Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Sally Radovick, The State University of New Jersey, United States

REVIEWED BY

Paul B. Kaplowitz, Children's National Hospital, United States Karen Klein, University of California, San Diego, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE

Ling Hou houlingtj@163.com Xiaoping Luo xpluo@tjh.tjmu.edu.cn

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Pediatric Endocrinology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Endocrinology

RECEIVED 31 October 2022 ACCEPTED 28 November 2022 PUBLISHED 14 December 2022

CITATION

Shi Y, Ma Z, Yang X, Ying Y, Luo X and Hou L (2022) Gonadotropin-releasing hormone analogue and recombinant human growth hormone treatment for idiopathic central precocious puberty in girls. *Front. Endocrinol.* 13:1085385. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2022.1085385

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Shi, Ma, Yang, Ying, Luo and Hou. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

(CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Gonadotropin-releasing hormone analogue and recombinant human growth hormone treatment for idiopathic central precocious puberty in girls

Yuzhen Shi, Ziyi Ma, Xi Yang, Yanqin Ying, Xiaoping Luo* and Ling Hou*

Department of Pediatrics, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China

Purpose: To investigate the effectiveness and safety of gonadotropin-releasing hormone analogue (GnRHa) in combination with recombinant human growth hormone (rhGH) in girls with central precocious puberty (CPP).

Methods: Clinical data of 80 girls diagnosed with idiopathic central precocious puberty (ICPP) between January 2017 and June 2021 were retrospectively analyzed. Treatment strategy involved GnRHa alone (group A: n=34) and GnRHa+rhGH (group B: n=46). Children's heights (Ht), weights (Wt) and sex hormone levels were measured every 3 months after treatment and bone age (BA) every six months. Heights, growth velocity (GV), predicted adult height (PAH), weights, body mass index (BMI), sex hormone levels and bone age were compared between the two groups.

Results: Children in group B showed greater height gain at the 12th, 24th and 30th months after treatment (p<0.05) than those in group A, had faster growth rates in the first and second year following treatment (p<0.05) and better PAH (p<0.05). No statistical differences in weight or BMI were found between the two groups before treatment or at any time after treatment (p>0.05). Levels of LH and FSH were lower in both groups after treatment with no statistical differences between groups (p>0.05). The gap between bone age and chronological age gradually decreased in both groups and no abnormal progression of bone age or other adverse side effects occurred.

Conclusions: The combination of GnRHa with rhGH produced better height gains than GnRHa alone for patients with CPP. The gonadal axis was suppressed and progression of bone age delayed with good safety and efficacy.

KEYWORDS

central precocious puberty, gonadotropin-releasing hormone analogue, recombinant human growth hormone, height, growth velocity, predicted adult height

Background

The global incidence of precocious puberty in children is on the rise and is noticeably higher in girls than in boys, giving a male-to-female ratio of approximately 1:10-20 (1-3). Adolescents have had more online classes and taken less outdoor activity since the outbreak of coronavirus disease 2019 and rates of childhood overweight and obesity have increased (4). Diagnoses of precocious puberty have increased quite significantly in recent years, perhaps partly influenced in part by this (5), which prompted increased scrutiny of treatment.

Central precocious puberty (CPP) is a common pediatric endocrine disorder that causes the rapid development of internal and external genital organs and presentation of secondary sexual characteristics in girls before the age of 8 years and in boys before the age of 9 years due to early activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis (HPGA). Idiopathic CPP (ICPP) is the most common form in girls while boys often have underlying pathological alterations of the central nervous system (6). HPGA activation results in estrogen exposure which accelerates bone aging and causes early epiphyseal closure. The result is a shortened time window for growth and reduced adult height (7). The goal of ICPP treatment is the inhibition of sexual development, delay of skeletal maturation to increase eventual adult height and the avoidance of psychological behavioral problems. GnRHa has been the preferred CPP medication (8). However, children receiving GnRHa therapy experience growth deceleration and sometimes may not achieve their desired height (9-11) and rhGH supplementation may improve efficacy (12).

The current study aimed to evaluate the effectiveness and safety of GnRHa combined with rhGH therapy in girls with ICPP. Outcomes of children receiving GnRHa alone and in combination with rhGH therapy were compared to identify optimal treatment.

