Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Sinang Hongsanan, Shenzhen University, China

REVIEWED BY Mustafa Rüstemoğlu, Şırnak University, Türkiye Danielle Rutkowski, University of California, Davis, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Nuttapol Noirungsee Implication noise nuttapol.n@cmu.ac.th Terd Disayathanoowat terd.dis@cmu.ac.th

RECEIVED 08 January 2024 ACCEPTED 07 February 2024 PUBLISHED 26 February 2024

CITATION

Castillo DC, Sinpoo C, Phokasem P, Yongsawas R, Sansupa C, Attasopa K, Suwannarach N, Inwongwan S, Noirungsee N and Disayathanoowat T (2024) Distinct fungal microbiomes of two Thai commercial stingless bee species, *Lepidotrigona terminata* and *Tetragonula pagdeni* suggest a possible niche separation in a shared habitat. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 14:1367010. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2024.1367010

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Castillo, Sinpoo, Phokasem, Yongsawas, Sansupa, Attasopa, Suwannarach, Inwongwan, Noirungsee and Disayathanoowat. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Distinct fungal microbiomes of two Thai commercial stingless bee species, *Lepidotrigona terminata* and *Tetragonula pagdeni* suggest a possible niche separation in a shared habitat

Diana C. Castillo^{1,2,3}, Chainarong Sinpoo^{1,3,4}, Patcharin Phokasem^{1,3,4}, Rujipas Yongsawas^{1,3}, Chakriya Sansupa¹, Korrawat Attasopa^{3,5,6}, Nakarin Suwannarach^{3,4,6}, Sahutchai Inwongwan^{1,3,6}, Nuttapol Noirungsee^{1,3,6*} and Terd Disayathanoowat^{1,3,6*}

¹Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, Thailand, ²Department of Biological Sciences, College of Science, Central Luzon State University, Science City of Muñoz, Nueva Ecija, Philippines, ³Research Center of Deep Technology in Beekeeping and Bee Products for Sustainable Development Goals (SMART BEE SDGs), Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, Thailand, ⁴Office of Research Administration, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, Thailand, ⁵Department of Entomology and Plant Pathology, Faculty of Agriculture, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, Thailand, ⁶Center of Excellence in Microbial Diversity and Sustainable Utilization, Faculty of Science, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, Thailand

Stingless bees, a social corbiculate bee member, play a crucial role in providing pollination services. Despite their importance, the structure of their microbiome, particularly the fungal communities, remains poorly understood. This study presents an initial characterization of the fungal community associated with two Thai commercial stingless bee species, Lepidotrigona terminata (Smith) and Tetragonula pagdeni (Schwarz) from Chiang Mai, Thailand. Utilizing ITS amplicon sequencing, we identified distinct fungal microbiomes in these two species. Notably, fungi from the phyla Ascomycota, Basidiomycota, Mucoromycota, Mortierellomycota, and Rozellomycota were present. The most dominant genera, which varied significantly between species, included Candida and Starmerella. Additionally, several key enzymes associated with energy metabolism, structural strength, and host defense reactions, such as adenosine triphosphatase, alcohol dehydrogenase, β -glucosidase, chitinase, and peptidylprolyl isomerase, were predicted. Our findings not only augment the limited knowledge of the fungal microbiome in Thai commercial stingless bees but also provide insights for their sustainable management through understanding their microbiome.

KEYWORDS

fungi, corbiculate bee, next-generation sequencing, stingless bee, *Lepidotrigona terminata*, *Tetragonula pagdeni*

1 Introduction

Pollination by insects is crucial for the conservation of ecosystems' natural balance and maintenance of biodiversity. Agricultural crops rely on vectors such as insects, wind, and water for the transmission of their pollen during cross-pollination (MacInnis and Forrest, 2020; Zeng and Fischer, 2020). It has been estimated that animals pollinate 87.5% of flowering plant species (Ollerton et al., 2011). Bees (Apoidea) are considered the most efficient animal pollinators due to their body composition and consistent flower-visiting pollination services (Batra, 1995). The consistent visits and aggregate effects of various bee species influence both the quality and quantity of crops (Hall et al., 2020). Further, pollination by multiple species of bees, such as honeybees, carpenter bees, stingless bees, and bumblebees, results in better pollination and vegetation processes (Khalifa et al., 2021). Although regarded as vital pollinators, bee populations are declining as they face increasing threats from many risk factors such as pesticides, bee diseases, agricultural intensification, among others (Potts et al., 2016).

Stingless bees, belonging to the Meliponini Tribe, are eusocial bees found in tropical and subtropical areas (Leonhardt, 2017; Gonçalves et al., 2018; Vale et al., 2021). Before the introduction of honeybees from Europe, stingless bees were the primary pollinators of plants in the Americas (Toledo-Hernández et al., 2022). Beekeeping practices with stingless bees have been popular in tropical regions due to their ease of management. In contrast to honeybee harvesting, where safety gear is necessary, harvesting honey, pollen, and propolis from stingless bees is simpler as they do not sting (Abd Jalil et al., 2017). The honey and geopropolis produced by stingless bees possess various beneficial properties such as antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, wound-healing, and anticancer effects (Abd Jalil et al., 2017; Seabrooks and Hu, 2017; Alvarez et al., 2018).

The associations of microbes within insects significantly impact their health. Various microorganisms and insects engage in symbiotic relationships that range from mandatory mutualism to specialized parasitism (Menegatti et al., 2021). For instance, insects rely on bacteria for the degradation of plant materials, regulation of pH, and vitamin synthesis (Dillon and Dillon, 2003). Some insects, such as ants, termites, and beetles, cultivate fungi as their food source (Beaver, 1989; Mueller et al., 1998; Aanen et al., 2002). Yeasts like Starmerella bacillaris, St. etchellsii, Candida californica, Pichia membranifaciens, P. occidentalis, and Zygosaccharomyces bailii have been identified as beneficial symbionts in Drosophilla melanogaster microbiome (Dmitrieva et al., 2023). Fall armyworm (Spodoptera frugiperda) harbors fungal symbionts such as Fusarium oxysporum and Cladosporium sp (Watson et al., 2023). Like other insects, bees depend on a symbiotic relationship with microbes, ranging from pathogenic to mutually beneficial (de Paula et al., 2023; Rutkowski et al., 2023). Common fungal symbionts include yeasts from the taxa of Starmerella, Metschnikowia, Zygosaccharomyces, and Candida, which are found across various tribes and species (Rutkowski et al., 2023). Wherein these microbes in honeybee larvae, adults, food, and honeycombs are important for digestion, pollination, and exerting antagonistic effects on various pathogens.

