually was significantly related to OS (Figures 5H, I). The constructed riskscore model based on 4 DERRGs was also verified by two independent external validation cohorts (Supplementary Tables 8-10). The imvigor210 cohort showed that the prognosis of high-riskscore group was poorer than that of low-riskscore group (Figure 5J). The response to immunotherapy based on imvigor210 cohort showed that PD and SD had high riskscores, whereas PR and CR had low riskscores (Figure 5K). Additionally, the GSE140082 cohort showed that the prognosis of high-riskscore group was poorer than that of low-riskscore group too (Figure 5L).

The heatmap illustrated that the riskscore group had a significant connection with clinical characteristics, including age at initial diagnosis, cancer status, pathologic stage, and radiation therapy (Figure 6A). The univariate Cox regression analysis found that OS was significantly correlated with age at initial pathologic diagnosis, cancer status, anatomic subdivision, tumor residual disease, primary therapy outcome, and riskscore (Figure 6B). Furthermore, the nomogram was drawn to predict the survival rate (1, 3, 5 year) of OC patients based on basic clinical features and riskscore (Figure 6C). The decision-making tree plot verified that nomogram could provide good effect (Figure 6D).

The ssGSEA was executed between high- and low-riskscore groups to show the different gene sets. A total of 44 significant gene sets have been enriched (Supplementary Table 11). The gene sets



between high- and low-riskscore groups in training group. (D) ROC analysis between high- and low-riskscore groups in test group. (E) OS analysis between high- and low-riskscore groups in test group. (E) OS analysis between high- and low-riskscore groups in test group. (G) PCA analysis between high- and low-riskscore groups in ovarian cancer. (H) OS analysis of WTAP. (I) OS analysis of ALYREF. (J) OS analysis between high- and low-riskscore groups in ovarian cancer. (H) OS analysis of WTAP. (I) OS analysis of ALYREF. (J) OS analysis between high- and low-riskscore groups in ovarian cancer. (H) OS analysis of WTAP. (I) OS analysis of ALYREF. (J) OS analysis between high- and low-riskscore groups in cancer. (H) OS analysis between high- and low-riskscore groups in cancer. (H) OS analysis of WTAP. (I) OS analysis of ALYREF. (J) OS analysis between high- and low-riskscore groups in cancer. (H) OS analysis between high- and low-riskscore groups in cancer. (H) OS analysis between high- and low-riskscore groups in cancer. (H) OS analysis of WTAP. (I) OS analysis of ALYREF. (J) OS analysis between high- and low-riskscore groups in cancer. (H) OS analysis between high- and low-riskscore groups in cancer. (H) OS analysis between high- and low-riskscore groups in cancer. (H) OS analysis between high- and low-riskscore groups in cancer. (H) OS analysis between high- and low-riskscore groups in cancer. (H) OS analysis between high- and low-riskscore groups in cancer. (H) OS analysis between high- and low-riskscore groups in cancer. (H) OS analysis between high- and low-riskscore groups in cancer. (H) OS analysis between high- and low-riskscore groups in cancer. (H) OS analysis between high- and low-riskscore groups in cancer. (H) OS analysis between high- and low-riskscore groups in cancer. (H) OS analysis between high- and low-riskscore groups in cancer. (H) OS analysis between high- and low-riskscore groups in cancer. (H) OS analysis between high- and low-riskscore groups in cancer. (H) OS analysis between high- and low-ri

were significantly enriched in WELCSH BRCA1 TARGETS DN, PENG GLUTAMINE DEPRIVATION DN, REACTOME PROCESSING OF CAPPED INTRONLESS PRE MRNA, BONOME OVARIAN CANCER POOR SURVIVAL DN, WONG EMBRYONIC STEM CELL CORE, KEGG OXIDATIVE PHOSPHORYLATION, LU EZH2 TARGETS UP, etc, between high and low riskscore groups (Figure 6E, F; Supplementary Table 11).

The four-DERRG signature-based riskscores were significantly correlated with immune and TMB and CNV

The four-DERRG signature-based riskscores were positively correlated with CD4+ memory resting T cells, and negatively correlated with macrophages M1 and plasma cells (Figure 7A, Supplementary Table 12). Additionally, immune checkpoints also showed significant differences between these high- and lowriskscore subtypes (Figure 7B), such as CD276. The TMB was positively correlated with Macrophages M1, T cells gamma delta, B cells memory, and showed negative correlation with NK cells activated, and B cells naïve (Figure 7C; Supplementary Table 13).

CNV was the repeated sections of the genome that varied between individuals. Whether the CNV affected the expression of identified genes in LASSO model (ALYREF, ZC3H13, WTAP, and METTL1), the expression perturbations of identified genes were therefore explored (Supplementary Table 14). The CNV alteration frequencies of those genes were widespread positively correlated with the expressions of those genes (Figures 7D–G).

Discussion

Role of RNA modification and its regulation in OCs

More than 170 diverse types of post-transcriptional modifications were detected to be emerged in RNAs. All these



modifications could occur in ribose and four RNA bases, and all RNA species could be modified, especially transfer RNAs (tRNAs) and ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs) (40). Much evidence suggested that dysregulation of the RNA epigenetic pathways played a crucial role in pathogenesis of many human cancers (9). It is known that RNA modification process was dynamic, which helped cells promptly adapt to changes in the microenvironment (41). The capability of adapting the changes of microenvironment played a crucial role in survival of tumor cells, suggesting that RNA modification was vital in cancer (10). Cancer was defined as a disease featured by the progressive accumulation of genetic and epigenetic changes in diverse oncogenes as well as tumor suppressor genes. Meanwhile, a growing number of studies have showed that epitranscriptomics played an important part in the pathological process. RNA modifications have been proved to be crucial regulators of cancer (9). Abnormal expressions of RNA modification regulators were functionally associated with cell proliferation, cell differentiation, cell self-renewal, invasion, stress adaptation, treatment resistance, and survival; and all of them were important features in cancer (10). For instance, in liver cancer, YTHDF2 promoted the phenotype of cancer stem cell and cancer metastasis through regulation in m6A methylation of pluripotency factor OCT4 mRNA (42). In bladder cancer, ALYREF was proved to strengthen the stability of PKM2 mRNA and bind to m5C sites of specific regions. ALYREF high expression increased cancer cell proliferation *via* glycolysis reaction mediated by PKM2 (43). Also in bladder cancer, ac4C modification mediated by NAT-10 has been certified to increase bladder cancer progression (44). Additionally, in lung cancer,



Immune and IMB between high- and low-riskscore groups. (A) The correlation between riskscore and immune cells. (B) The correlation between riskscore and immune cells. (B) The correlation between riskscore and immune cells. (C) The correlation between TMB and immune cells. (D) The cor-relations between mRNA expression and CNV alteration frequency of ALYREF in ovarian cancer. (E) The cor-relations between mRNA expression and CNV alteration frequency of ZC3H13 in ovarian cancer. (F) The cor-relations between mRNA expression and CNV alteration frequency of WTAP in ovarian cancer. (G) The cor-relations between mRNA expression and CNV alteration frequency of WTAP in ovarian cancer. (G) The cor-relations between mRNA expression and CNV alteration frequency of METTL1 in ovarian cancer. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001. ns, no significance.

m7G tRNA modifications mediated by METTL1/WDR4 were found to play a crucial role in regulation of mRNA translation process and cancer progression (45). In OCs, m6A modifications mediated by FTO restrained cancer stem cells self-renewing process through inhibition of cAMP signaling (46). This present study further demonstrated the significance of RNA modification along with its regulation in cancers involving OCs.