Materials and methods

Participants

Clinical data of 80 girls with ICPP who were admitted to the Department of Pediatrics Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology between January 2017 and June 2021 were retrospectively analyzed. ICPP diagnosis was in accordance with the guidelines for diagnosis and treatment of precocious puberty issued by the Chinese Medical Association in 2015 (13). Diagnostic criteria were as follows: (1) girls presenting with secondary sex characteristics before the age of 8 years; (2) accelerated linear growth, defined as annual growth rate higher than the mean value for age; (3) bone maturation more than 1 year above chronological age; (4) ultrasound showing enlarged ovaries and uterus and multiple ovarian follicles of diameter >4 mm; (5) HPG axis activation confirmed by peak luteinizing hormone (LH) >5 mIU/mL in response to gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) stimulation test and LH/follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) ratio >0.6; (6) magnetic resonance imaging evaluation of the hypothalamus and pituitary gland was performed to exclude central organic pathology.

Methods

Participants were divided into group A (n=34) who received GnRHa alone and group B (n=46) who received GnRHa+rhGH. Patients in group B had predicted adult height (PAH) below the third percentile or less than -2 SD of genetic target height. All patients received 3.75 mg GnRHa every 4 weeks and patients in group B received an additional daily dose of 0.05-0.066 mg/kg rhGH. Treatment duration was not less than 30 months. All children were followed up every 3 months after starting treatment and measurements made of height, weight and sex hormone levels. Bone age was checked every 6 months. PAH was calculated according to the method, which was established based on Bayley-Pinneau's method and data from the national growth survey of children in nine cities of China in the year 2005, as described previously by Liang Y et al. (14). The formula used was: PAH = measured height/percentage of current bone age height to adult height. Percentage of current bone age height to adult height refers to the ratio of the 50th percentile height corresponding to current bone age/the 50th percentile height at the age of 18. This method predicted final heights of Chinese girls with CPP who were treated with GnRHa.

Statistical analysis

SPSS 23.0 and GraphPad Prism 8.0.2 were used for statistical analysis. Differences in clinical parameters were compared by Student's t-test. All data are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation and p-value < 0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant result.

Results

General data

A total of 80 girls with CPP were enrolled, including 34 in Group A and 46 in Group B. Initial ages ranged from 6 to 10

Abbreviations: BA, bone age; BMI, body mass index; CA, chronological age; CPP, central precocious puberty; GH, growth hormone; GnRH, gonadotropin-releasing hormone; GnRHa, gonadotropin-releasing hormone analogue; GV, growth velocity; FSH, follicle-stimulating hormone; HT, target height; HPGA, hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis; ICPP, idiopathic central precocious puberty; IGF-1, insulin-like growth factor 1; LH, luteinizing hormone; PAH, predictive adult height; rhGH, recombinant human growth hormone; TH, target height; WT, weight.

years with the group A mean being 8.02 ± 0.83 years and group B 8.73 ± 0.94 years (p<0.001). Baseline data is presented in Table 1.

Height, GV and PAH

No difference in mean height was present between the two groups before treatment. Heights increased for both groups after treatment and the mean was significantly greater for group B at 12, 24 and 30 months after treatment (Figure 1A). (A 12 months: 138.40 ± 8.63: A 24 months: 141.80 ± 7.82; A 30 months: 143.30 \pm 5.16 vs. B 12 months: 143.40 \pm 7.56; B 24 months: 148.30 \pm 7.24; B 30 months: 149.50 ± 5.26; p<0.05). Growth rates were A: 6.18 ± 1.08 and B: 8.74 ± 2.14 (p< 0.0001, t=6.05) in the first year and A: 4.92 ± 1.41 and B: 6.85 ± 3.21 in the second year (p<0.05, t=2.59). The addition of rhGH treatment produced a remarkable advantage in growth rate (Figure 1B). The PAH was higher in group A (155.60 \pm 7.26) than in group B (150.60 \pm 4.92) before treatment (p<0.01, t=3.23). The PAH increased in both groups after treatment and there was no longer a statistically significant difference (group A: 157.80 ± 4.56 vs. group B: 158.80 ± 4.91, p>0.05, t=0.36). Thus, the addition of rhGH treatment resulted in a greater gain in PAH compared with GnRHa alone (Figure 1C).