Recent research has shown that bee microbiome plays a critical role in determining the health status of both social and solitary bees (Lozo et al., 2015; Engel et al., 2016; McFrederick and Rehan, 2016; Kwong et al., 2017). In addition, the choice of habitat location of honeybees may affect the core gut microbiome; human pathogens were detected in the gut of honeybee (Rüstemoğlu, 2023). The benefits of fungal symbionts in honeybees include aiding in pollen degradation and assisting in the maturation of royal jelly; additionally, fungi may also serve as food sources (Yun et al., 2018; de Paula et al., 2021). Filamentous fungi are also present in bees, with species such as Aspergillus spp. potentially competing with other pathogenic and mycotoxigenic strains of Aspergillus (Bhandari et al., 2020). These species may enhance the honeybee's resistance to xenobiotics through detoxification and stabilize pollen and bee bread by producing vitamins and minerals (Berenbaum and Johnson, 2015; Kieliszek et al., 2018).

Various researchers have reported mutualism between stingless bees and fungi. For example, larvae of one species of stingless bee have been found to depend on fungi for growth (Ravoet et al., 2014; Maxfield-Taylor et al., 2015; Rutkowski et al., 2023). The yeast Zygosaccharomyces aids in the development of larvae from several species, including Scaptotrigona bipuctata, Sc. postica, Sc. tubiba, Tetragona clavipes, Melipona quadrifasciata, M. fasciculata, M. bicolor, and Partamona helleri (de Paula et al., 2023). Additionally, Liu et al. (2023) have found an association between the gut microbiome composition and the flight traits of stingless bees, though not a causative one. This association was specifically observed in bacterial gut communities. In Brazil, species of Talaromyces and a new species of Penicillium were discovered in M. scutellaris (Barbosa et al., 2018). A recent study has shown that C. apicola, Starmerella spp., and Zygosaccharomyces constitute the core fungal microbiome of M. quadrifasciata gut (Haag et al., 2023). This evidence strongly indicates that the fungal microbiome significantly influences the health of stingless bees, offering potential contributions to the sustainable management of these vital pollinators. However, investigations into fungal microbiomes associated with Thai commercial stingless bees, particularly those in Northern Thailand, are scarce. In this study, we examined the fungal microbiome of two species of stingless bees found in Northern Thailand: Lepidotrigona terminata and Tetragonula pagdeni. Increasing our understanding of the fungal microbiome in these stingless bee species could pave the way for innovative strategies to fortify their health and, in turn, enhance their crucial role in pollination services and ecosystem sustainability.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Stingless bee species and sampling

Tetragonula pagdeni is a common species found throughout Southeast Asia (Wongsa et al., 2023). The average body length ranges from 3.4 mm to 3.9 mm, and the body color varies from black to blackish brown (Sakagami, 1978; Vijayakumar and Jeyaraaj, 2020). The nest are typically situated in tree crevices, exhibiting colors from black to blackish brown, with a texture that is reminiscent of waxy material and resin (Supplementary Figure 1A). Lepidotrigona terminata is an abundant species distributed across Southeast Asia (Attasopa et al., 2020). The body is brownish in color and ranges in size from 4.0 mm to 5.5 mm. Nest entrance tubes are softer and exhibit a paler texture, resembling thin-walled, cylindrical funnels. Their color ranges from light yellow to dark brown (Supplementary Figure 1B) (Li et al., 2021).

Insect specimens were collected from a total of eighteen wild hive colonies, comprising nine colonies each of two stingless bee species, *L. terminata* and *T. pagdeni*. These colonies were nested in tree trunks within 3-5 km geographical radius on the campus of Chiang Mai University in Northern Thailand. Collections took place from January to April 2023, during the time of the day when the temperature was around 25°C. Simultaneously, all nine colonies of each species were collected at the same time on the same day.

Specimen collection was carried out with the method described by (Leonhardt and Kaltenpoth, 2014). We placed 50 mL sterilized centrifuged tubes at the hive entrance to catch the worker stingless bees, as illustrated in Supplementary Figures 1C, D, to collect twenty live adult stingless bees from each colony. The specimens were then transported to the SMART Bee Research Center Laboratory at the Faculty of Science, Chiang Mai University, for further processing. The taxonomic status of the colony of each species was principally based on hive-building characteristics (entrance of the hives), notably to separate the two species with their colony identity. Consequently, we further preferred to identify the two species by their morphological characteristics using the dichotomous keys from (Schwarz, 1939) and (Sakagami, 1978).

2.2 Stingless bee processing and DNA extraction

In a sterile environment, stingless bee samples were surfacedsterilized following the method of (Pakwan et al., 2018), with some modifications. The samples were immersed in 7% (ν/ν) sodium hypochlorite for 1 min and 70% (ν/ν) ethanol for 3 min, then rinsed three times in sterile distilled water and dried on sterile paper towels. The sterilized samples were then placed in bead-beating tubes and lysed for 20 minutes. For DNA extraction, we prepared 18 separate samples in total, with each sample consisting of ten individual stingless bees. Nine samples contained stingless bees from *L. terminata* and another nine from *T. pagdeni*. Total genomic DNA was extracted from each of these samples by the manufacturer's protocol provided with the ZymoBIOMICS DNA Miniprep Kit (ZYMO Research, Germany). The DNA concentration was determined using a NanoDrop UV– vis spectrophotometer.

2.3 ITS amplicon sequencing and processing

The ITS region was amplified using the forward primer (CTTGGTCATTTAGAGGAAGTA) and reverse primer

(GCTGCGTTCTTCATCGATGC). The resulting DNA was sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq platform with paired-end reads. These reads were then imported into QIIME2 version 2019.10 for processing (Bolyen et al., 2019). The primer sequences were trimmed from the reads. The reads underwent quality filtering, truncating at positions where the Phred score fell below 30 (Supplementary Figure 2). Quality filtering and denoising were performed using DADA2 (Callahan et al., 2016). Then the singletons were removed (Unterscher et al., 2011). After generating rarefaction curves to assess the appropriate depth, the datasets were rarefied to a consistent depth of 2801 sequences per sample (Mbareche et al., 2020). Taxonomic classification was carried out using the UNITE (version 8.3) database (Abarenkov, et al., 2020), employing a Naive-Bayes classifier to assign taxonomy to the ITS sequences.