Role of RNA modification and its regulation in immune microenvironment and immunotherapy of OCs

RNA modifications and its regulation were closely associated with immune microenvironment in OCs and other types of tumors, including immune molecules, immune cells, and immune pathways. Recent studies revealed that RNA modifications regulated activation of immune cells and their infiltration in tumor microenvironment, and afterwards influenced the immunotherapy outcomes. In consequence, RNA modifications had great value as tumor immunotherapy targets (47). A study found that ALKBH5, an important m6A demethylase, regulated PD-L1 expression in intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. ALKBH5 suppressed enlargement and cytotoxicity of T cells through preserving PD-L1 expression. Moreover, ALKBH5 played a complex part in tumor immune microenvironment, mainly manifested in overexpression of PD-L1 on mononuclear macrophage and reduced infiltration of myeloid-derived suppressor-like cells (48). Another study revealed positive correlation between m6A writer METTL3 expressions and effector molecules in natural killer (NK) cells. The homeostasis of NK cells was changed with loss of METTL3 in NK cells, and infiltration and function of NK cells were inhibited in tumor microenvironment, which resulted in increasing rate of tumor growth and reduced survival time in mice. The protein expression level of SHP-2 modified by m6A regulators was decreased in METTL3-deficient NK cells. IL-15 response was decreased with reduced SHP-2 activity in METTL3-deficient NK cells, which was related to inhibition of activating AKT and MAPK signaling pathways (49). In addition, a study reported overexpression of circIGF2BP3 was negatively correlated with CD8+ T cells infiltration in non-small cell lung cancer, which functionally compromised antitumor immunity in immunodeficient mice. METTL3 mediated circIGF2BP3 m6A modification and promoted its circulation via YTHDC1. CircIGF2BP3 disrupted cancer immune response through upregulating PKP3 expression via miR-328-3p and miR-3173-5p. Further, PKP3 strengthened the stability of OTUB1 mRNA through binding to the RNA-binding protein FXR1, which increased PD-L1 enrichment through promoting deubiquitination. The deletion of PD-L1 in tumor entirely interrupted the effect of circIGF2BP3/PKP3 axis on response to CD8+ T cells. CircIGF2BP3/PKP3 inhibition increased the efficacy of anti-PD-1 treatment in lung cancer mouse model (50). In terms of ovarian OCs, a study demonstrated m1A modifications played critical roles in tumor immune microenvironment formation and prognosis of OC patients (51). Identically, m6A modification was proved to play an essential part in tumor microenvironment cell infiltration in OCs (52). This present study further analyzed the relationship of OC subtypes and immune types. The results showed obviously different distribution of immune types in different clusters, indicating immune molecules, immune cells, or immune pathways involved in different OC subtypes may be different. Additionally, we constructed four-DERRG signature model to calculate riskscores of OC patients and found it was positively correlated with CD4+ memory resting T cells, and negatively correlated with plasma cells and macrophages M1, which suggested ones to pay more attention to these three types of

immune cells and their potential target functions in OC immunotherapy.

Role of identified RNA regulator genes and significance of related drug sensibility in OCs

In total, 59 RRGs were identified in this study, most of which were proved to be associated with OC pathogenesis in previous studies. DNMT1 was a key RRG in chemotherapy resistance of OCs, and the feedback regulation between DNMT1 and miR-30a/c-5p played an important part in epithelial-mesenchymal transition and cisplatin-resistance (53). Similarly, overexpression of miR-185 or miR-152 inhibited cell proliferation and promoted apoptosis to increase drug sensibility to cisplatin through suppressing DNMT1 directly in OCs (54). Another study reported that ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2 N regulated paclitaxel sensibility of OC cells via DNMT1-CHFR-Aurora A pathway (55). A transcriptome m6A methylation analysis towards endometrioid ovarian cancer showed the influence of METTL3 on endometrioid ovarian cancer, and revealed the knockout of METTL3 resulted in distinct decrease of proliferation, increasing apoptosis, and G0/ G1 blocking of cell cycle (56). Other studies proved that METTL3 increased OC progression and promoted invasion via epithelial-mesenchymal transition and AXL translation (57), and accelerated tumorigenesis and metastasis through suppressing CCNG2 expression targeting miR-1246 in OC (58). Furthermore, another study illustrated the important role of METTL3 in mediating miR-126-5p maturation and promoting OC progression via PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathway (59). A meta-analysis suggested that METTL3 upregulation was significantly associated with poor prognosis of OC patients (60). TBX1 was a prognostic marker of multidrug resistance and cancer progression. Nuclear YBX1 expression level might be an independent factor of poor prognosis in OCs (61), and YBX1 nuclear translocation was regulated by Akt activation, influencing drug resistance genes expression in OC cells (62). YBX1 inhibition might contribute to reduction of cancer progression, antagonism of treatment resistance, and decrease of OC patient mortality (63). IGF2BP1 strengthened aggressiveness of OC cells through antagonizing miRNAimpaired gene expression (32), and enhanced invasive growth of OC cells driven by SRC/MAPK (64). DNMT3A promoted Warburg effect via miR-145 in OC cells (65). Double negative feedback of miR-29b and DNMT3A/3B promoted OC progression (29). Feedback between DNMT3A and miR-143 was a critical epigenetic regulator of cisplatin resistance in OCs (66). WTAP acting as an oncogenic factor promoted OC progression via WTAP-HBS1L/FAM76A axis (67). WTAP was highly expressed in high-grade serous OCs. WTAP overexpression was significantly related to lymphatic

metastasis, whereas down regulation of WTAP contributed to weakness of cell proliferation as well as migration, and increased apoptosis in OC cell lines (68). ALKBH5 suppressed autophagy and enhanced proliferation and invasion via BCL-2 and miR-7 in epithelial ovarian cancer (69). Tumor growth and resistance to cisplatin were promoted via ALKBH5-HOXA10 loop through mediating JAK2/STAT3 signaling pathway in epithelial ovarian cancer (70). A multi-omics analysis of OCs showed that YTHDF1 promoted translation of EIF3C through combining with EIF3C mRNA modified by m6A and simultaneously promoted the whole output of translation to accelerate the OC tumorigenesis and metastasis (71). Knockdown of YTHDF1 suppressed cancer stem cell-like characteristics in OC cells resistant to cisplatin (72). TET1 inhibited Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway through demethylating and upregulating SFRP2 and DKK1, two upstream antagonists in this pathway, to suppress cell metastasis and epithelial-mesenchymal transition in OCs (73). TET1 expression was related to not only low survival rate of terminal epithelial ovarian carcinoma, but migration, growth, stemness, and tumorigenicity of OC cells (74). TET1 expression also resulted in cisplatin resistance targeting vimentin in OCs (75). A study found that TET2 was significantly correlated with tumor-related fibroblast infiltration in OCs (76). IGF2BP2 increased aggressiveness and stemness by upregulating circ_0000745 via a miR-3187-3p/ERBB4/PI3K/ AKT axis in OC cells (77). HNRNPC and nuclear factor I X were targeted by miR-744-5p in inducing apoptosis of OC cells (78). HNRNPA2B1 promoted OC malignant phenotype by upregulating expression of Lin28B (31). YTHDF2 distinctly accelerated cell proliferation and metastasis in epithelial ovarian cancer cell lines, and its overexpression reversed the decrease of cell proliferation and migration of epithelial ovarian cancer mediated by miR-145 (79). YTHDC2 was verified to play a key part in controlling meiosis in human, within which pathogenic variants were related to primary ovarian insufficiency (80). NAT10 was involved in tubulin processing, associated with cell growth in epithelial ovarian cancer (81). METTL14 overexpression inhibited cell proliferation of OC through suppressing expression of TROAP based on m6A RNA methylation (82). IGF2BP3 overexpression inhibited cancer cell apoptosis. The volume of tumors decreased and cancer metastasis indicator proteins were downregulated after treated with IGF2BP3 siRNA in ovarian clear cell carcinoma (83). Knockdown of IGF2BP3 reduced cell proliferation, invasion and migration, and enhanced platinum sensibility through increasing hCTR1 expression in OC cells, a copper transporter taking part in platinum uptake (33). FTO inhibited self-renewing of stem cells in OC and tumorigenesis via cAMP signaling pathway (46). Overexpression of FTO significantly promoted viability and autophagy, but reduced apoptosis in OCs (30). A bioinformatics analysis suggested that PUS7 was a potential marker for diagnosis and target for OC treatment (84). TET3 blocked epithelial-mesenchymal transition induced by

TGF-β1 through demethylating miR-30d precursor gene promoter to suppress OCs (85). TRDMT1 overexpression decreased cisplatin sensibility and TRDMT1 inhibitor could reverse this change (86). TRMT10C silencing inhibited cell proliferation, migration and clone formation in OCs (87). These research results demonstrated that RRGs played crucial roles in OC biological behaviors and clinical characteristics. Further, RRGs were potential therapeutic targets in OC treatment strategies.