BA-CA, BA/CA and BA

There was an overall decreasing trend for the gap between bone age and chronological age (BA-CA) from the start of treatment in both groups (Figure 2A), indicating the effectiveness of GnRHa in slowing bone age progression, enabling the over-aged bone age to gradually converge with the child's age. Differences between bone age and chronological age (BA-CA) (p>0.05; Figure 2A) and ratios of bone age to age (BA/CA) (p>0.05; Table 2) were not statistically different between the two groups at any time point. This suggests that addition of rhGH increased growth rate without affecting the action of GnRHa on the skeleton. There was a striking difference in bone age between the two groups before treatment (p<0.0001) and this statistically significant difference remained after 30 months of treatment (p<0.05; Figure 2B). Bone age increments were 1.23 \pm 1.04 for group A and 0.84 \pm 0.52 for group B during 30 months of treatment. Thus, addition of rhGH did not cause abnormal bone age progression.

Weight and BMI

Weights of patients in both groups gradually increased throughout treatment and there were no significant differences at any time point (p>0.05; Figure 3A). No differences in BMI were found between the two groups at any time point and BMI remained within the normal range (p>0.05; Figure 3B).

LH and FSH

Basal LH and FSH levels were lower at all time points after treatment than before treatment for both groups and no significant differences were found between groups at any time point after treatment (p>0.05; Figure 4). This observation indicates that both treatments were effective in inhibiting gonadal axis progression.

Discussion

Eighty patients with CPP who received GnRHa alone or GnRHa in combination with rhGH for more than 30 months

TABLE 1 Baseline information of girls with CPP treated with GnRHa alone or in combination with rhGH.

Variable	GroupA (n=34)	GroupB (n=46)	p-value	t-value
CA (year)	8.02 ± 0.83	8.73 ± 0.94	0.0008	3.49
BA (year)	9.24 ± 1.07	10.47 ± 1.01	< 0.0001	4.93
Ht (cm)	132.20 ± 7.89	134.40 ± 7.77	0.24	1.19
Wt (kg)	30.25 ± 8.34	31.84 ± 6.05	0.36	0.93
BMI (kg/m ²)	17.02 ± 2.96	17.43 ± 2.05	0.50	0.68
TH (cm)	158.00 ± 3.88	158.50 ± 3.36	0.58	0.56
PAH (cm) (range)	155.60 ± 7.26 (146–167)	150.60 ± 4.92 (139–158)	<0.01	3.23
basal LH (mIU/mL)	0.57 ± 0.73	0.61 ± 0.90	0.87	0.16
basal FSH (mIU/mL)	3.26 ± 1.96	3.27 ± 1.98	0.99	0.01

Data are reported as the mean± standard deviation.

Group A: GnRHa alone group (n=34), Group B: GnRHa+rhGH group (n=46); CA,chronological age; BA, bone age; Ht, height; Wt, weight; BMI, body mass index; TH, target height; PAH, predictive adult height; LH, luteinizing hormone; FSH, follicle-stimulating hormone.



were retrospectively analyzed and long-term effects evaluated. Both treatment modalities promoted height gain by suppressing the gonadal axis and slowing the progression of bone age. Furthermore, treatment with GnRHa + rhGH produced better outcomes of height, growth rate and PAH than GnRHa alone in children with CPP.

GnRHa is commonly used to treat CPP both in China and abroad. Long-acting GnRHa analogs make the gonadotrophs non-responsive since they require pulsatile stimulation by native GnRH in order to release LH and FSH. Treatment delays skeletal maturation by inhibiting sexual development to allow height catch-up and efficacy has been demonstrated in several studies (8, 15–17). However, a proportion of children with CPP did not show substantial improvement in final height or experienced growth deceleration that affected adult height outcomes after GnRHa treatment (9, 11, 18).

Exact mechanisms causing growth deceleration after GnRHa treatment are not clear but variations in estrogen levels and in the growth hormone (GH)/insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF1) axis may be responsible. Estrogen has a dual role in bone growth, accelerating growth plate aging and promoting epiphyseal fusion while accelerating longitudinal bone growth of the growth plate and inducing pubertal growth spurts (19). Inhibition of the

gonadal axis by GnRHa diminishes actions of estrogen on the growth plate which would otherwise accelerate chondrocyte proliferation. Moreover, premature exposure to estrogen in children with CPP leads to excessive senescence of the growth plate, resulting in reduced growth rate (20–23). In addition, decreased night-time GH levels and levels of biologically active IGF-I have been shown in children with CPP in response to GnRHa and the GH/IGF1 axis is central to long bone growth (24–27). Thus, the combination of GnRHa and rhGH enhances the GH-IGF-1 axis, maximizing height gain in CPP patients with severe growth deceleration or very poor PAH after GnRHa treatment.