2.4 Data analyses

Diversity of fungal microbiome was determined with 'vegan' package in R. Alpha diversity was assessed using indices including Shannon (Shannon, 1948), Simpson (Simpson, 1949) and Chao-1 (Chao and Chiu, 2016). A Mann Whitney U Test was computed to compare the alpha diversity of the two species of stingless bee. The fungal community composition between the two species of stingless bees was compared using the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity (Jones et al., 2018). The differences were assessed using analysis of group similarities (ANOSIM) and visualized through a non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) using PAST software version 4.03 (Hammer et al., 2001). Fungal taxa correlations were assessed using Spearman's correlation via the 'Hmisc' package in R. Only significant correlations (p < 0.05) and those with strong coefficients (p > 0.7) were imported into Gephi 0.9.2 (Bastian et al., 2009) and visualized in Fruchterman-Reingold layout. Functional pathways were predicted using the ENZYME nomenclature database (Bairoch, 2000) PICRUSt2 software (Douglas et al., 2020). A heatmap was produced to visualize the hierarchal clustering of each predicted gene using R through RStudio (Allaire, 2011; Wickham et al., 2019).

3 Results

3.1 Sequence processing and amplicon sequence variants inference

A pooled sample of whole stingless bees, comprising 10 individuals (~0.1 gram) from each colony of each species, was used to sequence the ITS region using the Illumina MiSeq platform. This process resulted in 817,614 raw reads with median depth of 44,523.5 (Supplementary Table S1). After the quality filtering, denoising, and removal of chimeric sequences, we obtained 730,651 amplicons (Supplementary Table S2). Subsequently, after removing singletons and rarefying, the datasets contained 547 unique Amplicon Sequence Variants (ASVs), with a total count of 50,418 ASVs (Supplementary Table S2). Alpha rarefaction curves plateaued and reached saturation, indicating comprehensive diversity capture (Supplementary Figure S3).

3.2 Fungal community

The rarefied datasets were classified into 137 different genera across 5 phyla, 18 classes, 42 orders, and 80 families. The phylum Ascomycota was the most dominant, representing 94.35% of ASVs. In *L. terminata*, the most abundant genus was *Candida* (Supplementary Table S3), comprising 38.09% of the community, followed by *Moniliella* (6.54%), *Cladosporium* (4.35%), and *Starmerella* (3.25%). Conversely, in *T. pagdeni, Starmerella* was the most abundant genus, accounting for 87.86% of the community, with the next most abundant being *Cladosporium*, *Cutaneotrichosporon*, and *Candida*. Genera such as *Acremonium*, *Agaricus*, *Aspergillus*, *Clitopilus*, *Coprinellus*, *Gymnopus*, *Hymenochaete*, *Hypoxylon*, *Malassezia*, *Nigrospora*, *Penicillium*, *Phlebiopsis*, *Pseudozyma*, *Pyrrhoderma*, *Strelitziana*, *Vishniacozyma*, and *Wickerhamiella* were common to both species.

Shannon, Simpson, and Chao-1 indices were used to determine the alpha diversity at the genus level of fungal microbiomes of *L. terminata* and *T. pagdeni*. Mann Whitney U Test of Shannon Diversity (p = 0.001) and Simpson Diversity (p = 0.002) showed that the fungal community of the two species of stingless bees differed significantly. However, Chao – 1 was not statistically significant (p = 0.136). In addition, alpha diversity was illustrated using a boxplot (Figure 1). The relative abundance of fungal community members at the genus level in each species of stingless bees is depicted in Figure 2.

Similarly, we analyzed for beta diversity by comparing the fungal community of the two stingless bees. Results showed that there were overall differences (p = 0.001, R = 0.969) as the output of one-way ANOSIM at the permutation of 999 based on Bray – Curtis similarity matrix. As such, we visualized the data through Non-metric Multi-Dimensional Scaling (NMDS) with a stress value of 0.07 (Figure 3).

3.3 Network analysis

In Figure 4, the fungal communities' correlation network is displayed. The fungal microbiome of *L. terminata* is represented with 106 nodes and 397 edges. Each fungal genus exhibits distinct interactions. Negative interactions were found among the genera. For instance, negative interactions occur between *Candida* and *Tinctoporellus*, as well as, between *Candida* and *Marasmius*. Conversely, the fungal microbiome in *T. pagdeni* is depicted as an

undirected graph with 56 nodes and 371 edges. Within this network, *Starmerella* shows negative interactions with *Acremonium*, *Candida*, *Cladosporium*, *Cutaneotrichosporon*, *Penicillium*, and *Wickerhamiella*. Notably, a positive interaction exists between *Penicillium* and *Cladosporium*.

3.4 Predicted functional pathways

The fungal genera were used to predict their respective functional pathways, which were generated based on the ENZYME nomenclature database through PICRUSt2 (Supplementary Figure 4). A total of 425 functional pathways were identified within the fungal microbiome. Several key enzymes were detected, including glucose-6-phosphatase, alcohol dehydrogenase, beta-glucosidase, chitinase, and peptidylprolyl isomerase. These enzymes are associated with flight behaviors/muscle function, metabolism, energy sources, structural integrity, and host defense mechanisms. Additionally, the majority of the indicated functional enzymes prominent in bee-associated microbiomes were related to carbohydrate metabolisms, specifically to the import of sugars.

4 Discussion

Our study revealed that the fungal microbiomes of L. terminata and T. pagdeni have radically distinct structures and interactions despite being collected in a similar geographical radius with identical conditions and weather parameters. However, studies have shown an increase in distance decreases the gut microbial community similarity in stingless bees due to its dispersion limitation (Soininen et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2023). On the other hand, Kwong et al. (2017) suggest that the host species has a significantly greater influence on the gut microbial populations than geographic factors. Thus, this study found several distinct genera including Acremonium, Agaricus, Aspergillus, Clitopilus, Coprinellus, Gymnopus, Hymenochaete, Hypoxylon, Malassezia, Nigrospora, Penicillium, Phlebiopsis, Pseudozyma, Pyrrhoderma, Strelitziana, Vishniacozyma, and Wickerhamiella. Ascomycota was the most dominant phylum among the identified species. Similarly, Liu et al. (2023) identified Ascomycota and Basidiomycota as the most dominant phyla, along with





Chytridiomycota and Mucoromycota, in Australian stingless bees (*Tetragonula carbonaria* and *Austroplebeia australis*). Further, *Candida* and *Starmerella* were also determined as the dominant fungal genera in *L. terminata* and *T. pagdeni*, respectively. Such genera were also observed in the larval food of Brazilian native stingless bees (Santos et al., 2023) since *Candida* and *Starmerella* were usually isolated from stingless bees (de Paula et al., 2021), along with *Saccharomyces*.