In previous study, many RRGs were certified to associate with drug sensibility or drug resistance in OCs, such as DNMT1 and cisplatin (53, 54), DNMT1 and paclitaxel (55), DNMT3A and cisplatin (66), ALKBH5 and cisplatin (70), TET1 and cisplatin (75), IGF2BP3 and platinum (33), and TRDMT1 and cisplatin (86). Similarly, this present study also found some RRGs were significantly associated with different types of drug sensibility in OCs, such as PUS1 and triethylenemelamine, PUS1 and thiotepa, ZC3H13 and dabrafenib, PUS1 and 5-fluoro deoxy uridine 10mer, NSUN5 and vorinostat, YTHDC2 and nelarabine, ZC3H13 and selumetinib, ALYREF and floxuridine, RBMX and nelarabine, PUS1 and cytarabine, PUS1 and cladribine, TRUB2 and vorinostat, NSUN6 and nelarabine, RBMX and chelerythrine, ALYREF and 5-fluoro deoxy uridine 10mer, DNMT3A and nelarabine, IGF2BP2 and dexrazoxane, and IGF2BP2 and SR16157. Vorinostat, one kind of histone deacetylase inhibitor, has been validated to play a role in multiple tumor treatments, such as melanoma (88), malignant glioma (89), and glioblastoma (90), in a RNA modification regulation manner. Chelerythrine, extracted from four plants of families Rutaceae and Papaveraceae, was one type of plant active ingredient with diverse functions involving anti-inflammation, analgesia, anti-bacteria and anticancer (91). Cladribine, a chlorodeoxyadenosine, acted as the first line treatment of hairy cell leukemia, and it could also be used in the drug therapies of adult systemic mastocytosis and multiple sclerosis (92-94). Cytarabine was one of the most crucial chemotherapy drugs in acute myeloid leukemia, which was usually combined with daunorubicin (95). Dabrafenib was an inhibitor of BRAF kinase, which could be used solely to treat unresectable or metastatic melanoma with BRAF V600E mutation, and to treat BRAF V600E or V600K mutated melanoma combined with trametinib (96). Similarly, for anaplastic thyroid cancer with BRAF V600E mutation, dabrafenib was also recommended together with trametinib (97). Dexrazoxane was an antidote for anthracycline chemotherapy extravasation approved by Food and Drug Administration (FDA), with a prominent cardioprotectant role in anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity when treating cancers such as breast cancer (98-100). Floxuridine was a pyrimidine analogue routinely applied in colorectal cancer liver metastases management, progressively evolving as the superior drug for hepatic arterial infusional chemotherapy (101). Nelarabine, a synthetic antineoplastic compound targeted to T cell lymphoblastic leukemia and lymphoma, was an effective drug to

treat pediatric and adult T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia and lymphoma (102). Selumetinib, a highly specific inhibitor of mitogen activated protein kinase 1 and 2, was mainly used in treatments of neurofibromas related to neurofibromatosis type 1, pediatric low-grade gliomas, non-small cell lung cancer, and melanoma (103). SR16157 was a steroid sulfatase inhibitor and also a selective estrogen receptor $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ modulator, which has been used in clinical trials of breast cancer (104). Triethylenemelamine, owning a nitrogen mustardlike effect, was a crucial chemotherapy agent useful in the management of diverse neoplastic diseases, such as Hodgkin's disease, malignant lymphoma, and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (105). Thiotepa was an alkylating agent used in the treatment of breast cancer, ovarian cancer, and bladder cancer currently (106–108). This finding gave ones a deep insight to understand the relationship between RRGs and drug sensibility. Meanwhile, it provided clues to explore the mechanism of drug sensibility change that is regulated at the RNA modification level, and opened up various novel possibilities in OC treatment strategies.

Significance of four-DERRG signature model and differential signaling pathway

Among 21 DERRGs, four DERRGs (ALYREF, ZC3H13, WTAP, and METTL1) that were significantly associated with poor prognosis in OCs were selected to construct a four-DERRG signature model with Lasso regression analysis. Based on the established risk scoring formula, one could calculate riskscore of every OC sample with high accuracy, and then all OC patients were classified into high-risk and low-risk groups according to the mean values of their riskscores. This study found that overall survival rate was connected with subgroups both in training and test groups, indicating that overall survival rate of OC patients could be forecasted based on this riskscore model. External validation cohort results were also consistent with internal ones, and further suggested this riskscore model can be applicable for assessment of immunotherapy response and prognosis in OC patients. Additionally, a significant correlation between clinical features and risk groups was discovered, including age at initial diagnosis, clinical stage, cancer status, and radiation therapy, which suggested that potential initial diagnostic time, clinicopathological typing of tumors, tumorigenesis, and effectiveness of treatment strategies in OCs could be estimated based on this risk model. Moreover, riskscore was found to act as an independent hazard factor for overall survival rate of OC patients. Thus, this present study provided a succinct and clear method to estimate the patient survival rate of one-, three- and five-year, in which shortest dimension, longest dimension, intermediate dimension, anatomic subdivision, histologic grade, tumor residual disease, clinical stage, age, and riskscore were involved. It provided ones a novel pattern to score the prognosis of OC patients, which would contribute to patient stage grading and clinical treatment.

Among four-DERRGs (ALYREF, ZC3H13, WTAP, and METTL1) in the prognosis model, WTAP has been widely studied in OCs. WTAP acting as an oncogenic factor was related to cell proliferation, migration, cancer progression, and lymphatic metastasis of OCs (67, 68). According to the riskscore formula, the value of calculated riskscore showed positive correlation with WTAP expression level, which was consistent with previous study. Furthermore, the value of riskscore was also positively correlated with ZC3H13, whereas it was negatively correlated with ALYREF and METTL1. Although no specific clinical researches explore the association between OC prognosis and expression levels of ZC3H13, ALYREF, and METTL1, this present study emphasized their important roles in OC pathological features and prognosis.

Differentially enriched pathways were found between highrisk and low-risk groups. In high-risk group, significantly enriched pathways included calcium signaling pathway, focal adhesion, arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy (arvc), complement and coagulation cascades, vascular smooth muscle contraction, dilated cardiomyopathy, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (hcm), and neuroactive ligand receptor interaction. Among them, focal adhesion was an important signaling pathway in cell migration (109), and calcium signaling pathway controlled multiple cell processes, such as cell proliferation and metabolism (110), which were consistent with features of OC cells. Other pathways like arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy (arvc), dilated cardiomyopathy, complement and coagulation cascades, vascular smooth muscle contraction, and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (hcm), were all key pathways in disease of cardiovascular system, which indicated that drugs targeting these signaling pathways for cardiovascular diseases might have potential roles in reduction of OC risk and treatment. The discovery of differential enriched pathways provided ones with novel medication regimens to lower the risk of OCs through blocking these signaling pathways.