The efficacy of GnRHa combined with rhGH in the treatment of CPP has been reported since the early 1990s (28–30). Oostdijk, W et al. (1991) found that the severely impaired growth velocity and suboptimal PAH after 3 years of treatment with GnRHa alone in CPP patients could be improved by combined growth hormone therapy (31). Pasquino AM et al. found that the gain between PAH before treatment and final height was 1.6 ± 1.2 cm in patients treated with GnRHa alone but 7.9 \pm 1.1 cm for those treated with GnRHa and rhGH. Combination therapy produced a significant improvement in final adult height (12). Gains in PAH before and after treatment



(A) BA-CA of children at different time points during treatment; (B) Bone age of children during treatment; BA, bone age; CA, chronological age. (* p<0.05; **** p<0.0001.).

Treatment time(month)	0	6	12	18	24	30
BA/CA						
GroupA	1.17 ± 0.10	1.15 ± 0.07	1.12 ± 0.07	1.08 ± 0.05	1.06 ± 0.06	1.09 ± 0.11
GroupB	1.20 ± 0.12	1.19 ± 0.11	1.11 ± 0.10	1.15 ± 0.23	1.07 ± 0.10	1.04 ± 0.07
p-value	0.25	0.15	0.65	0.42	0.59	0.26
t-value	1.16	1.47	0.46	0.83	0.55	1.21

TABLE 2 BA/CA of girls with CPP at different time points during treatment.

Data are reported as the mean± standard deviation.

Group A: GnRHa alone group (n=34), Group B: GnRHa+rhGH group (n=46); BA, bone age; CA, chronological age.

recorded during the current study were 8.2 ± 4.92 cm for GnRHa + rhGH and 2.2 ± 6.35 cm for GnRHa alone, showing a significant amelioration in PAH with combination therapy. Moreover, whereas PAH was significantly higher in group A than in group B before treatment, there were no differences between groups after treatment. Those with low PAH and more advanced age and BA appeared to benefit the most. The question still remains of whether rhGH was required by group B patients to achieve the final PAH or whether GnRHa was of greater benefit in more rapidly progressive CPP. A retrospective analysis of patients with idiopathic CPP showed greater height increment in the combined treatment group compared with GnRHa treatment alone. Mean height of the combination therapy group was significantly lower than that of the GnRHa alone group prior to treatment but differences were negligible after 2.1 years of treatment (32). Trends observed during the current study were consistent with those of the study by Gyon, Y. et al. stated above. No differences in height were present in the groups of the present study at the beginning of treatment and, after 1-2 years of treatment, the height in the GnRHa + rhGH group was significantly greater than that receiving GnRHa alone. The effect of combination therapy on height increase was also demonstrated in the current study. A meta-analysis of 6 randomized controlled trials and 6 case-control studies of ICPP treatment concluded that combination therapy of GnRHa and rhGH had an advantage over GnRHa alone in terms of final height but may not show a clear advantage for treatment durations of less than 1 year (33). Min Sub Kim, et al.

retrospectively analyzed 166 female CPP patients treated for longer than 36 months and found that a younger age of commencement of combination therapy was associated with a higher PAH achieved (34). Therefore, an early commencement and long-term course of treatment after diagnosis are recommended with an initial treatment age of less than 10 years and duration longer than 12 months (35) to ensure maximum benefit.

Many studies have indicated advantages of combination therapy for growth velocity and PAH but the therapy has also been suggested to produce limited height improvement in children with CPP (36-38). Discrepancies could be due to several factors. There may be selection bias in the choice of study participants. For example, Jung MK et al. concluded that combination treatment did not produce a significant height improvement but mid-parental height was significantly lower for the patients treated with combination therapy than for those treated with GnRHa alone (36). Financial status may be another influential factor given the high costs associated with these treatments. In addition, nutritional status, sleep quality, exercise and other factors may also affect growth outcomes. There is a lack of data on long-term controlled clinical studies with large samples for combination therapy and a consensus has not yet been reached to recommend it as routine (39). More long-term, large-scale, prospective randomized controlled studies are required for validation.