Generally, gut communities differ among host species despite the closest kinship of *T. pagdeni* with *Lepidotrigona* species, wherein the



Scaling (NMDS) of the fungal community of *L. terminata* and *T. pagdeni* through Bray – Curtis similarity matrix.

main differentiators of these species were the abundance of Candida in L. terminata and Starmerella in T. pagdeni. Kwong et al. (2017) have also reported the ability of the microbiota of social bees to evolve and undergo alteration dynamically thus the variation in microbial composition. Further, these variations may also have an environmental origin, whether from hive materials or foraging, which may lead to different fungal microbiomes among the two stingless bee species. For instance, Keller et al. (2021) reported a shared microbiome between bees and flowers, wherein the floral visitation shapes bee microbiome assembly given that majority of bee species mostly obtain their nourishment from floral resources (Rutkowski et al., 2023). Rothman et al. (2018) discovered that additional floral resources can influence the gut microbiota of A. mellifera. Therefore, floral visitation, through the collection of nectar and pollen from various plants, may be a significant factor in the differences observed in the fungal microbiome between the two species of stingless bees. Similarly, each species of stingless bee exhibits unique foraging habits based on their dietary requirements (Salatnaya et al., 2023). However, it remains unclear whether preferences for specific floral resources contribute to the distinct microbiomes of each species. Sawatthum and Kumlert (2015) found that both species of stingless bees, L. terminata and T. pagdeni, preferred to visit plants belonging to the families Leguminosae, Poaceae and Amaranthaceae. Consistent with the findings of Rutkowski et al. (2023), other fungal genera found in both species, including Candida and Starmerella, as well as Aspergillus, Cladosporium, Penicillium, Wickerhamiella, and others, were also found in floral nectar and pollen. Our results highlight an intriguing observation th nesting in the same geographic location, the two species exhibit distinct fungal microbiomes. Nevertheless, the relationship between floral resources and the bee microbiome requires further elucidation. This suggests that the foraging food sources may marginally overlap, indicating a separation of niches. However, this requires further behavioral studies to pinpoint the cause of this distinction in bees. Thus, the exploration of fungal microbiome patterns within Thai commercial stingless bees offers a valuable initial understanding of their host ecology. However, the current study's scope does not allow for conclusive determinations regarding the consistent association of these two stingless bee species with the identified fungal community. Further investigations encompassing a broader environmental spectrum and longitudinal studies are necessary to better comprehend potential fluctuations in fungal community abundance attributed to environmental factors.

Conversely, body size is another factor to consider regarding microbiome composition. We found that *L. terminata* fungal microbiome was more diverse than *T. pagdeni*. *L. terminata* has a larger body size compared to *T. pagdeni*, which contrasts with the findings of Kueneman et al. (2023), who reported a negative correlation between bee body size, tongue length, and microbial richness. However, Liu et al. (2023) stated that bees with larger wings tend to have larger bodies, potentially providing a large area for bacteria colonization. Although these findings pertain to bacterial colonization. In bees, larger individuals forage disproportionately farther than smaller ones, according to a power function with b > 1 (Greenleaf et al., 2007). Layek et al. (2021) also



stated that the flight distance and behavior of the stingless bee T. iridipennis periodically change based on available resources. During foraging trips, they collect plant materials such as nectar, pollen, resin, and fungal spores (Sakagami, 1982; Roubik, 1989; Eltz et al., 2002). For example, the pollen transport activity of L. terminata typically begins between 9:00 and 10:00 and remain active through mid-day until the afternoon before gradually decreasing (Wicaksono et al., 2020). In contrast, T. pagdeni pollen foragers start their activities between 10:00 to 11:00 and 13:00 to 14:00, with foraging activity significantly declining by 17:00 (Basanna and Rajanand, 2021). This pattern suggests that L. terminata engages in more extensive foraging compared to T. pagdeni, which may indicate a higher abundance of pollen resources and, consequently, a more diverse microbiome. Taken together, our results advocate for further investigations into the stingless bee microbiome in relation to body size, flight activity, and foraging resources.

Following the notable results, we determined the functional pathways to ascertain whether the differing fungal microbiomes correspond to alterations in functionally predicted genes, as analyzed through PICRUSt2. We identified 425 functionally predicted genes (Supplementary Figure 4) related to metabolism, energy source, and host-defense mechanism. Kwong and Moran (2016) noted that the microbiota of bees undergoes a metabolic transition resulting in the production of honey from nectar, primarily composed of sucrose, glucose, and fructose. Yun et al. (2018) reported that foraging bees (honeybees) consuming more nectar and honey (carbohydrate sources) than bee bread (a protein source) expose their gut microbiota to comparatively fewer amino

acids than nurse bees. The presence of sugar or carbohydrates leads to fermentation. For example, our results showed an abundance of yeasts over filamentous fungi. Clementino et al. (2015) suggested that yeasts are extensively involved in biosurfactant and antibiotic synthesis, and alcoholic fermentation.

Additionally, yeasts related to insects, such as Saccharomyces and Candida, are implicated in substrate digestion through secreted enzymes like β -glucosidases, xylases, and cellulases, and in the detoxification of harmful plant compounds within the insect host (Vega and Dowd, 2005). On the other hand, several vital enzymes were predicted in the study. These enzymes are associated with energy metabolism, structural strength, and host defense reactions. For instance, adenosine triphosphatase increases the wingbeat of honeybees (Liao et al., 2019), while β -glucosidase breaks down oligosaccharides, particularly cello-oligosaccharides and cellobiose to glucose (Terra and Ferreira, 1994; Mostafa et al., 2014). Further, chitinase can affect the chitin-based wall of fungi, particularly N. apis (Hamid et al., 2013). For any organism to sustain its regular activity, energy metabolism is one of the most crucial processes (Kong et al., 2023). Most predicted functional enzymes correspond to oxidative phosphorylation: glutamate synthase (NADPH), NADPH dehydrogenase, adenosine kinase, adenosine phosphorylase, and others. Energy metabolism involves oxidative phosphorylation mediated by enzymes, including NADH dehydrogenase (Christen, 2023). While these mechanisms were not the primary focus of our investigation, they underscore the importance of further studies on the relationship between functionally predicted genes and bee health.