Relationship between RNA methylation and identified differential immune cells/immune checkpoints/TMB and role in OCs

This present study found that the prognostic model-based riskscore was positively correlated with CD4+ memory resting T cells, and negatively correlated with plasma cells and macrophages M1, which demonstrated that high-risk group was dominated by high-level infiltration of CD4+ memory resting T cells. Both high-level of CD4+ memory resting T cells infiltration and low-level of plasma cells and

macrophages M1 infiltration might imply poor prognosis of OC patients. CD4+ memory resting T cells were differentiated from naïve CD4+ T cells experiencing an antigen so that molecular alterations inevitably emerged in CD4+ memory resting T cells after exposure. A multi-omic comparative analysis showed that methylation levels of promoter regions in kinases LYN, SGK1, and transmethylase METTL7A, and hydrolase DDAH2 were elevated, and concurrently gene expression levels decreased (111). Plasma cells as antibody-secreting cells had tremendous speed of immunoglobulin-coding genes in transcription, translation, assembly and secretion. Plasma cells were differentiated from B cells with the help of IRF4 and Blimp-1. Blimp-1 and XBP1 were critical upstream regulatory factors of the unfolded protein response in plasma cells (112). Macrophages M1 were induced by IFN- γ with the function of intense bactericidal and anti-inflammatory effects. m6A writer METTL3 actuated macrophages M1 polarization through methylating STAT1 mRNA (113).

Immune checkpoint therapy was a novel and attentiongetting tumor treatment, which could strengthen anti-tumor immune response of T cells with broad application prospects. CD276, also known as B7-H3, was a member of B7 family. A review summarized the role of CD276 in cancers, regulation mechanism and its potential therapeutic value (114). CD276 took part in the regulation of cell cycle, cell differentiation, proliferation, invasion, apoptosis, and epithelial-mesenchymal transition, and also participated in tumor metastasis. Moreover, in aspect of immune regulation, CD276 had synergistic effects with CTLA4, PD-1, PD-L1, and PD-L2 in inhibition of T cells proliferation and activation, and IFN- γ , TNF- α , and other cytokines secretion (114). This present study found that CD276 was a differential immune checkpoint molecule between high- and low-risk groups, which indicated its crucial function in OC progression and suggested that CD276 immune checkpoint inhibitors might have a considerable effect on OC immune therapy.

Tumor mutation burden (TMB) was an emerging potential biomarker for immune checkpoint blockade selection in diverse cancers. Mutation-derived neoantigens in tumor DNA could be identified and targeted by human immune system. After transcription and translation, peptides containing mutationderived neoantigens could be processed and transferred to MHC molecules, and appear on the surface of cells. It is certain that the more mutations a tumor had, the more neoantigens it formed, and the more likely immune treatments would work (115). TMB has become an important predictor of immune checkpoint blockade outcomes and an available biomarker to identify patients who would benefit from immune therapy (115). A study found that high TMB was significantly correlated with better PFS and OS in OCs (116). This present study found that TMB was positively correlated with macrophages M1, T cells gamma delta, B cells memory, and negatively correlated with NK cells activated, and B cells naïve,

which demonstrated that TMB could be estimated *via* immune cell infiltration and further contributed to immune therapy strategies of OCs. Although the experimental validation of LASSO model in clinical samples is able to strengthen a computational study, it is generally not required for a computational study; the use of extra database to validate it is also acceptable. Also, it is so difficult to collect enough samples to verify the LASSO model. Thus, we used extra database to verify our LASSO model, which provides us the preliminary work for the deep validation in real clinical samples in future.

Conclusion

RNA modification and its regulation played a crucial role in tumorigenesis, progression, and prognosis of OC patients. The constructed four-DERRG signature (ALYREF, ZC3H13, WTAP, and METTL1) model might be an independent prognostic model to divide OC patients into high- and low-risk groups, which was of great significance for prognostic assessment, patient stratification, and predictive evaluation of immunotherapy outcomes in OCs.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/ Supplementary Material.

Ethics statement

Written informed consent was not obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

Author contributions

PZ analyzed data and wrote the manuscript draft. NL conceived the concept, analyzed data, and wrote the manuscript. XZ conceived the concept, coordinated, critically revised manuscript, and was responsible for the corresponding works. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work was supported by the Shandong Cancer Hospital Qihang Plan (to NL), the Shandong First Medical University Talent Introduction Funds (to XZ), Shandong First Medical University High-level Scientific Research Achievement Cultivation Funding Program (to XZ), the Shandong Provincial Natural Science Foundation (ZR2021MH156 to XZ; and ZR2022QH112 to NL), Shandong Provincial Taishan Scholar Engineering Project Special Funds (to XZ), National Nature Scientific Funds (82203592 to NL; and the Academic Promotion Program of Shandong First Medical University (2019ZL002).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

1. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin* (2018) 68(6):394–424. doi: 10.3322/caac.21492

2. Gaona-Luviano P, Medina-Gaona LA, Magaña-Pérez K. Epidemiology of ovarian cancer. Chin Clin Oncol (2020) 9(4):47. doi: 10.21037/cco-20-34

3. Torre LA, Trabert B, DeSantis CE, Miller KD, Samimi G, Runowicz CD, et al. Ovarian cancer statistics, 2018. *CA Cancer J Clin* (2018) 68(4):284–96. doi: 10.3322/ caac.21456

4. Köbel M, Kalloger SE, Boyd N, McKinney S, Mehl E, Palmer C, et al. Ovarian carcinoma subtypes are different diseases: implications for biomarker studies. *PloS Med* (2008) 5(12):e232. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.0050232

5. Webb PM, Jordan SJ. Epidemiology of epithelial ovarian cancer. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol. (2017) 41:3–14. doi: 10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2016.08.006

6. Kuroki L, Guntupalli SR. Treatment of epithelial ovarian cancer. *Bmj* (2020) 371:m3773. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m3773

7. Morand S, Devanaboyina M, Staats H, Stanbery L, Nemunaitis J. Ovarian cancer immunotherapy and personalized medicine. *Int J Mol Sci* (2021) 22 (12):6532. doi: 10.3390/ijms22126532

8. Wang X, Han Y, Li J, Hong D, Xue Z, Huang H, et al. Multi-omics analysis of copy number variations of RNA regulatory genes in soft tissue sarcoma. *Life Sci* (2021) 265:118734. doi: 10.1016/j.lfs.2020.118734

9. Barbieri I, Kouzarides T. Role of RNA modifications in cancer. Nat Rev Cancer. (2020) 20(6):303–22. doi: 10.1038/s41568-020-0253-2

10. Nombela P, Miguel-Lopez B, Blanco S. The role of m(6)A, m(5)C and psi RNA modifications in cancer: Novel therapeutic opportunities. *Mol Cancer*. (2021) 20(1):18. doi: 10.1186/s12943-020-01263-w

11. Boccaletto P, Machnicka MA, Purta E, Piatkowski P, Baginski B, Wirecki TK, et al. MODOMICS: a database of RNA modification pathways. 2017 update. *Nucleic Acids Res* (2018) 46(D1):D303–d7. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkx1030

12. Dor Y, Cedar H. Principles of DNA methylation and their implications for biology and medicine. *Lancet* (2018) 392(10149):777–86. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736 (18)31268-6

13. Deng LJ, Deng WQ, Fan SR, Chen MF, Qi M, Lyu WY, et al. m6A modification: recent advances, anticancer targeted drug discovery and beyond. *Mol Cancer*. (2022) 21(1):52. doi: 10.1186/s12943-022-01510-2