Many reports discussing CPP combination therapy refer to only two time points at the beginning and end of treatment





whereas the current study has the advantage of including multiple time points of 3, 6, 9, 12, 18, 24 and 30 months after treatment, reflecting the changes in various indicators during the dosing period more dynamically and continuously. Moreover, the focus has most frequently been on height in previous studies with fewer reports related to BMI. CPP patients treated with GnRHa had BMIs similar to those of the healthy population by late adolescence and long-term GnRHa treatment did not increase BMI (40). The current study found no statistical difference in BMI between GnRHa + rhGH and GnRHa alone at any time point (p>0.05), suggesting that combined treatment did not increase the risk of overweight or obesity.

The limitations of the present study include the following points. This was a single-center study with a relatively small sample size and, since it was retrospective in nature, patients were not randomly grouped to receive GH or not. Pre-existing baseline differences in age and bone age between the two groups were present: patients in group B were older and had more advanced BA at the start of treatment. The presence of more rapidly progressive CPP, which might mean that they responded more to the GnRHa treatment and not solely the GH, could not be excluded. Patients were not followed until the achievement of final height or growth rate <2 cm/year. However, observation of these patients will continue until they achieve their final adult height to determine the effectiveness of the combination therapy.

In conclusion, it is important to monitor the growth of patients with CPP in regular follow-up during treatment, especially when the growth rate is severely impaired and the predicted adult height is very unsatisfactory, a combination of rhGH and GnRHa therapy can be considered to gain valuable time for catching up growth.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology. Written informed consent to participate in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardian/next of kin.

Author contributions

XL and LH designed and organized the study. YS wrote the manuscript and analyzed the data. ZM and XY interpreted the data. YY collected data. LH revised the intellectual content of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This research was supported by grants from the Scientific Research Fund of the Health Commission of Hubei Province (WJ2021M112) and the Special Science and Technology Major Project of Hubei Province (ZDZX202000020).

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their gratitude to EditSprings (https://www.editsprings.cn) for the expert linguistic services provided.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Eugster EA. Update on precocious puberty in girls. J Pediatr Adolesc gynecology (2019) 32(5):455-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jpag.2019.05.011

2. López-Miralles M, Lacomba-Trejo L, Valero-Moreno S, Benavides G, Pérez-Marín M. Psychological aspects of pre-adolescents or adolescents with precocious puberty: A systematic review. *J Pediatr Nurs* (2022) 64:e61–8. doi: 10.1016/ j.pedn.2022.01.002

3. Eckert-Lind C, Busch AS, Petersen JH, Biro FM, Butler G, Bräuner EV, et al. Worldwide secular trends in age at pubertal onset assessed by breast development among girls: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMAPediatr* (2020) 174(4): e195881. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2019.5881

4. Gwag SH, Oh YR, Ha JW, Kang E, Nam HK, Lee Y, et al. Weight changes of children in 1 year during COVID-19 pandemic. *J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab* (2021) 35(3):297–302. doi: 10.1515/jpem-2021-0554

5. Choi KH, Park SC. An increasing tendency of precocious puberty among Korean children from the perspective of COVID-19 pandemic effect. *Front Pediatr* (2022) 10:968511. doi: 10.3389/fped.2022.968511

6. Cheuiche AV, da Silveira LG, de Paula LCP, Lucena IRS, Silveiro SP. Diagnosis and management of precocious sexual maturation: an updated review. *Eur J Pediatr* (2021) 180(10):3073–87. doi: 10.1007/s00431-021-04022-1

7. Weise M, De-Levi S, Barnes KM, Gafni RI, Abad V, Baron J. Effects of estrogen on growth plate senescence and epiphyseal fusion. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2001) 98(12):6871–6. doi: 10.1073/pnas.121180498

8. Lazar L, Padoa A, Phillip M. Growth pattern and final height after cessation of gonadotropin-suppressive therapy in girls with central sexual precocity. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* (2007) 92(9):3483–9. doi: 10.1210/jc.2007-0321

9. Walvoord EC, Pescovitz OH. Combined use of growth hormone and gonadotropin-releasing hormone analogues in precocious puberty: theoretic and practical considerations. *Pediatrics* (1999) 104(4 Pt 2):1010–4.