Our study uncovered anotable negative correlation between Starmerella and other fungal members of the community (Figure 4D). This phenomenon may be attributed to the antifungal properties of sophorolipids, which are known to decrease surface tension, destabilizing and rupturing microbial membranes, thereby increasing (Valotteau et al., 2017). Such actions can induce high levels of oxidative stress, leading to necrosis and apoptosis (Haque et al., 2019). Starmerella, a yeast associated with bees, produces sophorolipids that can inhibit the growth of various bacteria and fungi, particularly those associated with floral resources and bee hives (Hipólito et al., 2020; De Clercq et al., 2021; Alfian et al., 2022). For instance, sophorolipids derived from St. bombicola inhibited all tested fungi (Hipólito et al., 2020). Similarly, sophorolipids from the newly identified species St. riodocensis may prevent the growth of C. albicans hyphae (Alfian et al., 2022). This suggests that these secondary metabolites function as an antifungal agent and totally inhibit fungal development (Hipólito et al., 2020). Consequently, we propose that sophorolipids might account for the observed negative correlation of Starmerella to other fungal genera, although a more comprehensive analysis is needed to confirm this relationship.

5 Conclusion

Our study provides the first in-depth investigation into the fungal microbiome associated with Thai commercial stingless bees *L. terminata* and *T. pagdeni*. The results revealed that the fungal microbiomes of these two species are significantly distinct despite sharing a geographical habitat. Specifically, *Candida* dominates the microbiome of *L. terminata*, while *Starmerella* prevails in that of *T. pagdeni*. Although both stingless bee species share some aspects within their fungal microbiome, the diversity and abundance of these components markedly differ. Furthermore, the interactions observed among fungal members within these microbiomes display unique patterns for each species. These discoveries contribute to our comprehension of the microbiomes intrinsic to these essential pollinators, providing insights into the intricate ecology of these remarkable species.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/, PRJNA1060844.

Ethics statement

The requirement of ethical approval was waived by Laboratory Animal Center, Chiang Mai University, Thailand for the studies involving animals because Insect model was waived in this institute due to it is not a vertebrate. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

DC: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. CSi: Conceptualization, Validation, Writing – review & editing. PP: Conceptualization, Validation, Writing – review & editing. RY: Conceptualization, Validation, Writing – original draft. CSa: Conceptualization, Validation, Writing – original draft. KA: Validation, Writing – review & editing. NS: Validation, Writing – review & editing. SI: Validation, Writing – review & editing. NN: Validation, Writing – review & editing. TD: Funding acquisition, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was realized through Fundamental Fund 2023 (Grant No. FF66/034), Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, Thailand and the Department of Science and Technology – Foreign Graduate Scholarship Program, Philippines.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the reviewers and special feature editors for their constructive critics.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2024. 1367010/full#supplementary-material

References

Aanen, D. K., Eggleton, P., Rouland-Lefèvre, C., Guldberg-Frøslev, T., Rosendahl, S., and Boomsma, J. J. (2002). The evolution of fungus-growing termites and their mutualistic fungal symbionts. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 99, 14887–14892. doi: 10.1073/pnas.222313099

Abarenkov, K., Zirk, A., Piirmann, T., Pöhönen, R., Ivanov, F., Nilsson, R. H., et al. (2020). UNITE general FASTA release for Fungi 2. Version 10.05.2021 (UNITE Community). doi: 10.15156/BIO/1280089

Abd Jalil, M. A., Kasmuri, A. R., and Hadi, H. (2017). Stingless bee honey, the natural wound healer: A review. *Skin. Pharmacol. Physiol.* 30, 66–75. doi: 10.1159/000458416

Alfian, A. R., Watchaputi, K., Sooklim, C., and Soontorngun, N. (2022). Production of new antimicrobial palm oil-derived sophorolipids by the yeast Starmerella riodocensis sp. nov. against Candida albicans hyphal and biofilm formation. *Microb. Cell Factories.* 21, 163. doi: 10.1186/s12934-022-01852-y

Allaire, J. J. (2011) R Studio: Integrated Development Environment for R. Available online at: https://www.r-project.org/conferences/useR-2011/abstracts/180111-allairejj.pdf.

Alvarez, L. J., Reynaldi, F. J., Ramello, P. J., Garcia, M. L. G., Sguazza, G. H., Abrahamovich, A. H., et al. (2018). Detection of honey bee viruses in Argentinian stingless bees (Hymenoptera: Apidae). *Insectes. Soc* 65, 191–197. doi: 10.1007/s00040-017-0587-2

Attasopa, K., Packer, L., Suttiprapan, P., Thanoosing, P., and Bänziger, H. (2020). Description of the male of Lepidotrigona nitidiventris (Smit), redescription of the female holotype and additional morphological data on the workers (Hymenoptera: Apidae: Meliponini). *Rev. Suisse Zool.* 127 (1), 119–128. doi: 10.35929/rsz.0012

Bairoch, A. (2000). The ENZYME database in 2000. Nucleic Acids Res. 28, 304–305. doi: 10.1093/nar/28.1.304

Barbosa, R. N., Bezerra, J. D. P., Souza-Motta, C. M., Frisvad, J. C., Samson, R. A., Oliveira, N. T., et al. (2018). New *Penicillium* and *Talaromyces* species from honey, pollen and nests of stingless bees. *Antonie. van. Leeuwenhoek.* 111, 1883–1912. doi: 10.1007/s10482-018-1081-1

Basanna, M., and Rajanand, M. C. (2021). Study of External Nest Appearances and Foraging Behavior of *Tetragonula* nr. *pagdeni. SSR. Inst. Int. J. Life. Sci.* 7, 2921–2930. doi: 10.21276/ssr-iijls.2021.7.6.4

Bastian, M., Heymann, S., and Jacomy, M. (2009) Gephi: An Open Source Software for Exploring and Manipulating Networks Visualization and Exploration of Large Graphs. Available online at: www.aaai.org.