14. Wiener D, Schwartz S. The epitranscriptome beyond m(6)A. *Nat Rev Genet* (2021) 22(2):119–31. doi: 10.1038/s41576-020-00295-8

15. Chen YS, Yang WL, Zhao YL, Yang YG. Dynamic transcriptomic m(5) c and its regulatory role in RNA processing. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA*. (2021) 12(4): e1639. doi: 10.1002/wrna.1639

16. Zheng Q, Yu X, Zhang Q, He Y, Guo W. Genetic characteristics and prognostic implications of m1A regulators in pancreatic cancer. *Biosci Rep* (2021) 41(4):BSR20210337. doi: 10.1042/BSR20210337

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fendo.2022.972341/full#supplementary-material

17. Safra M, Sas-Chen A, Nir R, Winkler R, Nachshon A, Bar-Yaacov D, et al. The m1A landscape on cytosolic and mitochondrial mRNA at single-base resolution. *Nature* (2017) 551(7679):251–5. doi: 10.1038/nature24456

18. Arango D, Sturgill D, Alhusaini N, Dillman AA, Sweet TJ, Hanson G, et al. Acetylation of cytidine in mRNA promotes translation efficiency. *Cell* (2018) 175 (7):1872–86.e24. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2018.10.030

19. Sas-Chen A, Thomas JM, Matzov D, Taoka M, Nance KD, Nir R, et al. Dynamic RNA acetylation revealed by quantitative cross-evolutionary mapping. *Nature* (2020) 583(7817):638–43. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2418-2

20. Huang MH, Peng GX, Mao XL, Wang JT, Zhou JB, Zhang JH, et al. Molecular basis for human mitochondrial tRNA m3C modification by alternatively spliced METTL8. *Nucleic Acids Res* (2022) 50(7):4012–28. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkac184

21. Goh YT, Koh CWQ, Sim DY, Roca X, Goh WSS. METTL4 catalyzes m6Am methylation in U2 snRNA to regulate pre-mRNA splicing. *Nucleic Acids Res* (2020) 48(16):9250–61. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkaa684

22. Sendinc E, Valle-Garcia D, Dhall A, Chen H, Henriques T, Navarrete-Perea J, et al. PCIF1 catalyzes m6Am mRNA methylation to regulate gene expression. *Mol Cell* (2019) 75(3):620–30.e9. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2019.05.030

23. Mauer J, Luo X, Blanjoie A, Jiao X, Grozhik AV, Patil DP, et al. Reversible methylation of m(6)A(m) in the 5' cap controls mRNA stability. *Nature* (2017) 541 (7637):371–5. doi: 10.1038/nature21022

24. Engel M, Eggert C, Kaplick PM, Eder M, Röh S, Tietze L, et al. The role of m (6)A/m-RNA methylation in stress response regulation. *Neuron* (2018) 99(2):389–403.e9. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2018.07.009

25. Kiledjian M, Zhou M, Jiao X. Normal and aberrantly capped mRNA decapping. *Enzymes* (2012) 31:165–80. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-404740-2.00008-2

26. Luo Y, Yao Y, Wu P, Zi X, Sun N, He J. The potential role of N(7)methylguanosine (m7G) in cancer. *J Hematol Oncol* (2022) 15(1):63. doi: 10.1186/ s13045-022-01285-5

27. Woo HH, Chambers SK. Human ALKBH3-induced m(1)A demethylation increases the CSF-1 mRNA stability in breast and ovarian cancer cells. *Biochim Biophys Acta Gene Regul Mech* (2019) 1862(1):35-46. doi: 10.1016/j.bbagrm.2018.10.008

28. Jiang Y, Wan Y, Gong M, Zhou S, Qiu J, Cheng W. RNA Demethylase ALKBH5 promotes ovarian carcinogenesis in a simulated tumour microenvironment through stimulating NF- κ B pathway. J Cell Mol Med (2020) 24(11):6137–48. doi: 10.1111/jcmm.15228

29. Teng Y, Zuo X, Hou M, Zhang Y, Li C, Luo W, et al. A double-negative feedback interaction between MicroRNA-29b and DNMT3A/3B contributes to ovarian cancer progression. *Cell Physiol Biochem* (2016) 39(6):2341–52. doi: 10.1159/000447926

30. Zhao L, Kong X, Zhong W, Wang Y, Li P. FTO accelerates ovarian cancer cell growth by promoting proliferation, inhibiting apoptosis, and activating autophagy. *Pathol Res Pract* (2020) 216(9):153042. doi: 10.1016/j.prp.2020.153042

31. Yang Y, Wei Q, Tang Y, Yuanyuan W, Luo Q, Zhao H, et al. Loss of hnRNPA2B1 inhibits malignant capability and promotes apoptosis *via* down-regulating Lin28B expression in ovarian cancer. *Cancer Lett* (2020) 475:43–52. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2020.01.029

32. Müller S, Bley N, Glaß M, Busch B, Rousseau V, Misiak D, et al. IGF2BP1 enhances an aggressive tumor cell phenotype by impairing miRNA-directed downregulation of oncogenic factors. *Nucleic Acids Res* (2018) 46(12):6285–303. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky229

33. Hsu KF, Shen MR, Huang YF, Cheng YM, Lin SH, Chow NH, et al. Overexpression of the RNA-binding proteins Lin28B and IGF2BP3 (IMP3) is associated with chemoresistance and poor disease outcome in ovarian cancer. *Br J Cancer*. (2015) 113(3):414–24. doi: 10.1038/bjc.2015.254

34. Peng D, Kryczek I, Nagarsheth N, Zhao L, Wei S, Wang W, et al. Epigenetic silencing of TH1-type chemokines shapes tumour immunity and immunotherapy. *Nature* (2015) 527(7577):249–53. doi: 10.1038/nature15520

35. Zhang P, Su T, Zhang S. Comprehensive analysis of prognostic value of MEX3A and its relationship with immune infiltrates in ovarian cancer. *J Immunol Res* (2021) 2021:5574176. doi: 10.1155/2021/5574176

36. Wang X, Wang J, Yu M. Immune subtype profiling and establishment of prognostic immune-related lncRNA pairs in human ovarian cancer. *Comput Math Methods Med* (2022) 2022:8338137. doi: 10.1155/2022/8338137

37. Chen Z, Jiang W, Li Z, Zong Y, Deng G. Immune-and metabolismassociated molecular classification of ovarian cancer. *Front Oncol* (2022) 12:877369. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.877369

38. Huo X, Yang M, Zhang X, Wang S, Sun H. Identification of tumor microenvironment scoring scheme based on bioinformatics analysis of immune cell infiltration pattern of ovarian cancer. *J Oncol* (2022) 2022:7745675. doi: 10.1155/2022/7745675

39. Way GP, Rudd J, Wang C, Hamidi H, Fridley BL, Konecny GE, et al. Comprehensive cross-population analysis of high-grade serous ovarian cancer supports no more than three subtypes. *G3 (Bethesda).* (2016) 6(12):4097–103. doi: 10.1534/g3.116.033514

40. Li S, Mason CE. The pivotal regulatory landscape of RNA modifications. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet (2014) 15:127–50. doi: 10.1146/annurev-genom-090413-025405

41. Chan CT, Dyavaiah M, DeMott MS, Taghizadeh K, Dedon PC, Begley TJ. A quantitative systems approach reveals dynamic control of tRNA modifications during cellular stress. *PloS Genet* (2010) 6(12):e1001247. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1001247

42. Zhang C, Huang S, Zhuang H, Ruan S, Zhou Z, Huang K, et al. YTHDF2 promotes the liver cancer stem cell phenotype and cancer metastasis by regulating OCT4 expression *via* m6A RNA methylation. *Oncogene* (2020) 39(23):4507–18. doi: 10.1038/s41388-020-1303-7