10. Aycan Z. Subnormal growth velocity and related factors during GnRH analog therapy for idiopathic central precocious puberty. *J Clin Res Pediatr Endocrinol* (2018) 10(3):239–46. doi: 10.4274/jcrpe.0023

11. Vottero A, Pedori S, Verna M, Pagano B, Cappa M, Loche S, et al. Final height in girls with central idiopathic precocious puberty treated with gonadotropin-releasing hormone analog and oxandrolone. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* (2006) 91(4):1284–7. doi: 10.1210/jc.2005-1693

12. Pasquino AM, Pucarelli I, Segni M, Matrunola M, Cerroni F. Adult height in girls with central precocious puberty treated with gonadotropin-releasing hormone analogues and growth hormone. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* (1999) 84(2):449–52. doi: 10.1210/jcem.84.2.5431

13. Subspecialty Group of Endocrinologic, Hereditary and Metabolic Diseases, the Society of Pediatrics, Chinese Medical Association and Editorial Board, Chinese Journal of Pediatrics. Consensus statement for the diagnosis and treatment of central precocious puberty. *Zhonghua Er Ke Za Zhi* (2015) 53(6):412–8. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.issn.0578-1310.2015.06.004

14. Liang Y, Wei H, Yu X, Song N, Luo X. A new method for adult height prediction in girls with idiopathic central precocious puberty treated with gonadotropin releasing hormone agonist. *Chin J Pediatr* (2015) 53(11):840–4. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.issn.0578-1310.2015.11.008

15. Klein KO, Barnes KM, Jones JV, Feuillan PP, Cutler GBJr. Increased final height in precocious puberty after long-term treatment with LHRH agonists: the national institutes of health experience. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* (2001) 86 (10):4711–6. doi: 10.1210/jcem.86.10.7915

16. Parker KL, Lee PA. Depot leuprolide acetate for treatment of precocious puberty. J Clin Endocrinol Metab (1989) 69(3):689–91. doi: 10.1210/jcem-69-3-689

17. Wit JM. Should skeletal maturation be manipulated for extra height gain? *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* (2021) 12:812196. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2021.812196

18. Magiakou MA, Manousaki D, Papadaki M, Hadjidakis D, Levidou G, Vakaki M, et al. The efficacy and safety of gonadotropin-releasing hormone analog treatment in childhood and adolescence: a single center, long-term follow-up study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* (2010) 95(1):109–17. doi: 10.1210/jc.2009-0793

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

19. Mauras N, Ross J, Mericq V. Management of growth disorders in puberty: GH, GnRHa and aromatase inhibitors: A clinical review. *Endocr Rev* (2022), bnac014. doi: 10.1210/endrev/bnac014

20. Grumbach MM, Auchus RJ. Estrogen: consequences and implications of human mutations in synthesis and action. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* (1999) 84 (12):4677-94. doi: 10.1210/jcem.84.12.6290

21. Conte FA, Kaplan SL, Grumbach MM. The pubertal growth spurt in eight patients with true precocious puberty and growth hormone deficiency: evidence for a direct role of sex steroids. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* (1990) 71(4):975–83. doi: 10.1210/jcem-71-4-975

22. Sklar CA, Rothenberg S, Blumberg D, Oberfield SE, Levine LS, David R. Suppression of the pituitary-gonadal axis in children with central precocious puberty: effects on growth, growth hormone, insulin-like growth factor-I, and prolactin secretion. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* (1991) 73(4):734–8. doi: 10.1210/jcem-73-4-734

23. Weise M, Flor A, Barnes KM, Cutler GBJr, Baron J. Determinants of growth during gonadotropin-releasing hormone analog therapy for precocious puberty. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* (2004) 89(1):103–7. doi: 10.1210/jc.2002-021999

24. Müller J, Juul A, Andersson AM, Sehested A, Skakkebaek NE. Hormonal changes during GnRH analogue therapy in children with central precocious puberty. *J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab* (2000) 13 Suppl 1:739–46. doi: 10.1515/jpem.2000.13.s1.739

25. DiMartino-Nardi J, Wu R, Fishman K, Saenger P. The effect of long-acting analog of luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone on growth hormone secretory dynamics in children with precocious puberty. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* (1991) 73 (4):902–6. doi: 10.1210/jcem-73-4-902

26. Mansfield MJ, Rudlin CR, Crigler JF Jr, Karol KA, Crawford JD, Boepple PA, et al. Changes in growth and serum growth hormone and plasma somatomedin-c levels during suppression of gonadal sex steroid secretion in girls with central precocious puberty. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* (1988) 66(1):3–9. doi: 10.1210/jcem-66-1-3