Batra, S. (1995). Bees and pollination in our changing environment. *Apidologie* 26, 361–370. doi: 10.1051/apido:19950501

Beaver, R. (1989). "Insect-fungus relationships in the bark and ambrosia beetles," in *Insect - fungus interactions*. London, United Kingdom: Academic Press, 121–143. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-751800-8.50011-2

Berenbaum, M. R., and Johnson, R. M. (2015). Xenobiotic detoxification pathways in honey bees. *Curr. Opin. Insect Sci.* 10, 51–58. doi: 10.1016/j.cois.2015.03.005

Bhandari, K. B., Longing, S. D., and West, C. P. (2020). Bees occurring in corn production fields treated with atoxigenic *aspergillus flavus* (Texas, USA). *Agron* 10, 571. doi: 10.3390/agronomy10040571

Bolyen, E., Rideout, J. R., Dillon, M. R., Bokulich, N. A., Abnet, C. C., Al-Ghalith, G. A., et al. (2019). Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 37, 852–857. doi: 10.1038/s41587-019-0209-9

Callahan, B. J., McMurdie, P. J., Rosen, M. J., Han, A. W., Johnson, A. J. A., and Holmes, S. P. (2016). DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nat. Methods* 13, 581–583. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3869

Chao, A., and Chiu, C.-H. (2016). Species Richness: Estimation and Comparison. Wiley Stats Ref: Stat Reference Online. 1, 26. doi: 10.1002/ 9781118445112.stat03432.pub2

Christen, V. (2023). Different effects of pesticides on transcripts of the endocrine regulation and energy metabolism in honeybee foragers from different colonies. *Sci. Rep.* 13, 1985. doi: 10.1038/s41598-023-29257-w

Clementino, L., Barbosa, C., Silva, D., Silva, F., and Queiroz, J. (2015). Bioprospection of antibiotics produced by Caatinga fungi. *Evidência* 15, 37. doi: 10.18593/eba.v15i1.6276

De Clercq, V., Roelants, S. L. K. W., Castelein, M. G., De Maeseneire, S. L., and Soetaert, W. K. (2021). Elucidation of the natural function of sophorolipids produced by *Starmerella bombicola*. J. Fungi. 7, 917. doi: 10.3390/jof7110917

de Paula, G. T., Melo, W. G., da, P., de, I., Menezes, C., Paludo, C. R., et al. (2023). Further evidences of an emerging stingless bee-yeast symbiosis. *Front. Microbiol.* 14. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1221724

de Paula, G. T., Menezes, C., Pupo, M. T., and Rosa, C. A. (2021). Stingless bees and microbial interactions. *Curr. Opin. Insect. Sci.* 44, 41–47. doi: 10.1016/j.cois.2020.11.006

Dillon, R. J., and Dillon, V. M. (2003). The gut bacteria of insects: Nonpathogenic interactions. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 49, 71-92. doi: 10.1146/annurev.ento.49.061802.123416

Dmitrieva, A. S., Yakovleva, E., Maksimova, I. A., Belov, A. A., and Markov, A. V. (2023). Changes in the Symbiotic Yeast of *Drosophila melanogaster* during Adaptation to Substrates with an Increased NaCl Content. *Biol. Bull. Rev.* 13, 1–8. doi: 10.1134/S2079086423010036

Douglas, G. M., Maffei, V. J., Zaneveld, J. R., Yurgel, S. N., Brown, J. R., Taylor, C. M., et al. (2020). PICRUSt2 for prediction of metagenome functions. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 38, 685–688. doi: 10.1038/s41587-020-0548-6

Eltz, T., Brühl, C. A., van der Kaars, S., and Linsenmair, E. K. (2002). Determinants of stingless bee nest density in lowland dipterocarp forests of Sabah, Malaysia. *Oecologia*. 131 (1), 27–34. doi: 10.1007/s00442-001-0848-6

Engel, P., Kwong, W. K., McFrederick, Q., Anderson, K. E., Barribeau, S. M., Chandler, J. A., et al. (2016). The bee microbiome: Impact on bee health and model for evolution and ecology of host-microbe interactions. *MBio.* 7, e02164-15. doi: 10.1128/mBio.02164-15

Gonçalves, J., Ribeiro, I., Marçalo, J., Rijo, P., Faustino, C., and Pinheiro, L. (2018). Physicochemical, antioxidant and antimicrobial properties of selected Portuguese commercial monofloral honeys. *J. Food. Nutr. Res.* 6, 645–654. doi: 10.12691/JFNR-6-10-5

Greenleaf, S., Williams, N., Winfree, R., and Kremen, C. (2007). Bee foraging ranges and their relationship to body size. *Oecologia*. 153, 589–596. doi: 10.1007/s00442-007-0752-9

Haag, K. L., Caesar, L., da Silveira Regueira-Neto, M., de Sousa, D. R., Montenegro Marcelino, V., de Queiroz Balbino, V., et al. (2023). Temporal changes in gut microbiota composition and pollen diet associated with colony weakness of a stingless bee. *Microb. Ecol.* 85, 1514–1526. doi: 10.1007/s00248-022-02027-3

Hall, M. A., Jones, J., Rocchetti, M., Wright, D., and Rader, R. (2020). Bee visitation and fruit quality in berries under protected cropping vary along the length of polytunnels. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 113, 1337–1346. doi: 10.1093/jee/toaa037

Hamid, R., Khan, M. A., Ahmad, M., Ahmad, M. M., Abdin, M. Z., Musarrat, J., et al. (2013). Chitinases: an update. *J. Pharm. Bioallied. Sci.* 5, 21–29. doi: 10.4103/0975-7406.106559

Hammer, Ø., Harper, D. A. T., and Ryan, P. D. (2001). Past: Paleontological Statistics Software Package for Education and Data Analysis. In *Palaeontologia Electronica*. 4 (1), 9. http://palaeo-electronica.org/2001_1/past/issue1_01.htm

Haque, F., Verma, N. K., Alfatah, M., Bijlani, S., and Bhattacharyya, M. S. (2019). Sophorolipid exhibits antifungal activity by ROS mediated endoplasmic reticulum stress and mitochondrial dysfunction pathways in *Candida albicans. RSC. Adv.* 9, 41639–41648. doi: 10.1039/C9RA07599B

Hipólito, A., Alves da Silva, R. A., Caretta, T., de, O., Silveira, V. A. I., Amador, I. R., et al. (2020). Evaluation of the antifungal activity of sophorolipids from *Starmerella bombicola* against food spoilage fungi. *Biocatal. Agric. Biotechnol.* 29, 101797. doi: 10.1016/j.bcab.2020.101797

Jones, J. C., Fruciano, C., Marchant, J., Hildebrand, F., Forslund, S., Bork, P., et al. (2018). The gut microbiome is associated with behavioural task in honey bees. *Insectes. Soc* 65, 419–429. doi: 10.1007/s00040-018-0624-9

Keller, A., McFrederick, Q. S., Dharampal, P., Steffan, S., Danforth, B. N., and Leonhardt, S. D. (2021). (More than) Hitchhikers through the network: The shared microbiome of bees and flowers. *Curr. Opin. Insect Sci.* 44, 8–15. doi: 10.1016/j.cois.2020.09.007