43. Wang JZ, Zhu W, Han J, Yang X, Zhou R, Lu HC, et al. The role of the HIF-1 α /ALYREF/PKM2 axis in glycolysis and tumorigenesis of bladder cancer. *Cancer Commun (Lond).* (2021) 41(7):560–75. doi: 10.1002/cac2.12158

44. Wang G, Zhang M, Zhang Y, Xie Y, Zou J, Zhong J, et al. NAT10-mediated mRNA N4-acetylcytidine modification promotes bladder cancer progression. *Clin Transl Med* (2022) 12(5):e738. doi: 10.1002/ctm2.738

45. Ma J, Han H, Huang Y, Yang C, Zheng S, Cai T, et al. METTL1/WDR4mediated m(7)G tRNA modifications and m(7)G codon usage promote mRNA translation and lung cancer progression. *Mol Ther* (2021) 29(12):3422–35. doi: 10.1016/j.ymthe.2021.08.005

46. Huang H, Wang Y, Kandpal M, Zhao G, Cardenas H, Ji Y, et al. FTOdependent n (6)-methyladenosine modifications inhibit ovarian cancer stem cell self-renewal by blocking cAMP signaling. *Cancer Res* (2020) 80(16):3200–14. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-19-4044

47. Li X, Ma S, Deng Y, Yi P, Yu J. Targeting the RNA m(6)A modification for cancer immunotherapy. *Mol Cancer.* (2022) 21(1):76. doi: 10.1186/s12943-022-01558-0

48. Qiu X, Yang S, Wang S, Wu J, Zheng B, Wang K, et al. M(6)A demethylase ALKBH5 regulates PD-L1 expression and tumor immunoenvironment in intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. *Cancer Res* (2021) 81(18):4778–93. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-21-0468

49. Song H, Song J, Cheng M, Zheng M, Wang T, Tian S, et al. METTL3mediated m(6)A RNA methylation promotes the anti-tumour immunity of natural killer cells. *Nat Commun* (2021) 12(1):5522. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-25803-0

50. Liu Z, Wang T, She Y, Wu K, Gu S, Li L, et al. N(6)-methyladenosinemodified circIGF2BP3 inhibits CD8(+) T-cell responses to facilitate tumor immune evasion by promoting the deubiquitination of PD-L1 in non-small cell lung cancer. *Mol Cancer.* (2021) 20(1):105. doi: 10.1186/s12943-021-01398-4

51. Liu J, Chen C, Wang Y, Qian C, Wei J, Xing Y, et al. Comprehensive of N1methyladenosine modifications patterns and immunological characteristics in ovarian cancer. *Front Immunol* (2021) 12:746647. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.746647 52. Luo Y, Sun X, Xiong J. Characterization of m6A regulator-mediated methylation modification patterns and tumor microenvironment infiltration in ovarian cancer. *Front Cell Dev Biol* (2021) 9:794801. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2021.794801

53. Han X, Zhen S, Ye Z, Lu J, Wang L, Li P, et al. A feedback loop between miR-30a/c-5p and DNMT1 mediates cisplatin resistance in ovarian cancer cells. *Cell Physiol Biochem* (2017) 41(3):973–86. doi: 10.1159/000460618

54. Xiang Y, Ma N, Wang D, Zhang Y, Zhou J, Wu G, et al. MiR-152 and miR-185 co-contribute to ovarian cancer cells cisplatin sensitivity by targeting DNMT1 directly: a novel epigenetic therapy independent of decitabine. *Oncogene* (2014) 33 (3):378–86. doi: 10.1038/onc.2012.575

55. Zhang X, Feng Y, Wang XY, Zhang YN, Yuan CN, Zhang SF, et al. The inhibition of UBC13 expression and blockage of the DNMT1-CHFR-Aurora a pathway contribute to paclitaxel resistance in ovarian cancer. *Cell Death Dis* (2018) 9(2):93. doi: 10.1038/s41419-017-0137-x

56. Yang L, Chen X, Qian X, Zhang J, Wu M, Yu A. Comprehensive analysis of the transcriptome-wide m6A methylome in endometrioid ovarian cancer. *Front Oncol* (2022) 12:844613. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.844613

57. Hua W, Zhao Y, Jin X, Yu D, He J, Xie D, et al. METTL3 promotes ovarian carcinoma growth and invasion through the regulation of AXL translation and epithelial to mesenchymal transition. *Gynecol Oncol* (2018) 151(2):356–65. doi: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2018.09.015

58. Bi X, Lv X, Liu D, Guo H, Yao G, Wang L, et al. METTL3 promotes the initiation and metastasis of ovarian cancer by inhibiting CCNG2 expression *via* promoting the maturation of pri-microRNA-1246. *Cell Death Discovery* (2021) 7 (1):237. doi: 10.1038/s41420-021-00600-2

59. Bi X, Lv X, Liu D, Guo H, Yao G, Wang L, et al. METTL3-mediated maturation of miR-126-5p promotes ovarian cancer progression *via* PTEN-mediated PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathway. *Cancer Gene Ther* (2021) 28(3-4):335–49. doi: 10.1038/s41417-020-00222-3

60. Liu K, Gao Y, Gan K, Wu Y, Xu B, Zhang L, et al. Prognostic roles of N6methyladenosine METTL3 in different cancers: A system review and meta-analysis. *Cancer Control.* (2021) 28:1073274821997455. doi: 10.1177/1073274821997455

61. Oda Y, Ohishi Y, Basaki Y, Kobayashi H, Hirakawa T, Wake N, et al. Prognostic implications of the nuclear localization of y-box-binding protein-1 and CXCR4 expression in ovarian cancer: their correlation with activated akt, LRP/ MVP and p-glycoprotein expression. *Cancer Sci* (2007) 98(7):1020–6. doi: 10.1111/j.1349-7006.2007.00492.x

62. Basaki Y, Hosoi F, Oda Y, Fotovati A, Maruyama Y, Oie S, et al. Aktdependent nuclear localization of y-box-binding protein 1 in acquisition of malignant characteristics by human ovarian cancer cells. *Oncogene* (2007) 26 (19):2736-46. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1210084

63. Tailor D, Resendez A, Garcia-Marques FJ, Pandrala M, Going CC, Bermudez A, et al. Y box binding protein 1 inhibition as a targeted therapy for ovarian cancer. *Cell Chem Biol* (2021) 28(8):1206–20.e6. doi: 10.1016/j.chembiol.2021.02.014

64. Bley N, Schott A, Müller S, Misiak D, Lederer M, Fuchs T, et al. IGF2BP1 is a targetable SRC/MAPK-dependent driver of invasive growth in ovarian cancer. *RNA Biol* (2021) 18(3):391–403. doi: 10.1080/15476286.2020.1812894

65. Zhang S, Pei M, Li Z, Li H, Liu Y, Li J. Double-negative feedback interaction between DNA methyltransferase 3A and microRNA-145 in the warburg effect of ovarian cancer cells. *Cancer Sci* (2018) 109(9):2734–45. doi: 10.1111/cas.13734

66. Han X, Liu D, Zhou Y, Wang L, Hou H, Chen H, et al. The negative feedback between miR-143 and DNMT3A regulates cisplatin resistance in ovarian cancer. *Cell Biol Int* (2021) 45(1):227–37. doi: 10.1002/cbin.11486

67. Wang J, Xu J, Li K, Huang Y, Dai Y, Xu C, et al. Identification of WTAPrelated genes by weighted gene co-expression network analysis in ovarian cancer. *J Ovarian Res* (2020) 13(1):119. doi: 10.1186/s13048-020-00710-y