27. Cisternino M, Draghi M, Lauriola S, Scarcella D, Bernasconi S, Cavallo L, et al. The acid-labile subunit of human ternary insulin-like growth factor-binding protein complex in girls with central precocious puberty before and during gonadotropin-releasing hormone analog therapy. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* (2002) 87(10):4629–33. doi: 10.1210/jc.2002-020308

28. Cara JF, Kreiter ML, Rosenfield RL. Height prognosis of children with true precocious puberty and growth hormone deficiency: effect of combination therapy with gonadotropin releasing hormone agonist and growth hormone. *J Pediatr* (1992) 120(5):709–15. doi: 10.1016/s0022-3476(05)80232-x

29. Saggese G, Pasquino AM, Bertelloni S, Baroncelli GI, Battini R, Pucarelli I, et al. Effect of combined treatment with gonadotropin releasing hormone analogue and growth hormone in patients with central precocious puberty who had subnormal growth velocity and impaired height prognosis. *Acta Paediatr* (1995) 84(3):299–304. doi: 10.1111/j.1651-2227.1995.tb13632.x

30. Fu J, Zhang J, Chen R, Ma X, Wang C, Chen L, et al. Long-term outcomes of treatments for central precocious puberty or early and fast puberty in Chinese girls. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* (2020) 105(3):dgz027. doi: 10.1210/clinem/dgz027

31. Oostdijk W, Drop SL, Odink RJ, Hümmelink R, Partsch CJ, Sippell WG. Long-term results with a slow-release gonadotrophin-releasing hormone agonist in central precocious puberty. Dutch-German precocious puberty study group. *Acta paediatrica Scandinavica. Supplement* (1991) 372:39–46. doi: 10.1111/j.1651-2227.1991.tb17967.x

32. Gyon Y, Yun YJ, Kim YD, Han HS. Age at menarche and near final height after treatment with gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist alone or combined with growth hormone in Korean girls with central precocious puberty. *Clin Pediatr Endocrinol* (2015) 24(4):175–83. doi: 10.1297/cpe.24.175

33. Liu S, Liu Q, Cheng X, Luo Y, Wen Y. Effects and safety of combination therapy with gonadotropin-releasing hormone analogue and growth hormone in girls with idiopathic central precocious puberty: a meta-analysis. *J Endocrinol Invest* (2016) 39:1167–78. doi: 10.1007/s40618-016-0486-9

34. Kim MS, Koh HJ, Lee GY, Kang DH, Kim SY. Comparing adult height gain and menarcheal age between girls with central precocious puberty treated with gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist alone and those treated with combined growth hormone therapy. *Ann Pediatr Endocrinol Metab* (2019) 24(2):116–23. doi: 10.6065/apem.2019.24.2.116

35. Wang M, Zhang Y, Lan D, Hill JW. The efficacy of GnRHa alone or in combination with rhGH for the treatment of Chinese children with central precocious puberty. *Sci Rep* (2016) 6:24259. doi: 10.1038/srep24259

36. Jung MK, Song KC, Kwon AR, Chae HW, Kim DH, Kim HS. Adult height in girls with central precocious puberty treated with gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist with or without growth hormone. *Ann Pediatr Endocrinol Metab* (2014) 19 (4):214–9. doi: 10.6065/apem.2014.19.4.214

37. Song W, Zhao F, Liang S, Li G, Xue J. Is a combination of a GnRH agonist and recombinant growth hormone an effective treatment to increase the final adult

height of girls with precocious or early puberty? Int J Endocrinol (2018) 2018:1708650. doi: 10.1155/2018/1708650

38. Bereket A. A critical appraisal of the effect of gonadotropin-releasing hormone analog treatment on adult height of girls with central precocious puberty. *J Clin Res Pediatr Endocrinol* (2017) 9(Suppl 2):33–48. doi: 10.4274/ jcrpe.2017.S004

39. Carel JC, Eugster EA, Rogol A, Ghizzoni L, Palmert MR, Antoniazzi F, et al. Consensus statement on the use of gonadotropin-releasing hormone analogs in children. *Pediatrics* (2009) 123(4):e752–62. doi: 10.1542/peds.2008-1783

40. Lazar L, Lebenthal Y, Yackobovitch-Gavan M, Shalitin S, de Vries L, Phillip M, et al. Treated and untreated women with idiopathic precocious puberty: BMI evolution, metabolic outcome, and general health between third and fifth decades. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* (2015) 100(4):1445–51. doi: 10.1210/jc.2014-3748