Khalifa, S. A. M., Elshafiey, E. H., Shetaia, A. A., El-Wahed, A. A. A., Algethami, A. F., Musharraf, S. G., et al. (2021). Overview of bee pollination and its economic value for crop production. *Insects* 12, 688. doi: 10.3390/insects12080688

Kieliszek, M., Piwowarek, K., Kot, A. M., Chlebowska-Śmigiel, A., and Wolska, I. (2018). Pollen and bee bread as new health-oriented products: A review. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* 71, 170–180. doi: 10.1016/j.tifs.2017.10.021

Kong, Y., Si, M., Wang, P., Guo, H., Liu, X., and Zhao, M. (2023). Enantioselectivity effects of energy metabolism in honeybees (*Apis mellifera*) by triticonazole. *Sci. Total. Environ.* 877, e162884. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.162884

Kueneman, J. G., Bonadies, E., Thomas, D., Roubik, D. W., and Wcislo, W. T. (2023). Neotropical bee microbiomes point to a fragmented social core and strong species-level effects. *Microbiome* 11, 150. doi: 10.1186/s40168-023-01593-z

Kwong, W. K., Medina, L. A., Koch, H., Sing, K.-W., Soh, E. J. Y., Ascher, J. S., et al. (2017). Dynamic microbiome evolution in social bees. *Sci. Adv.* 3, e1600513. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.1600513

Kwong, W. K., and Moran, N. A. (2016). Gut microbial communities of social bees. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 14, 374–384. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro.2016.43

Layek, U., Bisui, S., and Karmakar, P. (2021). Flight range and resource loadingunloading behavior of stingless bee Tetragonula iridipennis (Smith). *J. Apic. Res.*, 1–12. doi: 10.1080/00218839.2021.1994259

Leonhardt, S. D. (2017). Chemical ecology of stingless bees. J. Chem. Ecol. 43, 385-402. doi: 10.1007/S10886-017-0837-9

Leonhardt, S. D., and Kaltenpoth, M. (2014). Microbial communities of three sympatric Australian stingless bee species. *PloS One* 9, e105718. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0105718

Li, Y.-R., Wang, Z.-W., Yu, Z.-R., and Corlett, R. T. (2021). Species diversity, morphometrics, and nesting biology of Chinese stingless bees (Hymenoptera, Apidae, Meliponini). *Apidologie* 52, 239–1255. doi: 10.1007/s13592-021-00899-x

Liao, L.-H., Wu, W.-Y., Dad, A., and Berenbaum, M. R. (2019). Fungicide suppression of flight performance in the honeybee (Apis mellifera) and its amelioration by quercetin. *Proc. R. Soc B.: Biol. Sci.* 286, e20192041. doi: 10.1098/ rspb.2019.2041

Liu, H., Hall, M. A., Brettell, L. E., Wang, J., Halcroft, M., Nacko, S., et al. (2023). Microbial diversity in stingless bee gut is linked to host wing size and influenced by the environment. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* 198, 107909. doi: 10.1016/j.jip.2023.107909

Lozo, J., Berić, T., Terzić-Vidojević, A., Stanković, S., Fira, D., and Stanisavljević, L. (2015). Microbiota associated with pollen, bee bread, larvae and adults of solitary bee *Osmia cornuta* (Hymenoptera: Megachilidae). *Bull. Entomol. Res.* 05, 470–476. doi: 10.1017/S0007485315000292

MacInnis, G., and Forrest, J. R. K. (2020). Field design can affect cross-pollination and crop yield in strawberry (*Fragaria x Ananassa D.*). *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 289, 106738–745. doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2019.106738

Maxfield-Taylor, S. A., Mujic, A. B., and Rao, S. (2015). First detection of the larval chalkbrood disease pathogen *Ascosphaera apis* (Ascomycota: Eurotiomycetes: Ascosphaerales) in adult bumble bees. *PloS One* 10, e0124868. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0124868

Mbareche, H., Veillette, M., Bilodeau, G., and Duchaine, C. (2020). Comparison of the performance of ITS1 and ITS2 as barcodes in amplicon-based sequencing of bioaerosols. *PeerJ* 8, e8523. doi: 10.7717/peerj.8523

McFrederick, Q. S., and Rehan, S. M. (2016). Characterization of pollen and bacterial community composition in brood provisions of a small carpenter bee. *Mol. Ecol.* 25, 2302–2311. doi: 10.1111/mec.13608

Menegatti, C., Fukuda, T. T. H., and Pupo, M. T. (2021). chemical ecology in insectmicrobe interactions in the Neotropics. *Planta. Med.* 87, 38–48. doi: 10.1055/a-1229-9435

Mostafa, S., El Naby, A., and Zidan, E. W. (2014). Activity level of lactate dehydrogenase and β -glucosidase enzymes in the honeybee colonies, (*Apis Mellifera* L.) with Different Feeding. *Int. J. Agric. Technol.* 10 (2), 483–491.

Mueller, U. G., Rehner, S. A., and Schultz, T. R. (1998). The evolution of agriculture in ants. *Science* 281, 2034–2038. doi: 10.1126/science.281.5385.2034

Ollerton, J., Winfree, R., and Tarrant, S. (2011). How many flowering plants are pollinated by animals? *Oikos* 120, 321–326. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0706.2010.18644.x

Pakwan, C., Kaltenpoth, M., Weiss, B., Chantawannakul, P., Jun, G., and Disayathanoowat, T. (2018). Bacterial communities associated with the ectoparasitic mites *Varroa destructor* and *Tropilaelaps mercedesae* of the honey bee (*Apis mellifera*). *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 94, fix160. doi: 10.1093/femsec/fix160

Potts, S. G., Imperatriz-Fonseca, V., Ngo, H. T., Aizen, M. A., Biesmeijer, J. C., Breeze, T. D., et al. (2016). Safeguarding pollinators and their values to human wellbeing. *Nature* 540, 220–229. doi: 10.1038/nature20588

Ravoet, J., De Smet, L., Meeus, I., Smagghe, G., Wenseleers, T., and de Graaf, D. C. (2014). Widespread occurrence of honey bee pathogens in solitary bees. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* 122, 55–58. doi: 10.1016/j.jip.2014.08.007

Rothman, J. A., Carroll, M. J., Meikle, W. G., Anderson, K. E., and McFrederick, Q. S. (2018). Longitudinal effects of supplemental forage on the honey bee (*Apis mellifera*) microbiota and inter- and intra-colony variability. *Microb. Ecol.* 76, 814–824. doi: 10.1007/s00248-018-1151-y

Roubik, D. W. (1989). Ecology and Natural History of Tropical Bees. Ecol. Natural History Trop. Bees. doi: 10.1017/CBO9780511574641

Rüstemoğlu, M. (2023). Next generation sequencing of bee gut microbiota in urban and rural environments. *Diversity* 15, e1016. doi: 10.3390/d15091016

Rutkowski, D., Weston, M., and Vannette, R. L. (2023). Bees just wanna have fungi: a review of bee associations with nonpathogenic fungi. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 99, fiad077. doi: 10.1093/femsec/fiad077

Sakagami, S. F. (1978). *Tetragonula* stingless bees of the Continental Asia and Sri Lanka (Hymenoptera, Apidae). *Jour. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido. Univ. Ser. VI. Zool.* 21, 165–247.