68. Yu HL, Ma XD, Tong JF, Li JQ, Guan XJ, Yang JH. WTAP is a prognostic marker of high-grade serous ovarian cancer and regulates the progression of ovarian cancer cells. *Onco Targets Ther* (2019) 12:6191–201. doi: 10.2147/OTT.S205730

69. Zhu H, Gan X, Jiang X, Diao S, Wu H, Hu J. ALKBH5 inhibited autophagy of epithelial ovarian cancer through miR-7 and BCL-2. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res* (2019) 38(1):163. doi: 10.1186/s13046-019-1159-2

70. Nie S, Zhang L, Liu J, Wan Y, Jiang Y, Yang J, et al. ALKBH5-HOXA10 loop-mediated JAK2 m6A demethylation and cisplatin resistance in epithelial ovarian cancer. J Exp Clin Cancer Res (2021) 40(1):284. doi: 10.1186/s13046-021-02088-1

71. Liu T, Wei Q, Jin J, Luo Q, Liu Y, Yang Y, et al. The m6A reader YTHDF1 promotes ovarian cancer progression *via* augmenting EIF3C translation. *Nucleic Acids Res* (2020) 48(7):3816–31. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkaa048

72. Hao L, Wang JM, Liu BQ, Yan J, Li C, Jiang JY, et al. m6A-YTHDF1mediated TRIM29 upregulation facilitates the stem cell-like phenotype of cisplatinresistant ovarian cancer cells. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Res* (2021) 1868 (1):118878. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamcr.2020.118878 73. Duan H, Yan Z, Chen W, Wu Y, Han J, Guo H, et al. TET1 inhibits EMT of ovarian cancer cells through activating wnt/ β -catenin signaling inhibitors DKK1 and SFRP2. *Gynecol Oncol* (2017) 147(2):408–17. doi: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2017.08.010

74. Chen LY, Huang RL, Chan MW, Yan PS, Huang TS, Wu RC, et al. TET1 reprograms the epithelial ovarian cancer epigenome and reveals casein kinase 2α as a therapeutic target. *J Pathol* (2019) 248(3):363–76. doi: 10.1002/path.5266

75. Han X, Zhou Y, You Y, Lu J, Wang L, Hou H, et al. TET1 promotes cisplatin-resistance *via* demethylating the vimentin promoter in ovarian cancer. *Cell Biol Int* (2017) 41(4):405–14. doi: 10.1002/cbin.10734

76. Wan F, Chen F, Fan Y, Chen D. Clinical significance of TET2 in female cancers. Front Bioeng Biotechnol (2022) 10:790605. doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2022.790605

77. Wang S, Li Z, Zhu G, Hong L, Hu C, Wang K, et al. RNA-Binding protein IGF2BP2 enhances circ_0000745 abundancy and promotes aggressiveness and stemness of ovarian cancer cells *via* the microRNA-3187-3p/ERBB4/PI3K/AKT axis. *J Ovarian Res* (2021) 14(1):154. doi: 10.1186/s13048-021-00917-7

78. Kleemann M, Schneider H, Unger K, Sander P, Schneider EM, Fischer-Posovszky P, et al. MiR-744-5p inducing cell death by directly targeting HNRNPC and NFIX in ovarian cancer cells. *Sci Rep* (2018) 8(1):9020. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-27438-6

79. Li J, Wu L, Pei M, Zhang Y. YTHDF2, a protein repressed by miR-145, regulates proliferation, apoptosis, and migration in ovarian cancer cells. *J Ovarian Res* (2020) 13(1):111. doi: 10.1186/s13048-020-00717-5

80. McGlacken-Byrne SM, Del Valle I, Quesne Stabej PL, Bellutti L, Garcia-Alonso L, Ocaka LA, et al. Pathogenic variants in the human m6A reader YTHDC2 are associated with primary ovarian insufficiency. *JCI Insight* (2022) 7(5):e154671. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.154671

81. Tan TZ, Miow QH, Huang RY, Wong MK, Ye J, Lau JA, et al. Functional genomics identifies five distinct molecular subtypes with clinical relevance and pathways for growth control in epithelial ovarian cancer. *EMBO Mol Med* (2013) 5 (7):1051–66. doi: 10.1002/emmm.201201823

82. Li Y, Peng H, Jiang P, Zhang J, Zhao Y, Feng X, et al. Downregulation of methyltransferase-like 14 promotes ovarian cancer cell proliferation through stabilizing TROAP mRNA. *Front Oncol* (2022) 12:824258. doi: 10.3389/ fonc.2022.824258

83. Liu H, Zeng Z, Afsharpad M, Lin C, Wang S, Yang H, et al. Overexpression of IGF2BP3 as a potential oncogene in ovarian clear cell carcinoma. *Front Oncol* (2019) 9:1570. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2019.01570

84. Li H, Chen L, Han Y, Zhang F, Wang Y, Han Y, et al. The identification of RNA modification gene PUS7 as a potential biomarker of ovarian cancer. *Biol* (*Basel*) (2021) 10(11):1130. doi: 10.3390/biology10111130

85. Ye Z, Li J, Han X, Hou H, Chen H, Zheng X, et al. TET3 inhibits TGF-β1induced epithelial-mesenchymal transition by demethylating miR-30d precursor gene in ovarian cancer cells. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res* (2016) 35:72. doi: 10.1186/ s13046-016-0350-y

86. Zhu X, Wang X, Yan W, Yang H, Xiang Y, Lv F, et al. Ubiquitination-mediated degradation of TRDMT1 regulates homologous recombination and therapeutic response. *NAR Cancer* (2021) 3(1):zcab010. doi: 10.1093/narcan/zcab010

87. Wang Q, Zhang Q, Huang Y, Zhang J. m(1)A regulator TRMT10C predicts poorer survival and contributes to malignant behavior in gynecological cancers. *DNA Cell Biol* (2020) 39(10):1767–78. doi: 10.1089/dna.2020.5624

88. Fiziev P, Akdemir KC, Miller JP, Keung EZ, Samant NS, Sharma S, et al. Systematic epigenomic analysis reveals chromatin states associated with melanoma progression. *Cell Rep* (2017) 19(4):875–89. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2017.03.078

89. Xu J, Sampath D, Lang FF, Prabhu S, Rao G, Fuller GN, et al. Vorinostat modulates cell cycle regulatory proteins in glioma cells and human glioma slice cultures. *J Neurooncol.* (2011) 105(2):241–51. doi: 10.1007/s11060-011-0604-7

90. Singh MM, Manton CA, Bhat KP, Tsai WW, Aldape K, Barton MC, et al. Inhibition of LSD1 sensitizes glioblastoma cells to histone deacetylase inhibitors. *Neuro Oncol* (2011) 13(8):894–903. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/nor049

91. Chen N, Qi Y, Ma X, Xiao X, Liu Q, Xia T, et al. Rediscovery of traditional plant medicine: An underestimated anticancer drug of chelerythrine. *Front Pharmacol* (2022) 13:906301. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2022.906301

92. Maitre E, Cornet E, Troussard X. Hairy cell leukemia: 2020 update on diagnosis, risk stratification, and treatment. *Am J Hematol* (2019) 94(12):1413–22. doi: 10.1002/ajh.25653

93. Pardanani A. Systemic mastocytosis in adults: 2021 update on diagnosis, risk stratification and management. *Am J Hematol* (2021) 96(4):508–25. doi: 10.1002/ajh.26118

94. Piehl F. Current and emerging disease-modulatory therapies and treatment targets for multiple sclerosis. *J Intern Med* (2021) 289(6):771–91. doi: 10.1111/joim.13215

95. Ishii H, Yano S. New therapeutic strategies for adult acute myeloid leukemia. *Cancers (Basel).* (2022) 14(11):2806. doi: 10.3390/cancers14112806

96. Dean L. Dabrafenib therapy and BRAF and G6PD genotype. In: Pratt VM, Scott SA, Pirmohamed M, Esquivel B, Kane MS, Kattman BL, et al, editors. *Medical genetics summaries*. Bethesda (MD: National Center for Biotechnology Information (US (2012).

97. Saini S, Tulla K, Maker AV, Burman KD, Prabhakar BS. Therapeutic advances in anaplastic thyroid cancer: a current perspective. *Mol Cancer*. (2018) 17(1):154. doi: 10.1186/s12943-018-0903-0

98. Blum RH. Clinical status and optimal use of the cardioprotectant, dexrazoxane. Oncol (Williston Park). (1997) 11(11):1669-77.

99. Kreidieh FY, Moukadem HA, El Saghir NS. Overview, prevention and management of chemotherapy extravasation. *World J Clin Oncol* (2016) 7(1):87–97. doi: 10.5306/wjco.v7.i1.87

100. Padegimas A, Clasen S, Ky B. Cardioprotective strategies to prevent breast cancer therapy-induced cardiotoxicity. *Trends Cardiovasc Med* (2020) 30(1):22–8. doi: 10.1016/j.tcm.2019.01.006

101. Doussot A, Kemeny NE, D'Angelica MI. Hepatic arterial infusional chemotherapy in the management of colorectal cancer liver metastases. *Hepat Oncol* (2015) 2(3):275–90. doi: 10.2217/hep.15.9

102. Kadia TM, Gandhi V. Nelarabine in the treatment of pediatric and adult patients with T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia and lymphoma. *Expert Rev Hematol* (2017) 10(1):1–8. doi: 10.1080/17474086.2017.1262757

103. Campagne O, Yeo KK, Fangusaro J, Stewart CF. Clinical pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of selumetinib. *Clin Pharmacokinet* (2021) 60(3):283–303. doi: 10.1007/s40262-020-00967-y

104. Rasmussen LM, Zaveri NT, Stenvang J, Peters RH, Lykkesfeldt AE. A novel dual-target steroid sulfatase inhibitor and antiestrogen: SR 16157, a promising agent for the therapy of breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2007) 106(2):191–203. doi: 10.1007/s10549-007-9494-y

105. Rundles RW, Barton WB. Triethylene melamine in the treatment of neoplastic disease. Blood (1952) 7(5):483-507. doi: 10.1182/blood.V7.5.483.483

106. Stoll BA. Thiotepa and breast cancer. Br Med J (1963) 1(5322):54–5. doi: 10.1136/bmj.1.5322.54-a

107. Englander O, Sarangi A. Effect of thio-TEPA on advanced malignant ovarian tumours. Br J Cancer. (1960) 14(1):28–44. doi: 10.1038/bjc.1960.4

108. Fallah F, Fallah M, Sajadi Nia RS. Thiotepa versus bacille calmette-guérin in non-muscle invasive bladder cancer. *Curr Urol.* (2013) 6(3):160–4. doi: 10.1159/000343532

109. Paluch EK, Aspalter IM, Sixt M. Focal adhesion-independent cell migration. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* (2016) 32:469–90. doi: 10.1146/annurev-cellbio-111315-125341

110. Berridge MJ. The inositol Trisphosphate/Calcium signaling pathway in health and disease. *Physiol Rev* (2016) 96(4):1261-96. doi: 10.1152/ physrev.00006.2016

111. Mitchell CJ, Getnet D, Kim MS, Manda SS, Kumar P, Huang TC, et al. A multi-omic analysis of human naïve CD4+ T cells. *BMC Syst Biol* (2015) 9:75. doi: 10.1186/s12918-015-0225-4

112. Tellier J, Nutt SL. Plasma cells: The programming of an antibody-secreting machine. *Eur J Immunol* (2019) 49(1):30–7. doi: 10.1002/eji.201847517

113. Liu Y, Liu Z, Tang H, Shen Y, Gong Z, Xie N, et al. The N(6)methyladenosine (m(6)A)-forming enzyme METTL3 facilitates M1 macrophage polarization through the methylation of STAT1 mRNA. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* (2019) 317(4):C762–c75. doi: 10.1152/ajpcell.00212.2019

114. Liu S, Liang J, Liu Z, Zhang C, Wang Y, Watson AH, et al. The role of CD276 in cancers. Front Oncol (2021) 11:654684. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2021.654684

115. Chan TA, Yarchoan M, Jaffee E, Swanton C, Quezada SA, Stenzinger A, et al. Development of tumor mutation burden as an immunotherapy biomarker: utility for the oncology clinic. *Ann Oncol* (2019) 30(1):44–56. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdy495

116. Fan S, Gao X, Qin Q, Li H, Yuan Z, Zhao S. Association between tumor mutation burden and immune infiltration in ovarian cancer. *Int Immunopharmacol.* (2020) 89(Pt A):107126. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2020.107126

Glossary

Glossary		PD-L1	programmed cell death ligand-1
		PD-L2	programmed cell death ligand-2
		PFS	progressionfree- survival
ac4C	N4-acetylcytidine	PKM2	pyruvate kinase M2
ADP	adenosine diphosphate	PKP3	plakophilin 3
AUC	area under curve	PPI	protein-protein interaction
BCL-2	B cell lymphoma-2	PR	partial response
cAMP	cyclic adenosine monophosphate	ROC	receiver operating characteristic
CCNG2	cyclin G2	RRG	RNA-modification regulatory gene
CR	complete response	rRNA	ribosomal RNA
CSF-1	colony stimulating factor-1	SD	stable disease
CTLA4	cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated protein 4;	SFRP2	secreted frizzled-related protein 2
CXCL	chemokine C-X-C motif ligand	SGK1	serum and glucocorticoid-induced protein kinase 1
DDAH2	dimethylarginine dimethylaminohydrolase 2	SHP-2	SH2 domaincontaining protein tyrosine phosphatase-2
DERRG	differently expressed RNAmodification regulatory gene	STAT1	signal transducer and activator of transcription 1
DKK1	dickkopf-1	TCGA	The Cancer Genome Atlas
DTP	Developmental Therapeutics Program	TGF	transforming growth factor
EIF3C	eukaryotic initiation factor 3c	Th1	T-helper 1
EZH2	enhancer of zeste homolog 2	TIM	tumor immune microenvironment
FXR1	fragile X autosomal homolog 1	TMB	tumor mutation burden
GSEA	gene-set enrichment analysis	TNF	tumor necrosis factor
hCTR1	human copper transporter 1;	Tregs	T cells regulatory
H3K27me3	trimethylation of lys-27 in histone 3	tRNA	transfer RNA
Ψ	pseudouridine	TROAP	trophininassociated protein
IFN	interferon	WDR4	WD repeat domain 4
IL	interleukin	XBP1	X-box binding protein 1
IRF4	interferon regulatory factor 4		
LYN	lck/yesrelated protein tyrosine kinase		
m1A	N1-methyladenosine		
m3C	3-methylcytidine		
m5C	5-methylcytosine		
m6A	N6-methyladenosine;		
m6Am	2-O-dimethyladenosine		
m7G	N7-methyladenosine		
MAD	median absolute deviation		
MEX3A	Mex-3 RNA Binding Family Member A		

Continued

(Continued)

MHC

NCI

NK

NMF

OC

OCT4

ORR

OS

PCA

PD

PD-1

OTUB1 PABPC1

NF-kB

major histocompatibility complex

nonnegative matrix factorization

octamer-binding transcription factor 4

OUT domain-containing ubiquitin aldehyde-binding protein 1

National Cancer Institute

nuclear factor kappa beta

objective response rate

poly A binding protein 1

progressive disease

principal component analysis

programmed cell death protein-1

natural killer cell

ovarian cancer

overall survival