Sakagami, S. F. (1982). *Stingless bees* Vol. III. Ed. H. H. Herman (New York: Academic Press), 361-423.

Salatnaya, H., Kahono, S., Suhri, A. G. M. I., Ismanto, A., Anggraeni, I., Fara, S. B., et al. (2023). "Diversity, distribution, nesting and foraging behavior of stingless bees and recent meliponiculture in Indonesia," in *Melittology - New Advances*. Eds. A. Prof and M. A. Aziz (London, United Kingdom: IntechOpen), 4. doi: 10.5772/ intechopen.1003758

Santos, A. C. C., Borges, L. D. F., Rocha, N. D. C., de Carvalho Azevedo, V. A., Bonetti, A. M., dos Santos, A. R., et al. (2023). Bacteria, yeasts, and fungi associated with larval food of Brazilian native stingless bees. *Sci. Rep.* 13, e5147. doi: 10.1038/s41598-023-32298-w

Sawatthum, A., and Kumlert, R. (2015). Pollen food source diversity of stingless bee *Tetragonula pegdeni* and *Lepidotrigona terminata* in cultivated area. *Int. J. Environ. Rural Dev.* 6, 61–65. doi: 10.32115/ijerd.6.2_61

Schwarz, H. F. (1939). The indo-malayan species of trigona. Bull. Am. Mus. Natl. Hist. 76, 83-141.

Seabrooks, L., and Hu, L. (2017). Insects: an underrepresented resource for the discovery of biologically active natural products. *Acta Pharm. Sin. B.* 7, 409–426. doi: 10.1016/j.apsb.2017.05.001

Shannon, C. E. (1948). A mathematical theory of communication. *Bell. Syst. Tech. J.* 27, 379–423. doi: 10.1002/j.1538-7305.1948.tb01338.x

Simpson, E. H. (1949). Measurement of diversity. *Nature* 163, e688. doi: 10.1038/ 163688a0

Soininen, J., McDonald, R., and Hillebrand, H. (2007). The distance decay of similarity in ecological communities. *Ecography* 30, 3–12. doi: 10.1111/j.0906-7590.2007.04817.x

Terra, W., and Ferreira, C. (1994). Insect digestive enzymes: properties, compartmentalization and function. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. B. Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 109, 1–62. doi: 10.1016/0305-0491(94)90141-4

Toledo-Hernández, E., Peña-Chora, G., Hernández-Velázquez, V. M., Lormendez, C. C., Toribio-Jiménez, J., Romero-Ramírez, Y., et al. (2022). The stingless bees (Hymenoptera: Apidae: Meliponini): a review of the current threats to their survival. *Apidologie* 53, e8. doi: 10.1007/S13592-022-00913-W

Unterseher, M., Jumpponen, A., Öpik, M., Tedersoo, L., Moora, M., Dormann, C. F., et al. (2011). Species abundance distributions and richness estimations in fungal metagenomics - Lessons learned from community ecology. *Mol. Ecol.* 20, 275–285. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04948.x

Vale, K. A. G., Rodrigues, A. K., de, S., Carvalho, K. S. S., Moura, S. G., Souza, D. C., et al. (2021). Evidences of high genetic differentiation among populations of the stingless bee *Scaptotrigona depilis* (Moure 1942) in piauú, Brazil. *Bee. World.* 98, 83–88. doi: 10.1080/0005772X.2020.1854998

Valotteau, C., Banat, I. M., Mitchell, C. A., Lydon, H., Marchant, R., Babonneau, F., et al. (2017). Antibacterial properties of sophorolipid-modified gold surfaces against Gram positive and Gram-negative pathogens. *Colloids. Surf. B.: Biointerfaces.* 157, 325–334. doi: 10.1016/j.colsurfb.2017.05.072

Vega, F. E., and Dowd, P. F. (2005). "The role of yeasts as insect endosymbionts," in *Insect-fungal associations: ecology and evolution*. Eds. F. E. Vega and M. Blackwell (Oxford University Press, New York), 211–243.

Vijayakumar, K., and Jeyaraaj, R. (2020). Taxonomic notes on Tetragonula pagdeni Schwarz (Apidae: Meliponini) from India. Int. J. Adv. Lif. Sci. 13, 22–26.

Watson, M., May, G., and Bushley, K. E. (2023). Sources of fungal symbionts in the microbiome of a mobile insect host, *spodoptera frugiperda*. *Microb. Ecol.* 86, 900–913. doi: 10.1007/s00248-022-02140-3

Wicaksono, A., Atmowidi, T., and Priawandiputra, W. (2020). Flight activities and pollen load of *Lepidotrigona terminata* smith (Apidae: Meliponinae). *HAYATI. J. Biosci.* 27, 97–106. doi: 10.4308/hjb.27.2.97

Wickham, H., Averick, M., Bryan, J., Chang, W., McGowan, L., François, R., et al. (2019). Welcome to the tidyverse. J. Open Source Software 4, e1686. doi: 10.21105/joss.01686

Wongsa, K., Duangphakdee, O., and Rattanawannee, A. (2023). Pollination efficacy of stingless bees, Tetragonula pagdeni Schwarz (Apidae: Meliponini), on greenhouse tomatoes (Solanum lycopersicum Linnaeus). *PeerJ* 11, e15367. doi: 10.7717/ PEERJ.15367/SUPP-4

Yun, J.-H., Jung, M.-J., Kim, P. S., and Bae, J.-W. (2018). Social status shapes the bacterial and fungal gut communities of the honey bee. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 2019. doi: 10.1038/ s41598-018-19860-7

Zeng, X., and Fischer, G. A. (2020). Wind pollination over 70 years reduces the negative genetic effects of severe forest fragmentation in the tropical oak Quercus bambusifolia. *Heredity* 124, 156–169. doi: 10.1038/s41437-019-0258-3